Grenfell Action Group

Working to defend and serve the Lancaster West community



← TMO Should Come Clean About Windows

CCHP - When Is A Policy Not A Policy? →

Weird Scenes Inside The Goldmine

Posted on August 29, 2012



Heigh-ho! Heigh-ho!....It's off to work we go! Let's see how we can undermine those nimby brats today, eh fellows?

Strange things are happening with the KALC Planning Application. In June the Council posted all the Planning Application documents on their website – a total of 105 documents – and it was a daunting task for the consultees to make sense of, and respond to, such a massive quantity of material. In recognition of this the Council found it necessary to extend the consultation period to give us all extra time to do the work at hand.

Sport England managed to respond fairly quickly, and submitted their comments in mid July. Planning Aid For London submitted theirs, on behalf of the Lancaster West community, on 7th August, and the local ward councillors submitted theirs on 13th August. The Kensington Society are still working on their response.

Meanwhile the Council, unknown to any of us, were feverishly working behind the scenes producing a large quantity of new documents, all categorised as 'revision content'. They began posting these online on 10th August, but didn't bother to inform any of the consultees of this. They posted a second major tranche on 17th August, and a third on 22nd August. The situation currently is that, in addition to the 105 documents posted originally, they have now posted a further 102 so-called revisions, and there are a total of 207 documents for consultees to study, compare and contrast, and to somehow compose

Blogroll

- Architects For Social Housing
- Brent Housing Action
- Clean Air In London
- Dear Kitty. Some blog
- Defend Council Housing
- Emma Dent Coad's Blog
- Eviction Resistance
- Fight For the Aylesbury
- Focus E15
- Fuel Poverty Action
- Grenfell Community Response and Support
- Guinness Occupation
- Housing Action Southwark & Lambeth
- Justice4Grenfell
- Kilburn Unemployed Workers Group
- Lambeth Housing Activists
- ListenNHH/Genesis
- North Kensington Histories
- People Before Profit
- Pre-Pentimento
- Radical Housing Network
- RAP23
- Save Earls Court
- Save the Cremorne Blogspot
- Save The Sutton Estate
- Silchester Resident
- Squash Campaign

Follow

- The Kensington Creatives
- The Source Mag
- THis Is North Kensington
- Westway23

Recent Posts

- 'West London Citizens' And KCTMO
- 21st Century Slum
- 21st Century Slum Part 2
- 38 Degrees Petition
- A Ballardian Interlude
- A Call-In Or Not A Call-In?
- A Complete Waste Of Space

some meaningful reponse to.

The first conclusion we would draw from this is that the application now submitted to the Planning Committee, based on these 207 documents, is not the planning application on which we were consulted, and to which most of us have already responded.

Let's be clear about that for starters.

We've all worked very hard to make sense of the fine detail of what we were assured was the KALC Planning Application. We now discover that many of the documents we have been studying are obsolete, either because details have been changed, or because they contained incomplete information to start with. Many of the so-called revision documents are technical reports, including an acoustics study, a gelogical study, ecological studies, and reports on transport, energy, water and sewerage issues, all of which should have been made available when the Planning Application was first submitted, but apparently were not. Some of the new documents may be revisions, but others clearly are not, and the only way to tell the difference is by painstakingkly sifting through the entire archive to compare original documents with revised versions, and to assimilate new and complex technical reports to make sense of what is being proposed.

This is an impossible task which we have no intention of attempting, particularly at this late stage, and we strongly believe that it is unreasonable of the Council to expect this of us. In any case the so-called revision documents have all the appearance of final versions. Why else would the Council and its' consultants have expended so much time and effort preparing and publishing them at the eleventh hour?

The archive is also strewn with errors, inaccuracies and inconsistencies. Some errors are apparently due to the haste with which the documents were drawn up. Others, including many inconsistencies, have arisen from the complex inter-relationship of so many documents, such that references to a certain issue in one document are contradicted in another, or sometimes in another part of the same document. One has to wonder – if the job of untangling this Gordian knot is too difficult for us to accomplish, how then can the Planning Committee be expected to do so? – assuming of course that the job of the Planning Committee will not simply be to rubber-stamp this appalling mess and approve the planning application on the nod, regardless of what it proposes.

A question arises – why would the Council publish such a large quantity of new documentation – enough to radically alter the detail of the planning application – without formally notifying its' consultees of the existence, location and significance of these new documents, and what does this say about the quality of the consultation they have conducted?

On Friday 24th August, right at the start of the bank holiday weekend, local residents received by post a revised site notice, informing them that the residential element of the project had been reduced from 35 to 32 units and the on-street parking provision increased from 32 to 35 spaces. We were invited to comment on these two changes, and on only these two changes, and given until 5th September to do so. We were not informed of any other changes to the planning application, and were not informed that the Council had

- A Flying Mallet
- A Funny Thing Happened...The KALC Planning Application
- A Hard Rain GAG joins the Radical Housing Network
- A Mock Eviction
- A Night At The Opera
- A Small Victory
- A Special Invitation
- A Sports Pitch Epilogue
- Academies To Hire Unqualified Teachers
- Air Pollution Greening The Westway
- Aldridge Foundation To Pay London Living Wage
- All Animals Are Equal....
- An Act Of Pure Vandalism
- An Alien Landscape
- An Olympic Legacy
- An Open Letter Chesterton Square & Broadwood Terrace
- An Open Letter To Nick Paget-Brown
- An open Letter to Nick Paget-Brown
- An Open Letter to RBKC Legal Services
- Another Fine Mess...
- Another Fire Safety Scandal
- APOLOGIES TO OUR READERS
- Asbestos Scare At KALC
- AUDITOR SLAMS FIRE SAFETY AT EAST KENT HOUSING
- AXE THE ACT DEMO
- Aylesbury Estate Occupied By Housing Activists
- Aylesbury Estate Versus Police State
- 'Mystery Shoppers' At North Kensington Library
- "Oh! What A Tangled Web..."
- "The Grenfell Pathway"- Will lessons be learned?
- Bad Air Days
- Bad Air Days A Deepining Crisis
- Biting The Hand....
- Blakeman And Coleridge The War Drags On
- Blakeman And Coleridge And The Gulf Of Mistrust
- Blakeman Bows Out One Less Problem for Lancaster West?
- Blakeman Scores Some Points
- Block Boris Demonstrate
 Against MIPIM
- Boris Block The Budget
- Brickley's Travels
- CAMPAIGN FOR SAFE CLADDING AND INSULATION
- Campaign To Save Elliott School Playing Fields
- CCHP When Is A Policy Not A Policy?
- Combustible Cladding Early Warnings Ignored!
- Comments On The Planning

posted 102 new documents during the previous fortnight, all of which contained new information and proposals, or revised information and proposals.

This notification was grossly inadequate and grossly misleading.

The Planning Application now runs to a massive 207 documents, many of which are mutually contradictory, and some of which are self contradictory.

Under such circumstances it is very hard to see how the Council can claim any legitimacy for the consultation that they have conducted, and we can legitimately argue that the consultation is fatally flawed, as is the planning application itself.

Share this:

☐ Twitter ☐ Facebook

Related

A Funny Thing Happened -The KALC Planning Application Comments On The Planning Application

Grenfell Tower - The KCTMO Culture Of Negligence

This entry was posted in <u>Uncategorized</u>. Bookmark the <u>permalink</u>.

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{TMO} \ \mathsf{Should} \ \mathsf{Come} \ \mathsf{Clean} \ \mathsf{About} \ \mathsf{Windows}$

CCHP – When Is A Policy Not A Policy? →

- Application
- Complaint to RBKC North Kensington Library
- CONDEM Government Vandalises School Sports
- Considerate Constructors
- Court Victory For 'Our House'
- Crisis Kensington and Chelsea
 College Under Threat
- Cry Havoc And Let Slip The Dogs Of war
- Dale Youth A Nugget Of Boxing Gold
- Dale Youth A Source Of Pride
- Day Of Housing Activism A Call To Arms
- Dead Man Walking Merrick Cockell
- Dent Coad Parachutes Into
 Notting Dale Air Quality Debate
- DEREGULATION AND THE GRENFELL TOWER FIRE
- Direct Action We Salute The Guiness Trust Occupation In Brixton
- DISPATCHES GRENFELL ACTION GROUP REPLY
- Divide And Rule
- Doing us down!
- Don't Mention The Slum Word
- Doors Wide Open
- EAST 4 WEST A BEAUTIFUL MESSAGE!
- East London March For Homes led by Focus E15
- Edward George And The Great RBKC Planning Dept Fob Off
- Enough Is enough Testerton Walk
- FBU Foam Insulation Banned In Furniture Since 1988
- FBU Responds to upcoming Channel 4 Grenfell Dispatches programme
- Feilding-Mellen RBKC's Social Cleansing Hitman
- Feilding-Mellen Bang To Rights?No Way!
- Feilding-Mellen Versus Longniddry
- Fightin' Talk
- Film released ahead of Fire Door Safety Week
- FIRE DOOR SAFETY WEEK
- Fire Safety Scandal At Lancaster West
- Firefighters Anger At 40% Rise In London Fire Deaths
- FLAMMABLE CLADDING NO UNIVERSAL BAN
- Flies To Wanton Boys
- Flogging A Dead Horse
- Flood at the New Pools
- Focus E 15 Mothers Liberating The Carpenters Estate
- Focus E15 March Against Evictions