## LONDON RESILIENCE PARTNERSHIP HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURED DEBRIEF REPORT

Incident:	Grenfell Tower Fire
Date of Incident:	14 June 2017
Date of Debrief:	12 July 2018
Debrief Location:	Prospero House, 241 Borough High Street, London, SE1 1GA
Debrief Team:	Facilitator: Joanne Hodson Digital Scribe Sandra Broad

Debrief Participants:	No	Name	Incident Role	Organisation
	1	Sandie Tomlinson	Policy & Resilience Staff Officer	Maritime & Coastguard Agency
	2	Bernadette Keane	Director of Operations	Victim Support
	3	Celine Alderson	NHS England London HASAG support	NHS England (London)
	4	Barry Emerson	NHS England London HASAG support	NHS England (London)
	5	Clare Chamberlain	Director of Children's Services	RBKC

듞
ä
õ
2
<del></del>
9
ũ
2
N

6	lan Heggs	Director of Education	RBKC
7	Alex Townsend - Drake	London Resilience Manager	LRG
8	Toby Gould	London Resilience Strategic Advisor	LRG
9	Tony Andrews	Humanitarian Assistance Advisor to HALO	City of Westminster
10	Emma Spragg	Strategic Liaison Gold BRC	British Red Cross
11	Alison Kirk	Representing North Kensington Recovery Director	North West London CCG
Fiona	a Mair	None	LRG Observer

## Debrief Notes:

- > Participants were informed of the ethics of the debrief process
- > For purposes of cross-referencing comments, participants have been allocated a number
- ➤ The structured debrief will allow each participant the opportunity to reflect on their respective organisation's involvement at strategic level in the incident. The key issues around what went well, aspects for improvement, and recommendations will be discussed based on the protocol section headings.

_
Г
П
$\mathbf{\omega}$
0
ŏ
7
_
6
$\boldsymbol{z}$
S
Õ
€

Iter	Item 1: Activation				
Aspe	ects that went well	Comment from	Supported by		
refer	Framework was in draft at the time and had been widely shared so that it became the ence point for the HASAG and SCG. Organisations responded as per their own dual organisational plans before the HASAG was set up.	10, 9 , 5	1, 2, 3, 4,7, 8, 11		
Rece	ent events (e.g. Westminster Bridge) and the consequent network building that took e meant that organisations were aware of each other's capabilities and worked her prior to the establishment of the HASAG	2	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10		
The	community itself set up shelters, assistance centres and rest centres for survivors	9, 2	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11		
Aspects for improvement		Comment from	Supported by		
The	community response is not fully accounted for in the Framework.	9,5	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11		
The f	functions of an SRC were not all replicated in the centres that were set up	9, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10		
The f	functions of a FFRC were not all replicated in the centres that were set up	9, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10		
No.	Recommendations	Comment from	Supported by		
1	Recognise in the Framework that the community itself may set up shelters, assistance centres and rest centres for survivors and the authority of the community groups should be respected. Acknowledge and plan for this type of spontaneous voluntary community response	5, 9	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11		
2	Review the provision, model, capabilities and resources available to set up emergency centres	8	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11		
3	Review the provision of awareness raising, training and familiarisation with the Framework	8	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11		

LFB00119150/4	
915	
915	
915	
915	
915	'n
915	ω
915	ö
915	Ō
915	_
5	
150/4	2
9/4	ᇷ
4	ŏ
	4

Item 2: Information Sharing/Command Control and Coordination				
Aspe	cts that went well	Comment from	Supported by	
	os such as Housing, Donations and Community Engagement were set up	7	2, 3, 4, 8	
Local	authority Directors of Public Health were involved in the response	9	2, 4, 7, 8, 10	
Aspe	cts for improvement	Comment from	Supported by	
The c	coordination organogram was not shared widely with all organisations	8	2, 4, 3, 7, 9	
coord	s difficult to respond operationally to the requests from different channels because the lination structure and who was in charge of various elements of the response was not to some organisations	5	3, 4, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10	
	munity Engagement, Donations and Housing were treated as distinct groups which ed problems with information sharing and connectivity between groups	7	2, ,3 4, 8, 9, 10	
The second secon	rmal data sharing agreements are place, however the NHS and CCG's do have ples of good practice which could be more widely shared	8, 3	1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 10	
Inforr	nation management was not effectively coordinated	7	2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10	
No.	Recommendations	Comment from	Supported by	
1	Share the coordination organogram	8	1,2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	
2	There needs to be formal communication lines between groups so that HASAG has a clear overview	7	1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10	
3	Include Local Authority Directors of Public Health in the suggested invitation list for the HASAG and include their role and responsibilities in the Framework	9,3	1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10	
4	Adopt and modify the work done on information sharing in response to the incidents in 2017	4	1, 2, 7, 8, 9	
5	The Framework needs to reflect the clarity with regard to the use of Helplines, online resources and related communications.	9	1,2,3, 4,7, 8, 10	

г
П
W
õ
$\boldsymbol{\Xi}$
$\preceq$
$\overline{}$
=
Ó
_
S
0
ठा

Item 3: Impact Assessment				
Aspe	cts that went well	Comment from	Supported by	
	HASAG sent out the humanitarian impact assessment template for completion and the nation was returned to Public Health for collation	9	3, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10	
Aspe	cts for improvement	Comment from	Supported by	
	Il organisations understood how the humanitarian impact assessment template d be completed or its purpose.	9	2, 3, 4, 8	
	pletion, collation and analysis of the humanitarian impact assessment template is arce intensive and collation is not always timely.	10, 9	2, 3, 4, 7, 8	
No.	Recommendations	Comment from	Supported by	
1	Review the purpose, process, resourcing and ownership of the humanitarian impact assessment to ensure it helps to meet the needs of the people affected by the incident.	8	All	

Item 4: Themes for future discussion				
	Comment from	Supported by		
Managing donations and offers of support	7	1,3, 4, 8, 9, 10		
Communication, consultation and engagement with the affected people and wider community	7	1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10		
Schools as a focus for various activities e.g. emotional support, advice, communication etc.	6, 9	2,3,4, 7, 8,		
Need to integrate the support given to affected people e.g. housing, emotional, social, financial	9	1,2, 3, 4, 7, 10		
Psychosocial provision	3	1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10		
The impact of the media and social media both opportunities and challenges	3	1, 2, 4, 7, 9,10		
Daily guidance pack for all front line humanitarian assistance staff	8	2,3, 1, 7, 9, 10		