
From: Claire Williams <clwilliams@kctmo.org.uk>
Sent: 31 October 2013 13:21
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Peter Maddison; Kitty.Mortimer@rbkc.gov.uk; ruth angel (ruth.angel@rbkc.gov.uk)
Subject: RE: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Councillor Blakeman

I am the new project manager at the TMO who will be taking the scheme on site. We did meet recently, at the last evening meeting with the residents of Grenfell House.

The outstanding issue on getting planning approval is the need for the Unilateral Undertaking, which is subject to a Key Decision Report at RBKC.

This has not delayed the programme of the Grenfell refurbishment, which is still as published, ie:

Out to tender November 2013
Tender return: January 2014
Contractor selection and mobilisation: Feb onwards
Start on site: Spring- April/May 2014
Works duration: assumed to be about 14 months

A newsletter is due to be published next week to update residents, so I will make sure that you get a copy of this at early doors.

I note there is an email set up to deal with any queries, which is: grenfellregeneration@kctmo.org.uk

Regards

Claire Williams
Project Manager



t: [REDACTED]
m: [REDACTED]
a: The Network Hub, 292a Kensal Road, London, W10 5BE
 Before printing, please think about the environment

From: Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk [mailto:Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk]
Sent: 31 October 2013 09:33
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Peter Maddison; Claire Williams; Kitty.Mortimer@rbkc.gov.uk
Subject: RE: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Councillor Blakeman,

The items in the Unilateral Undertaking are:

To ensure that the affordable housing is only used as affordable housing;
To bind us to deliver the new boxing club and nursery at the same time as the new affordable housing.
In addition it is to set out the payments for education and health – sadly under the Council's planning policy these payment are still required for new affordable housing although we have managed to negotiate a slightly lower contribution in this case precisely because of the wider community benefits that the scheme will bring.

By way of this email I would ask colleagues at KCTMO to respond regarding the programme of work.

Yours sincerely,

Ruth Angel

From: J BLAKEMAN [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 October 2013 16:59
To: Angel, Ruth: HS-Housing
Cc: Johnson, Laura: HS-Housing
Subject: Re: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Mrs. Angel

Thank you. I am confused as to why a Section 106 is needed since this is a refurbishment of social housing plus the creation of additional social housing units plus a new nursery (education) plus a new boxing club (health) - all of which are within the public sector and constitute a gain for the community. The overall KALC project did not need a Section 106, other than the bit for transport, since it was a public sector development. Why has this changed for Grenfell tower?

I am concerned at the "not before" date as well. The TMO has tried to get planning permission for this since last September and it keeps being knocked back. It is no wonder that residents are saying that this is never going to happen.

I am in the front line and I am being called a liar for promising the refurbishment. This is not fair.

Cllr. Judith Blakeman

From: "Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk" <Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 30 October 2013, 16:51
Subject: RE: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Councillor Blakeman,

Yes, I do. Its just a legal technicality that when it is with one part of the Council and the Planning Department, it is a Unilateral Undertaking rather than a S106 agreement, but the terms will be exactly the same.

Ruth Angel

From: J BLAKEMAN [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 October 2013 16:49
To: Angel, Ruth: HS-Housing
Cc: Shew, Judith: CP-Gov; Mortimer, Kitty: HS-Housing; clwilliams@kctmo.org.uk; Johnson, Laura: HS-Housing
Subject: Re: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Mrs. Angel

Do you mean a Section 106 agreement?

Cllr. Judith Blakeman

From: "Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk" <Ruth.Angel@rbkc.gov.uk>

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: Judith.Shew@rbkc.gov.uk; Kitty.Mortimer@rbkc.gov.uk; clwilliams@kctmo.org.uk; Laura.Johnson@rbkc.gov.uk

Sent: Wednesday, 30 October 2013, 16:38

Subject: FW: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Councillor Blakeman,

As you may be aware KCTMO have submitted the planning application for the work to Grenfell Tower. This is being considered at the moment. Before planning approval can be granted, it is necessary to agree to certain conditions, mainly contributions towards education and health. This legal agreement is attached to the land, and therefore the land owner must be party to the agreement.

The proposed key decision is seeking formal approval to bind the land to the required conditions so that the planning application can proceed.

Yours sincerely,

Ruth Angel

From: Cabinet Coordinator

Sent: 30 October 2013 09:15

To: Angel, Ruth: HS-Housing

Cc: Cllr. Blakeman, Judith

Subject: FW: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Dear Ruth,

Can you please respond to Cllr Blakeman directly with more information on this Key Decision?

Thank you.

Regards

Judith Shew

From: J BLAKEMAN [REDACTED]

Sent: 30 October 2013 07:09

To: Cabinet Coordinator

Subject: Re: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

Please may I have more information about this proposed KD?

Many thanks.

Cllr. Judith Blakeman

From: "cabinet.coordinator@rbkc.gov.uk" <cabinet.coordinator@rbkc.gov.uk>

To: cabinet.coordinator@rbkc.gov.uk

Sent: Tuesday, 29 October 2013, 23:00

Subject: RBKC Key Decisions 29/10/2013

RBKC KEY DECISIONS TRACKING

=====

New and updated decisions, and decisions implemented as at 29 October 2013

Contact: cabinet.coordinator@rbkc.gov.uk - [REDACTED]
? contains exempt information. Summary available on request.

SCHEDULED

=====

Title: Grenfell Tower Planning Application-permission to enter into a Unilateral Undertaking
Decision No: 04127/13/H/A
Decision Rating: **
Detail: The report seeks permission to enter into a Unilateral Undertaking in connection with the planning application to create new homes in void spaces at Grenfell Tower.

Contact Officer: Ruth Angel
Decision maker: Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing, Property and Regeneration
Main consultees: None
Date to be implemented: Not before 13 December 2013
Current status: Scheduled
Report available: No
Scrutiny committee: Housing and Property Scrutiny Committee
Decision URL: <http://www.rbkc.gov.uk/howwegovern/keydecisions/decision.asp?DecisionID=4127>

The Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea.
This e-mail may contain information which is confidential,
legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail
is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in
error, please contact the sender and delete the material
from your computer.

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared by **MailMarshal**

DISCLAIMER:

This E-mail and any files transmitted with are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the System Administrator. This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Kensington & Chelsea TMO Ltd. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any

attachments for the presence of viruses. Kensington & Chelsea TMO Ltd accepts no liability for any damage caused by any Virus transmitted by this email.