
From: Mike Larking
Sent: 23 June 2010 11:58
To: Ken Knight
Cc: Anthony Burd; Robert Attrill; Sandy Bishop; Louise Upton; Cath Reynolds; Brian Martin; Peter Wise; Chris Boulton
Subject: RE: Sprinkler Policy - Minsub

Thanks Ken,

Yes fair enough, I'll drop the reference to Lakanal.

Mike

From: Trudy Neill **On Behalf Of** Ken Knight
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 11:47 AM
To: Mike Larking
Cc: Anthony Burd; Robert Attrill; Sandy Bishop; Ken Knight; Louise Upton; Cath Reynolds; Brian Martin; Peter Wise; Chris Boulton
Subject: RE: Sprinkler Policy - Minsub

Mike,

I have some misgivings at using Lakanall House as an example, not least as it is still subject to a police investigation and a Coroners Inquiry?

Ken

From: Cath Reynolds
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 2:48 PM
To: Mike Larking; Brian Martin
Cc: Anthony Burd; Robert Attrill; Sandy Bishop; Ken Knight; Louise Upton
Subject: RE: Sprinkler Policy - Minsub

Had a go at viewing things through the 'localism prism' may have made a mess of it

Cath

<< File: 100617-Bob Neill sprinklers line minsub (3).doc >>

From: Mike Larking
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 5:09 PM
To: Brian Martin
Cc: Anthony Burd; Robert Attrill; Sandy Bishop; Ken Knight; Cath Reynolds; Louise Upton
Subject: Sprinkler Policy - Minsub
Importance: High

Brian,

As you know, we plan to use Bob Neill's speech at next week's Fire Conference to give a firm and robust message to the fire world about sprinklers, in particular to address the lobby's incessant calls to amend the Building Regs. In advance of the speech we feel we need to get some advice to BN on sprinkler policy and to warn him up that we will be recommending he delivers a message the fire world will not like.

Attached minsub refers. We plan to submit it on Wednesday, so we'd welcome any thoughts/amends you may have by close tomorrow (Tuesday 22 June). Copyees - grateful to receive any comments you may also have.

Cheers

Mike

<< File: 100617-Bob Neill sprinklers line minsub.doc >>