Team 1 Module 2 Opening Submissions: Errata {BSR0000063}

{_0005} Par 3.1	harmonised European norms/standard such as the standard for
	Thermal insulation products for buildings- Factory made rigid
	polyurethane foam PU products BSEN13165:2012 Fire classification
	of construction products and building elements BSEN13501-1:2007 <sup>1</sup>
{_0016} par	KS' efforts to pass a BS8414-2 test did not come to fruition using the
5.1.1(3)	new technology until 20154
{_0035} par	Finally, as although Reynobond 55 had a classification under was
7.1.2(4)	covered by Harmonised standard EN13501-1 as well as falling under a
	European Technical Guideline for cladding Kits (ETAG 34) relied on
	in Arconic's 2017 BBA certificate for the riveted system <sup>2</sup> (as
	explained at paragraph 3.1 above (and contrary to BBA's erroneous
	analysis) Arconic was not required to have either CE marked the
	product or drawn up a DoP. It failed to do so in breach of CPR 2013
	however to seek a European Technical Assessment under the ETAG
	despite recognising this as an option under the 2011 Regulation but
	which would have then led to an obligation to CE mark, which
	Arconic saw as a "threat".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amend footnote 18 as follows: {BSI100000122}-{CEL00010311}

<sup>2</sup> last line on {ARC00000415\_0009}

<sup>3</sup> {MET00053158\_P06\_0171} at {0171}{0189} and {0190} presentation dated 3.9.19 but in fact clearly relating to 2012, {MET00053158\_P07\_0008} at {\_0011} Retrospective SWOT analysis of 2012; "Threats" section includes "Important and quick fire regulation changes – CE marking for composite panels". Whereas the "Opportunities" section includes "CE marking for Reynolux".