
From: Edward Daffarn [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 April 2013 10:27
To: coleridge k and c
Cc: grenfell leaseholders; teresa miles emb; [REDACTED] Francis verity action group; Colin Leadbitters; camilla horrox chronicle; christine ra; Cllr Mills; laoise hayes; [REDACTED] christine shaylor rifkind; chiefexecutive@adkc.org.uk
Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

This decision is shameful of the Council and will not be taken laying down by our community. A society is often said to be best judged by how they treat their most vulnerable but it seems to me that K and C Council choose to treat the needs of the infirm and disabled of North Kensington as invisible. Please inform me directly if the decision to remove these Rights of Way is compliant with the Equality Act with regards providing access off the Estate for the disabled and infirm? In addition, if you are not now prepared to meet with myself and Mr O'Conner please will you do us the courtesy of directly answering the below three questions originally posed to you on Monday 22nd April:

Following our blog updating residents as to the lack of progress with regards the re-opening of the North/South we challenged you to negotiate the path along Grenfell and Bomore Road's and through Verity Close in a wheelchair.
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/2013/04/14/more-broken-promises-the-wall/>

Please will you communicate to me whether you are willing to accept this challenge and, if not, why not?

Please could you reassure our community that the existing Right of Way running to the immediate North of Grenfell Tower will not be closed without an alternative route being provided for those who cannot manage the stairs on the route to the South of Grenfell Tower?

The closure of the Right of Way to the North of Grenfell Tower will cause much hardship to a community that is already greatly inconvenienced as a result of careless Council planning and disregard for the wellbeing of residents.

Finally, please can you put in writing your assertion at the Residents Forum that you are not in fact Cabinet Minister for Social Cleansing and that the residents on Lancaster West Estate are not to believe the rumour circulating around the Estate that once the Council have built KALC they will set about displacing our community and replacing the finger blocks and other areas of Lancaster West to attract a different type of resident?

Regards,
Edward Daffarn
Grenfell Action Group
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [REDACTED]
CC: laura.johnson@rbkc.gov.uk; sue.miller@rbkc.gov.uk
Date: Fri, 26 Apr 2013 04:36:48 -0400

Dear Eddie

Thank you for your recent e-mails.

The position of the footpaths being closed during the building of the new school and leisure centre has been explained to you on numerous occasions. They have been closed after obtaining all the necessary permissions to do so. Whilst we had hoped to

keep the North /south route open for at least some of the time it is has not proved possible to do so. The technical reasons have been explained to you by Leadbitters at the Residents Forum.

The cost to make the temporary path safe for just over one year would be £186,000 and this can not be justified as a good use of public money. The high costs are due to the necessary manning of the path that would be crossed by articulated lorries. Building sites are potentially very dangerous places and there are serious concerns about public safety on a footpath that crosses a site with operation lorries crossing the path. The cost would have to come from the KALC budget, and I think residents would rather this was spent on permanent landscaping or a better leisure centre, rather than a temporary footpath that would only last 12-15 months. The suggestion by Cllr Blakeman to use funds from the "car park reserve account" is not possible as these funds are for permanent improvements and not for a temporary solution.

As works progress we will ask Leadbitters to review the pathway situation in terms of public safety, and agree it is desirable to open when safe to do so. However it will not be possible in the near future.

The plans for the future include a much better permanent North/South footpath and Station walk will also be reopened as soon as works are finished next year.

Sincerely

Cllr Coleridge

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Daffarn [REDACTED]
To: coleridge k and c [REDACTED]
CC: Francis verity action group [REDACTED]
Sent: Thu, 25 Apr 2013 20:11
Subject: FW: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,
Please can you do me the courtesy of responding to my emails dated 22nd and 24th April so that we can then provide our community with answers to the questions we have posed.

I would like to remind you that our "Rights of Way" have been closed for almost three months now causing much resentment among residents who do not believe that our basic rights and well-being should be so heartlessly sacrificed at the shiny altar of KALC.

You should also be aware that the Council appear to be openly flaunting the Equality Act by forcing disabled members of our community on a impossible trek just to reach the other side of our Estate.

Regards,

Edward Daffarn

Grenfell Action Group

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Footpath through KALC site?
Date: Wed, 24 Apr 2013 13:28:48 +0100

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

I understand that these must be busy times for you.

If, therefore, it is not possible to meet with Mr O'Conner and myself later this week please would you be kind enough to supply us with a written answer to the questions originally posed in my email dated 22nd April.

Thank you for your continued assistance.

Regards,
Edward Daffarn
Grenfell Action Group
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 2013 08:55:49 +0100

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly (as always) and I am sorry it has taken me a little while to reply to your email.

I have now, however, had an opportunity to speak with my colleague, Francis O'Conner and we would like to request that we be afforded the opportunity to meet with you together.

Mr O'Conner's [REDACTED] this present time and, for this reason, we would request that the our meeting take place in the latter part of the afternoon, possibly later this week, at a time of your convenience.

We would be happy to meet you at Baseline Studio's if that is convenient and we would welcome if you would like to balance up the numbers by bringing any one of our neighbouring Conservative Councillor's from Norland to accompany you.

Please drop me a line and let us know what would be a convenient date and time for you and we can take things from there? I have an appointment at 5.00pm on Thursday but am free apart from that commitment.

With respect, after the meeting, we would still like to request that you supply us with a written response to the questions posed to you in yesterday's email and any other matters that we might add to the agenda. This allows us to keep a record of what has been agreed and what not.

Lastly, it was heartbreaking to see the innocent children from the Grenfell Under 3 Creche being forced to spend their break time yesterday, outside, forced to play among the concrete stairs in the communal thoroughfare (an area that is sometimes fouled with dog excrement and litter) to the South of Grenfell Tower as a result of having their play space in the playground removed without any thought for the consequences.

We have taken photos of this ill-treatment to the younger members of our community and will shame the Council and those elected to represent us by publishing them on our blog and sending them to the Kensington and Chelsea Chronicle. We will also be raising this matter with our MP as further evidence that the well being of residents was never considered as part of the KALC project.

Regards,
Edward Daffarn
Grenfell Action Group
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, 22 Apr 2013 03:45:47 -0400

Dear Eddie

I would be pleased to meet with you to discuss the issues raised below. let me know when might be a convenient time. Wednesday morning after 11.00 am would be good for me.

Tim C

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Daffarn [REDACTED]
To: coleridge k and c [REDACTED]
CC: grenfell leaseholders <grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk>; teresa miles emb [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] jm [REDACTED] Francis verity action group [REDACTED]
Colin Leadbitters <colinchiles@leadbitter.co.uk>; camilla horrox chronicle <camilla.horrox@trinitymirror.com>;
christine ra [REDACTED] Cllr Mills <cldr.mills@rbkc.com>; laoise hayes [REDACTED]
damesathome [REDACTED] christine shaylor rifkind <shaylorc@parliament.uk>; sue.miller
<sue.miller@rbkc.gov.uk>

Sent: Mon, 22 Apr 2013 8:36
Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

It is difficult to concentrate with the noise of the KALC construction project raging outside my window so please accept my apologies if I keep this email short and to the point.

Please will you answer the following questions directly for the Grenfell Action Group:

What progress has been made since the Residents Forum held on 10th April with regards the re-opening of a North/South route across the KALC construction site?

Have you progressed Councillor Blakeman's suggestion that the money required be taken from the funds raised by the Council's parking and traffic departments?

Following our blog updating residents as to the lack of progress with regards the re-opening of the North/South we challenged you to negotiate the path along Grenfell and Bomore Road's and through Verity Close in a wheelchair.

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/2013/04/14/more-broken-promises-the-wall/>

Please will you communicate to me whether you are willing to accept this challenge and, if not, why not?

Please could you reassure our community that the existing Right of Way running to the immediate North of Grenfell Tower will not be closed without an alternative route being provided for those who cannot manage the stairs on the route to the South of Grenfell Tower?

The closure of the Right of Way to the North of Grenfell Tower will cause much hardship to a community that is already greatly inconvenienced as a result of careless Council planning and disregard for the wellbeing of residents.

Finally, please can you put in writing your assertion at the Residents Forum that you are not in fact Cabinet Minister for Social Cleansing and that the residents on Lancaster West Estate are not to believe the rumour circulating around the Estate that once the Council have built KALC they will set about displacing our community and replacing the finger blocks and other areas of Lancaster West to attract a different type of resident.

Regards,

Edward Daffarn

Grenfell Action Group

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, 8 Apr 2013 14:40:58 -0400

I will as soon as I know myself. I am waiting to see what came of a meeting last Friday with Leadbitters. I wont go into it here, but there are complications over the NS route which relates to safety due to the necessity for some heavy vehicles having to cross the NS route to reach the leisure centre site , and I am doing my best to get them resolved. Please bare with us.

TC

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Daffarn [REDACTED]
To: coleridge k and c [REDACTED]
CC: Francis verity action group [REDACTED]
Sent: Mon, 8 Apr 2013 18:03
Subject: FW: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

Thank you for your earlier response with regards Grenfell Tower.

Please could you also supply me with a written answer to the questions that I have posed to you with regards our North/South route in my email dated Friday 5th April.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Regards,

Edward Daffarn

Grenfell Action Group

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
CC: grenfelleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk; [REDACTED]

[redacted] colinchiles@leadbitter.co.uk; camilla.horrox@trinitymirror.com; [redacted]
cllr.mills@rbkc.com; [redacted] shaylorc@parliament.uk

Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?

Date: Fri, 5 Apr 2013 08:09:42 +0100

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

Another week has passed and despite your assurances that this matter was being addressed our North/South "Right of Way" still remains firmly closed.

Following your email last week, members of the Grenfell Action Group met with Leadbitters and we were informed that the constructors are "awaiting instruction from the Council" before they can proceed to provide residents with a North/South route.

Leadbitters have stated that the delay in re-opening our Right of Way is **not** related to Health and Safety issues but due to the fact that K and C Council have not authorised the finance needed to provide a path.

Leadbitters assertion that they have already ordered the hoardings and are able and ready to go with providing residents with a North/South route is, therefore, at odds with the explanation that you and the Council have been using to justify the continuing closure of this route.

So, Councillor Coleridge, if this matter is no longer a Health and Safety issue then please can you inform us, without further delay, when the Council will honour the commitments they have given to the community and provide residents with access back up to Ladbroke Grove/Portobello Road?

The Lancaster West community feels abandoned and betrayed by those we have elected to protect our well being and the continuing closure of our access to Ladbroke Grove/Portobello Road is deeply humiliating and inconvenient for residents and brings much shame onto the Council and it's quislings.

Regards,

Edward Daffarn

Grenfell Action Group

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [redacted]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [redacted]
Date: Thu, 28 Mar 2013 12:19:38 -0400

Eddie

Leadbitters are working on a plan as we speak. I met with them earlier this week to see what the difficulty seems to be. There are a number of complications, but I am endeavouring to put heads together to overcome them. I had hoped to hear the proposed plan today, but Leadbitters tell me they will have one early next week. A decision will then be taken.

above all it has to be safe.

Tim

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Daffarn [redacted]

To: coleridge k and c [redacted]

CC: grenfell leaseholders <grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk>; teresa miles emb [redacted]

[redacted] jm [redacted] Francis verity action group [redacted]

Colin Leadbitters <colinchiles@leadbitter.co.uk>; camilla horrox chronicle <camilla.horrox@trinitymirror.com>;

christine ra [redacted] Cllr Mills <cllr.mills@rbkc.com>; laoise hayes [redacted]

damesathome [redacted]

Sent: Thu, 28 Mar 2013 15:36

Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

This is becoming a very repetitive and humiliating question to have to keep posing to you but please can you inform our community when the promised North/South route will re-open across the KALC site?

You have previously explained that your Council Officers have been working hard to address this issue but we have subsequently found out that this is was untrue and that you and your officers have in fact spent a long time doing absolutely nothing to address the suffering and inconvenience caused by the removal of our Right of Way.

To evidence this I have sent you an extract of an email sent by Laura Johnson that states that your Officers have far more important tasks on their hands rather than look after the genuine wellbeing of our community:

"We are currently discussing with Leadbitters opening a North/South route through the site to allow the residents of Grenfell Tower and the adjacent blocks to cut across the site and ease access to Ladbroke Grove, however this has taken second place to agreeing the final contract price for the Leisure Centre and public realm and signing the contract for the Academy both of which have been very time consuming for all parties"

SO, PLEASE STOP FOBGING OFF OUR COMMUNITY WITH MISINFORMATION AND TELL US WHEN THIS ISSUE WILL INDEED BECOME A PRIORITY AND OUR NORTH/SOUTH ROUTE WILL ACTUALLY OPEN?

You once accused me of having an agenda, and you are correct that the Grenfell Action Group and it's members do indeed have an agenda. It is an agenda that includes giving residents a vehicle and voice to help try and protect the resources on Lancaster West Estate, to resist social cleansing and seek future investment in our homes.

As far as I am aware campaigning for better housing conditions is not a crime or something one should be made to feel guilty about unless, seemingly, practised in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.

However, your "agenda" accusation got me thinking and I am wondering now if it is in fact not you, Councillor Coleridge, that has an agenda- an agenda that maybe involves an element of revenge by punishing our community for the crime of trying to stand up for ourselves and protecting our resources, rights of way, green spaces, football pitches, etc.

Or, perhaps, it is one that includes the belief that because we live in social housing, without power and without access to expensive lawyers, we don't deserve to be treated like proper human beings?

Alternatively, it could be argued that your agenda and that of your Council quislings seems to be a mandate to inappropriately oppress all legitimate protest to KALC, personally smear any individuals who have the temerity to oppose your shiny new toy and humiliate and denigrate the wider community by denying us basic access off the Estate, while forcing us to live unprotected in slum like conditions in the middle of a noisy and polluted building site.

You should be aware that the treatment of our community and the lack of action from the Council and our elected Councillors to address our legitimate concerns is leading to growing resentment and anger on the Estate and it's environs by residents who feel that they have been completely abandoned and betrayed by the very people we elect to help protect and represent us.

But then again why should you, the Council or our local Councillors bother dealing with any of our legitimate complaints when the Council and those involved with KALC decided a long, long time ago that it is more productive and expedient to just attack the complainant rather than deal with the actual issues causing concern?

Might is right and oppression rules when it comes to K and C Council's treatment of resident's concerns on Lancaster West. This brings great shame on the Council and those involved with KALC for making us live with this humiliation and for not even bothering to care about the hardship and misery caused to ordinary residents of our Estate!

In sadness,
Edward Daffarn
Grenfell Action Group
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [REDACTED]
CC: laura.johnson@rbkc.gov.uk
Date: Mon, 18 Mar 2013 07:54:24 -0400

Officers and Leadbitters are working on this issue. We will have a response as soon as we can. You will understand that it is complicated as the path is close to where work needs to be done.

Cllr Coleridge

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Daffarn [REDACTED]
To: coleridge k and c [REDACTED]
CC: grenfell leaseholders <grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk>; teresa miles emb [REDACTED] jm [REDACTED] Francis verity action group [REDACTED]
Colin Leadbitters <colinchiles@leadbitter.co.uk>; camilla horrox chronicle <camilla.horrox@trinitymirror.com>; christine ra [REDACTED] Cllr Mills <cllr.mills@rbkc.com>; christine shaylor rifkind <shaylorc@parliament.uk>
Sent: Mon, 18 Mar 2013 10:19
Subject: RE: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

A week has passed since I received your last email and the ongoing closure of our North/South path continues to cause much upset and disruption to the lives of residents of Lancaster West Estate and it's environs. It has now been seven weeks since our Rights of Way up to Ladbroke Grove and Portobello Road were removed and there is growing anger among ordinary members of our community at this continuing ill-treatment and disregard for our well-being. We were previously informed that the North/South route would be re-opened on Tuesday 12th March but this date now appears to have been complete fiction concocted by the Council and it's quislings to mislead and quieten residents protests. With respect, please can you clarify for us as to when the North/South route will re-open and some dignity be returned to our Estate?

Regards,
Edward Daffarn
Grenfell Action Group
<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
From: [REDACTED]
Date: Wed, 13 Mar 2013 06:14:19 -0400

The answer Eddie is we will let you know as soon as we can.

I am sure you understand that keeping a building site safe is paramount. Some work will need to be done extremely close to where we would all like to see the footpath open as soon as possible and when practicable. Our officers have this in hand and we will let you know as soon as it is clear what can and can not be done. As you can imagine I am not qualified to comment further on this as exactly where the works need to take place at any given time is in Leadbitters hands.

regards

Tim C

-----Original Message-----

From: Eddie daffarn [REDACTED]
To: coleridge k and c [REDACTED]
CC: grenfell leaseholders <grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk>; teresa miles emb [REDACTED] jm [REDACTED] Francis verity action group [REDACTED]
Colin Leadbitters <colinchiles@leadbitter.co.uk>; camilla horrox chronicle <camilla.horrox@trinitymirror.com>;
christine ra [REDACTED]
Sent: Tue, 12 Mar 2013 21:21
Subject: FW: Footpath through KALC site?

Dear Councillor Coleridge,

Please can you inform our community, without any further delay, when the commitment to re provide our North/South route (scheduled for re-opening on Tuesday 12th March) will be honoured?

Kind regards,

Edward Daffarn

Grenfell Action Group.

<http://grenfellactiongroup.wordpress.com/>

> From: ColinChiles@Leadbitter.co.uk

> To: [REDACTED]

> CC: [REDACTED] grenfellleaseholdersassociation@hotmail.co.uk; [REDACTED]

> Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?

> Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2013 13:14:18 +0000

>

> Francis

> I have ordered all materials in good faith (and they are due this week) but have not received an instruction. I am therefore contractually bound to wait.

> Regards

> Colin C

>

> On 12 Mar 2013, at 13:09, "Francis O'Connor" [REDACTED] wrote:

>

> > Dear Colin,

> >

> > It is not necessarily "news" that I am seeking,. The verbal feedback we
> > received from the Community Forum was that you personally had made
> > the announcement of your intention to re-provide a footpath in the vicinity
> > of the original north/south right-of-way. We would assume that any such
> > announcement made at the Community Foun would have been agreed between RBKC
> > and Leadbitters before it was publicly announced in this way

> >

> > I wrote seeking written confirmation of this - along with any additional
> > detail you might be able to provide.

> >

> > Can you confirm that you did indeed make the announcement in question and
> > that it was intended as a firm offer to be followed through within
> > approximately three weeks from that date?

> >

> > If you won't confirm this, then perhaps Cllrs Blakeman and Coleridge will be
> > kind enough to do so.

> >

> > I think they were both present at the meeting in question.

> >

> > Francis O'Connor

> >

> >

> >

> > ----- Original Message ----- From: "Colin Chiles" <ColinChiles@Leadbitter.co.uk>

> > To: "Francis O'Connor" [REDACTED]

> > Cc: "Eddie Daffarn" [REDACTED] "Teresa Miles"

> > [REDACTED] "Tim Coleridge" [REDACTED] "Judith

> > Blakeman" [REDACTED]

> > Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 7:34 AM
> > Subject: Re: Footpath through KALC site?
> >
> >
> > Dear Francis
> > Unfortunately I have not been able to respond as I have no news. Without an
> > instruction from RBKC I am unable to progress the temporary footway works as
> > neither station walk nor N/S temporary path are within my contract. As is
> > often the case these days it is a question of liabilities which are
> > preventing expeditious resolution of this issue.
> > Rgds
> > Colin C
> >
> > On 12 Mar 2013, at 02:40, "Francis O'Connor"
> > [REDACTED]?mailto:[REDACTED]>> wrote:
> >
> > Dear Colin,
> >
> > So far you have not answered my query below of 7th March. It seems to me
> > that this was a perfectly reasonable query, and I am therefore at a loss to
> > understand what difficulty you might have had in providing some simple
> > straightforward answers to the questions I posed.
> >
> > I would be obliged if you would kindly respond without further delay.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Francis O'Connor
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Francis O'Connor<?mailto:[REDACTED]>;
> > To: Colin Chiles<?mailto:ColinChiles@Leadbitter.co.uk>;
> > Cc: Eddie Daffarn<?mailto:[REDACTED]>; ; Teresa
> > Miles<?mailto:[REDACTED]>; ; Judith
> > Blakeman<?mailto:[REDACTED]>;
> > Sent: Thursday, March 07, 2013 8:45 PM
> > Subject: Footpath through KALC site?
> >
> > Dear Colin,
> >
> > We received verbal feedback from the last KALC Community Forum that you had
> > announced your intention to reprovide a footpath for pedestrian access
> > through the KALC site in the vicinity of the old north/south right-of-way,
> > and that you hoped to provide this within approximately three weeks from the
> > date of the forum meeting (19th February). This would suggest the opening of
> > the new footpath on or about Tuesday 12th March.
> >
> > I am writing now to ask you to confirm that you do still intend to provide
> > such a footpath and to ask whether the target date is still 16th March -
> > unfortunately we can see no obvious signs of any preparations by your team
> > for the provision of this footpath consistent with that target date.
> >
> > Any additional details of this plan which you can provide would also be very
> > welcome.
> >
> > For example, will it be a 'semi-permanent' footpath, or will it be subject
> > to closure whenever you judged closure necessary to facilitate passage
> > between the academy site and the leisure centre site, for movement of
> > equipment or materials between the two sites etc?
> >
> > Yours sincerely,
> >
> > Francis O'Connor
> >
> > IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ

> > The information in this e-mail is confidential and intended to be
> > solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may
> > contain copyright and/or legally privileged information. If you
> > are not the intended recipient (or responsible for
> > delivery of the message to the addressee) please delete the
> > message from your computer; copying, distribution,
> > use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited. All
> > information is 'without prejudice' and is not necessarily
> > the view of the company. Leadbitter do not accept liability for
> > any damage resulting from software viruses.
> >
> > Please note that for business purposes Leadbitter and each of its
> > subsidiaries reserve the right to monitor all e-mail
> > communications through its networks.
> >
> > JB Leadbitter & Co (trading as Leadbitter) is a company
> > registered in England and Wales. Company Number 1018727
> > Registered Office: Grange Court, Abingdon Science Park, Abingdon,
> > Oxfordshire, OX143NB
> >
> >
> > -----
> >
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> > Version: 9.0.932 / Virus Database: 2641.1.1/5665 - Release Date: 03/11/13
> > 21:51:00
> >
>
> IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ
> The information in this e-mail is confidential and intended to be
> solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may
> contain copyright and/or legally privileged information. If you
> are not the intended recipient (or responsible for
> delivery of the message to the addressee) please delete the
> message from your computer; copying, distribution,
> use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited. All
> information is 'without prejudice' and is not necessarily
> the view of the company. Leadbitter do not accept liability for
> any damage resulting from software viruses.
>
> Please note that for business purposes Leadbitter and each of its
> subsidiaries reserve the right to monitor all e-mail
> communications through its networks.
>
> JB Leadbitter & Co (trading as Leadbitter) is a company
> registered in England and Wales. Company Number 1018727
> Registered Office: Grange Court, Abingdon Science Park, Abingdon,
> Oxfordshire, OX143NB