
From: Neil Crawford
Sent: 28 October 2014 11:13
To: M.Smith@maxfordham.com
Cc: Bruce Sounes; slawrence@rydon.co.uk; d.campbell@maxfordham.com
Subject: RE: Grenfell Tower Regeneration Project Revised Window Elevation Proposal
Attachments: 1279-SK110 Reduced Windows.dgn.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: 1279 Grenfell

Matt

Please see attached version showing the reduced window width but maintaining the same sized purge window as requested for your comparative study.

Regards
Neil

From: Neil Crawford
Sent: 28 October 2014 10:11
To: 'M.Smith@maxfordham.com'
Cc: Bruce Sounes; slawrence@rydon.co.uk; d.campbell@maxfordham.com
Subject: RE: Grenfell Tower Regeneration Project Revised Window Elevation Proposal

Matt

As far as I can tell in removing the louvres the TMO invalidated the fall risk as I understood the purge window may require to be opened fully behind this rather than just tilting, or are we saying the purge can be achieved just through tilting in which case can't you just tilt the larger window? Anyway I can provide the alternative with the same size purge windows maintained within the overall openings for comparative study but I think the view here is that the proportions don't look great.

Regards
Neil

From: M.Smith@maxfordham.com [<mailto:M.Smith@maxfordham.com>]
Sent: 28 October 2014 09:40
To: Neil Crawford
Cc: Bruce Sounes; slawrence@rydon.co.uk; d.campbell@maxfordham.com
Subject: Re: Grenfell Tower Regeneration Project Revised Window Elevation Proposal

Morning Neil

I've just had chance to look at your elevations this morning. Perhaps I wasn't as clear as I thought at the meeting last week - if the small 'purge' window is removed then the large remaining window will likely need to be in the 'turn' position for a considerable amount of time in summer. I can't imagine the TMO would be happy with this as it presents a significant fall risk.

We'll re-run the IES model with the new window areas but it would perhaps be good to have an elevation option that retains this purge window as well for comparison. I'm trying to get some resource to do this but would expect it to take a couple of days or so to rebuild and re-run so I wouldn't want to be going through this process twice.

Kind regards,

Matt

MAX FORDHAM

42-43 Gloucester Crescent

London

NW1 7PE

T [REDACTED]
F [REDACTED]

maxfordham.com

Max Fordham LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership. Registered in England and Wales Number OC300026
Registered office 42-43 Gloucester Crescent, London, NW1 7PE

From: Neil Crawford <Neil@studioe.co.uk>
To: "M.Smith@maxfordham.com" <M.Smith@maxfordham.com>,
Cc: "slawrence@rydon.co.uk" <slawrence@rydon.co.uk>, Bruce Sounes <bruce@studioe.co.uk>
Date: 27/10/2014 17:55
Subject: Grenfell Tower Regeneration Project Revised Window Elevation Proposal

Matt

Please find attached elevations showing our current thoughts on the revised window openings to Grenfell Tower. I have included two proposed elevations, one for the East/ West and one for the North/ South and placed these beside the consented elevations for comparison. The windows have been simplified, reduced to fit into the existing window apertures with the side window amalgamated into one larger one- see drawing. The intention is the reduced window openings would track down to the low level facades also.

We need to be clear on the implications this will have on the following areas in order to report back to the TMO to allow them to make an informed choice on their preferred scheme;

- Overheating
- Ventilation
- Day Lighting

I will call to discuss tomorrow to agree how this is best presented.

Regards
Neil

Neil Crawford
Associate

For and on behalf of
STUDIOEARCHITECTS LTD



Queen's Award for Enterprise: Sustainable Development 2010
BCSE Award School Architect of the Year 2008 & 2010
BCSE Award Inspiring Design Primary School 2008 & Academy 2010
BSF Award Excellence in Student Engagement 2009
Sustainable City Award 2009



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

ELECTRONIC INFORMATION TRANSFER DISCLAIMER

This email and any files transmitted with it are sent for and on behalf of Studio E LLP and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. All attached files are copyright and may only be used for the purpose stated in the drawing status box. In the event that the files are altered in anyway, it is a condition of use that the Studio E name and logo be removed from the modified file, unless prior written agreement has been obtained. Studio E will assume no responsibility for the accuracy, adequacy, and integrity of the files, and recommends that the files be thoroughly screened for viruses prior to installation. Opinions, conclusions and other information expressed in this message are not given or endorsed by Studio E LLP unless otherwise indicated by an authorised representative independent of this message.

[attachment "1279-SK109 Reduced Windows.dgn.pdf" deleted by Matt Smith/MaxFordham]