Message

From: Daniel Anketell-Jones [/O=FIRST ORGANIZATION/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DANIEL]

Sent: 27/03/2015 16:50:08

To: Ray Bailey [Raybailey@harleycw.co.uk]

Subject: RE: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Flag: Follow up

Just that it's ridiculous.

There is no point in 'fire stopping', as we all know; the ACM will be gone rather quickly in a fire!

The whole point is to stop 'unseen' fire spreading in the cavity and moving to other parts of the building.

Who is John? And who is Paul?

Are we working to the NBS spec by studio E?

Kind Regards

Daniel Anketell-Jones



Daniel Anketell-Jones | Technical & Engineering Manager daniel@harleycw.co.uk

Harley Curtain Wall Ltd | Office: | | Fax: Harley House, Brooklands Park, Crowborough, East Sussex, TN6 2JD

From: Ray Bailey

Sent: 27 March 2015 16:39 **To:** Daniel Anketell-Jones

Subject: FW: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Dan,

Do you have any comments?

Ray

From: Simon Lawrence [mailto:slawrence@rydon.co.uk]

Sent: 27 March 2015 16:37 **To:** 'Neil Crawford'; Ben Bailey

Cc: Simon O'Connor; Ray Bailey; Kevin Lamb **Subject:** RE: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Thanks for checking Neil.

Ben - See Neil's response. It doesn't look promising.

Regards

Simon Lawrence, ACIOB, MInstLM

Contracts Manager

T M

From: Neil Crawford [mailto:Neil@studioe.co.uk]

Sent: 27 March 2015 11:03

To: Simon Lawrence **Cc:** Simon O'Connor

Subject: RE: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Hi Simon

Have spoken with John and he wasn't happy with Harley's email as we are talking about fire stopping as opposed to cavity barriers. I have explained again the specifics of our scenario and he will have a conversation with Paul Hanson to see if there is a reduced spec they can agree to and will then speak with Harley's directly.

Regards Neil

From: Simon Lawrence [mailto:slawrence@rydon.co.uk]

Sent: 27 March 2015 08:27

To: Neil Crawford **Cc:** Simon O'Connor

Subject: FW: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Hi Neil,

Following Tuesdays design meeting, Harley via their supply chain are questioning the rating of the cladding firebreaks. Apparently by going to 2hrs as we discussed has a cost increase of around £12k. Their supplier is saying it only needs to be 30mins everywhere as per the Regs extract below.

Could you take a look to see what you think and discuss with John Hoban please?

Regards

Simon Lawrence, ACIOB, MInstLM Contracts Manager

T M

From: Ben Bailey [mailto:Benbailey@harleycw.co.uk]

Sent: 26 March 2015 14:01

To: Simon Lawrence; Simon O'Connor **Cc:** Kevin Lamb; Mark Stapley; Ray Bailey **Subject:** FW: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Simon,

As discussed, please see the email below from the firebreak supplier. There is quite a large cost difference between what Siderise and the spec recommend, and upgrading to the 120min barriers we discussed on Tuesday. Could you forward this to the client's representative for approval please,

Best Regards,

Ben



Ben Bailey | Project Manager

benbailey@harleycw.co.uk

Harley Curtain Wall Ltd | Office: Fax: Harley House, Brooklands Park, Crowborough, East Sussex, TN6 2JD harleycurtainwall.com



This email has been sent by Harley Curtain Wall Ltd. The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views expressed in this message, are those of the individuals sender, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of Harley Curtain Wall Ltd. Whilst Harley Curtain Wall Ltd takes reasonable precautions to scan email content for viruses, we cannot give warranties or assurances about the safety and contents of this email including any attachments herewith. It is the responsibility of the recipient to scan the email and any attachments herewith sent for malicious content.

From: Ricky Kay <<u>ricky.kay@siderise.com</u>>
Date: Thursday, 26 March 2015 13:42
To: Ben Bailey <<u>benbailey@harleycw.co.uk</u>>

Cc: Kevin Lamb < KevinLamb@harleycw.co.uk >, Mark Stapley < mark@harleycw.co.uk >, Sue Sheppard

<sue.sheppard@siderise.com>

Subject: RE: Grenfell Tower Fire Barriers

Hi Ben,

Apologies for the delay...

Please find below extract from the Approved Document B of the Building Regulations.

PERFORMANCE OF MATERIALS, PRODUCTS AND STRUCTURES



Table A1 continued					
Part of building	Minimum provisions when tested to the relevant part of BS 476 ⁽⁵⁾ (minutes)			Minimum provisions	Method of exposure
	Loadbearing capacity P	Integrity	Insulation	when tested to the relevant European standard (minutes) ®	
15.Cavity barrier	Not applicable	30	15	E 30 and El 15	Each side separately

Here you can see that it clearly states that 30 minutes fire integrity and 15 minutes insulation is all that is required from a cavity fire barrier. This is reference to rainscreen cladding applications where the cavity barrier is deemed to be on the outside of the building. Our RH25-90/30 will offer 90 minutes fire integrity and 30 minutes fire insulation, therefore exceeds minimum requirements.

120 minute fire rating is generally the industry standard for curtain wall to concrete slab edge firestopping where the firestop is located on the inside of a building and is considered to be a continuation of the floor slab. Please get in touch if you need anything else from us to enable you to progress with your order, many thanks Kind regards Ricky Kay National Façades Manager M: E: ricky.kay@siderise.com Siderise Group, Forge Industrial Estate, Maesteg, Bridgend CF34 0AY | W: www.siderise.com Connect to us: Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com