

---

**From:** Grenfell Tower Leaseholder's Association  
<grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk>  
**Sent:** 02 July 2013 17:32  
**To:** Judith Blakeman  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; cllr.atkinson@rbkc.gov.uk; cllr.holt@rbkc.gov.uk  
**Subject:** Grenfell Tower "IMPROVEMENT"  
**Attachments:** GTLA LEAFLETS01 REF GTRP 08.06.13.pdf; GTLA LEAFLETS02 REF GTRP 08.06.13.pdf; TMO LEAFLETS GTRP01 14.05.13.pdf; TMO LEAFLETS GTRP02 14.05.13.pdf

Dear Councillor Blakeman,

Thank you very much for your email dated 24<sup>th</sup> June 2013, it was much appreciated.

Any meeting which takes place without yourself or any of your colleagues is neither constructive nor meaningful and leads to nowhere. We are here to serve the community. We also believe that you have significant local knowledge, and have contributed to the community for many years. You are unlike others including the new director of asset and regeneration of KCTMO Mr. Peter Maddison.

**Catastrophic power surges at Grenfell Tower during May 2013**

We wait to obtain a full report as requested by you from the KCTMO before we prepare our robust response. Mr Francis O'Conner of the Grenfell Action group already provided you with an early response in relation to the RBKC briefing.

In relation to the TMO consultation process in relation to GTRP dated 17<sup>th</sup> June 2013, Mr Peter Maddison claimed it was well attended by around 25 residents (out of 120 families of GT and two or three persons may have attended from the same family according to our knowledge) and had a good discussion about the design of the scheme and the likely timescale for the project. The reality and fact of the matter is that 25 residents attended to demand an answer about the catastrophic power charges and replacement of their damaged and essential items immediately. The resident used that as an opportunity to express their anger and frustration and that is a natural reaction to this situation.

As you know, Mr Paul Dunkerton refused to hold a residents meeting in relation to the catastrophic power surges, despite leading a so called tenant led organisation. He informed he needed more time and we are yet to hear from him. We intend to deal with the matter of the power surges once more, when we obtain a full report from the TMO as to what exactly happened and what went wrong, despite repeated warnings by us for the period of May 2013.

**The Grenfell Tower regeneration project and delaying tactics**

The residents of GT have no trust in their so called consultation process, for the simple fact that they have seen and attended many drop in sessions in the past. But nothing has resulted from it. The TMO did not try to explain as to why they are delaying the project and why the TMO had to withdraw the planning application six times in eight months. They successfully managed to avoid this fundamental question intentionally.

It is beyond us why the KCTMO want to go through a tendering procedures to find a new contractor to deliver the GTRP, when the contractor and funding were approved by the RBKC. As stated in the minutes in the meeting between TMO/RBKC, "There was regret at the delay on this project, and it was queried who was leading on the project. Confirmation was given by Peter Maddison that he was negotiating with leadbitter on the way forward". We feel that there is a deep-seated flaw in this statement. It has nothing to do with the replacement of the windows at GT, rather window dressing matters to fool the residents of GT.

Please find attached copies of the two leaflets distributed by the TMO and the response to that by the residents of Grenfell Tower for your kind information and necessary action.

1. TMO Leaflet01 14.05.13 & TMO leaflet02 14.05.13
2. GTLA and GTRP01 & 2 dated 08.06.13

Let us reassure you that contrary to Mr Peter Maddison's assertion in relation to 17<sup>th</sup> June consultation process, nearly every resident of Grenfell tower are extremely unhappy and frustrated with the TMO/EMB on how they have handled the recent catastrophic power surges. We believe this matter has not yet been resolved. Furthermore, the serious delaying tactics on GTRP developed by the New Director of asset and regeneration Mr Peter Maddison adds insult to injury.

We intend to provide you with the copy of our evidences before the scrutiny committee meeting take place on 11<sup>th</sup> July 2013 to present to the committee. Our recollection is that you did not only campaign for the much needed academy at North Kensington, you also fought for the much needed replacement of window and heating and hot water system for many years at GT.

Your assistance in this matter as our local councillors is very important to us at this point in time. We believe it is not only our fight that the GTRP should be realised, but you were also very much a part of it from day one. We should not allow, under any circumstances, for the managing agents TMO to destroy this. Best wishes

**Tunde Awoderu**

**The Vice chairman**

**The Grenfell Tower Leaseholder's Association**

\*\*\*\*\*

The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

\*\*\*\*\*