1 Manually, 22 November 2018 2 (1000 mm) 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BUCK. Good moming, everyone. Welcome to body/s bearing. 5 We are going to continue with the inquiry's experts. 6 I think we are going to bear from Dr Lane. 7 Yes, Mc Grange. 8 MS GRANGE: Yes, Good meening, Mr Chairman, thank you. 9 Yes, dury and continuing from Mondry are up going. 10 to be hearing from to Liane, then later nate wesk well to be hearing from to the registry experts at Phase 1, 12 including the Deman Glover, Professor Niami Nie Daed. 13 and Protessor Pursor. 14 So can't row earl Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBANA LANE (wown) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE: 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BUCK. Thank you way mach, Dr Lane. Sit down and make youserld contentable. 19 (Pause) 20 Ali right? 21 THI WITHNISS Yes, thank you. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BUCK. Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the repair your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbarra Ame Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 1 Phase I of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 2 Al Phase I, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed of the with some and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke and contributed to the spend of fire and smoke a				
3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK. Good morning, everyone. Welcome to today's hearing. 4 today's hearing. 5 We are going to continue with the inquiry's experts. 6 I blank we are going to hear from Dr Lane. 7 Yes, Ms Grange. 8 MS GRANGE: Yes, Good morning, Mr Chairman, shank you. 8 MS GRANGE was Good morning into Monday we are going to be hearing from Dr Lane, then later next week we'll to be hearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll to be hearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll to be hearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll to be hearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll the bearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll the bearing from Dr Lane, the later next week we'll the bearing from Dr Lane, t	1	**	1	
4 your report, you have provided it in the same way as you would've provided a report to a court; is that right? 5 We are sping to continue with the inquiry's experts. 6 I think we are sping to be the form Di I ane 7 Yes, Ms Gringe. 8 NS GRANGE: Yes. Good morning. Mr Chairman, think you. 9 Yes, today and comining into Monday we are going to to be bearing from Di Lane, then later next week we'll to be bearing from the other riquiry experts at Prase 1, and probably an adversary provided a report to a toyour report, you've we so understood and the experiment continuation to be bearing from Di Lane, then later next week we'll to be bearing from the other riquiry experts at Prase 1, and probably the bearing from Di Lane, then later next week we'll to be bearing from the other riquiry experts at Prase 1, and probably the bearing from the other riquiry experts at Prase 1, and probably the bearing from the other riquiry experts at Prase 1, and probably the	2	(10.00 am)	2	Q. Thank you.
5 We are going to continue with the inquiry's experts. 6 1 think we are poing to hear from Dr Lane. 7 Yes, Ms Grange. 8 MS GRANGE: Yes, Good morning, Mr Chairman, thank you. 9 Yes, today and continuing into Monday we are poing. 10 to be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1. 11 to be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1. 12 including by Dancan Glown, Professor Nam's Ne Dead and Professor Parer. 13 Social not see all Dr Lane. 14 Social not see all Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBANA LANE (sworn) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE. 18 down and make youseful contentable. 19 (Pane) 20 All right? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, datak you. 22 SIK MARTIN MOOH-BICK. Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE. Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 29 Q. You are a skeed to identify the active and pessive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 30 Q. You revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 31 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 32 You're also recently moduced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to Q. Pour revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 34 You revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry following voice the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 35 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 36 You've also recently produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to Q. Project director responsible for devel	3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to	3	As you indicate in the declaration in section 21 of
6 I think we are going to bear from De Lane. 7 Yes, Mc Grange. 8 MS GRANGE: Ves. Good morning, Mr Chairman, thank you. 9 Yes, bady and continuing two Menday we are going of the bearing from De Lane, be late are stave skew?! 11 be bearing from De Lane, be late are stave skew?! 12 including De Daman Glover, Professor Namh Ni: David and Professor Parser. 13 and Professor Parser. 14 So can Low call De Lane. 15 OR BARBARA LANE (swom) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE: 17 Q. Routesting Moore Hand, Thank you very much, Dr Lane: Sit down and make yourself confortable. 18 down and make yourself confortable. 19 (Pause) 20 All right? 21 HE WINNESS: Yes, shark you. 22 ISH MARITM MOORE-HIGK: Then, byou very much, Dr Lane: Sit down and make yourself confortable. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anna Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in 26 Page 1 27 Place 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 28 AP Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and report on the extent to which they failed to control the speed of fire and anoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 28 A. That's correct. 3 A. That's correct. 4 A. That's correct. 5 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 5 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 5 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short correct? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Tou greate a fire and an indial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, At O., and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to the factual economistic of the carrier of the factual economistic of the factual economistic	4	today's hearing.	4	your report, you have provided it in the same way as you
Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, Good morning, Mr Chairmon, thank you. Ves, doty and continuing into Monday we are going to be bearing from Dr Lane, then later neat weck we'll to be bearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1, including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Niarth Nic Daried So and Foressor Puser. So Br BARBARA LANE (sweem) Onesitors by MS GRANGE Monestors by MS GRANGE All right? MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. A Plage 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. A Plase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. Land Socret. You revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also the fire project, yes. Q. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to many the revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual the evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. May the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also the fire project, yes. Q. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You vere also an expert appointed to investiga	5	We are going to continue with the inquiry's experts.	5	would've provided a report to a court; is that right?
8 MS GRANGE: Yes, Good morning, Mc Charman, thank you 9 Yes, today and continuing into Monday we are going 10 to be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1, 11 to be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1, 12 including Dr Dimean Glower, Professor Namh Nic Daeid 13 and Professor Purser. 14 So can I now call Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (swom) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE 17 SIR MARTIN MOORF-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit 18 down and make youne'll comfortable. 19 (Paiss) 19 All-fight? 20 All-fight? 21 THE WITNIES: Yes, thank you 22 SIR MARTIN MOORF-BICK: Yes, Ms Gringe. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the haquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Ame Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in 26 Page 1 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 Al Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and prevot on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread, is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 1 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 3 November 2018; is that correct? 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 13 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000006 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 19 Q. You've also nextent of which they are a director of the key points from that, you are a clieve to or designers, planners, engineers, consultants and technical specialists addressing professional services in the built environment, is that correct? 24 A. Dr Barbara Alme Lane. 25 Q. You are a clauders of the key points from that, you are a clieve to or designers, planners, engineers, consultants and technical specialists addressing professional services in the built environment, as the technical specialists addr	6	I think we are going to hear from Dr Lane.	6	A. Yes, I have.
yes, today and continuing into Monday we are going 10 to be hearing from Dr Lane, then later next week we'll 11 be hearing from Dr Lane, then later next week we'll 12 melading Dr Daraen (Glover, Professor Numb Nie Daed 13 and Professor Punce. 14 So can I now call Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (sworn) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK. Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit 18 down and make youself confestable. 19 (Pause) 20 All right? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK. Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE. Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in 2 Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 A. Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread, is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, a to Q. 15 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 16 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the reviences for that are BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the reviences for that are BLAS0000001 inclusive; is that correct? 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Than's sorrect. 26 Q. You've also necessity produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's our cet. 26 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 26 A. That's correct	7	Yes, Ms Grange.	7	Q. In section 1.1 and appendix A to your report, you've
10 to be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Plase 1. 11 to leading from the other inquiry operts at Plase 1. 12 to including Fr homen Glower, Professor Niam's Nie Daeld and Professor Plase. 13 and Professor Plase. 14 So can Low call De Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (swom) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE: 17 SIR MARITIN MOORE-BIECK. Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. 19 (Pause) 20 All right? 21 THE WITNINSSY: Set, shark you. 22 ISR MARITIN MOORE-BIECK. Yes, Ms Grange. 23 SIR MARITIN MOORE-BIECK Ves, Ms Grange. 24 A. Dr Barbara Ame Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the fower, and previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the fower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and snoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this operation, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 4 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 3 November 2018; is that correct? 2 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000006 in through to 2 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 2 A. That's correct. 19 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 24 addendum document	8	MS GRANGE: Yes. Good morning, Mr Chairman, thank you.	8	outlined your background and expertise relevant to
be bearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1, including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Niam's Nic Daeld 12 including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Niam's Nic Daeld 13 and Professor Purser. 13 and Professor Purser. 13 including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Niam's Nic Daeld 14 So can I now call Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (sworm) 15 DR BARBARA LANE (sworm) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE: Place Ministry of MS GRANGE: Place M	9	Yes, today and continuing into Monday we are going	9	matters in this inquiry.
12 including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Nismh Nic Daeld 13 and Professor Purser. 14 Social now call Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (swom) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. 18 (Pause) 19 (Pause) 20 All right? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, fish syou. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control of the speed of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control of the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 5 G. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in previously on 18 June this year. 4 A. That's correct. 5 G. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in previously on 18 June this year. 4 A. That's correct. 5 G. You are also a fellow of Arup, which is an honorary title awarded to exceptional individuals within the firm. 6 G. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to Q. This to correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 G. You've also report is dated 24 October 201	10	to be hearing from Dr Lane, then later next week we'll	10	In terms of some of the key points from that, you
technical specialists addressing professional services in the built environment; is that correct? Described by MS GRANGE ISIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. Place All right? THE WINNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. All right? THE WINNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. All right? THE WINNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. THE WINNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. The work of the inquiry following your formal evidence in previously on 18 June this year. A Plase I of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. A Plase I, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and passive fire protection measures within the store the sound proving and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A I tam. Q. You rave as	11	be hearing from the other inquiry experts at Phase 1,	11	are a director of Arup, which is an independent company
13 technical specialists addressing professional services in the built environment; is that correct? 14 So can I now call Dr Lane. 15 DR BARBARA LANE (sworn) 16 Questions by MS GRANGE 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK. Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sat 18 down and make youneif comfortable. 19 (Pause) 20 All right? 21 THE WTINESS. Yes, thank you. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK. Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE. Please en you give the inquiry your fall name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in 26 Q. To be signed and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread	12	including Dr Duncan Glover, Professor Niamh Nic Daeid	12	of designers, planners, engineers, consultants and
14 So can I now call Dr Lane. 14 In the built environment; is that correct?	13	and Professor Purser.	13	
15	14	So can I now call Dr Lane.	14	
16 Questions by MS GRANGE 16 Q. You specialise ins fire safety engineering in the built environment, and you have 20 years' experience in the construction industry in England and internationally. 17 18 19 20 All right? 21 THE WITKESS. Yes. thank you. 21 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. 22 MS GRANGE. Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 23 A. Yes, I did, and I am. 23 A. Yes, I did, and I am. 24 A. Dratbara Ame Lane. 24 And passive fire protection measures within the tower, and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control of the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. 10 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 17 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to Q. on and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 20 You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for Trib Shard, responsible for developing fire strategy; is that correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. 24 You were also an expert appointed to investigat	15	DR BARBARA LANE (swom)	15	
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Dr Lane. Sit down and make yourself comfortable. 18	16	Questions by MS GRANGE	1	
down and make yourself comfortable. 19	17	•	1	
19 (Pause) 20	18		1	
20 All right? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. A t Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also a chartered fire safety engineer, having graduated from Trinity College Dublin. A. Yes, I did, and I am. 24 A. Yes, I did, and I am. 25 Q. You're also a fellow of furup, which is an honorary title awarded to exceptional individuals within the firm. A. I am. Q. You're also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, Q. You were also the fire proje			1	
21 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Phase I of the inquiry following your presentation 2 previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase I, you were asked to identify the active 4 and passive fire protection measures within the tower, 5 and report on the extent to which they failed to control 6 the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the 7 speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase I report on 12 April this 10 year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that 13 report, including in the light of some of the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase I. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct. 9 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to 21 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also a fellow of the royal Academy of Engineering 24 awarded to exceptional individuals within the firm. 25 A. Correct. 26 Q. You're also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering 27 and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 3 A. I am. 4 Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise 28 buildings, including the following: as project director for 3 Fregarding at the approved fire strategy; is that 29 C. You're also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering 3 and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 4 A. I am. 4 Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise 4 buildings, includings, including the following: as project director for 4 regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that 20 C. Project director responsible for on-site compliance works 21 C. Project director responsible			1	
22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange. 23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Page 3 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 1 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 1 A. That's correct. 9 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 2 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You've also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 4 A. I am. Q. You're also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for 12 april this strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. That's correct. Q. You were also net fire fire sproject director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. That's correct. Q. You were also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You were al		-	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23 MS GRANGE: Please can you give the inquiry your full name. 24 A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. 25 Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 4 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 4 A. That's correct. 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 7 A. That's correct. 9 Q. Vou were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 10 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 11 A. That's correct. 12 A. That's correct. 13 A. That's correct. 14 A. That's correct. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 26 A. That's correct. 27 A. That's correct. 28 A. That's correct. 29 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 29 A. That's correct. 20 You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also can hone fail and		-	1	
A. Dr Barbara Anne Lane. Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You wer also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a nu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
Page 1 Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You bave been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You bave been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	
Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, line that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also a fellow of Edinburgh. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Th			1	
Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation previously on 18 June this year. At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A. I am. Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct. A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. A. That's correct. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's	23	Q. This is the continuation of your formal evidence in	25	A. Correct.
2 previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active 4 and passive fire protection measures within the tower, 5 and report on the extent to which they failed to control 6 the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the 7 speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this 10 year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that 13 report, including in the light of some of the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 3 A. I am. 4 Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise 4 buildings, including the following: as project director 5 buildings, including the following: as project director 6 for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works 7 regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Project director responsible for developing fire 11 strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf 12 high-rise buildings. 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. You were also the fire project director for 15 Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in 16 London, including commercial, residential and retail 17 accommodation. 18 A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. 19 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the 19 collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 25 Thank you. 26 Are the factual matters set out in your updated 27 report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?		Page 1		Page 3
2 previously on 18 June this year. 3 At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active 4 and passive fire protection measures within the tower, 5 and report on the extent to which they failed to control 6 the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the 7 speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this 10 year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that 13 report, including in the light of some of the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 3 A. I am. 4 Q. You have been involved in a number of landmark high-rise 4 buildings, including the following: as project director 5 buildings, including the following: as project director 6 for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works 7 regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that 8 correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Project director responsible for developing fire 11 strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf 12 high-rise buildings. 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. You were also the fire project director for 15 Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in 16 London, including commercial, residential and retail 17 accommodation. 18 A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. 19 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the 19 collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Are the factual matters set out in your updated 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's	1	Phase 1 of the inquiry following your presentation	1	O You're also a fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering
At Phase 1, you were asked to identify the active and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including the light of the				
and passive fire protection measures within the tower, and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 A. That's correct. 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's				
and report on the extent to which they failed to control the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct. 18 A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. That's correct. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's buildings, including the following: as project director for The Shard, responsible for on-site compliance works regarding at the approved fire strategy; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	_			
the spread of fire and smoke and contributed to the speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. That's correct. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's				-
speed at which the fire spread; is that correct? 8	_			
8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this 10 year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 A to K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that 13 report, including in the light of some of the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to 21 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 26 Correct? 27 A. That's correct. 28 Correct? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. Project director responsible for developing fire 11 strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf 12 high-rise buildings. 13 A. That's correct. 14 Q. You were also the fire project director for 15 Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in 16 London, including commercial, residential and retail 17 accommodation. 18 A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. 19 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the 19 collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 20 Thank you. 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 24 report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	_			
9 Q. You produced an initial Phase 1 report on 12 April this 10 year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, 11 At o K. 12 Since that time, you have revised and updated that 13 report, including in the light of some of the factual 14 evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. 15 Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and 16 the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; 17 is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to 20 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 21 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 29 A. That's correct true to the best of your knowledge and belief?		•		
year, which consisted of 21 chapters and 11 appendices, A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 10 Q. Project director responsible for developing fire strategies in relation to a number of the Canary Wharf high-rise buildings. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's A. That's correct.	_			
A to K. Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?			1	
Since that time, you have revised and updated that report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 24 That's correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?		•	1	
report, including in the light of some of the factual evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Thank you. Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?				-
evidence that the inquiry has been hearing at Phase 1. Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also the fire project director for Elizabeth House, a mixed-use high-rise development in London, including commercial, residential and retail accommodation. A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's That's correct true to the best of your knowledge and belief?			1	
Your revised report is dated 24 October 2018, and the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's correct true to the best of your knowledge and belief?			1	
the conclusions in section 2 are dated 5 November 2018; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?			1	
17 is that correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, 20 and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to 21 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 17 accommodation. 18 A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. 19 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?				
A. That's correct. Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 18 A. Yes, that was a planning project, yes. 19 Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	16			
Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O, and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's Q. You were also an expert appointed to investigate the collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Thank you. Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	17	is that correct?	1	
and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to 20 collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Thank you. Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	18		1	
21 BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	19	Q. It consists of 21 chapters and 15 appendices, A to O,		
22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and 24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 22 Q. Thank you. 23 Are the factual matters set out in your updated 24 report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	20	and the references for that are BLAS0000001 through to	20	collapse of the World Trade Center 7; is that correct?
Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's Are the factual matters set out in your updated report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	21	BLAS0000036 inclusive; is that correct?	21	A. That's correct.
24 addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's 24 report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	22	A. That's correct.	22	Q. Thank you.
1	23	Q. You've also recently produced a short corrections and	23	Are the factual matters set out in your updated
25 BLAS0000037; is that correct? 25 A. Yes, they are.	24	addendum document dated 19 November 2018. That's	24	report true to the best of your knowledge and belief?
	25	BLAS0000037; is that correct?	25	A. Yes, they are.
Page 2		D 2		D 4
Page 2 Page 4		Page 2		Page 4

1	Q. Does this updated report accurately set out your	1	Grenfell Tower and which you have concluded was applied
2	opinions on matters relevant to this inquiry?	2	by the designers to demonstrate compliance with the
3	A. Yes, it does.	3	London Building Act 1939.
4	Q. Thank you.	4	A. Yes, that's correct, along with the other relevant
5	In terms of the structure of my questioning, I'm	5	regulations.
6	going to ask you about some of the key conclusions in	6	Q. Yes. I'm going to come to those in a moment?
7	your Phase 1 report.	7	A. Okay, yes.
8	In the course of that, I'm going to ask you to	8	Q. So the first thing is CP3 1971, we'll look at that in
9	explain some of the aspects in which you've updated your	9	a moment.
10	main report in your revised Phase 1 report.	10	The second is current statutory guidance made
11	A. Okay.	11	pursuant to the Building Regulations 2010 as contained
12	Q. I'm also going to seek to identify with you the extent	12	in the prescriptive document, which is
13	to which your investigations are ongoing, and some of	13	Approved Document B, Fire Safety Volume 2; is that
14	the particular areas that you wish to investigate	14	correct?
15	further at Phase 2 of the inquiry's work.	15	A. That's correct.
16	A. Okay.	16	Q. Let's go back to the 1970s and look at the regime which
17	Q. In general, I'm going to try and follow the structure of	17	applied when Grenfell Tower was first designed and built
18	your report as you have set it out and broadly in the	18	in the early 1970s.
19	order in which it appears.	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Okay.	20	Q. We believe that Grenfell Tower was designed, as far as
21	Q. That will mean that today we're going to focus	21	we know, between around 1967 and 1972.
22	predominantly on the external facade of the tower	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Okay.	23	Q. Is that right?
24	Q including the windows, and also looking at key timing	24	A. That's as I understand it, yes.
25	events in the progression of the fire externally.	25	Q. We take that from a RBKC chronology which has been
	1 2		
	Page 5		Page 7
1	It's likely that day 2 of your evidence on Monday is	1	provided to the inquiry
1	It's likely that day 2 of your evidence on Monday is	1 2	provided to the inquiry.
2	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and	2	A. Yes.
2 3	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including	2 3	A. Yes.Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national
2 3 4	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems,	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time?
2 3 4 5	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time?A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense?	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws,
2 3 4 5 6 7	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes. Q. Is it correct to say that throughout the vast majority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes. Q. We're just coming to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes. Q. Is it correct to say that throughout the vast majority of your report, you've assessed the active and passive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes. Q. We're just coming to that. In terms of London guidance at that time, you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes. Q. Is it correct to say that throughout the vast majority of your report, you've assessed the active and passive fire safety systems against two key things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes. Q. We're just coming to that. In terms of London guidance at that time, you've explained in your report that this principally consisted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes. Q. Is it correct to say that throughout the vast majority of your report, you've assessed the active and passive fire safety systems against two key things. First, the design guidance CP3 1971, which is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes. Q. We're just coming to that. In terms of London guidance at that time, you've explained in your report that this principally consisted of some London County Council guidance, Means of Escape
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to focus in more detail on some of the active and passive fire safety systems inside the tower, including flat and stair doors, the fire main and active systems, including the lifts and smoke control system. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. A lot of the material in chapters 3 and 4 of your report, in terms of the background to the tower and the background to the legislative regime, was covered in your presentation on 18 June, which forms part of your evidence today, and I'm not proposing to repeat all the of the detail of that today. A. Yes. Q. I want to start by looking at your overall approach to the active and passive fire safety systems, and I want to be clear at the outset how you have approached your assessment of those systems at Grenfell Tower in Phase 1 of your report. A. Yes. Q. Is it correct to say that throughout the vast majority of your report, you've assessed the active and passive fire safety systems against two key things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Can you confirm that London was not subject to national building regulations at that time? A. That's correct. Q. It was the London Building Act 1939 and relevant bylaws, including the London Building (Constructional) Amending By-laws (no.1) 1964 that applied; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In assessing the original design of Grenfell Tower, is it right that you've considered both relevant London guidance and also relevant national guidance which may have been applicable at the time? A. Yes. So in my assessment of Grenfell Tower, a building in that part of London and at that height is controlled by three sections in the London Building Act: number 20, number 34 and 98. In looking at those three, I then ended up having to look at the 1971 CP3 because of how I found Grenfell Tower to be constructed in its physical condition, yes. Q. We're just coming to that. In terms of London guidance at that time, you've explained in your report that this principally consisted

1	A. Yes, correct.	1	Q. You note that when the LCC guide was amended in 1967,
2	Q. You refer to that in your report as the LCC 1967; is	2	the GLC actually issued a statement saying that the
3	that correct?	3	guidance was under revision and, pending its revision,
4	A. Yes.	4	applicants should discuss proposed schemes with the GLC.
5	Q. Is it right that in 1967, when this was amended, it was	5	Is that right?
6	actually the Greater London Council that amended it	6	A. That's correct, from a means of escape perspective, yes.
7	because the LCC didn't exist anymore?	7	Q. So in your opinion, during this period of transition, is
8	A. Well, it's a very small point, but the GLC published	8	it possible that designers could use either the London
9	that revision, but the original note that they	9	guidance, principally the LCC guide, or the national
10	republished had LCC on the top. So it's a very minor	10	guidance, CP3 1962 or 1971?
11	point, yes.	11	A. Yes, I consider that to be the case.
12	Q. That London guidance at the time also consisted of the	12	Q. You've assessed the design of Grenfell Tower against
13	GLC, the Greater London Council, section 20 code of	13	these three guidance documents, and you've concluded
14	practice.	14	that whilst all three guides permitted a residential
15	A. That's correct.	15	building to be constructed with a single stair for means
16	Q. You just talked about section 20 of the 1939 Act, 1970,	16	of escape, both the LCC 1967 and CP3 1962 each required
17	and that gave guidance relevant to section 20 of the	17	specific conditions to be met with other single stair
18	1939 Act and was a code of practice for buildings of	18	and these were not provided at Grenfell Tower; is that
19	excess height or additional cubical extent; is that	19	correct.
20	correct?	20	I'm going to take you through this in detail, if
21	A. Correct.	21	that helps, in a moment.
22	Q. That's the relevant London guidance.	22	A. Okay. They didn't all allow a single stair, but anyway,
23	At the same time, you've explained that there was	23	if we're going to probably being a bit pedantic, but
24	relevant national guidance; is that correct?	24	yes, the question is understood yes.
25	A. That's correct.	25	Q. Let's take CP3 1962. You've explained that three
	Page 9		Page 11
1	Q. At the time, the Public Health Act 1961 and relevant	1	conditions were necessary to be satisfied
2	Building Regulations in 1965 applied nationally; is that	2	A. Yes.
3	correct?	3	Q and that none of these were present at
4	A. Yes, that's correct.	4	Grenfell Tower.
5	Q. That national guidance on fire precautions was produced	5	A. That's correct.
6	in 1962 in the form of the British Standards	6	Q. Is it right that this is essentially because the
7	Institution, BSI, code of practice CP3 1962; is that	7	staircase at Grenfell Tower is accessed by a central
8	correct?	8	ventilated lobby, ie a single-lobby arrangement, that
9	A. Yes, that's correct.	9	you say you don't think could have complied with
10	Q. Its long title is, "Chapter IV Precautions against Fire.	10	CP3 1962?
11	Part 1 Fire Precautions in flats and maisonettes over	11	A. Yes, that's correct. It required the stair at
12	80ft in height". So that's CP3 1962.	12	an external surface for external ventilation.
13	But then that national guidance was revised in 1971	13	Q. Can we just look at your summary of the reasons you give
14	and was CP3 1971; is that's correct?	14	for that in your report. Let's go to your report.
15	A. That's correct.	15	That's BLAS0000004, at page 20.
16	Q. And that was called, "Chapter IV-1 Code of basic data	16	If we can zoom in on paragraph 4.2.18, at the top.
17	for the design of buildings. Precautions against fire.	17	So these are the ways that you're explaining that
18	Flats and maisonettes (in blocks over two storeys)"; is	18	Grenfell Tower can't comply with the guidance in
19	that correct?	19	CP3 1962; is that correct?
20	A. That's correct.	20	A. Yes, that's correct. Yes.
21	Q. You've explained in your report that this meant at the	21	Q. So if we just take each, what you're saying is
22	time there was an overlap in relevant guidance for	22	section 208 part (c)(i), the ventilated lobby is not
23	firefighting provisions in a single-staircase high-rise	23	a balcony.
24	building at that time; is that correct?	24	A. Yes, because the concept was even if you had a single
25	A. That's correct.	25	central stair, you had to still have an alternative
	Page 10		Page 12
	U		U

1	access point to that stair by means of a balcony, and	1	It's on that basis because we don't know for
2	that is not at Grenfell Tower.	2	sure, do we, but you've deduced, looking at this
3	Q. The second point is that in Grenfell Tower, the	3	because we haven't seen a definitive document that says
4	ventilated lobby doesn't adjoin an external wall in	4	it was designed to CP3 1971, but you've looked at the
5	which there's a permanent opening of not less than	5	design and you think that that's the key indicator that
6	15 square feet.	6	suggests that it was CP3 1971.
7	A. That's correct.	7	A. Yes, that's correct. I have no paperwork where anything
8	Q. The third point is that the ventilated lobby does not	8	is said either way, so by a process of elimination, and
9	communicate with the staircase through a smoke-stop door	9	looking at the building as it exists, that's how I've
10	off a balcony or ventilated lobby adjoining an external	10	derived CP3 1971 for means of escape.
11	wall in which there's a permanent opening of not less	11	Q. Do you think it might have been likely that CP3 1971
12	than 15 square feet.	12	would've been available to designers prior to it being
13	A. That's correct.	13	first published in 1971? Do you think it's possible the
14	Q. So those three things are telling you that you don't	14	design guidance may have been circulating and therefore
15	think it's CP3 1962?	15	able to be used in the design of Grenfell Tower earlier?
16	A. Those three things tell me absolutely that the 1962	16	A. Yes. Obviously I wasn't around, but I think actually if
17	version was not used.	17	you look at the 1962 guide, it actually lists out all
18	Q. Whereas you say that CP3 1971, the later, revised	18	the people on the committee, and you'll see that some
19	guidance, permitted a single stairway and with	19	very senior people from the statutory bodies in London
20	a cross-ventilated single lobby; is that correct?	20	sat on that committee. I can only imagine, therefore,
21	A. That's correct.	21	that in London at that time, it was clear there was work
22	Q. If we stick with this page, just further down on 4.2.21,	22	being done to the 1962 version, and there was a lot of
23	there you say:	23	work going on to bring this kind of state-of-the-art
24	"4.2.21. CP3 1971 permitted blocks of flats and	24	guidance into the public domain.
25	maisonettes to:	25	So I have to assume it was understood, known about
	Page 13		Page 15
	1 100 10		1 100 10
1	"a) be provided with a single stairway for means of	1	and discussed at the time, but I don't have any
2	escape through a Stage II escape route (corridor or	2	paperwork that tells me either way.
3	lobby) where that escape route was provided with cross	3	Q. Yes.
4	ventilation and there are no fire risks in the	4	A. But based on my own professional experience, now and
5	corridor"	5	over the last 20 years, those British Standards, those
6	Is that correct?	6	codes of practice, tend to be in circulation for some
7	A. That's correct. So a single internal staircase with	7	time before the formal publication date.
8	an internal lobby. So nothing is connected to an outer	8	Q. That's helpful.
9	wall.	9	You've also made clear that the section 20 GLC code
10	Q. Yes, which is what we see in this core at	10	of practice would've also applied, specifically in terms
11	Grenfell Tower.	11	of firefighting provision in the stairs; is that
12	A. Exactly. So it's all internal. That's the really	12	correct?
13	important point: it's all inside the tower. And then	13	A. That's correct.
14	there's also the limit on how far you can walk to reach	14	Q. You've identified three key differences between the
15	that internal exit.	15	CP3 1971 guidance and the GLC section 20 code guidance
16	Q. Yes. That's the second point:	16	in your report.
17	"b) for such stage II escape routes travel distances	17	A. Yes.
18	of up to 15m are permitted between the flat entrance	18	Q. You've carried out that comparison exercise in
19	door and the stair entrance door."	19	appendix 8. I just want to summarise what those key
20	Is that correct?	20	three differences are.
21	A. That's correct.	21	A. Okay, yes.
22	It's probably worth pointing out that that distance	22	Q. The first is ventilation of the stair lobbies. The GLC
23	is also unique to CP3 1971, so it's another indicator,	23	section 20 code required a permanent vent at the head of
24	if you will.	24	the stairs of a greater square meterage than CP3 1971;
25	Q. Yes.	25	is that correct?
	D 14		D 16
	Page 14		Page 16

		1	
1	A. Yes.	1	later, I can explain that better.
2	Q. The second key difference is about fire resistance of	2	Q. Yes.
3	the staircase. You say that the GLC section 20 code	3	So that's 1970, when Grenfell Tower was built.
4	required the staircase lobby and lifts to have	4	A. Yes.
5	a standard of fire resistance twice that of the	5	Q. That's why you predominantly looked at CP3 1971.
6	constructional bylaws which CP3 1971 adopted.	6	A. That's correct.
7	A. Yes. So that's correct, because for a tall building,	7	Q. You've then looked, as well as looking at that, at
8	section 20 placed additional fire safety protection. It	8	current statutory guidance made pursuant to the Building
9	wasn't meant to replace or interfere, it was additional.	9	Regulations 2010, those regulations having been made
10	So it made clear where it was additional to the bylaws	10	under the Building Act 1974, as contained in the
11	and so to the means of escape guidance too.	11	prescriptive document, which is Approved Document B,
12	Q. And you think that, in fact, the stairs were designed to	12	Fire Safety Volume 2?
13	achieve that higher section 20 standard, that higher	13	A. In the statutory guidance document, Approved Document B.
14	standard of fire resistance; is that correct?	14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Yes, based on the concrete depth as I've observed on the	15	A. I don't agree with the word "prescriptive". I don't
16	plans, yes.	16	know if I'm meant to correct
17	Q. So you think that's in all probability what the	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We want your views, whatever they
18	designers must have agreed with the relevant building	18	are.
19	control authority at the time?	19	A. Okay. Sorry, I'm not being rude. It's the statutory
20	A. Yes, I do. I think they would've been required to do	20	guidance document, Approved Document B.
21	that, yes, because it's a section 20 building.	21	Q. For shorthand, you often refer to that as ADB in your
22	Q. You've also concluded that the section 20 code permits	22	report. So when we have ADB, it's Approved Document B
23	something called a class A for entrance and stair doors,	23	we're talking about?
24	which can achieve a lower fire integrity than the	24	A. That's correct.
25	30 minutes for type 2 doors required by CP3 1971; is	25	Q. At the time of the original application for this
	Page 17		Page 19
,	4	,	
1	that correct?	1	refurbishment, when it was made and resubmitted
2	A. Yes.	2	in August and October 2012, the Building Regulations
3	Q. We're going to come in detail to that on the second day	3	2010 were in force; that's correct, isn't it?
4	of your evidence. I'll take you back to it.	4	A. That's correct.
5	A. Okay.	5	O Do you agree that the Building Pagulations 2010 only
6	O Put corry	5	Q. Do you agree that the Building Regulations 2010 only
6	Q. But sorry.	6	apply to certain defined building work, including where
7	A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the	6 7	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific
7 8	A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between	6 7 8	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations?
7 8 9	A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear	6 7 8 9	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to
7 8 9 10	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 	6 7 8 9 10	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes.
7 8 9 10 11	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. 	6 7 8 9 10 11	 apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which
7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. A. Yes. I think section 20 and the bylaws are very 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it any more unsatisfactory, is the word.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. A. Yes. I think section 20 and the bylaws are very complimentary, but the means of escape which was dealt 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it any more unsatisfactory, is the word. Q. That's a point that you have expressly referred to in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. A. Yes. I think section 20 and the bylaws are very complimentary, but the means of escape which was dealt with by the CP3 guidance, that's where I'll just say 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it any more unsatisfactory, is the word. Q. That's a point that you have expressly referred to in a number of places in your report
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. A. Yes. I think section 20 and the bylaws are very complimentary, but the means of escape which was dealt 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it any more unsatisfactory, is the word. Q. That's a point that you have expressly referred to in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It's correct that section 20 refers to the bylaws on the matter of doors, yes. So there's no conflict between the bylaws and section 20. They're both clear Q. But there is potentially between CP3 1971 A. Exactly. Q and the section 20 code and the bylaws? A. Exactly. So if you adopt CP3 1971 for your means of escape design, now you have to think about the intersection with the bylaws/section 20, yes. Q. Yes, thank you. A. Okay? Q. So I think what you're saying is we could've had a situation where different codes applied to different bits of the building. I mean, predominantly you think it's CP3 1971, but there's a section 20 element as well. A. Yes. I think section 20 and the bylaws are very complimentary, but the means of escape which was dealt with by the CP3 guidance, that's where I'll just say 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 apply to certain defined building work, including where there is a material alteration which has a very specific definition within the Building Regulations? A. Yes, I do, with some qualifications that I'll get on to in my own work. But yes, I do, yes. Q. In particular, there's a non-worsening principle, which means that the work should be no more unsatisfactory in relation to certain requirements in schedule 1 to the Building Regulations than before the work was carried out; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. If you do work on an existing building, you have a duty to understand if the existing building is compliant or not, and then your new works retains its status. So if it is compliant when you start, your works should make that no worse. If it's not compliant when you start, your works cannot make it any more unsatisfactory, is the word. Q. That's a point that you have expressly referred to in a number of places in your report

I			
1	Q in the early stages.	1	effectively, the design guidance at the time of
2	In section 3, you've expressly stated that you will	2	construction, CP3 1971, and the correct design guidance
3	investigate if the non-compliances which you've	3	in ADB, the statutory design guidance in that document?
4	currently identified resulted in the building being less	4	A. Yes. I was trying to be very transparent, so not trying
5	satisfactory than it was before the work was carried out	5	to impose, say, standards now on something that may or
6	at Phase 2 of your work; is that right?	6	may not have been worked on during, say, particularly
7	A. That's correct.	7	the primary refurbishment. So I wanted to be very clear
8	Q. Is it right that at this stage, what you've done is look	8	about then and now, as clear as possible. I thought it
9	at each separate aspect of Grenfell Tower in terms of	9	was fairer, and that's why I took that approach for now.
10	the active and passive fire safety systems	10	Once I enter into the domain of comparing compliance
11	A. Yes.	11	and non-compliance and contemplating material alteration
12	Q and you've analysed compliance, looking at each in	12	or not, it becomes complex and a judgement will also be
13	isolation?	13	required.
14	A. Exactly. I've looked at each active and passive measure	14	So, for now, I wanted to keep it factual and
15	on its own as a separate entity, and I've not carried	15	separate and keep that until we get into other matters.
16	out any overall assessment of the intrinsic risk that	16	Q. Thank you.
17	may or may not have remained in the building when those	17	I want to move on now and I want to start
18	works were completed.	18	substantively, really, by looking at the composition of
19	Q. Yes, that's clear, thank you.	19	the external envelope at Grenfell Tower.
20	You've also recognised in your report this	20	Both you and Professor Bisby spent a considerable
21	is expressly there that there may be alternative	21	amount of time in your oral presentations back in June
22	routes to compliance with the Building Regulations, as	22	explaining how the exterior of Grenfell Tower was
23	are permitted under, for example, paragraph 0.21 of ADB.	23	configured, both before and after the major
24	A. That's correct. The statutory guidance document makes	24	refurbishment works.
25	clear that alternative routes to compliance are also	25	A. Yes.
	Page 21		Page 23
	<u> </u>		
1	satisfactory.	1	MS GRANGE: We're not going to repeat all of the detail of
2	Q. Is it your intention to investigate what, if any,	2	that here.
3	alternative compliance approaches were in fact adopted	3	In addressing the external envelope today, I want to
4	at Phase 2?	4	concentrate on the extent to which the exterior of the
5	A. Yes. I've been aware of that concept throughout my work	5	building was able to resist the spread of fire, and what
6	for Phase 1, and in Phase 2 I will be very explicit	6	
7			features of that exterior envelope you think were the
_	you know, there's a lot more work to be done about	7	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June.
8	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll		most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning
9	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative	7 8 9	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be
9 10	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route.	7 8 9 10	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower,
9 10 11	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this	7 8 9 10 11	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after
9 10 11 12	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct?	7 8 9 10 11 12	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing.
9 10 11 12 13	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the
9 10 11 12 13 14	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your Phase 2 report?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your Phase 2 report? A. That's correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. MS GRANGE: So I want to start by picking matters up at
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your Phase 2 report? A. That's correct. Q. Just pausing there, overall, can you explain why, at	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. MS GRANGE: So I want to start by picking matters up at chapters 8 to 10 of your report, and considering your
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your Phase 2 report? A. That's correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. MS GRANGE: So I want to start by picking matters up at
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	where I think something was either deliberately or I'll say accidentally put forward as an alternative compliance route. Q. You've also not considered industry practice at this stage, at Phase 1; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. When I wrote that, I was thinking mostly about the cladding because of various publications that occurred in the years before and during the primary refurbishment, and I will deal with that as part of the culture of compliance work I intend to do in phase 2. Q. Is it right that you will be giving a final view on compliance of the building works carried out over time at Grenfell Tower with the applicable regime in your Phase 2 report? A. That's correct. Q. Just pausing there, overall, can you explain why, at	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	most significant in terms of the events of 14 June. Mr Chairman, I'm going to give a trigger warning now, because later in this section we are going to be looking at some photographs of the burnt-out tower, including some photographs of windows before and after the fire which some may find distressing. Much later this morning it will be after the break, and it may even be this afternoon at one of the flame spread videos. I give that warning now in case anyone wishes to take any action. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to get to those soon? MS GRANGE: We are going to get to some pictures of windows fairly quickly. The video comes later and I'll warn again. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. MS GRANGE: So I want to start by picking matters up at chapters 8 to 10 of your report, and considering your

1	envelope, including the window openings, and then the	1	the left
2	routes for fire spread both out through the window	2	A. Yes.
3	openings and then virtually and horizontally through the	3	Q and then the refurbished conditions on the right; is
4	building envelope.	4	that correct?
5	Before we get into the detail, does your conclusion	5	A. That's correct.
6	remain that, as you've set out at paragraph 2.9.9 of	6	Q. You've shown very clearly the way the window is being
7	your report, there were multiple catastrophic fire	7	pushed out
8	routes created by the construction form and construction	8	A. Yes.
9	detailing that was used? Is that still your overall	9	Q and moving out. I just want to focus we'll take
10	conclusion?	10	this each in stages at the moment on can we zoom
11	A. That is my overall conclusion.	11	in on the right-hand image.
12	Q. Taking the window openings first, I just want to work	12	I'm looking at the bottom of the window, the little
13	through some of the key points that you make so you can	13	lip, and you've highlighted in yellow and hatched black
14	explain them.	14	a little space there.
15		15	A. That's correct.
	As you explain in chapter 8 of your report, the		
16	design of the windows was pushed outwards during the	16 17	Q. That's a horizontal void, and that's because of the
17	refurbishment; that's correct isn't it?		original cut-away of the concrete
18	A. That's correct.	18 19	A. Exactly.
19	Q. One of the consequences of that is it brought into the		Q in the original design of Grenfell Tower. So when
20	external wall construction a horizontal void which had	20	the windows go out, that suddenly becomes a horizontal
21	previously been on the exterior in the form of a sloping	21	void.
22	lip beneath the window. I want to take you to a figure	22	A. Yes.
23	to have a look at that.	23	Q. Is that correct?
24	A. Good.	24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. If we go to figure 8.6, BLAS[0000008, page 9]	25	Q. On the sides of the windows, abutting the columns, the
	Page 25		Page 27
	0		O
1	A. Yes.	1	pushing out of the windows also resulted in a vertical
2	Q. That's it.	2	gap down the side of the windows, which was formed by
3	A. Is it possible for the screen to come nearer? I seem to	3	a groove in the original concrete exterior of the
4	have developed eyesight problems overnight. Will	4	columns.
5	I break something if I move it?		Columns.
_		5	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer.	5 6	
6 7		l .	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these
	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer.	6	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the	6 7	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with
7 8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens.	6 7 8	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday.
7 8 9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to	6 7 8 9	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up
7 8 9 10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just	6 7 8 9 10	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8.
7 8 9 10 11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the
7 8 9 10 11 12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it. A. Yes, thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that gap into the side of your window where it abuts the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it. A. Yes, thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? A. Yes, thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that gap into the side of your window where it abuts the column.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it. A. Yes, thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? A. Yes, thank you. MS GRANGE: Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that gap into the side of your window where it abuts the column. A. Exactly. That all becomes part of the internal side of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We certainly can move it closer. I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it. A. Yes, thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? A. Yes, thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that gap into the side of your window where it abuts the column.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think what you may find is we can blow it up on the screens. A. Oh, yes. Just for drawings, it's very hard for me to see. But I can look at my own one. Actually, I'll just look at my own one. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? MS GRANGE: Mr Trial Director, if we can yes, let's blow it up like that to start with. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so that we know, is that a comfortable size for you? If not, we can move the screen. A. I wouldn't mind it a bit nearer. Just for drawings, it's quite difficult to see the detail. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure we can move it. A. Yes, thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that better? A. Yes, thank you. MS GRANGE: Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Again, we'll look at figures to support all of these points, and we looked at this with Professor Bisby yesterday. Can we look at that gap. Let's start with figure 9.8, BLAS0000009, at page 12. If we can blow up figure 9.8. Is it right that what we see there so the original concrete columns have these little cut-aways to them? A. Yes. Q. In these kind of I think you called them biscuits to start with. A. Yes. So there's little grooves there, and then there's a larger groove between the existing spandrel and the column here marked in red (Indicates). So there's two types of groove through the existing construction. Q. So by pushing out the window, it then incorporated that gap into the side of your window where it abuts the column. A. Exactly. That all becomes part of the internal side of

		_	
1	Q. Yes.	1	those works, the window works, needed to take into
2	A. Yes.	2	account that because the columns weren't perfectly plum,
3	Q. There's a good photo of that in terms of the as-built	3	there was, you know, a variation, you call it. So
4	construction. If we can go to figures 9.9 and 9.10,	4	anything between 30 — I can't remember the exact
5	BLAS0000009 on page 13.	5	numbers on the drawings I'm sorry, I'm a bit
6	A. Yes.	6	nervous it was 30 to I think it was 120 on the
7	Q. Perhaps if we can focus to start with on figure can	7	drawing. I would just need to look at it.
8	you see it clearly enough from that?	8	So it meant that there isn't a fixed width that's
9	A. Yes, that's fine.	9	been designed. It changes as a function of the
10	Q. So the top photo	10	conditions one would find in that flat.
11	A. Yes.	11	On site, I measured that in different places as
12	Q we can see that gap very clearly down the side of the	12	either 20 or anything up to 130 millimetres, and that's
13	window; is that correct?	13	very important to understand about that column
14	A. Yes. So you're looking downwards, and	14	condition, that depending on where you are in the
15	Q. Which is the inside and which is the outside?	15	building, the window either had to be close or had to be
16	A. Oh, sorry. So there is the window, there is the	16	up to 130 millimetres away from that column.
17	membrane and this is the inside here (Indicates).	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: As you understand it, it was
18	Q. Yes.	18	an inevitable part of the design, was it, that there
19	A. Just everything has been stripped away in this	19	should be a gap because the windows were narrower than
20	particular photo.	20	the space available to put them in?
21	Q. Yes.	21	A. So the windows being narrower is one point. But this is
22	A. You can see the groove going down between the two pieces	22	to do with what's called construction tolerances. So if
23	of concrete there.	23	something isn't absolutely perfect on site, you know,
24	Q. If we can look at the bottom figure, figure 9.10	24	you have to move things it's meant to be a very
25	A. Yes.	25	slight movement. But I think that drawing is very
23	A. 105.	23	sight movement. But I think that that wing is very
	Page 29		Page 31
1	Q we can see there that someone's made an attempt to	1	important because it shows that that was the scale of
2	fill that with expanding foam.	2	tolerance they were dealing with.
3	A. That's correct.	3	So it's two points: the window size and then the
4	Q. Is that correct?	4	plumness of the columns, and so dealing with that during
5	A. Yes.	5	construction.
6	Q. I think Professor Bisby said yesterday some areas seem	6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, thank you.
7	to have foam in it and some do not. Is that consistent	7	MS GRANGE: We think it might be figure 8.13. That's
8	with your site inspections?	8	BLAS0000008, at page 15.
9	A. Yes, it is.	9	A. Oh, yes, yes.
10	Q. So there's a variation about whether that has any kind	10	Q. Is that the right one?
11	of foam in it.	11	A. Yes, hopefully you can see the note.
12	A. Yes, if it's been done or not, yes, that's correct.	12	Q. If we can blow up I think it's the right-hand figure.
13	Q. You also note that the windows themselves were reduced	13	Thank you.
14	in size, leaving a gap of between 30 and 120 millimetres	14	A. Yes, it's slightly cut off here, but if you look at 35,
15	between the sides of the windows and the adjacent	15	the number 35 here, there's a little arrow over to
16	columns; is that correct?	16	a note. It's hidden here. In my report, there's
17	A. Yes	17	a proper reference and someone can check for themselves.
18	Q. Again, let's look at a figure on that. So let's go to	18	So you can see that variation. You see the two red
19	figure 8.15, BLAS0000008, page 17.	19	lines? So that was a designed-for variation. But what
20	A. Yes.	20	it means in terms of my interest in it is to do with
21	Q. If we can go to the top left photograph and zoom in on	21	fire and its interaction with that gap.
22	that photograph.	22	MS GRANGE: Thank you.
23	A. Yes. Okay, so I think this is quite an important point.	23	That gap was filled with a number of materials
24	So the drawings, the Harley drawing and we can maybe	24	A. Yes.
25	show that later had a little note on it saying that	25	Q is that correct?
Ī		1	
	Page 30		Page 32

	A TTV d		
1	A. That's correct.	1	combustible or not.
2	Q. It's filled with an EDPM rubber membrane, and is it	2	Q. Yes.
3	right that that was bonded to the window frame and to	3	A. Other experts are getting into the absolute fine detail,
4	the face of the concrete column on site?	4	millimetre by millimetre, degree by degree, on what
5	A. Yes. I don't know how it was bonded, but it should be	5	those materials do.
6	bonded and it forms a membrane and fills the gap, yes.	6	Q. Thank you.
7	Q. In your view, is that material particularly prone to	7	Is it right, therefore, that the EDPM membrane led
8	promoting flame spread?	8	directly into the column cladding cavity and onto the
9	A. Particularly prone I would just say it's combustible,	9	insulation that was behind the rainscreen panels on the
10	if that's okay.	10	column? Again, we're going to look at some figures on
11	Q. Yes.	11	that.
12	A. It's made of rubber.	12	A. Yes, exactly. So in behind the uPVC reveal, so the
13	Q. Yes.	13	covering, you have the old window reveal covering, you
14	A. I don't know what you mean by "particularly prone" in	14	have some additional insulation that's marked on the
15	the context sorry, I'm probably getting really	15	drawing as being required, and then you also have the
16	pedantic now in the context of what? So it's	16	EPDM.
17	combustible.	17	I wonder if we could even go back to, say,
18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Since we're being pedantic, when	18	figure 9.9 or just look at the side of the window, or
19	you're asked to agree that the film fills the gap, would	19 20	even figure 8.13, where all the materials are marked up.
20	it be more accurate to say it covers the gap?		Maybe figure 8.13 would be the best.
21	A. Yes, exactly. So to me, it covers over it's like	21	Q. Let's go to 8.13 then. BLAS0000008 at page 15.
22	putting a piece of paper over something. You just cover	22	A. Yes. I think that's the clearest way of trying to show
23	it over like wallpaper and they must have bonded it	23	it.
24	somehow.	24	Q. Do you want to draw attention to
25	MS GRANGE: And it's a damp-proof membrane, isn't it, it's	25	A. Yes, so it's marked you've your uPVC, and
	Page 33		Page 35
1	supposed to stop damp getting into the windows?	1	Professor Torero was very eloquent about how that loses
2	A. Yes, exactly, it's meant to stop that kind of ingress,	2	its mechanical stiffness at quite a relatively low
3	yes.	3	temperature. Then in behind here is what is very
4	Q. You've said in section 10.3.11 of your report that it's	4	important. It says, for example, "Insulation by
5	a combustible synthetic rubber material that ignites	5	others". It says to retain — up at the green up on the
6	between 180 degrees C and 378 degrees C.	6	top there the original
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. "Existing frame remains in most cases. Remove by others
8	Q. Can we just have a look at that.	8	where necessary."
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Exactly. And I think, actually, this is a very
10	Q. That's BLAS0000010 at page 11. I think it actually goes	10	important drawing. So you've got that information, and
11	onto the next page.	11	then you've got the little note telling you that it's
12	" combustible synthetic rubber material that	12	anything from 35 up to 90 millimetres.
13	ignites"	13	So when you think then about the location of the
14	Can we go over to the next page? Sorry, that's	14	uPVC, because that has to line up with the window frame,
15	my	15	there is either a small or actually quite a large gap,
16	A. And like all materials, it's changing state before	16	when you think about it, behind it. So that means then
17	ignition. It isn't in a cold state and then it ignites;	17	the length of the EPDM is a feature of this gap it's
18	it's changing states. Okay?	18	trying to fill. Okay?
19	Q. Yes. And you've got that temperature range, 180 to 378,	19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
20	from this Ignition Handbook.	20	MS GRANGE: Yes.
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Once the uPVC is gone, and from what I know the
22	Q. That's quite a big range. Do we know any more about	22	insulation layer was glued onto the back of the uPVC
23	that material at this stage?	23	Q. We're coming to that.
24	A. That's not something I have gone into detail on for my	24	A. Oh, sorry.
25	own work. I'm just interested in if something is	25	Q. That's okay.
			D 01
	Page 34		Page 36
			9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We can see where you are going.	1	A. Yes.
2	MS GRANGE: No, no, it's good.	2	Q. Then above that, you're drawing attention in the orange
3	Just with the EPDM membrane, can we look at a couple	3	bubble to the combustible insulation; is that correct?
4	of pictures of that in its on-site configuration.	4	A. Yes, I'm drawing attention to the red logo.
5	Let's go to figure 8.22, BLAS0000008 at page 22. If	5	Q. That's Celotex
6	we can just zoom in on that figure.	6	A. It appears to be.
7	A. Yes.	7	Q TB4000; is that correct?
8	Q. So there I think what we're seeing is the rainscreen has	8	A. Yes.
9	been removed both on the spandrels below the window	9	Just to be absolutely precise, it's a red logo, and
10	A. Yes.	10	at that thickness seems to be the TB4000.
11	Q and on the column, and what we're seeing there right	11	Q. It's 25 millimetres; is that correct?
12	down the middle is the column insulation; is that	12	A. Yes.
13	correct?	13	Q. So that's a different insulation product to the product
14	A. That's correct.	14	that we see when we get to the spandrel insulation in
15	Q. To the left of that again, we'll come back to the	15	the column insulation on the outside; is that correct?
16	exposed faces of the insulation, let's not worry about	16	A. Exactly. There's small pieces of insulation around each
17	those for the moment we see that black EPDM	17	side of the window, trying to fill up the gaps that were
18	weatherproof membrane; is that correct?	18	formed between the old and the new windows.
19	A. That's correct.	19	Q. Just to be absolutely clear, they're at the top and the
20	Q. There we can see it going into the column, effectively.	20	bottom and at the sides; that's correct, isn't it?
21	A. Yes, that's correct.	21	A. So they are marked on the drawings as being required on
22	Q. Covering up that column.	22	the top, on the bottom and the two sides, and I have
23	A. Yes.	23	personally seen them on the top, on the bottom and on
24	Q. Can we also look at another figure on that, figure 8.23,	24	the Aluglaze side.
25	on the next page, page 23.	25	Q. As well as on the column side is what you're saying?
	1.00,1.00		Control of the contro
	Page 37		Page 39
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I didn't see the insulation myself on the column side,
2	() There what you've chown in blue is what it would look		
_	Q. There what you've shown in blue is what it would look	2	I have to rely on the drawing there.
3	like from the inside of the flat.	3	Q. Yes.
4	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes.	3 4	Q. Yes. A. Yes.
4 5	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13,	3 4 5	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009
4 5 6	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat	3 4 5 6	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13.
4 5 6 7	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the	3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see
4 5 6 7 8	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it
4 5 6 7 8 9	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10;	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility;
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Again, can we see a picture of that. It's BLAS0000008	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility; is that correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Again, can we see a picture of that. It's BLAS0000008 at page 19, figure 8.18, if we can zoom in on that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility; is that correct? A. That's a complex question, because it's window
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Again, can we see a picture of that. It's BLAS0000008 at page 19, figure 8.18, if we can zoom in on that. So, again, here, is it right we can see the uPVC has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility; is that correct? A. That's a complex question, because it's window insulation. So, yes, I think as a minimum it should
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Again, can we see a picture of that. It's BLAS0000008 at page 19, figure 8.18, if we can zoom in on that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility; is that correct? A. That's a complex question, because it's window
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	like from the inside of the flat. A. Yes. Q. Where we have the column I think this is flat 13, which is on level 4. It's the kind of exemplar flat across the hallway from flat 16. So this is what the flat 16 window would've looked like; is that correct? A. Exactly, and it's quite striking on site because you realise you could literally cut a hole in the EPDM and put your hand into the column cavity. Yes. Q. You touched on it a moment ago, that gap on both sides of the window, behind the uPVC and this is both at the head and base of the window and down the sides was insulated. A. Yes. Q. We found two products on site; is that right? A. Yes. Q. It's either Celotex TB4000 or Kingspan Thermapitch TP10; is that correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Again, can we see a picture of that. It's BLAS0000008 at page 19, figure 8.18, if we can zoom in on that. So, again, here, is it right we can see the uPVC has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's have another look at another drawing, BLAS0000009 at page 20. That's figure 9.13. That's, again, another picture where we can see it A. Yes. Q on the left, the insulation that you're talking about behind the uPVC. A. Yes, yes. Q. You've asked for test data for the Celotex TB4000, but that's not been provided to date; is that correct? A. Not yet, no. Q. You say that the publicly available BBA that's the British Board of Agrément certificate says that has no determined performance or is European class F; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Whereas that should've been of limited combustibility; is that correct? A. That's a complex question, because it's window insulation. So, yes, I think as a minimum it should

1	It's probably worth stating that I couldn't today		Do you think that would be helpful?
2	look up something in Approved Document B to see if	2	A. I think that would be helpful, because it's the clearest
3	window insulation and wall insulation are meant to be	3	table then about all the different tests you need to do
4	different.	4	to get that letter I won't be able to keep repeating
5	Q. I think this is a good point just to clarify about the	5	them endlessly, it's very hard to remember them,
6	European classification system, because I'm going to	6	actually, there's no many so it would be good to see
7	come in some of my later questioning to ask you more	7	that table, please.
8	questions about is this class E or class D, et cetera.	8	Q. Appendix F, figure F4.
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So I think it's worth, just in general terms I know	10	Q. That's it. If we zoom in on that figure.
11	this is a very complex topic that you've dealt with in	11	A. Yes.
12	appendix F, and we'll have to get into more detail on	12	Q. So you've taken this table from BS EN 13501-1, table 1;
13	this at Phase 2 is it right that the European	13	is that correct?
14	classification has a sliding scale of classifications,	14	A. That's correct.
15	with seven different classes of reaction to fire.	15	Q. This gives us the classifications A1 down to F; is that
16	A. That's correct.	16	correct?
17	Q. We have A1, A2 and then B through to F; is that correct?	17	A. Yes, that's correct. It sets out very clearly the
18	A. That's correct.	18	activity required and so the relevant test, the evidence
19 20	Q. Is it right that when you get down to E and F, we're talking really burny; is that	19 20	required, for each classification, and that's what I've
20		20	looked for when a party has submitted test evidence to
22	A. I couldn't use the phrase "really burny", I'm very	22	the inquiry.
23	sorry! It's dreadful! I would get sacked as an expert	23	Q. In a later section of my questioning, we're going to look at each product and I'm going to ask you what the
23	if I start saying "really burny"! Q. I'm going to drop him in it! That's an explanation	24	classification is. I want to stick at the moment with
25	A. Sorry, anyway.	25	windows.
23	A. Surry, anyway.	23	windows.
	Page 41		Page 43
1	So on a more serious note, A1 is meant to be	1	A. Yes.
1 2	So on a more serious note, A1 is meant to be non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then	1 2	
	So on a more serious note, A1 is meant to be non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat		A. Yes.Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F.
2	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then	2	Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F.
2 3	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat	2 3	Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say
2 3 4	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests.	2 3 4	Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F.A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or
2 3 4 5	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because	2 3 4 5	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher.
2 3 4 5 6	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that!	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was — yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or — it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was — yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or — it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct? A. Again, I couldn't say that there was harmonisation. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window insulation was supposed to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct? A. Again, I couldn't say that there was harmonisation. So in Approved Document B, at the back, and table A6 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window insulation was supposed to be? A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct? A. Again, I couldn't say that there was harmonisation. So in Approved Document B, at the back, and table A6 and table A7, it lists out A1 or A2 as is appropriate. Q. Yes. Thank you. Do you want to go to the table that we see in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window insulation was supposed to be? A. Exactly. Q. The uPVC window surrounds. A. Yes. Q. We've discussed those a lot this week, but can we just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct? A. Again, I couldn't say that there was harmonisation. So in Approved Document B, at the back, and table A6 and table A7, it lists out A1 or A2 as is appropriate. Q. Yes. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window insulation was supposed to be? A. Exactly. Q. The uPVC window surrounds. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	non-combustible, A2 is limited combustibility and then from B down there's greater degrees of flame and heat observed or allowed in the tests. Q. Yes. I'm going to drop Professor Bisby in it, because that's how he first explained to me. A. I can't accept that! Q. Is it right that when these European standards were adopted you just explained it, but let's be clear where the national guidance specified non-combustible materials, the use of A1 materials was considered acceptable, and where the national guidance specified limited combustibility, the use of A2 was considered acceptable; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. I know what you mean. Yes, it is, yes. But they're two different things, national and European. Q. They are. There had to be some kind of harmonisation, even though they're not testing the same thing; is that correct? A. Again, I couldn't say that there was harmonisation. So in Approved Document B, at the back, and table A6 and table A7, it lists out A1 or A2 as is appropriate. Q. Yes. Thank you. Do you want to go to the table that we see in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So we have looked at the Celotex TB4000 which you say has class F. A. Yes. And a material of limited combustibility is A2 or higher. Q. Or better, exactly. A. Yes. Q. Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 is class E; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. You actually believe that that Kingspan Thermapitch TP10 was originally specified to be used as the insert around the extractor fan in the windows; is that correct? You say that in your report. A. Oh, yes, that was yes. So probably it's worth saying that the window insulation isn't specified, the products or it's not written down in the papers that I have at this stage. Q. So in the design drawings you've seen thus far, you can't see any specification for what that window insulation was supposed to be? A. Exactly. Q. The uPVC window surrounds. A. Yes. Q. We've discussed those a lot this week, but can we just

1	figure 8.14.	1	A. No, I didn't see any mechanical fixings.
2	A. Yes. Oh, yes.	2	Q. Do you think that the presence of mechanical fixings
3	Q. This is just to orientate ourselves back on the uPVC	3	could have potentially had an impact on the propensity
4	window surrounds.	4	of that uPVC to come away, fall away, deform, would
5	A. Yes.	5	mechanical fixings have potentially made a difference?
6	Q. Again, we've had a lot of evidence that these windows	6	A. Yes, I think for any of those materials, uPVC or, say,
7	effectively become liquid between 75 and 100 degrees C,	7	composite panels too, when they're free, you know, free
8	and you also make the point they release toxic hydrogen	8	to move or just fixed very lightly, when they're losing
9	chloride gas.	9	their structural stiffness and they're changing state,
10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They deform, I think.	10	I think that they can fall away or, you know, move more
11	A. Yes, it isn't a liquid.	11	when they're not mechanically fixed.
12	MS GRANGE: Yes, sorry	12	I think appendix F and Approved Document B provide
13	A. But understood, they change state.	13	some very interesting information about the impact of
14	Q. They can change state, exactly.	14	mechanically fixing. It's in the context of a panel,
15	A. Yes.	15	but I think it's relevant to uPVC because it changes
16	Q. In, for example, Professor Bisby's presentation earlier	16	state like that as well.
17	on in June, he said the typical day-to-day upper surface	17	Q. You've also identified the fact again, you touched on
18	temperature limit is in the range of about 50 degrees	18	this earlier that the original window surrounds which
19	Celsius and its melting temperature is between 75 and	19	were not removed contained other combustible materials.
20	105 degrees Celsius; that's correct? That's consistent	20	A. Yes.
21	with, I think, the figures in your report.	21	Q. Let's just list those. So we had the original wooden
22	A. Yes, exactly.	22	sills and the internal wood lining. They remained in
23	Q. From a fire engineering perspective, given their low	23	place; is that correct?
24	melting temperatures, do you have a view about the	24	A. They seem to have been left there, yes, yes.
25	choice to use uPVC around the window surround? Is that	25	Q. Can we have a quick look at that. That's BLAS0000008,
	Page 45		Page 47
1	something you feel you can express an opinion on at this	1	page 24, figure 8.25.
2	stage?	2	So in the bottom figure we can see a good
3	A. From a fire engineering perspective?	3	illustration of that.
		_	mustration of that.
4	Q. Yes.	4	Is that what we see, the wooden frames?
4 5	Q. Yes. A. Oh		
		4	Is that what we see, the wooden frames?
5	A. Oh	4 5	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here
5 6	A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw	4 5 6	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other
5 6 7	A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window	4 5 6 7	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals
5 6 7 8	A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds?	4 5 6 7 8	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo,
5 6 7 8 9	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was 	4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for
5 6 7 8 9	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building 	4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS00000001, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes. Q above and below the windows; is that correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS0000001, page 42, and he drew attention to the squiggle of glue 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes. Q above and below the windows; is that correct? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS0000001, page 42, and he drew attention to the squiggle of glue along the side; is that correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS0000001, page 42, and he drew attention to the squiggle of glue along the side; is that correct? A. Yes, it appears to be. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes. Q above and below the windows; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Is it right that that's a layer of plasterboard with a combustible backing?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS0000001, page 42, and he drew attention to the squiggle of glue along the side; is that correct? A. Yes, it appears to be. Q. Did you see in any of your investigations any mechanical fixings ever? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes. Q above and below the windows; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Is it right that that's a layer of plasterboard with a combustible backing? A. That's correct. Q. So it's a kind of double-layer product; yes?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Oh Q. Is there anything in particular you want to draw attention to in terms of the use of uPVC in the window surrounds? A. Okay. Well, I suppose for me, I wouldn't be if I was asked to look at a drawing say, on another building particularly cognisant about the uPVC, it would be more about what's going on behind it. Q. Which we're coming to. A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, in the event, the uPVC was glued to the insulation behind; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it appears to be the case. I have some photos in my report showing the glue line. Q. There's a good one in Professor Torero's report. Perhaps we can go to that, figure 55, JTOS0000001, page 42, and he drew attention to the squiggle of glue along the side; is that correct? A. Yes, it appears to be. Q. Did you see in any of your investigations any mechanical 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that what we see, the wooden frames? A. So that's the supporting frame, so here and here (Indicates). They're the supporting frame. In other places, the actual timbers for the sill and the reveals are also present. So just in this particular photo, it's just the supports for the sill and the supports for the reveal, the old wooden lining. I have photos in appendix C where you can see both. Q. Yes. A. It's good to always refer back to this drawing in my report, figure 8.11, where it's drawn on that the sills, the reveals and the supporting frame were to be left. Q. Yes. Do you want to bring that up? A. No, it's okay, just to make that point. Q. We also had something you identified as purlboard A. Yes. Q above and below the windows; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Is it right that that's a layer of plasterboard with a combustible backing? A. That's correct.

1	A. That's correct.	1	to say 11.15, which is slightly longer than 10 minutes,
2	Q. Let's have a look at that. If we look at BLAS0000008 at	2	but it's easier to work to a figure on the clock.
3	page 16, figure 8.14.	3	I'm going to ask you not to talk to anyone about
4	You have marked that "Original Purlboard ceiling	4	your evidence while you're out of the room, and if you
5	filler strip" above the window; is that correct?	5	go with the usher, we'll be back at 11.15. All right?
6	A. Oh, yes, that's correct.	6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	Q. You say in your report that this is a combustible	7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you so much.
8	material with an ignition temperature of 271 degrees; is	8	Right, 11.15, please.
9	that correct?	9	(11.05 am)
10	A. Yes, in pure form.	10	(A short break)
11	Q. Just to clarify, you also say there's purlboard	11	(11.15 am)
12	underneath the window here; is that correct?	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Dr Lane?
13	A. Yes.	13	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.
14	Q. Is that in a kind of larger quantity there under the	14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Ready to carry on?
15	window?	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
16	A. It's like a lining underneath the window, yes, all the	16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange.
17	way along. Yes. So it's much larger underneath. It's	17	MS GRANGE: Sticking with the windows for a moment, and
18	a traditional form of insulating a room.	18	stepping back and considering that window arrangement as
19	Q. You've identified a number of pathways between the	19	a whole, and just leaving aside the combustibility of
20	outside and the inside in section 9.3 of your report,	20	the materials for the moment, would you have expected to
21	pathways for potential spread of fire.	21	see some form of fire-resisting cavity barriers around
22	I'm not going to go to every single one of those	22	the windows?
23	because a number of those are kind of theoretical	23	A. Yes, I would.
24	pathways, but your conclusions are at paragraph 9.7.	24	Q. I would quite like you to explain to us what the
25	I just want to ask you about those.	25	regulatory requirement was in relation to cavity
	Page 49		Page 51
1	Does it remain your position that once there was	1	barriers. What I'd quite like to do is take you to
2	a localised fire near the window, the majority of the	2	a couple of sections of Approved Document B, if that is
3	materials around the window had no potential	3	okay, and the diagrams
4	fire-resisting performance?	4	A. Okay.
5	A. That's correct.	5	Q just for you to explain to us what the requirement
6	Q. Therefore, no part of the construction had the ability	6	was.
7	to prevent fire spread from inside the building to the	7	A. Yes.
8	external wall cavity; is that correct?	8	Q. So can we go to CLG00000224, page 82 to start with.
9	A. That's correct.	9	This is diagram 33. That's the correct diagram to
10	Q. Once there was a fire in a flat anywhere near a window,	10	start with, yes?
11	there was a very high likelihood that it would break out	11	A. Yes, please, yes.
12	of the flat and into the cladding?	12	Q. So if you can blow that up that's great.
13	A. That's correct.	13	So by reference to this diagram, can you just
14	MS GRANGE: That's your view.	14	explain to us what the requirement is for cavity
15	Mr Chairman, I'm going to go to another topic now,	15	barriers in relation to the windows. We'll come back to
16	which is about cavity barriers around the windows, and	16	this diagram later when we look at cavity barriers in
17	I want to spend a little bit of time on that.	17	the external wall proper.
18	I know people have been asking if we can have	18	A. So in this diagram it shows that where the openings are
19	slightly more frequent breaks, and I think I have the	19	made for a window, that opening must be sealed on all
20	time to accommodate that, so would now be an appropriate	20	sides to seal up the cavity made by the construction of
21	moment for a 10-minute break?	21	the wall. So you'll see you've got the external face
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think it probably would.	22	and internal face of the wall, and fire-resisting
23	You would probably welcome a break, wouldn't you?	23	_
23	THE WITNESS: I would love a little tiny break.	23	construction is placed at the top and bottom of the
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. I'm going	25	wall, and also you seal the sides as needed. Q. Yes. I think we're about to blow that section up.
23	one we have a dreak now. Thi going	23	Q. 163. I tillik we're about to blow that section up.
	Page 50		Page 52

had to see, but = 2 Q. You just referred then to 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity - 3 integrity - 4 is required to seal the eavity formed from the two parts of the wall. Outside and inside of the wall, a cavity is formed, and you seal the top where you've cut an opening in the wall for the window. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. 4 And so = yes, then = okay, just the window. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: be you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about 11 junctions and eavity closures, would that be helpful? That's on the next page, page 81. A Card on that from here. 4 Junctions and eavity closures, would that be helpful? A Lear of where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity burrier is required. 5 of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops. 5 of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops. 5 of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops. 5 latent compartment floor and at the window opening is from this compartment 5 of the wall meets the floor, another 5 latent compartment 5 page 53 There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops. 5 latent floor and at the window opening is from this compartment 5 to the next compartment you have two cavity burriers at the floor, another 6 at the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor and at the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor, another 6 at the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor, another 6 and the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor, another 7 latent floor and at the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor, another 7 latent floor, another 8 latent floor, another 9 latent floor and at the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor, another 1 latent floor, and the window and you're into the next apartment. 5 latent floor,	1	I think that's a good idea. So in grey it's quite	1	requirements.
A So those little grey squares indicate a cavity harrier is required to seal the cavity formed from the two parts of the wall. Outside and inside of the wall, a cavity is formed, and you seal the top where you've cut an opening in the wall for the window. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. 9 A. And so - yes, then - olasy, just the windows. 10 MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about junctions and cavity closures, would that be helpful? 11 Junctions and cavity closures, would that be helpful? 12 That's on the next page, page 81. 13 A. I can do that from here. 14 Q. Okay, fine. 15 A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there - so here is the compartment of floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. 15 The significance of having the cavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to the next compartment, you have two eavity barriers to floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next partment. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 11 MS GRANGE: Yes. 12 In a man of eavity harriers for windows – 12 A. In a window opening, there is. 13 In a man of eavity harriers for windows – 14 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Evaluation. 18 O. So it seemed a flame, and you're be cavity barriers to the next compartment to the next opening is from this compartment to the next compartment of the fleeran price of the seal of the product of the extent of the next partiers. 19 Gor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment of the fleeran price of the p			l .	-
4 Is required to seal the cavity formed from the two parts 5 of the wall. Outside and inside of the wall, a cavity 6 is formed, and you seal the top where you've cut 7 an opening in the wall for the window. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. 9 A. And so – yes, then — okay, just the windows. 10 MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about 11 junctions and cavity clossers, would that be helpful? 12 That's on the next page, page 81. 13 A. I can do that from here. 14 Q. Okay, fine. 15 A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there – so here is the compartment 16 floor, and where it meets the external wall, within the cavity and within the cavity there – so here is the compartment 17 floor, and where it meets the external wall, within the cavity and the middle on the cavity barriers are they have a different performance. 19 The significance of having the eavity barriers at the home and any one at the floor, another 20 of the wall of the window, one at the floor, another 21 at the window and you're into the next apartment. 22 Sealing that cavity between those two compartment 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 24 A. Yes. 25 In lerms of cavity barriers for windows – 26 A. Yes. 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. — and 15 minutes' insulation? 28 A. Okay, so integrity is to try and stop fire and smoke the difference is. 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Okay, so integrity is to try and stop fire and smoke the difference is. 3 So yar're blocking apmoke and flame, and you're trying to insulate it so if there was something above. 3 He products get blocked, you still have heat transfer all the time upwards. 4 L. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 That's correct and flame, and you're trying to study in the heat of the there window in the time the cavity and the meritan and the individual wall will be an another of different options that could've been another of different options that c			l .	
of the wall. Outside and inside of the wall, a cavity an opening in the wall for the window. 7		• • •	l .	
6 is formed, and you seal the top where you've cut 7 an opening in the wall for the window. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. 9 A. And so – yes, then – okay, just the windows. 10 MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about junctions and cavity cleasures, would that be helpful? 11 That's on the next page, page 8.1. 12 A. I can do that from here. 13 A. I can do that from here. 14 Q. Okay, fine: 15 A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there — so here is the compartment court of the cavity, a cavity barrier is required. 16 within the cavity there — so here is the compartment of they have a different performance. 17 There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. 18 There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. 19 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the page 53 10 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the state of the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. 19 Page 53 10 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. 21 The significance of having the cavity barriers are the at the window and you're into the next apartment. 22 The significance of having the cavity barriers are the at the window and you're into the next apartment. 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 24 A. Yes. 25 The significance of having the cavity barriers for windows — 26 A. Yes. 26 The significance of have those two cavity barriers are the window and you're into the next apartment. 27 The significance of having the cavity barriers for windows of the cavity barriers for window of the cavity barriers for window of the cavity barriers for windows and the significance of the vindow and the new window has moved and then the r				
7		•		
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes A. And so – yes, then – okay, just the windows. MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about junctions and cavity closures, would that be helpful? That's on the next page, page 81. A. I can do that from here. O (bay, fine. A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there – so here is the compartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within the cavity here is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. They significance of having the cavity barriers at the single and the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment you have two cavity harriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers are the window and you're into the next apartment. MS GRANGE: Yes In terms of cavity barries for windows – A. Yes. The significance of have been done the compartment at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The significance of have those two contributions of the window opening. The significance of have those the contribution of the cavity barriers for windows – In terms of cavity barries for windows – In terms of cavity bar				
A. And so – yes, then – okay, just the windows. MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 93 as well of ADB about Junctions and cavity closures, would that be helpful? That's on the next page, page 81. A. Can do that from here. Q. Okay, fine. With the eavity there – so here is the cumpartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they are different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, and at the window opening is from this compartment at the window and you're into the next apartment. MS GRANGE: Yes In terms of cavity barriers for windows - A Yes. Jan That's or rect. A Yes. A Yes. Late they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall. A So you can buy all different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall. A So you can buy all different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall. A So you can buy all different type or avity barriers and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but A Approach Document B also allows you to use some classic. A So you can buy all different types of cavity barriers So in terms of the exity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control of the cavity barriers that were in the control		•		
MS GRANGE: Do you want to look at 9.3 as well of ADB about junctions and cavity clossers, would that be helpful? That so not he next page page 8.1. A. I can do that from here. O Clay, fine. A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there—so here is the compartment floor and at the window opening is from this compartment. There is an additional item where the internal face of the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the self the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers as a large that the window opening. The self the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers are the window opening is from this compartment. Page 53 I floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. I herms of cavity barriers for windows— A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? Well barriers that we've seen on the external wall? Well and Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic onstruction materials as a cavity barrier. A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the tickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were some on the external wall? Well and do mineral vool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire a resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So if shout the material type and its thickness, and the substance at a first partie of the product and the performance at a window in substance is give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire a resistance integrity and 15 mi			l .	
11 insulation is about trying to stop the heat on the other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
That's on the next page, page 81. That's on the next page, page 81. A Lean do that from here. Q Okay, fine. A So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity there – so here is the compartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. There is an additional tiem where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The floors. So one at the window opening is from this compartment to the exit part the window opening. They have a different performance. The floors. So one at the window opening and the performance, and		•		
A. I can do that from here. Q. Okay, fine. A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there — so here is the compartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, and at the window opening is from this compartment page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, and at the window opening is from this compartment page 53 The significance of having the cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. And S GRANGE: Yes. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The floors So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. And S GRANGE: Yes. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. Page 53 The floors So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. And S GRANGE: Yes. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. And S GRANGE: Yes. The significance of having the cavity barriers of the window openings? And I a window openings? And I a window openings. And I a window opening. And I an wi			l .	
14				
A. So where a compartment floor meets the external wall, within the cavity there – so here is the compartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity harrier is required. There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The significance of having the eavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment. Page 53 Those so one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. Mis GRANGE: Wes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows – A. Yes. Q. – are they akind of different type to the cavity barriers and the window and you will all different types of cavity barriers. A So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier. and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier. Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a construction material by an all the ca				
within the cavity there — so here is the compartment floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment of the window opening. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment floor and at the window opening is from this compartment floor and at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. The significance of having the cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window opening. A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a availow sit is thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing If the products get blocked, you still the transfer all the time upwards. Q. So it was the metate in the realide the three might have the wall of the window opening. It an allow the mide window opening. Q. In any window opening. A. In an window opening. A. In an window opening. Q. In any window opening. Q. In any window opening. A. In an window opening. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document. A. T		•		
17 floor, and where it meets the external wall, within that cavity, a cavity barrier is required. 18 cavity, a cavity barrier is required. 19 There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. 21 they have a different performance. 22 The significance of having the cavity barrier at the to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment page 53 1 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. 2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 4 MS GRANGE: Yes. 5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but an Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. 10 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 19 for window openings? 10 So in terms of the cavity barriers what were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 19 for window openings? 10 So in terms of the cavity barriers what were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 19 for window openings? 10 So it's and where the interent stops and its thickness, and how you install it.		•		
There is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meest the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers as sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers as sealing that cavity between those two compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. The window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. A. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for window openings? A. In any window opening, there is. Q. Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the Page 55 The windows when you did your site inspection; is that contract the window and you're into the next apartment. A. Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Than's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance decument, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrie between the ut-VC windows and the combastible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the windows. A. In any window openings? A. In any window openings. A. In any window opening, A. In an		•		
they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers at the window opening. Those is an additional item where the internal face of the wall meets the floor. They're called fire stops, they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers at the window opening. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the floor, and the window opening. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the window opening. The significance of having the cavity barriers at the window opening. A. In any window opening, there is. Q. Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the vindows when you did your site inspection; is that correct? A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance decument, could they have inhibited the spread to the external walf? Well barriers that tweve seen on the external walf? Well solve these later. Are there special cavity barriers and it don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing.			l .	
they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Yes. O, — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll book at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. I think. Leart answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little		•		•
they have a different performance. The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows - A. Yes. Q are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. In any window opening, there is. Q. Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the windows when you did your site inspection; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance developed by the providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old vindow and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. Idon't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, A. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little				
The significance of having the cavity barrier at the floor and at the window opening is from this compartment to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 Page 55 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows – A. Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows – A. Yes. Q. – are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window opening. A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenefell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire tressitance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 22 A. In an window opening, 23 A. In any window opening, 24 A. In any window opening, 25 Q. In any window opening, 26 A. In any window opening, there is. 26 Q. Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the Page 55 1 windows when you did your site inspection; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the correct? A. It hank I an avindow opening, 26 A. In an window opening, 27 A. In an window openi		•		-
23		•	l .	
to the next compartment, you have two cavity barriers sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 Page 55 A. In any window opening, there is. 2 Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the window and you're into the next apartment. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 4 MS GRANGE: Yes. 5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity 8 barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll 9 look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers 10 for window openings? 11 A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, 12 and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but 13 Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it gives a specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, and it			l .	• •
25 sealing that cavity between those two compartment Page 53 1 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another 2 at the window and you're into the next apartment. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 4 MS GRANGE: Yes. 5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity 8 barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll 9 look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers 10 for window openings? 11 A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, 11 and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but 13 Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic 14 control of the cavity barrier, 15 you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier, 16 you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. 17 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were 18 installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind 19 of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 20 substance at a thickness to give you the performance, 21 and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire 22 resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. 23 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 26 Q. Just to be clear, you found no cavity barriers at the Page 55 1 windows when you did your site inspection; is that 2 correct? 4 Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance 4 document, could they have inhibited the spread to the 2 exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows 3 A. I think Learl same yen in the carbity document and then the roll window and then the 2 window has moved and then the relative location of the 2 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 2 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 3 and then the voids into the main cladding are very 4 complex and interconnected. Okay? 5 So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that 6 cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than 7 that b		• •	l .	
Page 53 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll book at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing Page 55 windows when you did your site inspection; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the new Vindows and the new vindow has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP				
1 floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another 2 at the window and you're into the next apartment. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 4 MS GRANGE: Yes. 5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity 8 barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll 9 look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers 10 for window openings? 11 A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, 12 and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but 13 Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic 14 construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives 15 specific rules about the thickness of those materials if 16 you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. 17 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were 18 installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind 19 of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 20 substance at a thickness to give you the performance, 21 and how you install it. Depending on the product 22 resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. 23 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 2 supplier, they will have specific detailing 3 Windows when you did your site inspection; is that 2 correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance 4 document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the exterior by providing ab parier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the the WPC windows 4 A. I think I can't asswer that question directly be exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows 4 A. I think I can't asswer that question directly be extens we have to remember the old window and then the voids around the window and then t	23	seaming that cavity between those two compartment	23	Q. Just to be clear, you round no cavity barriers at the
at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows — A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll blook at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 2 correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how y		Page 53		Page 55
3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 4 MS GRANGE: Yes. 5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 6 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity 8 barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll 9 look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers 10 for window openings? 11 A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, 12 and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but 13 A. I think I can't answer that question directly 16 because we have to remember the old window and the new 17 window has moved and then the relative location of the 18 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 19 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 19 and then the voids into the main cladding are very 10 complex and interconnected. Okay? 11 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were 12 installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind 13 of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 14 substance at a thickness to give you the performance, 15 and how you install it. Depending on the product 16 supplier, they will have specific detailing 17 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 18 and how you install it. Depending on the product 29 supplier, they will have specific detailing 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little				
MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in terms of the cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	1	floors. So one at the window, one at the floor, another	1	windows when you did your site inspection; is that
5 In terms of cavity barriers for windows — 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity 8 barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll 9 look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers 10 for window openings? 11 A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, 12 and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but 13 Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic 14 construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives 15 specific rules about the thickness of those materials if 16 you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. 17 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were 18 installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind 19 of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 20 substance at a thickness to give you the performance, 21 and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire 22 resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. 23 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 26 document, could they have inhibited the spread to the 27 exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows 28 and the combustible components of the cladding spatier? 28 A. I think I can't answer that question directly 29 because we have to remember the old window and the new 20 window has moved and then the relative location of the 21 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 22 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 23 and then the voids into the main cladding are very 24 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 25 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 26 and then the voids into the main cladding are very 27 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 28 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 29 and then the voids into the main cladding are very 20 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get 21 into that. But, remember, the voids around the window 22 and then the v				
A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. Application of the cavity barriers work out the material type and its thickness, and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2	at the window and you're into the next apartment.	2	correct?
A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire zo and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire and the performance at a window window. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.	2 3	correct? A. That's correct.
barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes.	2 3 4	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance
because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then new window and then new window and then new window into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could.	2 3 4 5	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows	2 3 4 5	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the
for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows
A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 11 cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. – are they a kind of different type to the cavity	2 3 4 5 6 7	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system?
and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. – are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly
Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire substance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new
construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the
specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get
you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 16 cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window
17 So in terms of the cavity barriers that were 18 installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind 19 of mineral wool substance. So you could use that 20 substance at a thickness to give you the performance, 21 and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire 22 resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. 23 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 26 that because of the interconnection of all the cavities 27 and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. 28 Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? 29 A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very
installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 18 and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. 20 Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? 23 A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay?
of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 19 the two windows. 20 Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? 23 A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that
substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 20 Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than
and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 21 could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities
resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. 23 So it's about the material type and its thickness, 24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 22 arrangement? 23 A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to 24 work out how one could. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of
So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product supplier, they will have specific detailing 23 A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows.
24 and how you install it. Depending on the product 25 supplier, they will have specific detailing 26 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you
supplier, they will have specific detailing 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would like to pursue this a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of
The state of the s	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement?
Page 54 Page 56	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at the window and you're into the next apartment. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MS GRANGE: Yes. In terms of cavity barriers for windows A. Yes. Q. — are they a kind of different type to the cavity barriers that we've seen on the external wall? We'll look at those later. Are there special cavity barriers for window openings? A. So you can buy all different types of cavity barrier, and I don't know a whole range of products as such, but Approved Document B also allows you to use some classic construction materials as a cavity barrier, and it gives specific rules about the thickness of those materials if you want to rely on them as a cavity barrier. So in terms of the cavity barriers that were installed in Grenfell Tower, they are made from a kind of mineral wool substance. So you could use that substance at a thickness to give you the performance, and the performance at a window is 30 minutes' fire resistance integrity and 15 minutes' insulation. So it's about the material type and its thickness, and how you install it. Depending on the product	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's correct. Q. In this location, as shown on the statutory guidance document, could they have inhibited the spread to the exterior by providing a barrier between the uPVC windows and the combustible components of the cladding system? A. I think I can't answer that question directly because we have to remember the old window and the new window has moved and then the relative location of the cladding. I don't know if you want me to try and get into that. But, remember, the voids around the window and then the voids into the main cladding are very complex and interconnected. Okay? So in a classic window, yes, you could seal off that cavity. At Grenfell Tower, it's much more complex than that because of the interconnection of all the cavities and the location of the ACP and the relative location of the two windows. Q. Is what you're saying that it's not obvious how you could've provided cavity barriers with that kind of arrangement? A. Exactly. In fact, I have spent a lot of time trying to work out how one could.

1	bit further, if I may.	1	there is an easy route either above, on the spandrels
2	You've examined some of the drawings for this work.	2	above the window, on the columns beside the window, and
3	A. Yes.	3	also on the insulation core panel side of the windows
4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Did the drawings show the presence	4	also, where the Aluglaze panels were installed.
5	of cavity barriers?	5	So it's about materials and just that
6	A. No, they did not.	6	interconnectedness of all the cavities.
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So they were never provided for?	7	Q. Do you think these weaknesses might be even more
8	A. They don't appear to have been considered, no.	8	important when you're looking at maybe fires on ledges
9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, all right. Well, then, the next	9	that have broken back down, and then smaller fires are
10	question doesn't arise.	10	generated from, say, melting and dripping products
11	A. Okay.	11	above, and then it hits on the window ledge, as we saw
12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.	12	in Professor Bisby's evidence? Do you think potentially
13	MS GRANGE: Just moving on a little, a point made in	13	then the weaknesses in the window arrangement are
14	Professor Torero's report is that windows can't be	14	relevant?
15	designed to withstand the heat of an external fire when	15	A. Yes. So there it's a heat transfer route, isn't it?
16	you have a big external fire going on, and he refers to	16	It's a route for if there's a little fire pooling
17	the potential for an external fire to generate heat	17	on do you mean on an ACP panel outside the window?
18	fluxes of up to 120 kilowatts per metre square.	18	Q. Yes.
19	In the light of that, do you still think the	19	A. So if you have some kind of localised condition on the
20	weaknesses in the window arrangement which you've	20	panel there, remember, as I've said, the cavity behind
21	identified potentially played a role at Grenfell Tower?	21	there is connected in to the cavity in the window, and
22	A. I do think the windows played a role. I don't quite	22	you've got combustible materials around the window.
23	understand the full extent of what Professor Torero	23	Q. I just want to ask you a few questions about spray foam.
24	means. Is that the glass or the frame or both?	24	Professor Bisby has identified the use of spray foam
25	Q. I think it's the glass predominantly.	25	to seal gaps around the windows to provide a final
	D 57		D 50
	Page 57		Page 59
1	A. Oh, the glass. Well, I agree with that, yes.	1	airtight seal.
1 2		1 2	airtight seal. A. Yes.
	Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all,		•
2	Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the	2	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant
2 3	Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all,	2 3	A. Yes.
2 3 4	Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin?	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the
2 3 4 5	Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin?A. The construction materials around the window?	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities — I can do that for next week — but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities — I can do that for next week — but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? A. Yes. So because of the voids around the window and how 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke and fire in the external cladding arrangement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? A. Yes. So because of the voids around the window and how those voids then connected in to the larger cavities, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke and fire in the external cladding arrangement. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? A. Yes. So because of the voids around the window and how those voids then connected in to the larger cavities, and all the materials in those two parts — the small 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities — I can do that for next week — but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke and fire in the external cladding arrangement. A. Okay. Q. This is addressed in detail in section 10 of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? A. Yes. So because of the voids around the window and how those voids then connected in to the larger cavities, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos we can look at them demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities I can do that for next week but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke and fire in the external cladding arrangement. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Could it have played a role in terms of, first of all, facilitating the initial escape of the fire out of the compartment of origin? A. The construction materials around the window? Q. Yes. A. Yes, it did. Yes. Q. Again, we're going to come back to escape route later. A. Yes, okay. Q. Do you think that the weaknesses in the window arrangement that you've identified could've also accelerated the rate at which the fire could break back in once it's spread up the exterior? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is that whether or not you're looking at vertical fire spread or you're looking at kind of downwards fire spread, melting and dripping of materials setting fire to subsequent fires? A. Yes. Q. Do you think those weaknesses would've played a role in both scenarios? A. Yes. So because of the voids around the window and how those voids then connected in to the larger cavities, and all the materials in those two parts — the small 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. He thinks that that might be potentially significant regarding the ingress of fire and smoke around the windows. Your photos — we can look at them — demonstrate fire entry at windows. Do you have any views about the extent to which that process would've been exacerbated by spray foam around the windows? A. I assume he considers the spray foam used to be one of the many combustible spray foams. I don't think it exacerbated the situation in the sense I think there were plenty of other combustible materials there and that's just one more. I mean, if you wanted me to give a view, I'd kind of want to quantify all the relative quantities — I can do that for next week — but I wouldn't call it a dominant parameter in the context of heat transfer or flame progression through the construction around the window. Q. Thank you. I now want to turn to look at the pathways for smoke and fire in the external cladding arrangement. A. Okay. Q. This is addressed in detail in section 10 of your

1	page 5, which is your diagram of six pathways,	1	A. Yes.
2	figures 10.2 and 10.3.	2	Q. Do you agree with Professor Bisby that flames elongate
3	So we're going to keep coming back to this, just to	3	up to five to ten times their expected length in
4	kind of keep orientating ourselves on this.	4	a concealed space? Is that kind of recognised?
5	So here you have five different pathways, and then	5	A. I agree that they elongate in a concealed space, yes.
6	in 10.3 we'll see pathway F, which we'll come to last,	6	Q. You've indicated that there were gaps of around
7	around the crown.	7	139 millimetres in depth between the face of the
8	A. Yes.	8	Reynobond panels and the outer face of the insulation
9	Q. So let's start with pathway A, which you have as	9	over the columns.
10	vertical spread both up and down the height of the	10	A. Yes.
11	columns.	11	Q. Is that based on your site observations and
12	A. Yes.	12	measurements?
13	Q. You say in sections 10.3.1 to 10.3.24 of your report,	13	A. Yes, so I did some measurements, yes. But I've been
14	that the columns contained a number of combustible	14	thinking about this again because of this little detail
15	materials, including PIR insulation, there was the core	15	on the drawing where there's that movement all the time.
16	of the aluminium composite panel, and then obviously we	16	So there must have been other locations, if you think
17	have the EDPM rubber proof membrane down the sides.	17	about geometry, when it was bigger or smaller.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Yes. So the cavity
19	Q. You've drawn attention to the fact that they were	19	A. Physically in the building when it was completed, when
20	ventilated because of gaps between the cladding panels	20	the works were complete.
21	designed to permit ventilation of the cavity; is that	21	Q. Are you saying that the cavity may have varied up the
22	correct?	22	columns?
23	A. That's correct.	23	A. It should have if you think about this variation that
24	Q. That's in the column, yes?	24	was needed beside the window all the time.
25	A. What's in the	25	Q. Yes.
	Page 61		Page 63
		1	
1	O. Voulte telling shout the gen in the salumn	1	A So then the column panels had to connect in to the
1	Q. You're talking about the gap in the column.	1	A. So then the column panels had to connect in to the
2	A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column.	2	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally.
2 3	A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes.	2 3	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of
2 3 4	A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column.Q. Yes.A. Yes.	2 3 4	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally.Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct?
2 3 4 5	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into 	2 3 4 5	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally.Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct?A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide 	2 3 4 5 6	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that your assessment? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most dominant thing, if that's okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that your assessment? A. The principal route, yes, in the beginning. Yes, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most dominant thing, if that's okay. Q. Just to be clear, in terms of the vertical channels that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that your assessment? A. The principal route, yes, in the beginning. Yes, correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most dominant thing, if that's okay. Q. Just to be clear, in terms of the vertical channels that were there, you have one at the column tip; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that your assessment? A. The principal route, yes, in the beginning. Yes, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most dominant thing, if that's okay. Q. Just to be clear, in terms of the vertical channels that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Oh, the gaps in the panels attached to the column. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. You say that, once ignited, the natural flow of air into the cavity, driven by the rising hot air, would provide a continuous source of oxygen, fuelling the fire; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. You're saying the fact they are ventilated columns is important; is that right? A. Yes, exactly. I mean, there's been an awful lot of discussion in the last few days about the combustion process. So you need the right quantity of fuel, air and heat to have a good combustion process that also supports flaming. So clearly the gaps in the panels and the other means for air to enter that environment provided an adequate air supply, because we observed perfectly effective combustion and flaming combustion. Q. Is it right that, in your assessment, these columns were the principal route for vertical flame spread? Is that your assessment? A. The principal route, yes, in the beginning. Yes, correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spandrels, so there must be some change occasionally. Q. We also have vertical cladding rails the full height of the building; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. We have these cladding rails at the tips and also at the edges of the columns, these unbroken pathways. A. That's correct. Q. Again, do you think those are significant? A. Do I think they're significant? Q. In terms of vertical flame spread, or the potential for vertical flame spread. A. For me, the material in the panel is the significant feature. The channels form a void you know, it's like one big, long void and they broke the cavity barriers too, but the cavity barriers were impacted by the material in the panel as well. So I couldn't say that I thought the channels were very significant or, again, a governing parameter in terms of the vertical spread of flame. I'll say it's one more thing, rather than the most dominant thing, if that's okay. Q. Just to be clear, in terms of the vertical channels that were there, you have one at the column tip; is that

1	A. Yes.	1	ACP you have to observe it from the top, and there's
2	Q. We saw that yesterday in Professor Bisby's drawings.	2	all sort of video footage and the helicopter footage
3	A. Yes.	3	that shows it much better than this photo, but you see
4	Q. But then also down the side, where you've got the	4	initially liquid burning polyethylene igniting the ACP
5	line D, is it right that there's potentially a cladding	5	panel, and then some kind of combustion process
6	rail with a panel coming into it on either side, and you	6	commences, an effective combustion process, and enough
7	sometimes have rails up the sides of those columns that	7	gases, enough products of combustion are produced to
8	could've also provided a vertical route?	8	allow flaming combustion, and you can see it progressing
9	A. Well, so on the corner columns, the condition is	9	down through typically the ACP panel on the column.
10	different.	10	But at times also, when you're looking at the moving
11	Q. Yes.	11	footage, it heats up laterals as it leaves them in its
12	A. So on these columns here (Indicates), you'll see two	12	wake, as such, and then those laterals have received
13	panels come together in a point. But at the corners,	13	enough heat that fires start on either side of the
14	they look almost like a rectangle, and so you have two	14	column also and the flame front progresses downwards,
15	channels there.	15	fuelled by the combustible materials present there.
16	Q. You've actually identified we're going to come back	16	Q. We can see kind of on the right, there's a line on the
17	to this that there might have been a route of flame	17	right of that flame at the top where the window is. Is
18	spread behind those columns; is that correct?	18	that where you can start to see the going
19	A. Yes. But where the column panels meet the building,	19	A. Do you mean here and here (Indicates)?
20	yes, they interface, remember, with the laterals and	20	Q. On the right, yes.
21	there is a connection there.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Yes.	22	Q. You give the mechanisms for downward fire spread at
23	A. There is a cladding rail there, a small one.	23	10.3.23 of your report. That's BLAS0000010 at page 14.
24	Q. Yes.	24	You highlight two key things here.
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Yes.
	Page 65		Page 67
1	O. Verley and discourse and distributes of release D5 on	,	O. The select less Country Described and acceptance of
1	Q. You've noted in your report that the top of column B5 on	1	Q. The polyethylene from the Reynobond panels melting and
2	the east face was on fire within approximately	2	running down the building and down the columns.
3	12 minutes of emerging from flat 16; is that correct?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Yes.	4 5	Q. This is pathway A.
5	Q. You've also noted the ability of fire to spread both up		A. Yes.
6	and down the columns.	6 7	Q. You also talk about radiation from the fire within the
7	A. Yes.		cavity heating materials below, within the fire, within
8	Q. Let's look at figure 10.9 of your report.	8	the cavity.
9	A. Yes.	9	Can you just talk about that latter part as well?
10	Q. BLAS0000010 at page 11.	10	A. Yes, so I think before you see a flame, there are all
11	A. Yes.	11 12	sorts of things happening to materials when they're
12	Q. We can zoom in on that figure.	1	being heated. So as the flame front is progressing,
13 14	A. Yes. Q. So I believe this is column B1, which is on the west	13 14	it's kind of like you can't see it, but the materials in front, they're being heated, it's heating the
15	face; is that correct?	15	
16	A. Yes.	16	materials as it goes, there's all sorts of heat transfer
17	Q. It's pretty obvious what you're drawing attention to	17	effects going on in the materials around it. When that preheating, if you will, is occurring, there's gases
18	here, but this seems to show an illustration of what	18	being produced and, at some point, they form a system
19	you're referring to here, this mechanism of downward	19	that can support flaming.
20	fire spread?	20	So I think when there's a fire on a panel, it is
21	A. Yes.	20 21	heating the materials in the cavity behind it.
22	Q. Can you just explain the processes you think might be	22	Q. You're talking here specifically about downwards as
	going on there that we're seeing in that image?	23	well, yes? Are you saying that the columns are
	going on there that we're seeing in that image:	24	effectively a kind of enclosed space that's going to get
23	A Okay So at this stage when the flame front's down		
24	A. Okay. So at this stage, when the flame front's down		
	A. Okay. So at this stage, when the flame front's down here, what I can see is the material, the core of the	25	heated?
24			

1	A. Yes, it's not cold. It's not, you know, freezing cold	1	channels, you think that that can then be a route to
2	in front of the flame front, no matter the direction.	2	spread across the panels?
3	It may be slightly different temperatures, but it's not	3	A. That's correct. So, again, you have, as you observed
4	freezing cold in front of the flame front and nothing's	4	from the footage on the night, clearly a perfect flaming
5	happening around it.	5	combustion process created, and that is how the flame
6	Q. Okay. Let's move, then, to pathway B that you've	6	was able to travel in both directions along the
7	identified. You have this as horizontal spread across	7	laterals. You have the fuel on the outside layer, the
8	the Reynobond spandrel panels.	8	insulation layer, you've air, and so I'm just going to
9	A. Yes.	9	call it a perfect combustion process was clearly made.
10	Q. Can we just go back to your BLAS0000010 at page 5, and	10	Q. Can we just talk a bit about the bit immediately above
11	figure 10.2, and just look at that.	11	the window where it goes back inside. Do we have
12	So here we have, in the darker blue, B, across those	12	an exposed PE edge around the back of those panels?
13		13	
	panels.	14	A. So you mean where it comes in towards the top of the
14	A. Yes.		aluminium window frame?
15	Q. You say something very specific about this. You say	15	Q. Yes.
16	that the panels contained a number of gaps. I think	16	A. My understanding is it is exposed there, but now that
17	what you're drawing attention to is the gaps between the	17	you've asked me, I would want to look frantically at all
18	spandrel panels, those little black lines that we can	18	my photos in appendix C to check.
19	see going	19	Q. I think Professor Bisby thought there might be a
20	A. Yes.	20	small lip, a bit like we see on that picture.
21	Q with exposed PE cores.	21	A. It depends on how the flat panel was cut actually there.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Yes.
23	Q. And that those gaps permit air into the cavity and trap	23	A. So I would have to look at it.
24	the flames and hot gases, which are then trapped by the	24	Q. Okay.
25	return of the panel as it meets the concrete spandrel at	25	A. I don't know which part of the flat panel was cut and
	Page 69		Page 71
	4 1 1 11 11 11 1		
1	the windowsill; is that correct?	1	which part was folded in that exact location.
2	A. That's correct, yes.	2	Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted
2 3	A. That's correct, yes.Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us	2 3	Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct?
2 3 4	A. That's correct, yes.Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here.	2 3 4	Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct?A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame
2 3 4 5	A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above	2 3 4 5	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes.
2 3 4	A. That's correct, yes.Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here.	2 3 4	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread
2 3 4 5	A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above	2 3 4 5	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread,
2 3 4 5 6	A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. Q. That's his figure on the right there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept that this is going to be a much slower mechanism than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. Q. That's his figure on the right there. A. That's correct, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept that this is going to be a much slower mechanism than what we would see, for example, with vertical flame
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. Q. That's his figure on the right there. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Which you think is significant. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept that this is going to be a much slower mechanism than what we would see, for example, with vertical flame spread up the column?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. Q. That's his figure on the right there. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Which you think is significant. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept that this is going to be a much slower mechanism than what we would see, for example, with vertical flame spread up the column? A. So much slower, you know in what context? I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's correct, yes. Q. Let's just look at figure 10.26 so you can explain to us precisely what you're trying to illustrate here. Is that the gap that you're referring to there above the window? A. Yes. So there I'm showing you, you know, the two panels are not flush against each other. By design, you know, they need to have a ventilation gap between them. Q. What you're saying is you think that that's a space that flame will be attracted to and go up? A. Yes. So a flame can enter there and cause heating behind that panel when it's in position, yes. Q. You're also drawing attention to the exposed polyethylene edges; is that correct? A. Yes, I am. Q. Again, I think Professor Bisby explained to us that around those corners, you have a number of exposed PE edges, as you can see in his — A. Yes. Q. That's his figure on the right there. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Which you think is significant. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. You think those cut edges themselves would've promoted horizontal flame spread; is that correct? A. Well, the cut edges expose fuel to the looming flame front. That's what I mean, yes. Q. Do you agree that any horizontal or lateral flame spread here would be via effectively opposed-flow flame spread, so it's going to the side rather than up, and therefore it's likely to be slower A. Oh, yes. Q than vertical flame spread? A. Yes, I do agree with that. And, again, there is very good visual evidence of that. Q. Of it A. Of the difference in rate. Q. Of it moving much more slowly? A. Yes, of it moving slower. Q. We explored that with Professor Torero. A. Yes. I agree with that. Q. To the extent that this is a mechanism, do you accept that this is going to be a much slower mechanism than what we would see, for example, with vertical flame spread up the column?

1	but I think it's a perfectly effective flame front.	1	A. Yes.
2	Whether its speed is greater or less than the column is	2	Q. We're going to look at these panels on a number of
3	not significant to my mind.	3	occasions.
4	Q. Let's look at pathway C. This is horizontally across	4	Let's just be clear what the window infill panels
5	the edges of the head and sill of the windows, and the	5	are. Are they those white panels we see in the
6	edges, top and bottom, of the insulating window panels.	6	bottom-left?
7	Let's just look at your marked-up figure 10.30 at	7	A. Yes.
8	BLAS0000010, page 30.	8	Q. There's still some remaining there.
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. We can zoom in there.	10	Q. The Aluglaze infill panels.
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Mm.
12	Q. Can you just point out where on this diagram you say we	12	Q. Is it right that those were extruded polystyrene, often
13	are seeing this horizontal let's focus on the head	13	referred to as XPS?
14	and sill of the windows for the moment.	14	A. Yes, that's correct.
15	A. I was trying to show, you know, particularly here	15	Q. Can we just be clear what these are. Is it right they
16	(Indicates), that the construction products there are	16	are two layers of aluminium and a Styrofoam core, which
17	flaming also. I don't know if	17	is made up of this extruded polystyrene?
18	Q. Yes. So you're looking at these little lines of	18	A. That's correct.
19	flame	19	Q. You say in your report that we have 25 millimetres of
20	A. Yes, exactly.	20	the Styrofoam core and then 1.5-millimetre aluminium
21	Q across the head and bottom of the window?	21	sheets on either side; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, and if you pick one of the lines I can't do it	22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	there just up through that elevation, you tend to be	23	Q. Professor Bisby has set out the properties of XPS in his
24	able to find you know you can move your finger along,	24	report, paragraph 437 for the note. He says they have
25	showing the flame, moving up horizontally or vertically,	25	a melting temperature of 230 degrees C.
	, g.r		5 1
	Page 73		Page 75
1	just on the edges, not engulfing a whole panel. Yes.	1	Is that your understanding?
2	Q. Just to be clear, it's difficult to tell from these	2	A. Yes, it is, yes.
3	pictures, but what do you think may be burning there	3	Q. You have them here as part of your pathway C,
4	along the head and sill of the windows?	4	horizontally along the top and bottom of those window
5	A. Well, when I marked that up, I imagined that the	5	panels?
6	construction materials there were burning.	6	A. Mm.
7	Q. What materials are we talking about?	7	Q. Can you just explain to us how you think they may have
8	A. Oh, right, sorry, just around the window.	1	
	/ 😅 -/ V/g	8	
9	Q. Yes.	8 9	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread?
9	Q. Yes. A. Yes.	9	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread?
	Q. Yes.A. Yes.Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around	9 10	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway.
10	A. Yes.	9 10 11	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up?
10 11 12	A. Yes.Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window?	9 10 11 12	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the
10 11	A. Yes.Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window?A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on	9 10 11 12 13	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly.
10 11 12 13	A. Yes.Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window?A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the	9 10 11 12 13 14	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is
10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window?A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on	9 10 11 12 13	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to?
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible materials that make up the window surrounds, including 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids underneath those, you had combustible materials on all
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible materials that make up the window surrounds, including the polymeric insulation which was used to fill the gaps 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids underneath those, you had combustible materials on all sides of those panels too.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible materials that make up the window surrounds, including the polymeric insulation which was used to fill the gaps we looked at earlier. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the — is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids underneath those, you had combustible materials on all
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible materials that make up the window surrounds, including the polymeric insulation which was used to fill the gaps we looked at earlier. You've also referred here to the window infill panels. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids underneath those, you had combustible materials on all sides of those panels too. I wanted to draw attention just separately that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. So you're talking about the insulation materials around the window? A. Oh, yes. Exactly. So I've said that there was uPVC on the inside and then you had the timber, if you're at the sill, you have the timber sill, you've got the insulation Q. So all those components we were looking at earlier? A. All those components, particularly when the ACP panel has gone, okay? That's what I meant. Q. Yes. So you said this involved the combustible materials that make up the window surrounds, including the polymeric insulation which was used to fill the gaps we looked at earlier. You've also referred here to the window infill 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	played a role in terms of horizontal flame spread? A. In terms of horizontal flame spread? Q. Yes, that's your pathway. A. Yes. So the is there an image coming up? Q. Shall we go back to that image? Can we go back to the image we had a moment ago. Yes, exactly. If we look maybe at the top-right of that image, is that what you're trying to refer to? A. So when I was referring to the pathways horizontally along the panels, again, I'm speaking about the materials surrounding those panels. I come on later to the panel itself. So, again, the panels were inserted into an aluminium frame and, again, you had the voids underneath those, you had combustible materials on all sides of those panels too. I wanted to draw attention just separately that

1	those Aluglaze openings, if you will, there is a line	1	is the distortion of the aluminium panels on either side
2	separate to the panel that is formed with combustible	2	of the Styrofoam; is that correct?
3	materials.	3	A. Well, actually, multi-directional. So it depends what
4	Q. Yes.	4	temperature is where. So a relative distortion of the
5	A. The panel itself is a different pathway.	5	front and back face, but actually distortion along this
6	Q. I see. So you have combustible material down the	6	plane also as a function of where the heat is at the
7	sides	7	front.
8	A. Again, surrounding that.	8	Q. Once they distort and there is a fire near them, what do
9	Q. Yes. And along the top?	9	you expect to happen?
10	A. Along the top and bottom side and both lateral sides.	10	A. I think that distortion so, first of all, there is
11	Q. Yes.	11	the heat transfer into the core and, you know, aluminium
12	A. Okay?	12	can't stop that from happening, so it's heating anyway.
13	And, yes, it's probably worth noting that there	13	Then any kind of distortion that allows, say, the edges
14	aren't any drawings that exist of the detailing there,	14	of the Styrofoam to be exposed, or it might be the panel
15	so I have to rely on just what I saw on site and photos	15	can actually snap out of the aluminium frame.
16	like this.	16	Q. Yes.
17	Q. Yes. Yes.	17	A. But the overriding condition is the Styrofoam being
18	Professor Bisby was taken to this image and he says	18	heated through the metal face.
19	what he thinks you can see in some of those instances is	19	It's probably worth saying that those panels,
20	actually pool fires burning at the bottom of those	20 21	Aluglaze, we did some calcs, they make up about 16 or
21 22	window infill panels?	22	17 per cent of the external wall, so they're a relatively low proportion.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Can we have a look at figure 10.35 of your report. It's
23	Q. Do you think that might be correct?A. I think that some could be pool fires, and I think	24	BLAS000010, page 37.
25	others could be the construction materials burning.	25	If we zoom in on the figure at the top of that page.
23	others could be the construction materials burning.	25	if we zoon in on the righte at the top of that page.
	Page 77		Page 79
1	O Vos Vos	1	So this is post fire
1	Q. Yes. Yes.	1	So this is post-fire.
2	A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're	2	A. Yes.
2 3	A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the	2 3	A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of
2 3 4	A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials.	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of
2 3 4 5	A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs?
2 3 4	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels 	2 3 4	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here,
2 3 4 5 6	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates).
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it — if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer face to heat up and relatively easily distort, and also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of Luke Bisby's report, LBYS0000001 at page 96.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer face to heat up and relatively easily distort, and also it allows the conduction of heat through it into the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of Luke Bisby's report, LBYS0000001 at page 96. If we zoom in on the top, this is a picture of one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer face to heat up and relatively easily distort, and also it allows the conduction of heat through it into the Styrofoam, which degrades very nicely under heat. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of Luke Bisby's report, LBYS0000001 at page 96. If we zoom in on the top, this is a picture of one of those panels that was provided to Professor Bisby.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer face to heat up and relatively easily distort, and also it allows the conduction of heat through it into the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of Luke Bisby's report, LBYS0000001 at page 96. If we zoom in on the top, this is a picture of one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. So I agree with his perspective. I don't think they're all pool fires and I don't think they're all the localised construction materials. Q. If you have a pool fire there at the bottom of one of those window infill panels A. At the bottom of the Aluglaze? Q. Yes, along the base of those panels, along the ledge, you have a pool fire there, what's your expectation in terms of the performance of that panel, given what we know about it? A. So you mean if the pool fire has come from so it's liquid from somewhere else and it's managed to pool on the tilted panel under the window? Q. Yes. A. Oh. There would then be a localised heating condition of the next panel, so the Aluglaze panel. Q. Yes. A. They don't appear to have been mechanically fixed into the aluminium frame; they seem to just have been snapped in. Okay? So I would expect the aluminium on the outer face to heat up and relatively easily distort, and also it allows the conduction of heat through it into the Styrofoam, which degrades very nicely under heat. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. We can see some of the Aluglaze panels. Is the kind of distortion you were talking about evident on any of those photographs? A. Yes. So I think I can see it if I just So here, potentially, and obviously here as well (Indicates). Q. That one in the middle, the lower win in the middle, can we zoom in on that one, in the bottom. Do you think that's an example of the distortion? A. Yes, because I think the core you know, I don't think it's a nice even the aluminium isn't over anything even anymore. Q. Those panels were not backed themselves by any insulation. I know they had insulation down the sides and top, you just said, but they weren't backed by insulation; is that correct? A. Those panels are insulation, that's their purpose, and that's what the Styrofoam is for. Q. Let's just have a look at what those panels look like before they burn. Let's just go to figure 56 of Luke Bisby's report, LBYS0000001 at page 96. If we zoom in on the top, this is a picture of one of those panels that was provided to Professor Bisby.

1	sides and then the 25-mill Styrofoam core; is that	1	the window; is that correct?
2	correct?	2	A. Yes, yes.
3	A. Yes. Yes.	3	Q. Pathway D, if we go back to your figure 10.2, and we
4	Q. I think Professor Torero said in his evidence that when	4	look at this.
5	this burns, there's a lot of air in it and it kind of	5	So pathway D, you say, is vertically along the
6	burns to nothing, because I asked him if it could create	6	window edges and also along the edge of the Aluglaze
7	a pool fire in itself.	7	insulating core panels. That's completing the
8	Do you have a view on that?	8	picture
9	A. I'm not aware that polystyrene burns to nothing, but	9	A. Exactly. We've talked about it now, it's about the
10	I wouldn't take Professor Torero on about any scientific	10	construction around the edges, not the panel itself,
11	principles.	11	still.
12	Q. When I asked him would it melt and potentially create	12	Q. Yes. Professor Bisby drew attention yesterday to the
13	its own pool fire, he said he didn't think it would	13	fact that down the sides of the columns by the window,
14	because there's a lot of air in the product.	14	you've often got exposed PE along those edges.
15	A. Okay, that's not my understanding. Not to do with	15	A. Yes.
16	Aluglaze specifically, but in general the reason why	16	Q. Again, do you think that's potentially important in
17	polystyrene sandwich panels are so negatively viewed in	17	terms of vertical spread along those window edges?
18	construction is the polystyrene forms a liquid and	18	A. The exposed PE on the horizontal face?
19	degrades the panels so quickly in a fire, and there's	19	Q. I think he was talking about on the column cassette as
20	a lot of	20	it comes back and hits the window.
21	Q. Yes. I'm going to take you back to some of that,	21	A. Do you mean on this edge facing the column or the top
22	actually, because we're going to look at the properties	22	edge, sorry?
23	of some of these specific materials in a moment.	23	Q. No, if I can highlight it here. We're talking about on
24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I seem to remember, Ms Grange, that	24	this edge down the side of the window (Indicates).
25	he said it shrinks away from the flame. I think it was	25	That's where he was talking about it.
	Page 81		Page 83
		.	
1	polystyrene.		
_		1	A. Oh, yes, that there's no aluminium foil there?
2	A. Very interesting.	2	Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR.
3	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript.	2 3	Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR.A. Oh, right.
3 4	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right?	2 3 4	Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR.A. Oh, right.Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes
3 4 5	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool.	2 3 4 5	Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR.A. Oh, right.Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves.
3 4 5 6	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here I think you said it earlier the original timber window frames and reveals that were left	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the original timber window frames and reveals that were left in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will, there's something there that can participate in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the original timber window frames and reveals that were left in there. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will, there's something there that can participate in a combustion process. So all the time, the flame front
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the original timber window frames and reveals that were left in there. A. Yes. Q. You felt that that might have been important once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will, there's something there that can participate in a combustion process. So all the time, the flame front has something that will allow it to carry on. That's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the original timber window frames and reveals that were left in there. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will, there's something there that can participate in a combustion process. So all the time, the flame front
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Very interesting. MS GRANGE: We'll check the transcript. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does that sound right? A. I thought polystyrene melted and formed a pool. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It could do both, of course. A. Yes. MS GRANGE: Do you think these Aluglaze panels would've behaved differently to the ACM cladding panels? They both have an aluminium skin to them with a core. Is there any comparison as to how they behave in terms of warping, deforming, flaming? A. Oh, I see — no, I think the key characteristics of the core getting hot, the core being combustible, the panels, you know, the aluminium on both sides, may be under some — all very complex, potentially, thermal gradients, are the same — are similar, yes, characteristic of them. Q. Just finally on this route C topic, you've also mentioned here — I think you said it earlier — the original timber window frames and reveals that were left in there. A. Yes. Q. You felt that that might have been important once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We'll come back to that on the PIR. A. Oh, right. Q. I think he was talking about the ACM cassettes themselves. A. Yes. Q. As they come back and hit the window, you've got an exposed PE line down there. A. Yes. So I think small details like that are important for a very short period of time at the start of a very small fire. Q. Yes. A. My own view is that when you have a very well established flame front, it's like overwhelming all these small details. So that's why yes. Q. That's helpful. A. Is that okay? Q. You're drawing attention to all of these routes as potential routes that you've seen on Grenfell Tower, but the relative contribution of them is always important A. I'm just trying to show that at every turn, if you will, there's something there that can participate in a combustion process. So all the time, the flame front has something that will allow it to carry on. That's

1	Q. Can we look at, just on this pathway D, before we leave	1	these panels when they burned, although he agreed that
2	it, figure 10.34 of your report, BLAS000010 at page 35.	2	they would burn and potentially play a role. Would you
3	You've specifically labelled and drawn attention	3	agree with that?
4	here to vertical fire spread between the insulating core	4	A. Yes. I think, to me, it's like I said earlier, I think
5	panels. Can you see that line? If we zoom in on that	5	they make up about 15 per cent of the overall surface
6	line.	6	area. So they're present, but in the scale they're
7	A. Yes. So we're back on the edge of the Aluglaze now, are	7	installed at Grenfell Tower, it's a 15 per cent based on
8	we?	8	surface. So, I mean, I'm not going to call that some,
9	Q. Yes. So we were looking horizontally before, but you've	9	you know, very dominating feature. To me, it's one more
10	highlighted vertically here, we can see a very clear	10	material.
11	line. Is that what you're drawing attention to?	11	Q. Can we look at your final pathway, that's pathway F,
12	A. Yes, I was trying to show that.	12	around the crown of the building.
13	Q. Again, do you think that might be because of the	13	A. Yes.
14	insulation down the side there or the edge of the panel	14	Q. Again, can we just be clear what the crown is. We refer
15	has caught and deformed? Can we tell?	15	to it as "the crown". What is it?
16	A. I can't tell that from this photo, but there's	16	If we have a look at BLAS0000010, page 69,
17	a sizeable piece of insulation capping the Aluglaze	17	figure 10.73.
18	there on the inside. Yes.	18	A. What is it?
19	Q. Pathway E is vertically, by means of the Aluglaze	19	Q. Yes. What's its function on the building?
20	panels, connecting with the spandrel panels.	20	A. To me, I mean, it's an architectural feature.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. Yes.
22	Q. Let's go back to BLAS0000010 at page 5	22	A. But I don't know what they thought it was. It's just to
23	A. Yes.	23	make the top of the building look nice, I suppose.
24	Q and look at the diagram of your six pathways.	24	Q. Just to be clear again, we looked at this in
25	A. Yes.	25	detail we have these C-shaped channels, I think what
	Page 85		Page 87
1	Q. So pathway E, here you're drawing attention to vertical	1	you call "fins", which go vertically down, and then we
2	flame spread as between these white panels; is that	2	have a particular detail at each of the column tops.
3	correct?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Yes, that's correct. So if you have, for example,	4	Q. That's made up of just ACM panels; is that right?
5	flame and where that "E" actually is on the drawing,	5	A. It appears to be, yes.
6	again, above that, there isn't glass okay? there	6	Q. ACP panels.
7	is another combustible sandwich panel, and that can then	7	A. Yes.
8	also contribute in some way to fire spread in that	8	Q. Can we look at another figure of yours, figure 10.40,
9	localised area between one spandrel and the next.	9	BLAS0000010
10	Q. You've highlighted a particular photo here, 10.37.	10	A. Oh, yes.
11	That's BLAS0000010 at page 39.	11	Q at page 43.
12	A. Yes.	12	Do you know how many of those fins were left after
13	Q. Can you just talk us through the bits that you were	13	the fire?
14	wanting to highlight on that picture?	14	A. Oh, no, I didn't count them.
15	A. Yes. So I just marked up, using the column locations	15	Q. Yes.
16	the location of the Aluglaze you can see if you just	16	A. Yes.
17	follow the blue dotted line going from the top to the	17	Q. From this photo, it doesn't look like many are left.
18	bottom of the picture, from the bottom up to the top,	18	A. No. I mean, I can see bits of fin, but, I mean, I don't
19	just trying to show that there does appear at times to	19	know if the polyethylene is there. I doubt it though.
20	be a localised vertical flaming effect.	20	Yes.
21	The spandrels are very important in that, where the	21	Q. You say that there was no provision made to inhibit
22	ACP is, but in those local areas there is also the other	22	horizontal fire spread around the crown; is that right?
23	panel.	23	A. Yes. So the drawings don't show cavity barriers or any
24	Q. Professor Torero thought that the total mass of energy	24	other material to prevent that.
25	might be relatively small that would be produced by	25	Q. You also specifically note in this part of your report
	Page 86		Page 88
		_	

		1	
1	that there were a large number of deaths on level 23,	1	Q. Yes.
2	which is immediately below this crown.	2	A. Yes, I think I agree with them. I'm not entirely sure
3	A. Yes.	3	what the significance is. I think I agree. At that
4	Q. What do you think just overall, before we look at it	4	time, yes, it was the most important horizontal spread.
5	in more detail is the significance of this particular	5	But later on, the other lateral flame fronts became
6	fire spread path?	6	
	• •	1	important then, didn't they, because the crown wasn't
7	A. Are you asking me that in the context of the deaths at	7	involved anymore?
8	level 23?	8	Q. Yes.
9	Q. No, I am asking you just in terms of as a fire spread	9	A. Yes.
10	route	10	Q. So you're drawing attention, as I understand it, to the
11	A. Oh, okay.	11	fact that although you accept that lower down the
12	Q how important do you think it was in the overall	12	lateral flame spread might be slower, it still, you say,
13	scheme of things?	13	plays a role, particularly in the later stages of the
14	A. In that context, once the flame got up to level 23 in	14	fire?
15	the first place, above flat 16, it appears then to have	15	A. Absolutely.
16	been able to travel horizontally in both directions	16	Q. Once it's gone round, and we've got all areas of the
17	through the crown, and the helicopter footage and other	17	crown ignited, you've still then got lateral
18	moving images show the flame front progressing but, more	18	A. Yes. You still have other flame fronts lower down the
19	importantly, causing extensive pools of burning	19	tower. So it's a really important route at that time.
20	polyethylene to flow down to the spandrels above those	20	Later on, when you plot the flames coming down the
21	flats and, more significantly, down other columns.	21	columns and the flames moving away from each one of
22	I don't know the order of them off by heart, sorry, but	22	those columns, you're just getting more and more
23	it causes burning of the laterals and the ignition of	23	horizontal runs, yes.
24	more and more columns as that flame front progresses.	24	Q. Can we just look at the characteristics of the
25	So it's significant in the context of causing, in	25	architectural crown in terms of fire spread laterally.
	Page 89		Page 91
1	the timing we carry at Chanfell means ashuming being	1	If we can leak at figure 24 of Professor Dishyla
	the timing we saw at Grenfell, more columns being	1	If we can look at figure 34 of Professor Bisby's
2	involved that way, and it is significant about what it	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	report, LBYS0000001, page 63. Let's look at figure 34, first, at the top, where
3	did to the flats at level 23.	1 3	
4		1	
_	Q. Do you agree with Professor Bisby it sounds like you	4	he's done a horizontal section through the crown.
5	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at	4 5	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm.
6	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown?	4 5 6	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM
6 7	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown?A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was	4 5 6 7	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails
6 7 8	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown?A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly	4 5 6 7 8	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct?
6 7 8 9	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown?A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective.	4 5 6 7 8 9	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows.
6 7 8 9 10	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of 	4 5 6 7 8 9	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building;
6 7 8 9 10 11	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's evidence, that that's the primary route of horizontal	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially significant?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's evidence, that that's the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially significant? A. Again, those small details are important at the very
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's evidence, that that's the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building. A. The primary okay, that's an interesting thing. To	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially significant? A. Again, those small details are important at the very start of a small fire. When you have a very well
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's evidence, that that's the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building. A. The primary okay, that's an interesting thing. To me, it was a rapid horizontal spread of flame that in that time caused other vertical and then horizontal.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially significant? A. Again, those small details are important at the very start of a small fire. When you have a very well developed flame front, no, I don't think the fact that the edge is exposed is a very big governing parameter.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	do that, therefore, lateral spread was most rapid at the crown, it was fastest at the crown? A. Yes. I haven't done timing analysis, but, yes, it was a highly effective flame front along the crown, highly effective. Q. Do you also agree it's most likely the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building because of this falling, melting debris which then accumulates at lower levels and then sets fire to levels down the tower, which then travel upwards, creating this diagonal effect? A. I think I do, yes. So did you say it's the most effective horizontal route Q. Yes. A overall in the whole building? Q. That does seem to be Professors Bisby and Torero's evidence, that that's the primary route of horizontal flame spread around the building. A. The primary okay, that's an interesting thing. To me, it was a rapid horizontal spread of flame that in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 he's done a horizontal section through the crown. A. Mm. Q. So is it right that what we have are these C-shaped ACM channels which then slot into aluminium cladding rails behind them? Is that correct? A. That's what that drawing shows. Q. So there's no insulation in this part of the building; it's just ACM on these cladding rails. A. Yes, apparently not. Yes, yes. Q. Professor Bisby's view is that these provided a semi-continuous path for fire to spread around the crown; would you agree with that? A. Yes, I would. Q. He also has drawn attention to numerous exposed ACM edges in the way this was configured. A. Yes. Q. Again, would you agree that that is potentially significant? A. Again, those small details are important at the very start of a small fire. When you have a very well developed flame front, no, I don't think the fact that

1	But there are plenty of them there and each individual	1	A. Oh. Oh, I wouldn't think that at all. Yes. It might
2	fin could create its own flame front, which could very	2	be for the first few seconds, but then that just ignites
3	easily ignite the next fin.	3	the crown, doesn't it, and it progresses?
4	Q. He's also drawn attention to the fact that effectively	4	Q. Again, do you think the fact that so the edge of the
5	these are C-shaped chimneys which might support flame	5	roof was capped with an aluminium coping. We can look
6	extension. Again, would	6	at this in a drawing.
7	A. I don't think I need to give a view on that. Yes.	7	If you go to figure 10.47, BLAS0000010 at page 48.
8	Q. He's also drawn attention to the fact that there were no	8	This is one of your drawings
9	cavity barriers anywhere in relation to this	9	A. Yes.
10	architectural detail; is that correct?	10	Q which we've looked at earlier this week.
11	A. Yes. So my understanding from the drawings, which are	11	So what we see at the bottom of this is how the
12	very limited, is there are no cavity barriers marked	12	panels were configured at the very top of the building.
13	there once you get above level 23.	13	We can see a spandrel panel and insulation behind it.
14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just looking at that drawing, would	14	Sitting above that was an aluminium flashing or
15	it have been a practical possibility to insert some sort	15	coping over the top of that.
16	of material between each of the fins? Do you see what	16	A. Mm.
17	I	17	Q. And then the architectural fins on the top.
18	A. No, no, I do know what I think if you're asking me	18	It's been suggested that some of that aluminium
19	could you have installed cavity barriers anywhere at the	19	coping was very melted immediately above that insulation
20	crown to stop the flame we observed, my view is no. The	20	or below the fins but not on the edge, and that that
21	best way to stop	21	might be significant. Do you have a view on that?
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Because it was too well developed by	22	A. I don't have a view on that, no.
23	that stage?	23	MS GRANGE: Mr Chairman, I'm about to move to another topic.
24	A. I don't know how you could the only way you could	24	I'm either happy to keep going or to have a 5-minute
25	stop the crown from being a flame front on its own is to	25	break. I'm entirely in your hands.
	Page 93		Page 95
	- 400 100		- 480 1 0
1	not clad it in a combustible material. Trying to detail	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'll ask the witness.
2	in little breaks or cavities, in my opinion, is not	2	Dr Lane, would you like a break at this point?
3	a practical mitigation measure. The best mitigation	3	THE WITNESS: How much longer will we go if I don't have
4	measure would be not to have that material there at all.	4	a break now?
5	But I think I'm probably straying beyond my Phase 1	5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We would normally stop for lunch at
6	duties.	6	1 o'clock.
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, that's all right, I asked you	7	THE WITNESS: I think I would actually just prefer a very
8	to. Yes.	8	short break, if that's okay.
9	A. Yes.	9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I was going to suggest that. Shall
10	MS GRANGE: Just to be clear, you don't think that,	10	we say 5 minutes?
11	actually, the speed of the fire at that level can simply	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
12	be explained by the fact that the fire plumes out and	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a 5-minute break, then,
13	spreads out at the top as opposed to it being about the	13	please.
14	crown?	14	Same as before, don't talk to anyone about your
15	A. I'm sorry, I actually don't understand.	15	evidence, if you wouldn't mind.
16	Q. As a flame rises up, it will naturally broaden as the	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	flame goes up a face, it will naturally plume out	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You go with the usher. Thank you
18	towards the top.	18	very much.
19	A. Yes.	19	12.20, then, please. Thank you.
20	Q. You don't think what we can see at the top of the	20	(12.15 am)
21	building is more about that effect than about the crown?	21	(A short break)
22	A. Oh, do you mean that when a flame front came up, say the	22	(12.20 am)
23	first column, that we're not seeing the crown burning,	23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Dr Lane, happy to carry
24	we're seeing something burning from the column cladding?	24	on?
25	Q. That's been suggested.	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.
	Page 94		Page 96
	- "60 / 1		24 (Pages 93 to 96)

1	MC CD ANCE: So just to summerice everall your evidence is	1	:II:
1 2	MS GRANGE: So just to summarise overall, your evidence is that once the fire is in the cladding, it facilitated	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	millimetre by millimetre?
3	and promoted flame spread in six different ways; that's	3	But the concept of having pyrolysing material on the left, the insulation, and the ACP on the right, I think
4	correct, isn't it?	4	it's creating a highly effective system, and
5	A. That's correct.	5	I understand the scientists will need to work out the
6	Q. You've identified that the Reynobond 55 PE is	6	numbers behind that.
7	responsible for the most rapid of the observed external	7	Q. Yes.
8	flame spread.	8	A. I think, therefore, in that context, of how it performs
9	A. That's correct.	9	when it's heated, the gases it produces in the pyrolysis
10	Q. Does that remain your view?	10	process, is all part of that combustion system and the
11	A. It does remain my view.	11	flaming combustion that we see. And for me, I think
12	Q. What's your view about the significance of the PIR	12	it's very important.
13	insulation in terms of flame spread? You may have heard	13	Q. Yes. That's very clear.
14	both Professor Torero and Professor Bisby on this topic.	14	Do you draw any conclusions from the 8414 testing
15	A. Yes.	15	which was done after Grenfell, referred to by
16	Q. What contribution do you think the PIR had to the speed	16	Professor Bisby, ie the DCLG tests, which sought to
17	and extent of the fire spread, if any?	17	compare the PIR type of insulation we saw at Grenfell
18	A. Yes. So I would like to be able to refer to a drawing,	18	with, say, stone wool insulation, but using otherwise
19	please, in my report, figure 8.11.	19	similar materials to Grenfell? Do you take anything
20	Q. Yes. 8.11 is BLAS0000008, page 13. Figure 8.11 is the	20	from that at this stage?
21	far right-hand drawing.	21	A. I don't take anything from those tests because I don't
22	A. Yes.	22	consider them to be relevant, because they're so far
23	Q. Do you want us to zoom in on that?	23	away from the kind of construction detailing that people
24	A. Yes, that would be great.	24	like me have to worry about in our profession. I would
25	Yes, I use this drawing a lot when I'm thinking	25	make no comparison of any material in that testing
	Page 97		Page 99
	1 1150 7 7		1 486 77
1	about events at Grenfell Tower.	1	regime, and I would prefer to keep all the other views
1 2	about events at Grenfell Tower. So in this drawing, you can see in the condition	1 2	regime, and I would prefer to keep all the other views I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write
2 3 4	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is	2 3 4	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool
2 3 4 5	So in this drawing, you can see in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different.	2 3 4 5	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no.
2 3 4 5 6	So in this drawing, you can see in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a you know, I've been	2 3 4 5 6	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in	2 3 4 5 6 7	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or Professor Bisby say that didn't happen; I got the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on? A. I didn't listen to their evidence properly in the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or Professor Bisby say that didn't happen; I got the impression they said they couldn't quantify it or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on? A. I didn't listen to their evidence properly in the last few days, but I will and I'll let you know. But at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or Professor Bisby say that didn't happen; I got the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on? A. I didn't listen to their evidence properly in the last few days, but I will and I'll let you know. But at this time, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or Professor Bisby say that didn't happen; I got the impression they said they couldn't quantify it or predict it, which I think it's a very different, very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on? A. I didn't listen to their evidence properly in the last few days, but I will and I'll let you know. But at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So in this drawing, you can see — in the condition where there's just the small 35-millimetre gap, you can see the insulation relative to the ACP. When the gap is larger, it will look somewhat different. My view is that having a — you know, I've been talking about a combustion process and combustion in a system, and I think in a system with a combustible layer on the inside and a combustible layer on the outside, even before flaming combustion can happen, when you have a heat source, those materials are breaking down, they're producing gases, they're getting ready, if you will, to produce the perfect mix for flaming combustion. It's a huge expert area in its own right, combustion. But from my perspective, the Celotex or any insulation in the cavity, in the system, contributes pyrolysis gases to the system, and the system needs that to create that perfect mix for flaming combustion. I don't think I heard either Professor Torero or Professor Bisby say that didn't happen; I got the impression they said they couldn't quantify it or predict it, which I think it's a very different, very detailed view — you know, in their minds, that's a very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I have to myself at this stage. I'm happy to write a very detailed chapter on the subject. But I will absolutely not compare a mineral wool with the PIR material from that test programme, no. Q. Okay. That's clear. Have you heard or seen any other evidence in these proceedings which has caused you to change your view as to the primary responsibility of the Reynobond 55 PE for the rapid flame spread that we saw at Grenfell? A. No, no, I haven't. Q. Your view is that the cladding, as it was configured at Grenfell, rendered it unsuitable for a stay-put policy; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Before we leave flame spread, are there any other aspects of Professor Bisby or Professor Torero's flame spread analyses that have caused you to modify your views? Is there anything specific you want to pick up on? A. I didn't listen to their evidence properly in the last few days, but I will and I'll let you know. But at this time, no. Q. Do you think it would be helpful at all to do any kind

1			
1	PIR insulation, the ACP, the Aluglaze, to survey the	1	a distinction between combustibility and flammability?
2	extent to which it was installed and then also to survey	2	A. Combustibility and flammability I'd have to look up
3	the post-fire condition? Do you think that can tell us	3	the definition of "flammability".
4	anything about the respective contributions of these	4	Q. Fine, okay.
5	materials? Is that an exercise you think would be	5	Let's look at fire re-entry for a moment.
6	worthwhile doing?	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Do you mean survey to quantify them?	7	Q. Is your position that the external fire then led to fire
8	Q. Survey the whole building to quantify, for example, what	8	being able to re-enter on multiple floors?
9	is left	9	A. Sorry, can you just repeat that again?
10	A. But there's nothing left in most places at all.	10	Q. It was a very simple question, the answer is probably
11	Q. If you could do a survey based on the records of the	11	obvious, but your position is that the external fire led
12	removal of what was left, do you think that would be	12	to fire being able to re-enter at multiple floors at
13	a useful exercise?	13	Grenfell Tower.
14	A. I certainly don't need anything from a survey like that.	14	A. Oh, yes, that's correct.
15	Q. One final specific question on this. At paragraph 8.2.8	15	Q. Do you agree that the physical evidence would suggest
16	of your report, BLAS0000008, page 4 can we just look	16	that the extractor fan and the small infill panel around
17	at that.	17	the extractor fan was particularly vulnerable in that
18	A. Yes.	18	respect?
19	Q. You've set out a definition here of a combustible	19	A. Yes, I do.
20	material.	20	Q. In section 7.4 of his report, Professor Bisby has drawn
21	A. Yes.	21	attention, for example, to the large number of witnesses
22	Q. You say it's a material that will ignite and burn when	22	who comment specifically on early failure or ignition of
23	sufficient heat is applied and when an appropriate	23	those extract fan units and the supporting and
24	oxidiser is present.	24	surrounding XPS panels as a route for ingress.
25	You have that from Dehann 2007.	25	A. Yes.
23	Tou have that from Behaim 2007.	23	11. 103.
	Page 101		Page 103
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Do you agree with that?
2	Q. Do you agree this definition will result in mineral	2	A. I do agree, and I observed that myself in the evidence.
3	fibre and aluminium being classified as combustible?	3	Q. He also observes that many of these are on the flat 6s
4	A. Mineral what, sorry?	4	on the east face as highlighted in his analysis. Do you
5	Q. Mineral fibre and aluminium being classified as	5	agree?
6	combustible.	6	A. I do.
7	A. Do I have to answer that question? So mineral wool is	7	Q. Do you think this evidence is significant in terms of
8	non-combustible. The binders used to pack it together	8	the route of fire spread back into apartments?
9	are combustible. Yes? But I find those arguments quite	9	A. I think it is a route into an apartment and it is
10	trite when one compares mineral wool with a polymeric	10	therefore significant.
11	foam of equal thickness. And the same goes for	11	Q. Yes.
12	aluminium.	12	A. But it's one of many rather than the only.
13	I understand that nearly everything in the world can	13	Q. So you would agree with Professor Torero; I think he
14	burn, but in the context of construction, I like to keep	14	said that there are a number of routes back in through
15	things appropriate and relevant, and understand that	15	the window, whether by the side, by a glazing failure
		-	
16	a mineral wool is substantially less combustible, and in	16	A. Exactly.
16 17	a mineral wool is substantially less combustible, and in fact typically defined as non-combustible by test.	16 17	A. Exactly. O by the extractor fan going
17	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test.	17	Q by the extractor fan going
17 18	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes.	17 18	Q by the extractor fan goingA. Exactly.
17 18 19	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's yes.	17 18 19	Q by the extractor fan goingA. Exactly.Q by an open window?
17 18 19 20	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's – yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of	17 18 19 20	Q by the extractor fan goingA. Exactly.Q by an open window?A. Exactly.
17 18 19 20 21	 fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's - yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of non-combustibility is based on the total calorific 	17 18 19 20 21	 Q by the extractor fan going A. Exactly. Q by an open window? A. Exactly. Q. They're all routes back in?
17 18 19 20 21 22	 fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of non-combustibility is based on the total calorific potential of a product? 	17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q by the extractor fan going A. Exactly. Q by an open window? A. Exactly. Q. They're all routes back in? A. They're all routes back in, yes. But I understand that
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of non-combustibility is based on the total calorific potential of a product? A. I'd have to look up part 4, sorry. I'm happy to, if you	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q by the extractor fan going A. Exactly. Q by an open window? A. Exactly. Q. They're all routes back in? A. They're all routes back in, yes. But I understand that there's a lot of resident evidence about particularly
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of non-combustibility is based on the total calorific potential of a product? A. I'd have to look up part 4, sorry. I'm happy to, if you want me to do it, I'll do it at lunch.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q by the extractor fan going A. Exactly. Q by an open window? A. Exactly. Q. They're all routes back in? A. They're all routes back in, yes. But I understand that there's a lot of resident evidence about particularly the extractor fan location.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fact typically defined as non-combustible by test. Q. Yes. A. If that's yes. Q. Do you agree that the regulatory definition of non-combustibility is based on the total calorific potential of a product? A. I'd have to look up part 4, sorry. I'm happy to, if you	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q by the extractor fan going A. Exactly. Q by an open window? A. Exactly. Q. They're all routes back in? A. They're all routes back in, yes. But I understand that there's a lot of resident evidence about particularly

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1	A. Yes.	1	that system with the technical requirements of B4 of the
2	Q. Do you think there's any significance in terms of the	2	Building Regulations 2010; is that correct?
3	timing when they're seeing that extractor fan unit go in	3	A. That's correct.
4	terms of how quickly the window may be failing, based on	4	Q. Let's just remind ourselves, B4 is the requirement that
5	your	5	deals with the prevention of external fire spread. It's
6	A. I'm not sure of the context of that, sorry. Do you	6	a functional requirement. It says this:
7	mean	7	"B4. (1) The external walls of the building shall
8	Q. Well, as distinct, for example, from glazing failure, do	8	adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls and
9	you think that that weakness may have meant that the	9	from one building to another, having regard to the
10	windows had a propensity to fail?	10	height, use and position of the building."
11	A. Oh, before the glass breaks?	11	Is that correct?
12	Q. Quicker than the glass breaks.	12	A. That's correct.
13	A. Oh.	13	Q. Approved Document B itself envisages two means of
14	Q. Is that something you considered?	14	compliance: either a large-scale test or what I've
15	A. No, I wouldn't consider that, no.	15	called the prescriptive route as between 12.6 and 12.9
16		16	of ADB.
	Q. I think we mentioned this earlier, and I just want to take you to it. You've identified another potential	17	A. Yes.
17	•	18	
18	means by which the windows could have facilitated fire	19	Q. Would you broadly agree with that?
19	re-entry and spread at the four corners, and I think you		A. I'm not going to use the word "prescriptive", okay?
20	wanted to go to this.	20	Q. Okay.
21	This is figure 10.2.1, BLAS0000010, at page 21. Can	21	A. So there's two routes set out in 12.5 using an 8414
22	we go to that figure.	22	test whether that's large-scale or not is another
23	A. Oh, yes.	23	discussion.
24	Q. So I think you were mentioning this earlier and you have	24	Q. Okay.
25	a concern is this right? about a potential flame	25	A. So BS 8414 test, or there's statutory guidance given for
	Page 105		Page 107
1	spread route at the column.	1	certain individual components in an external wall from
2	A. Yes. So	2	12.6 on.
3	Q. The corner column.	3	Q. Just to be clear, have you seen any evidence that there
4	A. So, again, just to be clear, it's not like some	4	was a BS 8414 test carried out in relation to the
5	particular concern, I'm just trying to find all the	5	specific materials at Grenfell Tower, specifically for
6	pathways. So in this condition here, this is the kind	6	Grenfell Tower?
7	of rectangular cladding of one of the corner columns.	7	A. Oh, specifically for Grenfell?
8	I hope that's clear.	8	Q. Yes.
9	So it's a different detail. So you actually have	9	A. I haven't been given any such evidence.
10	two noses okay? as opposed to the one nose I think	10	Q. Or any desktop study specifically for Grenfell Tower.
11	Professor Bisby was talking about later. You can think	11	A. No, I haven't found any particular mention of the
12	about the fire spreading through the cavity in that	12	cladding.
13	column, or, actually, just simply through one window to	13	Q. Can we be clear what a desktop study is? Is it
14	the next. I was just trying to show that it can go both	14	basically an extrapolation of other tests that are then
15	ways, depending on the heating condition.	15	extrapolated through to a different set of materials and
16	Q. Yes.	16	a different configuration and conclusions drawn as to
17	A. But it's not meant to be any very serious concern that	17	performance on that basis? Is that a fair summary?
18	I have relatively speaking.	18	A. It depends who is doing the desktop assessment, and
19	Q. Okay. I'm now going to turn to some of the matters set	19	I really don't want to talk about that now. We'll get
20	out in section 11 of your report, where you've looked at	20	on to all of those issues in Phase 2. So there's rules
	the cladding against the B4 requirement in the Building	21	about how they should be done, and then there's how they
21	me emuanig against me B. requirement in the Burtaing		
21 22	Regulations.	22	are done.
	Regulations. Just to be clear on your position, your position on	22 23	Q. But in general terms, would you agree with me, it's
22	Regulations.	1	
22 23	Regulations. Just to be clear on your position, your position on	23	Q. But in general terms, would you agree with me, it's
22 23 24	Regulations. Just to be clear on your position, your position on the cladding system is that you have in this Phase 1 report carried out an assessment of the compliance of	23 24	Q. But in general terms, would you agree with me, it's an extrapolation, it's an analysis, it's not another test?
22 23 24	Regulations. Just to be clear on your position, your position on the cladding system is that you have in this Phase 1	23 24	Q. But in general terms, would you agree with me, it's an extrapolation, it's an analysis, it's not another

1	A. Oh, no, no, no, it's a paper exercise rather than a fire	1	panels did not have such certification certificates,
2	lab exercise, yes.	2	either class 0 or class B or better; is that correct?
3	Q. Is it your position that if you're using the route in	3	A. Yes, I haven't been provided with any relevant test
4	Approved Document B, at 12.6 to 12.9, the construction	4	evidence for Reynobond 55 PE that it has a performance
5	used must be proven to comply by test evidence? That's	5	of either class B or class 0.
6	your position, yes?	6	Q. No riveted panels were used at Grenfell Tower, is that
7	A. Oh, yes so that's not my position; it's the position	7	right, they were the cassette system?
8	of the statutory guidance document. It sets it out very	8	A. That's correct.
9	clearly in appendix A for construction products, and	9	Q. In a moment we're going to look at a couple of diagrams
10	I have relied on that position and I agree with it.	10	that help us about the difference between a riveted and
11	Q. Is it your general position again, we're going to	11	a cassette system.
12	come to each of the specific materials in a moment	12	A. Yes.
13	that such test evidence, so as to prove compliance with	13	Q. So we'll come back to that in a moment.
14	that route, was not available in terms of the materials	14	Is it right that the certificates that you have seen
15	which were selected?	15	confirm that the Reynobond 55 PE cassette system is
16	A. That's correct.	16	a European class E; is that correct?
17	Q. Is it correct that, having examined the available test	17	A. That's correct.
18	evidence for each element, you find each to be	18	Q. For the note, that's paragraph 11.6.10 of your report,
19	non-compliant with the B4 requirement?	19	page 19 of chapter 11.
20	A. That's correct.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is another way of looking at it simply to examine the	21	Q. Is it also relevant to note that this class E rating was
22	physical evidence of the fire spread and assess that	22	dependent upon the panels being installed with
23	against the functional requirement, as in what we saw in	23	a class A2 or better substrate; is that right?
24	the videos and the footage?	24	A. Yes, that's correct, because of the test carried out at
25	A. Oh, I think that displays quite categorically that the	25	the CSTB, yes.
	Page 109		Page 111
1	external well did not adequately regist the enread of	1	O Just to be clear, by that do you mean that the
1	external wall did not adequately resist the spread of	1 2	Q. Just to be clear, by that do you mean that the insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better?
2	fire.	2	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better?
2 3	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the	2 3	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the
2 3 4	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately	2 3 4	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 —
2 3 4 5	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard	2 3 4 5	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of
2 3 4 5 6	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so	2 3 4 5 6	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's
2 3 4 5 6 7	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4?	2 3 4 5 6 7	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40. Q. Yes, that's 12.6 of Approved Document B, diagram 40; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this particular case, one layer of a material to look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40. Q. Yes, that's 12.6 of Approved Document B, diagram 40; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this particular case, one layer of a material to look at the panel on its own in a particular fire test.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40. Q. Yes, that's 12.6 of Approved Document B, diagram 40; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this particular case, one layer of a material to look at the panel on its own in a particular fire test. MS GRANGE: That's helpful. We'll come to it in the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40. Q. Yes, that's 12.6 of Approved Document B, diagram 40; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this particular case, one layer of a material to look at the panel on its own in a particular fire test.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fire. Q. That's my next question: is it your position that the entire building envelope system did not adequately resist the spread of fire over the walls, having regard to the height, use and position of the building and so was not compliant with B4? A. That is my position, yes. Q. Your conclusion, just for the note, is set out at 2.9.6, in your conclusions and 2.9.8. I don't think we actually need to go to that now. I want to turn to look at each element of the cladding system. The rainscreen cladding panels on the columns and the spandrels were Reynobond 55 PE silver metallic manufactured by Arconic; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Your position is these should have been national class 0 throughout or European class B-s3, d2 or better; is that correct? A. Yes, as set out in diagram 40. Q. Yes, that's 12.6 of Approved Document B, diagram 40; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	insulation it was tested with was class A2 or better? A. I mean the substrate, so the material behind the Reynobond in that test had an A2 — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: "Behind" could have a variety of meanings; do you mean the material to which it's affixed? A. Yes, well — MS GRANGE: This is paragraph — A. I would now take out the test report if I was in the office and show you some photos. So in the test in France, it is actually affixed onto what I think is a plasterboard. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we're not talking about another material some distance behind. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're talking about what it's fixed to? A. Exactly. So in that test, don't imagine the panel, air, a big piece of insulation and a wall. That's not what that test does. It's a panel attached onto, in this particular case, one layer of a material to look at the panel on its own in a particular fire test. MS GRANGE: That's helpful. We'll come to it in the next

1 predominantly class D Celotex insulation; is that 2 correct; 3 A. That's correct, yes. 4 Q. Is your view that, absent a valid applicable 5 corrificate, there's no proof flat the product compiled 6 with the guidance in ADB, is that correct? 8 C. Jans a point of detail – and, again, we may need to get 10 media panels had both a black core and a transfucent 12 core on site, is that right? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been 14 present at Grenfell Tower. 15 Q. You say that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating = sorry, different test results to 16 the standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating = sorry, different test results to 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating = sorry, different test results to 19 the standard, but then the worker you're — 20 Q. DLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 21 II.6.10 22 Page 113 1 So you note — 2 A. Yes. 2 A. Yes. 3 B. A. Yes. 4 Q. Yes was all that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating is that correct? 2 A. Cas you just refer me where you're — 2 Q. DLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10 2 So you note — 3 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. That we were well than a paragraph 11.6.9 and 11.6.10 2 So you note — 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results to both our types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. In you grid a cept at his bottom. Page 113 1 So you note— 4 A. Yes. 6 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you				
2 course(?) 3 A. Thar's correct, yes. 4 Q. Is your view that, absent a valid applicable certificate, there's no proof that the product compiled with the guidance in Alby, it that correct? 7 A. There's no proof — it just doesn't comply because that evidence isn't available, yes. 9 Q. Just a point of detail—and, again, we may need to get into this more at Phase 2—you found the salver core on site, is that right? 1 mentalite panels had both a black core and a translucent core on site, is that right? 1 A. Yes. that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. 1 Q. You's was that they achieve different test results to this first traing. 1 Q. You's said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating—sory, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating—is only just refer me where you're—2 Q. BLASO000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.0 2 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 1 So you note— 2 A. Yes. 2 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both over types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 2 A. Yes. 3 D. —they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 4 C. In you just refer me where you're—2 types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you will not accept a solution to the performance when they issue the classification rep	1	predominantly class D Celotex insulation; is that	1	Q. Is it right that in your most recent report you've
3 A. That's correct, yes. 4 Q. Is your view that, absent a valid applicable certificate, there's no proof in the product complied with the guidance in ADB, is that correct? 5 Q. That very defailed analysis – I'm not going to take you to all the detail, it's there at paragraph 11.6 of your report and an appentix Ox pecifically, is that right? 7 A. There's no proof — If just doesn't comply because that evidence isn't available, yes. 9 Q. Just a point of detail – and, again, we may need to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into this more at Phase 2 – you found the silver meetilite panels had both a black core and a translucent to get into the panel panel panels of the panel panels of the panel panels of the panels of		•		
4 Q. Is your view that, absent a valid applicable 5 certificate, there's no proof that the product compiled 6 with the guidance in ADB; is that correct? 7 A. There's no proof — if just doesn't comply because that 7 evidence isn't available, yes. 9 Q. Just a point of detail — and, again, we may need to get 11 min this more at Phase 2 — you found the silver 12 metallic panels had both a black core and a translucent 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been 14 present at Grenfell Tower. 15 Q. You say that they abtree different test results to 16 BS EN 1382? 16 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to 17 fire test rating — surry, different test results to 18 fire test rating — surry, different test results to 19 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — surry, different test results to 20 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — surry, different test results to 21 that Standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — surry, different test results to 22 that Standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — surry, different test results to 23 that Standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating is that correct? 24 A. Can you just refer me where you're — 25 Q. BLASO000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 26 11.6.10. 27 Even the surry in the surry hand, for a white, they seemed to types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 28 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 29 The first standard part that, for a white, they seemed to types achieved different cere results to a correct that they have a break now. 29 The first standard part that, for a white, they seemed to types achieved different cere that they shall be a proving the page to the surry have a break now until 14.5. 29 The surry have a break now until 14				
5 certificate, there's no proof that the product complied 6 with the guidance in ADB; is that correct? 7 A. There's no proof - if just doesn't comply because that 8 evidence isn't available, yes. 9 Q. Just a point of detail - and, again, we may need to get 10 into this more at Phase 2 you found the silver 11 metalic panels had both a black core and a translucent 12 core on site; is that right? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been 14 present at Grenfell Tower. 15 Q. You say that they achieve different test results to 16 B. SEN 138237 17 A. Ves. 18 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to 19 fire test rating sorty, different test results to 19 fire test rating sorty, different test results to 20 that standard, but then they both achieved the same 21 reaction to fire test rating is that correct? 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're 23 Q. B.LS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 24 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 11.3 1 So you note 2 A. Yes. 2 Q they either have a black or a translucent core 2 types achieved different test results, but you note that 2 both orce types are classified with one reaction to fire 3 performance. 2 C. no pouj just explain us to why you were interested 3 in that? 1 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed 4 to pick the lower performance when they issue the 2 classification report. 3 Q. Righ, Clay, 4 Q. Righ, Clay, 5 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you 2 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 4 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 2 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 2 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 2 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 2 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 3 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 4 system, but you didn't accept it as valid for the cassette 5 Q. Rish not now, but in your				
to all the detail, if's there at panagraph 1.18 of your report and in appendix O specifically, is that right? A. There's no proof — it just doesn't comply because that evidence isn't available, yes. Q. Just a point of detail — and, again, we may need to get inition is more at Phase 2— you found the silver metallic panels had both a black core and a translucent core or site; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. Q. You say that they achieve different test results to BSEN 13823? A. Yes. Q. You's said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating:—story, different test results to the standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating:—story, different test results to the standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating:—story, different test results to the standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating:—story, different test results to the story in the story of the very low points, and the moment. Yes. Big questions to the BBA cert good service, while you have an early hunch. BERN 13823? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. BIMARTIN MOORE-BICK:—We could have an early hunch. BIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK:—I think well come back to this. THE WITHESS:—Olay, 18 is big subject. BBA one. THE WITHESS:—Olay, 18 is big subject. A. Yes. BROARNICE:—Story of the very low pointing out that, for a while, they seemed in that? A. Yes. C. O, In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not acce				,
7 report and in appendix O specifically, is that right? 8 Volust a point of detail - and, again, we may need to get into this more at Phase 2 - you found the silver meeting parels had back over and a translucent core on site, is that right? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. 14 Q. You say that they achieve different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating - sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating is that correct? 15 Q. You we said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating is that correct? 16 Q. BLASO000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 17 So you note				
se evidence in virusilable, yes. Q. Just a point of detail — and, again, we may need to get into this more at Phase 2—you found the silver metailic panels had both a black core and a translucent core on site, is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. BS EN 1382? A. Yes. O. You's said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating, is that correct? A. Yes. O. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. Page 113 I. So you note — A. Yes. O. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. O. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire elassification report. A. Yes. O. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire classification report. A. Yes. O. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire classification report. A. Yes. O. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results to the classification report. A. Yes. O. They gither have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Sign MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well have a break now. The WITNESS: In that Okay? This is a really important part. Sign Martin MOORE-BICK: Well have a break now. The WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the well cannow mill 1.45. The WITNESS: In that Okay? This is a really important part. Sign Martin MOORE-BICK: Well have a break now. The WITNESS: In that Okay? This is a real				
9 Q. Just a point of defail—and, again, we may need to get into this more at Phase 2 — you found the silver at Phase 2 — you found the silver and the pack leaves and a translucent core on site; is that right? 12 A. Ves. that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. 13 A. Ves. that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. 14 present at Grenfell Tower. 15 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — sorry, different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different test results to 19 fire test rating — sorry different t				
into this more at Phase 2 — you found the silver metallic panels had both a black core and a translucent core on site, is that right? 3 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. 4 Page 113 4 A. Yes. 8 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating – sorry, different test results to 19 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to 19 trest rating – sorry, different test results to 19 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to 19 trest rating – sorry, different test results to 20 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to 19 fire test rating; is that correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're— 23 Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.69 and 24 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 1 So you note— 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire sperformance. 9 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 1 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 4 Q. Right. Okay. 5 R. MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1489 6 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and get you to his blightly discussed and a translucent force or types achieved different test results to the core in the core if it was do it after lunch. 5 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question, but he we can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 6 ITHE WITNESS: Okay				
metallic panels had both a black core and a translucent core on site; is that right? A. Ves, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Tower. Q. Voa y that they achieve different test results to BS EN 13823? A. Ves. Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? A. Can you just refer me to where you're— Q. Q. B. SAB0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. Page 11.3 Page 11.5 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the Bab core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire the performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in in that? A. Yes. A. Yes. Can you just explain us to why you were interested to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2				
22 core on site; is that right? 23 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been a present at Grenfeld Tower. 24 (2) You say that they achieve different test results to BS EN 13823? 25 (2) You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 26 (2) Ela. S0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 27 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. 28 Page 113 29 A. Yes. 30 (2) — they either have a black or a translucent core. 40 A. Yes. 31 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 41 A. Yes. 32 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 42 A. Yes. 33 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are leastified with one reaction to fire performance. 34 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agriement, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 35 A. Yes. 36 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you didn't give detailed reasons. 36 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you didn't give detailed reasons. 37 Q. If's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 38 A. No, It isn't, sorry, no. 39 Q. If's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, juty you didn't give detailed reasons. 40 Page 115 41 Page 115 42 TILIE WITNIESS: Okay, So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. 42 TILIE WITNIESS: Okay. If a big subject. 43 MS GRANGE: Ive was going to go to the certificate and then we can ack a cur intervent if we do it after lunch. 44 Sign Martin MOORE-BIC				
13 A. Yes, that's correct. Both types appear to have been present at Grenfell Towr. 14 present at Grenfell Towr. 15 Q. You say that they achieve different test results to BS EN 13823? 16 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating: is that correct? 20 that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 21 reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're — 23 Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. 26 Page 113 27 Soyou note — 28 A. Yes. 39 Q.—they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 30 Q. They gitted have an early kinch. 4 Page 113 21 THE WITNESS: Now, So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. 31 MS GRANGE: He, will be quite simple questions. 32 Just the Work of Mercular to a subtle questions and Launt move as quickly as you are at the moment. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 3 Q. They either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance when they issue the classification report. 4 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 4 Q. Righ				
14 Present at Grenfell Tower. 15 Q. You've yaid they achieve different test results to 16 BS EN 138237 16				
15 Q. You say that they achieve different test results to BS EN 138237 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 1				
16 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating – sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 20 I. Faccino for fire test rating; is that correct? 21 reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're – 23 Q. BLASO000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and deprived in the page of the page, the two different core types are classified with one reaction to fire test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire in that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types are classified with one reaction to fire in that? 26 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 27 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 28 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Boar				
17				
18 Q. You've said that they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating — sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 21 reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're — 23 Q. BLASO000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. 25 MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 26 MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 27 MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 28 MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 29 MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Six MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It salvays easier to ask the question that the well as BBA one. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Six MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Six MS GRANGE: They was given to ask the question than answer than question, but they they they reversioned they are the machine question to fire they will be quite simple questions. 21 THE WITNESS: Ok				
fire test rating — sorry, different test results to that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 2				
that standard, but then they both achieved the same reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 2. A. Can you just refer me to where you're — 2. Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 2. Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 1. So you note — 2. A. Yes. 2. Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 3. Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4. A. Yes. 5. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 9. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 11. A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 14. Q. Right. Okay. 15. A. Yes. 16. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did an cecpt a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you, did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you, did not accept a 2008 British Board of 220. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at a walid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 20. The WTINESS: The happy to answer that question, but they you acquestions and I can't move as quickly as you expromened the momen. 21. MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 22. BIS A. A. Yes. 23. MS GRANGE: They will be quite simple questions. 24. THE WTINESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BaBA one. 25. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 28. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. 29. THE WTINESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 29. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 29. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 210. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BI		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
21 reaction to fire test rating; is that correct? 22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're – 23 Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 24 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 26 Page 113 27 Page 115 28 Page 115 29 Page 115 20 Page 115 20 Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 29 Page 115 20 Page 115 20 Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 22 Page 115 23 Page 115 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It shink we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 25 Page 115 26 Page 115 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 28 Page 115 29 Page 115 20 Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 22 Page 115 23 Page 115 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It withink we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions than answer them. Page 115 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It withink we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the questions and loan them we'll reau them. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: It has to akay? This is a really important that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that y				
22 A. Can you just refer me to where you're — 23 Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 24 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 1 So you note — 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that performance. 9 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 1 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 1 Q. Right. Okay. 1 Sign MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Use'll have a break now. 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. 2 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 3 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1 think we'll come back to this. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 6 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 9 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 14 a break now until 1.45. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 11 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)				
23 Q. BLAS0000011, at pages 18 to 19, paragraphs 11.6.9 and 11.6.10. 24 11.6.10. 25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 26 So you note — 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 29 A. Yes. 30 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 30 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 41 A. Yes. 42 BBA one. 43 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 44 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1 think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 45 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 46 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 145? 47 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 48 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 49 MS GRANGE: Sis that okay? This is a really important part. 40 Page 115 41 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 6 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 145? 9 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 14 a break now until 1.45. 15 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 18 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 29 Q. If's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give det				
1 So you note — 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 9 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 11 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 12 Q. Right. Okay. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Right. Okay. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 16 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 17 C. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It's always easier to ask the questions than answer them. 25 Dage 115 26 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It hink we'll come back to this. 26 Has Martin MOORE-BICK: May so just pask me that question again, the gable one. 3 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It hink we'll come back to this. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 6 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It hink we'll come back to this. 7 HIE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now until 1.45. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of review or we'll five do it after lunch. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now until 1.45. 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll review or after that. Okay? 18 We'll resume after that. Okay? 19 THE WITNESS: Chay. 20 It's not now, but in your original report you said you certain				•
25 Focusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom. Page 113 Page 115 1 So you note 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. A. Yes. Can you just explain us to why you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Cy. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. Page 115 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we an take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTI				
Page 113 Page 115 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. WISTR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Used In any a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. (12.45 pm) A. No, It din't.				-
1 So you note 2 A. Yes. 3 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 6 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 11 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 12 Q. Right. Okay. 13 GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 14 Q. Right. Okay. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 20 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 24 A. No, I didn't. 2 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the BBA one. 2 BBA one. 3 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 4 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 14 a break now until 1.45. 15 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. Thank you. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is,	23	rocusing on 11.6.9 at the bottom.	23	questions than answer them.
2 A. Yes. 3 Q. – they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 6 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 1 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 1 Q. Right. Okay. 1 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 2 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 2 A. No, I didn't. 2 BBA one. 3 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. 5 THE WITNESS: Is was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 9 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part abreak now until 1.45. 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 13 Lassification report. 14 Q. Right. MoORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 28 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 29 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 20 (The short adjournment)		Page 113		Page 115
2 A. Yes. 3 Q. – they either have a black or a translucent core. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 6 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 1 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 1 Q. Right. Okay. 1 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 2 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 2 A. No, I didn't. 2 BBA one. 3 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. 5 THE WITNESS: Is was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 9 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part abreak now until 1.45. 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 13 Lassification report. 14 Q. Right. MoORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 28 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 29 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 20 (The short adjournment)				
Q. — they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, lathough you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't. A. No, I didn't. 3 MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy— 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 4 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 9 MS GRANGE: Ves, that's fine. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 14 a break now until 1.45. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 17 HE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. 14 break now until 1.45. 15 THE WITNESS: Day. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of	1	So you note	1	THE WITNESS: Okay. So just ask me that question again, the
4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 6 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 7 Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 8 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 9 Q. Right. Okay. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. In you original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 12 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 16 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 19 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. 11 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 17 We'll resume after that. Okay? 18 We'll resume after that. Okay? 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 18 We'll resume after that. Okay? 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I fly ou would like to go with the usher. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I fly ou would like to go with the usher. 21 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but	_	. ••	_	
5 Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core 6 types achieved different test results, but you note that 7 both core types are classified with one reaction to fire 8 performance. 9 Can you just explain us to why you were interested 10 in that? 11 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed 12 to pick the lower performance when they issue the 13 classification report. 14 Q. Right. Okay. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained 17 that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of 18 Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette 19 system, although you did accept at that time it might be 20 valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 21 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you 23 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 24 system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 25 A. No, I didn't. 26 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. 47 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we 28 can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 58 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 59 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 51 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important 51 part. 51 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important 52 In HE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important 53 IR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have 54 a break now until 1.45. 55 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. 55 ITHE WITNESS: Okay. 56 MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we 57 can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. 58 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? 59 MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. 51 ITHE WITNESS: Is that oany or real now. 51 THE WITNESS: Is that oany? This we'll have a break now. 51 THE WITNESS: Okay. 51 THE WITNESS: Okay. 52 IT HE WITNESS: Is that oany? This was a break now. 52 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 53 IT HE WITNESS: Is that oany? This was a break now. 54 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 55 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 56 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 57 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 58 IT HE WITNESS: Okay. 59 IT H				BBA one.
types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. In that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you eystem, but you didn't give detailed reasons. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Use'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after	3	Q they either have a black or a translucent core.	3	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy
both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agreement, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette yatle of the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report to a valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. The WITNESS: Is that oay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. Charling don't. The world of the cassette of the short adjournment. The witness is the to kay? The WITNESS: Is that okay? The WITNESS: Is that okay? The WITNESS: We'll have a break now. The WITNESS: SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. The WITNESS: Ushata okay? The WITNESS: Ushata okay? The WITNESS: Ushata okay? The WITNESS: SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. The WITNESS: As it hat oay? The WITNESS: Is hat oay? The W	3 4	Q they either have a black or a translucent core.A. Yes.	3 4	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this.
performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. Lyour original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Lyour original report of a valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. A. No, It didn't. Creaminy wouldn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. Creaminy wouldn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. Creaminy wouldn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't.	3 4 5	Q they either have a black or a translucent core.A. Yes.Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core	3 4 5	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject.
Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you explained report you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. PMS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? THE WITNESS: Is that okay? THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that oka	3 4 5 6	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that 	3 4 5 6	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we
in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. If word of the your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. In the WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. A. No, I didn't. A. No, I didn't. C. (12.45 pm) C. (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire 	3 4 5 6 7	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch.
11 A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed 12 to pick the lower performance when they issue the 13 classification report. 14 Q. Right. Okay. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained 17 that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of 18 Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette 19 system, although you did accept at that time it might be 20 valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 21 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 24 system, but you didn't. 25 A. No, I didn't. 26 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important 27 part. 28 Is MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 29 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 29 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 21 Later of the riveted system; is that correct? 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 Certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 A. No, I didn't. 26 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45?
to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 13 classification report. 14 Q. Right. Okay. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained 17 that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of 18 Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette 19 system, although you did accept at that time it might be 20 valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 21 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you 23 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 24 system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 12 part. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 21 La No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine.
classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now.
Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 14 a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? 18 We'll resume after that. Okay? 19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 21 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important
A. Yes. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained 17 that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of 18 Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette 19 system, although you did accept at that time it might be 20 valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 21 A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 22 Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you 23 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 24 system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your 27 evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then 28 we'll resume after that. Okay? 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the 20 usher. 21 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, 22 please. Thank you. 23 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part.
Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then 18 we'll resume after that. Okay? 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 21 usher. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have
that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 17 evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45.
Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 18 we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. (12.45 pm) THE WITNESS: Thank you. (12.45 pm) (13.45 pm) (14.45 pm) (15.45 pm) (16.45 pm) (17.46 short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay.
system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your
valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. 21 usher. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then
A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 21 usher. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay?
Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 22 Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. 23 please. Thank you. 24 (12.45 pm) 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you.
23 certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 24 system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 25 A. No, I didn't. 28 please. Thank you. 29 (12.45 pm) 20 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the
24 system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 25 A. No, I didn't. 26 (12.45 pm) 27 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher.
25 A. No, I didn't. 25 (The short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45,
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you.
Page 114 Page 116	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. (12.45 pm)
1.86.110	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. (12.45 pm)
29 (Pages 113 to 116)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q they either have a black or a translucent core. A. Yes. Q. Then if we can go over the page, the two different core types achieved different test results, but you note that both core types are classified with one reaction to fire performance. Can you just explain us to why you were interested in that? A. I was just pointing out that, for a while, they seemed to pick the lower performance when they issue the classification report. Q. Right. Okay. A. Yes. Q. In your original report of April 2018, you explained that you did not accept a 2008 British Board of Agrément, BBA, certificate as valid for the cassette system, although you did accept at that time it might be valid for the riveted system; is that correct? A. No, it isn't, sorry, no. Q. It's not now, but in your original report you said you certainly wouldn't accept it as valid for the cassette system, but you didn't give detailed reasons. A. No, I didn't. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BBA one. MS GRANGE: No, I'm very happy — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll come back to this. THE WITNESS: Okay. It's a big subject. MS GRANGE: I was going to go to the certificate and then we can take our time over it if we do it after lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 1.45? MS GRANGE: Yes, that's fine. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll have a break now. THE WITNESS: Is that okay? This is a really important part. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course it is, yes. We'll have a break now until 1.45. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Don't talk to anyone about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room, and then we'll resume after that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the usher. Right, we'll break, then, and resume at 1.45, please. Thank you. (12.45 pm) (The short adjournment)

1	(1.45 pm)	1	On the Arconic website, it gives very detailed
2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Dr Lane?	2	information on standard sizings for shaping the
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	3	cassettes, and then the cassettes can be fixed in
4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Feeling refreshed, I hope?	4	different ways to a building: they can be hung, they can
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, much better, thank you.	5	be screwed, they can be riveted.
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Ms Grange.	6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It looks as though Arconic
7	MS GRANGE: Thank you.	7	contemplate that, in either of the systems, there will
8	We were on the subject of the BBA certificate and	8	be rails fitted to the facade of the building to carry
9	A. Where do you want me to turn to?	9	the panels.
10	Q. Just to set the scene for a moment.	10	A. That's correct.
11	A. Yes.	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You either rivet the panel to the
12	Q. In your original report you looked briefly at this, and	12	flange of the rail or you hang the cassette into
13	then in appendix O we now have a much more detailed	13	which or what the panels have been used to make, onto
14	explanation as to why you don't think that 2008 BBA	14	bolts themselves carried in those channels.
15	certificate is valid for the cassette system.	15	A. Exactly. So in the channel on the right you'll see
16	What I want to do is pull up the certificate itself	16	there is another bracket with a bolt in it, and in this
17	and just look at some key points, because it is very	17	picture, the cassette system has a little the thing
18	detailed what you've done in appendix O and I just want	18	on the edge on the box top can slot in onto that bolt.
19	to get the headline points across.	19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you that's very useful.
20	A. Yes.	20	A. But a cassette is about its shape. So you're shaping
21	Q. So this is the BBA certificate from 2008 [ARC00000368].	21	the flat panel.
22	A. Yes.	22	MS GRANGE: Just to be clear, and in very general terms,
23	Q. If we look at the first page, you note the heading is	23	it's important, isn't it, to know the distinction
24	"Reynobond architectural wall cladding panels"; is that	24	between the riveted and the cassette in terms of their
25	correct?	25	ability to resist the spread of fire
	Page 117		Page 119
1	A. Yes, it is.	1	A. Absolutely.
2	Q. I want to look at page 3 of this certificate to start	2	Q their testing. Yes.
3	with.	3	A. So what I've learnt because of looking at the test
4	A. Yes.	4	evidence provided in this inquiry is that when the flat
5	Q. It's figure 1, the diagram at the bottom.	5	panel is in a cassette shape and hung as a cassette is,
6	A. Yes.	6	it performs differently under fire compared to a flat
7	Q. So we touched on this earlier, and I think this is	7	panel which is riveted.
8	a helpful diagram, I hope, to try and explain the	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: What are the differences?
9	difference between a riveted system and a cassette	9	A. A much poorer performance in fire. Actually, it's
10	system.	10	probably worth me mentioning something. I did hear
11	A. Oh, yes.	11	Professor Bisby yesterday refer to the French tests. We
12	Q. Can you just help the chairman, just by reference to	12	have very detailed test reports, reaction to fire test
13	these two drawings, and explain what the difference is	13	reports, and I thought it worth mentioning that the
14	between the two?	14	riveted system, as you know, has been classified in
15	A. Yes. So a riveted system and a cassette system are both	15	those tests done in France.
16	made with a flat panel. The difference is to do with	16	When they tested the cassette shape, they had to
17	the end shape of the flat panel.	17	stop the test because such a high level of heating
18	So for a riveted system, as you can see here on the	18	behind the cassette occurred in the test. When you put
19	left, the flat panel is left as is, as such. It's cut	19	the two photos, the riveted system photo and the
20	into pieces and it's riveted onto a support structure.	20	cassette system photo, side by side, they almost look
21	The cassette system has another piece of work done	21	identical, but one gets a classification and other
22	to the flat panel. It's shaped into it's like the	22	cannot get a classification, the cassette, because it
23	cover of that box there (Indicates). It's shaped into	23	burnt so much in that test.
	a piece like that, and they can have all different	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
24 25	depths, or depths of the box top.	25	MS GRANGE: Yes.
	depths, or depths of the box top. Page 118	25	MS GRANGE: Yes. Page 120

1	Just coming back to this certificate, and I just	1	A. No, it does not.
2	want to briefly establish the key reasons why you've	2	Q. No, you've had to ask for those and we've had to get
3	rejected it as a valid test.	3	those separately.
4	So one of the points you make is that the	4	A. Exactly.
5	certificate is potentially misleading, in that it	5	Q. Do you think it should've identified those test reports?
6	purports to relate to all Reynobond architectural wall	6	A. As a designer myself, or someone who has to sign off on
7	cladding panels, of which you find that there are 14	7	buildings myself, which I've done a lot in the past,
8	types; is that right?	8	I very much wish now it had, and I certainly think that
9	A. Yes. So I've used the words "factually incorrect" not	9	such certificates should now come with the relevant test
10	"misleading" in my report, and it says on the front	10	evidence, so one can establish for oneself if that
11	cover, "architectural wall cladding panels". But, for	11	certificate is applicable to the construction form
12	example, I haven't seen any cassette that has got the	12	you're taking responsibility for.
13	performance this Agrément certificate of fire,	13	Q. So for those key reasons, but for also the detailed
14	because the most important thing about the certificate	14	reasons you've set out in appendix O, you have rejected
15	is the performance it refers to, which is class 0.	15	that test certificate as valid for Grenfell Tower?
16	Q. Yes.	16	A. Yes. So at Grenfell Tower specifically, we have
17	A. Okay?	17	a cassette system, and I have seen no test evidence
18	Q. So what you've found is that the certificate relates to	18	where a cassette system performs to the requirements of
19	three types of panels but, in fact, only one of those	19	Approved Document B; we have a standard core, and I have
20	panels referred to in the certificate is class 0; is	20	no test evidence to support a standard core panel with
21	that correct?	21 22	the performances set out in diagram 40 of Approved
22 23	A. That's correct. Q. That is a Reynobond FR ACM metallic grey PVDF panel; is	23	Document B. Q. As we established earlier, just before the lunch break,
23	that correct?	23	the certificates you've seen suggest that the Reynobond
25		25	PE 55 cassette system is European class E. That's what
23	A. There is relevant test evidence for that fire retardant	23	FE 33 cassette system is European class E. That's what
	Page 121		Page 123
1	aana manal	1	you gold before the breek
1	core panel. O I was going to ask you what FR stands for	1	you said before the break.
2	Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for.	2	A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that.
2 3	Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for.A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant"	2 3	A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that.Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything
2 3 4	Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for.A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR	2 3 4	A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that.Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically.
2 3 4 5	Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no.
2 3 4	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. A. Yes, that's fine. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular exposed PE in the installation because the PE is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. A. Yes, that's fine. Q. But the point I wanted to ask you was that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular exposed PE in the installation because the PE is exposed? It's just a simple point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. A. Yes, that's fine. Q. But the point I wanted to ask you was that the certificate itself doesn't actually identify the test 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular exposed PE in the installation because the PE is exposed? It's just a simple point. A. So PE poses a risk, whether it's exposed or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE — they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. A. Yes, that's fine. Q. But the point I wanted to ask you was that the certificate itself doesn't actually identify the test reports which underpin it, does it? It doesn't actually 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular exposed PE in the installation because the PE is exposed? It's just a simple point. A. So PE poses a risk, whether it's exposed or not. Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. I was going to ask you what FR stands for. A. Okay. Well, so I think it stands for "fire retardant" and it's referring to the core of the panel. But FR isn't something that's defined anywhere, as such. So I assume it means some fire retardants have been added to the core and so the product is being called or named a fire retardant product. Q. Just to be absolutely clear, is that the same kind of panel that we have with the PE core at Grenfell Tower? A. My understanding of the core at Grenfell is that it is PE they call it a standard core. Q. So not the FR core? A. It is not the FR core. Q. The BBA have confirmed in response to questions from the inquiry that the certificate was based on four product test reports. A. It would be useful if they could come up on the screen. Q. I wasn't going to take you to all of those test reports. A. Yes, that's fine. Q. But the point I wanted to ask you was that the certificate itself doesn't actually identify the test reports which underpin it, does it? It doesn't actually identify on the face of the certificate what the test 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Yes, so the test reports I've read show me that. Q. I want to move on from this now unless there's anything more you wanted to say about it specifically. A. No, no. Q. So as to the manner in which the Reynobond 55 PE was fixed and the exposed PE filler and core, can we look at BLAS0000008 at page 59, figure 8.65. I wanted to turn this up because we looked at quite a lot of exposed edges yesterday with Professor Bisby, exposed edges in relation to the spandrels. But here we're looking at the column rainscreen panels; is that correct? That's what the diagram seems to suggest. A. Yes. Q. Is it right what you're drawing attention to here is a number of exposed PE core edges of those panels? A. Yes. Q. I think we discussed earlier, is your evidence that there are considerable risks posed by this particular exposed PE in the installation because the PE is exposed? It's just a simple point. A. So PE poses a risk, whether it's exposed or not. Q. Yes. A. I feel like I'm saying the same thing over and over

1	Q. Sorry, if you are.	1	if you can remember earlier, when we were talking about
2	A. But these small details are important in the early	2	the PIR, and this kind of containment function, so the
3	stages of a fire when there's a small fire. When you're	3	cover of the box, if you will, is acting like
4	into a really large flame front, I cannot say that	4	a container, and if it's relatively deep, you're heating
5	something like an exposed core is truly significant at	5	up a very nice space.
6	that point relative to anything else. Okay?	6	I think the CSTB test reports are very interesting
7	But, yes, at Grenfell Tower there were very many	7	to compare for that reason too, that when you have this
8	exposed lines of polyethylene.	8	shape, the test has to be stopped because the heating
9	Q. Yes.	9	effects are so different, so early.
10	I want to turn to talk about mountings and fixings.	10	So it seems to be significant.
11	Is it right that you also consider that the Reynobond 55	11	MS GRANGE: Yes.
12	PE certificates for the cassette system which do exist	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
13	wouldn't be valid anyway, because your view is that the	13	MS GRANGE: What I'm getting at is: can you just explain why
14	test conditions should accurately replicate the end use	14	what might appear to be insignificant differences in
15	condition?	15	fact are potentially very important when it comes to
		16	fire safety, so that they might invalidate
16	A. Okay, so can we just break that down. Did you say the test certificates?	17	a certificate?
17		1	
18	Q. I said the Reynobond 55 PE certificates for the cassette	18 19	A. Okay.
19	system would not be valid, because your view is that the		Q. You highlight a number of parts of Approved Document B
20	test condition must accurately replicate the end use	20	which stress that these differences are important when
21	condition.	21	you're looking at test evidence; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, yes, that's correct, yes.	22	A. Okay, I understand what you mean now.
23	Q. So one of the things you've noted is that the panel	23	So I think in different parts of my report, so for
24	shapes and the fixing method which was used at Grenfell	24	doors, for the cladding, the importance is the word
25	varies from the standard Arconic details; is that	25	"relevant". What you have to provide is relevant test
	Page 125		Page 127
		1	
1	correct?	1	evidence.
1 2	COTTECT? A. Okay. So a separate point – okay? – is that the	1 2	evidence. I think there are all sorts of places where it's
	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower,		
2	A. Okay. So a separate point – okay? – is that the	2	I think there are all sorts of places where it's
2 3	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower,	2 3	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all
2 3 4	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look	2 3 4	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the
2 3 4 5	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain	2 3 4 5	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to
2 3 4 5 6	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere	2 3 4 5 6	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG000000224 at 119.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Okay. So a separate point – okay? – is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them – it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings — okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Okay. So a separate point – okay? – is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them – it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail – and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard – is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? A. No, Arconic have a hung detail, but they do appear to have some kind of screw or rivet as well. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very last bit of text under note 1 at the bottom. So there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? A. No, Arconic have a hung detail, but they do appear to have some kind of screw or rivet as well. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask, is the depth of return in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very last bit of text under note 1 at the bottom. So there we see it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? A. No, Arconic have a hung detail, but they do appear to have some kind of screw or rivet as well. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask, is the depth of return in your view likely to be significant? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very last bit of text under note 1 at the bottom. So there we see it says: "Note 1: Different forms of construction can present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? A. No, Arconic have a hung detail, but they do appear to have some kind of screw or rivet as well. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask, is the depth of return in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very last bit of text under note 1 at the bottom. So there we see it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Okay. So a separate point — okay? — is that the cassette, the box top, if you will, at Grenfell Tower, is particularly deep, and at the moment I can only look at the Arconic website about this. It gives certain depths, and I have them — it's in my report somewhere if we want to get into the detail — and the depth of return at Grenfell Tower is much deeper than those. Q. So they're not the standard — is that about the fixing method? What I was specifically asking about was the fixing methods from the panels. You say it varies from the standard Arconic details. A. No, so I think we're talking at cross-purposes here. So that's what I'm trying to be very clear about. Q. Yes. A. The depth of return is different. Q. Yes. A. The fixing difference is the cassette is hung at Grenfell Tower. Q. Is that different from the standard Arconic details? A. No, Arconic have a hung detail, but they do appear to have some kind of screw or rivet as well. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask, is the depth of return in your view likely to be significant? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think there are all sorts of places where it's explained that you can have a material with all different performance rankings okay? It can be the same material, but have a totally different reaction to fire classification as a function of what's behind it and how it's attached to the material behind it. So it's the system or the assembly that is really important. So as a designer, I cannot choose a material and ignore the construction context that I'm going to place that material in. Okay? Because it changes its fire performance. Either better or worse; it works both ways. Q. Can we look at one example of that. You stress that this is emphasised in a number of pieces of guidance. Let's look at Approved Document B itself. A. Okay. If that could go up on the screen. Q. Yes, of course. CLG00000224 at 119. So the bit I want to take you to is, first of all, at the bottom of that first column, note 1. That very last bit of text under note 1 at the bottom. So there we see it says: "Note 1: Different forms of construction can present

1	of structural fire protection."	1	correct?
2	That's one aspect you draw attention to; is that	2	A. They are actually taking it off.
3	correct?	3	Q. Oh, sorry.
4	A. Yes, that's structural fire protection, yes.	4	A. Sorry to be annoying. They're actually taking it off,
5	Q. Note 2 is perhaps more relevant to what we were just	5	yes. But there are men there and they are touching the
6	discussing, the top of the next column. That states:	6	insulation, yes. Okay. I think maybe yes. Yes.
7	"Note 2: Any test evidence used to substantiate the	7	Q. And that's one of the spandrel panels.
8	fire resistance rating of a construction should be	8	A. Yes.
9	carefully checked to ensure that it demonstrates	9	Q. And you had two of those; is that right?
10	compliance that is adequate and applicable to the	10	A. Yes, that's correct.
11	intended use."	11	Q. Behind the spandrels, 80 millimetres in thickness each;
12	Then it says this:	12	is that correct?
13	"Small differences in detail (such as fixing method,	13	A. That's correct.
14	joints, dimensions and the introduction of insulation	14	Q. The key point is that the insulation is required to be
15	materials etc.) may significantly affect the rating."	15	of limited combustibility under Approved Document B, so
16	Is that an example of where designers are being	16	that's A2 or better.
17	warned about what you were just discussing?	17	A. That's correct.
18	A. Yes, and that's in the context of fire resistance, so	18	Q. Is your conclusion that none of it was?
19	now we're talking doors, floors and walls. Okay?	19	A. Correct.
20	Q. I see, yes.	20	Q. So let's just explore that a little bit.
21	A. But the same principle applies for reaction to fire if	21	The Celotex RS5000 insulation which was installed on
22	you go into part 10 of BS 476. Anyway	22	the spandrel panels where we were just looking and the
23	So everywhere it's clear that the context of the	23	column panels
24	product you're selecting has to be understood, and	24	A. Yes.
25	that's why the test evidence has to be relevant.	25	Q the test reports that you've reviewed indicate that
	D 400		D 404
	Page 129		Page 131
1	Q. Yes.	1	that achieved European class D
2	A. And relevant means to how it's going to be installed in	2	A. That's correct.
3	that building.	3	Q is that correct?
4	Q. Yes. I think for the note, you refer to BS 476, part 10	4	A. Yes. And only those tests were done after the Grenfell
5	as well	5	fire.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. Yes. And you've considered all of that relevant test
7	Q in paragraph F1.1.8 of your report.	7	evidence in your appendix E.
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. We don't need to go to that.	9	Q. So there was a BS 8414 test report carried out by the
10	A. Okay.	10	BRE in August 2014.
11	Q. You say that is making the point even more firmly about	11	A. Yes.
12	test evidence.	12	Q. Which was subsequently withdrawn, we'll come to that in
13	A. Yes, but that is specifically relating to reaction to	13	a moment. In any event, you say you would reject that
14	fire, and reaction to fire is the performance relevant	14	because the configuration of that test had a rainscreen
15	to the cladding at Grenfell Tower. So it's more	15	system with a Marley Eternit cementitious board is
16	relevant than this note here.	16	that right? used as the insulation?
17	Q. Insulation. Can we move to the insulation, then.	17	A. That's correct.
18	Can we just orientate ourselves again and just work	18	Q. So you've rejected that.
19	out what we're talking about. BLA00000495 is an image	19	You also rejected a desktop
20	of the insulation being installed on spandrel panels.	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Do you want to say something?
21	A. Yes, so what figure is that in my report?	21	A. So the Eternit board is that external surface, instead
22	Q. I think it's a stand-alone photograph	22	of the panel. The insulation is separate.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. So it's not the same
24	Q which particularly clearly you can see the guys	24	A. Absolutely not the same.
25	actually installing the spandrel insulation; is that	25	Q as what we have at Grenfell Tower, so you can reject
	Page 130		Page 132
	Page 130		Page 132
			22 (Dagga 120 to 122)

			1 7
1	that in any event.	1	Q. Is it right that we can see that tape in between some of
2	A. Correct.	2	the
3	Q. You also say in appendix E that you rejected a desktop	3	A. Yes, we can.
4	assessment produced by Exova Warringtonfire in May 2015	4	Q. To answer the chairman's question, do you think there
5	because none of the rainscreen cladding types were	5	might be a reason why they've done that in those
6	representative of the ACP panels at Grenfell.	6	locations?
7	A. That's correct, and Exova also warned the reader about	7	A. I have no idea why they say to just tape joints and no
8	choosing a material with aluminium in their report.	8	tape exposed edges. I don't even know if they want the
9	Q. Yes.	9	joints taped for fire reasons or not. So that's a level
10	You also note that that BS 8414 test report by the	10	of detailing I can't advise you on.
11	BRE in August 2014 was subsequently withdrawn by	11	So what we need to know is why the tape is needed,
12	Celotex.	12	if it's relevant to fire or not, when Celotex give that
13	A. That's correct.	13	advice. So it would be best if they explain that, if
14			
	Q. You've noted in your report discrepancies between what's	14	that's okay.
15	reported to have been tested and what in fact was	15	Q. What we can see is that they did have some kind of foil
16	tested; is that correct?	16	tape on site.
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Is that a topic you think should be investigated further	18	Q. But they seem to have been using it in that way, but
19	in Phase 2?	19	not, as we can see, to seal the edge.
20	A. Very much so.	20	A. On the cut edges, exactly. So when you have two cut
21	Q. We touched on this earlier, you note in your report that	21	edges together and you form a joint, they seem to wan
22	the Celotex brochure requires the insulation boards to	22	to seal it, and if you have a plain cut edge not up
23	have a self-adhesive aluminium rainscreen foil tape over	23	against another piece of Celotex board, you don't put
24	the joints of the insulation board.	24	any tape there.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. It's also believed that Kingspan Kooltherm K15 was used
	Page 133		Page 135
1	Q. Do you interpret that as meaning that all of the exposed	1	on the building.
2	edges of the insulation foam should have had that foil	2	A. Yes.
3	tape on them?	3	Q. Professor Bisby's estimated that that might account for
4	A. I wouldn't know how to interpret it, in the sense to	4	less than 7 per cent of the total insulation used on the
5	me a face is different to a joint, and they mentioned	5	building.
			_
6	joint. I think it would be useful if it said "and the	6	A. Yes.
7	facings or any exposed edges" if that's what they	7	Q. Do you agree broadly?
8	required the designer to contemplate.	8	A. Yes, it was a relatively small amount, yes.
9	Q. Yes.	9	Q. Is it right that that achieved national class 0, but
10	A. But at that time it just said "joints".	10	that's not a method of determining limited
11	Q. Can we just look	11	combustibility?
12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sorry, can I just ask, is there	12	A. Yes, so class 0 is to do with the surface, yes. It's
13	any reason why one would wish to tape the joints but not	13	not an insulation test.
14	tape the cut edge?	14	Q. At paragraph 8.9.4 of your report, you've referred to
15	MS GRANGE: We're going to come to a photograph, actually,	15	the fact that in order to affix these insulation panels
16	that shows this.	16	to the building, they used 180-millimetre stakes.
17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, sorry, I'm getting ahead	17	A. Yes.
18	of us.	18	Q. Do you know you may not, because I'm not sure it's
19	MS GRANGE: No, no, this photograph might help with that	19	something we focused on whether the type and length
		20	of fixing was used consistently?
20	question.		-
21	A. Okay.	21	A. Oh, I don't know that, sorry. Yes.
22	Q. If we go to figure 8.37, BLAS0000008 at page 35.	22	Q. Do we know the brand or
23	We're looking at the insulation on the columns on	23	A. No, no, I don't actually know anything about them. S
24	the right-hand side; yes?	24	again, it would be a nice piece of information to be
	A. Yes.	25	given.
25	A. 165.	23	•

		1	7
1	Q. The Aluglaze window infill panels, we've already	1	Q. Is that the warning that you were just referring to?
2	discussed these, they are XPS extruded polystyrene, and	2	A. Yes, because some buildings are formed almost entirely
3	you say in your report that you think they made up about	3	out of these panels for other reasons to do with hygiene
4	13 per cent of the surface between levels 4 to 23 of	4	and other things, and there have been firefighter deaths
5	Grenfell Tower; is that correct?	5	and some other quite serious problems with them for
6	A. Yes.	6	I think it's nearly 20 years or so now. Yes.
7	Q. Can you clarify, I think you said it earlier, are these	7	Q. Thank you.
8	properly to be characterised as sandwich panels?	8	Cavity barriers.
9	A. Yes, insulation core panels is the phrase used in	9	A. Yes.
10	Approved Document B.	10	Q. I now want to come to cavity barriers. I think we'll
11	Q. What is the purpose of those panels?	11	cover this topic and then probably break, if you are
12	A. To insulate the building.	12	comfortable with that.
13	Q. Yes.	13	A. Oh, yes. Yes, yes.
14	A. Insulate that part of the building, sorry. Yes.	14	Q. We looked earlier at Approved Document B and where those
15	Q. You say in your report that no formal test evidence for	15	are required if you are following that guidance.
16	those panels has been disclosed to the inquiry; is that	16	A. Yes.
17	correct?	17	Q. Can we just look at that again.
18	A. That's correct, yes.	18	In fact, it's in your report: BLAS0000011, page 69,
19	Q. But you say from some publicly available datasheets, you	19	figure 11.22, which is the relevant extract.
20	think that might have been class E; is that right?	20	If we can zoom in on that diagram.
21	A. Well, the website where the product is is actually very	21	So just to remind ourselves, these cavity barriers
22	clear, yes.	22	are required to close around openings in the external
23	Q. You note that the manufacturers, Panel Systems Limited,	23	wall at the junction of fire-resisting elements; is that
24	state that they don't achieve a national class 0 on	24	correct?
25	their website.	25	A. Yes.
	their records.		
	Page 137		Page 139
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. So, again, they're quite hard to see, but could you
2	Q. When we're thinking about these kind of panels, these	2	point them out so we're absolutely clear.
3	sandwich panels, these XPS panels, is it relevant to	3	A. So on this diagram, they're the little light grey things
4	consider what is said in appendix F of ADB about	4	at the windows, at the floors.
5	insulating core panels?	5	O. Yes.
6	A. Yes, I think so. Yes. Because I think Approved	6	A. Also where a compartment wall intersects with the
7	Document B is explicit about that type of panel.	7	external wall too. And at the top of the wall to close
8	Q. Could we just look at that.	8	off the cavity up here.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Yes, I wanted to emphasise that. Yes.
10	Q. CLG00000224 at page 147. So I think what we get is	10	A. Yes.
11	paragraph 3.	11	Q. The cavity barriers that were installed on site were
12	In appendix F.	12	SIDERISE RH 25 open state cavity barriers; is that
13	A. Yes.	13	right?
14	Q. So it says this:	14	A. That's correct.
15	"3. When compared with other types of construction	15	Q. Can we just look at a picture of those. Can we go to
16	techniques, these panel systems therefore provide	16	BLAS0000008, page 42, at figure 8.46.
17	a unique combination of problems for firefighters,	17	So perhaps starting with the bottom picture, this is
18	including:	18	a picture from the SIDERISE product literature.
19	"• hidden fire spread within the panels with	19	Can you just explain to us, for those that aren't
20	thermoplastic cores;	20	familiar with this, what an open state cavity barrier
21	"• production of large quantities of black toxic	21	is?
22	smoke; and.	22	A. Okay. Can we go back to the ADB picture? Is that okay?
23	[• loss of structural integrity of panel]	23	Q. I'm sure we can.
23 24	"• rapid fire spread leading to flashover."	24	A. Yes. So in this diagram, if you just look at one of the
25	A. Yes.	25	cavity barriers either at the floor line or at the
23	11. 103.	23	carry surriers cancer at the noor line of at the
	Page 138		Page 140

1	window, the concept is it fills the space formed between	1	A. Yes, yes, so
2	the internal and external wall construction, and it	2	Q. Can you talk us through
3	provides a fire-resisting barrier fully between those	3	A. Again, it's about going back to that diagram in Approved
4	two pieces of material. Okay? So it's a full fill of	4	Document B. The wall is meant to adequately resist the
5	the cavity in that location.	5	spread of fire, and the cavity barrier is sealing that
6	Q. That's what's meant by open state, is it?	6	gap in the wall. So the cavity barrier is there to
7	A. No, so that's a cavity barrier.	7	when the flame goes into the cavity, it is stopping the
8	So now when you go to an open state cavity barrier,	8	flame from exiting one compartment to the next, if you
9	the idea is that in a normal condition, it doesn't fill	9	will. Okay?
10	the cavity, it leaves a gap.	10	A cavity barrier cannot stop a flame in a cavity if
11	If we want to go to the SIDERISE picture now	11	the wall itself is burning. So the very founding
12	It leaves a gap for day-to-day reasons, okay? And	12	principle is that the wall is not burning and the cavity
13	when heat approaches the cavity barrier, in behind that	13	barrier is stopping a flame in the cavity.
14	black layer is something called an intumescent, and	14	The cavity barrier cannot stop the whole wall from
15	an intumescent changes shape under heat and can move	15	burning in that position.
16	it, like, expounds and so fills the gap that's there	16	Q. If we go to the next figure, 10.18, I think that's over
17	day-to-day.	17	the page.
18	So an open state cavity barrier is one that, in	18	Here I think the point you're trying to make is
19	a normal condition, allows an opening in the cavity, but	19	about you could have fire spread through the combustible
20	once heat comes near the intumescent, it swells and	20	panel itself; is that correct?
21	fills that gap and so is meant to form that full seal	21	A. Yes.
22	I mentioned when we were looking at the Approved	22	Q. You are showing that with the red dotted line through
23	Document B picture.	23	the panel?
24	Q. Can you just confirm, these cavity barriers here, they	24	A. Yes. So here, the cavity barrier, it's beside an edge
25	are made of mineral wool; is that right?	25	of a wall that itself can burn, so the flame front can
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		······································
	Page 141		Page 143
1	A Ves. as Lunderstand it	1	quite simply pass by it.
1	A. Yes, as I understand it. O. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct?	1 2	quite simply pass by it. O. Then figure 10.19 which is on the same page, just at
2	Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct?	2	Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at
2 3	Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct?A. They're non-combustible?	2 3	Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom.
2 3 4	Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct?A. They're non-combustible?Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report.	2 3 4	Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom.A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. 	2 3 4 5	Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom.A. Yes.Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. Q. This is the first of a sequence of figures that we have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the base of the spandrel panels as opposed to where they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. They'd be non-combustible; is that correct? A. They're non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. Q. This is the first of a sequence of figures that we have in your report. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the base of the spandrel panels as opposed to where they were, which is kind of partway up?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. They'd be non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. Q. This is the first of a sequence of figures that we have in your report. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the base of the spandrel panels as opposed to where they were, which is kind of partway up? A. I think it makes no difference whatsoever where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. They'd be non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. Q. This is the first of a sequence of figures that we have in your report. A. Yes. Q. Which is explaining, I think, the point you've just made 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the base of the spandrel panels as opposed to where they were, which is kind of partway up? A. I think it makes no difference whatsoever where the cavity barriers at Grenfell Tower were because they were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. They'd be non-combustible? Q. I think that's what you say at 8.9.33 of the report. A. Yes, sorry. Okay, yes, they are. Q. So they would be A1 if they're non-combustible? A. They would be, yes. Q. You go on in your report to note this is paragraphs 11.21.12 and 11.21.14 that the use of cavity barriers is potentially problematic with a rainscreen cladding system formed with an aluminium composite panel; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes, it's not potentially problematic, it is entirely problematic. Q. Let's look at your figures. I want to take you through figures 10.17 to 10.19. Can we start with 10.17 A. Hold on, hold on, just slow down there, please. I just want to open up 10. So 10-point-what? Q. 10.17. It's on page 19 of chapter 10. A. Yes. Q. This is the first of a sequence of figures that we have in your report. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Then figure 10.19, which is on the same page, just at the bottom. A. Yes. Q. There, I think the point you're making here is that potentially you get distortion of the panels; is that right? Do you want to talk us through A. Yes, because the panel is a lightweight structure. Rather than, say, a classic masonry wall, they can distort and produce a bigger gap, which the intumescent would then need to fill. But I think that's a secondary issue compared to the primary issue of putting a cavity barrier in a rainscreen cladding system, which is quite simply the wall itself is burning and so the cavity barrier cannot seal the cavity, because in a way there is no cavity, because the wall is burning. Q. This distortion, delamination, debonding that you're talking about, do you think that there would be any difference if the cavity barriers had been placed at the base of the spandrel panels as opposed to where they were, which is kind of partway up? A. I think it makes no difference whatsoever where the

1	a polymeric core.	1	A. Do you mean trying to stop the flame front on the
2	Q. Professor Bisby at paragraph 887 of his report says	2	Q. Yes, or stop melting and dripping material. I mean, is
3	horizontal cavity barriers are considered important	3	that
4	within rainscreen cladding systems, particularly when	4	A. Oh. Well, if they were very well fitted and sealed, you
5	combustible cladding insulation products are used.	5	might get a little pool of melted polyethylene on them,
6	Would you agree with that statement?	6	but you know, they're all what I call little details.
7	A. When combustible insulation products are used?	7	The flame front is roaring past. That's what happened
8	Q. That's what's said.	8	at Grenfell. Yes.
9	A. I've no idea why he said that.	9	Q. What about using concrete upstands to butt the cavity
10	Q. Professor Torero drew a distinction between what's going	10	barriers up against?
11	on in the cavity and what's going on outside and said	11	A. Where would the concrete upstand be?
12	potentially they might slow the spread of smoke or fire.	12	Q. Yes, I mean, I think at intervals is what is being
13	Again	13	suggested.
14	A. Oh, I don't know. How could they do that? If the	14	A. To answer that question of I don't know who wants to
15	cavity barrier is jammed into a combustible piece of	15	know that. If there was a sketch, I'm happy to
16	insulation on the right-hand side, and a burning	16	I don't know where the concrete upstand would be and
17	polymeric flame front on the left-hand side, I don't	17	where the cavity barrier would be fixed. But I'm happy
18	know what that cavity barrier can do in that context.	18	to answer that with a sketch if someone gives me
19	Cavity barriers are very effective in a wall that	19	a sketch.
20	isn't burning on both sides.	20	Q. In any event, putting aside those areas, you've
21	Q. Would it have been possible to penetrate the rainscreen	21	explained in your report that you have some concerns
22	system with cavity barriers at levels? Have you ever	22	about the way in which the SIDERISE cavity barriers were
23	seen that done before?	23	installed at Grenfell Tower; is that correct?
24	A. I haven't, but the principle of trying to have some kind	24	A. Yes. Again, I mean, my job is to point things out. So
25	of break in the panel I think is probably what they	25	just to be absolutely clear, when I'm doing my own work,
	Page 145		Page 147
1	mean.	1	we always categorise defects as major or minor, primary
1 2	mean. Liust — Ldon't think that's that's not	1 2	we always categorise defects as major or minor, primary
2	I just — I don't think that's that's not	2	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of
	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front	2 3	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would
2 3	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel.	2 3 4	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another
2 3 4	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit	2 3 4 5	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building.
2 3 4 5	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete	2 3 4 5 6	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what
2 3 4 5 6	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the	2 3 4 5 6 7	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to the next. So I think it's entirely impossible,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful. I drew the distinction there between horizontal and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to the next. So I think it's entirely impossible, actually, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful. I drew the distinction there between horizontal and vertical. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to the next. So I think it's entirely impossible, actually, yes. MS GRANGE: Just a few more questions on this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful. I drew the distinction there between horizontal and vertical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to the next. So I think it's entirely impossible, actually, yes. MS GRANGE: Just a few more questions on this. What about downwards flame spread? Do you think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful. I drew the distinction there between horizontal and vertical. A. Yes. Q. A vertical cavity barrier, is that normally with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I just — I don't think that's that's not a mitigation measure that can mitigate the flame front that can be created from a polymeric rainscreen panel. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I suppose that even if you could fit a barrier that ran from, in this case, the concrete outer surface of the building right through the rainscreen panel — A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — it wouldn't do much good if the panels below were heavily alight; it would simply bypass it, wouldn't it? A. Yes. Then you're getting into problems of what is installed, if the panel falls away, if the panel's burning, and also remember — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You get heat flux — A. You still have flame, you're going to have heat, you know, you're going to reach the point where you need some kind of big kind of horizontal screen or something to try and stop the flame from getting from one floor to the next. So I think it's entirely impossible, actually, yes. MS GRANGE: Just a few more questions on this. What about downwards flame spread? Do you think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or secondary. So I think the installation quality of the SIDERISE cavity barriers is a defect, but I would classify that as a minor defect if it was another building. Q. Actually, sorry, before we get to those defects, what I meant to say before we get to that is that you've noted that the horizontal cavity barrier product that was used at Grenfell Tower was only tested as fixed between concrete panels on either side. A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. For the vertical product, it was only tested between a lightweight aerated concrete wall, not in a rainscreen cladding system. A. Exactly. I haven't been given evidence of the SIDERISE cavity barriers with a standard polyethylene cored rainscreen, no. Q. That's helpful. I drew the distinction there between horizontal and vertical. A. Yes. Q. A vertical cavity barrier, is that normally with

1	A. Well, at Grenfell Tower they were specified as being	guidance, that they should be there at the head.
2	that full seal I mentioned earlier, so it should've been	2 A. Yes, you have to seal the wall on the final floor, yes.
3	from the concrete flush tight up against the external	3 Sorry, the top of the final floor, excuse me, yes.
4	surface.	4 Q. Although the logical question follows: do you think that
5	Q. I know you've said it's a minor issue, but I just want	5 the presence of cavity barriers at the top of the
6	to summarise the issues you've raised	building, before you get to the crown, could've made any
7	A. Absolutely fine.	7 meaningful difference in terms of fire spread at 8 Grenfell Tower?
8	Q about the installation.	
9	You've said they were in your view not fitted in	9 A. Not at Grenfell Tower, no.
10	accordance with the manufacturer's specification. I'll	Q. You've also noted in your latest report that at the time
11	run through the key points.	of construction of Grenfell Tower, the manufacturers'
12	You say they were rough cut with gaps between	instructions for the type of cavity barrier that were
13	barriers in the external wall.	used, ie SIDERISE RH 25 with the green strip at the top,
14	A. Yes.	didn't state that they should be installed with that
15	Q. Not tightly abutted and with cladding rails cut through	green logo tape at the top; is that correct?
16	the barriers.	A. For the product with the smaller gap, 25, yes, that's
17	A. Yes.	correct. I had thought it was for any product, but then
18	Q. I think we've seen photographs of that, so I'm not going	it was only for the open state cavity barriers that are
19	to take you to that again.	tested for adequate performance with the larger
20	A. Yes, you have.	 50-millimetre gap. Q. Do you understand why one product should be installed in
21 22	Q. You've noted the lack of any cavity barriers in the nose	Q. Do you understand why one product should be installed inone orientation and not the other?
	of the column, in the tip of the column	
23	A. Yes, yes.	
24 25	Q is that correct?	 the SIDERISE fire test reports. Q. What is it about those fire test reports?
23	Can you give a view how common you think these	2.5 Q. What is it about those the test reports?
	Page 149	Page 151
1	issues were across the cavity barriers based on your	1 A Recause in all the test report it says the intumescent
1 2	issues were across the cavity barriers, based on your inspections?	1 A. Because in all the test report it says the intumescent 2 is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you
2	inspections?	2 is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you
2 3	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than
2 3 4	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the
2 3 4 5	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is.
2 3 4 5 6	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at
2 3 4 5 6 7	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2?
2 3 4 5 6	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct?	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the building.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically entirely unclear why it doesn't matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the building. A. Yes. They don't appear to have been specified on the	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically entirely unclear why it doesn't matter. Q. Finally, as we discussed earlier, you found no cavity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the building. A. Yes. They don't appear to have been specified on the drawings. I did not get to go up and look and, anyway,	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically entirely unclear why it doesn't matter. Q. Finally, as we discussed earlier, you found no cavity barriers around any of the windows.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the building. A. Yes. They don't appear to have been specified on the drawings. I did not get to go up and look and, anyway, actually there's nothing to see there, yes.	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically entirely unclear why it doesn't matter. Q. Finally, as we discussed earlier, you found no cavity barriers around any of the windows. A. No, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	inspections? A. Yes, so it seemed to have been very consistent, I have to say. I did get the chance to go out onto the scaffolding and I observed the rainscreen panels being removed in several places. So in general I saw that the cavity barriers in the columns were particularly uneven, and I was going to say kind of jimmied in, whereas the horizontal cavity barrier was better fitted. Q. Because you've also found that horizontal cavity barriers had been used in the vertical position; is that correct? A. Yes. So whoever installed the cavity barriers and the columns seemed to just take the product that was specified for the horizontal condition and just turn it around, cut it and shove it in behind the ACP. Q. You also say that cavity barriers were absent at the head of the rainscreen cladding system at the top of the building. A. Yes. They don't appear to have been specified on the drawings. I did not get to go up and look and, anyway, actually there's nothing to see there, yes. Q. But I think you emphasised earlier that Approved	is 75 millimetres deep. So I don't understand, when you have cavity barriers that are deeper than 75 millimetres, why it doesn't matter where the intumescent is. Q. Is that something you want to explore in more detail at Phase 2? A. I mean, yes, I will look into it. But, again, everything about this product are what I call minor defects in the context of Grenfell, because of the overall wall it was fitted into. In other buildings, with a masonry wall or whatever, those smaller details in the context of Grenfell are then very important. Okay? Q. Yes. So it's important to note, I think, that whilst you said in your first report that the barriers were upside down and that was a non-compliance, you're not saying that's a non-compliance in your revised report. A. I'm using more neutral language. I remain technically entirely unclear why it doesn't matter. Q. Finally, as we discussed earlier, you found no cavity barriers around any of the windows. A. No, I did not. MS GRANGE: Mr Chairman, I think that's a good moment for

1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	1	person to give a proper view.
2	How are you getting on?	2	Q. Just one point of detail and clarification about your
3	MS GRANGE: Yes, good.	3	diagram 10.18. This is on page 20 of chapter 10.
4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Shall we have 5 minutes or 10, is	4	That's BLAS0000010
5	really what I'm asking you.	5	A. Sorry, 10.18?
6	MS GRANGE: I think let's have 10.	6	Q. Yes. It's figure 10.18 at the top of page 20.
7	THE WITNESS: Oh, that sounds great!	7	A. Yes.
8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good. We'll have a 10-minute break	8	Q. We were looking at that earlier in the context of cavity
9	then. Don't talk to anyone about your evidence and back	9	barriers, and here you have this diagram of the fire
10	at 2.45, then, please. Thank you very much.	10	spreading through the combustible polyethylene core of
11	2.45, then, please.	11	the rainscreen cladding panel.
12	(2.35 pm)	12	I've been asked to get you to clarify, so far as
13	(A short break)	13	you're aware, could the aluminium skin stay in place and
14	(2.45 pm)	14	the PE burn up the middle of the panel, or would the
15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Dr Lane?	15	aluminium have to delaminate somewhat in order for that
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	16	to happen?
17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good.	17	A. To make the PE burn?
18	MS GRANGE: Thank you.	18	Q. Yes. I mean, can you literally get fire spread inside
19	If I can just start by giving a trigger warning. In	19	the panel with the two pieces of aluminium still in
20	about 3 or 4 minutes we're going to come to look at the	20	place?
21	escape of the fire out of flat 16 and issues around	21	A. Okay, so then that the cavity barrier could work
22	that. I know Dr Lane is keen to be able to look at	22	perfectly well with the remaining aluminium?
23	Professor Bisby's video.	23	Q. No, I don't think that is what is being suggested.
24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	24	I think it's just to clarify, when it's circumventing,
25	MS GRANGE: So we're going to watch a portion of that again,	25	can it circumvent the cavity barrier by literally going
	Page 153	Page 155	
,	n C : 1 ml : 4 .:	1	114 4 111
1	I'm afraid. I'll give the trigger warning again when we	1	right up the middle
2	get to it.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Oh, right.
3 4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, yes. MS GRANGE: But I'm warning people now that we're just	4	 Q or would you always get or need to have for oxygen purposes some kind of delamination, debonding of the
5	a few minutes away from that if they wish to leave, and	5	aluminium?
6	that that will involve scenes of the tower on fire which	6	
7		7	A. So I suppose it depends on what the flaming situation is at that location at that time, isn't it? So if it's at
8	some may find distressing. Before I come to that new topic, I just have two	8	the start of something, it might just be the core, but
9	follow-up questions from the issues we were just	9	when you have that very well established flame front on
10	discussing.	10	a column I think there is more than just the core
11	First of all, a number of residents in their	11	changing going on, yes.
12	evidence have referred to the fact that after the	12	Q. I'm now going to turn to a different topic, which is to
13	refurbishment, they felt that there were draughts around	13	effectively go back to the sequence of events at
14	the windows that they could really feel.	14	Grenfell Tower and to summarise your evidence by
15	Do you have any insight into what might have been	15	reference to the sequence of events in the early stages.
16	the source of those draughts? Is that something you	16	I'm then going to want to explore with you on
17	the source of those draughts? Is that something you thought about?	17	Monday, when your evidence continues, the active and
18	A. So as a fire safety engineer, I don't think about	18	passive fire safety systems inside the tower in more
19	draughts, but what I would say is I think it's really	19	detail, and we'll start with doors on Monday.
20	important to just remember that detailing under the	20	A. Yes.
21	uPVC. So my interest in it is what is under the uPVC,	21	Q. So first I want to consider the route of fire spread out
22	and I've told you several times today about those voids.	22	of flat 16.
23	So one can imagine you can translate from there,	23	A. Yes.
24	then, to sizeable voids and loose materials.	24	Q. You've heard both Professor Torero and Professor Bisby
25	But it's better for an architect or appropriate	25	being questioned about this.
	Page 154		Page 156
			20 (D 152 t- 156)

1	In your report, you address this at 10.3.7, where		So you've heard an awful lot in the last few days
2	you say you think the most probable escape route was the	2	about how uPVC behaves in relatively low temperatures,
3	higher portion of the kitchen flat window through the	3	and I'm not talking about when it's ignited or anything
4	column-side jamb and head of the window; is that	4	like that, and we've had a discussion that it was glued
5	correct?	5	on, you know, it wasn't mechanically fixed to the window
6	A. Yes, that's correct.	6	area either.
7	Q. Does that remain your position?	7	So, you know, in my mind, it's a localised fire, but
8	A. Yes, it does.	8	in that localised area, relatively speaking, it's
9	Q. At this point, is it appropriate to watch the video of	9	a substantial fire near that corner of the window before
10	Professor Bisby	10	it gets out.
11	A. Yes.	11	But what we can't see is there is now heat transfer
12	Q before we come on	12	through that corner. Okay? Through this corner
13	A. Do you want me to explain my conclusion on it?	13	sorry, if I stand up here you have some kind of fire
14	Q. I think it's possible for us to we did it	14	condition here, you have heat transfer, heat transfer in
15	yesterday stop the video if you want to at particular	15	through this corner (Indicates), which may be 35, it may
16	parts, or we can just watch it all the way through.	16	be three or four times larger than that.
17	A. Well, I think if we're talking about the fire coming out	17	By the time we see the flames on the exterior so
18	at the top and the side of the window, so anything, say,	18	out the glass, to put it bluntly we've had that
19	from about 01.06 onwards would be fine, just	19	localised heating condition going on in that corner for
20	a few minutes, and then I can just maybe do some	20	several minutes, and I think that it's more probable,
21	talking. Yes.	21	therefore, that the heat transfer and the fire condition
22	Q. That's fine. Can we do that?	22	there has entered the column cavity within minutes of
23	Before we play this, I'm going to give the trigger	23	the first minute we see the flame on the outside.
24	warning one more time.	24	Q. Yes.
25	We are about to play the video of the fire spread	25	A. Okay? I don't think it happens later. I think it's
	Page 157		Page 159
1	out of the kitchen window and up the east face, if	1	been going on the conditions have been, if you will,
2	anyone wants to stop watching or leave the room now.	2	the materials everything's been getting ready and,
3	(Video Played)	3	after a few minutes, the heat is transferring into that
4	That's 01.08. Can we take it back to 01.06.	4	cavity all along.
5	A. Yes, maybe 01.06. That's fine, yes.	5	Q. So just to be clear about the mechanism, the uPVC is
6	(Video Played)	6	potentially melted, deformed
7	If we stop there.	7	A. uPVC may have fallen away, might be distorting, okay?
8	It would be good to stop there. Is that okay?	8	Q. Then we have the insulation behind that.
9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, of course.	9	A. Yes, we've a little piece of insulation glued onto the
10	A. So, again, it's about going back to this drawing at	10	back of it, and then after that, then, it's fresh air
11	8.11. When you look at this image here, so you can see	11	and the membrane, into the cavity and the column.
12	some flame, it's still internal, you can see glowing	12	Q. So the EDPM membrane, and then you're straight into the
13	from inside the room, and we also know from the thermal	13	insulation?
14	imaging from London Fire Brigade the general area of the	14	A. You're straight into the cavity in the column, and
15	heat source. And all of that is going on near the uPVC	15	heating any material in behind the panel there. So the
16	on the side of the window, the uPVC at the top of the	16	panel itself and the insulation.
17	window, and this complex gap I've been referring to,	17	Q. Okay.
18	which can be anything from 30 to 130 millimetres.	18	A. Okay?
19	Q. Do you want to pull up that drawing I think we can	19	Q. Do you want to carry on watching the video?
20	split the screen. Can we do that with the video? I may	20	A. Well, I don't know what your next question is.
21	be asking too much.	21	Q. In terms of
22 23	The drawing is at BLAS0000008, page 13. It's that	22	A. Sorry. I don't need to know, I'm fine, for now. But if
. 772	right-hand drawing that's it, the far right drawing.	23	you are going to ask me something else yes.
		24	Q. Is there anything else in that video sequence that you
24	If we zoom in on the far right-hand figure.		
	A. Yes, that's fine.	25	want to draw attention to?
24	-		want to draw attention to? Page 160

1	A. Well, I think I was struck by some of the evidence about	1	A. Yes, I think so. I think by the time we see that
2	this issue of breaching of the compartment, but I don't	2	external flame front. There has been a lot going on for
3	know if I should talk about that now or not.	3	the 10 even longer you know, in fire sense, 10 or
4	Q. Feel free. I'm trying to get you to explain why it is	4	so minutes and that type of heating with those materials
5	that you think that's the likely route out of flat 16.	5	in a localised sense is a substantial event. It's
6	If you want to express a view on the other theory we	6	a localised event, yes.
7	were discussing, which is kind of through an open	7	Q. For that 01.08 time, I think you refer let's look at
8	window, possibly through the extract panel window	8	this photograph, which is a still. That's IWS00000051.
9	A. Oh, right, okay.	9	(Pause)
10	Q. Is that what you were just talking about?	10	I think that's the photograph that you've referred
11	A. So I can see that the flame reaches the glass and the	11	to in your report.
12	extractor fan and then becomes an external flame. But	12	A. Can you just tell me where you are?
13	I think in the time period it's taking for us to finally	13	Q. Sorry, I should've taken you to it in your report.
14	see that, this internal heating is going on in this	14	That's at BLAS0000005, page 10.
15	complex corner that we have. Okay?	15	A. Of what chapter?
16	In terms of breaching the compartment, I thought it	16	Q. Sorry, chapter 5, page 10.
17	was, just having listened to everybody for the last few	17	A. Yes. So figure 5.5?
18	days	18	Q. Yes, it's figure 5.5, I think that's the same as the
19	Q. I'm coming to that in a moment.	19	photo we've just had. This is clearer, actually,
20	A. So that's all. But in my mind, I think that the most	20	because you've put the lines on it. So it's that
21	probable first route is through the connection of the	21	photograph that you're now highlighting
22	corner of the column.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. That's helpful.	23	Q in terms of external flaming outside the window at
24	I think one of the things you refer to in your	24	01.08; is that correct?
25	report is the thermal imaging from within flat 16.	25	A. Yes.
	D		77
	Page 161	-	Page 163
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. You say:
2	Q. Is that right?	2	"This is the earliest evidence I have seen of
3	A. It shows the location of the heating.	3	external flaming based on the photographs and videos I
4	Q. Shall we go to that?	4	have reviewed to date."
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. That's figure 9.38, BLAS0000009 at page 45.	6	
7		1 0	O. Does it remain your view that once the fire is near the
_	It's that thermal imaging well, actually, sorry,		Q. Does it remain your view that once the fire is near the window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to
8	It's that thermal imaging well, actually, sorry, let's keep the page as it is, because I think	7	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to
8	let's keep the page as it is, because I think	7 8	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components
_	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just	7 8 9	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable?
9	let's keep the page as it is, because I think	7 8	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes.
9 10	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report.	7 8 9 10	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the
9 10 11	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging	7 8 9 10 11	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire
9 10 11 12	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about	7 8 9 10 11 12	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the
9 10 11 12 13	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct.
9 10 11 12 13 14	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes. Q. I want to ask you when you think the breach of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through that detailing, into the cavity. That's the point I'm	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through that detailing, into the cavity. That's the point I'm making there.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes. Q. I want to ask you when you think the breach of compartmentation occurs.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	let's keep the page as it is, because I think A. Yes. So I just Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through that detailing, into the cavity. That's the point I'm making there. Q. I think as a fact you say that you think the fire was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes. Q. I want to ask you when you think the breach of compartmentation occurs. A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	let's keep the page as it is, because I think — A. Yes. So I just — Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through that detailing, into the cavity. That's the point I'm making there. Q. I think as a fact you say that you think the fire was already in the cladding certainly by 01.08; is that correct?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes. Q. I want to ask you when you think the breach of compartmentation occurs. A. Yes. Q. At what moment. A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	let's keep the page as it is, because I think — A. Yes. So I just — Q. You've drawn attention to this in your report. A. Yes. I mean, I'm only interested in the thermal imaging for kind of a general location, but when you think about that overlaid on the construction, that's what interests me. In this picture, the uPVC beside the column is removed, but you can see that the area, just that concentrated area from whatever's happening in the kitchen, is all over by that corner of the column with the window, and I'm clear in my own mind how easy it is for heat to transfer through that connection, through that detailing, into the cavity. That's the point I'm making there. Q. I think as a fact you say that you think the fire was already in the cladding certainly by 01.08; is that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 window or, indeed, anywhere in the flat if allowed to develop and cause heating of the window components spread to the exterior is practically inevitable? A. Yes, if the fire isn't interfered with, yes. Yes. Q. Is it also your view that once the fire was within the cladding, there was nothing to impede the spread of fire and smoke around the building? A. That's correct. Q. You say this created the conditions for a catastrophic fire event to occur. A. Yes, I did, yes. Q. I now want to turn to the topic you were just touching on, which is about breach of compartmentation. A. Oh, yes. Q. I want to ask you when you think the breach of compartmentation occurs. A. Yes. Q. At what moment.

1	Could I use that figure in Approved Document B,	1	A. Yes, so around 01.13, you can see the flames above the
2	actually, please, where we've been looking at the cavity	2	compartment floor line at level 5, and by the time it
3	barriers all the time?	3	gets to 01.15 did I say 01.13 there, sorry?
4	Q. Yes. So	4	Q. I think you said 01.13
5	A. I just want to explain the kind of statutory perspective	5	A. I should've said 01.13. Within 120 seconds, you can
6	on a compartment breach. I just wanted to introduce	6	actually see the flame flashing underneath the cladding,
7	that, having heard the other experts.	7	on the column at level 5.
8	Q. Yes, we'll just find that. So it's BLAS0000011,	8	So now that is compartmentation breach.
9	page 69, which is figure 11.22 of your report, I think.	9	Q. So we have compartmentation breach at that point?
10	A. Yes, yes, okay. Just to use this again. Yes.	10	A. By then.
11	Okay, so it isn't assumed that the fire is kept	11	Q. Do you think that was visible, then, at
12	within the compartment and once it passes, say,	12	Grenfell Tower
13	a millimetre line, the compartment is breached. So,	13	A. To who?
14	first of all, to think about the cavity. So it is	14	Q based on the evidence you've seen that there was
15	assumed fire can enter a cavity, but then that it's	15	compartmentation breach by 01.13/01.15?
16	contained from spreading to a cavity in the next	16	A. Do you mean to firefighters?
17	apartment.	17	Q. To people watching, yes. Do you think that was visible?
18	If you look at this, in this condition here, if the	18	A. Well, you'll note that I haven't said the building
19	fire is in this room, between this room at this level,	19	failed at 01.13/01.15, because even when the flames
20	and the room at the next level above, you have to break	20	start to impinge on level 5, in the moment it's still
21	one and two cavity barriers before the flame is in the	21	I kind of feel bad even saying this in the context of
22	next compartment, and three cavity barriers, if you	22	what actually happened a small fire in one small part
23	will, before you interfere with the next window.	23	of flat 16 and the flat above. So in that moment, there
24	So the fact that the flame is in the cavity in	24	is no reason to think it's necessarily going to carry on
25	flat 16, is not a compartment breach at that time. It	25	in those moments, okay?
	•		•
	Page 165		Page 167
		١,	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANS. 179
1	becomes a compartment breach in the cavity only when it	1	It's the same when it gets to 6 and 7, and I'm
2	reaches the next flat, above or below.	2	interested in all the other levels, because ideally,
2 3	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is	2 3	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still
2 3 4	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and	2 3 4	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it
2 3 4 5	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is	2 3 4 5	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes,
2 3 4 5 6	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because	2 3 4 5 6	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of
2 3 4 5 6 7	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it	2 3 4 5 6 7	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level — A. Exactly, once it goes above —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly. Q. You say in your report that internal compartmentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors. A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly. Q. You say in your report that internal compartmentation had started to fail by 01.13.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: But I think I understood you to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly. Q. You say in your report that internal compartmentation had started to fail by 01.13. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: But I think I understood you to say that once the fire had got out of flat 4, into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly. Q. You say in your report that internal compartmentation had started to fail by 01.13.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: But I think I understood you to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reaches the next flat, above or below. It's the same with external flame projection. It is taken account for that flames may break a window and project, and that's exactly why the external surface is meant to adequately resist the spread of fire, because it's assumed that that will occur. It's just then it cannot cause a fire in the next apartment. There are two very distinct things that are factored in. You can have the fire, it can break into the cavity, it can break out the window, but the principle is it then does not go on to burn the next compartment. So the first sign of flame out the tower is not compartmentation breach, as I understand the statutory guidance. Q. So it follows from that answer that you think that compartmentation is breached when we have fire up at the next level A. Exactly, once it goes above Q impinging on the compartment above. A. Exactly. Q. You say in your report that internal compartmentation had started to fail by 01.13. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interested in all the other levels, because ideally, using a handheld hose up to about level 7, it's still a fire that can be mitigated potentially. Even when it gets up to level 11, it's still a localised fire. Yes, it's on multiple floors, but it's in a localised area of the building, and it's still within reach of maybe an aerial appliance. The reason why I went on — I thought about it for a very long time — to pick the time of 01.26 for building failure, rather than 01.15, is because at that point, it's very clearly visible that the flame front is now what I would call unstoppable. Okay? So in those first few storeys, it's still in a small part of those compartments and within reach. Okay? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just clarify — A. That's just my opinion. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand the proposition that the building did not actually fail in one sense until the fire had progressed from floor 4 up a number of floors. A. Exactly. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: But I think I understood you to say that once the fire had got out of flat 4, into the

1	A. Yes, that's correct.	1	building.
2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So in one sense, the building had	2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right. That's very helpful.
3	failed as soon as the fire got into the cavity, had it	3	A. That's my view.
4	not?	4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
5	A. So in hindsight, we know that.	5	MS GRANGE: Just linking that to the stay-put policy.
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	A. Okay, don't we?	7	Q. You say in your report that the internal
8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It's a matter of perspective.	8	compartmentation and the principles of the stay put
9	A. I keep using this "in the moment". So there is no	9	evacuation regime had started to fail by 01.13.
10	reason in the moment to think that external flame	10	A. Yes.
11	projection, or even a fire in the cavity at level 4, can	11	Q. Then you say they'd substantially failed by 01.26.
12	carry on, and that's why I used the word "catastrophic",	12	A. Yes.
13	okay?	13	Q. Is that a distinction that you're still drawing?
14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: In a sense, I think I hope I'm	14	A. Yes. It's basically a shorter way of saying what I've
15	right in understanding this it's a matter of	15	just explained there, okay?
16	perception or recognition. So once it had got into the	16	Q. In your report you say by 01.21, eight flats had been
17	cavity at floor 4	17	impacted by the external flame front, and by 01.26 that
18	A. Yes.	18	had risen to 20 flats.
19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: the ensuing series of events was	19	Let's just go to your figure 12.1 on page 8 of
20	bound to happen. But you wouldn't know that until it	20	chapter 12, BLAS0000012.
21	had developed quite a lot further; is that right?	21	A. I don't have that. Oh, yes, here I am. What figure?
22	A. Exactly. I suppose if I can say it this way: I think	22	Q. Sorry, it's 12.1 I think you're referring to here when
23	it's unreasonable to expect back then now is	23	you make the point about the flats that are impinged by
24	obviously very different, okay? but back then,	24	external flame.
25	I think it would be unreasonable for me to say	25	A. Yes.
	Page 169		Page 171
1	now: everyone should have known, the minute the flame	1	Q. If we can look at the right-hand two columns here, you
2	was in the cavity at level 4, we all knew what was going	2	say in your report that by 01.21, eight flats had been
3	to happen. I think that would be very unreasonable for	3	impacted, and 01.26, 20 flats.
4	me to say.	4	A. Exactly. So this is just a very simple diagram, and
5	I still think just within a few storeys, it was	5	each flat is marked red, once the flame front reaches it
6	an event that maybe could have been mitigated.	6	in any way. It's just trying to show progression.
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you had known the nature of the	7	Okay?
8	materials in the cladding, both the insulation and the	8	Q. Sorry, I should've given a trigger warning before going
9	rainscreen, would that affect your view of what you	9	to that. I was actually wanting to go to the two
10	would've inferred from seeing the fire breaking out of	10	diagrams below where you've actually tried to just map
11	floor 4?	11	out the difference.
12	A. Well, I'd go actually even further than that. I think	12	A. Yes, yes.
13	that if those materials had been known okay? the	13	Q. Just to test your views on stay put for a moment, it's
14	building shouldn't have been occupied, because the Fire	14	not your view that stay put was untenable the moment
15	Brigade would have then also known what was facing them.	15	compartmentation was breached around 01.13?
16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	16	A. Not in that moment, no.
17	A. Okay? So now we're kind of mixing all the time	17	Q. What about at the point at 01.14 when the LFB changed
18	hindsight and in the moment.	18	their tactics and they request a hydraulic platform? Do
19	So as far as I'm concerned, in the beginning it was	19	you think that's relevant to when stay put becomes
20	a localised fire. It breached the first compartment	20	untenable, that event?
21	around 01.13/01.15. It was still a fire that could be	21	A. I think that's a recognition that unplanned for external
22	mitigated. But above a certain level, it became a fire	22	firefighting is becoming necessary. I think we'll
23	that could not be mitigated and was clearly not going to	23	probably be talking about this some more on Monday with
24	stop, because it couldn't stop, because of the	24	the measures.
25	construction materials that existed all around the	25	Just a reminder that a designer only puts into
	Page 170		Page 172

1	a high-rise residential building equipment for internal	1	approach.	
2	firefighting.	2	"• When a fire occurs within a flat, the occupants	
3	Q. Do you think it was clear at that point that defend in	3	alert others in the flat, make their way out of the	
4	place had failed? This is kind of the mirror image of	4	building and summon the fire and rescue service.	
5	stay put.	5	"• If a fire starts in the common parts, anyone in	
6	A. Defend in place firefighting is about fighting the fire	6	these areas makes their way out of the building and	
7	internally using equipment the building provides for you	7	summons the fire and rescue service.	
8	as a firefighter, and it relies, as does stay put, that	8	"• All other residents not directly affected by the	
9	there is a flashover fire in one flat that may be	9	fire would be expected to 'stay put' and remain in their	
10	impacting another floor above.		flat unless directed to leave by the fire and rescue	
11	Q. What about the ferocity and speed of attack of the fire	11	service."	
12	going up that face? Do you think, before 01.26, the	12	Do you think that definition in any way differs from	
13	speed and the ferocity perhaps should've indicated that	13	the way you've used stay put in your report?	
14	stay put had failed?	14	A. Yes. Well, there's a few things that strike me about	
15	A. So I struggle with this bit because I think it's really	15	this and I just need to say now, I haven't thought	
16	easy for me to say that now because I woke up the	16	about this before you put it up on the screen.	
17	following morning as such, okay? But I think in those	17	So, I don't know, who are the people in the common	
18	moments and that's why I want to keep emphasising why	18	parts and how are they going to know how to make their	
19	I picked 01.26 and the minutes that passed, I think	19	way out? Or is it that is it people in the flats	
20	up to about storey you know, I said between about	20	around that common part? Because, remember, there's no	
21	storey 7 and storey 11, this was still a fire in	21	detection, there's nothing to alert you even if there's	
22	a corner within reach of typical equipment. Okay?	22	a fire in the common parts in this country in	
23	After that, there was a need for that recognition to	23	a residential building.	
24	occur then. Yes.	24	So I see this text from the LGA guide I don't	
25	Q. Just on the concept of stay put just generally and the	25	know who wrote it or why but it is slightly	
	Page 173		Page 175	
1	definition of stay put, you have said in your report	1	different, I would suggest, to the statutory guidance	
2	that the concept of occupants in dwellings adjoining the	2	document.	
3	dwelling on fire being safe if they remain where they	3	I'd need to understand the context of that question	
4	are during a fire is the stay-put strategy, and that's	4	to give a proper answer.	
5	how you define it in your report.	5	Q. Yes.	
6	A. Yes, yes, that's correct.	6	A. Okay? But Yes.	
7	Q. Do you agree that the stay-put strategy means you stay	7	Q. In terms of the last point, is there anything you want	
8	in one's flat until that flat becomes compromised by	8	to say about that?:	
9	fire or smoke?	9	"• All other residents not directly affected by the	
10	A. Yes, or you're free it's been written in guidance,	10	fire would be expected to 'stay put' and remain in their	
11	certainly since 1971 I actually think it's 1962	11	flat unless directed to leave by the fire and rescue	
12	unless you make the decision to leave anyway. So the	12	service."	
13	idea is you may decide to escape anyway and it's safe to	13	A. Yes, so I understand the sentence, but you know in my	
14	do so, or it's safe for you to stay in your flat until	14	own report I've explained at length that, in terms of	
15	you're impacted by products or combustion or you're	15	the building design condition, how the fire and rescue	
16	instructed to do so.	16	services are expected to do that there is no	
17	Q. I've been asked to put to you if we could just look	17	provision made for them.	
18	at the LGA guide on purpose-built blocks of flat, that	18	Also, the statutory guidance is that one is always	
19	has a definition of stay put.	19	free to leave a building and one is not meant to rely at	
20	A. I'd need that up on the screen.	20	all on the fire and rescue services. That's the very	
21	Q. I'm bringing it up. So it's at 19.1. That's	21	kind of foundation. In B1, it makes that clear.	
22	CTAR00000033 at page 30.	22	Q. Yes.	
23	So if we look at the bottom of that page, there's	23	A. So I don't know who wants to know this, but if there's	
24	a definition here of stay put. So it says:	24	something I've missed there, they should maybe ask me	
25	"19.1. A 'stay put' policy involves the following	25	another question.	
			•	
	Page 174		Page 176	
			44 (Pages 173 to 176)	

	0. W		
1	Q. Yes.	1	during the fire. That's something I can do in
2	Dr Lane, I have really one more key topic today and	2	hindsight.
3	then, with the chairman's permission, I think what we	3	At 01.40, there is a considerable change, and I've
4	might do is then break for the rest of today and begin	4	explained in section 14 in my report, from all the
5	again, because I don't want to start the next big	5	different types of witness evidence we have, how the
6 7	topics are flat doors and stair doors, and I want to be able to deal with them in one go. I think that makes	6 7	stair and the lobbies were changing from 00.58 all
8	sense.	8	through the night, and we know from 01.40 conditions
9	A. Yes.	9	were starting to deteriorate.
10	Q. So I want to ask you a few questions about evacuation	10	Q. Yes.
11	now.	11	You have said in your report that before the stay-put guidance began to change at 02.35, 177
12	You have said, based on a very detailed analysis	12	occupants had already evacuated. That left 117 in the
13	that you've done of all the evidence available to you,	13	building at that point.
14	including evidence of the conditions in the lobbies and	14	Thereafter, you said that after 02.35, a further 46
15	stairs, that you think there was a window from between	15	occupants evacuated, the last leaving at 08.07. That's
16	00.58 and 01.40 when a total evacuation needed to occur;	16	a pattern you've drawn attention to; is that correct?
17	is that correct?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Okay, so just to explain what I mean by that.	18	Q. I just want to ask you some general questions. We can
19	So 00.58 to 01.40. So what I mean by that is	19	come back to this on Monday if we need to.
20	I don't know if we can put up the clause from anyway,	20	What do you think is potentially going on in terms
21	Approved Document B, it makes clear there's a fire in	21	of smoke ventilation/migration to enable those
22	one flat, so the probability of fire and smoke spread is	22	evacuations at the later stages? Do you have any views
23	low, no reliance on external rescue, and so a total	23	as to what might
24	evacuation is highly unlikely to be necessary, I think	24	A. What's going on with the smoke?
25	it is.	25	Q. Well, to enable those people to get out of the building.
	Page 177		Page 179
1	So because a building with this form of external	1	A. Enable? I don't think they were enabled at all.
2	wall could never provide the high degree of	2	I think that the people evacuated in life-threatening
3	compartmentation required to support stay put, from my	3	conditions.
4	perspective, at handover of that building, with the	4	Q. Yes.
5	external wall in the condition it was, a stay-put	5	Do you think that simultaneous evacuation was
6	evacuation strategy should not have formed the fire	6	fundamentally inconsistent with firefighting operations
7	safety strategy basis of the building anymore, and the	7	that were going on at the time in the building?
8	only evacuation strategy possible in that context was	8	A. No, I do not.
9	a total evacuation.	9	Q. And
10	So I'm saying that from what I call a building	10	A. But I just sorry, because things get taken out of
11	design condition. So at handover, the high degree of	11	context.
12	compartmentation cannot be maintained, and so, as the	12	So I think that the stair capacity and the
13	statutory guidance document says, therefore a total	13	relatively low occupancy of a building using a single
14	evacuation is highly probable to be needed, highly	14	staircase, that is possible. But I just have to keep
15	likely to be needed. That's what I mean there.	15	reminding everyone all the time: buildings designed this
16	Q. In terms of the significance of the time 01.40, you	16	way have no means to raise an alarm to tell people to
17	basically showed in your analysis that the evacuation	17	leave.
18	substantially slowed at that point; is that correct?	18	It just sounds very trite of me to simply say it
19	A. Yes. So the thing I've just said there is to do with	19	wouldn't have impacted the firefighters.
20	the building condition.	20	There was room on the stairs for everyone. But
21	Now, starting to look at events as they unfolded, in	21	that's only the start of the story in my opinion.
22	the graphs I feel a bit uneasy not having the graph,	22	Q. That's the key thing I wanted to take you to, actually,
23	but I'll crack on I have plotted the evacuation	23	is what you've done in your report, based on your
24	rates, and you can see some clear changes through that	24	perspective, is look at the width of the stair and the
25	data, and I've made very clear that was not available	25	guidance that's given in Approved Document B; is that
	Page 178		Page 180

1	correct?	1	A. That's correct.
2	A. Yes. So that's a very simple capacity check that	2	Q. You say that Grenfell Tower should never have been
3	designers use.	3	handed over with this rainscreen system in circumstances
4	Q. Yes. What you've said is a stair of this width and	4	where a stay-put strategy was in place; is that correct?
5	height is deemed to provide an escape capacity for	5	A. That's correct.
6	simultaneous evacuation of 1,030 people. That's what	6	Q. Does that opinion assume that all other active and
7	the guidance suggests.	7	passive measures in the tower were fully functional and
8	A. Yes, in a very simple way, yes. So that's, you know,	8	in working order?
9	ignoring all the realities of an evacuation, yes.	9	A. I don't think it's possible, with the external wall
10	Q. You've also said that even if we assume a 50 per cent	10	construction that there was, to mitigate the type of
11	capacity of the stair, that's going to be around 515	11	fire that those materials cause, and that's a whole
12	persons.	12	combination of active and passive fire protection
13	A. Yes.	13	measures, and their condition. I don't think it's
14	Q. And you say that that is substantially higher than the	14	possible to mitigate that type of fire, which is why
15	peak number of firefighters and persons in the tower	15	I said the building should not have been handed over in
16	A. Yes.	16	that condition.
17	Q which is 321 persons, which I think is 294 residents	17	MS GRANGE: Dr Lane, I think that is a good moment for me in
18	and 27 firefighters	18	my questions. If that's okay to finish early today.
19	A. Yes.	19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
20	Q is that correct?	20	MS GRANGE: Because I want to then go to some of the active
21	Is it right that that is broadly consistent with	21	and passive measures inside the building.
22	Professor Purser's analysis. He, from a different	22	THE WITNESS: Is that okay?
23	perspective, has calculated that the maximum standing	23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Dr Lane, I understand you've been
24	capacity in the staircase was 460 persons.	24	good enough to say you'll come back on Monday?
25	A. Yes.	25	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, very happy to.
	Page 181		Page 183
1	Q. Then he gives his views about simultaneous evacuation.	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think it is going to be of help to
2	A. So they're very simple capacity checks.	2	everyone if we deal with the next topic in an unbroken
3	Q. Yes.	3	way, so we will stop for now for today.
4	A. When you're doing what I call a detailed, proper	4	THE WITNESS: Okay, yes.
5	evacuation analysis, there's all different timings that	5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Perhaps you'll be quite pleased
6	have to be factored in. How long it takes people to	6	anyway because it has been quite a long day for you, we
7	move. We have to remember, even though the statutory	7	know.
8	guidance makes no provision currently, all the people	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	who find it very difficult or impossible to use the	9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll resume at 10 o'clock on Monday
10	staircase.	10	with your evidence. I'm going to ask you not to talk
11	So I think in Phase 2 there will be what I would		, , ,
	So I tillik ili Filase 2 tilere will be what I would	11	about your evidence over the break, if that is all
12		12	about your evidence over the break, if that is all right.
12 13	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that		about your evidence over the break, if that is all right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes.
	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple	12	right.
13	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase	12 13	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes.
13 14	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple	12 13 14	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you
13 14 15	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was	12 13 14 15	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning.
13 14 15 16	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the	12 13 14 15 16	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
13 14 15 16 17	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was	12 13 14 15 16 17	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right?
13 14 15 16 17 18	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew)
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes. So just finally to round up here, you conclude that	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today. Just to remind you that we shall be sitting tomorrow
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes. So just finally to round up here, you conclude that the required single safety condition, stay put, was not	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today. Just to remind you that we shall be sitting tomorrow to take some further evidence from firefighter
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes. So just finally to round up here, you conclude that the required single safety condition, stay put, was not provided for as was required as a result of the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today. Just to remind you that we shall be sitting tomorrow to take some further evidence from firefighter witnesses, in particular control room officers. That
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes. So just finally to round up here, you conclude that the required single safety condition, stay put, was not provided for as was required as a result of the rainscreen system installed during the primary refurbishment; is that correct?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today. Just to remind you that we shall be sitting tomorrow to take some further evidence from firefighter witnesses, in particular control room officers. That will be at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. But for the time being, that's it, yes?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	call a very robust evacuation analysis done, and that will factor in all those realities. These are simple capacity checks to show the capacity of the staircase area. So I don't think, based on the stair width, it was a primary problem. Okay? What was happening on the staircase in terms of smoke and heat and other things is the dominant parameter. Q. Yes. That's helpful, yes. So just finally to round up here, you conclude that the required single safety condition, stay put, was not provided for as was required as a result of the rainscreen system installed during the primary	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	right. THE WITNESS: That's fine, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And we'll look forward to seeing you on Monday morning. THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 10 o'clock. Is that all right? THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's all for today. Just to remind you that we shall be sitting tomorrow to take some further evidence from firefighter witnesses, in particular control room officers. That will be at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.

1	Thank you very much.	
2	10 o'clock tomorrow. Thank you.	
3	(3.35 pm)	
4	(The hearing adjourned until Friday, 23 November 2018	
	at 10.00 am)	
5	at 10.00 am)	
6 7	INDEV	
8	I N D E X DR BARBARA LANE (sworn)1	
8	Questions by MS GRANGE1	
9	Questions by IVIS GRAINGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 185	

	I	I	l	l
A	accurately 5:1	174:2	23:11	166:8
A1 41:17 42:1,11	125:14,20	adjourned 185:4	alternative 12:25	apartments 104:8
42:22 43:15 142:6	achieve 17:13,24	adjournment	21:21,25 22:3,9	apparently 92:12
A2 41:17 42:2,13	113:15 137:24	116:25	Aluglaze 39:24	appear 57:8 78:19
42:22 44:4 111:23	achieved 113:18,20	adopt 18:13	59:4 75:10 77:1	86:19 113:13
112:2,4 131:16	114:6 132:1 136:9	adopted 17:6 22:3	78:7,17 79:20	126:21 127:14
A6 42:21	ACM 82:9 84:4	42:9	80:3 81:16 82:8	150:21
A7 42:22	88:4 92:6,11,17	advice 135:13	83:6 85:7,17,19	appears 5:19 39:6
ability 50:6 66:5	121:23	advise 135:10	86:16 101:1 137:1	46:17,23 88:5
119:25	ACP 56:18 59:17	aerated 148:15	aluminium 61:16	89:15
able 15:15 24:5	67:1,4,9 74:18	aerial 168:8	71:14 75:16,20	appendices 2:10,19
43:4 71:6 73:24	86:22 88:6 98:4	affect 129:15 170:9	76:21 78:20,21	appendix 3:7 16:19
89:16 97:18 103:8	99:3 101:1 133:6	affix 136:15	79:1,11,15 80:12	41:12 43:8 47:12
103:12 153:22	150:17	affixed 112:7,12	80:25 82:10,15	48:11 71:18 109:9
177:7	Act 7:3 8:6,16 9:16	afraid 154:1	84:1 92:7 95:5,14	115:7 117:13,18
absent 113:4	9:18 10:1 19:10	afternoon 24:14	95:18 102:3,5,12	123:14 132:7
150:18	acting 127:3	ago 38:12 76:13	133:8,23 142:11	133:3 138:4,12
absolute 35:3	action 24:17	agree 19:15 20:5	155:13,15,19,22	appliance 168:8
absolutely 13:16	active 2:3 6:2,4,16	33:19 58:1 63:2,5	156:5	applicable 8:13
31:23 39:9,19	6:22 21:10,14	72:6,12,19 78:2	amended 8:25 9:5	22:21 113:4
91:15 100:4 120:1	156:17 183:6,12	87:3 90:4,10 91:2	9:6 11:1	123:11 129:10
122:9 132:24	183:20	91:3 92:15,20	Amending 8:7	applicants 11:4
140:2 147:25	activity 43:18	102:2,20,25	amount 23:21	application 19:25
149:7	actual 48:7	103:15 104:1,2,5	136:8	applied 6:25 7:1,17
abuts 28:22	ADB 19:21,22	104:13 107:18	analysed 21:12	8:8 10:2 16:10
abutted 149:15	21:23 23:3 53:10	108:23 109:10	analyses 100:18	18:19 101:23
abutting 27:25	107:16 113:6	136:7 145:6 174:7	analysis 90:7 104:4	applies 129:21
Academy 4:1	138:4 140:22	agreed 17:18 87:1	108:24 115:5,8	apply 20:6
accelerated 58:12	added 122:6	Agrément 40:17	177:12 178:17	appointed 4:19
accept 42:7 72:20	addendum 2:24	114:18 121:13	181:22 182:5,12	approach 6:15 23:9
91:11 114:17,19	additional 9:19	ahead 134:17	Anne 1:24	175:1
114:23	17:8,9,10 35:14	air 62:5,6,14,17,18	annoying 131:4	approached 6:17
acceptable 42:12	53:19	69:23 71:8 81:5	answer 56:8 102:7	approaches 22:3
42:14	address 157:1	81:14 112:19	103:10 115:20,25	141:13
access 13:1	addressed 60:24	160:10	135:4 147:14,18	appropriate 42:22
accessed 12:7	addressing 3:13	airtight 60:1	166:16 176:4	50:20 101:23
accidentally 22:9	24:3	alarm 180:16	anymore 9:7 80:13	102:15 154:25
accommodate	adequate 62:18	alert 175:3,21	91:7 178:7	157:9
50:20	129:10 151:19	alight 146:11	anyway 11:22	approved 4:7 7:13
accommodation	adequately 107:8	allow 11:22 67:8	41:25 79:12	19:11,13,20,22
4:17	110:1,4 143:4	84:24	125:13 129:22	41:2 42:21 47:12
account 31:2 136:3	166:6	allowed 42:4 164:7	150:22 174:12,13	52:2 54:13 107:13
166:4	adjacent 30:15	allows 54:13 78:23	177:20 184:6	109:4 110:22
accumulates 90:12	adjoin 13:4	79:13 141:19	apartment 54:2	123:19,21 127:19
accurate 33:20	adjoining 13:10	alteration 20:7	104:9 165:17	128:17 131:15

137:10 138:6	assessing 8:10	111:2,5 123:19,22	145:18 146:6	behaved 82:9
139:14 141:22	assessment 6:18	127:19 128:17	147:17 148:8,24	behaves 159:2
143:3 150:24	8:14 21:16 62:20	131:15 137:10	150:10 151:12	belief 4:24
165:1 177:21	62:22 106:25	138:7 139:14	155:21,25	believe 7:20 44:10
180:25	108:18 133:4	141:23 143:4	barriers 50:16	66:14
approximately	assume 15:25 60:10	150:25 165:1	51:21 52:1,15,16	believed 135:25
66:2	122:6 181:10	177:21 180:25	53:24 54:5,8,9,17	beneath 25:22
April 2:9 114:16	183:6	B-s3 110:19	55:20,25 56:21	best 4:24 35:20
ARC00000368	assumed 165:11,15	B1 66:14 176:21	57:5 64:16,16	93:21 94:3 135:13
117:21	166:7	B4 106:21 107:1,4	88:23 93:9,12,19	better 19:1 26:12
architect 154:25	attached 62:2	107:7 109:19	139:8,10,21	26:22 44:6 67:3
architectural 87:20	112:21 128:7	110:7	140:11,12,25	110:19 111:2,23
91:25 93:10 95:17	attack 173:11	B5 66:1	141:24 142:10	112:2 117:5
117:24 121:6,11	attempt 30:1	back 7:16 18:4	144:20,24 145:3	128:13 131:16
Arconic 110:16	attention 35:24	23:21 35:17 36:22	145:19,22 146:25	150:10 154:25
119:1,6 125:25	39:2,4 46:7,21	37:15 42:21 45:3	147:10,22 148:3	beyond 94:5
126:5,12,20,21	61:19 66:17 69:17	48:13 51:5,18	148:18 149:13,16	big 34:22 57:16
area 86:9 87:6	70:14 76:24 83:12	52:15 58:8,12	149:21 150:1,7,12	64:15 92:25
98:14 158:14	84:18 85:3,11	59:9 61:3 65:16	150:14,18 151:5	112:20 115:16
159:6,8 162:16,17	86:1 91:10 92:17	69:10 71:11,12	151:18 152:3,16	116:5 146:19
168:6 182:15	93:4,8 103:21	76:12,12 79:5	152:22 155:9	177:5
areas 5:14 30:6	124:15 129:2	81:21 83:3,20	165:3,21,22	bigger 63:17
86:22 91:16	160:25 162:10	84:2,7 85:7,22	base 38:14 78:8	144:10
147:20 175:6	179:16	104:8,14,21,22	144:21	binders 102:8
arguments 102:9	attracted 70:11	111:13 116:4	based 16:4 17:15	Bisby 23:20 28:6
arrangement 12:8	August 20:2 132:10	121:1 140:22	63:11 87:7 101:11	30:6 42:5 59:24
51:18 56:22 57:20	133:11	143:3 153:9	102:21 105:4	63:2 70:17 71:19
58:11 59:13 60:22	authority 17:19	156:13 158:4,10	122:16 150:1	75:23 77:18 80:24
arrow 32:15	available 15:12	160:10 169:23,24	164:3 167:14	83:12 90:4,20
Arup 3:11,23	31:20 40:16 55:20	179:19 183:24	177:12 180:23	97:14 98:21 99:16
as-built 29:3	109:14,17 113:8	backed 80:14,16	182:16	100:17 103:20
aside 51:19 147:20	137:19 177:13	background 3:8	basic 10:16	106:11 120:11
asked 2:3 33:19	178:25	6:9,10	basically 108:14	124:10 145:2
40:13 46:10 71:17	awarded 3:24	backing 48:23	171:14 178:17	156:24 157:10 Bishavis 45:16 50:12
81:6,12 94:7 155:12 174:17	aware 22:5 81:9	bad 167:21	basis 15:1 108:17 178:7	Bisby's 45:16 59:12
asking 50:18 89:7,9	155:13 awful 62:12 159:1	balcony 12:23 13:1 13:10	BBA 40:16 114:18	65:2 80:22 92:1 92:13 136:3
93:18 115:16	awiui 02.12 139.1			153:23
126:10 153:5	B	Barbara 1:15,24 185:8	115:3,15 116:2 117:8,14,21	biscuits 28:15
158:21	b 7:13 14:17 19:11	barrier 53:3,18,22	122:15	bit 11:23 26:18
aspect 21:9 129:2	19:13,20,22 41:2	54:11,14,16 56:6	becoming 172:22	31:5 50:17 57:1
aspects 5:9 100:17	41:17 42:3,21	140:20 141:3,7,8	began 179:11	71:10,10,20
assembly 128:8	47:12 52:2 54:13	140.20 141.5,7,8	beginning 62:23	128:20,22 131:20
assess 109:22	69:6,12 107:13	143:10,13,14,24	170:19	173:15 178:22
assessed 6:22 11:12	109:4 110:22	144:13,15 145:15	behave 82:11	bits 18:20 86:13
		111.15,15 115.15	Deniu (0 0 2 . 1 1	N145 10.20 00.13
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 188
88:18	blue 38:2 69:12	166:11 177:4	136:1,5,16 137:12	75:25 76:3 82:19
BLA00000495	86:17	184:11	137:14 146:7	C-shaped 87:25
130:19	bluntly 159:18	breaking 98:11	148:5 150:20	92:6 93:5
black 27:13 37:17	board 40:17 114:17	170:10	151:6 164:13	calcs 79:20
69:18 113:11	132:15,21 133:24	breaks 50:19 94:2	167:18 168:7,11	calculated 181:23
114:3 138:21	135:23	105:11,12	168:19 169:2	call 1:14 31:3 60:17
141:14	boards 133:22	briefly 117:12	170:14 171:1	71:9 87:8 88:1
BLAS[0000008	bodies 15:19	121:2	173:1,7 175:4,6	122:12 147:6
25:25	bolt 119:16,18	Brigade 158:14	175:1,7 175:4,0	152:9 168:13
BLAS0000001 2:20	bolts 119:14	170:15	178:1,4,7,10,20	178:10 182:4,12
BLAS0000001 2.20	bonded 33:3,5,6,23	bring 15:23 48:16	179:13,25 180:7	called 10:16 17:23
12:15	bottom 27:12 29:24	bring 13.23 48.10 bringing 174:21	180:13 183:15,21	28:15 31:22 53:20
BLAS0000005	39:20,22,23 48:2	British 10:6 16:5	buildings 4:5,12	107:15 122:7
163:14	52:23 73:6,21	40:17 114:17	9:18 10:17 123:7	141:14
BLAS000008	76:4 77:10,20	broaden 94:16	139:2 152:11	calorific 102:21
30:19 32:8 35:21	78:5,7 80:9 86:18	broadly 5:18	180:15	Canary 4:11
37:5 38:22 44:25	86:18 95:11	107:18 136:7	built 3:14,16 7:17	capacity 180:12
47:25 49:2 97:20	113:25 118:5	181:21	19:3	181:2,5,11,24
101:16 124:8	128:21,22 140:17	brochure 133:22	burn 80:21 87:2	181:2,3,11,24
134:22 140:16	144:3 174:23	broke 64:15	101:22 102:14	capped 95:5
158:22	bottom-left 75:6	broken 59:9	143:25 155:14,17	capping 85:17
BLAS0000009 28:9	bound 169:20	brought 25:19	166:12	carefully 129:9
29:5 40:5 162:6	box 118:23,25	BS 42:25 43:12	burned 87:1	carried 16:18 20:14
BLAS0000010	119:18 126:3	107:25 108:4	burning 67:4 74:3	21:5,15 22:20
34:10 60:25 66:10	127:3	113:16 129:22	74:6 77:20,25	106:25 108:4
67:23 69:10 73:8	bracket 119:16	130:4 132:9	89:19,23 94:23,24	111:24 119:14
79:24 85:22 86:11	brand 136:22	133:10	143:11,12,15	132:9
87:16 88:9 95:7	BRE 132:10 133:11	BSI 10:7	144:15,17 145:16	carry 51:14 84:24
105:21 155:4	breach 164:19,21	bubble 39:3	145:20 146:15	96:23 119:8
BLAS0000011	165:6,25 166:1,14	building 7:3,11 8:4	burns 81:5,6,9	160:19 167:24
113:23 139:18	167:8,9,15	8:6,7,14,16 10:2	burnt 120:23	169:12
165:8	breached 165:13	10:24 11:15 15:9	burnt-out 24:10	case 8:25 11:11
BLAS0000012	166:17 170:20	17:7,18,21 18:20	burny 41:20,21,23	24:16 46:17
171:20	172:15	19:8,10 20:2,5,6,8	butt 147:9	112:22 146:6
BLAS0000036 2:21	breaching 161:2,16	20:14,17,18 21:4	buy 54:11	cases 36:7
BLAS0000037 2:25	break 24:14 26:5	21:17,22 22:20	By-laws 8:8	cassette 83:19
BLAS000010 85:2	50:11,21,23,24,25	24:5 25:4 31:15	bylaws 8:6 17:6,10	111:7,11,15
block 148:25	51:10 58:12 95:25	46:10 50:7 63:19	18:7,9,12,22	114:18,23 115:3
blocked 55:16	96:2,4,8,12,21	64:4 65:19 68:2	bylaws/section	117:15 118:9,15
blocking 55:10,10	115:14 116:10,14	87:12,19,23 90:11	18:15	118:21 119:12,17
55:13	116:22 123:23	90:19,22 92:10	bypass 146:11	119:20,24 120:5,5
blocks 10:18 13:24	124:1 125:16	94:21 95:12 101:8		120:16,18,20,22
174:18	139:11 145:25	106:21 107:2,7,9	<u> </u>	121:12 123:17,18
blow 26:7,13 28:9	152:25 153:8,13	107:10 110:4,6	c 12:22 34:6,6 45:7	123:25 125:12,18
32:12 52:12,25	165:20 166:4,10	119:4,8 130:3	48:11 71:18 73:4	126:3,18

				. Tage 105
cassettes 84:4	150:18 151:5,12	50:15 95:23	183:3	classify 148:4
119:3,3	151:18 152:3,21	118:12 152:24	circumvent 155:25	clause 177:20
catastrophic 25:7	155:8,21,25	chairman's 135:4	circumventing	clear 6:17 15:21
164:15 169:12	159:22 160:4,11	177:3	155:24	16:9 17:10 18:9
categorically	160:14 162:21	chance 150:4	clad 94:1	21:19,25 23:7,8
109:25	165:2,14,15,16,21	change 45:13,14	cladding 22:14	39:19 42:9 46:15
categorise 148:1	165:22,24 166:1	64:2 100:8 179:3	35:8 50:12 56:7	55:25 64:23 74:2
caught 85:15	166:11 168:25	179:11	56:11,13 60:22	75:4,15 85:10
cause 70:12 164:8	169:3,11,17 170:2	changed 172:17	61:20 64:3,6 65:5	87:14,24 94:10
166:8 183:11	ceiling 49:4	changes 31:9 47:15	65:23 82:9 92:7	99:13 100:6 106:4
caused 90:25 100:8	Celotex 38:19 39:5	128:12 141:15	92:11 94:24 97:2	106:8,23 108:3,13
100:18	40:13 44:2 98:16	178:24	100:12 106:7,21	112:1 119:22
causes 89:23	113:1 131:21	changing 34:16,18	106:24 108:12	122:9 126:14
causing 89:19,25	133:12,22 135:12	47:9 156:11 179:6	110:13,14,25	129:23 137:22
cavities 56:17	135:12,22 133:12	channel 119:15	117:24 121:7,11	140:2 147:25
58:23,25,25 59:6	Celsius 45:19,20	channels 64:14,18	127:24 130:15	160:5 162:19
94:2	cementitious	64:23 65:15 71:1	133:5 142:11	173:3 176:21
cavity 35:8 38:11	132:15	87:25 92:7 119:14	144:14,25 145:4,5	177:21 178:24,25
50:8,16 51:21,25	cent 79:21 87:5,7	chapter 10:10,16	148:16 149:15	clearer 163:19
52:14,16,20 53:3	136:4 137:4	25:15 100:3	150:19 155:11	clearest 35:22 43:2
53:4,5,11,16,18	181:10	111:19 142:19	162:24 164:12	clearly 27:6 29:8
53:18,22,24,25	Center 4:20	155:3 163:15,16	167:6 170:8	29:12 43:17 62:16
54:5,7,9,11,14,16	center 4.20 central 12:7,25	171:20	clarification 155:2	71:4,9 109:9
54:17 55:20,25	cert 115:15	chapters 2:10,19	clarify 41:5 49:11	130:24 168:12
		6:8 24:24		170:23
56:16,21 57:5 59:20,21 61:21	certain 20:6,13 108:1 126:5	characterised	137:7 155:12,24 168:16	CLG00000224
62:6 63:18,21	170:22	137:8	class 17:23 40:18	52:8 128:19
_				138:10
64:15,16 68:7,8	certainly 26:6 101:14 114:23	characteristic 82:18	41:8,8 44:3,8	
68:21 69:23 88:23			110:18,19 111:2,2	clock 51:2
93:9,12,19 98:17	123:8 162:24	characteristics	111:5,5,16,21,23	close 31:15 139:22
106:12 139:8,10	174:11	82:13 91:24	112:2 113:1	140:7
139:21 140:8,11	certificate 40:17	chartered 3:20	121:15,20 123:25	closer 26:6
140:12,20,25	113:5 114:18	check 32:17 71:18	132:1 136:9,12	closures 53:11
141:5,7,8,10,13	115:3,10 116:6	82:3 181:2	137:20,24	code 9:13,18 10:7
141:18,19,24	117:8,15,16,21	checked 129:9	classes 41:15	10:16 16:9,15,23
142:10 143:5,6,7	118:2 121:1,5,13	checks 182:2,14	classic 54:13 56:15	17:3,22 18:12
143:10,10,12,13	121:14,18,20	chimneys 93:5	144:9	codes 16:6 18:19
143:14,24 144:13	122:16,22,24	chloride 45:9	classification 41:6	cognisant 46:11
144:15,16,16,20	123:11,15 127:17	choice 45:25	41:14 43:19,24	cold 34:17 69:1,1,4
144:24 145:3,11	certificates 111:1	choose 128:10	114:13 120:21,22	collapse 4:20
145:15,18,19,22	111:14 123:9,24	choosing 133:8	128:6	College 3:21
146:25 147:9,17	125:12,17,18	chronology 7:25	classifications	column 28:19,23
147:22 148:3,8,18	certification 111:1	circulating 15:14	41:14 42:25 43:15	31:13,16 33:4
148:24 149:21	cetera 41:8	circulation 16:6	classified 102:3,5	35:8,10 37:11,12
150:1,7,9,11,14	chairman 1:8 24:8	circumstances	114:7 120:14	37:20,22 38:5,11
	-	-	-	-

				1490 170
39:15,25 40:1	62:15,19,19 67:5	58:4 140:6 143:8	162:17	141:24
61:24 62:1,2 64:1	67:6,7,8 71:5,9	161:2,16 165:6,12	concept 12:24 22:5	confirmed 122:15
64:24 65:19 66:1	84:23 98:7,7,10	165:13,22,25	99:2 141:1 173:25	conflict 18:8
66:14 67:9,14	98:14,15,19 99:10	166:1,12,20 167:2	174:2	connect 64:1
72:23,25 73:2	99:11 174:15	170:20	concern 105:25	connected 14:8
83:19,21 86:15	come 7:6 18:3 26:3	compartmentation	106:5,17	58:23 59:21
88:2 94:23,24	37:15 41:7 47:4	164:19,22 166:14	concerned 170:19	connecting 85:20
106:1,3,13 124:12	52:15 58:8 61:6	166:17,22 167:8,9	concerns 147:21	connection 65:21
128:21 129:6	65:13,16 76:18	167:15 171:8	conclude 182:21	161:21 162:20
131:23 149:22,22	78:12 84:2,7	172:15 178:3,12	concluded 7:1	consequences
156:10 159:22	109:12 111:13	compartments	11:13 17:22	25:19
160:11,14 161:22	112:24 116:4	168:15	conclusion 25:5,10	consider 11:11
162:15,18 167:7	122:18 123:9	complete 63:20	25:11 110:9	99:22 105:15
column-side 157:4	132:12 134:15	completed 21:18	131:18 157:13	125:11 138:4
columns 27:25 28:4	139:10 153:20	63:19	conclusions 2:16	156:21
28:12 30:16 31:2	154:8 157:12	completing 83:7	5:6 49:24 99:14	considerable 23:20
32:4 59:2 61:11	179:19 183:24	complex 23:12	108:16 110:10	124:19 179:3
61:14 62:10,20	comes 24:20 71:13	40:23 41:11 56:14	concrete 17:15	considered 8:11
63:9,22 64:7 65:7	83:20 127:15	56:16 82:16	27:17 28:3,12	22:11 42:11,13
65:9,12,18 66:6	141:20	115:13,21 158:17	29:23 33:4 69:25	57:8 105:14 132:6
68:2,23 83:13	comfortable 1:18	161:15	146:6 147:9,11,16	145:3
89:21,24 90:1	26:16 139:12	compliance 4:6 7:2	148:10,15 149:3	considering 24:24
91:21,22 106:7	coming 8:21 36:23	21:12,22,25 22:3	condition 8:20	51:18
110:14 134:23	46:13 61:3 65:6	22:10,17,20 23:10	31:14 59:19 65:9	considers 60:10
150:8,15 172:1	76:11 91:20	106:25 107:14	78:16 79:17 98:2	consisted 2:10 8:23
combination	115:17 121:1	109:13 129:10	101:3 106:6,15	9:12
138:17 183:12	157:17 161:19	compliant 20:18,19	125:15,20,21	consistent 30:7
combustibility	commences 67:6	20:21 110:7	141:9,19 150:16	45:20 150:3
40:21,25 42:2,13	comment 103:22	complied 12:9	159:14,19,21	181:21
44:4 51:19 103:1	commercial 4:16	113:5	165:18 176:15	consistently 136:20
103:2 131:15	committee 15:18,20	complimentary	178:5,11,20	consists 2:19
136:11	common 149:25	18:23	182:22 183:13,16	constructed 8:19
combustible 33:9	175:5,17,20,22	comply 12:18 109:5	conditions 11:17	11:15
33:17 34:5,12	communicate 13:9	113:7	12:1 26:25 27:3	construction 3:18
35:1 39:3 47:19	company 3:11	components 56:7	31:10 125:14	6:25 23:2 24:25
48:23 49:7 56:7	compare 99:17	74:17,18 108:1	160:1 164:15	25:8,8,20 28:20
59:22 60:11,13	100:4 127:7	164:8	177:14 179:7	29:4 31:22 32:5
61:14 67:15 74:20	compared 120:6	composite 47:7	180:3	50:6 52:20,23
76:22 77:2,6	138:15 144:12	61:16 142:12	conduction 78:23	54:14 58:5 60:19
82:14 86:7 94:1	compares 102:10	composition 23:18	configuration 37:4	73:16 74:6 77:25
98:8,9 101:19	comparing 23:10	compromised	108:16 132:14	78:4 81:18 83:10
102:3,6,9,16	comparison 16:18	174:8	configured 23:23	99:23 102:14
143:19 145:5,7,15	82:11 99:25	concealed 63:4,5	92:18 95:12	109:4,9 123:11
155:10	compartment	concentrate 24:4	100:12	128:11,24 129:8
combustion 62:13	53:15,16,23,24,25	concentrated	confirm 8:3 111:15	138:15 141:2
	-	-	•	•

151:11 162:13	122:1,4,7,10,11	50:13 52:9 56:2,3	couple 37:3 52:2	currently 21:4
170:25 183:10	122:12,13,14	61:22,23 62:8,24	111:9	182:8
constructional 8:7	123:19,20 124:7	64:4,5,8,25 65:18	course 5:8 82:6	cut 32:14 38:10
17:6	124:16 125:5	66:3,15 70:1,2,15	116:13 128:19	53:6 71:21,25
consultants 3:12	137:9 138:5 145:1	70:22 71:3 72:3	158:9	72:2,4 118:19
contained 7:11	155:10 156:8,10	75:14,18,21,22	court 3:5	134:14 135:20,20
19:10 47:19 61:14	cored 148:18	77:23 79:2 80:17	cover 33:22 118:23	135:22 149:12,15
69:16 165:16	cores 69:21 138:20	81:2 83:1 86:3,4	121:11 127:3	150:17
container 127:4	corner 65:9 106:3,7	92:8 93:10 97:4,5	139:11	cut-away 27:17
containment 127:2	159:9,12,12,15,19	97:9 100:14	covered 6:10	cut-aways 28:12
contemplate 119:7	161:15,22 162:18	103:14 107:2,3,11	covering 35:13,13	
134:8	173:22	107:12 109:16,17	37:22	D 11 0 65 5 02 2 5
contemplating	corners 65:13	109:20 110:16,20	covers 33:20,21	D 41:8 65:5 83:3,5
23:11	70:18 105:19	110:23 111:2,8,16	CP3 6:24 7:8 8:18	85:1 113:1 132:1
context 33:15,16	correct 2:7,8,17,18	111:17,24 113:2,3	10:7,12,14 11:10	185:7
47:14 60:18 72:24	2:21,22,25 3:1,14	113:6,13,21	11:16,25 12:10,19	d2 110:19
89:7,14,25 99:8	3:15,25 4:8,9,13	114:20 115:4	13:15,18,24 14:23	Daeid 1:12
102:14 105:6	4:20,21 6:21 7:4	117:25 119:10	15:4,6,10,11	damp 34:1
128:11 129:18,23	7:14,15 8:5,8,9	121:21,22,24	16:15,24 17:6,25	damp-proof 33:25
145:18 152:10,13	9:1,3,15,20,21,24	124:13 125:22	18:10,13,21,24	darker 69:12
155:8 167:21	9:25 10:3,4,8,9,14	126:1 127:21	19:5 23:2	data 10:16 40:13
176:3 178:8	10:15,19,20,24,25	129:3 131:1,10,12	crack 178:23	178:25
180:11	11:6,19 12:5,11	131:13,17,19	create 81:6,12 93:2	datasheets 137:19
continuation 1:25	12:19,20 13:7,13	132:2,3,17 133:2	98:19	date 16:7 40:14
continue 1:5	13:20,21 14:6,7	133:7,13,16 137:5	created 25:8 71:5	164:4
continues 156:17	14:20,21 15:7	137:17,18 139:24	146:4 164:15	dated 2:15,16,24
continuing 1:9	16:12,13,25 17:7	140:14 142:2,12	creating 90:14 99:4	day 6:1 18:3 184:6
continuous 62:7	17:14 18:1,7 19:6	143:20 147:23	cross 14:3	day-to-day 45:17 141:12,17
contribute 86:8	19:16,24 20:3,4	148:12,13 149:24	cross-purposes	
contributed 2:6	20:16 21:7,24	150:13 151:15,17	126:13	days 62:13 100:22 159:1 161:18
contributes 98:17	22:12,13,23 23:2	157:5,6 162:25	cross-ventilated	DCLG 99:16
contribution 84:20	25:17,18 27:4,5	163:24 164:14	13:20	deal 22:16 177:7
97:16	27:15,23,24 29:13	169:1 174:6	crown 61:7 87:12	184:2
contributions	30:3,4,12,16	177:17 178:18	87:14,15 88:22	dealing 32:2,4
101:4	32:25 33:1 37:13	179:16 181:1,20	89:2,17 90:6,6,8	deals 107:5
control 2:5 6:5 17:19 184:23	37:14,18,19,21	182:25 183:1,4,5	91:6,17,25 92:4	dealt 18:23 41:11
	38:8,20,21 39:3,7	corrections 2:23	92:15 93:20,25	deaths 89:1,7 139:4
controlled 8:15	39:11,15,20 40:14	corridor 14:2,5	94:14,21,23 95:3 151:6	debonding 144:18
coping 95:5,15,19 core 14:10 59:3	40:19,20,22 41:16 41:17,18 42:14,19	could've 18:18 55:19 56:21 58:11	CSTB 111:25 127:6	156:4
61:15 66:25 75:16	43:13,14,16,17	65:8 151:6	CTAR00000033	debris 90:12
75:20 79:11 80:11	, , ,		174:22	decide 174:13
81:1 82:10,14,14	44:8,9,12 45:20 46:16,22 47:23	Council 8:24 9:6,13 count 88:14	174:22 cubical 9:19	decision 174:12
83:7 85:4 113:11	48:20,24 49:1,5,6	country 175:22	culture 22:17	declaration 3:3
113:12 114:3,5,7	49:9,12 50:5,8,9	County 8:24	current 7:10 19:8	deduced 15:2
113.14 114.3,3,/	77.7,14 30.3,0,7	County 0.24	Carrent /.10 17.0	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1 dgc 172
deemed 181:5	derived 15:10	152:12	152:25 156:12	distressing 24:12
deep 126:4 127:4	design 6:24 8:10	detection 175:21	169:24 176:1	154:7
152:2	10:17 11:12 15:5	deteriorate 179:8	179:5 181:22	document 2:24
deeper 126:8 152:3	15:14,15 18:14	determined 40:18	182:5	7:12,13 15:3
defect 148:3,4	23:1,2,3 25:16	determining	differently 82:9	19:11,11,13,13,20
defects 148:1,6	27:19 31:18 44:18	136:10	120:6	19:20,22 21:24
152:10	70:8 176:15	develop 164:8	differs 175:12	23:3 41:2 42:21
defend 173:3,6	178:11	developed 26:4	difficult 26:19 74:2	47:12 52:2 54:13
define 174:5	designed 7:17,20	92:24 93:22	182:9	56:5 107:13 109:4
defined 20:6	15:4 17:12 31:9	169:21	dimensions 129:14	109:8 110:22
102:17 122:5	57:15 61:21	developing 4:10	directed 175:10	123:19,22 127:19
definition 20:8	180:15	development 4:15	176:11	128:17 131:15
101:19 102:2,20	designed-for 32:19	diagonal 90:14	direction 69:2	137:10 138:7
103:3 174:1,19,24	designer 123:6	diagram 52:9,9,13	directions 71:6	139:14 141:23
175:12	128:10 134:8	52:16,18 61:1	89:16	143:4 150:25
definitive 15:3	172:25	73:12 85:24	directly 35:8 56:8	165:1 176:2
deform 45:10 47:4	designers 3:12 7:2	110:21,22 118:5,8	175:8 176:9	177:21 178:13
deformed 38:25	11:8 15:12 17:18	123:21 124:13	director 3:11 4:5	180:25
85:15 160:6	129:16 181:3	139:20 140:3,24	4:10,14 26:13	documents 11:13
deforming 82:12	desktop 108:10,13	143:3 155:3,9	disclosed 137:16	doing 101:6 108:18
degrades 78:24	108:18 132:19	172:4	discrepancies	147:25 182:4
81:19	133:3	diagrams 52:3	133:14	domain 15:24
degree 35:4,4 178:2	detail 6:2,13 11:20	111:9 172:10	discuss 11:4	23:10
178:11	18:3 24:1 25:5	difference 17:2	discussed 16:1	dominant 60:17
degrees 34:6,6 42:3	26:19 34:24 35:3	47:5 55:8 72:15	44:24 124:18	64:22 182:19
45:7,18,20 49:8	41:12 60:24 63:14	111:10 118:9,13	137:2 152:21	dominating 87:9
75:25	87:25 88:2 89:5	118:16 126:18	discussing 129:6,17	door 13:9 14:19,19
Dehann 101:25	93:10 94:1 106:9	144:20,23 151:7	154:10 161:7	doors 6:4 17:23,25
delaminate 155:15	113:9 115:6 126:7	172:11	discussion 62:13	18:8,25 127:24
delamination	126:21 129:13	differences 16:14	107:23 159:4	129:19 156:19
144:18 156:4	152:6 155:2	16:20 120:8	displays 109:25	177:6,6
deliberately 22:8	156:19	127:14,20 129:13	distance 14:22	dotted 86:17
demonstrate 7:2	detailed 98:24,25	different 18:19,19	112:15	143:22
60:6	100:3 114:24	31:11 39:13 41:4	distances 14:17	double-layer 48:25
demonstrates	115:5,8 117:13,18	41:15 42:16 43:3	distinct 105:8	doubt 88:19
129:9	119:1 120:12	53:21 54:7,11	166:9	downward 66:19
dependent 111:22	123:13 177:12	55:19 61:5 65:10	distinction 103:1	67:22
depending 31:14	182:4	69:3 77:5 97:3	119:23 145:10	downwards 29:14
54:24 106:15	detailing 25:9	98:5,23 106:9	148:21 171:13	58:16 67:14 68:22
depends 71:21 79:3	54:25 77:14 99:23	108:15,16 113:15	distort 78:22,25	146:24
108:18 156:6	135:10 154:20	113:19 114:5,6	79:8 144:10	Dr 1:6,10,12,14,15
depth 17:15 63:7	162:21	118:24 119:4	distorting 160:7	1:17,24 51:12
126:7,16,23	details 84:9,15	126:16,20 127:9	distortion 79:1,4,5	96:2,23 117:2
depths 118:25,25	92:22 125:2,25	127:23 128:4,5,24	79:10,13 80:4,10	153:15,22 177:2
126:6	126:12,20 147:6	128:25 134:5	144:6,18	183:17,23 185:8
120.0	120.12,20 117.0	120.20 13 1.3	111.0,10	105.17,25 105.0
	<u> </u>	I	I	<u> </u>

				rage 133
draughts 154:13,16	132:7 133:3	effects 68:16 127:9	70:12 165:15	178:23 180:5
154:19	137:20 185:7	eight 171:16 172:2	entered 159:22	181:6,9 182:1,5
draw 35:24 46:6	earlier 15:15 45:16	either 11:8 15:8	entire 110:4	182:12
76:24 99:14 129:2	47:18 74:17,23	16:2 22:8 31:12	entirely 91:2 95:25	evacuations 179:22
160:25	82:20 87:4 95:10	31:15 36:15 38:19	139:2 142:13	event 46:15 132:13
drawing 30:24 31:7	105:16,24 118:7	59:1 65:6 67:13	146:21 152:20	133:1 147:20
31:25 35:15 36:10	123:23 124:18	75:21 79:1 95:24	entity 21:15	163:5,6 164:16
39:2,4 40:2,5	127:1 133:21	98:20 107:14	entrance 14:18,19	170:6 172:20
46:10 48:13 63:15	137:7 139:14	111:2,5 114:3	17:23	events 5:25 24:7
66:17 69:17 70:14	149:2 150:24	119:7,11 128:13	entry 60:7	98:1 156:13,15
84:18 85:11 86:1	152:21 155:8	140:25 148:10	envelope 23:19	169:19 178:21
86:5 91:10 92:9	earliest 164:2	159:6	24:3,6 25:1,4	everybody 161:17
93:14 95:6 97:18	early 7:18 21:1	element 18:21	110:4	everything's 160:2
97:21,25 98:2	103:22 115:18,19	109:18 110:12	environment 3:14	evidence 1:25 2:14
124:15 158:10,19	125:2 127:9	elements 139:23	3:17 62:17	6:1,12 18:4 24:25
158:22,23,23	156:15 183:18	elevation 73:23	envisages 107:13	43:18,20 45:6
171:13	easier 51:2 115:24	elimination 15:8	EPDM 35:16 36:17	51:4 55:18 59:12
drawings 26:9,18	easily 78:22 93:3	Elizabeth 4:15	37:3,17 38:10	72:13 81:4 90:21
30:24 31:5 39:21	east 66:2 104:4	elongate 63:2,5	equal 102:11	96:15 97:1 100:7
44:18 57:2,4 65:2	158:1	eloquent 36:1	equipment 173:1,7	100:21 103:15
77:14 88:23 93:11	easy 59:1 162:19	emerging 66:3	173:22	104:2,7,23 108:3
95:8 118:13	173:16	emphasise 140:9	escape 8:24 11:6,16	104.2,7,23 108.3
150:22	edge 71:12 83:6,21	emphasised 128:16	14:2,2,3,17 15:10	109:22 110:25
drawn 48:14 61:19	83:22,24 85:7,14	150:24	17:11 18:14,23	111:4 113:8
85:3 92:17 93:4,8	92:25 95:4,20	emphasising	58:3,8 153:21	116:17 120:4
103:20 108:16	119:18 134:14	173:18	157:2 174:13	121:25 123:10,17
162:10 179:16	135:19,22 143:24	EN 42:25 43:12	181:5	121.23 123.10,17
dreadful 41:22	edges 64:7 70:15,19		essentially 12:6	123.20 124.18
drew 46:21 83:12	72:2,4 73:5,6 74:1	enable 179:21,25	establish 121:2	127.21 128.1 129:7,25 130:12
145:10 148:21	79:13 83:6,10,14	180:1	123:10	132:7 137:15
	83:17 92:18	enabled 180:1	established 84:14	148:17 153:9
dripping 58:17 59:10 147:2	124:10,11,16	enclosed 68:24	123:23 156:9	154:12 156:14,17
driven 62:6	134:2,7 135:8,20	ended 8:18	estimated 136:3	161:1 164:2
drop 41:24 42:5	134.2,7 133.8,20	endlessly 43:5	et 41:8	167:14 177:13,14
Dublin 3:21	Edinburgh 4:2	energy 86:24	Eternit 132:15,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Duncan 1:12	EDPM 33:2 35:7	engineer 3:20	,	179:5 184:10,11 184:22
duties 94:6	61:17 160:12	154:18	European 40:18 41:6,13 42:8,16	evident 80:4
	effect 86:20 90:15		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
duty 20:17	94:21	engineering 3:16 4:1 45:23 46:3	42:25 110:19 111:16 123:25	exacerbated 60:8 60:12
dwelling 174:3				exact 31:4 72:1
dwellings 174:2	effective 62:19 67:6	engineers 3:12 England 3:18	132:1 evacuated 179:12	exact 31:4 /2:1 exactly 14:12 18:11
E	73:1 90:8,9,17	O .		v
E 41:8,19 44:8	99:4 145:19	engulfing 74:1	179:15 180:2	18:13 21:14 27:18
85:19 86:1,5	effectively 23:1	ensuing 169:19	evacuation 171:9	28:24 33:21 34:2
111:16,21 123:25	37:20 45:7 68:24	ensure 129:9	177:10,16,24	35:12 36:9 38:9
111.10,21 123.23	72:7 93:4 156:13	enter 23:10 62:17	178:6,8,9,14,17	39:16 44:6,21

45:14,22 56:23 62:12 73:20 74:13 66:15 7 67:13 83:9 84:25 104:16,18,20 112:16,19 119:15 22:24 25:14,15 56:65 81:31 59:17 104:14 122:24 148:17 166:5,19 55:7 66:22 70:3 166:21 168:22 166:21 168:22 169:22 712:4 18:13 127:13 162:23 162:33 179:17 162:22 162:23 1					1 age 131
62:12 73:20 74:13 76:13 83:9 98:25 104:16,18,20 112:16,19 119:15 22:24 25:14,15 22:24 25:14,15 51:24 52:5,14 12:12 13:4,10 13:15 158:1 166:21 168:22 169:22 172:4 18:13 127:13 155:177:18 169:22 172:4 135:13 140:19 2xamined 57:2 109:17 157:13 161:4 109:17 example 21:23 36:4 45:16 72:22 80:10 100:21 11:25 42:6 100:21 11:25 42:6 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 114:16 128:3 115:11 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:18 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 103:21 105:19 104:14:12:224 173:12 104:41 12:224 170:19 16c:19 77:7 16c:19 77:7 16actliated 97:2 16actliating 58:3 16actliating 58:	45.14 22 56.23	expertise 3.8	exterior 23·22 24·4	53.19 63.7 8 66.2	103:17 23 104:17
Total Tota	•	_		· ·	1
104:16,18,20		_			
112:16,19 119:15 52:24 52:14,15 51:24 52:5,14 12:12 13:4,10 12:13 13:13 13:4,10 12:13 13:13 13:13 13:4,10 12:13 13:13 13:13 13:4,10 12:13 13:13 13:13 13:4,10 12:13 13:1					
123:4 135:20					
148:17 166:5,19	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
166:21 168:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
169:22 172:4 118:13 127:13 52:17,21 53:15,17 54:8 57:15,16,17 54:14 51:10:11 55:15 103:17,11 107:5,7 54:11 107:5,7 54:14 51:10:15,13 103:12 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 103:21 140:7 141:2 149:3 162:10 83:13 91:11 167:21 178:22 164:12 149:13 161:12 171:17,24 172:21 123:15 147:21 172:17 123:178:1,5 123:15 147:10 123 140:17 140:17 141:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
examine 109:21 135:13 140:19 54:8 57:15,16,17 facilitating 58:3 feature 36:17 64:14 109:17 165:5 177:18 60:22 79:21 97:7 130:7,11 107:5,7 facing 83:21 170:15 87:9,20 45:16 72:22 80:10 42:9 70:17 94:12 10:21 11:25 42:6 132:21 139:22 47:17 56:23 61:19 features 24:6 86:4 100:25 101:8 42:9 70:17 94:12 140:7 141:2 149:3 47:17 56:23 61:19 154:14 161:4 103:21 105:8 114:16 128:3 147:21 171:15 163:2,23 164:3 94:12 95:4 102:17 167:21 178:22 exceptional 3:24 explaining 12:17 23:22 142:24 177:172,3 178:1,5 133:15 136:15 fellow 3:23 4:1					
examined 57:2 157:13 161:4 60:22 79:21 97:7 facing 83:21 170:15 87:9.20 example 21:23 36:4 explained 8:23 9:23 103:7,11 107:5,7 facings 134:7 fact 17:12 22:3			, , ,		
109:17					
example 21:23 36:4 explained 8:23 9:23 10:21 11:25 42:6 10:22 1 10:21 fact 17:12 22:3 feel 46:1 124:24 45:16 72:22 80:10 42:9 70:17 94:12 10:21 11:25 42:6 132:21 139:22 47:17 56:23 61:19 154:14 16:4 10:21 105:8 114:16 128:3 149:13 16:12 92:24 93:4,8 92:24 93:4,8 Feeling 17:4 129:16 176:14 179:4 166:32,23 164:3 94:12 95:4 102:17 feet 13:6,12 feet 13:6,12 exceptional 3:24 explaining 12:17 171:17,24 172:21 133:15 136:15 felt 82:24 154:13 feet 13:6,12 fellow 3:23 4:1 feet 13:6,12 feet 13					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
45:16 72:22 80:10 86:4 100:25 101:8 142:17 94:12 103:21 105:8 121:12 128:15 129:16 exceptional 3:24 exceptional 3:24 exemplar 38:6 exercise 16:18 101:5,13 109:1,2 existed 170:25 existed 170:25 existed 170:25 existed 170:25 existed 170:25 existing 20:16,17 28:18,20 36:7 existing 143:8 exist 15:9 existing 143:8 exist 15:9 exist 14:15 exit 10:2:17 fet 13::15 13::15 fet 13::14:13 fet 12::19:17:15 fet 13::16:2:23 16::42 fibre 102::3, 5 fighting 173:6 fighting 173:6 fighting: 173:6 f			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
86:4 100:25 101:8 42:9 70:17 94:12 140:7 141:2 149:3 62:10 83:13 91:11 167:21 178:22 Feeling 117:4 103:21 105:8 114:16 128:3 149:3 161:12 92:24 93:4,8 Feeling 117:4 feet 13:6,12 Feeling 117:4 feet 13:6,12 f					
103:21 105:8 114:16 128:3 149:13 161:12 92:24 93:4,8 94:12 95:4 102:17 feet 13:6,12 felt ws 23:23 14:18 177:123 178:1,5 133:15 136:15 felt 82:24 154:13 ferocity 173:11,13 felt 82:24 ferocity 173:11,13 ferocity 173:11,1					
121:12 128:15 147:21 171:15 163:2,23 164:3 166:3,5 169:10 121:19 127:15 felt w3:23 4:1 fecceptional 3:24 excess 9:19 23:22 142:24 177:23 178:1,5 183:9 162:23 165:24 fibre 102:3,5 fighting 173:6 fect w3:23 4:1 felt w3:24 15:13 fibre 102:3,5 fighting 173:6 figure 25:22,25 fi					
129:16 176:14 179:4 explaining 12:17 23:22 142:24 177:23 178:1,5 133:15 136:15 133:15 136:15 excess 9:19 explaining 12:17 23:22 142:24 177:23 178:1,5 133:15 136:15				· /	<u> </u>
exceptional 3:24 excess 9:19 explaining 12:17 23:22 142:24 171:17,24 172:21 177:23 178:1,5 133:15 136:15 139:18 154:12 177:23 178:1,5 felt 82:24 154:13 ferocity 173:11,13 ferocity 173:11,1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
excess 9:19 23:22 142:24 177:23 178:1,5 139:18 154:12 ferocity 173:11,13 excuse 151:3 explanation 41:24 117:14 externally 5:25 factor 182:13 fighting 173:6 <			•		
excuse 151:3 explanation 41:24 183:9 162:23 165:24 factor 182:13 fibre 102:3,5 fighting 173:6 figure 25:22,25 exemplar 38:6 explicit 22:6 138:7 lol:15,13 109:1,2 explore 131:20 139:19 161:8 factored 166:9 182:6 28:9,10 29:7,24 29:24 30:18,19 29:24 30:18,19 29:24 30:18,19 29:24 30:18,19 32:7,12 35:18,19 32:1,11 43:8,10 45:1 43:8,10 45:1			*		
exemplar 38:6 i 17:14 exercise 16:18 i 17:14 exercise 16:18 i 17:14 explicit 22:6 138:7 extract 103:23 factor 182:13 fighting 173:6 figure 25:22,25 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 28:9,10 29:7,24 29:24 30:18,19 32:7,12 35:18,19 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td></th<>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
exercise 16:18 cxplicit 22:6 138:7 explore 131:20 139:19 161:8 figure 25:22,25 exist 9:7 77:14 152:6 156:16 explored 72:18 extract 0:3:23 139:19 161:8 extract 0:4:12 28:9,10 29:7,24 exist 9:7 77:14 explored 72:18 explored 72:18 extract 0:4:12 103:16,17 104:17 23:14 29:24 30:18,19 32:7,12 35:18,19 exists 16:9 exposed 37:16 exposed 37:16 69:21 70:14,18 factually 121:9 35:20 37:5,6,24 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 extrapolated 168:19 171:9 43:8,10 45:1 exiting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 124:21,16,20,21 137:2 extruded 75:12,17 failing 105:4 73:7 79:23,25 expect 78:21 79:9 169:23 expressly 20:23 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 expected 51:20 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 47:12 61:6 87:11 fail 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 expereince 3:17 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 60:8 72:20 97:17		. .			
101:5,13 109:1,2 explore 131:20 139:19 161:8 28:9,10 29:7,24 exist 9:7 77:14 152:6 156:16 extractor 44:12 23:14 32:7,12 35:18,19 existed 170:25 expose 72:4	-		•		
exist 9:7 77:14 152:6 156:16 explored 72:18 extractor 44:12 factual 2:13 4:23 29:24 30:18,19 existed 170:25 expose 72:4 expose 37:16 exposed 37:16 factually 121:9 35:20 37:5,6,24 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 extrapolated 108:15 fail 105:10 166:23 37:24 38:23 40:6 exit 14:15 83:14,18 84:8 extrapolation 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 expanded 115:2 extrapolation 108:14,24 173:4,14 69:11 70:3,21 expect 78:21 79:9 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 expressly 20:23 134:1,7 135:8 expect 65:120 F40:18 41:2,17,19 failure 103:22 80:21 83:8,8 92:1 expected 51:20 63:3 175:9 176:10 extensive 89:19 extensive 89:19 extensive 89:19 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 F44:3:8 falling 90:12 falling 90:12 falling 90:12 falling 140:20 falling 140:20		. .			,
125:12 explored 72:18 103:16,17 104:17 23:14 32:7,12 35:18,19 existed 170:25 expose 72:4 104:24 105:3 factually 121:9 35:20 37:5,6,24 exists 15:9 69:21 70:14,18 161:12 fail 105:10 166:23 37:24 38:23 40:6 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 43:8,10 45:1 exiting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 124:21,16,20,21 124:22 125:5,8 134:1,7 135:8 extruded 75:12,17 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 expectation 78:9 expected 51:20 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 47:12 61:6 87:11 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 experience 3:17 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 47:12 61:6 87:11 failure 160:7 139:19 140:16 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 F4 43:8 factually 121:9 16extually 121:9 35:20 37:5,6,24 43:8,10 45:1 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 46:20 48:1,2,14 47:3:4,14 69:11 70:3,21 failure 103:22<	*	. .			
existed 170:25 expose 72:4 dexpose 72:4 104:24 105:3 factually 121:9 35:20 37:5,6,24 existing 20:16,17 28:18,20 36:7 69:21 70:14,18 161:12 69:21 70:14,18 37:24 38:23 40:6 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 43:8,10 45:1 exiting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 109:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 124:21,16,20,21 extruded 75:12,17 137:2 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 expect 78:21 79:9 169:23 expected 51:20 express 46:1 161:6 express 46:1 161:6 F40:18 41:12,17,19 fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 63:3 175:9 176:10 21:2,21 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fall 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 60:8 72:20 97:17 F443:8 falls 146:14 falls 146:14 facile 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21					,
existing 20:16,17 exposed 37:16 161:12 fail 105:10 166:23 37:24 38:23 40:6 28:18,20 36:7 69:21 70:14,18 69:21 70:14,18 168:19 171:9 43:8,10 45:1 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 43:8,10 45:1 exiting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 124:21,16,620,21 extruded 75:12,17 137:2 extruded 75:12,17 69:11 70:3,21 73:7 79:23,25 expanding 30:2 134:1,7 135:8 expounds 141:16 express 46:1 161:6 expressly 20:23 104:15 105:8 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 expected 51:20 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fail 105:10 166:23 139:29 105:21,22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extrapolation 108:14,24 173:4,14 92:3 95:7 97:19 expected 51:20 43:8,15 44:3 168:11 92:3 95:7 97:19 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fail 105:10 166:23 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 extension 93:6 9:19 24:4 57:23 fail 105:10 166:23<	125:12	explored 72:18	103:16,17 104:17	23:14	32:7,12 35:18,19
28:18,20 36:7 69:21 70:14,18 extrapolated 168:19 171:9 43:8,10 45:1 exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 46:20 48:1,2,14 exit 14:15 83:14,18 84:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 exor a 133:4,7 124:11,16,20,21 extruded 75:12,17 failing 105:4 69:11 70:3,21 expanding 30:2 124:22 125:5,8 137:2 eyesight 26:4 80:21 83:3 85:2 expect 78:21 79:9 express 46:1 161:6 expressly 20:23 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 168:11 92:3 95:7 97:19 fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 expected 51:20 43:8,15 44:3 47:12 61:6 87:11 43:8,12 47:12 61:6 87:11 138:4,12 139:19 140:16 143:16 144:2 139:19 140:16 143:16 144:2 155:6 158:24 155:6 158:24 161 18 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 163:18 17:9 <td>existed 170:25</td> <td>expose 72:4</td> <td>104:24 105:3</td> <td>factually 121:9</td> <td>35:20 37:5,6,24</td>	existed 170:25	expose 72:4	104:24 105:3	factually 121:9	35:20 37:5,6,24
exists 15:9 71:12,16 79:14 108:15 failed 2:5 167:19 46:20 48:1,2,14 exit 14:15 83:14,18 84:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 expanded 115:2 expanding 30:2 expanding 30:2 expounds 141:16 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 expected 51:20 F 63:3 175:9 176:10 expected 51:20 expected 51:20 experience 3:17 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falled 2:5 167:19 46:20 48:1,2,14 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 69:11 70:3,21 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 69:11 70:3,21 69:11 70:3,21 73:7 79:23,25 80:21 83:3 85:2 80:21 83:3 85:2 80:21 83:3 85:2 80:21 83:3 85:2 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 97:20 105:21,22 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 97:20 105:21,22 97:20 105:21,22 18:11 92:3 95:7 97:19 97:20 105:21,22 130:21 134:22 130:21 134:22 138:4,12 138:4,12 138:4,12 138:4,12 138:4,12 138:4,12 138:4,12 139:19 140:16 143:16 144:2 143:16 144:2 155:6 158:24 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,18 162:6 163:17,19	existing 20:16,17	exposed 37:16	161:12	fail 105:10 166:23	37:24 38:23 40:6
exit 14:15 83:14,18 84:8 extrapolation 169:3 171:11 49:3 51:2 66:8,12 exting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 69:11 70:3,21 Exova 133:4,7 124:11,16,20,21 124:22 125:5,8 137:2 failing 105:4 73:7 79:23,25 expanding 30:2 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 expressly 20:23 expressly 20:23 expressly 20:23 F40:18 41:12,17,19 fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 expected 51:20 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fairly 24:20 fairly 24:20 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 F43:8 F43:8 fall 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 138:4,12 F44:3:8 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 falls 146:14 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	28:18,20 36:7	69:21 70:14,18	extrapolated	168:19 171:9	43:8,10 45:1
exiting 143:8 92:17,25 124:7,10 108:14,24 173:4,14 69:11 70:3,21 Exova 133:4,7 124:11,16,20,21 extruded 75:12,17 failing 105:4 73:7 79:23,25 expanding 30:2 134:1,7 135:8 expounds 141:16 expounds 141:16 express 46:1 161:6 expressly 20:23 Expressly 20:23 F40:18 41:12,17,19 fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 43:8,15 44:3 failing 105:4 97:20 105:21,22 63:1 1 92:3 95:7 97:19 97:20 105:21,22 18:5 124:8 fairly 24:20 130:21 134:22 130:21 134:22 fall 47:4,10 138:4,12 fallen 160:7 143:16 144:2 experience 3:17 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 facade 5:22 119:8 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	exists 15:9	71:12,16 79:14	108:15	failed 2:5 167:19	46:20 48:1,2,14
Exova 133:4,7 124:11,16,20,21 extruded 75:12,17 failing 105:4 73:7 79:23,25 expanded 115:2 expanding 30:2 134:1,7 135:8 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 express 46:1 161:6 expressly 20:23 Expressly 20:23 F failing 105:4 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 80:21 83:3 85:2 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 169:23 expressly 20:23 expressly 20:23 F F40:18 41:12,17,19 fairer 23:9 97:20 105:21,22 expected 51:20 extension 93:6 extension 93:6 fairly 24:20 130:21 134:22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 fall 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 facade 5:22 119:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	exit 14:15	83:14,18 84:8	extrapolation	169:3 171:11	49:3 51:2 66:8,12
Exova 133:4,7 124:11,16,20,21 extruded 75:12,17 failing 105:4 73:7 79:23,25 expanded 115:2 124:22 125:5,8 137:2 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 expanding 30:2 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 express 46:1 161:6 expressly 20:23 F 168:11 92:3 95:7 97:19 expected 51:20 expressly 20:23 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 fairer 23:9 fairer 23:9 18:5 124:8 experience 3:17 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 fairly 24:20 falling 90:12 falling 140:16 155:6 158:24 fairly 24:20 falling 140:16 155:6 158:24 155:6 158:24 fairly 24:20 falling 105:4 fall 12:15 130:21 130:21 130:21 130:21 130:21 130:21<	exiting 143:8	92:17,25 124:7,10	108:14,24	173:4,14	69:11 70:3,21
expanded 115:2 124:22 125:5,8 137:2 failure 103:22 80:21 83:3 85:2 expanding 30:2 expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 express 46:1 161:6 F 69:23 expressly 20:23 21:2,21 F 40:18 41:12,17,19 fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 expected 51:20 expressly 20:23 43:8,15 44:3 fairly 24:20 130:21 134:22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 fallen 160:7 falling 90:12 15:6 158:24 expert 4:19 41:22 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21				failing 105:4	73:7 79:23,25
expanding 30:2 134:1,7 135:8 eyesight 26:4 104:15 105:8 87:17 88:8,8 92:1 expect 78:21 79:9 express 46:1 161:6 F 168:11 92:3 95:7 97:19 expected 51:20 expressly 20:23 21:2,21 F 40:18 41:12,17,19 fairer 23:9 118:5 124:8 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 extensive 89:19 47:12 61:6 87:11 fail 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 facade 5:22 119:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	•	124:22 125:5,8	*		80:21 83:3 85:2
expect 78:21 79:9 expounds 141:16 F 169:23 F 63:21 79:9 express 46:1 161:6 F 64:11 63:3 175:9 176:10 F F 40:18 41:12,17,19 F 63:811 92:3 95:7 97:19 97:20 105:21,22 97:20 105:21,22 18:5 124:8 97:20 105:21,22 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:14:22 18:14:22 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:4 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:5 124:8 18:14:22 18:15 124:8 18:16 14:22 18:15 124:8 18:16 14:22 18:15 124:8 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:16 14:22 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130:7 18:18 130		*	eyesight 26:4	104:15 105:8	87:17 88:8,8 92:1
169:23 express 46:1 161:6 F fair 108:17 97:20 105:21,22 expected 51:20 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 fairly 24:20 130:21 134:22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fall 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21		*		168:11	
expectation 78:9 expressly 20:23 F 40:18 41:12,17,19 fairer 23:9 118:5 124:8 63:3 175:9 176:10 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 43:8,15 44:3 43:8,15 44:3 130:21 134:22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fall 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 138:4,12 fallen 160:7 143:16 144:2 experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21				fair 108:17	97:20 105:21,22
expected 51:20 21:2,21 43:8,15 44:3 fairly 24:20 130:21 134:22 63:3 175:9 176:10 extension 93:6 47:12 61:6 87:11 fail 47:4,10 139:19 140:16 176:16 extensive 89:19 fallen 160:7 143:16 144:2 experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	expectation 78:9	. .	F 40:18 41:12,17,19	fairer 23:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
63:3 175:9 176:10	_ -		43:8,15 44:3		
176:16 extensive 89:19 138:4,12 fallen 160:7 143:16 144:2 experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21		*	47:12 61:6 87:11		
experience 3:17 extent 2:5 5:12 F1.1.8 130:7 falling 90:12 155:6 158:24 16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21			138:4,12	,	
16:4 9:19 24:4 57:23 F4 43:8 falls 146:14 162:6 163:17,18 expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21			F1.1.8 130:7		
expert 4:19 41:22 60:8 72:20 97:17 facade 5:22 119:8 familiar 140:20 165:1,9 171:19,21	_ -		F4 43:8		
1 0 00 4 70 04 00 1			facade 5:22 119:8		-
	_ -		face 33:4 52:21,22		•
			ĺ		8
		l	l	l	l

				rage 193
35:10 45:21 61:2	59:16 60:4,7,22	51:21 52:22	67:17 68:10,12	118:16,17,19,22
142:15,16,21	62:7 66:2,5,20	139:23 141:3	69:2,4 70:11,12	118.10,17,19,22
fill 30:2 36:18	67:22 68:6,7,20	firefighter 139:4	71:5 72:3,4,6,7,11	153:21 156:22
39:17 74:22 141:4	78:5,9,12 79:8	173:8 184:22	72:22,25 73:1,19	157:3 161:5,25
141:9 144:11	81:7,13,19 82:25	firefighters 138:17	73:25 76:8,9	164:7 165:25
filled 32:23 33:2	84:11 85:4 86:8	167:16 180:19	81:25 84:14,23	166:2 167:23,23
filler 49:5 124:7	88:13,22 89:6,9	181:15,18	86:2,5 89:14,18	168:24 172:5
fills 33:6,19 141:1	90:13 91:14,25	firefighting 10:23	89:24 90:8,11,22	173:9 174:8,8,14
141:16,21	92:14,23 94:11,12	16:11 172:22	90:24 91:5,12,18	174:18 175:2,3,10
film 33:19	97:2,17 103:5,7,7	173:2,6 180:6	92:24 93:2,5,20	176:11 177:6,22
fin 88:18 93:2,3	103:11,12 104:8	fires 58:18 59:8,9	93:25 94:16,17,22	flats 10:11,18 13:24
final 22:19 59:25	105:11,12 104.8	67:13 77:20,24	97:3,8,13 100:10	89:21 90:3 171:16
87:11 101:15	107:5,8 109:1,22	78:3	100:16,17 105:25	171:18,23 172:2,3
151:2,3	110:2,5 112:23	firm 3:24	125:4 143:7,8,10	175:19
finally 82:19	113:19,21 114:7	firmly 130:11	143:13,25 145:17	floor 53:15,17,20
152:21 161:13	119:25 120:6,9,12	first 6:24 7:8,17	145.13,23 143.17	53:23 54:1 140:25
182:21	121:13,25 122:3,6	15:13 16:22 22:25	147:1,7 156:9	146:20 151:2,3
find 24:12 26:7	121:13,23 122:3,0	25:12 42:6 58:2	158:12 159:23	167:2 168:20
31:10 73:24 102:9	127:16 128:6,12	79:10 89:15 92:3	161:11,12 163:2	169:17 170:11
106:5 109:18	129:1,4,8,18,21	94:23 95:2 117:23	165:21,24 166:3	173:10
121:7 154:7 165:8	130:14,14 132:5	128:20,21 142:21	166:13 167:6	floors 54:1 103:8
182:9	135:9,12 138:19	152:16 154:11	168:12 169:10	103:12 129:19
fine 29:9 35:3	138:24 143:5,19	156:21 159:23	170:1 171:17,24	140:4 168:6,21
53:14 103:4 116:9	145:12 151:7,24	161:21 165:14	172:5	flopped 38:25
122:20 149:7	151:25 153:21	166:13 168:14	flames 63:2 69:24	flow 62:5 89:20
157:19,22 158:5	154:6,18 155:9,18	170:20	70:25 91:20,21	flush 70:8 149:3
158:25 160:22	156:18,21 157:17	fit 146:5	159:17 166:4	flux 146:16
184:13	157:25 158:14	fitted 119:8 147:4	167:1,19	fluxes 57:18
finger 73:24	159:7,9,13,21	149:9 150:10	flaming 62:16,19	foam 30:2,7,11
finish 183:18	162:23 163:3	152:11	67:8 68:19 71:4	59:23,24 60:9,10
fins 88:1,12 93:16	164:6,10,11,12,16	five 61:5 63:3	73:17 82:12 86:20	102:11 134:2
95:17,20	165:11,15,19	fixed 31:8 47:8,11	98:10,13,19 99:11	
fire 2:4,6,7 3:16,20	166:6,8,10,17	78:19 112:17	156:6 163:23	focus 5:21 6:2 27:9
4:7,10,14 5:25 6:3	167:22 168:4,5,20	119:3 124:7	164:3	29:7 73:13
6:4,16,23 7:13	168:24 169:3,11	147:17 148:9	flammability 103:1	focused 136:19
8:25 10:5,10,11	170:10,14,20,21	159:5	103:2,3	Focusing 113:25
10:17 14:4 17:2,5	170:22 173:6,9,11	fixing 47:14 125:24	flange 119:12	foil 84:1 133:23
17:8,14,24 19:12	173:21 174:3,4,9	126:9,11,18	flashing 95:14	134:2 135:15
21:10 24:5,12	175:2,4,5,7,9,10	129:13 136:20	167:6	folded 72:1
25:2,7 32:21	175:22 176:10,11	fixings 46:25 47:1,2	flashover 138:24	follow 5:17 86:17
41:15 45:23 46:3	176:15,20 177:21	47:5 125:10	173:9	follow-up 154:9
49:21 50:2,7,10	177:22 178:6	flame 24:15 33:8	flat 6:4 14:18 31:10	following 2:1 4:5
53:20 54:21 55:2	179:1 183:11,12	42:3 55:13 60:18	38:3,5,6,7,8 50:10	139:15 150:25
55:9 57:15,16,17	183:14	62:21 64:11,12,20	50:12 66:3 71:21	173:17 174:25
58:3,12,15,16,17	fire-resisting 50:4	65:17 66:24 67:14	71:25 89:15 104:3	follows 151:4
	8			
	I	1	I	1

				1490 170
166:16	front 67:14 68:12	gas 45:9	glued 36:22 46:15	122:2,19 128:11
footage 67:2,2,11	68:14 69:2,2,4,4	gases 67:7 68:17	159:4 160:9	130:2 134:15
71:4 89:17 109:24	72:5,25 73:1 79:5	69:24 98:12,18	go 7:16 12:14 25:25	143:3 145:10,11
force 20:3	79:7 84:14,23	99:9	27:20 29:4 30:18	146:17,18 149:18
form 10:6 25:8,21	89:18,24 90:8	general 5:17 41:10	30:21 34:14 35:17	150:8 153:20,25
49:10,18 51:21	92:24 93:2,25	81:16 102:25	35:21 37:5 42:24	155:25 156:11,12
64:14 68:18	94:22 121:10	108:23 109:11	44:25 46:20 49:22	156:16 157:23
123:11 135:21	125:4 143:25	119:22 150:7	50:15 51:5 52:8	158:10,15 159:19
141:21 178:1	145:17 146:3	158:14 162:12	69:10 70:11 76:12	160:1,23 161:14
formal 1:25 16:7	147:1,7 156:9	179:18	76:12 80:21 83:3	163:2 167:24
137:15	163:2 168:12	generally 173:25	85:22 88:1 95:7	170:2,23 172:8
formed 28:2 39:18	171:17 172:5	generate 57:17	96:3,17 105:3,20	173:12 175:18
53:4,6 77:2 82:5	front's 66:24	generated 59:10	105:22 106:14	179:20,24 180:7
139:2 141:1	fronts 91:5,18	geometry 63:17	110:11 114:5	181:11 184:1,10
142:11 144:25	fuel 62:14 71:7	getting 33:15 34:1	116:6,20 128:18	good 1:3,8 25:24
178:6	72:4	35:3 55:15 82:14	129:22 130:9	29:3 37:2 41:5
forms 6:11 33:6	fuelled 67:15	91:22 98:12	134:22 140:15,22	43:6 46:19 48:2
81:18 128:24	fuelling 62:7	115:13 127:13	141:8,11 142:8	48:13 53:1 62:15
forward 22:9	full 1:23 57:23 64:3	134:17 146:13,20	143:16 150:4,22	72:13 115:15
184:14	141:4,21 149:2	153:2 160:2	156:13 162:4	146:10 152:24
found 8:19 38:17	fully 141:3 183:7	give 1:23 12:13	166:12 170:12	153:3,8,17 158:8
55:25 108:11	function 31:9 79:6	24:8,16 54:20	171:19 172:9	183:17,24
113:10 121:18	87:19 127:2 128:6	60:15 67:22 93:7	177:7 183:20	governing 64:19
150:11 152:21	functional 107:6	114:24 115:15	goes 34:10 68:15	92:25
foundation 176:21	109:23 183:7	135:12 149:25	71:11 94:17	gradients 82:17
founding 143:11	fundamentally	154:1 155:1	102:11 143:7	graduated 3:21
four 105:19 122:16	180:6	157:23 176:4	166:19	Grange 1:7,8,16,22
159:16	further 5:15 13:22	given 45:23 78:10	going 1:5,6,9 5:6,8	1:23 24:1,19,23
FR 121:23 122:2,4	57:1 133:18	107:25 108:9	5:12,17,21 6:2 7:6	26:13,24 32:7,22
122:13,14	169:21 170:12	136:25 148:17	11:20,23 15:23	33:25 36:20 37:2
frame 33:3 36:7,14	179:14 184:22	172:8 180:25	18:3 24:1,8,9,18	45:12 50:14 51:16
48:5,6,15 57:24		gives 43:15 54:14	24:19 29:22 35:10	51:17 53:10 54:4
71:14 76:21 78:20	G	119:1 126:5	37:1,20 41:6,24	57:13 81:24 82:3
79:15	gap 28:2,8,22 29:12	147:18 182:1	42:5 43:22,23	82:8 94:10 95:23
frames 48:4 82:21	30:14 31:19 32:21	giving 22:19 153:19	46:12 49:22 50:15	97:1 112:9,24
France 112:12	32:23 33:6,19,20	glass 57:24,25 58:1	50:25 51:3 57:16	115:18,23 116:3,6
120:15	36:15,17 38:12	86:6 105:11,12	58:8 61:3 65:16	116:9 117:6,7
frantically 71:17	62:1 70:5,9 98:3,4	159:18 161:11	66:23 67:18 68:16	119:22 120:25
free 47:7,7 161:4	141:10,12,16,21	glazing 104:15	68:24 69:19 71:8	127:11,13 134:15
174:10 176:19	143:6 144:10	105:8	72:8,21 75:2	134:19 146:23
freezing 69:1,4	151:16,20 158:17	GLC 9:8,13 11:2,4	81:21,22 86:17	152:24 153:3,6,18
French 120:11	gaps 39:17 59:25	16:9,15,22 17:3	87:8 95:24 96:9	153:25 154:4
frequent 50:19	61:20 62:2,16	Glover 1:12	104:17 106:19	171:5 183:17,20
fresh 160:10	63:6 69:16,17,23	glowing 158:12	107:19 109:11	185:8
Friday 185:4	74:22 149:12	glue 46:18,21	111:9 115:5 116:6	graph 178:22
ļ		[

	I	İ	İ	İ
graphs 178:22	56:4 107:25 109:8	headline 117:19	138:19	hydraulic 172:18
great 52:12 97:24	113:6 128:16	Health 10:1	high 50:11 120:17	hydrogen 45:8
153:7	139:15 151:1	hear 1:6 120:10	178:2,11	hygiene 139:3
greater 9:6,13	166:15 174:10	heard 97:13 98:20	high-rise 4:4,12,15	
16:24 42:3 73:2	176:1,18 178:13	100:7 156:24	10:23 173:1	<u> </u>
green 36:5 151:13	179:11 180:25	159:1 165:7	higher 17:13,13	idea 53:1 135:7
151:15	181:7 182:8	hearing 1:4,10,11	44:5 157:3 181:14	141:9 145:9
Grenfell 6:18 7:1	guide 11:1,9 15:17	2:14 185:4	highlight 67:24	174:13
7:17,20 8:10,14	174:18 175:24	heart 89:22	83:23 86:14	ideally 168:2
8:19 11:12,18	guides 11:14	heat 42:3 55:11,16	115:11 127:19	identical 120:21
12:4,7,18 13:2,3	guys 130:24	57:15,17 59:15	highlighted 27:13	identified 16:14
14:11 15:15 19:3		60:18 62:15 67:13	85:10 86:10 104:4	21:4 47:17 48:18
21:9 22:21 23:19	Н	68:15 78:22,23,24	highlighting	49:19 57:21 58:11
23:22 27:19 54:18	hallway 38:7	79:6,11 98:11	163:21	59:24 65:16 69:7
56:16 57:21 84:19	hand 38:11	101:23 141:13,15	highly 90:8,8 99:4	97:6 105:17 123:5
87:7 90:1 98:1	Handbook 34:20	141:20 146:16,17	177:24 178:14,14	identify 2:3 5:12
99:15,17,19	handed 183:3,15	158:15 159:11,14	hindsight 169:5	122:22,24
100:10,13 103:13	handheld 168:3	159:14,21 160:3	170:18 179:2	ignite 93:3 101:22
108:5,6,7,10	handover 178:4,11	162:20 182:18	hit 84:7	ignited 62:5 91:17
111:6 112:25	hands 95:25	heated 68:12,14,25	hits 59:11 83:20	159:3
113:14 122:10,11	hang 119:12	79:18 99:9	hold 142:17,17	ignites 34:5,13,17
123:15,16 125:7	happen 79:9 98:10	heating 68:7,14,21	hole 38:10	95:2
125:24 126:3,8,19	98:21 155:16	70:12 78:16 79:12	honorary 3:23	igniting 67:4
130:15 132:4,25	169:20 170:3	106:15 120:17	hope 106:8 117:4	ignition 34:17,20
133:6 137:5	happened 147:7	127:4,8 159:19	118:8 169:14	49:8 89:23 103:22
144:24 147:8,23	167:22	160:15 161:14	hopefully 32:11	ignore 128:11
148:9 149:1 151:8	happening 68:11	162:3 163:4 164:8	horizontal 25:20	ignoring 181:9
151:9,11 152:10	69:5 79:12 162:17	heats 67:11	27:16,20 69:7	II 14:2,17
152:13 156:14	182:17	heavily 146:11	72:3,6 73:13 76:8	illustrate 70:4
167:12 183:2	happens 159:25	height 8:15 9:19	76:9 82:25 83:18	illustration 48:3
grey 53:1,3 121:23	happy 95:24 96:23	10:12 61:10 64:3	88:22 90:11,17,21	66:18
140:3	100:2 102:23	107:10 110:6	90:24,25 91:4,23	image 27:11 66:23
groove 28:3,18,20	115:20 116:3	181:5	92:4 145:3 146:19	76:11,12,13,14
29:22	147:15,17 183:25	helicopter 67:2	148:8,21 150:9,11	77:18 130:19
grooves 28:17	hard 26:9 43:5 53:2	89:17	150:16	158:11 173:4
guidance 6:24,25	140:1	help 111:10 118:12	horizontally 25:3	images 89:18
7:10 8:12,12,22	Harley 30:24	134:19 184:1	73:4,25 76:4,16	imagine 15:20
8:24 9:12,17,22	harmonisation	helpful 16:8 43:1,2	85:9 89:16	112:19 154:23
9:24 10:5,13,22	42:17,20	53:11 84:16	hose 168:3	imagined 74:5
11:3,9,10,13	hatched 27:13	100:24 112:24	hot 55:15 62:6	imaging 158:14
12:18 13:19 15:14	head 16:23 38:14	118:8 148:20	69:24 82:14	161:25 162:7,11
15:24 16:15,15	73:5,13,21 74:4	161:23 171:2	House 4:15	immediately 71:10
17:11 18:24 19:8	150:19 151:1	182:20	huge 98:14	89:2 95:19
19:13,20 21:24	157:4	helps 11:21	hung 119:4 120:5	impact 47:3,13
23:1,2,3 42:10,12	heading 117:23	hidden 32:16	126:18,21	impacted 64:16
	I	I	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

r				raye 190
171:17 172:3	15:5	87:7 93:19 101:2	interaction 32:21	46:24
174:15 180:19	individual 93:1	111:22 130:2,20	interconnected	involve 154:6
impacting 173:10	108:1	131:21 140:11	56:14	involved 4:4 74:20
impacting 173.10	individuals 3:24	146:14 147:23	interconnectedness	90:2 91:7
impinge 167:20	industry 3:18 22:11	150:14 151:14,21	59:6	involves 174:25
impinge 107.20	inevitable 31:18	182:24	interconnection	isolation 21:13
impinged 171.23	164:9		56:17	issue 114:12 144:12
1 0 0	inferred 170:10	installing 130:25 instances 77:19		
importance 127:24			interest 32:20	144:13 149:5
important 14:13	infill 74:24 75:4,10	Institution 10:7	154:21	161:2
30:23 31:13 32:1	77:21 78:6 103:16	instructed 174:16	interested 34:25	issued 11:2
36:4,10 59:8	137:1	instructions 151:12	114:9 162:11	issues 108:20 149:6
62:11 82:24 83:16	information 36:10	insulate 55:14	168:2	150:1 153:21
84:9,20 86:21	47:13 119:2	137:12,14	interesting 47:13	154:9
89:12 91:4,6,19	136:24	insulated 38:15	82:2 90:23 127:6	item 53:19
92:22 99:12	ingress 34:2 60:4	insulating 49:18	interests 162:13	IV 10:10
115:12 116:11	103:24	73:6 83:7 85:4	interface 65:20	IV-1 10:16
119:23 121:14	inhibit 88:21	138:5	interfere 17:9	IWS00000051
125:2 127:15,20	inhibited 56:5	insulation 35:9,14	165:23	163:8
128:9 145:3	initial 2:9 58:3	36:4,22 37:12,16	interfered 164:10	J
152:13,15 154:20	initially 67:4	39:3,13,14,15,16	internal 14:7,8,12	
importantly 89:19	inquiry 1:11,23 2:1	40:1,10,24 41:3,3	14:15 28:24 47:22	jamb 157:4
impose 23:5	2:14 3:9 5:2 8:1	44:15,20 46:16	52:22 53:19 141:2	jammed 145:15
impossible 146:21	43:21 120:4	54:22 55:5,11	158:12 161:14	jimmied 150:9
182:9	122:16 137:16	59:3 61:15 63:8	166:22 171:7	job 147:24
impression 98:22	inquiry's 1:5 5:15	71:8 74:11,16,22	173:1	joint 134:5,6
including 1:12 2:13	ins 3:16	80:15,15,17,18	internally 173:7	135:21
4:5,16 5:24 6:3,5	insert 44:11 93:15	85:14,17 92:10	internationally	joints 129:14
8:7 20:6 24:11	inserted 76:20	95:13,19 97:13	3:18	133:24 134:10,13
25:1 61:15 74:21	inside 6:3 14:13	98:4,17 99:3,17	interpret 134:1,4	135:7,9
138:18 177:14	29:15,17 38:3	99:18 101:1 112:2	intersection 18:15	JTOS0000001
inclusive 2:21	49:20 50:7 53:5	112:20,25 113:1	18:25	46:20
inconsistent 180:6	71:11 74:14 85:18	129:14 130:17,17	intersects 140:6	judgement 23:12
incorporated 28:21	98:9 155:18	130:20,25 131:6	intervals 147:12	junction 139:23
incorrect 121:9	156:18 158:13	131:14,21 132:16	intrinsic 21:16	junctions 53:11
independent 3:11	183:21	132:22 133:22,24	introduce 165:6	June 2:2 6:11 23:21
indicate 3:3 53:3	insight 154:15	134:2,23 136:4,13	introduction	24:7 45:17
131:25	insignificant	136:15 137:9	129:14	
indicated 63:6	127:14	145:5,7,16 160:8	intumescent 141:14	K
173:13	inspection 56:1	160:9,13,16 170:8	141:15,20 144:10	K 2:11
Indicates 28:19	inspections 30:8	integrity 17:24	148:25 152:1,5	K15 135:25
29:17 48:6 65:12	150:2	54:22 55:3,9	invalidate 127:16	keen 153:22
67:19 73:16 80:7	install 54:24	138:23	investigate 4:19	keep 23:14,15 43:4
83:24 118:23	installation 124:20	intend 22:17	5:14 21:3 22:2	61:3,4 95:24
159:15	148:2 149:8	intended 129:11	investigated 133:18	100:1 102:14
indicator 14:23	installed 54:18 59:4	intention 22:2	investigations 5:13	162:8 169:9
indicator 17.23	1113tuileu 57.10 57.7	111CHUUH 22.2	investigations J.13	173:18 180:14
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	1
kept 165:11	88:12,19 89:22	67:11,12 71:7	162:8 163:7	78:13 81:18
key 3:10 5:6,24	93:18,24 98:6,24	89:23	171:19	list 47:21
6:23 15:5 16:14	100:22 119:23	latest 151:10	letter 43:4	listen 100:21
16:19 17:2 25:13	120:14 126:25	layer 36:22 48:22	level 38:6 89:1,8,14	listened 161:17
67:24 82:13	134:4 135:8,11	71:7,8 98:9,9	90:3 93:13 94:11	lists 15:17 42:22,25
115:11 117:17	136:18,21,22,23	112:22 141:14	120:17 135:9	literally 38:10
121:2 123:13	145:14,18 146:18	layers 75:16	165:19,20 166:18	155:18,25
131:14 149:11	147:6,14,15,16	LBYS0000001	167:2,7,20 168:3	literature 140:18
177:2 180:22	149:5 153:22	80:22 92:2	168:5 169:11	little 27:12,14
kilowatts 57:18	158:13 159:5,7	LCC 9:2,7,10 11:1	170:2,22	28:12,17 30:25
kind 15:23 28:15	160:20,22 161:3	11:9,16	levels 90:13,13	32:15 36:11 50:17
30:10 34:2 38:6	163:3 169:5,20	leading 138:24	137:4 145:22	50:24 53:3 56:25
42:17 48:25 49:14	173:20 175:17,18	learnt 120:3	168:2	57:13 59:16 63:14
49:23 54:7,18	175:25 176:13,23	leave 85:1 100:16	LFB 172:17	69:18 73:18 94:2
55:10 56:21 58:16	176:23 177:20	154:5 158:2	LGA 174:18	119:17 131:20
59:19 60:15 61:4	179:7 181:8 184:7	174:12 175:10	175:24	140:3 147:5,6
63:4 67:5,16	knowledge 4:24	176:11,19 180:17	life-threatening	160:9
68:13,24 79:13	known 15:25 170:1	leaves 67:11 141:10	180:2	lobbies 16:22
80:3 81:5 99:23	170:7,13,15	141:12	lifts 6:5 17:4	177:14 179:6
100:24 106:6	Kooltherm 135:25	leaving 30:14 51:19	light 2:13 57:19	lobby 12:8,22 13:4
122:9 126:22		179:15	140:3	13:8,10,20 14:3,8
127:2 135:15	L	led 35:7 103:7,11	lightly 47:8	17:4
138:2 144:22	lab 109:2	ledge 59:11 78:8	lightweight 144:8	local 86:22
145:24 146:19,19	labelled 85:3	ledges 59:8	148:15	localised 50:2
150:9 156:4	lack 149:21	left 27:1 30:21	likelihood 50:11	59:19 78:4,16
159:13 161:7	landmark 4:4	37:15 40:10 47:24	limit 14:14 45:18	86:9,20 159:7,8
162:12 165:5	Lane 1:6,10,14,15	48:15 82:21 88:12	limited 40:21,25	159:19 163:5,6
167:21 170:17	1:17,24 51:12	88:17 99:3 101:9	42:2,13 44:4	168:5,6 170:20
173:4 176:21	96:2,23 117:2	101:10,12 118:19	93:12 131:15	location 36:13 56:4
Kingspan 38:19	153:15,22 177:2	118:19 179:12	136:10 137:23	56:10,18,18 72:1
44:8,10 135:25	183:17,23 185:8	left-hand 145:17	line 36:14 46:18	86:16 104:24
kitchen 157:3	language 152:19	legislative 6:10	65:5 67:16 77:1	141:5 156:7 162:3
158:1 162:18	large 36:15 89:1	length 36:17 63:3	84:8 85:5,6,11	162:12
knew 170:2	103:21 125:4	136:19 176:14	86:17 140:25	locations 63:16
know 7:21 15:1	138:21	let's 7:16 11:25	143:22 165:13	86:15 135:6
19:16 22:7 26:15	large-scale 107:14	12:14 26:13 28:8	167:2	logical 151:4
31:3,23 33:5,14	107:22	30:18,18 35:21	lines 32:19 69:18	logo 39:4,9 151:15
34:22 36:21 41:10	larger 28:18 49:14	37:5,16 40:5 42:9	73:18,22 125:8	London 4:16 7:3
42:15 47:7,10	49:17 58:23,25	47:21 49:2 61:9	163:20	8:3,6,7,11,15,16
50:18 54:12 56:11	98:5 151:19	66:8 69:6 70:3	lining 47:22 48:10	8:22,24 9:6,12,13
64:14 69:1 70:7,8	159:16	73:4,7,13 75:4	49:16	9:22 11:8 15:19
71:25 72:24 73:15	lateral 72:6 77:10	80:20,21 85:22	linking 171:5	15:21 158:14
73:17,24 78:11	90:5 91:5,12,17	92:3 103:5 107:4	lip 25:22 27:13	long 10:10 64:15
79:11 80:11,15	laterally 91:25	128:17 131:20	71:20	168:10 182:6
82:15 87:9,22	laterals 65:20	142:15 153:6	liquid 45:7,11 67:4	184:6
	1	1	1	1

				rage 200
longer 51:1 96:3	27:12 29:14 58:15	manufactured	mass 86:24	155:18 162:11
163:3	58:16 59:8 67:10	110:16	material 6:8 20:7	167:16 177:18,19
look 7:8,16 8:18	73:18 74:17 85:9	manufacturer's	23:11 33:7 34:5	178:15
12:13 15:17 21:8	93:14 109:21	149:10	34:12,23 44:4	meaning 134:1
25:23 26:10,11	120:3 124:12	manufacturers	49:8 54:23 64:13	meaningful 151:7
28:5,8 29:24	127:21 131:22	137:23	64:17 66:25 77:6	meanings 112:6
30:18 31:7 32:14	134:23 141:22	manufacturers'	87:10 88:24 93:16	means 8:24 11:6,15
34:8 35:10,18	155:8 165:2	151:11	94:1,4 99:2,25	13:1 14:1 15:10
37:3,24 38:2 40:5	looks 119:6	map 172:10	100:5 101:20,22	17:11 18:13,23
41:2 43:23 44:25	looming 72:4	marked 28:19	112:3,6,15,22	20:12 32:20 36:16
46:10 47:25 49:2	loose 154:24	35:14,19,25 39:21	128:3,5,7,10,12	57:24 62:17 85:19
49:2 52:16 53:10	loses 36:1	49:4 74:5 86:15	133:8 141:4 147:2	105:18 107:13
54:9 60:6,21	losing 47:8	93:12 172:5	160:15	122:6 130:2 174:7
65:14 66:8 69:11	loss 138:23	marked-up 73:7	materials 32:23	180:16
70:3 71:17,23	lot 6:8 15:22 22:7	Marley 132:15	34:16 35:5,19	meant 10:21 17:9
73:4,7 75:2 76:14	44:24 45:6 56:23	MARTIN 1:3,17	42:11,11 47:6,19	19:16 31:8,24
79:23 80:20,20	62:12 81:5,14,20	1:22 19:17 24:18	50:3 51:20 54:14	34:2 41:3 42:1
81:22 83:4 85:1	97:25 104:23	24:22 26:6,12,15	54:15 58:5,17,24	74:19 105:9
85:24 87:11,16,23	123:7 124:10	26:20,22 31:17	59:5,22 60:14	106:17 141:6,21
88:8,17 89:4	159:1 163:2	32:6 33:18 36:19	61:15 67:15 68:7	143:4 148:7 166:6
91:24 92:1,3 95:5	169:21	37:1 45:10 50:22	68:11,13,15,16,21	176:19
98:5 101:16	love 50:24	50:25 51:7,12,14	74:6,7,11,21	measure 21:14 94:3
102:23 103:2,5	low 36:2 45:23	51:16 53:8 54:3	76:18,22 77:3,25	94:4 146:3
110:12 111:9	79:22 159:2	56:25 57:4,7,9,12	78:4 81:23 98:11	measured 31:11
112:22 115:10	177:23 180:13	81:24 82:4,6	99:19 101:5 108:5	measurements
117:17,23 118:2	lower 17:24 80:8	93:14,22 94:7	108:15 109:12,14	63:12,13
120:20 124:7	90:13 91:11,18	96:1,5,9,12,17,23	129:15 154:24	measures 2:4
126:4 128:15,17	114:12	112:5,14,17	160:2 163:4 170:8	172:24 183:7,13
134:11 138:8	Luke 80:22	115:19,24 116:4,8	170:13,25 183:11	183:21
139:17 140:15,24	lunch 96:5 102:24	116:10,13,16,20	matter 18:8 69:2	mechanical 36:2
142:15 150:22	115:18,19 116:7	117:2,4,6 119:6	152:4,20 169:8,15	46:24 47:1,2,5
152:8 153:20,22	123:23	119:11,19 120:8	matters 3:9 4:23	mechanically 47:11
158:11 163:7	3.6	120:24 126:23	5:2 23:15 24:23	47:14 78:19 159:5
165:18 172:1	<u>M</u>	127:12 132:20	106:19	mechanism 66:19
174:17,23 178:21	main 5:10 6:4	134:12,17 146:5	maximum 181:23	72:20,21 160:5
180:24 184:14	56:13 58:25	146:10,16 153:1,4	mean 5:21 18:20	mechanisms 67:22
looked 15:4 19:5,7	maintained 178:12	153:8,15,17,24	33:14 42:15 59:17	meet 65:19
21:14 22:25 28:6	maisonettes 10:11	154:3 158:9	60:14 62:12 67:19	meets 53:15,17,20
38:8 43:20 44:2	10:18 13:25	168:16,18,23	71:13 72:5 78:12	69:25
74:23 87:24 95:10	major 23:23 148:1	169:2,6,8,14,19	83:21 87:8,20	melt 81:12
106:20 117:12	majority 6:21 50:2	170:7,16 171:2,4	88:18,18 94:22	melted 38:25 82:5
124:9 139:14	making 130:11	183:19,23 184:1,5	101:7 105:7 112:1	95:19 147:5 160:6
looking 5:24 6:15	144:5 162:22	184:9,14,17,20	112:3,6 127:22	melting 45:19,24
8:17 15:2,9 19:7	managed 78:13	masonry 144:9	146:1 147:1,2,12	58:17 59:10 68:1
21:12 23:18 24:10	manner 124:6	152:12	147:24 152:8	75:25 90:12 147:2

				rage 201
membrane 29:17	157:20 159:20,22	37:1 45:10 50:22	103:12 168:6	39:18 56:9 154:8
33:2,6,25 35:7	160:3 163:4	50:25 51:7,12,14		Niamh 1:12
37:3,18 61:17	173:19	51:16 53:8 54:3	N	Nic 1:12
160:11,12	minutes' 54:21,22	56:25 57:4,7,9,12	N 185:7	nice 80:12 87:23
men 131:5	55:2,5	81:24 82:4,6	name 1:23	127:5 136:24
mention 108:11	mirror 173:4	93:14,22 94:7	named 122:7	nicely 78:24
mentioned 82:20	misleading 121:5	96:1,5,9,12,17,23	narrower 31:19,21	night 71:4 179:7
105:16 134:5	121:10	112:5,14,17	national 8:3,12	no.1 8:8
141:22 149:2	missed 176:24	115:19,24 116:4,8	9:24 10:5,13 11:9	non-combustibility
mentioning 105:24	mitigate 146:3	116:10,13,16,20	42:10,12,16	102:21
120:10,13	183:10,14	117:2,4,6 119:6	110:18 136:9	non-combustible
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,	137:24	
met 11:17	mitigated 168:4	119:11,19 120:8	nationally 10:2	42:2,10 102:8,17
metal 79:18	170:6,22,23	120:24 126:23	natural 62:5	142:2,3,6
metallic 110:15	mitigation 94:3,3	127:12 132:20	naturally 94:16,17	non-compliance
113:11 121:23	146:3	134:12,17 146:5	nature 170:7	23:11 152:17,18
meterage 16:24	mix 98:13,19	146:10,16 153:1,4	near 50:2,10 79:8	non-compliances
method 125:24	mixed-use 4:15	153:8,15,17,24	141:20 158:15	21:3
126:10 129:13	mixing 170:17	154:3 158:9		non-compliant
136:10	Mm 75:11 76:6	168:16,18,23	159:9 164:6	109:19
methods 126:11	92:5 95:16	169:2,6,8,14,19	nearer 26:3,18	non-worsening
metre 57:18	modify 100:18	170:7,16 171:2,4	nearly 102:13	20:11
middle 37:12 80:8	moment 7:6,9	183:19,23 184:1,5	139:6	normal 141:9,19
80:8 155:14 156:1	11:21 27:10 37:17	184:9,14,17,20	necessarily 167:24	normally 96:5
millimetre 35:4,4	38:12 43:24 50:21	morning 1:3,8	necessary 12:1 36:8	148:24
99:1,1 165:13	51:17,20 73:14	24:13 173:17	172:22 177:24	nose 106:10 149:21
millimetres 30:14	76:13 81:23 103:5	184:15,24	need 31:7 43:3	noses 106:10
31:12,16 36:12	109:12 111:9,13	mountings 125:10	62:14 70:9 93:7	note 9:9 11:1 30:13
39:11 63:7 75:19	115:16,22 117:10	move 23:17 26:5,6	99:5 101:14	30:25 32:11,16
131:11 152:2,4	126:4 132:13	26:16,20 31:24	110:11 113:9	36:11 42:1 75:24
158:18	152:24 161:19	47:8,10 69:6	115:14 130:9	88:25 110:9
mind 26:18 73:3	164:24 167:20,23	73:24 95:23	135:11 144:11	111:18,21 114:1,6
96:15 159:7	169:9,10 170:18	115:21 124:3	146:18 156:3	117:23 128:21,22
161:20 162:19	172:13,14,16	130:17 141:15	160:22 173:23	128:24 129:5,7
minds 98:24	183:17	182:7	174:20 175:15	130:4,16 133:10
mineral 54:19	moments 167:25	moved 56:10	176:3 179:19	133:21 137:23
100:4 102:2,4,5,7	173:18	movement 31:25	needed 31:1 52:24	142:8 152:15
102:10,16 141:25	Monday 1:9 6:1	63:15	63:24 135:11	167:18
minimum 40:24	156:17,19 172:23	moves 72:25	177:16 178:14,15	noted 66:1,5
minor 9:10 148:1,4	179:19 183:24	moving 27:9 57:13	needs 98:18	125:23 133:14
149:5 152:9	184:9,15	67:10 72:16,17	negatively 81:17	148:8 149:21
minute 159:23	MOORE-BICK	73:25 89:18 91:21	nervous 31:6	151:10
170:1	1:3,17,22 19:17	152:25	neutral 152:19	nothing's 69:4
minutes 17:25 51:1	24:18,22 26:6,12	multi-directional	never 57:7 178:2	noting 77:13
66:3 96:10 153:4	26:15,20,22 31:17	79:3	183:2	November 1:1 2:16
153:20 154:5	32:6 33:18 36:19	multiple 25:7 103:8	new 20:18 28:25	2:24 185:4
133.40 134.3	34.0 33.10 30.19	manupic 23./ 103.8	1277 20.10 20.20	2.24 103.4
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

8:16,17 20:24	h 26:9 29:16 32:9 36:24 44:14 45:2	161:15 165:10,11	oral 23:21	37:5,25,25 38:23
8:16,17 20:24				
		167:25 168:13,15	orange 39:2	40:6 44:25 46:21
	46:5 49:6 58:1	169:7,13,24	order 5:19 89:22	48:1 49:3 52:8
	62:2 72:10 74:8	170:13,17 171:15	136:15 155:15	53:12,12 61:1
	74:13 78:16 82:13	172:7 173:17,22	183:8	66:10 67:23 69:10
	84:1,3 88:10,14	176:6 177:18	orientate 45:3	73:8 79:24,25
	89:11 94:22 95:1	182:17 183:18,22	130:18	80:22 85:2,22
128:16 154:11	95:1 103:14	184:4,16	orientating 61:4	86:11 87:16 88:11
168:20 181:15	105:11,13,23	old 35:13 39:18	orientation 151:22	92:2 95:7 97:20
numbers 31:5 99:6	108:7 109:1,7,25	48:10 56:9	origin 58:4	101:16 105:21
numerous 92:17	118:11 131:3	on-site 4:6 37:4	original 8:10 9:9	111:19 114:5
	136:21 139:13	once 23:10 36:21	19:25 26:25 27:17	117:23 118:2
	145:14 147:4	50:1,10 58:13	27:19 28:3,12	124:8 134:22
	153:7 156:2 161:9	62:5 70:25 79:8	36:6 47:18,21	138:10 139:18
	164:20 171:21	82:24 89:14 91:16	49:4 82:21 114:16	140:16 142:19
	183:25	93:13 97:2 141:20	114:22 117:12	143:17 144:2
184:17,24 185:2 ok	xay 5:11,16,20,23	164:6,11 165:12	originally 44:11	155:3,6 158:22
	7:7 11:22 16:21	166:19 168:24	outer 14:8 63:8	162:6,8 163:14,16
observe 67:1	18:5,17 19:19	169:16 172:5	78:21 146:7	165:9 171:19
	26:24 30:23 33:10	one's 174:8	outlined 3:8	174:22,23
42:4 62:18 71:3	34:18 36:18,25	oneself 123:10	outset 6:17	pages 113:23
93:20 97:7 104:2	46:9 48:17 51:6	ongoing 5:13	outside 29:15 39:15	panel 47:14 59:3,17
150:5	52:3,4 53:9,14	onwards 157:19	49:20 53:5 59:17	59:20 61:16 64:13
	55:9 56:14 57:11	open 104:19 140:12	71:7 98:10 145:11	64:17 65:6 67:5,9
obvious 56:20	58:9 60:23 64:22	140:20 141:6,8,18	159:23 163:23	68:20 69:25 70:13
66:17 103:11	66:24 69:6 71:24	142:18 151:18	outwards 25:16	71:21,25 74:1,18
	74:19 77:12 78:21	161:7	overall 6:15 21:16	76:19 77:2,5
	81:15 84:17 86:6	opening 13:5,11	22:24 25:9,11	78:10,14,17,17
	89:11 90:23 96:8	52:19 53:7,23	87:5 89:4,12	79:14 83:10 85:14
	100:6 103:4	55:22,23,24	90:19 97:1 152:11	86:7,23 95:13
occasions 75:3	106:10,19 107:19	141:19	overlaid 162:13	103:16 112:19,21
occupancy 180:13	107:20,24 112:13	openings 25:1,3,12	overlap 10:22	112:23 118:16,17
occupants 174:2	114:14 116:1,5,11	52:18 54:10 55:21	overnight 26:4	118:19,22 119:11
	116:15,18 121:17	76:25 77:1 139:22	overriding 79:17	119:21 120:5,7
	122:3 125:6,16	operations 180:6	overwhelming	121:23 122:1,4,10
	126:2,2 127:18,22	opinion 11:7 46:1	84:14	123:20 125:23
173:24 177:16	128:4,12,18	94:2 168:17	oxidiser 101:24	132:22 137:23
occurred 22:15	129:19 130:10	180:21 183:6	oxygen 62:7 156:3	138:7,16,23
	131:6 134:21	opinions 5:2		142:12 143:20,23
	135:14 140:22,22	opportunities	P	144:8 145:25
	141:4,12 142:5	128:25	pack 102:8	146:4,8,14 155:11
	143:9 152:14	opposed 94:13	page 12:15 13:22	155:14,19 160:15
	155:21 158:8	106:10 144:21	25:25 28:9 29:5	160:16 161:8
	159:12,25 160:7	opposed-flow 72:7	30:19 32:8 34:10	panel's 146:14
officers 184:23	160:17,18 161:9	options 55:19	34:11,14 35:21	panels 35:9 47:7
<u> </u>				

				rage 203
59:4 61:20 62:2	76:3 88:25 92:10	PE 69:21 70:18	21:23	141:23 162:15
62:16 63:8 64:1	99:10 102:23	71:12 83:14,18	person 155:1	pictures 24:19 37:4
65:13,19 68:1	116:12 129:22	84:8 97:6 100:9	personally 39:23	74:3
69:8,13,16,18	130:4 137:14	110:15,25 111:4	persons 181:12,15	piece 33:22 85:17
70:7 71:2,12 73:6	167:22 168:15	111:15 122:10,12	181:17,24	112:20 118:21,24
74:25 75:2,4,5,10	175:20	123:25 124:6,7,16	perspective 11:6	135:23 136:24
76:5,17,18,20,23	participate 84:22	123.23 124.0,7,10	45:23 46:3 78:2	145:15 160:9
77:21 78:6,8 79:1	particular 5:14	125:12,18 155:14	98:16 165:5 169:8	pieces 29:22 39:16
79:19 80:3,14,18	20:11 29:20 46:6	155:17	178:4 180:24	118:20 128:16
80:20,24 81:17,19	48:8 86:10 88:2	peak 181:15	181:23	141:4 155:19
82:8,9,15 83:7	89:5 106:5 108:11	pedantic 11:23	phase 1:11 2:1,3,9	PIR 61:15 84:2
85:5,20,20 86:2	112:22,23 124:19	33:16,18	2:14 5:7,10,15	97:12,16 99:17
87:1 88:4,6 95:12	157:15 184:23	pending 11:3	6:18 21:6 22:4,6,6	100:5 101:1 127:2
103:24 110:14	particularly 23:6	penetrate 145:21	22:12,18,22 41:13	place 47:23 89:15
111:1,6,22 113:11	33:7,9,14 46:11	people 15:18,19	94:5 106:24	128:11 155:13,20
117:24 119:9,13	73:15 74:18 91:13	50:18 99:23 154:4	108:20 113:10	173:4,6 183:4
121:7,11,19,20	103:17 104:23	167:17 175:17,19	133:19 152:7	placed 17:8 52:23
124:12,16 126:11	126:4 130:24	179:25 180:2,16	182:11	144:20
130:20 131:7,22	145:4 150:8	181:6 182:6,8	photo 29:3,10,20	places 20:24 31:11
131:23 133:6	151:23	perception 169:16	48:8 67:3 85:16	48:7 101:10 128:2
136:15 137:1,8,9	parts 53:4 58:24	perfect 31:23 71:4	86:10 88:17	150:6
137:11,16 138:2,3	127:19,23 157:16	71:9 98:13,19	120:19,20 163:19	plain 135:22
138:3,5,19 139:3	175:5,18,22	perfectly 31:2	photograph 30:21	plane 79:6
144:6,21 146:11	partway 144:22	62:19 73:1 155:22	30:22 130:22	planners 3:12
148:10 150:5	party 43:20	performance 40:18	134:15,19 163:8	planning 4:18
paper 33:22 109:1	pass 144:1	50:4 53:21 54:20	163:10,21	plans 17:16
papers 44:16	passed 173:19	54:21 78:10	photographs 24:10	plasterboard 48:22
paperwork 15:7	passes 165:12	108:17 111:4	24:11 80:5 149:18	112:13
16:2	passive 2:4 6:3,16	114:8,12 120:9	164:3	platform 172:18
paragraph 12:16	6:22 21:10,14	121:13,15 128:4	photos 46:17 48:10	play 87:2 157:23,25
21:23 25:6 49:24	156:18 183:7,12	128:13 130:14	60:6 71:18 77:15	played 57:21,22
62:25 75:24	183:21	151:19	112:11 120:19	58:2,20 76:8
101:15 111:18	path 89:6 92:14	performances	phrase 41:21 137:9	158:3,6
112:9 115:6 130:7	pathway 61:6,9	123:21	physical 8:19	plays 91:13
136:14 138:11	68:4 69:6 73:4	performs 99:8	103:15 109:22	please 1:23 43:7
145:2	76:3,10 77:5 83:3	120:6 123:18	Physically 63:19	51:8 52:11 96:11
paragraphs 113:23	83:5 85:1,19 86:1	period 11:7 84:10	pick 73:22 100:19	96:13,19 97:19
142:9	87:11,11	161:13	114:12 168:10	116:17,23 142:17
parameter 60:18	pathways 49:19,21	permanent 13:5,11	picked 173:19	153:10,11 165:2
64:19 92:25	49:24 60:21 61:1	16:23	picking 24:23	pleased 184:5
182:19	61:5 64:7 76:16	permission 177:3	picture 38:22 40:7	plenty 60:13 93:1
part 6:11 8:15	85:24 106:6	permit 61:21 69:23	44:25 71:20 80:23	plot 91:20
10:11 12:22 22:17	pattern 179:16	permits 17:22	83:8 86:14,18	plotted 178:23
28:24 31:18 50:6	Pause 1:19 163:9	permitted 11:14	119:17 140:15,17	plum 31:2
68:9 71:25 72:1	pausing 22:24	13:19,24 14:18	140:18,22 141:11	plume 94:17
	-	-	-	-

				1 age 201
plumes 94:12	poorer 120:9	57:25 113:1	problem 182:17	92:1,13 97:14,14
plumes 32:4	portion 153:25	prefer 96:7 100:1	problem 162.17	98:20,21 99:16
pm 116:24 117:1	157:3	preheating 68:17	142:13,14,25	100:17,17 103:20
153:12,14 185:3	posed 124:19	prescriptive 7:12	problems 26:4	104:13 106:11
point 9:8,11 13:1,3	poses 124:22	19:11,15 107:15	128:25 138:17	120:11 124:10
13:8 14:13,16	position 50:1 70:13	107:19	139:5 146:13	136:3 145:2,10
20:23 30:23 31:21	103:7,11 106:23	presence 47:2 57:4	proceedings 100:8	153:23 156:24,24
41:5 45:8 48:17	106:23 107:10	151:5	process 15:8 60:8	157:10 181:22
57:13 65:13 68:18	109:3,6,7,7,10,11	present 12:3 48:8	62:14,15 67:5,6	Professors 90:20
73:12 96:2 113:9	110:3,6,8,18	67:15 87:6 101:24	71:5,9 84:23 98:7	programme 100:5
122:21 124:21	143:15 150:12	113:14 128:24	99:10	progressed 168:20
125:6 126:2	157:7	presentation 2:1	processes 66:22	progresses 67:14
130:11 131:14	possibility 93:15	6:11 45:16	produce 98:13	89:24 95:3
140:2 142:24	possible 11:8 15:13	presentations	144:10	progressing 67:8
143:18 144:5	23:8 26:3 145:21	23:21	produced 2:9,23	68:12 89:18
146:18 147:24	157:14 178:8	pretty 66:17 115:14	10:5 67:7 68:18	progression 5:25
155:2 157:9	180:14 183:9,14	prevent 50:7 88:24	86:25 133:4	60:19 172:6
162:21 166:25	possibly 161:8	prevention 107:5	produces 99:9	project 4:5,10,14
167:9 168:12	post-fire 80:1 101:3	previously 2:2	producing 98:12	4:18 166:5
171:23 172:17	potential 49:21	25:21	product 39:13,13	projection 166:3
173:3 176:7	50:3 57:17 64:11	primary 22:16 23:7	43:23 48:25 54:24	169:11
178:18 179:13	84:19 102:22	90:10,21,23 100:9	81:14 102:22	promoted 72:2
pointing 14:22	105:17,25	144:13 148:1	113:5 122:7,8,16	97:3
114:11	potentially 18:10	182:17,24	129:24 137:21	promoting 33:8
points 3:10 25:13	47:3,5 57:21	principal 62:21,23	140:18 148:8,14	prone 33:7,9,14
28:6 32:3 115:11	59:12 60:3 65:5	principally 8:23	150:15 151:16,17	proof 61:17 113:5,7
117:17,19 121:4	80:7 81:12 82:16	11:9	151:21 152:9	propensity 47:3
149:11	83:16 87:2 92:20	principle 20:11	production 138:21	105:10
policy 100:13 171:5	121:5 127:15	129:21 143:12	products 38:17	proper 32:17 52:17
174:25	142:10,13 144:6	145:24 166:11	44:15 54:12 55:10	155:1 176:4 182:4
polyethylene 67:4	145:12 160:6	principles 81:11	55:16 59:10 67:7	properly 100:21
68:1 70:15 88:19	168:4 179:20	171:8	73:16 109:9 145:5	
89:20 125:8 147:5	practical 93:15	prior 15:12	145:7 174:15	properties 75:23
148:18 155:10	94:3	probability 17:17	profession 99:24	81:22
polymeric 74:22	practically 164:9	177:22	professional 3:13	proportion 79:22
102:10 145:1,17	practice 9:14,18	probable 157:2	16:4	proposed 11:4
146:4	10:7 16:6,10	159:20 161:21	Professor 1:12,13	proposing 6:12
polystyrene 75:12	22:11	178:14	23:20 28:6 30:6	proposition 168:18
75:17 81:9,17,18	precautions 10:5	probably 11:23	36:1 42:5 45:16	protection 2:4 17:8
82:1,5 137:2	10:10,11,17	14:22 33:15 41:1	46:19 57:14,23	129:1,4 183:12
pool 77:20,24 78:3	precise 39:9	44:14 50:22,23	59:12,24 63:2	prove 109:13
78:5,9,12,13 81:7	precisely 70:4	77:13 79:19 94:5	65:2 70:17 71:19	proven 109:5
81:13 82:5 147:5	predict 98:23,25	103:10 120:10	72:18 75:23 77:18	provide 47:12
pooling 59:16	predominantly	139:11 145:25	80:24 81:4,10	55:20 59:25 62:6
pools 89:19	5:22 18:20 19:5	172:23	83:12 86:24 90:4	127:25 138:16
1	10.20 17.0			
	I	I	I	I

purlboard 48:18 101:15 102:7 183:3 reasons 12:13 22:21 100:1 171:9 purports 121:6 purports 121:6 115:20 116:1 raise 180:16 raised 149:6 121:2 123:13,14 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 regulations 7:5,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 received 67:12 recognition 169:16 133:3 123:14 132:13,25 red 58:12 72:15 received 67:12 recognition 169:16 123:14 132:13,25 red 58:12 72:15 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 relation 4:11 20:13 relation 4:11 20:13 purb 20:25					rage 203
provided 3:4, 5 8:1 11:18 14:1,3 40:14 56:21 57:7 62:18 65:8 80:24 92:13 111:3 120:4 113:120:4 113:13 120:4 114:13 147:20 114:13 147:20 114:13 147:20 114:13 147:20 115:13 113:13 113:12 114:13 147:20 115:13 116:11 115:20 116:11 113:21 14:13 13:14 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 115:13 116:12 113:13 116:12 113:13 116:1	179.2 191.5	174:1 17 10 24	quito 26:10 20:22	roochos 161:11	161.24 162.7
11:18 14:1,3			_		
40:14 56:21 57:7	-				
62:18 65:8 80:24 92:13 111:3 120:4 182:23 provides 141:3 173:7 providing 56:6 providing 56:6 providing 56:6 provision 16:11 88:21 128:25 176:17 182:8 providing 10:1 15:24 publication 16:7 publication 16:7 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publication 20:9 qualify 60:16 137:19 published 9:8 15:13 pull 60:25 117:16 158:19 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 pure 99:0 115:21 pure 49:10 pure 99:0 115:20 115:20 pure 49:10 pure 99:0 115:20 115:20 pure 49:10 pure 99:0 115:20 115:20 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 115:20 116:12 116:22 116:12 113:15 116:11 116:12 116:2	,				
92:13 111:3 120:4 182:23 provides 141:3 173:7 providing 56:6 provision 16:11 88:21 128:25 176:17 182:8 provisions 10:23 public 10:1 15:24 publications 22:15 publicq 40:16 137:19 publicq 40:16 138:21 published 9:8 15:13 pull 60:25 117:16 158:19 purpose 80:18 137:11 purports 121:6 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpores 121:6 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpores 156:4 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursua		_		*	
Putting 33:22					
Table Tabl		_			
173:7		• 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Providing 56:6 Provision 16:11 Provision 16:11 Provision 16:11 Provision 16:11 Provision 16:12 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 20:15 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 10:23 Provisions 10:24 Provisions 10:24 Provisions 10:24 Provisions 10:24 Provisions 20:15 Provisions 10:24	_		184:5,6		
provision 16:11 88:21 128:25 176:17 182:8 provisions 10:23 public 10:1 15:24 publication 22:15 publication 22:15 publicly 40:16 98:22 101:7,8 publiched 9:8 15:13 publiched 9:8 15:13 public 00:25 117:16 158:19 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 pure 49:10 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 156:4 Purser 1:13 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:12 19:12 pursuant 7:12 19:12 pursuant 7:12 19:12 pursuant 7:12 19:12 pu			R		
88:21 128:25 176:17 182:8 provisions 10:23 public 10:1 15:24 publication 16:7 publication 22:15 publicly 40:16 137:19 published 9:8 15:13 pull 60:25 117:16 158:19 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose 1:3 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 purser 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20	_	100			<u> </u>
Triangle	_				
provisions 10:23 public 10:1 15:24 publication s 20:9 publication 16:7 publications 22:15 publications 22:15 publications 22:15 publicby 40:16		99:9			
public 10:1 15:24 publication 16:7 publications 20:9 publication 16:7 publications 22:15 publicly 40:16		0			
publication 16:7 quality 148:2 rainscreen 35:9 91:19 108:19 refreshed 117:4 publications 22:15 publicly 40:16 98:22 101:7,8 quantities 60:16 37:8 110:14 125:4 128:8 153:5 refreshed 17:4 refurbished 27:3 reason 81:16 127:7 15:13 116:11 125:4 128:8 153:5 16:29:17 15:13 116:11 125:4 128:8 153:5	-				
publications 22:15 quantify 60:16 37:8 110:14 115:13 116:11 refurbished 27:3 publicly 40:16 98:22 101:7,8 quantities 60:16 37:8 110:14 124:12 132:14 125:4 128:8 153:5 refurbished 27:3 published 9:8 15:13 quantity 49:14 42:25 144:14,25 154:14,19 173:15 20:1 22:16 23:7 published 9:8 15:13 quantity 49:14 42:25 144:14,25 154:14,19 173:15 20:1 22:16 23:7 published 9:8 15:13 quantity 49:14 42:25 144:14,25 177:2 reason 81:16 127:7 154:13 182:25 reason 81:16 127:7 154:13 182:25 regard 107:9 110:5 regard 107:	-	-			
publicly 40:16 98:22 101:7,8 quantities 60:16 133:5,23 142:11 124:12 132:14 125:4 128:8 153:5 refurbishment published 9:8 15:13 quantity 49:14 42:25 144:14,25 142:25 144:14,25 15:13 142:25 124:12 132:14 125:4 128:8 153:5 refurbishment publi 60:25 117:16 62:14 142:25 144:14,25 145:4,21 146:4,8 148:15,19 150:5 134:13 135:5 reason 81:16 127:7 154:13 182:25 regard 107:9 110:5 regard 107:9 110:5 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 regime 6:10 7:16 22:21 100:1 171:9 regumbors 12:13 142:24 15:2 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 22:21 100:1 171:9 regumbors 10:5 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 22:21 100:1 171:9 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 regumbors 10:5 regarding 4:7 60:4 regime 6:10 7:16 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100:1 171:9 22:21 100	-				
Table Tabl					
138:21					
Table Tabl		-	-	*	
pull 60:25 117:16 62:14 148:15,19 150:5 134:13 135:5 regard 107:9 110:5	published 9:8		· /		23:24 25:17
Table Tabl		- v		reason 81:16 127:7	
pure 49:10 40:23 56:8 57:10 170:9 182:24 169:10 regime 6:10 7:16 purlboard 48:18 49:4,11 103:10 110:3 raise 180:16 raised 149:6 raised 149:6 ran 146:6 range 34:19,22 141:12 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 106:22 107:2 regulations 7:5,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 106:22 107:2 recognised 21:20 102:20 regulators 7:5,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 106:22 107:2 regulations 7:5,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 106:22 107:2 recognised 21:20 63:4 recognised 21:20 63:4 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 rejected 121:3 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18,19 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18 123:14 132:18	pull 60:25 117:16		,	134:13 135:5	regard 107:9 110:5
purlboard 48:18 49:4,11 purports 121:6 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose-built 174:18 purposes 156:4 Purser 1:13 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 101:15 102:7 1183:3 raise 180:16 raised 149:6 ran 146:6 range 34:19,22 45:18 54:12 rankings 128:4 rapid 90:5,24 97:7 100:10 138:24 rapidly 115:15 rate 58:12 72:15 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 183:3 reasons 12:13 114:24 115:2 121:2 123:13,14 135:9 139:3 141:12 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 122:15 146:23 123:14 132:18,19 133:3 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relation 4:11 20:13	158:19	-		167:24 168:9	regarding 4:7 60:4
49:4,11 103:10 110:3 raise 180:16 114:24 115:2 regulations 7:5,11 purpose 80:18 134:20 135:4 147:14 151:4 160:20 176:3,25 range 34:19,22 141:12 106:22 107:2 purpose-built 174:18 174:18 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 rankings 128:4 received 67:12 received 67:12 recognised 21:20 recognised 21:20 63:4 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 records 101:11 133:3 123:14 132:18,19 pursuant 7:11 19:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 rates 178:24 records 101:11 133:3 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 12:6 relates 121:8 relates 121:18 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relation 4:11 20:13	pure 49:10			169:10	regime 6:10 7:16
purports 121:6 purpose 80:18 137:11 purpose-built 174:18 purposes 156:4 Purser 1:13 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuand 56:25 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 115:20 116:1 134:20 135:4 147:14 151:4 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 122:15 146:23 179:18 183:18 115:20 116:1 134:20 135:4 raised 149:6 ran 146:6 ran 146:6 range 34:19,22 45:18 54:12 received 67:12 received 67:12 recognised 21:20 63:4 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relations 7:3,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 received 67:12 recognised 21:20 for 3:4 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relations 7:3,11 8:4 10:2 19:9,9 20:2,5,8,14 21:22 received 67:12 recognised 21:20 reject 132:13,25 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relation 15:31	purlboard 48:18			reasons 12:13	
purpose 80:18 134:20 135:4 147:14 151:4 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 134:20 135:4 147:14 151:4 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 134:20 135:4 ran 146:6 range 34:19,22 45:18 54:12 range 34:19,22 received 67:12 recognised 21:20 63:4 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 relation 4:11 20:13	49:4,11			114:24 115:2	regulations 7:5,11
137:11 147:14 151:4 range 34:19,22 141:12 106:22 107:2 purpose-built 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 rankings 128:4 received 67:12 regulatory 51:25 purposes 156:4 purser 1:13 41:7 43:22 rapid 90:5,24 97:7 63:4 recognised 21:20 reject 132:13,25 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursuant 7:11 19:8 59:23 115:16,17 rate 58:12 72:15 records 101:11 123:14 132:18,19 pursue 56:25 154:9 177:10 122:15 146:23 rating 111:21 rectangle 65:14 relates 121:18 pushing 28:1,21 179:18 183:18 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relation 4:11 20:13	purports 121:6			121:2 123:13,14	8:4 10:2 19:9,9
purpose-built 174:18 purposes 156:4 Purser 1:13 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 questioning 5:5 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 122:15 146:23 put 22:9 31:20 160:20 176:3,25 questioned 156:25 rapid 90:5,24 97:7 100:10 138:24 rapidly 115:15 rate 58:12 72:15 rates 178:24 rapidly 115:15 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 113:19,21 129:8 129:15 120:20 reject 132:13,25 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectanglar 106:7 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relation 4:11 20:13	purpose 80:18			135:9 139:3	20:2,5,8,14 21:22
purpose s 156:4 questioned 156:25 rankings 128:4 recognised 21:20 102:20 Purser 1:13 questions 1:16 41:8 rapid 90:5,24 97:7 100:10 138:24 recognition 169:16 123:14 132:13,25 Pursuant 7:11 19:8 59:23 115:16,17 rate 58:12 72:15 rates 178:24 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 65:14 rectanglar 106:7 relates 121:18 pushing 28:1,21 154:9 177:10 179:18 183:18 129:15 139:4,9 143:22 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 136:4 120:20 recognition 169:16 169:16 172:21 173:23 123:14 132:18,19 rectangle 65:14 rectangle 6	137:11			141:12	106:22 107:2
purposes 156:4 questioning 5:5 rapid 90:5,24 97:7 63:4 reject 132:13,25 Purser's 181:22 questions 1:16 41:8 rapidly 115:15 recognition 169:16 reject 132:13,25 pursuant 7:11 19:8 59:23 115:16,17 rate 58:12 72:15 records 101:11 133:3 pursue 56:25 115:21,23,25 rates 178:24 rectangle 65:14 relate 121:6 pushed 25:16 27:7 154:9 177:10 113:19,21 129:8 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relation 4:11 20:13	purpose-built	-		received 67:12	regulatory 51:25
Purser 1:13 Purser's 181:22 pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 41:7 43:22 questions 1:16 41:8 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 122:15 146:23 154:9 177:10 179:18 183:18 100:10 138:24 rapidly 115:15 rate 58:12 72:15 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 113:19,21 129:8 129:15 129:15 120:13 100:10 138:24 recognition 169:16 172:21 173:23 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relating 130:13	174:18	questioned 156:25	C	recognised 21:20	102:20
Purser 1:13 41:7 43:22 100:10 138:24 recognition 169:16 rejected 121:3 Purser's 181:22 100:10 138:24 172:21 173:23 123:14 132:18,19 pursuant 7:11 19:8 59:23 115:16,17 15:21,23,25 rate 58:12 72:15 recognition 169:16 123:14 132:18,19 pursue 56:25 115:21,23,25 rates 178:24 rectangle 65:14 relate 121:6 pushed 25:16 27:7 154:9 177:10 113:19,21 129:8 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relation 4:11 20:13	purposes 156:4		rapid 90:5,24 97:7	63:4	reject 132:13,25
pursuant 7:11 19:8 pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 59:23 115:16,17 115:21,23,25 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 113:19,21 129:8 129:15 rate 58:12 72:15 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 113:19,21 129:8 129:15 records 101:11 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relating 130:13	Purser 1:13		100:10 138:24	recognition 169:16	rejected 121:3
pursue 56:25 pushed 25:16 27:7 pushing 28:1,21 put 22:9 31:20 115:21,23,25 122:15 146:23 154:9 177:10 179:18 183:18 rates 178:24 rating 111:21 113:19,21 129:8 129:15 rates 178:24 rectangle 65:14 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 relating 130:13 relating 130:13 relation 4:11 20:13	Purser's 181:22	questions 1:16 41:8		172:21 173:23	123:14 132:18,19
pushed 25:16 27:7 122:15 146:23 rating 111:21 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 pushing 28:1,21 154:9 177:10 113:19,21 129:8 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relation 4:11 20:13	pursuant 7:11 19:8	59:23 115:16,17	rate 58:12 72:15	records 101:11	133:3
pushed 25:16 27:7 122:15 146:23 rating 111:21 rectangular 106:7 relates 121:18 pushing 28:1,21 154:9 177:10 113:19,21 129:8 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relation 4:11 20:13	pursue 56:25			rectangle 65:14	relate 121:6
pushing 28:1,21 154:9 177:10 113:19,21 129:8 red 28:19 32:18 relating 130:13 put 22:9 31:20 179:18 183:18 129:15 39:4,9 143:22 relating 130:13	pushed 25:16 27:7			<u> </u>	
put 22:9 31:20	pushing 28:1,21	154:9 177:10	113:19,21 129:8		relating 130:13
1050 DDI/O505	put 22:9 31:20	179:18 183:18	129:15		<u> </u>
50.11 120.10 1/2.5 1/2.5 51.25 52.15 95.9	38:11 120:18	185:8	RBKC 7:25	172:5	51:25 52:15 93:9
135:23 144:25		quick 47:25	re-enter 103:8,12		
159:18 163:20 Quicker 105:12 re-entry 103:5 refer 9:2 19:21 relative 56:10,18		Quicker 105:12	re-entry 103:5		
166:25 171:8 quickly 24:20 105:19 48:13 76:15 87:14 60:16 79:4 84:20		quickly 24:20	105:19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
172:13,14,19 81:19 105:4 reach 14:14 146:18 97:18 113:22 98:4 125:6		81:19 105:4	reach 14:14 146:18		
173:5,8,14,25 115:21 168:7,15 173:22 120:11 130:4 relatively 36:2	· · ·	115:21	168:7,15 173:22		
	, , , -				
			I	I	I

				raye 200
78:22 79:22 86:25	162:16	131:25 151:24,25	resubmitted 20:1	82:4 84:3 88:4,22
106:18 127:4	rendered 100:13	representative	result 102:2 182:23	92:6 94:7 96:23
136:8 159:2,8	repeat 6:12 24:1	133:6	resulted 21:4 28:1	98:14 99:3 105:25
180:13	103:9	republished 9:10	results 113:15,19	111:7,14,23
release 45:8	repeating 43:4	request 172:18	114:6	113:12 114:14
relevant 3:8 5:2 7:4	replace 17:9	required 11:16	resume 116:18,22	115:1,7 116:22
8:6,11,12 9:17,22	replicate 125:14,20	12:11 16:23 17:4	184:9	117:2 119:15
9:24 10:1,22	report 2:5,9,13,15	17:20,25 23:13	retail 4:16	120:24 121:8
17:18 43:18 47:15	3:4,5,7 4:24 5:1,7	35:15 39:21 43:18	retain 36:5	120.24 121.8
59:14 99:22	5:10,10,18 6:9,19	43:19 53:4,18	retains 20:19	131:9 132:16
102:15 111:3,21	6:22 8:23 9:2	131:14 134:8	retardant 121:25	134:17 135:1
121:25 123:9	10:21 12:14,14	139:15,22 178:3	122:3,8	136:9 137:20
127:25,25 129:5	16:16 19:22 20:24	182:22,23	retardants 122:6	140:13 141:25
127.25,25 129.5	21:20 22:22 24:24	requirement 51:25	return 69:25 126:8	140.13 141.23
		_		
130:16 132:6	25:7,15 32:16	52:5,14 106:21	126:16,23	153:15 156:1,2
135:12 138:3	34:4 44:13 45:21	107:4,6 109:19,23	reveal 35:12,13	158:23 161:9
139:19 172:19	46:18,19 48:14	requirements	48:10	162:2 169:15,21
reliance 177:23	49:7,20 57:14	20:13 55:1 107:1	reveals 48:7,15	171:2 181:21
relied 109:10	60:25 61:13 66:1	123:18	82:21	184:12,17
relies 173:8	66:8 67:23 75:19	requires 133:22	reviewed 131:25	right-hand 27:11
rely 40:2 54:16	75:24 79:23 80:22	rescue 175:4,7,10	164:4	32:12 97:21
77:15 176:19	85:2 88:25 92:2	176:11,15,20	revised 2:12,15	134:24 145:16
remain 25:6 50:1	97:19 101:16	177:23	5:10 10:13 13:18	158:23,24 172:1
97:10,11 152:19	103:20 106:20,25	resident 104:23	152:18	risen 171:18
157:7 164:6 174:3	111:18 112:10	residential 4:16	revision 9:9 11:3,3	rises 94:16
175:9 176:10	114:13,16,22	11:14 173:1	Reynobond 63:8	rising 62:6
remained 21:17	115:1,7 117:12	175:23	68:1 69:8 97:6	risk 21:16 124:22
47:22	121:10 126:6	residents 154:11	100:9 110:15,25	risks 14:4 124:19
remaining 75:8	127:23 130:7,21	175:8 176:9	111:4,15 112:4	rivet 119:11 126:22
155:22	132:9 133:8,10,14	181:17	117:24 121:6,23	riveted 111:6,10
remains 36:7	133:21 136:14	resist 24:5 107:8	123:24 124:6	114:20 118:9,15
remember 31:4	137:3,15 139:18	110:1,5 119:25	125:11,18	118:18,20 119:5
43:5 56:9,12	142:4,8,22 145:2	143:4 166:6	RH 140:12 151:13	119:24 120:7,14
59:20 65:20 81:24	147:21 151:10	resistance 17:2,5	right 1:20 3:5 7:23	120:19
127:1 146:15	152:1,16,18 157:1	17:14 54:22 55:2	8:11 9:5 11:5	roaring 147:7
154:20 175:20	161:25 162:10	129:8,18	12:6 20:15 21:6,8	robust 182:12
182:7	163:11,13 165:9	respect 103:18	22:19 27:3 28:11	role 57:21,22 58:2
remind 107:4	166:22 171:7,16	respective 100:25	32:10 33:3 35:7	58:20 76:8 87:2
139:21 184:21	172:2 174:1,5	101:4	37:11 38:17,24	91:13 146:25
reminder 172:25	175:13 176:14	response 122:15	41:13,19 42:8	roof 95:5
reminding 180:15	179:4,10 180:23	responsibility	48:22 51:5,8,12	room 49:18 51:4
removal 101:12	reported 133:15	100:9 123:12	57:9 62:11,14,20	116:17 158:2,13
Remove 36:7	reports 120:12,13	responsible 4:6,10	65:5 67:16,17,20	165:19,19,20
removed 37:9	122:17,19,23,25	97:7	70:21,25 74:8	180:20 184:23
47:19 150:6	123:5 124:2 127:6	rest 177:4	75:12,15 80:25	rough 149:12

saying 11:2 12:21 18:18 30:25 39:25	secondary 144:12 148:2 seconds 95:2 167:5 section 2:16 3:3,7	170:10 184:14 seek 5:12 seen 15:3 39:23 44:18 54:8 84:19	119:20 120:5,16 127:8 141:15 shaped 118:22,23 shapes 125:24	140.18 141.11 147:22 148:3,17 151:13,24 sides 27:25 30:15
saying 11:2 12:21	148:2 seconds 95:2 167:5	seek 5:12 seen 15:3 39:23	127:8 141:15 shaped 118:22,23	147:22 148:3,17 151:13,24
	148:2	seek 5:12	127:8 141:15	147:22 148:3,17
150:7				
100:10 109:23	_		I 110.20 120.5 16	140:18 141:11
77:15 90:1 99:17	98:25,25	94:24 105:3	shape 118:17	SIDERISE 140:12
saw 59:11 65:2	14:16 17:2 18:3	66:23 73:13 94:23	seven 41:15	158:16
satisfied 12:1	second 7:10 13:3	seeing 37:8,11	setting 58:17	148:10 157:18
22:1	sealing 53:25 143:5	175:24 178:24	109:8	134:24 145:16,17
satisfactory 21:5	sealed 52:19 147:4	163:1 167:1,6	sets 43:17 90:13	104:15 120:20,20
sat 15:20	151:2	161:11,14 162:16	117:10 123:14,21	83:24 85:14
86:7 137:8 138:3	144:16 149:2	159:11,17,23	108:15 110:9,21	75:21 77:10 79:1
sandwich 81:17	135:19,22 141:21	150:23 158:11,12	106:19 107:21	65:4,6 67:13 72:8
182:22	56:15 59:25 60:1	135:1,15,19 140:1	75:23 101:19	46:22 55:12 59:3
156:18 178:7	seal 52:20,24 53:4,6	129:20 130:24	set 4:23 5:1,18 25:6	39:24,25 40:1
127:16 154:18	screwed 119:5	119:15 128:23	176:16,20	29:12 35:18 39:17
19:12 21:10	screw 126:22	115:8 118:18	services 3:13	side 28:2,22,24
6:16,23 7:13 17:8	screens 26:8	98:2,4 99:11	175:4,7,11 176:12	shrinks 81:25
safety 3:16,20 6:3	174:20 175:16	94:20 95:11,13	service 115:15	162:3
safe 174:3,13,14	146:19 158:20	86:16 88:18 93:16	139:5	67:3 92:9 134:16
sacked 41:22	122:18 128:18	82:13 85:5,10	serious 42:1 106:17	shows 32:1 52:18
S	screen 26:3,17	77:19 80:3,6,25	series 169:19	56:4
	scientists 99:5	72:22 75:5 77:6	156:13,15 160:24	shown 27:6 38:2
runs 91:23	scientific 81:10	69:19 70:19 71:20	sequence 142:21	73:25 143:22
running 68:2	schemes 11:4	67:18 68:10,13	123:3	showing 46:18 70:7
run 149:11	scheme 89:13	66:25 67:3,8,16	separately 76:24	showed 178:17
rules 54:15 108:20	schedule 20:13	53:2 61:6 65:12	132:22	182:14
rude 19:19	scenes 154:6	48:11 51:21 52:21	23:15 77:2 126:2	124:2 172:6
34:5,12 61:17	scene 117:10	46:24 47:1 48:2,4	separate 21:9,15	106:14 112:11
rubber 33:2,12	scenarios 58:21	42:24 43:6 44:19	sentence 176:13	88:23 89:18
RS5000 131:21	87:6	39:14 40:1,7 41:2	177:8	84:21 85:12 86:19
Royal 4:1,2	scale 32:1 41:14	37:17,20 38:22,24	168:19 169:2,14	57:4 66:18 73:15
104:21,22 107:21	scaffolding 150:5	32:11,18,18 37:1	134:4 163:3,5	show 30:25 35:22
84:18,19 104:14	178:13	29:8,12,22 30:1	sense 6:6 60:13	shove 150:17
21:25 25:2,8	152:1 174:24	26:10,19 28:11	senior 15:19	172:8 173:13
routes 14:17 21:22	138:14 145:2	see 14:10 15:18	92:14	163:13 167:5
157:2 161:5,21	128:23 129:12	61:13	semi-continuous	123:5 149:2
100.1 107.13	107:6 121:10	sections 8:16 52:2	133:23	should've 40:21
103:24 104:8,9	says 15:3 36:4,5 40:17 75:24 77:18	106:20 179:4	selecting 129:24 self-adhesive	shorter 1/1:14 shorthand 19:21
90:10,17,21 91:19 103:24 104:8,9	says 15:3 36:4,5	60:24 92:4 103:20		shorter 171:14
71:1 82:19 89:10	167:21 171:14 178:10	21:2 24:9 34:4 43:22 49:20 52:25	167:14 selected 109:15	84:10 96:8,21 116:25 153:13
62:21,23 65:8,17	124:24 152:18	18:9,12,21,22	149:18 164:2	short 2:23 51:10
58:8 59:1,15,16	70:10 79:19	17:13,21,22 18:7	123:17,24 145:23	sheets 75:21
route 14:2,3 22:10	62:10 63:21 68:23	16:9,15,23 17:3,8	111:14 121:12	Shard 4:6
round 91:16 182:21		9:13,16,17 12:22	100:7 108:3	shaping 119:2,20
101 16 102 21	41.00.44.14.56.50	0.12.16.17.12.22	100 7 100 3	110000

				1 age 200
38:12,14 39:20,22	31:17 32:6 33:18	slightly 32:14 50:19	155:5 159:13	44:11,15 149:1
52:20,24 61:17	36:19 37:1 45:10	51:1 69:3 175:25	160:22 162:7	150:16,21
65:7 76:23 77:7	50:22,25 51:7,12	sloping 25:21	163:13,16 167:3	speed 2:7 73:2
77:10 80:15 81:1	51:14,16 53:8	slot 92:7 119:18	171:22 172:8	94:11 97:16
82:15 83:13	54:3 56:25 57:4,7	slow 142:17 145:12	180:10	173:11,13
145:20	57:9,12 81:24	slowed 178:18	sort 67:2 93:15	spend 50:17
sign 123:6 166:13	82:4,6 93:14,22	slower 72:9,17,21	sorts 68:11,15	spent 23:20 56:23
significance 53:22	94:7 96:1,5,9,12	72:24,25 91:12	128:2	split 158:20
89:5 91:3 97:12	96:17,23 112:5,14	slowly 72:16	sought 99:16	spray 59:23,24
105:2 178:16	112:17 115:19,24	small 9:8 36:15	sound 82:4	60:9,10,11
significant 24:7	116:4,8,10,13,16	39:16 58:24 65:23	sounds 55:18 90:4	spread 2:6,7 24:5
60:3 64:9,10,13	116:20 117:2,4,6	71:20 84:9,11,15	153:7 180:18	24:15 25:2 33:8
64:19 70:23 73:3	119:6,11,19 120:8	86:25 92:22,23	source 62:7 98:11	49:21 50:7 56:5
89:25 90:2 92:21	120:24 126:23	98:3 103:16 125:2	154:16 158:15	58:13,16,17 61:10
95:21 104:7,10	127:12 132:20	125:3 129:13	space 27:14 31:20	62:21 64:11,12,20
125:5 126:24	134:12,17 146:5	136:8 167:22,22	63:4,5 68:24	65:18 66:5,20
127:10	146:10,16 153:1,4	168:14	70:10 127:5 141:1	67:22 69:7 71:2
significantly 89:21	153:8,15,17,24	smaller 59:9 63:17	spandrel 28:18	72:3,6,7,11,23
129:15	154:3 158:9	151:16 152:12	39:14 69:8,18,25	76:8,9 82:25
sill 48:7,9 73:5,14	168:16,18,23	smoke 2:6 6:5 55:9	85:20 86:9 95:13	83:17 85:4 86:2,8
74:4,15,15	169:2,6,8,14,19	55:13 60:4,21	130:20,25 131:7	88:22 89:6,9 90:5
sills 47:22 48:14	170:7,16 171:2,4	138:22 145:12	131:22 144:21	90:11,22,24 91:4
silver 110:15	183:19,23 184:1,5	164:13 174:9	spandrels 37:9 59:1	91:12,25 92:14
113:10	184:9,14,17,20	177:22 179:21,24	64:2 86:21 89:20	97:3,8,13,17
similar 82:17 99:19	Sit 1:17	182:18	110:15 124:11	100:10,16,18
simple 55:7 103:10	site 30:8 31:11,23	smoke-stop 13:9	131:11	104:8 105:19
115:23 124:21	33:4 38:9,17 56:1	snap 79:15	speaking 76:17	106:1 107:5,8
172:4 181:2,8	63:11 77:15	snapped 78:20	106:18 159:8	109:22 110:1,5
182:2,13	113:12 135:16	Society 4:2	special 54:9	119:25 138:19,24
simply 94:11	140:11	someone's 30:1	specialise 3:16	143:5,19 145:12
106:13 109:21	sitting 95:14	somewhat 98:5	specialists 3:13	146:24 151:7
144:1,14 146:11	184:21	155:15	specific 11:17 20:7	155:18 156:21
180:18	situation 18:19	soon 24:18 115:14	54:15,25 69:15	157:25 164:9,12
simultaneous 180:5	60:12 156:6	169:3	81:23 100:19	166:6 177:22
181:6 182:1	six 61:1 85:24 97:3	sorry 18:6 19:19	101:15 108:5	spreading 106:12
single 11:15,17,22	size 26:16 30:14	29:16 31:5 33:15	109:12	155:10 165:16
12:24 13:19,20	32:3	34:14 36:24 41:22	specifically 16:10	spreads 94:13
14:1,7 49:22	sizeable 85:17	41:25 45:12 74:8	68:22 81:16 85:3	square 13:6,12
180:13 182:22	154:24	83:22 89:22 94:15	88:25 103:22	16:24 57:18
single-lobby 12:8	sizings 119:2	102:4,23 103:9	108:5,7,10 115:7	squares 53:3
single-staircase	sketch 147:15,18	105:6 113:19	123:16 124:4	squiggle 46:21
10:23	147:19	114:21 125:1	126:10 130:13	stage 14:2,17 21:8
SIR 1:3,17,22	skin 82:10 155:13	131:3,4 134:12,17	specification 44:19	22:12,25 34:23
19:17 24:18,22	sliding 41:14	136:21 137:14	149:10	44:17 46:2 66:24
26:6,12,15,20,22	slight 31:25	142:5 148:6 151:3	specified 42:10,12	93:23 99:20 100:2
	<u>I</u>	ı	I	I .

				Tage 205
stages 21:1 27:10	state 34:16,17	stops 53:20	112:3	swells 141:20
91:13 125:3	45:13,14 47:9,16	storey 173:20,21,21	suddenly 27:20	sworn 1:15 185:8
156:15 179:22	137:24 140:12,20	storeys 10:18	sufficient 101:23	synthetic 34:5,12
stair 6:4 11:15,17	141:6,8,18 151:14	168:14 170:5	suggest 96:9 103:15	system 6:5 41:6
11:22 12:11,25	151:18	story 180:21	123:24 124:13	56:7 68:18 98:8,8
13:1 14:19 16:22	state-of-the-art	straight 160:12,14	150:25 176:1	98:17,18,18 99:4
17:23 177:6 179:6	15:23	strategies 4:11	suggested 94:25	99:10 106:24
180:12,24 181:4	stated 21:2	strategy 4:7 174:4	95:18 147:13	107:1 110:4,13
181:11 182:16	statement 11:2	174:7 178:6,7,8	155:23	111:7,11,15
staircase 12:7 13:9	145:6	183:4	suggests 15:6 181:7	114:19,20,24
14:7 17:3,4	states 34:18 129:6	straying 94:5	summarise 16:19	115:3 117:15
180:14 181:24	stating 41:1	stress 127:20	97:1 149:6 156:14	118:9,10,15,15,18
182:10,14,18	status 20:19	128:15	summary 12:13	118:21 119:17
stairs 16:11,24	statutory 7:10	strike 175:14	108:17	120:14,19,20
17:12 177:15	15:19 19:8,13,19	striking 38:9	summon 175:4	123:17,18,25
180:20	21:24 23:3 56:4	strip 49:5 151:13	summons 175:7	125:12,19 128:8
stairway 13:19	107:25 109:8	stripped 29:19	supplier 54:25	132:15 142:11,25
14:1	165:5 166:14	struck 161:1	supply 62:18	144:14,25 145:22
stakes 136:16	176:1,18 178:13	structural 47:9	support 28:5 68:19	148:16 150:19
stand 159:13	182:7	129:1,4 138:23	93:5 118:20	182:24 183:3
stand-alone 130:22	stay 155:13 171:8	structure 5:5,17	123:20 178:3	systems 6:3,4,16,18
standard 17:5,13	172:13,14,19	118:20 144:8	supporting 48:5,6	6:23 21:10 119:7
17:14 113:20	173:5,8,14,25	struggle 173:15	48:15 103:23	137:23 138:16
119:2 122:12	174:1,7,14,19,24	study 108:10,13	supports 48:9,9	145:4 156:18
123:19,20 125:25	174:25 175:9,13	Styrofoam 75:16	62:16	
126:9,12,20	176:10 178:3	75:20 78:24 79:2	suppose 46:9 87:23	T
148:18	182:22	79:14,17 80:19	146:5 156:6	table 42:21,22,24
standards 10:6	stay-put 100:13	81:1	169:22	43:3,7,12,12
16:5 23:5 42:8	171:5 174:4,7	subject 8:3 100:3	supposed 34:1	tactics 172:18
standing 181:23	178:5 179:11	115:13 116:5	44:20	take 7:25 11:20,25
stands 122:2,3	183:4	117:8	sure 15:2 26:20	12:21 18:4 24:17
start 6:15 20:20,21	stepping 51:18	submitted 43:20	91:2 105:6 136:18	25:22 27:9 31:1
23:17 24:23 26:14	stick 13:22 43:24	subsequent 58:18	140:23	52:1 81:10,21
28:8,16 29:7	Sticking 51:17	subsequently	surface 12:12 45:17	99:19,21 105:17
41:23 52:8,10	stiffness 36:2 47:9	132:12 133:11	87:5,8 132:21	112:10 115:5
61:9 67:13,18	stone 99:18	substance 54:19,20	136:12 137:4	116:7 122:19
84:10 92:23 118:2	stop 34:1,2 55:9,11	55:12	146:7 149:4 166:5	128:20 142:15
142:16 153:19	79:12 93:20,21,25	substantial 159:9	surround 45:25	149:19 150:15
156:8,19 167:20	96:5 120:17	163:5	surrounding 76:18	158:4 180:22
177:5 180:21	143:10,14 146:20	substantially	77:8 103:24	184:22
started 166:23	147:1,2 157:15	102:16 171:11	surrounds 44:22	taken 43:12 77:18
171:9	158:2,7,8 168:25	178:18 181:14	45:4 46:8 47:18	163:13 166:4
starting 140:17	170:24,24 184:3	substantiate 129:7	74:21	180:10
178:21 179:8	stopped 127:8	substantively 23:18	survey 100:25	takes 182:6
starts 175:5	stopping 143:7,13	substrate 111:23	101:1,2,7,8,11,14	talk 18:25 51:3
	Tr g-10,-0		, , , , , , , , 1	
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 210
(0 (0 71 10	54 17 55 7 50 2	22 22 25 (42 22	26 12 16 27 0	140 2 140 10 25
68:6,9 71:10	54:17 55:7 58:2	32:22 35:6 42:23	36:13,16 37:8	148:2 149:18,25
78:25 86:13 96:14	64:11,20,23 76:8	51:7,13 54:3	38:5 40:24 41:5	150:24 151:4
108:19 116:16	76:9 78:10 82:11	57:12 60:20 96:17	41:10 43:1,2	152:15,24 153:6
125:10 143:2	82:25 83:17 89:9	96:19,25 116:19	45:10,21 47:2,6	154:18,19 155:23 155:24 156:10
144:7 153:9 161:3	91:25 97:13 102:25 104:7	116:23 117:5,7	47:10,12,15 50:19	
184:10 talked 9:16 83:9		119:19 120:24 127:12 139:7	50:22 52:25 53:1	157:2,14,17
talking 19:23 40:10	105:2,4 108:23 109:14 119:22,24	153:10,18 154:3	56:8 57:19,22,25 58:10,20 59:7,12	158:19 159:20,25 159:25 161:1,5,13
41:20 62:1 68:22	151:7 160:21	171:4 184:16,18	60:12,13 63:16,23	161:20,24 162:8
74:7,11 78:25	161:16 163:23	185:1,2	64:9,10 66:22	162:12,23,23
80:4 83:19,23,25	176:7,14 178:16	theoretical 49:23	68:10,20 69:16	162.12,23,23
84:4 98:7 106:11	170.7,14 178.10	theory 161:6	70:10,17,23 71:1	164:21 165:9,14
112:14,17 126:13	test 40:13 43:18,20	thermal 82:16	71:19 72:2,24	166:16 167:4,11
127:1 129:19	100:5 102:17	158:13 161:25	73:1 74:3 76:7	167:17,24 168:23
130:19 144:19	107:14,22,25	162:7,11	77:23,24,24 78:2	169:10,14,22,25
157:17,21 159:3	107:14,22,23	Thermapitch 38:19	78:3 79:10 80:6,9	170:3,5,12 171:22
161:10 172:23	109:17 111:3,24	44:8,10	80:11,11 81:4,13	170.3,3,12 171.22
tall 17:7	112:4,10,11,19,21	thermoplastic	81:25 82:8,13,20	173:3,12,15,17,19
tape 133:23 134:3	112:23 113:15,19	138:20	83:16,19 84:4,9	174:11 175:12
134:13,14 135:1,7	113:19,21 114:6	they'd 142:2	85:13 87:4,4,25	177:3,7,15,24
135:8,11,16,24	120:3,12,12,17,18	171:11	89:4,12 90:16	179:20 180:1,2,5
151:15	120:23 121:3,25	thickness 39:10	91:2,3 92:24 93:7	180:12 181:17
taped 135:9	122:17,19,22,24	54:15,20,23	93:18 94:5,10,20	182:11,16 183:9
TB4000 38:19 39:7	123:5,9,15,17,20	102:11 131:11	95:1,4 96:7 97:16	183:13,17 184:1
39:10 40:13 44:2	124:2 125:14,17	thing 7:8 42:18	98:8,20,23 99:3,8	thinking 22:13
technical 3:13	125:20 127:6,8,21	64:21,22 90:23	99:11 100:24	63:14 97:25 138:2
107:1	127:25 129:7,25	119:17 121:14	101:3,5,12 104:7	thinks 60:3 77:19
technically 152:19	130:12 131:25	124:24 178:19	104:9,13 105:2,9	third 13:8
techniques 138:16	132:6,9,14 133:10	180:22	105:16,19,24	thought 23:8 64:18
tell 13:16 74:2	136:13 137:15	things 6:23 13:14	106:10,11 109:25	71:19 82:5 86:24
85:15,16 101:3	151:24,25 152:1	13:16 31:24 42:16	110:10 112:12	87:22 120:13
163:12 180:16	172:13	67:24 68:11 89:13	115:2,14 116:4	151:17 154:17
telling 13:14 36:11	tested 112:2 120:16	102:15 125:23	117:14 118:7	161:16 168:9
tells 16:2	133:15,16 148:9	139:4 140:3	122:3 123:5,8	175:15
temperature 34:19	148:14 151:19	147:24 161:24	124:18 126:13,25	three 8:16,17 11:13
36:3 45:18,19	testing 42:18 99:14	166:9 175:14	127:6,23 128:2	11:14,25 13:14,16
49:8 75:25 79:4	99:25 120:2	180:10 182:18	130:4,22 131:6	16:14,20 121:19
temperatures	tests 42:4 43:3	think 1:6 12:9	133:18 134:6	159:16 165:22
45:24 69:3 159:2	99:16,21 108:14	13:15 15:5,11,13	135:4 137:3,7,20	Thursday 1:1
ten 63:3	120:11,15 132:4	15:16 17:12,17,20	138:6,6,10 139:6	tight 149:3
tend 16:6 73:23	text 128:22 175:24	18:14,18,20,22	139:10 142:4,24	tightly 149:15
terms 3:10 5:5 6:9	thank 1:8,17,21 3:2	22:8 24:6 26:7	143:16,18 144:5	tilted 78:14
8:22 16:10 21:9	4:22 5:4 18:16	28:15 30:6,23	144:12,19,23	timber 74:14,15
24:7 29:3 32:20	21:19 23:16 24:22	31:6,25 32:7,12	145:25 146:2,21	82:21
41:10 46:7 54:5	26:21,23 32:6,13	34:10 35:22 36:9	146:24 147:12	timbers 48:7

				rage zii
time 2:12 6:25 8:4	80:16,23 83:21	87:7 90:14 91:19	55:14 56:23 70:4	167:6
8:13,22 9:12,23	86:17,18 87:23	98:1 103:13 108:5	73:15 76:15 84:21	underpin 122:23
10:1,22,24 15:21	92:3 94:13,18,20	108:6,10 111:6	84:25 85:12 86:19	understand 7:24
16:1,7 17:19	95:12,15,17	112:25 113:14	94:1 106:5,14	20:17 31:13,17
19:25 22:20 23:1	118:25 119:18	122:10 123:15,16	126:14 143:18	57:23 91:10 94:15
23:21 50:17,20	126:3 129:6 140:7	125:7 126:3,8,19	145:24 147:1	99:5 102:13,15
55:17 56:23 63:15	150:19 151:3,5,13	130:15 132:25	161:4 172:6	104:22 127:22
63:24 84:10,23	151:15 155:6	137:5 144:24	turn 60:21 84:21	142:1 151:21
90:25 91:4,19	157:18 158:16	147:23 148:9	106:19 110:12	152:2 166:14
100:23 114:19	top-right 76:14	149:1 151:8,9,11	117:9 124:9	168:18 176:3,13
116:7 134:10	topic 41:11 50:15	154:6 156:14,18	125:10 150:16	183:23
151:10 156:7	82:19 95:23 97:14	166:13 167:12	156:12 164:18	understanding
157:24 159:17	112:25 133:18	181:15 183:2,7	twice 17:5	71:16 76:1 81:15
161:13 163:1,7	139:11 152:25	toxic 45:8 138:21	two 6:23 10:18	93:11 122:11
165:3,25 167:2	154:8 156:12	TP10 38:19 44:8,10	28:19 29:22 32:3	169:15
168:10,10 170:17	164:18 177:2	Trade 4:20	32:18 38:17 39:22	understood 11:24
178:16 180:7,15	184:2	traditional 49:18	42:16 53:4,24,25	15:25 45:13
184:25	topics 177:6	transcript 82:3	56:19 58:24 65:12	129:24 168:23
times 63:3 67:10	tops 88:2	transfer 55:17	65:14 67:24 70:7	uneasy 178:22
86:19 154:22	Torero 36:1 57:23	59:15 60:18 68:15	75:16 106:10	uneven 150:8
159:16	72:18 81:4,10	79:11 159:11,14	107:13,21 114:5	unfolded 178:21
timing 5:24 90:1,7	86:24 97:14 98:20	159:14,21 162:20	118:13,14 120:19	unique 14:23
105:3	104:13 145:10	transferring 160:3	131:9 135:20	138:17
timings 182:5	156:24	transition 11:7	141:4 154:8	unit 105:3
tiny 50:24	Torero's 46:19	translate 154:23	155:19 165:21	units 103:23
tip 64:24 149:22	57:14 90:20	translucent 113:11	166:9 172:1,9	unplanned 172:21
tips 64:6	100:17	114:3	type 17:25 54:7,23	unreasonable
tired 115:13	total 86:24 102:21	transparent 23:4	99:17 136:19	169:23,25 170:3
title 3:23 10:10	136:4 177:16,23	trap 69:23	138:7 151:12	unsatisfactory
today 1:9 5:21 6:12	178:9,13	trapped 69:24	163:4 183:10,14	20:12,22
6:13 24:3 41:1	totally 128:5	travel 14:17 71:6	types 28:20 54:11	unstoppable
154:22 177:2,4	touched 38:12	89:16 90:14	113:13 114:6,7	168:13
183:18 184:3,20	47:17 118:7	Trial 26:13	121:8,19 133:5	unsuitable 100:13
today's 1:4	133:21	tried 172:10	138:15 179:5	untenable 172:14
told 154:22	touching 131:5	trigger 24:8 153:19	typical 45:17	172:20
tolerance 32:2	164:18	154:1 157:23	173:22	updated 2:12 4:23
tolerances 31:22	tower 2:4 5:22 6:3	172:8	typically 67:9	5:1,9
tomorrow 184:21	6:9,18 7:1,17,20	Trinity 3:21	102:17	upper 45:17
184:24 185:2	8:10,14,19 11:12	trite 102:10 180:18		upside 152:17
top 9:10 12:16	11:18 12:4,7,18	true 4:24	U	upstand 147:11,16
29:10 30:21 36:6	13:2,3 14:11,13	truly 125:5	unbroken 64:7	upstands 147:9
39:19,22,23 52:23	15:15 19:3 21:9	try 5:17 55:9 56:11	184:2	uPVC 35:12,25
53:6 66:1 67:1,17	22:21 23:19,22	118:8 146:20	unclear 152:20	36:14,21,22 38:13
71:13 73:6 76:4	24:10 27:19 54:18	trying 23:4,4 35:22	underneath 49:12	38:24 40:11 44:22
77:9,10 79:25	56:16 57:21 84:19	36:18 39:17 55:11	49:16,17 76:22	45:3,25 46:7,11
	•	•	•	•

46:15 47:4,6,15	vertical 28:1 58:15	W	177:5,6,10 179:18	111:13 112:24
56:6 74:13 154:21	61:10 62:21 64:3	wake 67:12	183:20	116:4,10,13,18,22
154:21 158:15,16	64:11,12,20,23	walk 14:14	wanted 23:7,14	132:12 139:10
159:2 160:5,7	65:8 72:11,22	walk 14:14 wall 13:4,11 14:9	60:15 76:24	153:8 156:19
162:15	83:17 85:4 86:1	25:20 28:25 41:3	105:20 122:21	165:8 172:22
upwards 55:17	86:20 90:25	50:8 52:17,21,22	124:4,9 140:9	184:9,14
90:14	148:14,22,24	52:24 53:5,5,7,15	165:6 180:22	we're 5:21 8:21
use 11:8 41:21	150:12	53:17,20 54:8	wanting 86:14	11:23 18:3 19:23
42:11,13 45:25	vertically 73:25	79:21 108:1 110:1	172:9	24:1 33:18 35:10
46:7 54:13,19	83:5 85:10,19	112:20 117:24	wants 147:14 158:2	36:23 37:8,11
59:24 97:25	88:1	121:6,11 139:23	176:23	41:19 43:22 46:13
107:10,19 110:6	video 24:20 67:2	140:6,7,7 141:2	warn 24:20	52:25 58:8 61:3
125:14,20 129:11	153:23 157:9,15	143:4,6,11,12,14	warned 129:17	65:16 66:23 75:2
142:9 165:1,10	157:25 158:3,6,20	143:25 144:9,15	133:7	81:22 83:23 85:7
181:3 182:9	160:19,24	144:17 145:19	warning 24:8,16	94:23,24 109:11
useful 101:13	videos 24:15	148:15 149:13	139:1 153:19	111:9 112:14,17
119:19 122:18	109:24 164:3	151:2 152:11,12	154:1,4 157:24	115:12 124:12
134:6	view 22:19 33:7	178:2,5 183:9	172:8	126:13 129:19
usher 51:5 96:17	45:24 50:14 60:15	wallpaper 33:23	warping 82:12	130:19 134:15,23
116:21	81:8 84:13 92:13	walls 107:7,8 110:5	Warringtonfire	138:2 140:2
T 7	93:7,20 95:21,22	129:19	133:4	153:20,25 154:4
<u>V</u>	97:10,11,12 98:6	want 6:15,16 16:19	wasn't 15:16 17:9	157:17 170:17
valid 113:4 114:18	98:24 100:8,12	19:17 23:17,17	91:6 122:19 159:5	we've 44:24 45:6
114:20,23 115:3	113:4 125:13,19	24:3,23 25:12,22	watch 153:25 157:9	54:8 83:9 91:16
117:15 121:3	126:24 149:9,25	27:9 35:24 42:24	157:16	95:10 123:2 137:1
123:15 125:13,19	155:1 161:6 164:6	43:24 46:6 48:16	watching 158:2	149:18 159:4,18
variation 30:10	164:11 170:9	49:25 50:17 53:10	160:19 167:17	160:9 163:19
31:3 32:18,19	171:3 172:14	54:16 56:11 59:23	way 3:4 15:8 16:2	165:2
63:23	viewed 81:17	60:15,21 71:17	27:6 35:22 49:17	weakness 105:9
varied 63:21	views 19:17 60:7	97:23 100:19	86:8 90:2 92:18	weaknesses 57:20
varies 125:25	100:1,19 172:13	102:24 105:16	93:21,24 109:21	58:10,20 59:7,13
126:11	179:22 182:1	108:19 110:12	135:18 144:16	weatherproof
variety 112:5 various 22:14	virtually 25:3	115:10,14 117:9	147:22 157:16	37:18
various 22.14 vast 6:21	visible 167:11,17	117:16,18 118:2	169:22 171:14	website 119:1
vast 0.21 vent 16:23	168:12	121:2 124:3	172:6 175:3,6,12	126:5 137:21,25
ventilated 12:8,22	visual 72:13	125:10 126:7	175:13,19 180:16	week 1:10 44:24
13:4,8,10 61:20	void 25:20 27:16,21	128:20 132:20	181:8 184:3	60:17 95:10
62:10	64:14,15	135:8,21 139:10	ways 12:17 97:3	welcome 1:3 50:23
ventilation 12:12	voids 56:12,13	141:11 142:15,18	106:15 119:4	went 168:9
14:4 16:22 61:21	58:22,23 76:21	144:7 149:5 152:6	128:14	weren't 31:2 80:16
70:9	154:22,24 Valuma 7:12 10:12	156:16,21 157:13	we'll 1:10 7:8 27:9	west 66:14
ventilation/migr	Volume 7:13 19:12	157:15 158:19	28:5 37:15 41:12	Wharf 4:11
179:21	volumes 100:25	160:19,25 161:6	50:25 51:5 52:15	whatever's 162:17
version 13:17 15:22	vulnerable 103:17	164:18,21 165:5	54:8 61:6,6 82:3 84:2 96:12 108:19	whatsoever 144:23
10101113.1713.22		173:18 176:7	04.4 90.14 108.19	whilst 11:14 152:15

window 25:1,2,12 74:4 105:10,18 23:24 31:1,1 85:5 97:23 139:20 129:22 130:4 25:22 27:6,12 154:14 44:15:222 158:24 158:24 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 35:13,18 36:14 windowsill 70:1 wish 5:14 123:8 worry 37:16 99:24 worry 37:16 99:24 worry 37:16 99:24 worse 20:20 128:13 0 110:18 111:2,5 155:23 136:3,3,14 163:16 184-9,17 184:24 185:2 163:16 184-9,17 184:24 185:2 163:16 184-9,17 184:24 185:2 163:11 37:24 163:16 184-9,17 184:24 185:2 185:3 166:13 179:6 19.06 157:19 188:4 166:10,17:10 186:24 187:24 11.3 166:37:24 10.06 157:19 188:4 166:37,24 10.17 142:16,16,18 10.17 142:16,16,18 10.18 183:16 184:24 18.24 18.23		1			Ì
181:4 182:16	white 75:5 86:2	52:15 53:9 54:5	worked 23:6	zoom 12:16 27:10	1.5-mill 80:25
win 80:8 window 25:1,2,12 25:22 27:6,12 25:22 27:6,12 28:21,22 29:13,16 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 35:13,18 36:14 35:13,18 36:14 37:9 38:8,13,14 39:17 40:23 41:3 44:15,19,22 45:4 44:18,12,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 15:20 116:15,511 5:20:24 57:20,58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:21,22 60:19 67:21 184:23 47:144:15,19,23 153:7,16 179:5 183:22,25 184:4,8 123 47:104:15,11 17:15 47:10 wood 47:22 wooden 47:21 48:4 47:104:15,11 13:7:1 14:11:157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 157:15 152:22 107:19 127:24 163:23 166:4,11 177:15 word 19:12 9 work 5:15 15:21,23 word 9:5:7 17 17:15 words 5:24 24:11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19:25:16 27:5 6:25:5 7:17 24:15 6:20 12:13 48:12 15:20 13:20 10:213 word 19:15 20:22 10:21:13 40:20 10:213 word 19:21 13 words 12:19 work 5:15 15:21,23 windows 5:24 24:11 177:15 windows 5:	width 31:8 180:24	56:1,6,19 57:14	working 183:8	30:21 37:6 38:23	1.5-millimetre
window 25:1,2,12 74:4 105:10,18 23:24 31:1,1 85:5 97:23 139:20 129:22 130:4 25:22 27:6,12 154:14 windowsill 70:1 windowsill 70:1 word 4:20 102:13 0 158:24 155:3 163:3,3,14 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 35:13,18 36:14 wish 5:14 123:8 worty 37:16 99:24 worty 37:16 99:24 worty 37:16 99:24 worty 41:20 102:13 0 158:24 155:3 163:3,3,14 163:16 184-9,17 184:218,19 153:4. 155:23 163:3,3,14 163:16 184-9,17 184:218,19 153:4. 155:23 163:3,3,14 163:16 184-9,17 184:218,19 153:4. 163:16 184-9,17 184:2115,20 136:9 183:22 116 183:11 worth 14:22 41:1 179:19 120:10,13 0 110:18 111:2,5 184:24 185:2 19-minute 50:21 184:21 15,20 136:9 185:23 166:8,17 179:19 120:10,13 0 179:19 120:10,13 0 179:19 120:10,13 0 185:23 166:4,11 179:6 180:11 12,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5 180:18 112,5	181:4 182:16	57:22 59:3,25	works 4:6 20:18,20	43:10 66:12 73:10	75:20
25:22 27:6,12 28:21,22 29:13,16 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 33:1,14 37:9 38:8,13,14 39:17 40:23 41:3 44:15,19,22 45:4 44:15,19,22 45:4 45:25 46:7 47:18 49:5,12,15,16 50:2,3,10 51:18 withdrawn 132:12 15:21,22,3,24 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,0,12,15 57:20,58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 57:20 58:5,10,22 57:2,2,11,13,17 57:20 58:5,10,22 57:2,2,21,24 48:10 witherses 103:21 16:15,19 117:3,5 153:7,16 179:5 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 166:4,11 177:15 word 91:15 20:22 107:19 127:24 163:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16	win 80:8	60:5,7,9 73:5,14	20:21 21:18 22:20	79:25 80:9,23	10 24:24 51:1 60:24
28:21,22 29:13,16 31:1,15 32:3 33:3 windowsill 70:1 wish 5:14 123:8 134:13 154:5 wishes 24:16 44:15,19,22 45:4 44:15,19,22 45:4 45:25 46:7 47:18 49:5,12,15,16 50:2,3,10 51:18 52:19 53:7,23 54:12,210,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 57:20 58:5,10,22 51:6,13,15 96:1,3 59:22,2,11,13,17 59:21,22 60:19 63:24 67:17 70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 73:40 13:11 84:23 windows 51:15 133:16 word 47:21 84:23 with 64:20 102:13 136:12 137:24 163:16 184:9,17 184:24 185:2 10-minute 50:21 184:23 136:12 137:24 10-point-what 142:18 10-point-what 142:	window 25:1,2,12	74:4 105:10,18	23:24 31:1,1	85:5 97:23 139:20	129:22 130:4
31:1,15 32:3 33:3 33:3 35:1 38:13,18 36:14 37:9 38:8,13,14 39:17 40:23 41:3 wishes 24:16 withdrawn 132:12 45:25 46:7 47:18 49:5,12,15,16 50:2,3,10 51:18 52:19 53:7,23 54:1,2,10,21 55:2,12,2,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:2,12,2 60:19 63:24 67:17 70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 74:8,12,2,124 75:47 64:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 82:21 75:24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 13:71 141:1 157:3	25:22 27:6,12	140:4 152:22	63:20 128:13	158:24	142:18,19 153:4,6
35:13,18 36:14 39:17 40:23 41:3	28:21,22 29:13,16	154:14	world 4:20 102:13		155:3 163:3,3,14
37:9 38:8,13,14 39:17 40:23 41:3 44:15,19,22 45:4 45:25 46:7 47:18 45:25 46:7 47:18 45:25,12,15,16 50:2,3,10 51:18 52:19 53:7,23 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:21,22 60:19 63:24 67:17 70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 75:47 6:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:70 10:15 133:10 winds 5:24 24:10 44:14 77:13 79:19 120:10,13 worthwhile 101:6 would've 3:5 15:12 16:10 17:20 38:8 58:20 60:8 72:2 88: 170:10 would've 3:5 15:12 16:10 17:20 38:8 58: 20 60:8 72:2 88: 170:10 would've 3:5 15:12 16:10 17:20 38:8 58: 20 60:8 72:2 18:10 95:19 6:15 16:31,15 96:15 16:31,15 96:15 179:6 01.06 157:19 158:4 16:9 50:23 60:17 81:10 95:19 6:15 16:37,24 01.13 166:23,25 167:13,4,5 171:9 172:15 01.13 166:23,25 167:19 170:21 01.14 172:17 01.15 167:3 168:11 01.3,11 34:4 10.3,13 34:4 10.3,14 34:10 10.3,14 34:10 10.3,16 1:13 10.3,16 1:13 10.3,16 1:13 10.3,17 34:4 10.3,13 34:4 10.3,13 34:4 10.3,14 17:15 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,17 17:10 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,17 17:10 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,17 17:10 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,17 17:10 10.3,16 1:3 10.3,17 17:10 10.3,10 14:10	31:1,15 32:3 33:3	windowsill 70:1	worry 37:16 99:24		163:16 184:9,17
39:17 40:23 41:3 44:15,19,22 45:4 45:25 46:7 47:18 49:5,12,15,16 50:23,310 51:18 52:19 53:7,23 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 56:9,10,12,15 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:21,22 60:19 63:24 67:17 70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 74:8,12,21,24 75:4 76:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:16 20:22 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 21:19 words 121:9 words 22:15 words 23:17 words 24:16 41:10 44:14 77:13 79:19 120:10,13 41:10 44:14 77:13 79:19 120:10,13 worthwhile 101:6 wouldv's 3:5 15:12 00.58 177:16,19 179:6 01.06 157:19 158:4 160:10 17:20 38:8 158:20 60:8 72:2 158:5 01.06 157:19 158:4 160:10 17:20 38:8 168:10 170:10 wouldn't 26:18 46:9 50:23 60:17 81:10 95:1 96:15 165:13 134:4 169:20 113 166:23,25 167:1,3,4,5 171:9 183:3 172:15 161:13,101.15 167:15 167:13,34,5 171:9 183:3 172:11 141:15 167:15 161:11,14 73:6,21 74:10 wortex 4:16 174:10 written 44:16 174:10 written 44:16 174:10 Wrote 22:13 175:25 173:10 11:11,17 172:3 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:12,19 173:13,9,14 173:17:11 177:15 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 words 121:9 179:10 10.06 157:19 158:4 161:10,12 137:24 163:17:10,16 161:10,17:20 38:8 160:16:157:19 158:4 162:19 179:6 10.00 12: 185:5 10.17 142:16,16,19 160:16:17:19 170:2 161:18,14:12:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 14:2:18 160:10;13:36:2:4 163:7;24 01.13 166:23,25 167:1,3,4,5 171:9 18:10 95:19 6:15 167:1,3,4,5 171:9 18:3:3 161:13,101.15 167:15 167:13,34,5 171:9 10.26 17:2 69:11 10.26 70:3 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1 6:13 10.3.1	35:13,18 36:14	wish 5:14 123:8	worse 20:20 128:13		184:24 185:2
44:15,19,22 45:4 45:25 46:7 47:18 49:5,12,15,16 50:2,3,10 51:18 52:19 53:7,23 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 57:20 58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:21,22 60:19 63:24 67:17 70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 74:8,12,2,124 75:47 6:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:7 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 25:15 15:21,23 25:15 115:21 21 25:13 134:4 25:18 175:16,19 26:16:10 17:20 38:8 25:17:10,13 25:15:15 10.06 15:15:21 25:13 134:4 25:18 10.00 1:2 185:5 21 15:5:5 21:10:10 11:6 21:10:10 11:6 20:10 11:10 11:12 20:10 12:12 20:10 12:12 20:10 12:12 20:10 12:12 20:10 11:15 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 11:16 20:10 1	37:9 38:8,13,14	134:13 154:5	worth 14:22 41:1		10-minute 50:21
45:25 46:7 47:18	39:17 40:23 41:3	wishes 24:16	41:10 44:14 77:13		153:8
49:5,12,15,16 50:2,3,10:51:18 52:19:53:7,23 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 56:9,10,12,15 57:20:58:5,10,22 59:2,2,11,13,17 59:21,22:60:19 63:24:67:17:70:6 71:11,14 73:6,21 74:8,12,21,24 75:4 76:4,25 77:21:78:6,14 82:21,25:83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18:158:1,16 158:17:159:5,9 161:8,8:162:19 163:23:164:7,8 165:23:166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 22:11:19:25:16 20:104 voild've 3:5 15:12 16:10:17:20:38:8 58:20:60:8,72:2 82:8 170:10 wouldn't 26:18 46:9 50:23:60:17 81:10:95:19:15 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:16:13:16:13 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:16:13:16:13 100:4 102:7,10,16 111:15:16:13:13:14:11 177:15 105:15:15:20 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:14:23 105:15:15:12:13 138:3 137:1 141:1 157:3 100:4 102:7,10,16 158:17:159:5,9 161:8,8:162:19 163:23:166:4,11 177:15 177:15 178:16:19:17:23 179:6 10.06:157:19:158:4 10.18:13:16:155:5 10.17:142:16:16.19:5 105:15:14:23 101:10:15:16:13 100:11:18:13:16:155:2 101:11:12:15:5.6 106:20:11:19:15:5 100:18:13:15:15:10 103:7,24 01.13:16:23,25 107:19:12:15 10.26:10:20 111:10:10:15:16:13 10.26:10:20 111:11:17:16:17:22 103:16:13:13 103:14:12:15 115:10:10:11 11:11:11:11:11:11 177:15 100:40:12:18:15 115:20:10:18:18 100:11:16:15:11 11:11:10:17:10 11:11:10:11:10:10:11 103:7,24 101:11:10:10:11:10:10:10:10 11:11:10:10:11:10:10:10:10:10 11:11:10:10:11:10:10:10:10 11:11:11:10:10:10:10:10:10:10 11:11:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:1	44:15,19,22 45:4	withdrawn 132:12	79:19 120:10,13		10-point-what
50:2,3,10 51:18 withstand 57:15 witness 1:21 50:24 16:10 17:20 38:8 01.06 157:19 158:4 10.17 142:16,16,19 158:4 52:19 53:7,23 53:6,13,15 96:1,3 58:20 60:8 72:2 58:20 60:8 72:2 58:28 170:10 158:5 10.18 158:4 162:24 10.17 142:16,16,19 158:4 10.17 142:16,16,19 158:4 10.18 143:16 155:2 158:5 10.18 158:4 162:24 10.18 158:4 162:24 10.18 158:4 162:24 10.18 143:16 155:2 155:5,6 10.18 143:16 155:2 155:5,6 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.19 142:16 144:2 163:7,24 10.18 143:16 155:2 155:5,6 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.18 143:16 155:2 155:5,6 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.18 143:16 155:2 155:5,6 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.19 142:16 144:2 10.26 12:6 69:11 10.26 70:3 10.26 70:3 10.26 70:3 10.26 70:3 10.21 105:21 10.26 70:3 10.26 70:3 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.2.1 105:21 10.3.1 61:13 10.3.1 61:13 <td< td=""><td>45:25 46:7 47:18</td><td>133:11</td><td>worthwhile 101:6</td><td></td><td>142:18</td></td<>	45:25 46:7 47:18	133:11	worthwhile 101:6		142:18
52:19 53:7,23 witness 1:21 50:24 58:20 60:8 72:2 158:5 10.18 143:16 155:: 54:1,2,10,21 55:21,22,23,24 51:6,13,15 96:1,3 96:7,11,16,25 wouldn't 26:18 163:7,24 163:7,24 10.2 61:2 69:11 57:20 58:5,10,22 116:15,19 117:35 81:10 95:1 96:15 163:7,24 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:11 59:21,22 60:19 153:7,16 179:5 153:7,16 179:5 155:114:23 172:15 172:15 10.2 67:3 83:3 63:24 67:17 70:6 184:13,16,18,19 witnesses 103:21 witnesses 103:21 180:19 writte 100:2 01.14 172:17 10.3 61:2,6 77:21 78:6,14 wood 47:22 wood 47:21 48:4 48:10 written 44:16 174:10 171:11,17 172:3 103.2 4 61:13 103.2 4 61:13 103.37 157:1 10.37 157:1 10.37 157:1 10.37 157:1 10.37 157:1 10.37 157:1 10.37 86:10 10.37 86:10 10.47 95:7 81:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 169:12 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 years 2:10 years 2:10 11:11,17 10.49 95:7 10.49 95:7 10.49 95:7 10.49	49:5,12,15,16	withdrew 184:19	would've 3:5 15:12		10.00 1:2 185:5
54:1,2,10,21 51:6,13,15 96:1,3 96:7,11,16,25 96:7,11,16,25 15:6,13,15 96:1,3 96:7,11,16,25 15:6,13,15 96:1,3 96:7,11,16,25 15:20 116:1,5,11 16:15,19 117:3,5 15:20 116:1,5,11 16:9 50:23 60:17 16:9 50:23 60:17 16:13,16 6:23,25 16:13,14,5 171:9 17:11,14 73:6,21 16:15,19 117:3,5 16:10,12 169:20 16:7:1,3,4,5 171:9 17:21.5 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:11 83:3 10.2 61:2 69:11 10.2 61:2 69:12 10.3 61:2 6 10.3 61:2 6 10.3 61:2 6 10.3 61:2 6 10.3 61:2 6 10.3 61:2 6 10	50:2,3,10 51:18	withstand 57:15	16:10 17:20 38:8	01.06 157:19 158:4	10.17 142:16,16,19
55:21,22,23,24 96:7,11,16,25 wouldn't 26:18 163:7,24 10.19 142:16 144:2 56:9,10,12,15 115:20 116:15,11 116:15,19 117:3,5 81:10 95:1 96:15 167:1,3,4,5 171:9 83:3 59:2,2,11,13,17 153:7,16 179:5 183:22,25 184:4,8 125:13 134:4 172:15 102.6 1:2 69:11 63:24 67:17 70:6 184:13,16,18,19 witnesses 103:21 184:23 125:13 134:4 167:19 170:21 10.3 61:2,6 71:11,14 73:6,21 woke 173:16 worder 35:17 worder 35:17 worder 47:22 worder 47:21 48:4 worder 22:13 175:25 01.26 168:10 10.3.1 68:10 10.3.24 61:13 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 word 19:15 20:22 107:19 127:24 169:12 10.37 86:10 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 words 5:15 15:21,23 139:6 139:6 11:11 2:13,9,14 5:7,10 6:18 10:11 10.49 88:8 100:45:7 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16 100:41 102:7,10,16	52:19 53:7,23	witness 1:21 50:24	58:20 60:8 72:2		10.18 143:16 155:3
56:9,10,12,15 115:20 116:1,5,11 46:9 50:23 60:17 01.13 166:23,25 10.2 61:2 69:11 57:20 58:5,10,22 116:15,19 117:3,5 116:15,19 117:3,5 116:15,19 117:3,5 116:15,19 117:3,5 116:15,19 117:3,5 116:15,19 117:3,5 117:11,13,17 153:7,16 179:5 117:11,14 73:6,21 118:22,25 184:4,8 119:25:13 134:4 119:25:13	54:1,2,10,21	51:6,13,15 96:1,3	82:8 170:10	01.08 158:4 162:24	155:5,6
S7:20 58:5,10,22	55:21,22,23,24	96:7,11,16,25	wouldn't 26:18		10.19 142:16 144:2
57:20 58:5,10,22 116:15,19 117:3,5 81:10 95:1 96:15 167:1,3,4,5 171:9 83:3 59:2,2,11,13,17 153:7,16 179:5 183:22,25 184:4,8 125:13 134:4 172:15 10.2.1 105:21 63:24 67:17 70:6 184:13,16,18,19 witnesses 103:21 146:10,12 169:20 167:19 170:21 10.3 61:2,6 71:11,14 73:6,21 witnesses 103:21 184:23 write 100:2 write 100:2 10.11 17:16 172:2 10.3.1 61:13 77:21 78:6,14 wood 47:22 wood 47:22 wrote 22:13 175:25 01.26 168:10 10.3.24 61:13 83:13,17,20,24 48:10 x x x x x 171:11,17 172:3 10.3.7 157:1 10.3.24 61:13 10.3.24 61:13 10.3.7 157:1	56:9,10,12,15	115:20 116:1,5,11	46:9 50:23 60:17	01.13 166:23,25	10.2 61:2 69:11
183:22,25 184:4,8 183:22,25 184:4,8 184:13,16,18,19 183:22,25 184:4,8 184:13,16,18,19 184:13,16,18,19 184:13,16,18,19 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:24 184:25 184:25 180:19 180:19 183:21,25 83:1,6 180:19 183:21,25 83:1,6 180:19 184:21 184:23 184:23 184:24 182:21,25 83:1,6 183:13,17,20,24 184:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 177:15 167:3 168:11 10.26 70:3 10.3 61:2,6 10.3.1 134:4 10.3.1 134:4 10.3.1 134:4 10.3.1 134:4 10.3.2 3 67:23 10.3.2 4 61:13 10.3.2 4 61:13 10.3.7 157:1 10.30 73:7			81:10 95:1 96:15		83:3
183:22,25 184:4,8 184:13,16,18,19 183:22,25 184:4,8 184:13,16,18,19 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:10 182:21,25 83:1,6 183:13,17,20,24 184:10 184:10 183:11,17:15 183:21,25 183:14 143:16 183:22,25 184:4,8 184:13,16,18,19 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:23 184:24 184:24 184:25 183:13,17,20,24 184:10 183:13 183:1	59:2,2,11,13,17	153:7,16 179:5	105:15 114:23	172:15	10.2.1 105:21
63:24 67:17 70:6		183:22,25 184:4,8	125:13 134:4	01.13/01.15 167:15	10.26 70:3
71:11,14 73:6,21 74:8,12,21,24 75:4 76:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 180:19 write 100:2 write 100:2 writen 44:16 174:10 write 100:2 writen 44:16 174:10 Wrote 22:13 175:25 Writen 44:16 174:10 Wrote 22:13 175:25 173:12,19 01.40 177:16,19 173:12,19 01.40 177:16,19 178:16 179:3,7 02.35 179:11,14 08.07 179:15 10.3.1 61:13 10.3.1 34:4 10.3.23 67:23 10.3.24 61:13 10.3.7 157:1 10.30 73:7 10.34 85:2 10.35 79:23 10.37 86:10 10.40 88:8 10.47 95:7 10.73 87:17 10.9 66:8 100:4 107:7 10.9 66:8 100:4 107:7 10.9 66:8 100:4 107:7 10.6:20 111:19	-	184:13,16,18,19	146:10,12 169:20	167:19 170:21	10.3 61:2,6
74:8,12,21,24 184:23 write 100:2 01.15 167:3 168:11 10.3.11 34:4 75:4 76:4,25 77:21 78:6,14 wonder 35:17 writen 44:16 01.21 171:16 172:2 10.3.23 67:23 83:13,17,20,24 wood 47:22 wooden 47:21 48:4 48:10 173:12,19 10.30 73:7 105:4 106:13 wool 54:19 99:18 100:4 102:7,10,16 141:25 103:24 137:2 10.35 79:23 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 107:19 127:24 169:12 138:3 02.35 179:11,14 10.40 88:8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 words 121:9 words 121:9 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 57,10 6:18 10:11 20:13 22:6,12 100:45:7 24:11 19 25:16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 106:24 107:7 106:24 107:7 106:20 111:19	71:11,14 73:6,21		*	01.14 172:17	,
75:4 76:4,25 woke 173:16 written 44:16 01.21 171:16 172:2 10.3.23 67:23 77:21 78:6,14 wooder 35:17 wood 47:22 wrote 22:13 175:25 01.26 168:10 171:11,17 172:3 10.3.24 61:13 83:13,17,20,24 wooden 47:21 48:4 48:10 X 173:12,19 10.30 73:7 84:7 104:15,19 wool 54:19 99:18 100:4 102:7,10,16 X 185:7 178:16 179:3,7 10.34 85:2 157:4,18 158:1,16 141:25 word 19:15 20:22 138:3 02.35 179:11,14 10.40 88:8 163:23 164:7,8 169:12 year 2:2,10 year 2:2,10 year 2:2,10 years 16:5 22:15 windows 5:24 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		write 100:2	01.15 167:3 168:11	10.3.11 34:4
77:21 78:6,14 82:21,25 83:1,6 wood 47:22 prote 22:13 175:25 01.26 168:10 10.3.24 61:13 83:13,17,20,24 wooden 47:21 48:4 22:13 175:25 173:12,19 10.30 73:7 105:4 106:13 wool 54:19 99:18 X X 185:7 178:16 179:3,7 10.34 85:2 157:4,18 158:1,16 141:25 XPS 75:13,23 103:24 137:2 138:3 10.37 86:10 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 169:12 year 2:2,10 year 2:2,10 year 2:2,10 57,10 6:18 10:11 20:13 22:6,12 10.47 95:7 windows 5:24 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 139:6 years' 3:17 43:12 94:5 96:6 106:24 107:7 106:20 111:19		woke 173:16		01.21 171:16 172:2	
82:21,25 83:1,6 83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 105:4 106:13 137:1 141:1 157:3 157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 22:13 175:25 wood 47:22 wooden 47:21 48:4 48:10 X X X 185:7 XPS 75:13,23 173:12,19 01.40 177:16,19 173:12,19 01.40 177:16,19 173:16		wonder 35:17	174:10	01.26 168:10	
83:13,17,20,24 84:7 104:15,19 48:10	· ·			171:11,17 172:3	
84:7 104:15,19				173:12,19	
105:4 106:13 100:4 102:7,10,16 100:4 102:7,10,16 141:25 125 6 22:5 7 17 178:16 179:3,7 103:5 79:23 103:5 79:23 103:7 86:10 103:7 86:10 103:24 137:2 103:41 13:11 103:43 13:13 103:43 13:11 103:43 13:13			X	01.40 177:16,19	
137:1 141:1 157:3 100:4 102:7,10,16 141:25 103:24 137:2	1	wool 54:19 99:18	X 185:7	178:16 179:3,7	10.35 79:23
157:4,18 158:1,16 158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 141:25 word 19:15 20:22 107:19 127:24 169:12 words 121:9 work 5:15 15:21,23 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 103:24 137:2 138:3 10.40 88:8 10.47 95:7 10.73 87:17 10.9 66:8 100 45:7 105 45:20 11 2:10 34:10 66:1 106:24 107:7			XPS 75:13,23	02.35 179:11,14	
158:17 159:5,9 161:8,8 162:19 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 work 5:15 15:21,23 20:6,10,12,14,16 24:11 19 25:16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 178:17 10.47 95:7 10.47 9			103:24 137:2	08.07 179:15	
161:8,8 162:19 163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 107:19 127:24 169:12 year 2:2,10 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 years' 3:17 10.73 87:17 10.9 66:8 100 45:7 105 45:20 11 2:10 34:10 66:1 106:24 107:7			138:3		
163:23 164:7,8 165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 169:12 words 121:9 work 5:15 15:21,23 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 20:3 22:6,12 39:6 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 years 3:17 11:11 2:1,3,9,14 5:7,10 6:18 10:11 20:13 22:6,12 43:12 94:5 96:6 106:24 107:7 100.9 66:8 100 45:7 105 45:20 11 2:10 34:10 66:1 106:20 111:19				1	
165:23 166:4,11 177:15 windows 5:24 24:11 19 25:16 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 year 2:2,10 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 years' 3:17 5:7,10 6:18 10:11 20:13 22:6,12 43:12 94:5 96:6 106:24 107:7 100 45:7 105 45:20 11 2:10 34:10 66:1	1				
177:15 windows 5:24 20:6,10,12,14,16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 years 16:5 22:15 139:6 139:6 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 years' 3:17 20:13 22:6,12 43:12 94:5 96:6 106:24 107:7 106:20 111:19	1			-	
windows 5:24 20:6,10,12,14,16 139:6 43:12 94:5 96:6 11 2:10 34:10 66:1 24:11 19 25:16 21:5 6 22:5 7 17 years' 3:17 106:24 107:7 106:20 111:19				· ·	
24·11 19 25·16 21·5 6 22·5 7 17 years' 3:17 106:24 107:7 106·20 111·19					
27.20 25 28.1 2 22.25 25.12 34.25 yellow 27:13 118:5 128:21,22 168.5 173.21	-		yellow 27:13	118:5 128:21,22	
30:13.15 31:19.21 51:2 56:24 57:2 yesterday 28:7 30:6 128:24 185:8,8 11.05 51:9			, ,	,	
34·1 39·18 43·25 99·5 118·21 65:2 83:12 120:11 1,030 181:6 11.15 51·1 5 8 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
44.12.45.6.48.20 130.18.147.25 124.10.157.15 1.1.3.7 11.21.12.142.9			124:10 157:15		, , ,
50:16.51:17.22 155:21				7 7	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	30.1001.17,22		L	117:1	
		<u> </u>	l	l	l

				_
11.22 139:19 165:9	19 2:24 38:23	18:7,9,12,15,21	37 79:24	165:9
11.6.10 111:18	111:19 113:23	18:22 31:12 40:6	378 34:6,19	6s 104:3
113:24	142:19	139:6 155:3,6	39 86:11	
11.6.9 113:23,25	19.1 174:21,25	171:18 172:3		7
11.8 115:6	1939 7:3 8:6 9:16	2007 101:25	4	7 4:20 136:4 168:1
117 179:12	9:18	2008 114:17 115:2	4 6:8 38:6 101:16	168:3 173:21
119 128:19	1954 8:25	117:14,21	102:23 137:4	7.4 103:20
12 2:9 28:9 66:3	1961 10:1	2010 7:11 19:9 20:3	153:20 168:20,24	75 45:7,19 152:2,4
171:20	1962 10:6,7,12	20:5 107:2	169:11,17 170:2	0
12.1 171:19,22	11:10,16,25 12:10	2012 20:2	170:11	8
12.15 96:20	12:19 13:15,16	2014 132:10 133:11	4.2.18 12:16	8 16:19 24:24 25:15
12.20 96:19,22	15:17,22 174:11	2015 133:4	4.2.21 13:22,24	171:19
12.45 116:24	1964 8:8	2018 1:1 2:15,16,24	40 110:21,22	8.11 48:14 97:19,20
12.5 107:21	1965 10:2	114:16 185:4	123:21	97:20 158:11
12.6 107:15 108:2	1967 7:21 8:25 9:2	208 12:22	42 46:21 140:16	8.13 32:7 35:19,20
109:4 110:22	9:5 11:1,16	21 2:10,19 3:3	43 88:11	35:21 8.14 45:1 49:3
12.9 107:15 109:4	1970 9:16 19:3	105:21	437 75:24	
120 30:14 31:6	1970s 7:16,18	22 1:1 37:5	45 162:6	8.15 30:19
57:18 167:5	1971 6:24 7:8 8:18	23 37:25 89:1,8,14	46 179:14	8.18 38:23
13 29:5 38:5 97:20	10:13,14 11:10	90:3 93:13 137:4	460 181:24	8.2.8 101:15 8.22 37:5
137:4 158:22	13:18,24 14:23	185:4	476 129:22 130:4	8.23 37:24
130 31:12,16	15:4,6,10,11,13	230 75:25	48 95:7	8.25 48:1
158:18	16:15,24 17:6,25	24 2:15 48:1	5	
13501 42:25	18:10,13,21 19:5	25 39:11 75:19	5 2:16 61:1 69:10	8.37 134:22
13501-1 43:12	23:2 174:11	140:12 151:13,16		8.46 140:16 8.6 25:25
13823 113:16	1972 7:21	25-mill 81:1	85:22 96:10 153:4	8.65 124:8
139 63:7	1974 19:10	27 181:18	163:16 167:2,7,20 5-minute 95:24	8.9.33 142:4
14 24:7 67:23 121:7		271 49:8	96:12	8.9.4 136:14
179:4	$\frac{2}{22.165.1561.712}$	294 181:17	5.5 163:17,18	80 131:11
147 138:10	2 2:16 5:15 6:1 7:13	3	5.5.2 62:25	80ft 10:12
15 2:19 13:6,12	17:25 19:12 21:6		50 45:18 181:10	81 53:12
32:8 35:21 54:22	22:4,6,18,22	3 6:8 21:2 118:2	50 43.18 181.10 50-millimetre	82 52:8
55:5 87:5,7	41:13 108:20	138:11,15 153:20	151:20	8414 99:14 107:21
15m 14:18	113:10 129:5,7	3.35 185:3	515 181:11	107:25 108:4
16 38:7,8 44:25	133:19 152:7	30 17:25 30:14 31:4	55 46:20 97:6 100:9	132:9 133:10
49:3 66:3 79:20	182:11	31:6 54:21 55:2	110:15,25 111:4	887 145:2
89:15 153:21	2.35 153:12	73:8 158:18	110:15,25 111.4	00/173.2
156:22 161:5,25	2.45 153:10,11,14	174:22	124:6 125:11,18	9
165:25 167:23	2.9.6 110:9	321 181:17	56 80:21	9 25:25
17 30:19 79:21	2.9.8 110:10	33 52:9	59 124:8	9.10 29:4,24
177 179:11	2.9.9 25:6	34 8:17 92:1,3		9.13 40:6
18 2:2 6:11 113:23	20 3:17 8:16 9:13	35 32:14,15 36:12	6	9.3 49:20 53:10
180 34:6,19	9:16,17 12:15	85:2 134:22 159:15	6 168:1	9.38 162:6
180-millimetre	16:5,9,15,23 17:3	35-millimetre 98:3	63 92:2	9.7 49:24
136:16	17:8,13,21,22	33-mmmetre 98.3	69 87:16 139:18	9.8 28:9,10
				ĺ

		Page 215
9.9 29:4 35:18 90 36:12 96 80:22 98 8:17		