

Message

From: Claire Williams [clwilliams@kctmo.org.uk]
Sent: 27/03/2015 14:03:10
To: LIM Chweecheen [Chweecheen.Lim@uk.arteliagroup.com]
CC: VALENTE Nick [nick.valente@uk.arteliagroup.com]; REED Neil [neil.reed@uk.arteliagroup.com]
Subject: Grenfell: Prog meeting mins item 10.1 re EOT
Flag: Follow up

Hi Chweecheen

I have spoken to Peter Maddison and David Gibson re the EoT.

There is concern over the obvious 'win win' for Rydon, both in terms of time and cost. What we need from Artelia is a considered discussion/report over the savings that the TMO would achieve from these 2 very positive project decisions. Specifically:

- 1 **HIU new location:** There is less pipework and ductwork, no need to disconnect and remove storage unit from kitchen and form new magnetic hinged door. Less time in installation and dealing with awkward/elderly/uncertain plumbing connections, therefore less labour and less time spent in residents' homes.
- 2 **Windows:** Simon Lawrence said in front of us that Rydon had priced for the enlarged windows as per the tender. However, in practical terms when this was discussed with the current TMO team (previous officers had agreed this arrangement), it seemed a nonsense. This is because it entailed cutting back each concrete reveal by 260mm to install the new bigger windows, and would impact on residents' curtaining and furniture layouts. This meant we went back to RBKC planners to retain the existing structural opening – which caused the delay in the windows being ordered until the non-material planning amendment was approved. Hence the EoT for this element of work.

This clearly means that there is no cutting back at each window, so no making good. There is also no need for the birch panels which were priced for each flat to tidy up after this exercise. Again this means less time spent in the properties so less labour. I think the talk was that the birch panels would not be a big saving, but there are other inherent costs that do not seem to be on the table.

Could you please review the above and give a formal response please? I note I have only highlighted issues that have been mentioned to date – there may be other issues that need to be covered. This can then be considered by the TMO prior to the next site meeting and mean we can get the above understood and get the EoT in place.

Please let me know if you have any queries on the above.

Thanks

Claire Williams
Project Manager



t: [REDACTED]
m: [REDACTED]
a: The Network Hub, 292a Kensal Road, London, W10 5BE

Before printing, please think about the environment

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared by **MailMarshal**

DISCLAIMER:

This E-mail and any files transmitted with are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the System Administrator. This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Kensington & Chelsea TMO Ltd. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Kensington & Chelsea TMO Ltd accepts no liability for any damage caused by any Virus transmitted by this email.