## Message

From: Claire Williams ["/O=KC TMO/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CLWILLIAMS"]

**Sent**: 06/05/2014 17:00:07

To: David Gibson ["/O=KC TMO/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Dgibson"]

CC: Peter Maddison ["/O=KC TMO/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Pmaddison"]

**Subject**: FW: Grenfell Tower Planners Meeting - Thursday

Attachments: image001.jpg; image002.jpg; image003.jpg; image004.png; image005.png; image006.png; image007.png

David

Our session with the planners re the cladding is this Thursday.

Simon Lawrence of Rydon has just sent through the briefing below, and I note in the final paragraph his comment re the planning discharge (8 weeks on) being the only point at which you can get certainty on the cladding costs.

I think we will have to take a view based on our response on Thursday, and perhaps get Laura to bring pressure to bear to see if we can get some reassurance prior to the final approval. Otherwise our contract sum will be hanging out until the early July potentially.

Is this likely/possible?

Claire Williams Project Manager



a: The Network Hub, 292a Kensal Road, London, W10 5BE

P Before printing, please think about the environment

From: Simon Lawrence [mailto:slawrence@rydon.co.uk]

Sent: 06 May 2014 15:51

To: Claire Williams; Marc Watterson; BOOTH Philip; 'BLYTHE Peter'

Cc: Bruce Sounes; Steve Blake

Subject: Grenfell Tower Planners Meeting - Thursday

Afternoon all,

Due to a prebooked training course commitment that I have I will not be able to attend Thursday's meeting with the RBKC Planners. However fortunately Steve Blake (my director) has offered his services to attend on my behalf. I'll bring Steve up to date with all of the information that I currently have. Just to clarify my

understanding of the meeting agenda and goals I've listed them below.

## Agenda points

- \* Proposal of material change to the facade. From Zinc to Aluminium composite (ACM). Put forward our case that ACM is not an inferior product to Zinc.
- \* Offer suggested colour choices based on ACM finishes with a view to start planning condition discharge process
- \* Proposal to excluding the external louvres to the flat windows
- \* Crown detail ?? It's since been discussed to leave the crown (not to try and omit completely) but we need to make them aware that there may need to be an addition of an abseiling rope support rail present at some point in the future and to gauge their reaction.

Goals

\* To receive a positive response to all suggested changes/proposals and to give us the confidence to proceed with the discharge process based on these proposals.

I've just had a conversation with Marc to check my understanding. But even if we receive a positive response we still need to formally submit proposals. So there will still be an 8 week period before they are fully signed off and any risk to the contract sum is eliminated. We are unlikely to receive any written acceptance from the meeting.

Regards

Simon Lawrence, ACIOB, MInstLM Project Manager T M



Rydon Maintenance Ltd

Rydon House, Station Road, Forest Row, East Sussex, RH18 5DW.

This e-mail (including any attachments) is transmitted subject to Rydon Group e-mail terms as published on its website. If you have received this e-mail in error please e-mail the sender by replying to this message. Details of the Company registration numbers and registered offices to which this e-mail relates are also published on its website.

P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com