1	Thursday, 29 November 2018	1	Phase 1 report to the inquiry which is dated
2	(10.00 am)	2	5 November 2018?
3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to	3	A. I have.
4	today's hearing.	4	Q. Can you also confirm that you were asked in that Phase 1
5	Today we are going to hear from another of the	5	report to address three matters: firstly the production
6	inquiry's expert witness.	6	of toxic gases in fires similar to that at
7	Yes, Mr Rawat	7	Grenfell Tower and the consequences of inhaling toxic
8	MR RAWAT: Good morning, Mr Chairman.	8	gases in such circumstances, both physiological and
9	Our witness today is going to be Professor David	9	behavioural; secondly, the toxicity when exposed to fire
10	Purser. But before I call Professor Purser, can I just	10	of certain materials which were present at
11	explain what we're going to be doing today.	11	Grenfell Tower; and, thirdly, any recommendations
12	He will begin his evidence by giving a presentation	12	arising from those two points that I've just set out,
13	setting out the work that has gone into his Phase 1	13	including as to any further testing which ought to be
14	report, which has been circulated and published.	14	carried out which is relevant to the issues.
15	The presentation is in three parts, and for each	15	A. Yes.
16	part, the professor has prepared detailed slides to	16	Q. Is it also right that you were asked to set out your
17	which he will be speaking.	17	preliminary conclusions on, again, three matters:
18	If I make clear, these slides contain images,	18	firstly, the production of toxic gases and consequences
19	including diagrams of flame ingress and smoke	19	to occupants of different generic fire scenarios and
20	production, photographs of the tower and also fire	20	conditions occurring in fires similar to those likely to
21	damage in other buildings.	21	have occurred at different stages and locations during
22	Because of the nature of the professor's work, he	22	the Grenfell Tower fire; secondly, the likely causes of
23	will also be talking about the impact of toxic gases on	23	incapacitation and death at Grenfell Tower, including
24	people.	24	those whose bodies were consumed by the fire; and,
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. So there's quite a lot that	25	thirdly, the possible toxicity performance of materials
	Page 1		Page 3
1	might be distressing.	1	present at Grenfell Tower?
2	MR RAWAT: That is exactly it. So I will give a shorter	2	A. Yes.
3	warning as we go through the presentation, but I want to	3	Q. It is important to note before we continue that you have
4	repeat a warning now that we have given on previous	4	also been instructed to provide a further report at
5	occasions that some may find the contents of the slides	5	Phase 2, which will address the matters that I've just
6	and, indeed, the presentation itself distressing.	6	listed, but which will be updated and expanded as
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.	7	necessary.
8	If people fear that they may be upset by what is	8	A. Yes.
9	going to be shown, they can of course leave, and they	9	Q. You have confirmed and said in your report that it is
10	can leave at any time if they feel that is appropriate.	10	a preliminary report and you intend to conduct a more
11	MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir.	11	detailed analysis in your Phase 2 report; that's right,
12	Can I now call Professor David Purser.	12	isn't it?
13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, thank you.	13	A. Yes, that's an important point.
14	PROFESSOR DAVID PURSER (sworn)	14	Q. So is it right, therefore, that the views which you
15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, professor. You	15	express in your Phase 1 report are provisional?
16	prefer to stand up to give your	16	A. Yes.
17	THE WITNESS: I'll start by standing up. I might perch on	17	Q. Can you confirm that the statements made in that report,
18	this stool.	18	whilst provisional, are true to the best of your
19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, do.	19	knowledge and belief?
20	Yes, Mr Rawat.	20	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
21	MR RAWAT: Good morning, professor.	21	Q. Can you also confirm that you've provided this report in
22	A. Good morning.	22	the same way as you would've provided a report to
23	Q. Could you confirm your full name for the record, please.	23	a court?
24	A. David Anthony Purser.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Can you confirm that you have provided a preliminary	25	Q. Can I begin, then, just by dealing with your
	Page 2		Page 4
	E39E 7.	1	EASE 4

		_	
1	professional background.	1	Q. As a consultant, you've advised on national and
2	Appendix C to your report sets out your background	2	international standards and on toxic and environmental
3	and experience relevant to matters in this inquiry.	3	standards, particularly involving combustion.
4	I'm not going to rehearse all of that today, but	4	A. Yes.
5	I just want to pick out some key points.	5	Q. You've also advised on means of escape design in
6	The first of those is that you have a PhD in	6	a number of building projects, both in the UK and
7	neurophysiology from the University of Birmingham, and	7	a humber of building projects, both in the OK and abroad?
8	you're also a diplomate member of the Royal College of	8	A. Yes.
9	Pathologists; is that right?	9	Q. As a legal expert, you've been instructed in cases both
10	A. Yes.	10	in this country and internationally as an expert in fire
11	Q. Secondly, for 17 years, from 1974 to 1991, you were in	11	toxicity and human behaviour in fire.
12	the department of inhalation toxicology at the	12	A. Yes.
13	Huntingdon Research Centre, where you conducted and	13	
14	directed research in environmental and inhalation	14	Q. That included the Rosepark fire, which you will be discussing today.
15	toxicology.	15	A. Taking about today.
16	A. Correct.	16	Q. Is it right that in 2013, the Institution of Fire
17	Q. That work included research into the effects of fire	17	Engineers awarded you the David Rasbash Medal for
18	products on the nervous system, lung function and	18	outstanding contribution to the advancement of knowledge
19	behaviour in order to evaluate the mechanisms whereby	19	in fire behaviour?
20	fire products cause incapacitation and death?	20	A. Yes, they did.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. Finally, is it right also that in 2015, you were made
22	Q. In 1991, you joined the Building Research Establishment,	22	Commander of the British Empire for services to fire
23	and up to 2006, you then continued to conduct and direct	23	safety?
24	research on the toxicological and behavioural aspects of	24	A. Yes.
25	human fire exposures; is that right?	25	MR RAWAT: I've explained before you came in, professor,
23	numan me exposures, is that right:	23	WIK KAWAT. TVC explained before you came in, professor,
	Page 5		Page 7
1	A Veg and that included a let of more on the above them.	1	that the first most of to dayle bearing is paine to
1	A. Yes, and that included a lot of work on fire chemistry	1	that the first part of today's hearing is going to
2	and fire testing, things like that.	2	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in
2 3	and fire testing, things like that.Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the	2 3	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed
2 3 4	and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires	2 3 4	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in
2 3 4 5	and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those
2 3 4 5 6	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires 	2 3 4 5 6	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell".
2 3 4 5 6 7	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. A. I have, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding individual Grenfell occupants.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. A. I have, yes. Q. You've also been a member of health expert committees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding individual Grenfell occupants. At phase 2, the report will be update and expanded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. A. I have, yes. Q. You've also been a member of health expert committees established to give advice to the Chief Medical Officer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding individual Grenfell occupants. At phase 2, the report will be update and expanded as necessary in relation to the continuing evidence, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. A. I have, yes. Q. You've also been a member of health expert committees 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding individual Grenfell occupants. At phase 2, the report will be update and expanded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and fire testing, things like that. Q. So to develop that a little, your research included the psychology of human behaviour in fires A. Yes. Q the chemical yields of toxic products in fires A. Yes. Q and the evaluation of fire hazard development. A. Yes. Q. You have also lectured on toxicology, combustion chemistry and fire safety at a number of universities, both national and international. A. Yes. Q. You've authored and presented a total of 152 publications and conference presentations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. You've been a member of a number of British and international safety committees relating to fire hazards, fire toxicity, fire safety engineering and means of escape. A. I have, yes. Q. You've also been a member of health expert committees established to give advice to the Chief Medical Officer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	involve a presentation from you on your report. It's in three parts, the first part of which is headed "Production of toxic smoke and gases and effects in generic domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell". Can I now invite you to give the first part of that presentation. Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke and gases and effects in general domestic fire scenarios similar to those occurring at Grenfell PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you very much. Just to reprise some of that, I just wanted to highlight the fact that initially I'm going to be talking about generic effects, and you may be wondering why I'm going to address generic effects rather than just talking specifically about Grenfell, and I will come to that later. Also to reprise the point that my Phase 1 report is intended to be a general report that does not make reference to specific detailed evidence regarding individual Grenfell occupants. At phase 2, the report will be update and expanded as necessary in relation to the continuing evidence, and

witness statements and oral evidence, and the 1 irritant. Some of them are organic materials and some 2 2 transcripts of emergency calls made by Grenfell of them are acid gases, and these compounds stick to the 3 occupants during the fire. I will also be reviewing the 3 soot particles. So when the smoke gets in your eyes or 4 firefighter evidence. 4 you inhale it, these can cause an immediate painful 5 Now, I've already been doing a lot of work on this 5 effect, making it difficult to see and difficult to area, and so while I'm talking to you today, although 6 breathe. So this is an immediate physiological effect 6 7 7 I'm not going to go into great detail about individual of smoke. 8 8 persons, a lot of this material is in the back of my The smoke also contains permanent gases, and some of 9 mind as I speak to you and is informing my 9 these permanent gases are asphyxiants, and you're going 10 presentations. 10 to hear me talking a lot today about asphyxiants. What 11 My main objective and main purpose, as I see it, is 11 these gases do is that when you inhale them, and you 12 to obtain a detailed understanding of the conditions to 12 inhale certain doses of these gases, they reduce the 13 which each person inside the tower was exposed, and how 13 amount of oxygen getting to your heart and your brain 14 their behaviour, escape capabilities and survival were 14 essentially. Particularly the brain. And the brain 15 affected. 15 hypoxia that is caused by this results in dizziness, 16 Before I go a bit further into my report, I just 16 confusion and collapse, and ultimately death in fires. 17 wanted to highlight a few small points. The first one 17 So the effects of these asphyxiant gases is extremely 18 18 is: what do we mean in this context by toxicity or important. 19 toxicology? 19 When I talk about smoke in the next few slides, 20 20 I think you might find it useful to think of I'm really encompassing all these things, because they 21 toxicology as having two main aspects. One aspect is 21 all move together in the fire. So when I'm talking 22 22 pathology, which is basically tissue damage, and the about smoke, I'm thinking about the smoke particles, I'm thinking about the irritant compounds attached to those 23 other is physiology, which is direct, immediate effect 23 24 on people. 24 particles, and I'm also thinking about the irritant 25 Pathological toxicological effects often take place 25 gases and the asphyxiant gases that are contained in Page 9 Page 11 1 that smoke. 1 over a long period of time. Minimally, they usually 2 take days to develop, and frequently months to years. 2 Okay? That's smoke. 3 3 Now, in order to understand what these are going to But physiological effects, which are also part of 4 4 do to people, how people at Grenfell were affected and toxicology, can happen in seconds to minutes. And it's 5 these physiological effects of exposure to combustion 5 how it affected their ability to survive and escape, 6 products which is so important in determining whether 6 you'll understand that I've got to take into account 7 7 a lot of different aspects of the way the fire developed people survive or die during a fire, and it's really on 8 8 these physiological effects that I'm going to be and people were exposed at Grenfell. 9 9 concentrating my work today. For example, one thing I need to know is when 10 You'll be hearing a lot about this. These sort of 10 various different materials burned at the different 11 compounds in smoke that have physiological effects are 11 stages of the Grenfell fire, what toxic products did 12 12 they produce, and how much? We're talking there about mainly the irritants, chemicals and smoke particles 13 13 the yield of toxic products. If I burn a kilogram of themselves, and certain gases. 14 14 this lectern here, how many kilograms of the toxic gas That brings me on to my second point: what do we 15 mean by smoke? 15 carbon monoxide will be released into the atmosphere, 16 Well, smoke, strictly speaking, is the stuff that 16 for example? So I need to know that. you can see during a fire, the grey and black smoke, and 17 17 That is the main subject of the third presentation 18 18 that is composed of very, very fine respirable carbon I'm planning to give you today. 19 19 particles. That's the essential part of smoke. Tiny But another thing that's obviously very important is 20 particles of soot, essentially. 20 not just what is formed, but when it's formed and where 21 21 it goes. So I really need to know how this smoke, once Now, in its purest form, this material is relatively 22 harmless, apart from the fact that you can't see through 22 formed, either inside or outside the tower, has moved in 23 23 it. But, in reality, during a fire, the fire produces and around the flats and the various spaces in the 24 lots of other chemicals, toxic chemicals, and the main 24 tower, with time. I'm particularly concerned about when 25 25 problem with these chemicals are they're highly the smoke got into the lobbies at Grenfell on the Page 10 Page 12

1 different floors, because this, I feel, was a key stage 1 limitations, as I've alluded to, on the sort of evidence 2 2 in the incident. that we have from Grenfell, and I'm going to talk a bit 3 3 more about that in a minute. So in order to do that, I need a lot of very 4 complicated information, and I am relying mainly, for 4 Thirdly, and very importantly, as you heard, a lot 5 that, on the work of the other experts that you've heard 5 of my work is preliminary, it's quite complex, a lot of 6 from, who have described and shown you images of the 6 the information is just coming in or hasn't yet come in, 7 7 fire going up the outside of the tower, and shown you and so because some of these detailed aspects are still 8 8 the damage inside the tower and these other aspects. So under investigation, it's better not to go into too much 9 I need to make use of that. 9 detail at the moment about individual occupants. 10 But, particularly, I am making use of what was 10 So as you heard, my presentation is in three parts, 11 11 observed by the occupants, by the witnesses that you've and we're going to start with the first one, which is 12 been hearing, during the course of the fire, to help me 12 very general, about past work, and alludes to sorts of 13 build up a picture, if you like, of what the conditions 13 scenarios that may have occurred at Grenfell, part 2 is 14 were and how they were developing with time. 14 more specifically dealing with what happened at 15 Beyond that, I also need to understand, as we were 15 Grenfell, and part 3 is about the performance of the 16 16 hearing earlier, about how individual people will materials 17 interact with this smoke once they're confronted by it. 17 Now, one thing that I always have at the back of my 18 18 mind in these cases, and I'd like you to do the same, is That in itself is another quite complex area, because 19 it's partly a physical or physiological thing, but very 19 that what happens in fire is very, very time-dependent. 20 much it's a behavioural thing. If one person sees smoke 20 This is reflected in the performance-based design 21 in the exit over here, they may decide it's too 21 principle which underlines a lot of the fire safety 22 22 engineering standards. In fact, I'm currently working dangerous to go through that smoke and they may stay 23 here, where another person may decide they can escape 23 on the British Standard at the moment which is being 24 and plough on through the smoke. 24 revised, I'm leading a panel on this. 25 25 So fire hazards depend essentially on two parallel So this brings me to my fourth point here, which is Page 13 Page 15 1 that a lot of the evidence you're going to hear from me 1 processes. There's two things going on simultaneously 2 today is really essentially qualitative, in that unlike 2 in fires. One of these is the time from ignition, when 3 3 the evidence from the other witnesses, where they can the fire starts, to when that fire becomes dangerous. 4 show you the actual picture of some part of the burnt 4 So the fire starts very small, and it gets bigger and 5 tower or something like that, a lot of what I'm talking 5 bigger and it spreads throughout a building, and this is 6 happening over a period of time. The threat is about is going on hidden inside the tower at different 6 7 times. We don't know exactly when certain things were 7 increasing. 8 8 developed, we don't know exactly the conditions and the In parallel with that, you've got the behaviour of 9 9 the occupants. When will they first realise or be timing under which all the materials burned, and we 10 10 certainly can't predict how each individual person would warned that there is a fire? When will they decide to 11 have reacted to what they were exposed to. 11 evacuate? How long will it take them to evacuate? How 12 12 does that parallel with the threat, the hazard, that is But by taking into account a lot of the work that 13 I've done in previous years in the laboratory and also 13 facing them? 14 14 in fire investigation and human behaviour, taking into Essentially, in a design context, what we want to 15 the context of what we do know about Grenfell and what 15 ensure is that the available safe escape time, the time 16 the witnesses have told us, I hope by the end of today 16 available for escape, is greater than the time people 17 17 you'll agree with me that I'm starting to get quite need to escape by an appropriate safety margin. 18 a good picture, if you like, of essentially what 18 I've kind of illustrated that in principle down in 19 19 happened to people at Grenfell. the bottom corner here (Indicates). So normally the 20 So I hope that clarifies some of these points. 20 work I do, I'm concerned with actually trying to get 21 So why is my Phase 1 report based mainly on generic 21 people to evacuate very quickly in a fire situation, so 22 22 evidence on fire hazards rather than specifically on one is very interested in the time from detection to the 23 Grenfell evidence? Well, it's because we do have a lot 23 fire alarm and the time from the fire alarm to when 24 more detailed information from previous incidents and 24 people start to move. Generally speaking, if people 25 25 investigations. Unfortunately, there are certain start to be alerted and warned early on, they start to Page 14 Page 16

move early on, there's usually a golden early period 1 5 minutes when there's hardly any smoke or gases in the 1 2 2 during any fire when people can make a safe escape. experimental rig, and during that time, if a person was 3 The problem with fires, as illustrated by this curve 3 standing under those conditions in that room, they would 4 here (Indicates), is that the rate at which they get 4 have 4 or 5 minutes of easy time for escape. But after 5 worse tends to get exponentially greater. So if you 5 that, the fire suddenly becomes very serious, and they delay, then you get caught by this time period when the 6 would be in a lot of trouble. That's why in any fire 6 7 7 conditions can very, very rapidly deteriorate. hazard assessment situation, I'm interested in how these 8 8 A stark example of that was the Bradford stadium different hazards develop with time during the fire. 9 fire which I had some involvement with the investigation 9 Now, in order to do a detailed analysis of the 10 of in 1985. This was all captured on television. The 10 hazards in a fire, ideally when I am investigating 11 match was being televised and you can probably find the 11 an incident there are a number of things that one needs 12 video on the web if you want to look for it. 12 to have. 13 The point here was that at the early stages here, 13 The first thing is a detailed fire incident investigation. This is in order to obtain in-depth 14 the fire was burning underneath the stand, and you see 14 15 people here standing around not too concerned initially. 15 information on the interactions between what is 16 But very rapidly then the fire went to flashover, and 16 happening to the building, how the fire is developing sadly people went running onto the pitch and the heat 17 17 and the effects on the occupants. This would involve 18 from the fire was igniting their hair. 18 the sort of things that have been done for Grenfell: 19 So conditions can deteriorate very rapidly once 19 examining the remains in the building, interviews with 20 things start to develop. 20 survivors, and finding their detailed experiences, all 21 Now, in order to try to understand the hazards in 21 that kind of basic fire investigation work you've been 22 22 a specific fire and when it becomes dangerous, ideally hearing about from the other experts. 23 what I need is to determine the time concentration 23 It would also involve toxicology and pathology 24 curves for the fire hazards occupants were exposed to, 24 studies, and this applies both to people who may have 25 25 died in the fire, and also to people who have survived because I'm trying to work out how long this time Page 17 Page 19 1 available is for escape. 1 the fire but had to go to hospital because they've been 2 The three hazards I'm mainly concerned about are the 2 3 smoke, the visibility and density of the smoke, because 3 So in these studies, what we want to know is: to 4 of the way that affects people's ability and behaviour 4 what extent were people burned? That's pretty obvious. 5 to escape; I'm interested, secondly, in the asphyxiant 5 How much smoke did they inhale? You can look at the 6 gases, because when people have inhaled a certain dose, 6 soot in the airways, things like this, both of living 7 7 as I say, they're likely to collapse; and, of course, and dead people. And particularly, there's one very 8 I'm also interested in whether they will be exposed to 8 important and powerful tool that we have and I'm going Q 9 heat and suffer burns during the fire, which in itself to be talking about quite a lot today, and that on this 10 may incapacitate or kill them. 10 slide is labelled COHb. COHb stands for 11 What I'm trying to do is determine the time during 11 carboxyhaemoglobin. 12 the fire at which escape capability was affected by each 12 Basically, what happens in a fire is that there's 13 hazard, because they tend to occur in sequence, and when 13 always a certain amount of a toxic gas called carbon 14 14 collapse and death occurred or is predicted, to find out monoxide, and as a person inhales carbon monoxide, the 15 the effects on occupants' escape behaviour and survival. 15 carbon monoxide combines with the haemoglobin in the 16 Now, on the right-hand side here, I have a set of 16 blood to form carboxyhaemoglobin. The longer the person 17 curves from a reconstruction test of the Rosepark care 17 is exposed to that carbon monoxide and the higher the 18 home fire. I'm going to show you more of these in the 18 concentration of the carbon monoxide inhaled, the 19 next few slides. The only point I'm trying to make at 19 greater the level of carboxyhaemoglobin reached in the 20 the moment is because we did a reconstruction of this 20 21 fire, we have the actual time concentration curves 21 So this is a very important tool in assessing the 22 measured for the heat, the smoke and each of the toxic 22 extent and degree to which people have been exposed to 23 gases in the fire. 23 toxic smoke, because not only the CO but all the other 24 You'll notice here that at the beginning of this 24 toxic gases in the fire are all together. 25 25 particular fire, there's a period of about 4 or So the COHb is a very good measure of this, and it's Page 20 Page 18

1 routinely measured in both fire victims and survivors. 1 exposed to the smoke irritants and asphyxiant gases and 2 2 heat in the conditions during the experimental test So by looking at the carboxyhaemoglobin in the blood of 3 decedents or fatalities or survivors tells us a lot 3 fire. So we don't have people there, but I'm saying if 4 about what they experienced in the fire. 4 we did have a person there, I could calculate what would 5 Now, these fire investigations can give us a lot of 5 happen to them. 6 useful information, but one thing they lack -- and this 6 Like any model or any method, there are certain 7 7 is particularly true for Grenfell -- is there's no variations and uncertainties about this, but it gives us 8 detailed information on the conditions during the fire 8 a good way of predicting what would happen. We do need 9 9 just from an investigation after the event, and no to validate it against real human exposures in actual 10 detailed information on the effects of the individual 10 fire incidents. hazards. Unfortunately, we don't have any devices in 11 Where these methods become quite powerful is when we 11 12 Grenfell which measure carbon monoxide as the fire goes 12 combine all three. Just to give you an example of this, 13 13 supposing I carry out a reconstruction test, such as at on. We do have, however, the fatalities, which in a way 14 gives us a kind of measure. 14 Rosepark, and I measure the carbon monoxide during the 15 15 Okay? That's the first part. test, I'm able to calculate, as part of my analysis, the amount of carbon monoxide taken up by a person who might 16 The next thing that we would like to do if we're 16 17 doing an in-depth investigation is to set up 17 have been standing in that rig, and calculate their 18 18 a full-scale fire reconstruction test to duplicate what carboxyhaemoglobin, say, at the time they were rescued. 19 happened during the actual incident as far as we are 19 So let's say they have been rescued, they may have 20 20 40 per cent carboxyhaemoglobin in their blood. able to do so. 21 If we do such a test then we can, as we did for 21 What I can then do is look at the blood samples 22 22 taken from the actual victims in the real incident and Rosepark, as it says on the right there, we can measure 23 23 those gases, the temperature and the heat and have see if they have similar levels of carboxyhaemoglobin in 24 a complete record of that. 24 their blood to those that I predict from the 25 But even this is not perfect, because the test 25 reconstruction test. And if we find that the two Page 21 Page 23 1 measures are in reasonable agreement, this provides 1 conditions in an experimental duplication or replication 2 of fire are not always identical, no matter how careful 2 validation that the conditions in the fire 3 3 reconstruction test were a good recreation of the you are, to those that occurred during the actual 4 4 conditions in the actual incident. It also gives us incident. And, of course, it doesn't tell us anything 5 about the actual effects on the occupants; all we're 5 a lot of information on how and when the occupants were 6 exposed and overcome in the fire. 6 measuring is gases and smoke. 7 7 So the purpose, then, is to understand how flames I would like to take this point to emphasise, 8 and smoke developed and spread through a building, how 8 because it's important later on, that even if you set up 9 9 and when occupants were exposed to heat and toxic smoke, a large-scale fire test and repeat it several times with 10 the identical set-up of all the fuels and the way you 10 why they were unable to escape and how they died. 11 11 ignite it, fires can be inherently variable, and so that Now, trying to apply that to Grenfell, one way of 12 12 looking at it is that Grenfell can be considered as fire test can develop in several different ways in 13 different circumstances, even though you've done your 13 approximately 100 separate domestic fires, fires in what 14 we call domestic dwellings, ie fires in flats. Looking 14 ultimate best to try and duplicate it. 15 So having a combination of incident investigation, 15 at the totality, there are four main what I'm going to 16 call occupant exposure scenarios. 16 showing the damage, and a test does provide useful 17 17 So the first one, for example, would be where information, but it's not everything. 18 18 occupants are alerted early during the fire, and then The third thing that I can do is to look at the 19 19 human physiological data on exposures to individual fire they evacuate, or perhaps decide to remain, but the 20 gases and mixtures. So what I do is I use some 20 point is that because they've decided to move or take 21 21 action early, they experience no or minimal exposure to physiological calculation methods, most of which I've 22 toxic smoke or heat. They may have some exposure, which 22 developed personally, and they are called FED models, 23 23 may influence their behaviour. But, physiologically, I'm going to explain a bit more about that in a minute, 24 but their main purpose is to predict the timing and 24 they're going to be minimally affected. That's one 25 25 scenario and that applies, in fact, to a lot of people effects of what would happen to somebody if they were Page 22 Page 24

1 who were in Grenfell Tower. 1 had been sprinklers in that care home, which we did. In 2 2 Secondly, they may be in a smoke-free flat for fact, that has resulted in some changes in the legislation in Scotland regarding requirements for 3 a while, but then open the door to the lobby and find 3 4 the lobby filled with a very dense smoke. A very 4 sprinklers. 5 difficult situation because of the decision they are 5 Thirdly, we did another reconstruction where we 6 6 replaced the ordinary doors on each of the residents' then faced with. 7 7 So then, depending on the conditions in that smoke rooms which were involved in the fire with half-hour 8 and the irritants and gases in that smoke, they may then 8 fire doors to see what influence that would've had on 9 decide to shut the door and remain in the flat, which 9 the way the hazards might have developed. Of course, 10 has certain consequences, or they may attempt to move 10 that's quite relevant to some of the issues at Grenfell. 11 through the smoke, which may or may not have other 11 Finally, after that -- this is about the time 12 consequences regarding whether they succeed in escaping. 12 I retired from BRE -- I was asked by the procurator 13 Or they may be in their flats for an hour or more while 13 fiscal to investigate the timeline and effects on the 14 smoke slowly builds up in the flat, perhaps leaking 14 decedents, the fatalities and survivors to understand 15 under the flat entrance door from the lobby, and so they 15 the hazards they were exposed to and how they were 16 have a long, slow, gradually increasing exposure to 16 affected Now, this is some photographs of the rig that we 17 these toxic smoke and gases, rather than a sudden 17 18 cliff-edge high concentration. 18 built to replicate the Rosepark fire. You don't need to 19 Then you may have another situation where at some 19 understand the details of this, except to realise that 20 20 basically what we had here was a sort of dogleg, two point fire appears outside the flat and breaks in, and 21 you've got a rapidly growing flame fire in your flat, 21 corridors, and off these corridors were the individual 22 22 and you then have to deal with the consequences of this rooms where the occupants were spending the night. 23 rapid short-term evacuation. 23 But the point I want to make to you is that in this 24 So those are the kinds of basic exposure scenarios, 24 rig, we have tried as far as we can to exactly replicate 25 25 if you like, that I'm considering here. the original building. Not just the building itself and Page 25 Page 27 1 The other thing, of course, is that there are 1 the bedrooms, but all the original materials. So with 2 a number of different fire development scenarios in 2 the aid of the Glasgow police, we were able to source 3 different flats and other the locations. This refers to 3 the actual materials identical to those that were there 4 the way the fire actually developed, which I'm going to 4 on the night of the fire. For example, that included, 5 talk about a bit. 5 with some difficulty, getting hold of these sort of 6 So I want to talk about Rosepark for two reasons. 6 reclining chair objects, which were heavily padded and 7 One is as an example, if you like, of a fairly ideal 7 were a major source of cyanide during the course of this 8 fire investigation with a lot of information to show how 8 fire 9 9 these techniques can be applied. But secondly because Now, the second set of photographs here shows you 10 I believe quite a lot of the exposure scenarios 10 the rig after the test, and you can see there's quite 11 occurring at Rosepark are relevant to the sorts of 11 a bit of fire damage there. The fire was actually in 12 12 general exposure scenarios faced by most of the Grenfell a cupboard on the left here, it started in a cupboard. 13 13 But another point I want to make to you is that occupants. 14 So this fire occurred in January 2004 and resulted 14 although there's quite a bit of damage here, this fire 15 in 14 deaths of the elderly residents of this care home. 15 was a very short, violent fire, which self-extinguished 16 On behalf of the Scottish Office and the procurator 16 in a few minutes because it was in an enclosed space. 17 17 fiscal, the legal authority in Scotland, the Building So most of the building and the contents and everything 18 Research Establishment, my colleagues and I, were asked 18 else, although damaged, were still there for us to 19 to carry out a full-scale reconstruction of the actual 19 investigate. 20 incident. My part in this was to advise on the set-up 20 This contrasts to some extent with a lot of the 21 of the rig and to assist with the measurement and 21 material at Grenfell, because Grenfell continued for 22 interpretation of the gases and the FED calculations. 22 about 24 hours. A lot of the material that was involved 23 23 Not only that, but, in fact, we were then asked to in the crucial earlier periods of the fire has been 24 carry out another full-scale reconstruction, but this 24 destroyed, whereas at Rosepark most of it was still 25 25 time with sprinklers, what would've happened if there there. Page 26 Page 28

1 1 the fire corridor: 13,000 ppm of carbon monoxide and So I'm just going to talk you through a little bit 2 2 about 800 ppm of cyanide. And it was very hot in the about the Rosepark incident. 3 3 corridor, so anybody standing in the corridor during So, as I say, it started as a short, violent fire in 4 a cupboard, which is shown on the bottom slide here. 4 that period could've collapsed and died within 5 Again, we tried as far as we could to replicate what was 5 a few minutes and possibly suffered some burns, but 6 6 there was nobody in the corridor. in that cupboard. 7 7 But then we have the people in the rooms off the This little graph here shows the temperature in the 8 8 corridor who essentially got the same gas exposure but, cupboard as the fire developed, and you can see that it 9 9 was very short-lived, but it went up to about crucially, not the same heat exposure, and this is 10 1,000 degrees centigrade at its peak. Very, very 10 something I want to develop in regard to Grenfell. I will talk a bit more in a minute about that. 11 violent, short, hot fire. 11 12 The other thing that's important in this case, if we 12 Then we have the people off corridor 3. Basically, 13 13 look at this diagram on the right, this is a plan of the there are various levels of exposure. 14 building, and the bit that we've replicated on our rig 14 So these two people here in closed rooms (Indicates) 15 where the fire was is this dogleg corridor here and all 15 had a slow build-up over a period of about an hour 16 16 before they could be rescued by the fire service. These the rooms off it. 17 There are two corridors, essentially: there's 17 two people here (Indicates), where the red is shown, had 18 18 their doors open, but they had some protection from the a corridor 4 set and a corridor 3. Between the two is fire doors. So their exposure was somewhat similar to 19 a set of fire doors, and these fire doors closed 19 20 20 automatically when the fire started, triggered by the the two blue ones, if you like. 21 detection system. This meant that these corridors and 21 So those four people were alive, survived for nearly 22 22 an hour in the fire, about half an hour before these two the rooms off it were to some extent protected from the 23 23 were rescued, and they were rescued alive. Two of them smoke during the fire. 24 But a particular feature of this incident was that 24 were comatose and never recovered consciousness; in 25 25 other words, they had hypoxic brain damage from the this cupboard contained a lot of aerosol cans. These Page 29 Page 31 1 carbon monoxide and cyanide inhaled. Two of them 1 aerosol cans exploded at intervals during the fire. 2 Each time one of these cans exploded, it caused 2 recovered consciousness and were relatively well for 3 3 a short period. One lady was semi-conscious and she an overpressure in this enclosed system and blew this 4 4 recovered in the ambulance and was talking to the door open, allowing smoke to flow into corridor 3 and 5 the rooms off corridor 3. 5 ambulance service on the way to hospital. When they got 6 6 So what we've ended up with is a whole range of to hospital, blood samples were taken and they were 7 7 treated, but sadly all four of them later died, and they different exposure scenarios, because the people, shown 8 8 in black here, who were in open bedrooms off the fire died after 36 to 48 hours from bronchopneumonia. 9 9 corridor, with their doors open at night, were exposed To some extent, this may have been brought on by the 10 to the full force of all the smoke and gases produced by 10 irritant smoke they'd inhaled. On the other hand, 11 this short fire. But the two people in these rooms here 11 elderly people going to bed rest hospital are very prone 12 12 had their doors closed, and so instead of having to developing bronchopneumonia, particularly if they've 13 a short, violent exposure, they had a long, slow 13 had smoke exposure. 14 14 So they had a lesser exposure than the ones in the exposure as the fire products gradually built up in 15 their rooms, a bit like happened in some of the Grenfell 15 open bedrooms who I predict died within a few minutes. 16 16 flats when smoke was leaking in from the lobbies. Then Then the three pink people here (Indicates) had 17 17 we have the set of people off corridor 3 who had a lower exposure still. One person was just 18 somewhat lesser exposure still. So we have different 18 unconscious, but recovered quickly, the other two were 19 sets we can look at there. 19 conscious when rescued, and they all survived the fire 20 What I was able to do, of course, with this data, as 20 and made a good recovery. 21 I say, is we measured the gases, and then I analysed the 21 Finally, the lady in this room here (Indicates) had 22 effects. 22 her door shut throughout. She had two layers of 23 23 The next slide is a sort of blown-up simple diagram protection. She was unaffected and, in fact, she was 24 of this thing. So, basically, as a result of this fire, 24 sort of joking with the fire officers as they helped her 25 25 we had very high concentrations of asphyxiant gases in out of her room. Page 30 Page 32

q

So you can see a sort of spectrum of different types of exposure and of different effects, all of which I think are relevant to Grenfell, because somebody who is in a flat in Grenfell when the fire breaks in from the outside, through the windows, if they're standing in the same room as the fire's broken in, could be exposed to these rapidly deteriorating conditions with a sudden high peak of both heat and toxic gases, similar to sort of conditions faced by somebody standing in that corridor, if they had been there.

If, however, somebody in a Grenfell flat were to retire to another room and take refuge in another room within their flat after the fire has broken in -- so suppose, for example, the fire's broken in through the lounge window, they may well go and take refuge in a bedroom, and this did happen at Grenfell -- but let's say in this case they left the interior doors in their flat open throughout, then they are going to be in a similar exposure scenario to the people in the open bedrooms of this Rosepark incident.

Whereas those in the closed rooms are relevant to people also in a Grenfell flat who may have shut the internal doors to take refuge, maybe some people took refuge, for example, in a bathroom or the hallway, while there may be a fire the other side of their interior

impairment of the ability of a person to escape, or possibly whether it would collapse or even whether they would die at that point.

So here is an example, which is from the Rosepark fire, and this shows, over a short timescale here of 10 minutes, the concentrations of smoke and toxic gases, asphyxiant gases, in the open bedroom off that fire corridor at bed height, because all the elderly people in the rooms were asleep at the time of the fire in bed.

The lower picture is my fractional effective analysis of the effects that the exposure would have on a person who was in that room on that bed.

So what you can see here is that the green line is the smoke, so there's a lot of smoke flowing in. The red line is the carbon dioxide, which is always produced in fires. The black line is the carbon monoxide, which, as I told you earlier, went to very high concentrations very quickly.

Also, crucially, although it looks like a small blip here, in toxicity terms, there's a high concentration of hydrogen cyanide, another asphyxiant gas.

The lower image shows my calculated predictions of what exposure to that environment would do to a person exposed. What this shows is that around about 4 minutes, this is the smoke line, it's going to get

Page 33

door, burning in another room within the flat.

In order to assess these effects, just a little bit of technical stuff here.

Each toxic gas in a fire at any time, we use the concept of fractional effective concentration, FEC, and fractional effective dose, FED.

FEC is used for those hazards for which the immediate concentration is important, which is the smoke density, whether you can see through the smoke, and the irritant gases in the smoke, because these affect you immediately. If they're there, it affects you; if the smoke clears, you're all right. It's an immediate concentration-related effect.

FED is for those hazards for which a certain dose level has to be acquired over a period of time before a certain effect occurs.

There's more technical explanation of all this is in my report, but in order to follow the slides I'm going to show you, the only thing you need to know is that on any of the charts that I show you, when the line for any hazard, the FEC or FED line for any hazard, crosses 1 on the left-hand axis of the graph, the Y axis of the graph, that is predicting the time during that fire when a certain hazard endpoint is reached, where the hazard has reached a level where it would have some significant

Page 35

very densely smoky in there, and this is crossing the line of 1, so about 4 minutes, a person in that room, had they been able to get up and leave, would've had

had they been able to get up and leave, would've had some difficulty in moving through the smoke.

Now, in the actual incident, all these elderly people were more or less confined to bed, so none of them tried to move out.

But, crucially, after 6 minutes, I'm predicting that a person exposed to this cocktail of asphyxiant gases would become unconscious.

I'm also calculating the uptake of carbon monoxide, and that's predicted to reach a lethal level -- 50 per cent -- at 7.9 minutes.

Another thing I've done in my calculation is to take the cyanide away and calculate how long it would be before somebody became incapacitated if there was no cyanide in this fire. What the analysis shows is that if you didn't have the cyanide, you'd have about an extra minute available to make your escape.

Now, a minute might not sound very much, but in a minute you can move 60 metres and possibly escape from a building.

This is why I feel that in fires like this, particularly fires involving upholstered furniture and things like domestic fires, cyanide can be an important

Page 36

Page 34

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

factor in limiting the time available for people to escape. It's a cause of incapacitation. Not so much a cause of death, but a cause of why people who try to escape may collapse.

But the other term I've got in here is the effects of heat, because we measure the temperature in the bedroom. I told you it was very hot in the corridor. But what's crucially important here -- and this is very, very important and relevant to Grenfell -- is that this is the FED curve for heat for somebody in that open bedroom, and you see that this curve is rising quite slowly and it never gets to 1 on this axis, which means that during this fire, it's predicted that a person in that bed, although they would receive rapidly lethal exposure to asphyxiant gases, they would never suffer from pain -- this is for pain from heat, this analysis -- they would never suffer pain from heat, and they would certainly not suffer from burns.

That was corroborated by the pathology on these victims, because the bodies weren't burned, and they all had very high carboxyhaemoglobin, as the test is predicting.

Now, this slide shows the pathology data, the toxicology data, from the actual victims. What I want to draw your attention to is the red numbers here, which

so they tell us the dose received during the fire.

Now, the pink numbers here are for those four people who were rescued alive but in a serious condition. You'll notice two things. One is that they are somewhat lower than for the fatalities. These were acquired over a long exposure period up to an hour. They are given two numbers there for each one. The reason for that is that for these, the blood samples are measured in survivors when they get to hospital, and on the way to hospital, they're given oxygen -- and this happened at Grenfell to some people -- which washes the carbon monoxide out of the blood, and people can often make a good recovery when that happens. But I can compensate

for that, I can back-calculate from the measurement in hospital to what the dose would've been at the time of rescue.

But in order to do that, I need to know precisely when the blood sample was taken after arrival at hospital. What happened in this case was that although I had all the hospital records -- and I had tremendous access to all the hospital records, all the GP records of these people -- in some of these cases, the time the blood sample was taken, exact time, wasn't recorded. But I know approximately when it was recorded because of the procedures that were going on and the order in which

Page 37

are the carboxyhaemoglobins in the blood of all the fatalities in the open bedrooms that I've said died after about 7 minutes during this fire, you can see that they're all very high.

Now, you may remember I told you that 50 per cent carboxyhaemoglobin is a lethal dose. Most people will collapse and become unconscious at about 30 per cent carboxyhaemoglobin. 50 per cent is a lethal dose. So if 50 per cent is lethal, how come -- most of these people are above 50, but we can see levels of 80, higher levels here.

The reason for that is that once you become comatose and unconscious due to exposure to carbon monoxide, you're not dead. You may have collapsed, but you are still inhaling the carbon monoxide, you're still quietly breathing. So as long as you remain alive, you continue to inhale the asphyxiant gases and your carboxyhaemoglobin continues to increase in your blood until the point where you're heart stops beating and you stop breathing.

At that point, the carboxyhaemoglobin becomes effectively frozen in the blood of that person and is very stable, so it's a very powerful tool. When the autopsy is done, maybe weeks later, those levels of carbon monoxide in the blood are essentially the same,

Page 39

things are carried out in hospital. So I've had to give a range because of that uncertainty, and I suspect in reality some of these people were in the middle of that range.

So these are the people who have not quite had a lethal dose, they survived for a while but then died in hospital. Then the blue lines there are for the people who were either unconscious or awake, and you can see they're lower still. So you've got this range of effects.

So where this takes us is that for Rosepark, we have a detailed fire investigation, we have an examination of the fire scene, we have detailed interviews with survivors and other witnesses, so we do have some of that for Grenfell. But what we don't have for Grenfell is a full-scale reconstruction fire test of the parts of the building affected by the fire, including the same materials and contents as in the actual incident.

We had replication at Rosepark of the original fire, with measurement of the time concentration curves. We had calculation of the incapacitating effects, the FED calculations. We had all the data for carboxyhaemoglobin, both from the test and from the actual fatalities. And so by comparing the two, we're able to make a good analysis of the situation.

Page 38

Page 40

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Q

So when I compare that to what we have for Grenfell, we have some quite important differences, because for Grenfell we have a very large and complex fire, with differing development in individual flats, so a full-scale reconstruction would just not be feasible, and the fire burned for a very long period, as I mentioned, so the building and its contents were very different after the fire than they were during the early stages, when most of the occupants were exposed to heat, possibly, and particularly to toxic smoke. The combustible contents of many flats were completely burned out, so we can't say exactly when they burnt or what was there at the time.

Similarly, for the fatalities, many of the bodies of

Similarly, for the fatalities, many of the bodies of people whose remains were recovered from the flats were almost completely consumed during this extended fire, so it's difficult to establish the conditions they were exposed to during the fire before they died.

Also, the pattern of fire development and smoke spread into and through the tower was very complex, involving penetration into the flats of a proportion of combustion products from the exterior cladding and insulation materials, from the structural materials around the windows, and then successive involvement of fires in the contents of different flats.

likely general effects on Grenfell occupants.

But we do have some very valuable data from the actual Grenfell incident. In order to validate these estimates of these generic effects for individual Grenfell occupants, I am making a detailed examination of the witness statements and the oral evidence, and also very valuable are the transcripts of the emergency calls from the occupants during the incident.

I have been asked questions about whether I've actually listened to these calls and, mainly because of time pressure, so far I haven't done that, but I certainly plan to do so as part of my Phase 2 work.

Now, I would like to really emphasise here that I am finding these witness accounts and transcripts extremely valuable and effective in understanding the experiences of occupants during the fire, and the effects of exposure to toxic smoke, both for those who survived and for many of those who subsequently died during the fire.

So I would like to say that all of those of you who have gone through this traumatic experience and then given these detailed witness statements to the police, and those of you who have given oral evidence in court, be assured that we are taking -- I in particular am taking -- great note and studying with great care all the things that you said. Very valuable.

Page 41

So what can we do for Grenfell? Well, it is possible, as I've explained, to identify a set of different exposure scenarios for Grenfell occupants similar to those common in domestic fire incidents, fires in houses and flats, which happen in their hundreds every year and I've investigated many.

For some of these, we do have a lot of detailed information which I think we can, if you like, translate into the Grenfell situation with regard to developing conditions, and Rosepark is one example of such an investigation.

From the information we do have on the development of the Grenfell fire and its data, from previous incidents and experimental fires, I believe it is possible to estimate the likely effects on Grenfell occupants.

Also, from information on the fire performance, smoke and toxic gas yields, generic versions of the exterior and interior structural materials at Grenfell and typical house and flat contents, such as furnishings and appliances, the possible contributions to development and spread of toxic smoke into and through the tower with time can be estimated, but only very approximately.

So I've used these above sources to estimate the

Page 43

Also, the witness descriptions of how smoke and flames penetrated different flats, the lobbies and the stair are also providing me with a good understanding of these aspects, which I'm using to validate my generic predictions of likely smoke development, spread and composition.

Also, I mention the importance of carboxyhaemoglobin. We do have some blood toxicology data for Grenfell, blood samples are available for actually about 20 fatalities, but there was only 15 of those for which the toxicologist was able to assign a numerical value for the carboxyhaemoglobin in their blood. Some of these people died in flats, some in lobbies and some on the stair. I've also viewed photographs of the remains of these fatalities. I'm currently awaiting the full autopsy reports, which I will consider as part of Phase 2.

So this information, taken together with the witness accounts and the emergency call transcripts, considered in the context of data from previous incidents, is providing me, I feel, with a good basis for assessment of causes of incapacitation and death for Grenfell fatalities.

Now, I just want to briefly, at this point, before I go more deeply into some of this material, address one

Page 42

Page 44

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

1	very important issue, which is: were the Grenfell	1	was when I was at BRE.
2	fatalities affected by exposure to heat or burns before	2	In those days we had an experimental house, like
3	they died, or were they overcome by toxic smoke?	3	a typical semi-detached house at the time, in our hangar
4	Now, I stress that my work is still preliminary, but	4	in Cardington, Bedfordshire, where we could do the
5	from my review of the Grenfell-specific evidence so far,	5	experiments, and we carried out a series of fires,
6	and taken in light of data from previous incidents, it's	6	looking at the toxic hazards from upholstered furniture.
7	a strong possibility that those who died did so from	7	All these fires involved setting fire to armchairs,
8	smoke inhalation rather than being burned, and I'm	8	basically, as shown in the bottom-left there. We had
9	placing particular emphasis here on the available	9	them in a flat rig, with a room, corridor and a bedroom,
10	carboxyhaemoglobin data.	10	but we also had this two-storey house where we did most
11	I'd also want to point out that while inhalation and	11	of the tests.
12	exposure to irritant smoke can be quite unpleasant and	12	What I want to explore with you particularly here is
13	cause breathing difficulties and discomfort, exposure to	13	the hazards faced by somebody who was upstairs during
14	asphyxiant gases, people are totally unaware that	14	such a fire.
15	they're inhaling them, they have no real effect, until	15	So what happened in these fires was we'd ignite the
16	the point where you suddenly feel dizzy and collapse.	16	furniture, and this is also true for anybody who is in
17	So dying from carbon monoxide, even if there's cyanide	17	a room with a fire downstairs, and that is initially you
18	in the mixture, is not a painful death. You basically	18	see the conditions are reasonably benign, you can stand
19	faint then go into slowly a coma and die.	19	here in that room and watch that fire when it's that
20	I think that might be important to the relatives.	20	sort of size.
21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would that be a good point to have	21	But if you can just make it out, already a layer of
22	a break?	22	smoke is building up under the ceiling. So any toxic
23	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes.	23	products that are formed by this smoke and any heat is
24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, we do have a break roughly	24	taken up to the ceiling. So if you were in the room
25	every hour. We'll take one now.	25	down here, you're not immediately exposed to them.
	Page 45		Page 47
1	I'm going to ask you, please, not to discuss your	1	That layer of smake gradually falls down a hit like
2	evidence with anyone once you leave the room.	2	That layer of smoke gradually falls down, a bit like an inverted bathtub filling with water, until in many
3	We'll come back at 11.15. If you go with the usher,	3	of these fires, we had the room to the hallway open and
4	she'll look after you.	4	some we had it closed. And when we had the room door
5	PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you.	5	open, when the smoke gets below the level of the top of
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much.	6	the doorway, called the soffit, the smoke flows out into
7	Right, 11.15, please.	7	the hall, upstairs, mixes with air and fills the landing
8	(11.05 am)	8	above and all open areas within the house.
9	(A short break)	9	Because this is an enclosed system, after 10 minutes
10	(11.15 am)	10	or so, these fires self-extinguish. They went out
11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, professor, happy to carry	11	because they used up sufficient oxygen for the fire to
12	on?	12	stop burning. But this left this house filled with
13	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes, if we can get the display.	13	a highly toxic atmosphere, evenly distributed throughout
14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You're cut off at the moment.	14	all the open spaces. Upstairs we had a closed bedroom
15	PROFESSOR PURSER: I may have ended the presentation. We	15	which we measured conditions in, and we also had an open
16	might have to get someone to start it again.	16	bedroom.
17	(Pause)	17	This is the conditions in the landing here. So you
18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can you pick it up from there?	18	have 5,500 ppm carbon monoxide, you have 5 per cent
19	PROFESSOR PURSER: I think so, yes.	19	carbon dioxide, which stimulates breathing and increases
20	(Pause)	20	the rate of uptake of other gases. You have some
21	Right, okay.	21	decrease in oxygen, but not too worrying. But you also
22	Now, apart from the Rosepark study I mentioned	22	have a very high concentration of cyanide, which is
23	earlier, some very useful work I was involved in quite	23	caused by burning the foam in the furniture and the
24	a long time ago now was some full-scale reconstruction	24	covers on the furniture.
25	fires, looking at typical domestic fire scenarios. This	25	You also have these irritant organic chemicals that
	Page 46		Page 48
		-	

1 sting your eyes and make it difficult to breathe. And,	1 from where he was r	
2 of course, it's hot.		with these gases, if this person
But, as I told you before in relation to Rosepark,		to the landing, what would happen to
4 although it may be very hot in this room here, by the		t thing would be they can't see.
5 time the smoke has come and mixed and gone upstairs, and		ithin seconds, is they would be
6 the structure has absorbed some of the heat, the		ritants, which would affect their
7 temperature actually on the landing here is not too		ng and be very unpleasant.
8 bad: it's 60 degrees centigrade. Now, you wouldn't want	-	back at that point but continue,
9 to spend all day at 60 I mean, it's well below the		e going to start taking some breaths
temperature of a sauna, for example, but you'd sense	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	aling these very high concentrations
11 that it was hot.		In my example here, they've gone
What I would like to explore with you is the		, because of that, they've collapsed
dilemma, if you like, or the conditions faced by	at the bottom of the	
somebody who might have been asleep in this bedroom here		fore, they're still alive, but
15 (Indicates).		breathe these toxic gases. If
By the way, these photographs this is the top of	•	at point and treated with oxygen in
17 the stairs looking down, early in the fire (Indicates),		may make a good recovery. If
and the right-hand side shows the camera view about		evere exposure, as did my four cases
5 minutes later. You can see that by that time, you		ey may suffer permanent brain
20 can't see anything either in the room where the fire is	•	they'd never recover. A common
21 or, crucially, upstairs on the landing. You can't see		e who have been poisoned by carbon
the hand in front of your face, which is something that		ney don't have obvious neurological
23 was said by many Grenfell occupants when they tried to		om personality changes. Or it may be
step out of their front door into the lobby at Grenfell.	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	cted, they never recover
25 So what will this person do in this situation?	consciousness.	
Page 49	P	Page 51
Let's suppose they're asleep and the smoke alarm goes	1 Alternatively, the	ey may have inhaled a dose of smoke
2 off. So they go to this door which is closed and they		s is a fairly common occurrence
open it, and what are they faced by? They are faced by		a few hours, three hours after the
4 this dense smoke, and a bit of it is going to come in		ney may go through a crisis where
5 and it will sting their eyes and be very unpleasant. So	-	na and inflammation. So all the
6 obviously their first reaction is most likely to be to		ve inhaled causes water to build up
7 shut the door and stay in the bedroom.		at makes it very difficult to
8 They will then either remain there, maybe they'll	<u> </u>	ay actually die at that point or they
9 call the fire service or, being a two-storey house, they	9 may, with treatment	
10 may attempt to escape through the window.	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	kind of hazards that people will
Now, I've come across many examples of this in real	11 face.	that of hazards that people will
life. I've known people who have done exactly this.		here I show the FED analysis for
13 They've opened the door, they've found conditions very		dy stepping out onto that landing.
14 frightening. In one particular recent case I worked on,		r when any of these lines crosses 1
15 they actually got out and came down a drainpipe to		own here (Indicates).
16 escape. Three young people.	=	is that the concentration of
17 In another one, which is quite interesting in this		mediately about 15 times the
18 context, a man woke like this, he started to go down the		would be predicted to give you
19 stairs, and he said, "As I tried to go down the stairs,		ssing through it and breathing it.
20 I felt that it was hot." Not painful, but just		but it would make things
21 something wrong. And of course it was very smoky and	21 difficult.	out it would make unings
22 irritant, and, of course, he didn't know what was below;		se of the asphyxiant gases here,
23 it could've been a raging inferno, for all he knew. So	•	erall effect which are added to
24 halfway down the stairs, he turned around and went back,	-	s would cause, after a couple of
25 took refuge in the bedroom and called the Fire Brigade,		cular atmosphere, the person to
Page 50	P	Page 52

likely collapse unconscious. When I remove the cyanide, 1 ways, or as important, is the behavioural effect. 2 2 they've got 150 seconds. So you can see here, in this I've summed that up in one line almost here, but there 3 3 case, the presence or absence of cyanide could make a were two big studies done, one actually at the BRE fire 4 significant difference to whether they're able to get 4 research station by Dr Wood, and one in America by 5 down the stairs and out through the front door, which 5 Professor Bryan at the University of Maryland, where 6 will only take 10/20 seconds or so. 6 Torero is -- in fact, Torero is now the Bryan professor 7 7 So that's an example of a sort of scenario or at Maryland, and I've taught at Maryland 8 8 situation that was faced by a lot of Grenfell occupants. What these people did was they analysed a lot of 9 There's two sides to this: the behavioural side and the 9 incidents, and they were looking at this kind of 10 physiological side. 10 decision-making: when do people decide to continue, at 11 So the behavioural side of it is: I've got this very 11 what smoke conditions will they turn back? 12 difficult decision to make -- which was faced by 12 They found, simplifying it, that once you get to 13 Grenfell occupants in some cases several times during 13 about 4 metres' visibility, quite a large proportion of 14 the course of the fire. Early in the fire, you open the 14 people will turn back rather than continue through the 15 door, you see smoke, maybe this was after about 01.30 on 15 smoke. So just the density of the smoke and, to some 16 the morning of the fire, when there was a lot of smoke 16 extent, its irritancy, because these are real incidents, is having a profound effect on their escape behaviour 17 in those lobbies, and you have to make this difficult 17 18 18 decision: do I stay put or do I decide to try and make and capability. 19 it to the stair and go out? If I go to the stair and 19 However, I would point out that I believe that this 20 get out, that's over, but if I decide to stay put, I may 20 effect, and from the studies I've made, very much 21 then have to revisit this decision later on as 21 depends on the context, all right? So if you imagine 22 22 conditions deteriorate outside the flat. somebody who is in, for example, a Grenfell flat, and 23 So I think that example shows you some of the issues 23 the flat fills with smoke -- this could be like 24 that people were facing and the consequences of doing 24 a flat 6, the column of flats in the flat 6 location of 25 25 one thing or the other. the tower, during the early stages of the fire. The Page 53 Page 55 1 I just want to briefly talk a little bit about some 1 fire comes in and the smoke comes in from outside and 2 of these different hazards. 2 fills your flat. No matter how dense that smoke is, you 3 3 The first one is smoke. This is some work done are going to be very highly motivated to get to your 4 years ago by Professor Jin in Japan, where he got 4 front door and out into the lobby, which at that time 5 volunteers to walk down a corridor while being exposed 5 was relatively smoke-free. So I would say that whatever 6 6 to smoke to see how their movement, speed and ability to the density, you will try. I've come across lots of 7 progress was affected. 7 incidents where people have moved through very dense 8 8 What Professor Jin found was that when he used smoke, as indeed they did at Grenfell. 9 9 non-irritant smoke, which is this blue line here, people On the other hand, if you're in a reasonably 10 with no smoke walked at about 1 to 1.1 metre per second, 10 smoke-free flat and you open the door and see dense 11 that's typical walking speed, but once the smoke density 11 smoke in the lobby, then you're much more likely to stay 12 12 got such you could only see about 2 metres, their where you are and shut the door. So it depends on the 13 behaviour changed from walking as if they could see to 13 context. 14 walking as if they're feeling their way in darkness. Do 14 The other thing I want to talk about is the 15 you understand? Feeling their way along the walls and 15 asphyxiants. Again, it's why there is a difference, 16 16 things like that. At that point, their speed was really, between cyanide and carbon monoxide. In these 17 17 greatly reduced to 0.3 metres per second. experiments here, subjects were exposed to carbon 18 But when he replaced the smoke with irritant smoke, 18 monoxide at different concentrations. It's on the 19 19 he found the same phenomena occurred at a 5-metre right-hand axis here. What we're looking for is the 20 optical density. The combination of optical and 20 dose, which is roughly the concentration to which 21 irritancy gave the same effect. So although 21 they're exposed multiplied by the time until they became 22 theoretically you could see for 4 or 5 metres, you were 22 unconscious. still affected. 23 23 So you can see here at the top left-hand there, when 24 So that's the physical affect on progress. 24 they were exposed to 1,000 parts per million, then 25 25 The other affect that is more important in some collapse occurred, unconscious after 26.6 minutes. If Page 54 Page 56

1	you multiply those two figures together, you get	1	asphyxiant death in fires is, in fact, carbon monoxide.
2	26,600 ppm minutes. That's the sort of measure of dose.	2	That's the main agent causing death, or it's consistent
3	When they're exposed, at the bottom of that column,	3	with that.
4	to 8,000 ppm, then they collapsed after about 3 minutes.	4	However, there is a bit of a left shift here, there
5	Multiplying the two together gives you roughly the same	5	are some people here (Indicates), and so this tells us
6	number.	6	that there's something else as well in fires that's
7	So there's a very clear relationship that, over	7	contributing. Although CO is the main thing, there's
8	these timescales at least, the effects of CO are purely	8	something else, and that may well be cyanide and some
9	dose-related.	9	other things in fires.
10	But this contrasts with the effect of cyanide here.	10	Now, the right-hand curve is from a Polish
11	Now, with cyanide, when they're exposed to 87	11	researcher whose name was Pach, and this is about
12	parts per million, they were able to function for half	12	survival as opposed to death. So in this case, these
13	an hour. Multiplying the two together gives you 2,610.	13	are people who have been rescued, having had carbon
14	But at 300 ppm, they collapsed after 0.9 of	14	monoxide poisoning, and the probability that with
15	a minute, very, very quickly. Multiply them together,	15	treatment they would survive.
16	you get 270.	16	What this shows is up to about 30 per cent
17	What this tells us is that a long exposure to a low	17	carboxyhaemoglobin here, everybody survives, but then
18	concentration, which in this context is less than 100	18	you see the survival rate drops off very quickly, and
19	parts per million of cyanide, can be tolerated quite	19	the 50 per cent survival rate, which is what
20	well. But if you're exposed to twice that	20	toxicologists look for, is indeed at 50 per cent
21	concentration, say 200 to 300, then you're going to	21	carboxyhaemoglobin, whereas very few people survived
22	collapse within a couple of minutes. That's partly why	22	having had 60 to 80 per cent carboxyhaemoglobin.
23	I believe cyanide causes incapacitation in fires. Not	23	In the next slide, I've compared Pach's data to the
24	necessarily death, but incapacitation.	24	Rosepark data. So here we have all the people who were
25	This slide is about carboxyhaemoglobin in blood.	25	dead in their rooms very quickly at Rosepark, and you
	Page 57		Page 59
1	This study on the left here was done by Professor Gordon	1	see they're all in this very high COHb group. They died
2	Nelson in the United States, and it's a very large	2	from smoke poisoning. The reason I'm going into this in
3	forensic database of people who have died from carbon	3	such detail is because of what we're going to see in the
4	monoxide poisoning, essentially, either in fires or from	4	Grenfell victims.
5	CO poisoning itself.	5	These are the four ladies who were rescued alive but
6	So the green bars here are the concentrations of	6	then died in hospital. They're in the middle, almost at
7	carboxyhaemoglobin in the blood of fatalities, of people	7	this 50 per cent point. These are the ones that
8	who have died from accidental carbon monoxide exposure,	8	survived. So we have good agreement with the Polish
9	either caused by faulty home heaters, faulty boilers,	9	data.
10	things like that, or in this case it's quite an old	10	This slide is from a London Fire Brigade database of
11	database mostly young men committing suicide by	11	burns and carboxyhaemoglobin in fire fatalities in the
12	exposing themselves to exhaust fumes in the garage,	12	London area. I think it was for one particular year,
13	before we had catalytic converters.	13	I forget the exact year. The point about this is that
14	What this shows is there's quite a range of	14	we have not only a record of the extent of burns the
15	sensitivity in the population before people actually	15	white bars are burns, and the grey bars are serious,
16	die, but most of these people have got at least 40 to	16	possibly life-threatening burns, the black is the number
17	50 per cent or more. The mode, the most common, most	17	of cases with different levels of carboxyhaemoglobin.
18	frequent dose at death is between 70 and 80 per cent	18	Importantly, these two pictures, one is for people
19	carboxyhaemoglobin. A few people survive until they're	19	who are not in the same room as the fire, and this is
20	at nearly 100 per cent, amazingly, before they actually	20	for people who are in the same room as the fire
21	die.	21	(Indicates). What you can see is that most people who
22	Now, if you compare that to the red bars, which are	22	have died in a room other than the fire room, so for
23	for people who have died in fires but not burned, then	23	example in a bedroom at Grenfell when the fire was in
24	you can see that it follows roughly the same pattern.	24	the lounge, all have very high carboxyhaemoglobin
25	That gives us some confidence that the main cause of	25	levels, and very little, if any, burns. These are
	Page 58		Page 60

1 1 The result of that is that the combustion is very people dying from smoke inhalation. 2 2 Whereas in the room of fire origin, there are quite efficient, so that generally the products of combustion 3 3 a lot of people who have very low carboxyhaemoglobin are carbon dioxide, water and heat, and anyway all that 4 levels, less than about 20 or so, and a high incidence 4 goes up to the ceiling -- so we're all safe for a while, 5 of burns. So these are people who basically have died 5 you'll be pleased to hear -- and forms a layer under the ceiling, and it is some time before we're exposed to it. 6 from burns before they had time to inhale a high dose of 6 7 7 carbon monoxide. But if the room is enclosed, this layer quickly 8 8 So if we find certain levels in a body of a person fills down, and once the flames are burning in their own 9 who has died, and that body then gets burned, but we 9 smoke, as it were, in this oxygen-depleted layer, then 10 find these very high concentrations, in most cases that 10 the combustion process becomes very inefficient. The 11 tells us that they died from carbon monoxide or smoke 11 products of inefficient combustion are a big increase in 12 poisoning rather from burns. If they've only 10 or 12 the yields of carbon monoxide from any carbon in the 13 20 per cent, then we suspect that it may have been heat 13 fuel, smoke itself, that goes up, and if there's any 14 and burns that killed them. So what I'm telling you is 14 nitrogen in the fuel, you start to generate a lot of 15 that all the Grenfell cases up here somewhere 15 hydrogen cyanide. That's what's happened in these 16 16 (Indicates). furniture fires here. 17 Very briefly, this is the effect of how we calculate 17 Now, briefly -- I will address this now just in 18 time to effect from heat. There are two kinds of heat 18 passing -- this may have some relevance to the 19 exposure in fires: one is heat radiation, which comes 19 conditions when the PIR was burning on the outside of 20 directly from the fire and from the hot upper layer when 20 the tower, because the PIR insulation, initially at 21 you have hot smoke; the other is if you're enveloped in 21 least, is encased in a cavity with the rainscreen 22 22 smoke and exposed to hot air, hot atmosphere, this is cladding outside it. So it's in a sort of enclosed 23 human data on time of tolerance. 23 space, like a big pipe, almost. 24 There is one important point I want to draw to your 24 When a fire occurs in that material, at the bottom 25 25 attention for this curve, which is at 200 degrees of the area where the flaming is, it's exposed to quite Page 61 Page 63 1 1 centigrade in air, it's possible for volunteers to good air conditions. There's air coming up from below 2 tolerate that for several minutes before they start to 2 and there's the fuel being exposed, and the two combine 3 3 to combust. Those products then continue up due to feel pain to their exposed skin. 4 4 This is of some relevance to Grenfell, because you buoyancy. 5 may remember Dr Lane found that some of the light 5 Those products are now depleted in oxygen, but the 6 diffusers in the stair -- I believe it was between 6 heat from the fire is likely to decompose the same 7 7 material higher up the pipe. That material decomposed floors 12 and 16, around there, I went and saw these 8 myself -- were softened by heat. So she decided that 8 higher up the pipe is now going to encounter, those 9 9 the temperature in the stair may have been in this sort thermal decomposition products, we call them, a hot 10 of region. 10 plume which is depleted in oxygen. 11 I'm saying that even if it was as hot as that, 11 What's important in this context is the fuel-air 12 12 especially above head height, whereas it was cooler ratio at various points. So when there's excessive air 13 lower down, people could still have got through those 13 for combustion, as in this case, then combustion is 14 14 few floors without necessarily suffering severely, efficient. But when there's more fuel than air, as in 15 although in reality this may have occurred after most 15 this case, or possibly may have occurred for a period in 16 people had moved. 16 some of this cladding, insulation, then you tend to get 17 17 I want to talk a bit now about scenarios. We've higher yields of toxic products. 18 18 talked about some obviously here. These are the The term we use for this is the equivalence ratio, 19 enclosed scenarios I've described. 19 which is in relation to the fuel-air ratio. So when the 20 One important point about these which I'm going to 20 equivalence ratio is less than 1, that's sufficient 21 talk about a bit later is that when a fire first starts 21 combustion, low toxicity; when this ratio is greater 22 to burn in an enclosed room, initially, if I set fire to 22 than 1, you can get highly toxic products. 23 this laptop here, we have lots of nice fresh air from 23 Going back to these room fires, though, there's 24 the room coming in to support the flaming combustion. 24 another important aspect, because all the fire tests 25 All right? 25 I've described to you so far were conducted in enclosed

Page 62

Page 64

situations where the fire grew, then became 1 Just to say that we have these different rigs. 2 2 under-ventilated, then more or less went out. I mentioned the flat rig, and this is the open rig where Now, at Grenfell, that would be sort of equivalent 3 3 we studied these more developed fires. 4 to fire coming in around a closed window on the outside 4 I'm going to go a bit quicker now. I think 5 of Grenfell, which was described by some people. So you 5 I've covered most of this. may have fire in your flat, but at this point the This illustrates the conditions in one of these 6 6 7 7 windows are still intact. That would be a sort of furniture fires with time, as it goes on. You can see 8 situation similar to these room fires. Instead of the 8 after 5 minutes, you can't see anything if you're in 9 furniture burning, it's structural materials, initially 9 that room. 10 at least, around the window area, and may then spread to 10 But the amount of damage you can see here is quite 11 involve the contents. That's going to remain 11 small. I think on the slides and in my report, a relatively small fire, and it's going to become very 12 12 I mentioned a number of times that, in order to create 13 toxic, very poisonous, very quickly. 13 lethal conditions in the enclosed volume of a flat or 14 But a very different situation occurs if the window 14 house, you really only need to burn about 5 to 15 is fully open or, in particular, as at Grenfell, if the 15 7 kilograms of material, which represents in this case 16 window glazing fails and the whole window falls out. 16 about a third to a half of that armchair. That was 17 Now, when that happens, you're in this kind of 17 enough to make lethal conditions in the house. 18 18 situation (Indicates), where you have continuous air This slide shows the conditions -- that's the 19 coming in through the bottom of the window to feed the 19 landing again, and this the -- can't see anything after 20 fire. The fire can then get bigger and hotter, and it 20 5 minutes. Top-right shows the FED for the occupants of 21 can continue to burn until all the fuel, including all 21 the open bedroom upstairs. A crucial difference here is 22 22 the contents, has burned away. although they are going to be overcome by the same smoke 23 The consequence of this is that the fire, the upper 23 very quickly, they're not troubled by heat, because the 24 layer, becomes very hot, and the downward thermal 24 heat has gone. There's heat downstairs, but it's been 25 25 radiation from the hot upper layer, once it gets to the lost from this more sheltered place. Page 65 Page 67 1 1 about 600 degrees centigrade, can ignite fuels remote This slide compares the smoke in a situation where 2 from where the fire has started. So you may have a fire 2 we've closed the lounge door and measured the smoke 3 3 throughout the house. This is an ordinary door, not initially burning near the windows, and the hot plume is 4 4 a fire door. And then we've measured the -- so the flowing towards the back of the flat. Once those 5 temperatures get so high, even those materials can 5 left-hand one is the enclosed fire, the right-hand one 6 6 ignite. is the one with the door open. It's a bit hard to 7 7 understand here but, basically, this is the smoke There is a very clear example of this from 8 8 experiments we carried out at BRE many years ago. On density, and these lines here are inside the closed 9 9 the right here, although you can't make it out in the room, and you can see they very rapidly go up to the top 10 shot, this is a sofa we ignited. It's burning fiercely. 10 of the graph. 11 This is the point of flashover, because this hot upper 11 But the conditions in the hallway and landing and 12 12 layer now is radiating down, and you can perhaps just other rooms are much less smoky, and this demonstrated 13 see it's just igniting all the newspapers and various 13 that if you're in an ordinary two-bedroom house, and you 14 14 had taken the trouble to shut the lounge door before you materials on this occasional table. So that is the 15 exact point at which flashover occurred in this room. 15 go to bed, if you have a fire in your lounge, you have 16 Now, as you can see, these conditions are extreme, 16 a very good chance of getting past it to the front door, 17 17 and certainly nobody could survive for more than a few if you shut the door. But if you leave the door open, 18 18 as you can see just at a glance, the whole of the house seconds in that room. But one of the questions is: what 19 would happen to them in the rest of the flat? Would 19 rapidly gets filled with dense, toxic smoke. 20 they be able to survive any longer if they took refuge? 20 This shows the condition in Rosepark for somebody 21 21 taking refuge in a closed room, and you can see the I think conditions like this would be difficult to 22 survive for more than a few minutes, even if you took 22 contrast. Instead of having these rapidly increasing 23 23 refuge somewhere, although it's quite a complicated concentrations, you have a very, very slow, gradual 24 situation and there are many different variants we've 24 filling-up over a period of an hour or so and uptake of 25 25 observed in different flats at Grenfell. carboxyhaemoglobin by the occupants.

Page 66

Page 68

1	So this shows how somebody who has taken refuge in	1	Grenfell.
2	a flat, for example, at Grenfell, which happened in many	2	So, in summary, using data from past incidents and
3	cases, the situation was that they found themselves,	3	full-scale experiments, coupled with FED physiological
4	when they opened the front door to the landing, they	4	methods for calculating time to incapacitation and
5	couldn't see their hand in front of their face,	5	death, it's possible to identify a set of fire exposure
6	darkness, so they were then trapped in the flat for what	6	scenarios occurring at Grenfell and the likely effects
7	may be an hour or so at Grenfell. They would've been	7	on occupants.
8	facing a situation like this with a slowly building-up	8	By expressing the FED tenability as a function of
9	dose.	9	visibility, as I did in the last slide, it's possible to
10	Right, I think we're nearly there.	10	estimate the hazards from irritant smoke and asphyxiant
11	Now, for any given smoke visibility, how far can	11	gases at different times and locations at Grenfell from
12	people go before they collapse from asphyxia? These	12	witness accounts of visibility and reported toxicity
13	examples that I've shown you, fire scenarios and	13	symptoms.
14	effects, can be useful to estimate the likely	14	Carboxyhaemoglobin measurements in the blood of some
15	experiences and effects on Grenfell occupants exposed in	15	Grenfell fatalities related to that from previous
16	similar situations, including staying in flats with	16	incidents can be used to determine the extent of
17	rapid smoke, slow smoke and going into lobbies. But	17	exposure of these fatalities to toxic smoke or heat and
18	because the time concentration occurs of smoke/gases	18	burns.
19	throughout the Grenfell we don't have that	19	That's the end of that presentation. Thank you.
20	information like I do for the tests, is there another	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
21	way we can come at it? Well, one set of information we	21	Now, would you like another break at this point?
22	do have is descriptions by the witnesses of the smoke	22	Have you got to load something on next?
23	density and toxicity at different times and locations,	23	PROFESSOR PURSER: The next presentation, yes.
24	from the witness statements and the 999 emergency calls,	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would this be a good time to have
25	and because the ratio of smoke density to concentration	25	a short break?
	·		
	Page 69		Page 71
1	is a comptent. I can use this to mudict what would	1	All right. We'll do that now.
1	is a constant, I can use this to predict what would		
	hannan	1	-
2	happen.	2	Just for my benefit, how long is the next
3	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here.	2 3	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think?
3 4	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility	2 3 4	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm
3 4 5	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke	2 3 4 5	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before
3 4 5 6	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking	2 3 4 5 6	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch.
3 4 5 6 7	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you
3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before you would collapse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before you would collapse. So I think this can help us to see how exposure to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank you. (11.55 am)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before you would collapse. So I think this can help us to see how exposure to different smoke densities correlates with the asphyxiant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank you. (11.55 am) (A short break)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before you would collapse. So I think this can help us to see how exposure to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank you. (11.55 am)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm going to speed up and show you this graph here. Basically, this is an image of the visibility compared with time to collapse. So because the smoke visibility and the other toxic gases are tracking together in the fire, if you know the smoke is dense, you know there's a high concentrations of the gases. If the visibility is good, then you have low concentrations. So if, for example, you had somebody in a flat in Grenfell and they could see for 3 metres, they said "Oh, there was smoke coming in the flat, I was there for half an hour, but I could see across the room", how dangerous is that smoke? Well, this graph tells me that after, say, half an hour, you're at nowhere near half a dose that would cause incapacitation. But if that person, having been there for half an hour, then steps out onto the landing and they can't see their hand in front of their face, then according to this curve, you only have 2 or 3 minutes further before you would collapse. So I think this can help us to see how exposure to different smoke densities correlates with the asphyxiant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Just for my benefit, how long is the next presentation, do you think? PROFESSOR PURSER: Just under an hour, I'm afraid. I'm hoping we can at least get through that one before lunch. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, if we came back at 12.05, you reckon you'd finish by 1 o'clock? A. Yes. Then I have the third presentation, which is a shorter one, but I think that is going to go into the afternoon, is it? I'm happy to do it this morning. See how we go. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We'll get on to that. We can't push people too hard. They need their lunch. All right, we'll stop now until 12.05. Again, please don't talk to anyone about your evidence while you're out of the room. Go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right? PROFESSOR PURSER: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, 12.05, please. Thank you. (11.55 am) (A short break)

1	MR RAWAT: Mr Chairman, I hope Professor Purser's second	1	we have these provisions at Grenfell.
2	presentation has been loaded. It should start.	2	But we also have a stay-put policy, and a stay-put
3	Before he begins, can I just repeat the trigger	3	policy means that people are encouraged, basically, to
4	warning that we gave this morning, just because	4	remain in their flats most of the time. There's a lot
5	I think	5	of statistics on this. Many times when there are fires
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We are going to see more potentially	6	in a flat in a tower block, it's restricted to that
7	disturbing material.	7	single dwelling, and so there is no need, in many cases,
8	MR RAWAT: Yes, and the nature of the presentation may be	8	for people to evacuate.
9	distressing in itself.	9	However, the important point is that should things
10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you for making that clear.	10	change so that they become uncomfortable because of the
11	Right, ready to go on, professor?	11	situation, or because, say, smoke or fire comes to
12	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes.	12	another flat in the block, there should still be this
13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.	13	preserved escape route out that should be free of smoke
14	Presentation 2: Fire hazard scenario development and effects	14	and fire at all times.
15	on occupants during the Grenfell incident	15	Now, clearly these requirements were failed, all of
16	PROFESSOR PURSER: Okay. So for this second presentation,	16	them, at some time at Grenfell. For my investigation,
17	I want to address some of the effects believed to	17	then, I'm trying to decide how and when smoke and flames
18	actually be happening in the Grenfell incident.	18	penetrated individual flats, at the beginning,
19	Before I get into the detail of this, I just want to	19	particularly on the east side of the tower. So we have
20	remind you of some information that Dr Lane put up about	20	a column of flats, basically flat 6 on each floor, that
21	the fire requirements and the Building Regulations. The	21	are first affected by penetration from the exterior fire
22	one I want to focus on particularly you remember she	22	moving up the structure. When and how did that happen?
23	told us that there has to be adequate means of escape,	23	How, then, did dense smoke penetrate into the
24	and essentially each flat should be a fire-resisting box	24	lobbies? How did this affect the behaviour, escape
25	and that the fire should not be able to get out of the	25	capability and survival of the occupants? When did it
	Page 73		Page 75
1	flat, the lining should not propagate the fire, and	1	get into stair and have effects in the stair? When,
2	there shouldn't be external fire spread.	2	later, other flats were penetrated by fire, how did that
3	But the one I want to focus on is the means of	3	happen and what did it do?
4	warning and escape:	4	Now, I just want to briefly talk a bit about the
5	"The building shall be designed and constructed so	5	stair at Grenfell.
6	that there are appropriate provisions for the early	6	Why do I want to do that? Well
7	warning of fire, and appropriate means of escape in case	7	MR RAWAT: I'm sorry to interrupt, I just wanted to be
8	of fire from the building to a place of safety outside	8	clear, because Professor Purser's slides are not coming
9	the building capable of being safely and effectively	9	up on the system.
10	used at all material times."	10	PROFESSOR PURSER: Oh, I beg your pardon.
11	So that's a fundamental requirement of the Building	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I was waiting to see one.
12	Regulations.	12	PROFESSOR PURSER: I was ploughing on with great gusto then,
13	Now, at Grenfell, the design attempted to achieve	13	and you can't see anything
14	that partly, as I said, by the fire-resisting	14	MR RAWAT: I understand it's being looked into. It might be
15	construction. But we do have a mean of escape. We had	15	a technical
16	detection in each flat, there were detectors in the	16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: How many slides have we missed,
17	flats. And then you have corridors, hallways, in each	17	professor?
18	flat to enable a person to escape away from a fire in	18	PROFESSOR PURSER: I won't talk to them, I'll just flash
19	their flat in safety into the lobby. The flat entrance	19	them up when it comes back on to remind people.
20	door is a fire-resisting door, which should resist both	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we talking about three or four?
21	fire and smoke contamination of the lobby, so once	21	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes, only three or 4.
22	you're in the lobby, you should be in a smoke-free	22	(Pause)
23	environment. And then we have an extra layer of	23	We're away, thank you.
24	protection before we come to the concrete protected	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: This reflects the
25	shaft of the stair, a fire door on the stair itself. So	25	PROFESSOR PURSER: Building Regulations.
	Page 74		Page 76
			10 (D 72 to 76)

1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and this is what you've just	1	at once, there would be plenty of space and free
2	been telling us. So we can probably go on from here.	2	movement available physically in the Grenfell stair.
3	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes, that's the one about means of	3	Now, my colleague, Professor Galea, who we haven't
4	escape. This is the diagram of the layout showing the	4	heard from yet but is working for Phase 2, will be doing
5	various points I mentioned.	5	an in-depth analysis of this, but I'm just pointing
6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mm-hm.	6	these basic things out.
7	PROFESSOR PURSER: And this is what I'm saying, these are	7	So even in the extreme case, there would be plenty
8	what I'm trying to determine.	8	of room for people in the stair to move freely.
9	I'll now talk a bit about the stair.	9	How long does it take to come down?
10	The reason I'm interested in the stair is because my	10	Well, when I was at Grenfell, I timed myself coming
11	mission, if you like, is to consider the effects of	11	down the stair. I was wearing protective clothing,
12	exposure to toxic smoke. One place you can be exposed	12	heavy boots, a respirator, very restrictive clothing.
13	to toxic smoke is in the stair. Whether or not you are	13	At the time, I was 73 years old. I found I was able to
14	able to get down the stair without collapsing depends	14	descend at a rate of 9.3 seconds per floor, which
15	partly how long it takes you to get down the stair.	15	represents time to get to the bottom nominally of 23
16	So if, for example, we assume that there are	16	floors from the very top of 3.5 minutes. That's
17	approximately 293 persons in Grenfell Tower on the night	17	a person on their own in an empty stair, descending on
18	of the fire, let's suppose that around about 1 o'clock	18	their own.
19	they'd all simultaneously attempted to get into the	19	Evacuation time of a crowd in a building. We have
20	stair and come down the building. How long would it	20	a 1-metre wide stair. The maximum flow rate of people
21	have taken them to do so? If that would've taken	21	down the stair is calculated according to a fairly
22	an hour, and that smoke filled the stair, then that's	22	standard formula. For a 1-metre wide stair, that would
23	a long time to be exposed.	23	be about 42 persons per minute could flow down and out
24	So how long would it have taken? Well, I've done	24	of the bottom of that stair.
25	a lot of work on evacuations and drills and things like	25	So if there was 293 people in the building, that
	Page 77		Page 79
1	that.	1	would represent, if you like, an ideal nominal
2	So for a building designed for simultaneous	2	evacuation time of the whole population to the lobby in
3	evacuation, Approved Document B assumes in its	3	7 minutes, if they all suddenly went into the stair.
4	calculations, which are hidden within the document,	4	Quite a short period of time.
5	a standing density on the stair of four persons per	5	Of course, in practice, we have children, elderly
6	metre squared. So the idea is that the population could	6	people, some of them disabled, and they may be slower,
7	move into the stair of such a building, stand on the	7	and also may block the stair to some extent. But
8	steps and landings in the stair and be in a protected	8	I confirmed I was able to pass somebody on the Grenfell
9	space. But that would be very dense packing and you	9	stair and, indeed, many occupants report, especially the
10	wouldn't be able to move; you'd have to wait for the	10	slower ones, people coming past them on the stair. So
11	building to clear from below you.	11	it was possible to overtake. They also reported going
12	Now, in practice, when I've carried out experiments	12	past fire officers in the stairwell with equipment.
13	of this kind, I found that people will not pack to that	13	So although the single stair at Grenfell was quite
14	level of density, and typically will crowd, if you like,	14	narrow, there was a good handrail and the physical
15	to the extent of two persons per square metre.	15	capacity was sufficient for all occupants to have
16	Now, that density, you would still have to be moving	16	evacuated safely within minutes if there had been some
17	quite slowly as you came down in a group, but you would	17	means of alerting them to evacuate, such as, for
18	be able to move reasonably well.	18	example, a general tower alarm system, which of course
19	At the packing density of two persons per square	19	we didn't have.
20	metre, there would be room for about 460 persons in the	20	So what did people do in practice?
21	Grenfell stair. We've got 290, so you can see that that	21	Well, despite the lack of a general simultaneous
22	would be 20 persons per floor. If the 293 at Grenfell	22	alarm in the tower, the majority of tower occupants
23	went in, that's 13 per floor, which is one person,	23	became aware of the fire at a very early stage. I get
24	roughly, per metre.	24	this from my studies of the witness statements. And
25	So even in the extreme case, where everybody went in	25	they picked it up from a variety of cues, which I've
	and the second s) F
	Page 78		Page 80

1	listed here, but I won't read them all out. Things like	1	evacuate very early on. Indeed, nearly all these people
2	noises, fire engines, smelling smoke, in some cases	2	here on these floors started to evacuate before there
3	detectors going off, and many, many people on all	3	was significant smoke in the lobbies. They were able to
4	floors, even right to the very top, realised at a very	4	make an effective and safe descent because there was
5	early stage that there was something serious going on,	5	virtually no smoke in the stair at that time either.
6	and many of them decided to evacuate at that point.	6	But after that time, the stair is still relatively
7	Some of them decided they would go down just to	7	clear of smoke, but the lobbies are full of dense smoke.
8	investigate, because they were worried about what might	8	So now we have a period where people are opening their
9	be happening below; others made the decision it was	9	flat doors and either deciding to brave going through
10	serious and to get out.	10	the smoke, or they say, "It's too dangerous, I'm going
11	I've tried to summarise this and depict it in this	11	to stay put."
12	slide here on the right.	12	People who did venture out into the lobby had great
13	Now, one thing I realised when I started to read	13	difficulty finding their way to the stair, and a bit
14	these statements and study the evacuation times that	14	like Professor Jin's work, they were feeling their way,
15	were recorded, was that there was a very different set	15	as they describe, along the walls. Some of them had
16	of behaviours on the top 6 floors of the tower,	16	several attempts, had to go back to their flats, maybe
17	floors 18 to 23.	17	have some fresh air from a window, and then they'd try
18	There was a lot of interaction between people within	18	again. Eventually they found their way to the door
19	and between those floors, so it makes a kind of group,	19	leading to the stair, opened it, got into the stair, and
20	a little community, if you like, that were doing certain	20	this is this period here (Indicates). When they got
21	things.	21	into the stair, they found there was some smoke in the
22	So on this graph here, I've plotted the number of	22	stair, but they could see several metres and it wasn't
23	people who are on those top that's the red line	23	too onerous. They were also able to descend safely.
24	here six floors and their evacuation from the tower.	24	But on the top floors here, there was a different
25	Because I had done the top six floors, I then went	25	kind of pattern of behaviour that emerged, which is
	Page 81		Page 83
	1 age of		1 age 03
1	down the tower in groups of six floors. So the next	1	something I need to get into in a lot more detail to
2	chunk, if you like, the next group, is floors 12 to 17	2	understand what was going on. But, basically, a lot of
3	which is the black line, and then floors 6 to 11, which	3	these people did decide at a very early stage to
4	is the brown line. That left me with two floors towards	4	evacuate the tower.
5	the bottom, the fire floor, floor 4, and the floor above	5	I believe what's actually happened here is that
6	that, floor 5, which is the blue line.	6	crucial in this was the behaviour of people on floors 18
7	These two vertical lines here are quite important,	7	and 19. Quite a lot of those people decided to
8	because the red line is the point in time when, from	8	evacuate, they started to come down the stair, and then
9	studying the witness statements, I believe that most	9	for some reason they turned back and went back up, and
10	lobbies became filled with dense smoke that people	10	they went back up to the 23rd floor in most cases. So
11	couldn't see through.	11	instead of coming out of the tower, they took refuge in
12	Now, obviously this is not a point in time, it's	12	other flats within the tower.
13	a process, but this provides a good sort of anchor point	13	Also affected were other people, particularly on
14	for when smoke conditions became serious in the lobbies.	14	floors 20 to 23, who had made a decision very early
15	The times on here are not the times people exited	15	on I'm talking about before 01.30 things were
16	the building; they're the times I've estimated from	16	getting bad, they'd been alerted by people probably from
17	those times that they started, so when they entered the	17	flat 6s who had fire coming into their flats, and they
18	stair wherever they were. I've done that using a very	18	decided "We ought to get out."
19	simple calculation so it's only an estimate where	19	But when they went to the door to the stair to
20	I've assumed you descend at a rate of 12 seconds per	20	decide to come down, they were confronted by this knot
21	floor. So from the exit time, allowing 12 seconds per	21	of people ascending, and I've got some statements here,
22	floor, I can calculate the slightly earlier time at	22	they were saying, "Oh, I was going to go down the stair,
23	which the person entered the stair on whatever floor	23	but these people were coming up saying it's not possible
24	they were on. Okay? That's what's depicted here.	24	to go down, and anyway they were blocking the stair, so
	William the second of the town of the second	25	I was unable to do so."
25	What this shows is that many, many people started to	23	1 was anable to do so.
25	Page 82	23	Page 84

1	So quite a lot of those people also ended up staying	1	encounter a little bit of smoke in the stair and
2	either on their own floor or a different floor, taking	2	thinking it's going to be a lot worse lower down. But
3	refuge in flats, who otherwise probably would've	3	for whatever reason, this was a crucial event in the
4	descended at that time.	4	incident.
5	This is just a summary of some accounts from	5	This is the statement of Dr Naomi Li [IWS00000515].
6	different witnesses who describe the conditions in the	6	This is again at 01.27:
7	stair, how they were able to overtake and various things	7	"45. We immediately went to the staircase and
8	like that. I won't read these out.	8	opened the emergency door. At that point we intended to
9	This question of why people turned back and didn't	9	walk down the stairs and leave the building.
10	come down is so crucial, I think, that we need to spend	10	"46. We saw a lot of people walking up the stairs.
11	a little time thinking about it.	11	I can't remember how many people were walking up It was
12	If you forgive me, I'd like to read out a couple of	12	a lot, enough to mean we could not go downstairs. It
13	statements. This is from Meron Mekonnen's statement	13	was people constantly walking up. There was hazy smoke
14	[IWS00000912]. She was in flat 163 on the 19th floor.	14	in the staircase at that point. They looked normal
15	She left her flat, I estimate, at approximately	15	walking upstairs, not screaming, running or anything
16	01.27, when conditions in both the lobby and the stair	16	unusual. We didn't talk to anyone. I was a bit stunned
17	were reasonably good, but she ended up going back and	17	at that point, people walking upstairs wasn't something
18	then tried again a few minutes later.	18	I expected to see."
19	So I'll just read this out:	19	At this point, a lady comes out of flat 193 and
20	"17. Amal's relative had remained on the landing	20	invites her and I think it's her cousin to take refuge
21	and started to follow me and my children down the	21	with them in flat 193, which of course was smoke-free at
22	stairs. We had not gone far down the stairs, though I	22	that time. They wait there for some time and then
23	do not remember which floor we had reached, when we met	23	eventually she and her cousin came down a long time
24	a group of approximately 10 people on the stairwell	24	later.
25	(they all seemed to be other residents of the Tower).	25	So this is a period before 01.30, but from 01.30,
	Page 85		Page 87
1	Someone below us shouted out, 'Go back, go back!'. The	1	most lobbies are filling with dense smoke, but much less
2	group, including me, my two girls, and Amal's relative,	2	in the stair. The stairs, it was increasing, but people
3	all started moving back up the stairs ie up the Tower.	3	were still able to descend safely if they were able to
4	"18. I got to the top of the stairs on my floor. I	4	reach the stair, but they had to go through the lobby
5	did not want to go back into my flat, so I decided to	5	first, which was very badly smoke-logged.
6	ignore the advice that had been shouted in the	6	From 01.30 to 01.47, a further 31 persons entered
7	stairwell, to go back up, and I headed back down the	7	the stair and evacuated from different floors up to the
8	stairs with my daughters."	8	20th up to about 01.49. The last person to come out
9	The next bit is also very important:	9	from that height at this point was Petra Doulova, so her
10	"There was no one on the stairwell at this point."	10	statement is quite important in this respect.
11	So this knot of people had gone and the stair was	11	Then there was a period of about 29 minutes I am
12	now empty or relatively empty:	12	going to go back to my previous there, right.
13	"As we were walking down the stairs, the smoke was	13	This period here. So everybody is coming down,
14	becoming thicker and thicker."	14	suddenly there's a period when nobody is leaving for
15	So this may be a factor:	15	about 29 minutes, straddling the 2 o'clock point. Then
16	"It was dense and dark grey but I could see through	16	people come down in ones and twos at intervals over the
17	it. I was able to breathe without any difficulty."	17	rest of the period, up to actually 8 o'clock, when the
18	Now, there may be a number of reasons why this group	18	last person came out.
19	of people turned back, and of course, especially if	19	So 31 people came down in small numbers, and
20	you're near the top of the tower, and you're coming down	20	although there was smoke in the stair, they were able to
21	towards the fire, it's a very frightening situation.	21	come down safely.
22	You don't really know what's going to be happening below	22	But the smoke in the stair is increasing all the
23	you. This may be why, particularly art the upper part,	23	time, and there's one very useful account where somebody
24	people were more reluctant to come down.	24	describes at some point coming into the stair, which was
25	But it could also be that they were starting to	25	relatively smoke-free, and seeing people coming into the
	Page 86		Page 88
	<u> </u>		U

stair at floors above and below, and as they were 1 I think his smoke alarm went off. He got people out, he 2 2 closed the door, he warned the neighbours, everybody opening the door from the lobby, the smoke is coming in 3 to the stair at all levels from the lobbies. 3 evacuated and called the Fire Brigade. Everything was 4 So after this period -- so we're now past 2 o'clock, 4 all right. 5 and I sort of put a line on my graph of 2 o'clock, and 5 But what happened next? 6 Well, you've then got this big plume of smoke coming 6 I'm saying basically that up to somewhere just before or 7 7 out of his flat and flowing up the side of the tower. around 2 o'clock, the stair itself was reasonably safe 8 8 Here I've got some pictures from Professor Bisby's to come down, there was smoke in it but it wasn't too 9 9 bad, and people quite successfully came down during that presentation showing all this smoke. 10 10 Now, this smoke is important or is interesting 11 because as smoke flows up the outside in a plume, as you 11 Sometime after 2 o'clock, when the next people start 12 to come down, by this time the stair is really quite 12 can see there, it entrains air, air mixes with it, it 13 becomes extremely diluted. So although it looks a bit 13 hazardous, and it has much denser smoke but also high 14 concentrations of asphyxiant gases. So from about 14 unpleasant, if you like, it's not particularly 15 2 o'clock, the stair is now much more hazardous as well 15 hazardous. 16 as the lobbies. 16 Some of that smoke coming from fires low in the 17 So Grenfell occupants descending in dense smoke 17 tower is going to find its way through gaps, 18 18 penetrations, open windows, whatever, into flats higher after 2 o'clock, they were able to progress rapidly by 19 holding the handrail. For a few people -- yes, so this 19 up in the stair. So people were reporting smelling 20 20 slide I'm addressing -- I've talked about speed of smoke, some smoke alarms were going off, and people were 21 descent in the stair when it's clear. But one of the 21 being warned, if you like, or becoming aware of the fire 22 22 partly because of this. But at this point, it may be problems, going back to Professor Jin, is that if the 23 23 stair gets full of smoke, we'd expect people to slow causing a slight haze in your flat, but nothing terribly 24 down a lot. That was certainly the case when people are 24 dangerous. 25 25 Apart from flowing up the outside, there may be some trying to find their way through the lobbies. Page 89 Page 91 But in the stair itself, we found in experiments 1 smoke coming in inside. Some of it may be coming from 1 2 that if you have a guide, like a handrail, you can still 2 flat 16 into the lobby and then into the stair, but it 3 3 progress quite rapidly, even if you can see hardly may have been moving through other kinds of penetrations 4 anything. People reported that. Indeed, for some of 4 between floors, and I think other experts are going to 5 these people, we can make an estimate of how long it 5 be talking about those in more detail. So there are 6 a number of routes for smoke spread. took them to descend where we have the end of their last 6 7 999 call, timed, and we have the time they got to the 7 But I believe that in most of the lobbies at this 8 8 lobby, so we know the time between the two. This tells early stage, the main source of smoke was coming from q 9 flat 6 on each floor, finding its way into the lobby. me that at least for a few of these people, where we 10 have those two bits of information, they were descending 10 Now we get to the point where flames ignite the 11 11 generally quite rapidly in the stair at this time. exterior cladding and insulation outside flat 16. So 12 12 this at about 01.15 hours. As Professor Bisby has So that's the situation with the stair: all right up 13 to 01.30, both the lobbies and stair reasonably clear 13 described, it goes rapidly up the side, reaching the 14 14 and navigable; between 01.30 and 02.00, very bad 23rd floor at 01.24. 15 conditions in lobbies but reasonable conditions in the 15 The exterior fire then penetrates mostly the 16 16 kitchens of flat 6 on each floor in sequence. This stair; after 02.00, you've got hazardous conditions both 17 spreading plume is shown in Professor Bisby's 17 in the stair and in the lobbies. photographs here. 18 I now want to go back to what's happening in the 18 19 19 flats. So what then happened at each flat as the fire rose 20 Just as a sort of brief example, I am looking at 20 up the side to it? 21 flat 16. I don't think I'll read all this, but 21 The first thing that's happened is that smoke that 22 22 basically flat 16 is just an example of a simple, basic is generated immediately outside the flat is not thin 23 23 smoke, as I described: because it's near the source. domestic fire scenario typical of the sort I described 24 to my previous lecture. 24 it's dense and undiluted. So its thick, dense smoke and 25 25 it will have contained significant amounts of toxic So Mr Kebede realised early there was a fire. Page 90 Page 92

1	asphyxiant gases.	1	02.23, according to his slide and it's taken looking
2	There are two possible main routes of smoke dense	2	at the east face of the tower. So at this point, the
3	entry and flame penetration into flats as the exterior	3	fire has already gone all the way up the flat 6 column
4	reaches each floor from below. One is from the exterior	4	here, and it's now moved laterally to more like the
5	fire plume involving the cladding and insulation, and	5	flat 1 location.
6	smoke from that, and flames, coming through open	6	So these bright flames here and here (Indicates) are
7	windows, the kitchen ventilator openings which were	7	basically the cladding and insulation burning outside
8	mentioned by a number of witnesses, and through sort of	8	those flats. But here, most of that has stopped
9	gaps and holes in windows, especially where you get	9	burning, it's gone you can see these dark marks here
10	early partial glazing failure. That's reported by	10	(Indicates), then you have these bright outlines of
11	number of people. They say, "The flames came up outside	11	windows. So this looks to me very much like we have
12	and then part of my window fell out", or in one case	12	serious fires inside these flats, involving the flat
13	they said the whole window fell out.	13	contents, by this time in the fire.
14	Secondly, from an insulation fire burning in the	14	This is something that the other experts are going
15	void behind the columns, as I mentioned earlier, and	15	to be very knowledgeable and interested in, and we will
16	spandrel cladding, entering the flat through	16	be looking into it in much more detail in Phase 2 of the
17	penetrations around the window flames. You'll remember	17	inquiry.
18	Dr Lane describing that. This is a diagram from her	18	So, again, from first becoming aware of a fire, the
19	report showing the routes by which this happened. This	19	flat occupants were repeatedly faced with this difficult
20	is dense smoke with highly toxic gases.	20	decision, stay or go, and they were affected by
21	The next stage is dense smoke from combustible	21	different considerations and experiences. But the
22	materials structural materials still, not the	22	extent of exposure to smoke or flames would be
23	contents of the flat of the window surround adding to	23	an important factor, in some cases encouraging people to
24	the flame and smoke development inside the flats around	24	get out, in other cases preventing it.
25	the windows, especially the flat 6 kitchen and possibly	25	So if we think of what is happening in flat 6, at
	D 02		D 05
	Page 93		Page 95
1	the east side lounge windows.	1	the early stages of development, as fire penetrates
2	This picture here (Indicates) from Dr Lane's report	2	flat 6 on any floor, it's still going to be similar to
3	shows how the fire has come in around the window and	3	these domestic growing fire scenarios I've already said
4	started to involve the PVC and other materials of the	4	to you, except that instead of a fire starting in an
5	window around the window frame.	5	armchair or appliance, it's now starting in the window
6	That's going to be a source generating more toxic	6	surround and external materials.
7	gases and smoke into the enclosed flat.	7	So the flat 6 occupants were alerted by a variety of
8	Finally, the fire may spread to involve the flat	8	cues. In some cases, their smoke alarms went off. So
9	contents, starting with combustible contents close to	9	the fire has just started to penetrate, and most people
10	the window, such as blinds and curtains and that was	10	in flat 6s became aware quite quickly there was
11	reported by a number of people and then spreading	11	something very bad going on if you read their accounts.
12	this is where it gets really serious to involve	12	There was a lot of variation between different flats
13	things like upholstered furniture and other items.	13	in fire development, and this shows up in the witness
14	I haven't seen that particularly described by people.	14	reports. Some people describe flames appearing at the
15	So once you get to this point, you will have the	15	window as their first thing that happened when they came
16	danger of having a serious fire in the room that's being	16	into the kitchen to look and see what was going on.
17	penetrated. What happens next depends a lot on what	17	Other people woke up to find the flat full of smoke
18	happens to the glazing and whether the windows fall out	18	before there was any fire penetration from outside.
19	or whether they remain intact.	19	There's a variety of different experiences, and it
20	Particularly where the windows fall out, there is	20	partly depended upon what happened to this ventilator
21	a danger that this fire will progress rapidly to	21	assembly, whether or not they had their windows open
22	flashover or at least a very serious fire. I think I've	22	it was warm weather and various other factors.
23	captured this on this this is an image 3 from	23	But the general pattern is of rapid smoke-filling of
24	Professor Bisby's presentation, and you can see here	24	flat 6, people being aware of it from an early stage,
25	this is taken between 01.56 and 02.23 closer to	25	and realising, all the way up the tower, they were in
	T		
	Page 94		Page 96
			24 (D 02 +- 04)

a very serious situation. 1 and the way I've kind of organised this is that this is 2 2 And so what did they do? Well, they all evacuated, the sort of west side of the tower, and this is the east 3 3 side of the tower (Indicates). And the thing that sometimes with no shoes on and partially dressed. They 4 got out very quickly, and they were able to do so. 4 really leaps out at you is that nobody died or no 5 Now, the important thing here is the flat 6 5 remains were recovered from any flat 6. 6 So all these people were confronted with fire coming 6 occupants left their flats before there was any 7 7 significant smoke in the lobbies. A large number of in through the windows all the way up the tower, rapidly 8 8 them just carried on and evacuated the tower, but deteriorating conditions in their flat, but they were 9 9 able to evacuate the flat -- not necessarily the tower, others, as we heard, didn't come down the tower; they 10 took refuge elsewhere in the tower, in other flats, 10 but they evacuated the flat -- into a relatively 11 sometimes on other floors. 11 smoke-free lobby. In many cases, they came down. Some flat 6 occupants stated they left their flat 6 12 12 The fire also spread quite rapidly to flat 1 and, in 13 doors open, and an open door would be a route for smoke 13 general, most people left flat 1, apart from flat 201, 14 flow into the lobbies. I'm looking to find out when and 14 which is a case where a lot of people were for some 15 how all those lobbies became filled with dense smoke at 15 time. 16 about 01.30, which prevented other people from 16 What also shows in this analysis is that, 17 17 ironically, it's the least affected flats that's then evacuating, and so I believe that flat 6 was probably 18 18 the main source. become the most dangerous, because when people took 19 Now, obviously if the flat 6 door is open, it's 19 refuge, typically in flat 3, which is in the south-west 20 20 corner of the block, was the last place to be affected an easy route for smoke to flow from the flat into the 21 lobby, and so there are questions then about how those 21 by fire spread around the tower. So they remained there 22 22 doors performed and the self-closers, whether those often for an hour or so before they were forced to 23 23 evacuate or before the fire got to them. So they were doors were shut after people had just come out and left 24 them. But there are a lot of accounts of these doors 24 in a situation where they stayed and then got exposed. 25 25 So up to 01.30, most flats other than flat 6 on each remaining open and smoke flowing out and quickly filling Page 97 Page 99 the lobby from the ceiling down with dense smoke. 1 floor, and possibly flat 1, were generally smoke-free. 1 2 Now, some people actually stated that they did in 2 From 01.30 to 01.35, we have lobbies on various floors 3 3 filling with dense irritant smoke, reports of almost fact close their flat doors before they left, and we 4 4 would hope that that would reduce the speed of filling zero visibility, could not see hand in front of face, 5 of the lobbies with smoke. 5 difficulty breathing and irritant smoke. 6 I'm in the process of trying to analyse these 6 Some people then tried to go through this smoke, 7 7 statements, but my impression is that even where the feeling their way around the walls, as I mentioned. 8 8 flat doors were shut, there was still significant This is likely to have had high concentrations of -- in 9 9 leakage of smoke that filled those lobbies with quite the lobbies of high concentrations, but not in the 10 dense smoke quite quickly. So it would be necessary in 10 stair. Fortunately, it doesn't take very long to get 11 Phase 2 to look more closely into this. 11 across the lobby. Even so, some people did report 12 12 Of course, after they left the flats, the fire was feeling dizzy when they were in the lobby around about 13 continuing to develop in each flat, and so some of these 13 this time. 14 14 Occupants who then entered the stair between 01.30 flats were completely burned out. 15 Now, of course, during the later parts of this fire, 15 and 01.49 found much clearer conditions in the lobby, 16 as we come more towards 2 o'clock, these interior fires 16 not totally smoke-free, and were able to descend safely 17 are getting very serious, and most of the smoke and 17 without collapsing. 18 18 toxic gases are generated in those flat fires and I put a few examples in here, but one person in 19 finding their way partly out through the windows, 19 particular I want to talk about is Abraham Abebe and his 20 through the open window plume, but partly into the lobby 20 wife, because this is an example of a couple who came 21 21 down -- this is from the 7th floor, exited at 01.48 are coming from the flat contents burning, an increasing 22 proportion, and some of these are going to reach very 22 eventually. But in his statement, he recounts coming 23 23 high temperatures and to flashover. down the stair at a fairly early stage in reasonable 24 So when we look at the pattern -- so this table 6 in 24 conditions, but then finding thick, black smoke in the 25 25 my report shows the number of fatalities in each flat, stair between the 4th and 5th floor, and an open door to Page 98 Page 100

the stair with a hose going through it. So smoke is 1 So this is now a scenario where they're stuck in the 2 2 flowing out from firefighting activities from a flat, flat and they have something very like the people in the 3 3 into the lobby and then into a stair. closed rooms at Rosepark, slowly taking up carbon 4 He saw this big cloud of thick, black smoke in this 4 monoxide over a period of an hour or two. 5 5 area, and he said something along the lines of he was Because the concentrations are very low, I don't 6 frightened to continue down the stair. But he was kind 6 believe that cyanide would have been a significant 7 7 of preparing himself to go through, because he knew he factor in the slow build-up of the CO. 8 8 only had a couple of floors to go down. But his wife, Now, after 2 o'clock, if occupants had attempted to 9 9 who was a little bit higher up, called him back. So he evacuate into the lobby, they were exposed to very high 10 and his wife then ascended back up to their flat until 10 concentrations of smoke, CO and possibly cyanide in the 11 firefighters came up and encouraged them to leave, and 11 lobby and stair, also capable of causing collapse 12 12 within minutes. The outcome for these people I believe so they came down again. 13 The second time they came down, the stair has now 13 depended on the dose accumulated while in the flat. 14 got more smoke in it, but they were kind of more 14 So if you were in a flat that had low smoke or you 15 confident because the firefighters had told them they 15 left within a short period, you would be able to descend 16 16 could come down, so they came down. But he does say the stair within a few minutes without collapsing, and 17 that by the time he got to the 4th floor, this smoke he some people certainly described being trapped in a flat 17 18 had encountered before had now cleared somewhat. So it 18 but being able to open the exterior windows and keep 19 may be at this point the firefighting operation there 19 some of the smoke out of the flat, because it was before 20 had ceased and the door had been closed. 20 the fire has come round to them. 21 Branislav Lukic, who was one of the last people in 21 So the conditions in the lobby were definitely 22 22 this group to come down with his flatmate at 01.49, hazardous. The escaping occupants, some of them 23 thick smoke in the lobby, relieved to find less smoke in 23 experienced zero visibility, breathing difficulties and 24 the stairwell -- so this is 01.49.20 -- could see 24 pain, and as they descended, they reported being 25 25 through it, more grey than black. He was more or less affected by the asphyxiant gases, feeling weak and Page 101 Page 103 1 carrying Clarita Ghavimi, which requires a lot of 1 dizzy, in some cases collapsing on the stair. 2 physiological effort, yet he was able to do it through 2 But as the descent of people at various times shows, 3 3 the conditions at that time. This demonstrates to me it was not impossible to descend the stair, so far as 4 4 that although there was some smoke in the stair at this I could see, at any time, since some occupants succeeded 5 5 in doing so at intervals from all floors up to almost time, you could still descend in reasonable safety. 6 After 01.30, many occupants stayed put. Least 6 8 o'clock. 7 7 affected flats are those in the 3 position. Mostly I want to talk now about the blood toxicology. 8 smoke-free conditions at first, but then gradually 8 This image on the right here is not in my Phase 1 9 9 filling. The flat occupants then took various measures report, but these are objective data from the 10 to try and limit smoke entry. 10 toxicologist that will not change, so I feel we can have 11 It seems at this time that most of the slow 11 a look at it now. I haven't identified any individual 12 smoke-filling is coming from the lobby. So, again, we 12 people here. 13 have this issue of smoke penetrating possibly partly 13 Again, I think this is very useful because it 14 14 around the flat entrance doors. confirms again that people were being overcome by smoke 15 So at one stage you've got smoke coming from flat 6, 15 and toxic gases rather than by heat, because as you can 16 around the flat 6 entrance door, even if it's closed --16 see, all these numbers are in the very high range, apart 17 and this was described by somebody -- into the lobby; 17 from this one (Indicates), who is in this very low 18 now we have the lobby filled with thick smoke, clear 18 range. But that was an individual who was rescued alive 19 conditions in a flat, but smoke coming in the opposite 19 and taken to hospital, and of course would've been given 20 direction, from the lobby into the flat. So obviously 20 oxygen on the way to hospital, which washed out CO from 21 21 there was some leakage going on. his blood. Also, there was a problem with the sample. 22 Also, some people describe smoke coming through pipe 22 The toxicologist reported uncertainty about whether that 23 penetrations between their flat and lobby and various 23 is a true reading. 24 other routes. But anybody trying to leave now is in 24 Then you have two people who may have fallen from 25 25 difficulty. the tower, but they had a significant dose. So we can Page 102 Page 104

1	tell that these two people had been exposed to quite	1	PROFESSOR PURSER: Right, I know you have some questions to
2	significant smoke, not enough to make them	2	put to me, so I will try and keep this as brief as
3	incapacitated, but they were exposed for some time	3	I can. I would like to reassure you this is a somewhat
4	before they fell.	4	shorter presentation than some of the ones this morning.
5	And then, crucially, the purple bars here, are from	5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
6	bodies in flats. You can see that these are all in this	6	PROFESSOR PURSER: So in this one I want to address the
7	high range where death is occurring due to inhalation of	7	possible toxicity performance of materials present at
8	toxic smoke one exceptionally high, nearly	8	the Grenfell Tower.
9	90 per cent carboxyhaemoglobin before that person died	9	In doing this, I want to emphasise that the
10	from the smoke.	10	statements and calculations and things and the numbers
11	The black bars are from fatalities in the lobby or	11	I'm presenting you are intended to be purely indicative
12	stair, and you can see they also are all well up into	12	of the possible conditions that could be produced.
13	this death from carbon monoxide essentially group. So	13	Really what I'm trying to address here is
14	they've died from collapsed and died from exposure to	14	essentially a sort of qualitative question, which
15	asphyxiant gases in the smoke.	15	is: taking in all these different individual materials,
16	If these persons found inside the flats had been	16	including the cladding, the insulation, the window
17	overcome by heat and burns before they had time to	17	structural materials and the contents, each of these
18	collapse from asphyxiant gases, I would've expected to	18	individually or in combination, had they become involved
19	see much lower blood levels than we actually are seeing.	19	in fire outside, if you like, a typical or an example
20	Right. I think, in view of the time, I'll stop at	20	two-bedroom flat, could they have produced hazardous
21	that point.	21	conditions in the flat and the lobby beyond?
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's a convenient point for you,	22	In particular here, I'm looking at a snapshot in
23	is it?	23	time early in the fire, when the fire is breaking into
24	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes.	24	flat 6 on every floor, and then the smoke is going
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right. But there is still further	25	beyond into the lobby.
	Page 105		Page 107
1	to go on this part of the presentation?	1	It's this sort of period up to around 01.30 hours,
2	PROFESSOR PURSER: I think we can leave that and move on to	2	when the lobbies filled with a dense, irritant smoke,
3	the third one when you are ready.	3	that was so important in affecting the potential of
4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	4	escape for people who remained in their flats at that
5	Shall we stop now then and take time for lunch? And	5	time. So it's this kind of 01.30ish period in the back
6	I am going to say we'll resume at 2 o'clock.	6	of my mind.
7	PROFESSOR PURSER: Right.	7	So what is the contribution of any burning material
8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Which gives you just over the hour.	8	to toxic hazards at Grenfell? This would depend on
9	Again, please don't talk to anyone about your	9	obviously the extent to which their combustion products
10	evidence over the break.	10	form part of the time concentration curve of the toxic
11	If you go with the usher, she'll look after you.	11	smoke and gases inhaled by each occupant at various
12	PROFESSOR PURSER: Okay, thank you.	12	locations and times in the tower.
13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right? Thank you very much.	13	This depends upon the mass burning rate, how many
14	Thank you, 2 o'clock, then, please.	14	kilograms of any particular fuel are consumed each
15	(1.00 pm)	15	second, and then it depends on the yields of smoke and
16	(The short adjournment)	16	toxic products from those fuels when they burn. For
17	(2.00 pm)	17	example, how many kilograms of carbon monoxide is
18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, professor, ready to carry	18	produced when each kilogram of material is burned? And
19	on?	19	then it depends on the volume into which those products
20	PROFESSOR PURSER: Yes.	20	are dispersed, such as the interior volume of
21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good. Thank you very much.	21	an enclosed flat.
22	PROFESSOR PURSER: Okay?	22	That gives us a term mass loss concentration,
23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, thank you.	23	kilograms per metre cubed, it's the number of products
24	Presentation 3: Possible toxicity performance of materials	24	from that material dispersed, say, into the volume of
25	present at Grenfell Tower	25	this room.
		-	
	Page 106		Page 108
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

1	Now, what can determine the yields of smoke and	1	and two 80-millimetre thick layers on the spandrels.
2	toxic gases from any burning material? Well, firstly,	2	This is the polystyrene (Indicates), which is quite
3	it depends upon the elemental composition of that	3	thick but it's a very light density foam.
4	material, how much carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen,	4	And then you have the very dense, very heavy
5	chlorine, bromine, phosphorus and possibly inert fillers	5	material, which is the PVC, which is about
6	this material is composed of.	6	9.5 millimetres thick. But they were quite deep seals.
7	Secondly, it depends to some extent on the organic	7	So these are the main materials I considered other
8	composition, what kind of polymer is it? Something like	8	than the contents of the flat.
9	polystyrene, for example, or polyisocyanurate, they	9	Just to remind you where they are, then, these are
10	behave differently in the way they behave. Polystyrene,	10	these XPS panels between the windows, and here is the
11	for example, because of its aromatic composition,	11	cladding and, of course, the insulation is behind it.
12	produces very high yields of smoke particulates when it	12	This is a lower part of the tower where there's been
13	burns.	13	limited damage.
14	Flame retardant additives can also have a large	14	But the top of the tower there these are from
15	effect on the type of combustion and the products that	15	Professor Bisby's report you can see that there's
16	you get.	16	been considerable loss of these materials. They've been
17	Thirdly, and very importantly, it depends on the	17	burnt away. So pretty well all the rainscreen cladding
18	combustion conditions, as I explained this morning. For	18	has gone, and a considerable amount of the PIR
19	flaming fires, it's the fuel-to-air equivalence ratio,	19	insulation.
20	whether it's well ventilated or under-ventilated, that	20	However, as you can see here, there's quite a bit of
21	can have a big effect on the yields of toxic products.	21	charred PIR still left on some of these flats,
22	For developing hazards in a Grenfell flat, I have	22	particularly the spandrels, although the columns are
23	identified three main what I call fuel packages of	23	pretty well bare.
24	interest.	24	Now, I'm not sure when this photograph was taken,
25	The first one is the combustible parts of the	25	but of course I'm aware that I, certainly when I went to
	Page 109		Page 111
1	rainscreen cladding and the insulation basically outside	1	see the tower about a year on, was seeing it at that
2	the flat. So that's the Reynobond, the Celotex panels	2	time, and so I can't say exactly what was there
3	on the spandrels and the panels on the columns.	3	immediately after the fire. But there may be
4	Secondly, you've got the combustible parts in the	4	photographic evidence of that which I haven't yet been
5	window surround and between the windows. So you've got	5	shown.
6	the exterior infill panels, which were expanded	6	Inside the flat, we have the PVC window surround.
7	polystyrene, and you have the window surround consisting	7	This illustration is from Dr Lane's report showing how
8	of these 9.5-millimetre thick uPVC sills and exterior	8	the fire has come in around the window frame and has
9	bits. Quite a large amount of material in that.	9	started to decompose this PVC.
10	Then there are lots of other smaller components that	10	This is a fairly small amount involved. This was
11	Dr Lane, for example, and Professor Bisby have set out	11	actually in flat 15. I'm afraid you can't see it
12	in their reports. So far I haven't considered the	12	terribly well, but this is from I think
13	contribution from any of those because they're fairly	13	Professor Bisby's report or maybe it's
14	minor ones; so far, I've concentrate on the major fuel	14	Professor Torero's, I forget now and it shows over
15	packages. But I could do so.	15	there where some of the PVC had much more destruction.
16	Then, of course, once the fire has moved beyond the	16	Now, in order to estimate the potential hazard from
17	windows into the flat, you have a very large fuel mass	17	these, the first thing I needed to know is how much
18	consisting of the contents of any particular flat.	18	material is there. I had to work out the area for all
19	So just to remind you, this is from	19	these panels on the exterior, and I took the dimensions
20	Professor Bisby's report. These are some of the	20	from Professor Bisby's report to calculate the area.
21	structural materials.	21	Then from the thickness I could work out the volume, and
22	This is the 3-millimetre PE in the cladding	22	by using figures for the density of the material,
23	(Indicates).	23	I could work out the mass.
24	This is the PIR insulation (Indicates), which is two	24	So what I'm trying to work out here: is what is the
25	forms, 100-millimetre thick single layer on the columns,	25	mass of these various components, in this case the
	D 440		-
I	Page 110	1	Page 112

1	exterior materials, outside a typical one- or	1	184 kilos of PVC in the window surrounds, all the
2	two-bedroom flat?	2	windows of a typical two-bedroom flat in the tower.
3	For the flat contents, it's much more difficult,	3	When we come to flat contents, this, of course, is
4	because every flat is going to have different contents.	4	by far the largest total item. So I would say that the
5	We don't know what was in the contents of each	5	flat contents is going to be about 660 kilos of
6	individual flat. So I haven't detailed how I've done	6	contents.
7	this, but basically I had to come up with a figure for	7	But what this shows is that the PIR and the LDPE and
8	the typical fuel load in a flat and it's sort of average	8	the PVC are quite large, significant amounts in the
9	composition.	9	context of, for example, a total flat fire load. I keep
10	So I went through an exercise of assessing the	10	going back to my 5 to 7 kilograms burning in a flat is
11	typical amount of furniture you would have in a typical	11	enough to cause a hazardous atmosphere. All right? And
12	flat in terms of mass or different items cupboards,	12	we're talking about hundreds of kilos here.
13	doors, wardrobes, beds, everything I could think of,	13	So having got the total masses of materials, I then
14	even appliances to come up with an overall total	14	need to know what they're made of, and I've taken data
15	combustible fuel load for a flat.	15	from our work and this is all in the report. But,
16	From my knowledge of the composition of those	16	essentially, I've identified some individual materials
17	materials from work we've done at BRE and the	17	here that we're interested in, and then you see I have
18	approximate composition of these materials for	18	a row for the mixed flat contents.
19	example, if you have a wooden cupboard, it's made of	19	I also, for comparison, put in plywood and
20	wood; if you have a composite one, it's probably MDF or	20	polyurethane foam, which is in upholstered furniture.
21	something like that, which I know the composition of	21	What you can see immediately, of course, is that all
22	so I can work out the mass of carbon in these various	22	these materials have a high carbon content, the lowest
23	items and sum it to find the total proportion and mass	23	being PVC, and so that means when they burn, they will
24	of carbon in a typical fuel load, and the same for	24	all produce a certain amount of smoke, particulates
25	nitrogen, and I've also done that for chlorine.	25	which are carbon based, and they will all produce
			, , ,
	Page 113		Page 115
1	Having gone through that exercise and I will try	1	a certain amount of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide,
2	to detail how I arrived at these figures more	2	but the carbon monoxide would depend a bit on the
3	comprehensively in my Phase 2 report this is what	3	conditions under which they are combusted.
4	I've come up with. What I'm looking for here is not the	4	With regard to fuel nitrogen, PIR stands out as
5	exact figures; it's the sort of relative amounts. Have	5	having quite a high nitrogen content. The other main
6	we got a large amount of something or a small amount	6	item with nitrogen in is the upholstered furniture, the
7	that might be trivial, in comparison, for example, with	7	polyurethane foam, and to some extent some fabrics and
8	the combustible fuel load in the flat?	8	things in upholstered furniture.
9	I'm going to focus here on two-bedroom flats because	9	In plywood and MDF, things like that, it's not pure
10	most people who took refuge were in two-bedroom flats.	10	wood, you have certain resins and things in, but you
11	So for a two-bedroom flat, then, according to my	11	also have a little bit of nitrogen, as you can see
12	calculations, which are very preliminary and subject to	12	there.
13	me checking them and looking for more information, I'm	13	So for the mixed flat contents, taking all the sorts
14	estimating that there's about 158 kilograms of PIR in	14	of things you'd find in a flat, my estimate is overall
15	the insulation outside each two-bedroom flat, quite	15	about 50 per cent of the mass being carbon, and about
16	a large area.	16	3.7 per cent nitrogen and a little bit of chlorine.
17	The LDPE, although it's only 3 millimetres thick, is	17	Now, the next thing we have to consider is the
18	much denser than the PIR, and so actually quite a large	18	combustion conditions, because I've said that will
19	mass of LDPE.	19	affect the yield of toxic products.
20	The XPS, though, is quite a small amount, so we	20	Well, the LDPE cladding and the XPS panels are
21	would expect that not to contribute too much.	21	burning mainly in open air on the building exterior, so
22	The PVC and somebody's queried this, and I must	22	I've estimated that they will be combusting under
23	admit I'm a little bit surprised according to my	23	reasonably well-ventilated combustion conditions.
24	calculations and there was a lot of it there, and	24	The PIR insulation burning in the cavity is more
25	it's very heavy, very dense material there's about	25	complicated, as I explained this morning, and I believe
	D 444		D 447
	Page 114		Page 116

1	as long as it's in the cavity, there's a probability	1	of course they go around the corner of the tower.
2	that it will be burning under a somewhat	2	So the time to untenable conditions, then, depends
3	under-ventilated combustion condition. But when the	3	on the area of the flat exterior and the windows
4	cladding falls away and exposes the PIR, then, of	4	involved, and the timing and extent of fire involvement
5	course, it's open to the air and will transition to	5	of the contents.
6	a well-ventilated combustion condition.	6	Now, this table shows potential contributions from
7	At the moment, we don't know what the overall	7	materials to toxic hazards in a two-bedroom flat and
8	combustion condition was for most of the combustion of	8	potentially the lobby beyond if the door is open or it's
9	the PIR. This could be resolved potentially by some	9	leaking.
10	form of testing strategy if we feel it was appropriate	10	Now we have to consider the order in which these
11	to do so.	11	things get involved.
12	But for the purposes of my indicative assessment	12	So the first burning materials generating toxic
13	here, because we don't know, I've used both cases. So	13	smoke into the flat are likely to be the LDPE rainscreen
14	I put in data for if it all was well ventilated and if	14	cladding and the PIR insulation, because the fire is
15	it was all under-ventilated, and it should be somewhere	15	coming up the outside of the building, involving these
16	between the two.	16	materials first.
17	The PVC window surround was initially well	17	You will remember I was talking this morning about
18	ventilated, but the thing about PVC is that, unlike	18	the various routes of ingress, of penetration of flame
19	other materials where the yield goes up like that	19	and smoke around the windows and things that Dr Lane has
20	(Indicates) with the vitiation, PVC has a fairly flat	20	explained to us.
21	yield across the whole of its range. So because of the	21	So the next thing we need to consider is, of this
22	halogens in PVC, when it burns, it produces high yields	22	burning material on the outside of the tower, what
23	of carbon monoxide, even under well-ventilated air	23	proportion of the products, from what proportion of the
24	conditions. When it's vitiated, it doesn't really make	24	mass, comes into the flat, and how much goes up the
25	any difference; it's more or less the same throughout	25	outside of the tower and blows away over London?
	Decc 117		Page 110
	Page 117		Page 119
1	the range. So I've assumed a fairly well-ventilated	1	We don't know. I don't know whether anybody could
2	combustion condition, but it doesn't matter.	2	model this, but it's a question.
3	When the flat contents become involved, by then I've	3	The extremes, of course, are we could assume none of
4	estimated the conditions would be already	4	it goes into the flat. But that's patently not the case
5	under-ventilated. So I treated all the flat contents	5	because we've lots of descriptions from occupants of
6	that I've used as being under this under-ventilated	6	smoke actually coming in and we have Dr Lane's analysis.
7	condition.	7	The other extreme we could make is that all of it
8	The smoke and toxic gases are derived from data	8	goes in the flat. Well, that's obviously also
9	produced at BRE years ago.	9	ridiculous because we know a lot of smoke has gone up
10	These are for generic materials, by the way. None	10	the outside, so it's somewhere between the two.
11	of these numbers are for the actual Grenfell materials,	11	I've used quite a conservative estimate for these
12	but they're for the same general type of polymer, so	12	materials, and I've assumed that, of the mass that's
13	they should be substantially similar. But so far we	13	burning, the products from only 5 per cent, a 20th of
14	haven't done any testing on actual Grenfell material.	14	that mass, find their way into the flat. 95 per cent of
15	From all this, I then produced this table, which I'm	15	the smoke is going to go up and away, not be involved.
16	going to talk you through, but to start with, just go	16	I've also, though, looked at the effects of a case
17	back to the scenario.	17	where only 1 per cent goes in, and I'll talk a bit about
18	So the first stage, of course, as I said this	18	that in a minute.
19	morning, is you have this diluted smoke plume flowing up	19	But for the moment, for the purposes of these
20	the side of the tower, which is not particularly	20	calculations, I've estimated about 5 per cent, and
21	hazardous. When things become potentially hazardous is	21	I don't think that's unreasonable.
22	when the fire arrives at the level of your flat.	22	Now, the other thing is how much of the material is
23	Two-bedroom flats, I believe, are the most	23	burned during the fire, particularly at this early
24	vulnerable because they have a much greater mass of	24	stage.
25	cladding insulation and window surround materials, and	25	From what I've seen from the other experts and
	Page 118		Page 120

1	lacking at the tower new most of the reinserson	1	4.7 kilograms, so it's the product from this 4.7 that
1 2	looking at the tower now, most of the rainscreen	1	4.7 kilograms, so it's the product from this 4.7 that
	cladding has gone. So for the purposes of this	2	we're worried about. Dispersing that into the volume of the flat gives us that mass loss concentration, and from
3	calculation, I've assumed that 100 per cent of the polyethylene has been burned.	3 4	_
5	Now, of course, some of it fell away, some of it	5	the yield data, I can then calculate what the concentration of carbon dioxide would be in that flat.
			The number I'm coming up with is 3.5 per cent, which is
6 7	dripped away, so in reality it might be slightly less than I'm saying. But that's what I've done for the	6 7	not hazardous.
8	purposes of these calculations.	8	
9	However, because it's all burning on the outside of	9	There's also some carbon monoxide, but it's quite a low concentration, and there's no cyanide because
10			there's no nitrogen in PE. But the bit that is
10	the tower, it's going to produce low yields of CO. When you look at the PIR insulation, as I showed you	10 11	important is I've couched the smoke in terms of
12	on the photograph, most of the PIR insulation on the	12	visibility, and I'm estimating that the visibility in
13	columns has gone. This is a year on, of course, but	13	the flat at a time when you've burnt 5 kilograms,
13	it's not there now.	14	4.7 kilograms of LDPE, is 0.25 of a metre, hand in front
15	We've got two layers of PIR on the spandrels. From	15	of your face. So the LDPE on its own could produce
16	what I've seen, particularly when I've been to the tower	16	dense smoke in the flat and, by inference, the lobby
17	and some of these flats, at least the outer layer has	17	beyond the flat.
18	gone, representing about 50 per cent of the spandrel PIR	18	Time to asphyxia is calculated using my FED
19	mass. So for the purposes of these calculations, I've	19	equations for these gases, and you see it's a long time,
20	assumed or estimated that 50 per cent of the PIR has	20	160 minutes. So the main hazard we're getting from the
21	been burned during this stage of the fire.	21	LDPE is the smoke, the irritant visible smoke.
22	So 50 per cent of it is burnt, and of that	22	Moving on to the PIR, you'll see I have two rows
23	50 per cent, 5 per cent of the products find their way	23	here, and the upper row is assuming all the PIR
24	into the flat over this short period.	24	sorry, I'll take you through it again.
25	The next materials to be involved, more or less at	25	So we have 79 kilograms of PIR, so that's the
23	The next materials to be involved, more or less at	23	So we have 79 knograms of FIR, so that's the
	Page 121		Page 123
1	the same time, actually, is the XPS panel. But, again,	1	50 per cent I'm starting with, and then 5 per cent of
2	that's burning under well-ventilated conditions and it's	2	that is coming into the flat, a similar concentration as
3	a small mass. But, again, I've assumed 5 per cent of	3	the PE. Then you have two rows of gases. The upper row
4	those products also find their way into the flat.	4	is for the well-ventilated case. So this is all burning
5	The PVC is all going to be generated into the flat	5	under well-ventilated conditions.
6	when it burns because it's inside the flat now, but for	6	Because the PIR has a significant halogen content,
7	the purposes of the calculation, I've taken a point in	7	it means that it always burns in a somewhat inefficient
8	time where the destruction of these sills has burnt	8	manner. As you can see here, we're getting quite high
9	5 per cent of the PVC, so the sort of early stage of	9	concentrations of CO and cyanide in the flat, even under
10	penetration.	10	the well-ventilated case, and also quite a lot of smoke,
11	The next stage is going to be to involve the flat	11	such that if that mass was dispersed into the volume of
12	contents, and at this early stage, I've assumed that	12	the flat, I am calculating that somebody would become
13	0.5 per cent of the flat contents, a small amount, at	13	unconscious after 23 minutes.
14	this point in time, this snapshot of time I'm analysing,	14	If it was all burning in the under-ventilated case,
15	has been burned. So that's a quarter of an armchair's	15	we have more asphyxiant gases and that time comes down
16	worth has got involved maybe near the window at this	16	to only a couple of minutes.
17	stage.	17	Of course, if we're thinking of this as a flat 6, we
18	That's what I have used to create the numbers in the	18	know that nobody died in a flat 6 or collapsed in
19	table.	19	a flat 6. That's because they all had time to get out
20	Let me just talk you through this table a little	20	before these conditions developed.
21	bit.	21	So what we know for flat 6 is that although these
22	So the first row in the table represents the LDPE.	22	gases were generating into the flat, particularly after
23	So I'm assuming it's burning under a well-ventilated	23	people had left, these gases had no effect on their
24	condition, and then the mass that's burned outside each	24	ability to escape because they all escaped into the
25	flat is 90 kilograms. 5 per cent of that is	25	lobby, at least.
		1	

1	Taking the polystyrene, not much coming off that but	1	components which would happen irrespective of flat
2	a bit of smoke.	2	contents.
3	PVC window, on the other hand, is quite	3	A. Yes.
4	a significant hazard, because it produces very high	4	Q. In your report I can take you to the paragraph if you
5	concentrations of carbon monoxide. It doesn't produce	5	want it you've mentioned that at some point in this
6	any cyanide, but it does produce a high concentration of	6	process, flat contents will become a predominant source
7	very, very irritant hydrogen chloride gas and a lot of	7	of toxic gases.
8	smoke, and on its own could produce incapacitation	8	A. Yes. Sorry, may I just you've reminded me of
9	within about 13 minutes.	9	something I should've said.
10	Then you have the small bit of flat contents I've	10	Moving on from the point I got up to in my
11	assumed has been decomposed up to this point. Because	11	presentation, subsequently to that, particularly after
12	of the furniture in that, quite a lot of CO and cyanide,	12	around about 2 o'clock, the smoke being generated in the
13	typical domestic furniture fire, essentially, a lot of	13	flats and coming into the lobbies is going to be
14	smoke. You could survive that for about 10 minutes if	14	dominated by the contribution from the flat contents.
15	you stayed and breathed it, before you're incapacitated.	15	Subsequently, most of the smoke in the lobbies,
16	So the breakdown is that the toxic product from each	16	throughout the fire, is going to be dominated in origin
17	material considered alone, the LDPE on its own will	17	by the burning flat contents, with some contribution
18	produce dense smoke but low concentrations of carbon	18	from the cladding and things. So the situation has
19	monoxide; PIR on its own, dense smoke, CO and cyanide;	19	moved on.
20	polystyrene, a little bit of smoke; PVC a lot of smoke,	20	Sorry to interrupt you.
21	hydrogen chloride and carbon monoxide; and the flat	21	Q. That prompts my question, because in the answer you've
22	contents, smoke, carbon monoxide and cyanide.	22	just given, you said sometime after 2 o'clock.
23	Of course, these things aren't decomposing	23	A. Yes.
24	individually; they're all decomposing together. So all	24	Q. What's the basis for you giving that time?
25	these effects are summed.	25	A. I suppose I'm referring back to my situation this
			,
	Page 125		Page 127
1	Recause of that I feel quite strongly that all	1	morning. There are a counter of things going on here
1 2	Because of that, I feel quite strongly that all	1	morning. There are a couple of things going on here.
2	these structural materials which are being involved in	2	The main thing is because we have photographs from
2 3	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of	2 3	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time
2 3 4	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly	2 3 4	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe
2 3 4 5	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the	2 3 4 5	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this
2 3 4 5 6	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond.	2 3 4 5 6	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where —
2 3 4 5 6 7	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when	2 3 4 5 6 7	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages — thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation — are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages — thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation — are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages — thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation — are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right. Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration of fire to involve the contents of different flats up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right. Q. Thank you. Could we start with the last part of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration of fire to involve the contents of different flats up and around the tower. So there's a variation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right. Q. Thank you. Could we start with the last part of your presentation, part 3. Can I ask you just to pick up on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration of fire to involve the contents of different flats up and around the tower. So there's a variation. But some flats at around this time — quite a lot,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages — thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation — are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right. Q. Thank you. Could we start with the last part of your presentation, part 3. Can I ask you just to pick up on the last point you were saying. You talk about the contribution from structural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration of fire to involve the contents of different flats up and around the tower. So there's a variation. But some flats at around this time — quite a lot, if you look at the pictures, and I think Professor Torero has given us a breakdown of this — had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these structural materials which are being involved in the early stages — thinking again, in my mind, of a flat 6 situation — are capable of producing rapidly high concentrations of smoke inside a flat 6, and the lobby beyond. If we think of the sort of scenario at 01.30, when that lobby is filling with smoke, my feeling is that most of that smoke at that time is coming mainly from these structural materials burning outside the flats, particularly flat 6. This is predicted even if there's no involvement up to that time of the flat contents. That's the end of my presentation. Yes. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much indeed. Yes, Mr Rawat. Questions by MR RAWAT MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor, would you like to take a seat? A. I can sit here, that's all right. Q. Thank you. Could we start with the last part of your presentation, part 3. Can I ask you just to pick up on the last point you were saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The main thing is because we have photographs from the outside of the tower around about that time — I thought I had one to show you somewhere, maybe I showed it this morning, I think I showed you one this morning — where — Q. Was that the — A. — you can see the outline of the windows, bright with flame inside, indicating serious fires inside those flats. If there's a serious fire like that inside the room, that must be the burning contents. Q. That was the Luke Bisby photograph you showed in the second part of your presentation marked with a time as closer to 02.23 am? A. That's the one, yes. Q. But in terms of flat contents playing a role, what's the basis for saying that 2 o'clock applies to all flats? A. No, it doesn't, sorry. One thing that's really starting to show up more as we look into this is there's quite a variation between the extent and timing of penetration of fire to involve the contents of different flats up and around the tower. So there's a variation. But some flats at around this time — quite a lot, if you look at the pictures, and I think

1	gone to full involvement. But others hadn't, you know.	1	A. Yes, in the enclosed volume of a house or flat.
2	Does that answer your question?	2	Q. I follow.
3	Q. But applying it logically, and if you take a flat 6 as	3	Can I take you back again, we're sticking with
4	an example, and you've spoken about flat 6 today and	4	what you were just discussing recently, but just to
5	let's take the example of flame coming in through the	5	again ask you a few more details about the process
6	kitchen window.	6	you've outlined in that presentation.
7	A. Yes.	7	It might help if we just look at your report. Can
8	Q. If you have flat contents on the kitchen counter, for	8	we have, please, DAPR0000001, page 63. Could we expand
9	example, or in the area of the kitchen, would flat	9	paragraphs 204 to 206, please.
10	contents not immediately begin to play a role?	10	In these paragraphs, professor, this is where you're
11	A. Yes. I mean, I'm going back to flat 6 at the moment	11	setting out your analysis of what you described as three
12	because I've looked at this a bit more in detail because	12	fuel packages of interest. We see that in each of them,
13	I'm interested in this early development. If you read	13	you've given an estimated density.
14	the witness statements from various occupants of, for	14	A. Yes.
15	example, the flat 6s all the way up the tower, you'll	15	Q. Can you explain how you come to estimate these
16	see that there's quite a variety I thought I said	16	densities.
17	this, but anyway I'm going to say it now of ways in	17	A. Yes, I can. I slightly apologise for not having really
18	which smoke and/or flame first breaks into those flats.	18	had time yet to set all this out in my report. I will
19	So typically, these people were alerted at quite	19	put all the sources of all this information well, I'm
20	an early stage and they went into, say, their kitchen,	20	hoping to find better information. This is all very
21	and then they describe what they saw. Some people said	21	preliminary.
22	"I saw flames coming around the windows or through the	22	But basically the main information or the areas
23	windows", some people said there was a crack and the	23	and volumes come from the other expert reports. But in
24	window fell out, some people had a window open.	24	order to work out the mass, I needed to determine the
25	Some people's, however quite a lot of people	25	density, and I came across a datasheet for Celotex which
	Page 129		Page 121
	1 age 127		Page 131
1	first experience was of smoke. Two people I remember	1	told me the density of the 100 and the 80-millimetre
2	reading describe the early stages of involvement of the	2	thick foam, 3.8 kilograms per metre squared or something
3	curtains and blinds around the window. One person	3	like that for those panels. That's the source of my
4	I forget who it was said that they actually pulled	4	density data for those. Okay?
5	these things down and stamped them out.	5	That has been provided to me. I think it's a Met
6	Another person had some kind of curtain up between	6	document which has been provided to me with that
7	the kitchen and the sliding doors to the lounge, and	7	information in.
8	this curtain caught fire.	8	Q. For the Reynobond rainscreen cladding, how did you
9	So there were certainly some instances of some	9	A. I don't have a figure for that. I think I got it from
10	materials in the vicinity of the windows in some cases	10	the BPF website, but I can't remember exactly. But
11	being involved at quite an early stage.	11	I looked up typical figures for the density of PE. It's
12	So I think we're going to see a variety.	12	about a density of 1, because it more or less floats.
13	Q. Would it be fair to say what we have got to remember is	13	I've worked with it a lot myself. I've used that. So
14	the point you've made from your BRE experience, that you	14	that's an estimated figure.
15	just need 5 to 7 kilograms of a material to be	15	If necessary, what we can do, of course, now we have
16	A. Yes.	16	samples from the tower, we can measure the exact density
17	Q combusted to be getting gases which are hazardous?	17	of all these materials, but I don't have that
18	A. Yes.	18	information as yet, so I've had to estimate the figure.
19	Q. Obviously the kind of gases generated would depend on	19	Q. So is this something you could consider doing for
20	the material.	20	Phase 2?
21	A. Of course.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. But I think you described it in your first presentation	22	Q. Could I ask you to pick up one thing in paragraph 206.
23	as a third of half an armchair, so it's	23	Can you explain how you come up with a mass of
24	A. A third to a half.	24	26.26 kilograms per window?
25	Q. A third to a half of an armchair is what would be	25	A. Yes. A lot, isn't it? Yes. Well, what I've done is
	Page 130		Page 132
<u> </u>	O -		33 (Pages 120 to 132)

1	I've worked out the I found a figure and I must	1	used for other purposes in our calculations.
2	admit, I've forgotten where I got it from now, I think	2	Q. If we could have the whole page, and I draw your
3	I measured it off one of the plans for the depth of	3	attention to what you've said in paragraph 215, you're
4	the sills. From memory, it's something like	4	speaking there to the two most important combustible
5	36 centimetres or something. Anyway, so I've got	5	polymers.
6	a figure for the depth. I know the perimeter distance,	6	A. On the exterior.
7	so from depth times perimeter distance, that's the area	7	Q. The two you give are the low density polyethylene and
8	of PVC panelling around each window. I know that it's	8	the polyisocyanurate.
9	9.5 millimetres thick from Bisby. Then I needed	9	Why are they the most important combustible polymers
10	a density.	10	in terms of smoke toxicity?
11	So, again, I've looked up to find out what I can,	11	A. They're the most important because there's so much of
12	and I've used a figure, I think, of 1.5 grams per	12	them. There's a large mass. They're most important as
13	centimetre cubed. That's what I've used so far. It	13	an input to the toxicity calculation because there's so
14	could be slightly less, but I think that's a reasonable	14	much to consider, as I've described. In other words,
15	figure. It's very heavy stuff, PVC, because of all the	15	the XPS, for example, as I said, is a small amount of
16	chlorine in it.	16	material, therefore less significant.
17	When I multiply that up by the number of windows and	17	Q. What you've said in your report is that the main
18	the area, that's the mass that I'm getting. I did try	18	relevance of the data that you've set out in table 2 is
19	and check it last night. At the moment, I'm still	19	firstly you've touched on this in your
20	sticking to that figure, but arithmetic can always be	20	presentation carbon content?
21	a weakness in people. I will be thoroughly checking all	21	A. Yes.
22	this obviously for Phase 2.	22	Q. Because that affects generation of smoke particulates
23	Q. Could we go two pages ahead in your report, please, to	23	and carbon oxides, including carbon monoxide, during
24	page 65, and look at table 2.	24	combustion.
25	As we can see, it's headed, "Composition of test	25	A. Yes.
	Page 133		Page 135
	1 age 133		1 age 133
1	materials".	1	Q. The second thing is nitrogen content, which affects
2	You've explained in your report	2	generation of hydrogen cyanide. Then halogen content,
3	A. Oh, yes.	3	which is chlorine and bromine, which determines the
4	Q. And if you	4	potential to generate irritant acidic gases.
5	A. Oh, yes, yes.	5	A. And affect the combustion of the other part.
6	Q. The explanation you've given is at paragraph 213, which	6	Q. What you've done, if we look at table 3 on the next
7	is on the previous page, professor.	7	page
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. You explain:	9	Q is you've summarised
10	"213. Table 2 shows the measured net heat of	10	A. I think that's just extracted from the other table.
11	chemical combustion, stoichiometric oxygen demand and	11	Q. Yes, you've summarised the percentages of these
12	elemental composition for each of 14 common polymeric	12	elements, carbon, nitrogen and chlorine, here, in the
13	materials used in furnishings and building products."	13	materials found, and this relates to materials found at
14	I think what you've done is taken materials that	14	Grenfell but also mixed flat contents.
15	would have appeared or been in use at the tower, and	15	A. Yes.
16	also would appear in flat contents.	16	Q. Does it follow that the percentages you give here are
17	A. Well, actually yes. I've selected from that list,	17	the percentages of these elements that will be produced
18	but that list is the list of materials we tested at BRE	18	when a sample of that combustible material is burnt
19	where we had these measured.	19	completely?
20	Q. Can you explain the term stoichiometric oxygen demand?	20	A. Yes, so basically what that means is that these are the
21	A. It's the amount of oxygen you need to burn each gram of	21	percentages in the pedigree material before it's burned,
22	material to completion.	22	right? If it's all burnt and 100 per cent is burned,
23	Q. Why is that important to the calculation that you were	23	then all of those masses of elements or percentages of
24	doing here?	24	elements per unit mass will come off as combustion
25	A. It's not. It just happened to be in the table and it's	25	products.
	D 124		D 124
	Page 134		Page 136

1	I think that was a yes.	1	of material into a tube furnace under a stream of air.
2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Presumably the proportions will	2	Because it's designed in that way, you can dial up, if
3	remain constant for any part of it that is burnt; is	3	you like, tubes, specific fuel-air ratios, and ensure
4	that right?	4	that the material burns under a defined condition and
5	A. Good point. Not necessarily. So if 100 per cent is	5	then measure the yield that you get.
6	burned, of course it all goes. But if there's any char	6	Now, one thing you have to be aware of with any
7	remaining or partial decomposition, then the proportions	7	bench scale test is that you have to be careful that the
8	can change. So in char-forming materials, for example,	8	results you're getting in your small-scale test this
9	it locks up some of the carbon, which means that the	9	applies to a whole range of standard and quasi-standard
10	carbon that then goes up into the atmosphere is somewhat	10	tests to what extent they're representing fundamental
11	less than if you had 100 per cent combustion.	11	properties of the material that you're testing and to
12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.	12	what extent they're a kind of byproduct of the apparatus
13	A. And the same for the other it's the carbon mainly.	13	you're using. This apparatus was designed specifically
14	Yes.	14	to have complete knowledge and control of the exact
15	MR RAWAT: Can I come back to the question of charring in	15	combustion as far as is possible to do so in a practical
16	due course.	16	apparatus, but when we were developing this and getting
17	A. Yes, of course.	17	data for it, we're very anxious to validate it against a
18	Q. One of the points you make, and it's a point you've	18	large-scale compartment fire, which is what we're
19	reiterated here, you've used the term "indicative	19	talking about here.
20	analysis" for the work that you've done and set out in	20	Now, of course, large-scale fires are very expensive
21	part 3 of your presentation, but you also explain in	21	to do, so we can only do a limited number, but we
22	your report that estimates of the contributions of	22	conducted a number of large-scale fires using the same
23	combustion products from specific materials to the	23	materials to look at the yields we got in these more
24	concentrations of toxic smoke products in any particular	24	realistic large volumes, to compare with the yields
25	locations within the tower at different times is likely	25	we're getting in our small-scale apparatus, and we found
	Page 137		Page 139
1	to be possible only within quite wide ranges of	1	good agreement. In fact, I recently published a paper
2	uncertainty.	2	on this in 2016, addressing this very issue.
3	A. Absolutely, yes.	3	So I am fairly confident that the results that we've
4	Q. If we look at table 4, which is where you're looking	4	got are predictive of the kind of yields you might get
5	at	5	if and when these are produced in a compartment fire.
6	A. Table 4, yes, these are the yields of all the products	6	Q. If we go, then, to the next page in your report,
7	that we measured in our BRE experiments using our tube	7	page 70, please.
8	furnace method.	8	Could we expand paragraphs 230 and 231, please.
9	Q. The first question was going to be: can you explain the	9	This is where you're touching on ventilated and
10	reference to a tube furnace?	10	under-ventilated conditions, professor, because you say
11	A. Yes, okay.	11	at paragraph 230:
12	As I've explained, the yields of toxic products are	12	"230. The LDPE cannot burn while encased in its
13	very dependent upon the combustion conditions, this	13	aluminium skin, but does so when it melts and drips out
14	fuel-air ratio.	14	from the aluminium outer layers and also when they start
15	For this project that we were doing, we were	15	to delaminate and expose the LDPE core. Since these
16	particularly concerned to try and produce some data for	16	processes occurred in the open air on the outer surface
17	engineers to use on the yields they might expect over	17	of the Tower, it is likely that most of the flaming LDPE
18	a range of conditions.	18	combustion occurred under well-ventilated flaming
19	Now, I've put in this table a snapshot of well	19	combustion conditions"
20	ventilated and under-ventilated, and I did actually at	20	So as you've explained in your presentation,
21	one point have some slides to show you I've spared	21	well-ventilated conditions will result in low yields of
22	you but in fact you get a sort of sigmoid curve of	22	irritant and toxic smoke?
23	yield in relation to equivalent ratio.	23	A. Yes. There would be some smoke, but not quite as much,
24	So in order to measure these amounts, I developed	24	yes.
25	this tube furnace method, which involves putting a strip	25	Q. If we look at paragraph 231, you say:
	Page 138		Page 140
	<u> </u>	-	Ü

1	"231. The combustion conditions for the PIR	1	cladding.
2	insulation are more complex, because at least initially,	2	So the basic sort of situation is that the PIR is in
3	it is believed to have been burning in the enclosed	3	an enclosure, a pipe almost, all right? And as
4	cavity behind the rainscreen cladding on the columns and	4	I described this morning, once you get a fire in there,
5	spandrels, and also in cavities associated with the	5	it's likely for that to become under-ventilated.
6	window surrounds."	6	Now, if there are various locations where there are
7	Can I just be clear there, are you making	7	gaps to let a bit more air in, if that's what's meant by
8	a distinction between PIR insulation that's in either	8	this question, then if there was any air that gets in,
9	three or two places, either PIR insulation behind the	9	it is going to assist combustion and may shift that fire
10	cladding on the columns, behind the cladding on the	10	value in one direction or the other.
11	spandrels, and also in the cavities associated with the	11	If, however, some of that PE, which I said is well
12	window surrounds?	12	ventilated, because I'm envisaging it pouring down the
13	A. Right, let me think and talk through that.	13	outside, is actually dripping on the inside of the
14	Basically, the two main locations are on the columns	14	cavity, burning in the inside of the cavity, that is
15	and on the spandrels, where the bulk of the PIR is.	15	adding fuel and making conditions even more vitiated,
16	There are also some smaller inserts and things in the	16	under-ventilated.
17	window surround, but I'm not considering those at the	17	So I didn't specifically consider other than the
18	moment.	18	fact that air goes up inside the column, I didn't think
19	What I think I'm really getting at here and this	19	of any other
20	goes back to Dr Lane's kind of analysis is that when	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think maybe the point that you are
21	you have the PIR burning on the columns, particularly	21	being asked to consider is this: we know that the
22	next to a kitchen window, the gasified thermal	22	rainscreen cladding had gaps designedly probably not
23	decomposition products are finding their way through	23	very large, perhaps an inch, perhaps two inches
24	these gaps, particularly where the EDPM strip is or has	24	A. Between the segments?
25	been lost, into the area around the windows, and so some	25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Between the segments.
	Page 141		Page 143
,		,	
1	of the combustion from the column PIR products, the	1	A. I didn't consider that, but I did consider there would
2	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas.	2	be some airflow available.
2 3	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity	2 3	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually
2 3 4	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated	2 3 4	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases.
2 3 4 5	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities	2 3 4 5	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more,
2 3 4 5 6	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those	2 3 4 5 6	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was
2 3 4 5 6 7	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of	2 3 4 5 6 7	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity? A. Because that's what supports the initial combustion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of course. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Quite.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity? A. Because that's what supports the initial combustion. Q. You've I think responded to this already, in that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of course. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Quite. A. But there's a column going up, which at least allows air	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity? A. Because that's what supports the initial combustion. Q. You've I think responded to this already, in that you have said that there would've been airflow up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of course. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Quite.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity? A. Because that's what supports the initial combustion. Q. You've I think responded to this already, in that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	gases produced, is occurring in these kind of areas. I'm saying that where it's burning in the cavity actually on the column, and where the column-generated material is burning in these kind of gaps and cavities and things around the windows, under both those situations, it's likely to have an element of under-ventilated combustion. I think that's really what I'm saying. But, I mean, there is a bit of PIR there as well, in its own right. Q. When you were reaching this view about the degree of ventilation of the PIR insulation, did you take into account the fact that the rainscreen facade at Grenfell Tower was a ventilated rainscreen cladding system? A. Right, I'm not quite sure exactly what is meant by that, but obviously the way I'm looking at this is that you have the PIR on the concrete, and then you've got a cavity going up, which is stopped, of course, in places — or that's another matter of dispute, of course. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Quite. A. But there's a column going up, which at least allows air	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be some airflow available. As I said, we don't know what the condition actually was and that's why I put in both cases. MR RAWAT: Well, just to break that down a little bit more, firstly, in terms of your conclusion that the LDPE was well ventilated, the assumption you made was that it would seep out on the outside? A. Yes. Q. In terms of PIR insulation being under-ventilated, you've not taken into account the gaps in the cladding. A. No, I haven't, no. Q. Did you take into account the gaps that would've existed between the insulation panels and the cladding itself? A. That's the cavity, yes. Q. That's the cavity, so that you did take into account? A. Yes. To the extent that it's there. Q. Did you take into account that there would've been air present A. Of course. Q in that cavity? A. Because that's what supports the initial combustion. Q. You've I think responded to this already, in that you have said that there would've been airflow up.

1	Q. In looking at the ventilation of the cladding system,	1	So at the moment I'm basing this on a model that
2	did you consider that the response to heat might be the	2	it's all well ventilated, but I'm not totally wedded to
3	creation of an updraught?	3	this, should other information appear.
4	A. I mean, I haven't gone to this level of detail, but I'm	4	Q. Can I show you a page from Dr Lane's report. Could
5	assuming that there is air flowing up and that there was	5	I have, please, BLAS0000010 0020.
6	a flame, an elongated flame, in fact. You remember,	6	Could we expand just the top diagram, please.
7	you've seen the diagrams. So I'm assuming an airflow	7	A. I'm going to come out and look at this. I can't see it.
8	up, yes.	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Make sure you can see it all right.
9	But I don't have any figures for any of these flows	9	A. I'll stand there, is that all right?
10	or loss rates. I haven't done anything like that. All	10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course.
11	I've done is addressed the mass and estimated a mass	11	MR RAWAT: If you see, Professor Purser, there's a sort of
12	that's been burned, and then assumed either one or other	12	red and yellow arrow going up.
13	condition.	13	A. Yes.
14	Yes.	14	Q. That I think represents the LDPE
15	Q. Are factors like that, that you might get flow up or	15	A. Core.
16	that there are gaps in the cladding, matters that you	16	Q core.
17	could take into account if you were to review these	17	A. Yes.
18	calculations?	18	Q. So you have a polyethylene core with two aluminium
19	A. At present, I mean, this is something we might be able	19	faces.
20	to go into further in Phase 2, but really to settle	20	A. Yes.
21	these kind of issues, the only way that I would know to	21	Q. Could you get flaming up this 3-millimetre
22	do that is to build a rig with these features and have	22	A. In that space.
23	a fire in it and measure these phenomena.	23	Q PE core with no delamination of the aluminium sides?
24	So I don't have any way of calculating this, other	24	A. You could do for a period but, I mean, this is getting
25	than to say the product you're going to get would depend	25	a bit out of my expertise.
	Page 145		Page 147
1	on this fuel-air ratio you end up with in practice.	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: This is not quite your area, is it?
2	I don't have any way of calculating that for this	2	No.
3	particular situation.	3	A. No. But let's assume for the moment you do, if that
4	Q. Professor Bisby has noted that in terms of the LDPE, it	4	helps to answer the question. What we would have is
5	was exposed at the edges.	5	a situation where initially the flame is impinging upon
6	A. Yes. I think he talked about drips and things, didn't	6	this edge and is dripping down and burning below, as
7	he?	7	I described. If that starts to flow out, say up to this
8	Q. Are you able to say what impact that would have on the	8	sort of level here (Indicates), then you're going to
9	process of combustion?	9	have the sort of melting PE sort of running inside this
10	A. Well, I was a little bit puzzled by this question. So,	10	space.
11	I mean, my picture of this is that if you do have	11	But, of course, if it's going to burn, it's got to
12	an edge and there's a flame impinging on that edge, this	12	get air up there as well, which isn't going to be that
13	is the 3-millimetre gap between the two sheets of	13	easy. So there could be a bit of combustion in there
14			
	aluminium, then being a thermoplastic material, that	14	which may assist the delamination process, of course,
15	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this	15	but it's getting a bit out of my
15 16	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this.	15 16	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it.
15 16 17	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing	15 16 17	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes.
15 16 17 18	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would	15 16 17 18	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and
15 16 17 18 19	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening.	15 16 17 18 19	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please.
15 16 17 18 19 20	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside	15 16 17 18 19 20	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite efficiently.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that there would've been variability as
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite efficiently. Then at some point, one would expect the whole thing	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that there would've been variability as to the time when this occurred?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite efficiently. Then at some point, one would expect the whole thing to delaminate as it loses its structural integrity.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that there would've been variability as to the time when this occurred? A. Of course, yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite efficiently. Then at some point, one would expect the whole thing	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that there would've been variability as to the time when this occurred?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LDPE is going to melt and drip and you'll have this burning stream of droplets dropping from below this. You're talking about a vertical panel, so it's flowing out, dripping down and burning. That's what I would anticipate happening. So to the extent that those drips are on the outside and in air, I would expect them to burn quite efficiently. Then at some point, one would expect the whole thing to delaminate as it loses its structural integrity.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but it's getting a bit out of my Q. Well, I won't press it. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can take your seat again, yes. MR RAWAT: Let's go back to the same page in your report and paragraph 231 again, please. You refer to rainscreen cladding falling away. A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that there would've been variability as to the time when this occurred? A. Of course, yes.

		_	
1	conditions for the cladding?	1	into a flat 6. Well, of course, the same would apply to
2	A. Yes, yes.	2	all the other flats later on that were penetrated.
3	Q. And for the PIR as insulation?	3	In this particular set of calculations, I'm
4	A. Yes, it would.	4	estimating that all the PIR and all the rainscreen has
5	Q. In relation to the analysis you've done I think	5	been affected on both sides of the flat, because they're
6	I know the answer to this is this something that	6	in a corner location, it's all gone, and that it's all
7	could be taken into account and, if so, how?	7	gone in this short period of time as well. I mean, it
8	A. Right. Well, assuming at the moment the same mass has	8	would be possible to do a varied set of calculations
9	decomposed, in the one case with the cladding intact and	9	with various assumptions to look how they might spread,
10	the other case with it having fallen away, something	10	but that's essentially what I'm doing, yes.
11	like that, then of course, if it remained intact, then	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask, you proceeded on the
12	it preserves this cavity which tends to lead or is more	12	basis that only 5 per cent of the smoke generated by
13	likely to lead to under-ventilated combustion. So if	13	100 per cent combustion gets into the flat.
14	the rainscreen cladding remains in place for a longer	14	A. Yes.
15	period, you would theoretically prolong the period of	15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: To what extent would a departure
16	under-ventilated combustion of the PIR.	16	from your assumption be likely to affect the proportion
17	If the cladding falls away at a very early stage,	17	or the volume of smoke getting into the flat?
18	then you move to the more well-ventilated regime at	18	A. Yes, well, it would be in proportion, for these two
19	an earlier stage for the PIR, I would say. We could do	19	materials on the outside.
20	example calculations of this should it be relevant,	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So a 20 per cent difference would
21	•	21	only result in a 1 per cent difference in smoke. Is
22	but SID MADTIN MOODE PICK. As few as yields concerned at least	22	•
	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: As far as we're concerned, at least	23	that 1 per cent difference in smoke volume?
23	at the moment, are your calculations based on what you	1	A. Well, I've assumed 5 per cent going in, and then I also
24	would consider to be a reasonable average condition?	24	had a look at the case for only 1 per cent going in.
25	A. Exactly.	25	What I found with the 1 per cent case for these two
	Page 149		Page 151
1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Or have you gone for one extreme or	1	materials was that it lowers the concentration,
2	the other?	2	obviously, of the asphyxiant gases, so it prolongs the
3	A. Yes. I mean, the most extreme thing in this table is	3	predicted time to collapse, not that that happened in
4	that I've assumed that all the cladding around the flat	4	any flat 6.
5	in question is equally affected. All right? So if, for	5	But there's so much smoke there that even when you
6	example, you had a two-bedroom flat, and the fire came	6	do this, you still end up with hand in front of the face
7	up only in a column near the kitchen, say flat 6, and	7	smoke. That's just from each material, and the total
8	never spread laterally to involve any other cladding or	8	thing has got to be summed for the
9	insulation, then of course my figures come down in	9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Just so I've understood you
10	proportion to the area that's been I think I did say	10	correctly
11	this it all depends on the area that's been attacked	11	A. Yes.
12	and destroyed by the fire, which varies somewhat between	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think what you're saying is
13	flats. I'm taking a case here, one of these typical	13	• • •
13		13	that even if you reduce the quantity of materials
	flats high up in the tower, where most of it has gone	1	consumed by 20 per cent
15	apart from the charry bit that is left behind.	15	A. Yes, it wouldn't make much difference.
16	MR RAWAT: So we're clear, I'm asking you about table 5,	16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I was going to say, because
17	which you put up in your presentation.	17	you're reducing the smoke into the flat by 1 per cent,
18	A. Yes.	18	as it were, and it doesn't make much difference.
19	Q. What you're not doing is trying to represent varying	19	A. No, because there are kind of two things here. One is
20	conditions throughout the tower	20	the smoke density and a change like that, because it's
21	A. No.	21	so dense, it won't really affect the visibility.
22	Q. — throughout the progress of the incident.	22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right.
23	A. Right. Now, as I said earlier, this sort of analysis,	23	A. But it does reduce the proportion, the concentrations of
24	I'm kind of looking at a snapshot of a few minutes	24	asphyxiant gases, and prolongs in proportion the
25	during the early stages of fire penetration, for example	25	tolerance time for that, approximately.
	Page 150		Page 152
	1 agc 130		1 age 132

	OORE-BICK: Thank you.	1	to take you to the paragraph, we will, but what you
	of the points you make in your report and	2	say it's paragraph 248 is that during actual
	210, I won't ask for it to be brought	3	fires, the fuel burning rates and concentrations of
*	omponents that you've been	4	smoke and toxic products are not constant and increase
	y were involved in a fire, they would	5	continuously as long as the fire is burning.
	order, and you put it as running from	6	A. Yes.
7 left to right. So t	•	7	Q. So does that mean that the concentration of gases
	oke to which flat occupants were	8	A. Changes.
•	om the PIR, and LDPE then the PS	9	Q would vary throughout the building, depending on the
	C and other minor window components and	10	progress of the fire?
	ntents. For occupants taking refuge	11	A. Well, this is where it starts to get very complicated.
	om the fire for an hour or more, but	12	So you can consider the situation in an individual flat,
•	e infiltration from the outside and the	13	and of course that's all varying with time. These
· ·	lobbies or stair, the source was	14	calculations here are for a kind of snapshot in that
	ixture of all these sources in varying	15	time, and they would have been preceded by some kind of
16 proportions at dif	ferent times."	16	growth curve and followed by a further growth curve, all
17 A. Including the c		17	right? But once you get beyond the burning flat into
1	fy or help us further with what factors	18	other parts of the tower, you are starting to get into
19 you had in mind	when you state that any mixture from	19	more complex questions about smoke leakage through
20 these sources wo	uld vary in proportion and at different	20	various doors and shafts and other things like that.
21 times?		21	I've forgotten what your question was, but
-	mean, this is not terribly	22	essentially you've got a large generator in all the
23 quantitative, bu	t what I'm saying is it's a progression.	23	burning flats, and the fire on the exterior, producing
24 So you start off,	thinking of flat 6 again, with the	24	smoke. Some of the exterior smoke is finding its way
25 exterior and str	uctural materials as the main source	25	into the building, and all the building smoke is being
	Page 153		Page 155
1 during these ear	ly minutes up to about 01.30, 01.35,	1	produced in the building. Some is going out, some is
	nat, and that's going into the lobbies	2	going out and up and coming in at higher levels.
	ense smoke in the lobbies, and then most	3	There's a whole lot of things going on. Then that smoke
	from the structural materials because	4	is migrating through the various interior spaces of the
	et started to growing the flats.	5	building.
•	time goes on, we can expect and we	6	Does that answer your question?
	development inside the flats, and we're	7	Q. Thank you.
8 getting an increa	sing involvement of the contents which,	8	One of the slides you put up when you were setting
	are a much bigger fire load. This is	9	out the materials that you considered for the analysis
	se, between floors and flats and times.	10	that you set out in this part of your report, you made
,	eart to approach 02.00 hours, we can	11	the point that what you did not bring into play was
	hese flat contents are on fire and	12	other material. So you specifically list the purlboard
	dominate the combustion products that	13	and the EDPM.
	l. Most of it may go out through the	14	A. Yes.
o o	ndows have failed, but, of course, a	15	Q. When you were presenting, you said that these are minor.
	ng to find its way into the lobby.	16	Can you just expand on why you did not include such
	in another flat taking refuge and you	17	combustible materials in your analysis?
•	r lobby door at 2 o'clock, the smoke	18	A. Yes, I can. The short answer is because I was trying to
	ou in the lobby is now starting to be	19	do a preliminary figure, so I picked the things
l	, I would say, by combustion products from	20	I thought were most significant in terms of mass. But
	burning flats than from the cladding	21	I'm aware that these other materials are there.
22 and other mater		22	Also, it's quite hard from the information I have to
23 Does that mak		23	quantify them. I don't know necessarily from Barbara
24 SIR MARTIN MO		24	exactly what the dimensions are of all these things.
	in, just picking up on that last if we need	25	But I can go on to include them in further work.
			, and the second
	Page 154		Page 156

1	The EDPM is quite a small piece I mean, it's	1	put it into this ISO room, which is now lined with PIR
2	quite important whether or not it was a barrier, but in	2	aluminium-faced panelling.
3	terms of its contribution by mass, it's quite a small	3	What happened in these experiments is that the
4	amount.	4	standard flame in the corner of this room never
5	The purlboard might have some significance, and	5	penetrated the aluminium and never involved the PIR.
6	I think there's some wood underneath all this somewhere	6	So in that particular case, it performed very well.
7	as well.	7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right.
8	So the short answer is I probably will go on to kind	8	Mr Rawat, I'm just wondering whether we should have
9	of expand these elements I'm considering, but because	9	a break at some point.
10	they're relatively small in comparison to these,	10	MR RAWAT: We can stop now.
11	I don't they will make some contribution, yes.	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Does it suit you?
12	Q. There's a foil facia to the PIR insulation.	12	MR RAWAT: Yes. I mean, I've got a few more questions on
13	A. Yes.	13	this topic, but it's a good enough time. Thank you.
14	Q. Is that a material that you would want to consider if	14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Professor, I think we'll have
15	you reviewed this analysis?	15	a short break now.
16	A. Foil face?	16	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
17	Q. Facia to the PIR insulation.	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Ten minutes, let's say, 3.25. Don't
18	A. You mean the aluminium foil? That's on all the PIR,	18	talk to anyone about your evidence, please, as before.
19	yes.	19	You go with the usher and she'll look after you.
20	Q. If the PIR were burning, would that be significant	20	All right? Thank you very much.
21	A. I haven't considered the aluminium itself. I don't	21	All right, 3.25, then, please, thank you.
22	think that will produce anything very much. Its main	22	(3.15 pm)
23	significance is the extent to which it protects the PIR	23	(A short break)
24	from combustion and, to some extent, it does quite	24	(3.25 pm)
25	a good job on that, up to a point.	25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Ready to carry on, professor?
	2 455		D 450
	Page 157	-	Page 159
1	Q. Is that something you could take into consideration,	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	The state of the s		
	that protective mechanism of the aluminium?	2	
3	that protective mechanism of the aluminium? A. No, not really.	2 3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much.
	that protective mechanism of the aluminium? A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of	2 3 4	
3	A. No, not really.	3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's
3 4	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of	3 4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please.
3 4 5	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing?	3 4 5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's
3 4 5 6	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or 	3 4 5 6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that
3 4 5 6 7	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — 	3 4 5 6 7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we 	3 4 5 6 7 8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. So we did some experiments. We sawed up PIR and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat. But, I mean, you know, obviously after this, if we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. So we did some experiments. We sawed up PIR and made it into cribs, ignited it and it burned quite	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat. But, I mean, you know, obviously after this, if we did another snapshot later on, I would expect that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. So we did some experiments. We sawed up PIR and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in — I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here — and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat. But, I mean, you know, obviously after this, if we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. So we did some experiments. We sawed up PIR and made it into cribs, ignited it and it burned quite fiercely under those artificial conditions.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat. But, I mean, you know, obviously after this, if we did another snapshot later on, I would expect that bottom line to become much, much bigger and significant.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No, not really. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be relevant to the sort of calculations you're doing? A. No, no. I mean, it's relevant to the extent whether or not the PIR burned. So I'm basing that on what we observed — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You are assuming it is going to burn. A. Well, I tell you, I did a series of experiments where I lined a standard room, it's called an ISO room, with aluminium-faced PIR, and the purpose was to study the combustion of those materials when they are used as wall linings. Because I wanted to have the same material only in the room, I made what's called a crib, which is a standard ignition fire source that experimenters use, whereby you saw — usually it's wood, but you saw them into sticks and build a boy scout crib and set fire to that, and depending on the crib, you can have a standard flaming heat source. So we did some experiments. We sawed up PIR and made it into cribs, ignited it and it burned quite fiercely under those artificial conditions.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Could we have table 5 up on the screen. That's at page 74 of the report, please. Professor, one of the assumptions you made in this, as you describe it, indicative analysis, was that 0.5 per cent of the flat contents would burn. A. Yes, a very small amount. Q. Why did you choose that percentage? A. Yes, that's an important question. Basically because I'm addressing these conditions at a moment in time, as I said, when the fire has just broken in I'm thinking again of flat 6 mainly here and coming in around the windows, and it's either still around the windows and hasn't involved the contents at all, or it's just started, say, to ignite a chair or something close to the window, so we're getting some involvement of the content. So this is a sort of snapshot of a situation possibly around 01.40, 01.50, 2 o'clock, I don't know, something like that, depending on the flat. But, I mean, you know, obviously after this, if we did another snapshot later on, I would expect that bottom line to become much, much bigger and significant.

1	A. Time, yes.	1	material then enters the flat?
2	Q the estimate of different components that burn would	2	A. Yes.
3	change.	3	Q. Is it possible that some of the PIR insulation may have
4	A. Vary a lot, yes. Absolutely, yes.	4	been burned as a result of fire break-out from
5	Q. Because, for example, if the cladding had fallen away	5	compartment fires after the cladding had burnt out?
6	outside a particular flat and the flat contents were	6	A. Yes, I saw that question. That's an interesting point.
7	A. Increasing.	7	So I think basically what we seem to be seeing is
8	Q increasing	8	the fire during the early stages moving rapidly up the
9	A. One is going down in significance, the other is going	9	tower, and the very bright flames that we can see
10	up.	10	I mean, the other experts could comment, but I think
11	Q. I follow.	11	a lot of that is the LDPE, but it's also burning some of
12	In terms of when you made this calculation and	12	the PIR behind it.
13	you've touched on this in your presentation you gave	13	Once the fire has moved on, the photo I showed you
14	a figure of 50 per cent for the total mass of PIR.	14	where the fire is burning to the left of the original,
15	A. Oh, yes.	15	and you can see the fire inside, there's obviously still
16	Q. This is something you say in your report. But you	16	some PIR left on those, and it's stopped burning. It's
17	estimate, following a visit to the tower, that	17	stopped burning.
18	approximately 50 per cent of the PIR may have burned.	18	But if you had the fire in the flat then going to
19	A. On particular parts, yes. I'm not taking into account	19	flashover, and you had the windows falling out — and
20	the I mean, half the bottom of the tower is still	20	I haven't seen any pictures that show this, but you had
21	intact, so I'm not including that at all in this. I'm	21	a big flame plume coming out, which should happen, and
22	thinking of a sort of stereotypical flat towards the top	22	that flame plume then goes up the outside towards the
23	of the tower sort of thing, yes.	23	next level, if that's impinging on a spandrel above the
24	Q. Was this assumption based purely on the observations	24	window level, one would expect that to be attacked.
25	that you made, visual observations, during your visits?	25	So I've not taken that into account. So my
	that you made, found occor fations, daring your violes.		,
	Page 161		Page 163
1	A One of the muchlome when I want though that the whole	1	assumption is that the mass I'm sering has gone during
1	A. One of the problems when I went there is that the whole	1	assumption is that the mass I'm saying has gone during
2	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do	2	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing.
2 3	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the	2 3	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time,
2 3 4	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into	2 3 4	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time,
2 3 4 5	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the	2 3 4 5	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were.
2 3 4 5 6	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats.	2 3 4 5 6	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow.
2 3 4 5 6 7	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that	2 3 4 5 6 7	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone and that's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone and that's what I observed appeared to have happened then that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe, you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone — and that's what I observed appeared to have happened — then that's 50 per cent of your PIR gone. So I've assumed all that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe, you know. Q. As I understand your analysis here, you're assuming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone — and that's what I observed appeared to have happened — then that's 50 per cent of your PIR gone. So I've assumed all that has combusted. All right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe, you know. Q. As I understand your analysis here, you're assuming that let's take the PIR insulation as the example	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone and that's what I observed appeared to have happened then that's 50 per cent of your PIR gone. So I've assumed all that has combusted. All right? Behind that is the layer that the windows are set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see — I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe, you know. Q. As I understand your analysis here, you're assuming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone and that's what I observed appeared to have happened then that's 50 per cent of your PIR gone. So I've assumed all that has combusted. All right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	tower is clad in a sort of membrane, so you can't do a survey from the outside without climbing out onto the scaffolding. So what I did personally was I went into various flats and went to the window and looked at the state on the columns and spandrels around various flats. But I'm also looking at some of the photographs that you can see, so many of them, taken after the fire, which I showed in one of my slides, where you can see that, for example, the columns have got no PIR on, most of them, but you definitely can see I mean, there are substantial amounts of PIR still there, even near the top of the tower on some of these spandrels. Most of the column stuff has gone though. Q. So is it right to say that, in making your estimates, you didn't take any account of the volume of PIR that may have fallen off during the fire? A. No. Q. Or been removed by firefighting effort? A. I'm obviously aware that that may have happened to some extent, but I'm purely basing it on what I can observe, you know. Q. As I understand your analysis here, you're assuming that let's take the PIR insulation as the example	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this fairly short window of time that I'm addressing. If, in fact, it was going over a longer period of time, that would reduce the amount during that window of time, as it were. Q. I follow. One of the points you mentioned earlier was the idea of charring. A. Yes. Q. As I understand it, PIR on its own, when burned, will char and self-extinguish; is that right? A. Yes. Q. When you were coming to your approximation of 50 per cent of PIR burning, did you assume that any charred insulation had been consumed in the fire? A. Mm. What I looked at was the thickness, for this kind of indicative calculation, and I'm thinking mainly of the spandrels here, but the same logic I've applied for the other, is that you have two aluminium-faced layers, so if one of those layers has gone and that's what I observed appeared to have happened then that's 50 per cent of your PIR gone. So I've assumed all that has combusted. All right? Behind that is the layer that the windows are set

1	the building. That is all blackened and charred and	1	carbon dioxide. If you burn the wood next to a piece of
2	sort of bulbous.	2	PVC so that the products mix in the flame, then the CO
3	In fact, we took some specimens of this and sawed	3	yield from the wood is increased by the hydrogen
4	through them, and if you look at a cross-section of one	4	chloride in the flame on that wood. With me?
5	of these charred pieces, it looks a bit like a loaf of	5	So in this context, what would happen would depend
6	bread that's been burnt in the oven, you know. So you	6	on where we are in the system, right?
7	have this kind of charred exterior of a certain	7	So imagine you have some polyethylene, the LDPE.
8	thickness, which is the way it's designed to happen, and	8	I said that's burning under well-ventilated conditions
9	then behind that you have some creamy-looking,	9	on the exterior. That means most of the products that
10	apparently intact PIR that's not been decomposed at all.	10	are finding their way into the flat, as I've described,
11	But from the bit that is charred, although there is	11	are mixing with the flames and smoke from the PVC. I've
12	significant carbon still left, which is the char, that	12	already gone more or less to completion, CO ² . So
13	will have lost a considerable part of its mass.	13	I wouldn't necessarily expect them to be changed very
14	So if, for example, you had a case where 50 per cent	14	much.
15	had burned and gone, that's your 50 per cent, if you had	15	But if you had another material which had not been
16	50 per cent left of which 50 per cent of the mass	16	fully burned and been under-ventilated combustion, so
17	content had gone, leaving the charred bit, then you	17	you've got partially burned gases coming in through the
18	would end up with 75 per cent of the total mass	18	window, mixing with the flame from the PVC, then the
19	decomposed, which would be 50 per cent more than I've	19	yield of CO and cyanide from those materials would then
20	assumed. So it can kind of go in either direction,	20	be increased by the presence of the PVC.
21	depending on what you say.	21	To quantify it is quite challenging, but the
22	I mean, one of our plans is to look at the density	22	phenomenon would be there.
23	of this charred material and estimate how much actually	23	Q. Could we look, please, at page 72 of your report.
24	has gone from the specimens removed from the tower.	24	I just draw your attention first to paragraph 245 at
25	Q. If we look at table 5, you give a figure of 552 parts	25	the bottom, where you say:
	Page 165		Page 167
1	A. Hydrogen chloride.	1	"245. With regard to smoke and fire penetration
2	Q for hydrogen chloride, and that's from the uPVC	2	into individual flats, the two-bedroom flats were most
3	window surround.	3	vulnerable because the aggregate areas of the windows
4	A. Yes.	4	and of the cladding and insulation outside them was
5	Q. Would that hydrogen chloride, as produced by the PVC	5	greater than that for single bedroom flats."
6	window, have an impact on the efficiency of combustion	6	If we go and look at paragraph 242, what you say
7	conditions for other materials?	7	there is that:
8	A. Absolutely, and I've stated that in my report.	8	"From witness accounts, although some smoke was
9	Q. Can you explain how it has that impact?	9	reported as entering the flats from outside around the
10	A. Yes. So I mention it as a phenomenon, but I've only	10	windows, the main source of smoke entering the flats
11	factored it into my calculations here insofar as it	11	before fire reached the flats from outside was likely to
12	affects the PVC itself, all right? So PVC, when you	12	have been from the lobbies."
13	burn it, even when you burn it with a flame, which is	13	A. The lobbies, yes.
14	quite hard to do, it produces, as I said, a very high	14	Q. So what you have is a statement that a two-bedroom flat
15	yield of carbon monoxide. That is because the chlorine	15	is more vulnerable because it's got a greater area
16	content is 50 per cent by mass roughly chlorine. It is	16	exposed to the outside.
17	all released as hydrogen chloride. This is a free	17	A. Yes.
18	radical scavenger, which means that it prevents or	18	Q. But at paragraph 242, a statement that certainly until
19	limits oxidation and flame reactions in the flame zone	19	the fire reaches the flat
20	above it, and so instead of all the carbon gases being	20	A. I don't think there's a contradiction there at all.
21	burnt to completion, you get a high yield of partial	21	Q. Could you explain why you don't think that?
22	combustion, which is the carbon monoxide. So that's why	22	A. So in the one case we're talking about the vulnerability
23	combustion, which is the carbon monoxide. So that's why we get such a high yield of CO from PVC itself, okay?	23	to exterior fire penetration. In fact, I think the
23 24	combustion, which is the carbon monoxide. So that's why we get such a high yield of CO from PVC itself, okay? But if you burn something like wood, in	23 24	to exterior fire penetration. In fact, I think the two-bedroom flats are even more vulnerable because of
23	combustion, which is the carbon monoxide. So that's why we get such a high yield of CO from PVC itself, okay?	23	to exterior fire penetration. In fact, I think the
23 24	combustion, which is the carbon monoxide. So that's why we get such a high yield of CO from PVC itself, okay? But if you burn something like wood, in	23 24	to exterior fire penetration. In fact, I think the two-bedroom flats are even more vulnerable because of

		1	
1	through one corner I think somebody described this	1	of the renovation. I think there are a lot of pipes
2	it can then break out through the next corner. You then	2	going vertically through there, so that would imply some
3	have two openings, and that's a nice condition, if you	3	migration through there.
4	like, to develop quite a big fire in that flat, whereas	4	There's also the question, which is still somewhat
5	the one-bedroom flats are somewhat more protected, which	5	unresolved, about how exactly the smoke ventilation was
6	may partly explain the slower fire growth in flat 201,	6	performing and what it was doing on the night of the
7	which is a one-bedroom flat, for example.	7	fire. I know Dr Lane is trying to look into that in
8	This is all to do with the fire breaking in from	8	some detail.
9	outside.	9	Indeed, I did read an account from somebody that
10	But this other aspect of smoke penetration is smoke	10	suggested they thought they saw smoke coming from one of
11	penetration from the inside, from the lobby. And there,	11	those grilles.
12	in fact, you could argue in that situation, because they	12	So there are a number of routes for vertical spread,
13	all only have one front door, that with respect to smoke	13	and of course the stair shaft is the most obvious one.
14	penetration from the lobby, slow smoke infiltration from	14	Now, from my analysis of what I've seen so far, in
15	the lobby, the one-bedroom flat, because the volume is	15	every case I have read of anybody trying to escape,
16	less, would actually be more vulnerable in that context	16	they've always said the smoke in the lobby was far worse
17	than a two-bedroom flat, because you're dispersing the	17	than the smoke in the stair, which means that I don't
18	same amount of smoke and gases into a smaller or larger	18	believe that vertical migration of smoke up the stair
19	volume.	19	was a cause of smoke in a lobby anywhere. I think it's
20	But they're talking about two totally different	20	the other way.
21	things: one is smoke coming from the lobby, one is smoke	21	Although some of the smoke in the stair most of
22	and fire coming from the outside.	22	it I think is coming from lobbies as people open doors
23	Q. Thank you.	23	and things, but some of it is undoubtedly coming from
24	Have you, for the purpose of this Phase 1 report,	24	down below where the firefighting and things are going
25	considered the potential impact of the smoke ventilation	25	on. That's another issue.
	D 470		D 474
	Page 169		Page 171
1	system to the passage of smoke around the building on	1	O. Is this question, the potential contribution of the
1 2	system to the passage of smoke around the building on the night?	1 2	Q. Is this question, the potential contribution of the smoke ventilation system, something that you want to
1 2 3	the night?	1 2 3	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to
2	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms.	2	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report?
2 3	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which	2 3 4	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to
2 3 4	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read	2 3	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the	2 3 4 5 6	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke
2 3 4 5 6 7	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in	2 3 4 5 6 7	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it
2 3 4 5 6	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at	2 3 4 5 6	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around — A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around — A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that this is at the very early stages of the fire some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know. Q. Whatever the outcome of that, you can consider that in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that this is at the very early stages of the fire some people mentioned seeing smoke emerging from the lift	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know. Q. Whatever the outcome of that, you can consider that in your Phase 2 report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that this is at the very early stages of the fire some people mentioned seeing smoke emerging from the lift area, on I think it was the 23rd floor, very high up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know. Q. Whatever the outcome of that, you can consider that in your Phase 2 report. A. Yes, if we find anything out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around — A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that — this is at the very early stages of the fire — some people mentioned seeing smoke emerging from the lift area, on I think it was the 23rd floor, very high up, and another person talked about smoke emerging from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know. Q. Whatever the outcome of that, you can consider that in your Phase 2 report. A. Yes, if we find anything out. Q. Can I take you to page 8 of your statement, please, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the night? A. Yes. Only in very general terms. So as I've said, there are a number of ways in which smoke could be spread around the building. Having read the witness statements, at the moment I'm coming to the view that the main source of the early dense smoke in the lobbies was via basically flat 6 on each floor at about 01.30. Sorry, I've forgotten what the question was. Q. It was about the potential impact of the smoke ventilation system on the passage of smoke around A. Ah, yes, okay. So that's horizontal smoke. All right? But there is this question of whether, if you had smoke filling one lobby on one floor, could any of that get up to a lobby on another floor? And the answer is, of course, yes, and there are various routes by which that might happen through gaps and penetrations and missing seals and things like that. There's also the lift shaft, and I noted that this is at the very early stages of the fire some people mentioned seeing smoke emerging from the lift area, on I think it was the 23rd floor, very high up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	smoke ventilation system, something that you want to give further consideration to for your phrase 2 report? A. I would like to. I don't know how far we're going to get with that, but its obviously a consideration, yes. Don't forget, that was only designed to clear smoke from one floor. So the operation, as I understand it from Dr Lane's report, is that it was designed to be triggered by smoke on a certain floor, which should've been where a fire was, and then the dampers are supposed to shut on all the other floors, then that smoke is extracted. There is a bit of an indication that some of those lower floors don't look as smoke-stained as others, but I don't think we quite know what happened there. One thing I did on my second visit to the tower was to look closely at the smoke deposits with Professor Stec in the ventilation and in the shafts of those louvres on the various. So we are looking into this a bit, but how far we'll get, I don't know. Q. Whatever the outcome of that, you can consider that in your Phase 2 report. A. Yes, if we find anything out.

1	What you've done here is to set out what might be	1	A. Yes, I mean, just to clarify, I'm basically identifying
2	described as a sort of model of the events of the night,	2	a period during which these lobbies are filling with
3	and you identify three stages.	3	smoke. Now, this is a continuous process, so it's
4	For your second stage, which is at the bottom there,	4	hard it's varying in different places, it's hard to
5	at (e), you give that a time period of 01.27 to, at the	5	get hard numbers in, but mostly around about 01.30 that
6	outside, 02.00 am. Do you see that?	6	these lobbies are filling with smoke. All right?
7	A. Yes, it's the dense smoke filling the lobbies, yes.	7	Then round about 2 o'clockish, I'm suggesting that
8	Q. What you're identifying and we see it there is	8	we're now moving to a regime where we may have internal
9	that the main source of smoke entering the lobbies at	9	fires.
10	this time was from flat 6 on each floor?	10	Now, this particular picture here, the main fires
11	A. That's my current feeling, but, you know.	11	are on the east face, which we can't see, and we're
12	Q. Well, what's the evidential basis for that current	12	seeing the fire coming around the corner onto the north
13	feeling?	13	face of the building. This brightness here I believe is
14	A. Because I looked quite closely at what people said they	14	mostly the burning cladding and insulation coming around
15	did in flat 6 and how they described the conditions.	15	the corner, as it were, as the fire spreads.
16	Basically they're saying, "Fire came in, I ran around,	16	But I don't see any evidence that there are fires in
17	left the flat in a hurry", in some cases leaving the	17	any of these flats. These look like ordinary neon
18	door open. I can't remember the names off hand now, but	18	lights, so I don't see any fires in there.
19	there were some quite graphic descriptions of this.	19	Q. Well, can I show you the second piece of evidence
20	One person left, went down a few floors, then came	20	A. This is timed at 01.36, so this is sort of after the
21	back up again and went back to his flat and noticed how,	21	period when I'm saying the lobbies were filled with
22	having left his door open, he found there was a lot more	22	smoke, really, or towards the very end of it.
23	smoke in the lobby.	23	Q. Could we have LSBS0000001, page 254, please.
24	Other people from other flats looked towards the	24	If you go to the bottom there, we start at
25	open door of flat 6 when people came out and saw the	25	paragraph 1182.
	Page 173		Page 175
1	plume of smoke coming out and filling the lobbies.	1	A. Yes.
1 2	plume of smoke coming out and filling the lobbies. There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and	1 2	A. Yes. O. What it is, this is
2	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and	2	Q. What it is, this is
2	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people		Q. What it is, this isA. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think.
2 3 4	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to	2 3 4	Q. What it is, this isA. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think.Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to
2 3 4 5	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors	2 3 4 5	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's
2 3 4 5 6	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his
2 3 4 5 6 7	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor".
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats. A. Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this phenomenon earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats. A. Yes, yes. Q. Would that support the conclusion that there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Viciro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this phenomenon earlier. Q. So you're familiar with his evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats. A. Yes, yes. Q. Would that support the conclusion that there were developed internal fires at this time between floors 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this phenomenon earlier. Q. So you're familiar with his evidence? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats. A. Yes, yes. Q. Would that support the conclusion that there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Viciro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this phenomenon earlier. Q. So you're familiar with his evidence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There are quite a lot of descriptions like that, and there were other floors lower down where people deliberately left the doors open for the firefighters to go into the flats and deal with them, but these doors were open for some period of time. Other people, we don't know what they did. So it's based on that kind of evidence, yes. Q. I want to put to you a proposition, and it's that during that second stage that you've identified, there were internal fires in flats. A. Yes. Q. To do that, I need to show you a number of pieces of evidence. A. Yes. Q. The first is from Dr Lane's initial report. Could we have BLAR00000002, page 19, please. We see there a photograph. A. Yes. Q. It's timed at 01.36. We can see that there are a number of lit flats. A. Yes, yes. Q. Would that support the conclusion that there were developed internal fires at this time between floors 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. What it is, this is A. This is one of the witnesses in flat 6, I think. Q. If we take it in stages, the first thing I need to explain is this is an extract from Professor Bisby's report, and what he's done in this part this is his supplementary report he has summarised evidence from a number of BSR witnesses. A. Yes. Q. So the first person we see is Jose Vieiro, flat 46, who stated, "The first thing I saw burning was the extractor". If we go to the next page, please. A. I think he may have been the man whose curtains caught fire, but I can't be sure. Q. That's the one, the curtains on fire. A. Yes, it is. Q. He states flames came through the fan holes where the fan was. It gave and it was hanging by the electric wire that supported it. A. Yes, I mentioned him earlier. I mentioned this phenomenon earlier. Q. So you're familiar with his evidence? A. Yes.

1 and take it shortly and summarise the evidence. If 1 Q. To conclude on it, there is nothing in the witness 2 2 evidence that you have read in relation to a flat 6 that you're not familiar with it and you want to read it, let 3 3 me know, but if we look at the whole page, please. leads you to conclude that, in the time period for your 4 What this is, in effect, is summaries of evidence 4 second stage, there was an internal flat fire? 5 from people who were in flat 6s. 5 A. I mean, the numbers -- in that particular paragraph you 6 6 showed me, I put up to 01.47, that might be getting A. Yes. 7 7 a bit late. It also depends on height in the tower, Q. So you have, after Mr Vieiro, a resident in flat 56, 8 then you have flat 66 at paragraph 1184, which we know 8 because it's progression, but basically you still have 9 9 is Mrs Wahabi, who gave evidence some time ago. You these two stages, and it's hard, because there's so much 10 then have flat 76, then flat 86, and the person who gave 10 variation, to put a sharp time on any of these evidence for flat 86 is referred to at paragraph 1187, 11 phenomena. But basically you've got a period up to 11 12 which is Nadia Jafari. 12 somewhere around 01.35, I think I'd probably now say, 13 13 A. Yes. where there's dense smoke building from about 01.25 to 14 Q. Then at the bottom you then go to flat 146 and then 14 01.35ish in the lobby, which precedes any involvement of 15 15 the contents. A. Yes. 16 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Is that right? Looking at your 17 Q. I think --17 paragraph 21, your first period -- oh, yes, you're right, they were filling to about 01.30. Your second 18 18 A. I understand. 19 O. -- the work you've done so far, you have paid attention 19 assumes that they were filled as from about 01.30; is 20 20 that right? to evidence of the flat 6s. 21 A. Yes, I created a table which is in my longer draft 21 A. Yes. 22 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. report, which summarises all the witnesses for every 23 flat 6, as far as I can -- and I am paying particular 23 A. Once you start to get closer to 2 o'clock, and this is 24 attention in that section of my longer report to what 24 a continuous process operating differently at different 25 25 places, then you have the potential for these interior they observed about the penetration of fire and its Page 177 Page 179 1 1 fires to start to develop and form a greater part of the development in their flats. 2 What I found with that -- I mentioned it earlier --2 3 3 I did actually have originally a slide with these names One of my slides I think shows that quite clearly, 4 on in various categories, but what you find is that, as 4 which was taken at about 02.20 by Professor Bisby --5 I said this morning, some people had smoke coming in 5 well, in his report. first, before the fire came into their flats, more than 6 6 In that one you can clearly see fires in the 7 saw flames. So more people said their first experience 7 windows, but that's 02.20, I think, nearer to 02.20. 8 was the flat filling with smoke. 8 MR RAWAT: Can I show you just a final piece of evidence in 9 relation to this point, and it is again from Others people found the fire coming through the 10 ventilator, as described here. Other people said they 10 Professor Bisby's report. It's from page 207 of the 11 had a window open and flames and smoke came through. 11 same document. 12 And two people I recollect said that they had glazing 12 What we have there is a photograph taken at 01.44, 13 failure very rapidly after the fire first appeared 13 an image taken at 01.44, and --A. Do you know which angle this is? Which face? The east 14 14 outside the window. 15 But, of course, all these are still exterior and 15 face, isn't it, I think? structural fires outside and around and involving the 16 Q. Yes. Does that help you to decide whether there are 16 17 window, with the two exceptions, which I did mention 17 developed internal fires? 18 earlier, and this is one of them, Vieiro is one of them, 18 A. This is 01.44. We can see this brightness here 19 19 where there were some curtains that caught fire, which (Indicates) is where the fire has moved left to start to 20 he then stamped on and put out, and somebody else, as 20 involve the 201, flat 1 column. This here (Indicates) 21 I said earlier, had a curtain between the kitchen window 21 is where it's moving around onto the north face and 22 and the double door leading to the lounge, and that 22 involving the other face of flat 6 all the way up. 23 curtain caught fire. So there's a variety. But other 23 But this brightness here (Indicates) do look as if 24 people are only mentioning smoke at that stage. But 24 they could well be internal fires. There may be more of 25 it's not a contents fire this a structural fire. 25 them lower down, as you might expect, because that's Page 178 Page 180

1	affected first. So there could be some internal fires	1	said some of them said they had had to remove the
2	here, although some other shots I showed on my	2	self-closer mechanisms, some of them said, "We had them
3	presentation don't seem to really show that.	3	but they didn't really work", including quite a few
4	But these do look like internal fires, yes.	4	escaping from flat 6 said you had to physically close
5	Q. So taking that time period	5	the door if you wanted to make sure it closed, you had
6	A. This is long after 01.30.	6	to make sure you did it.
7	Q. Your time period ends at 2 o'clock for your second	7	So where people fled and left the door open, and
8	stage. I mean, to be fair to you, you give it as	8	some of them then went back and confirmed this, there
9	01.45/02.00.	9	was smoke coming out. They weren't necessarily shutting
10	A. Yes, it's all a bit yes. But by that time I'm	10	properly. I'm not sure some of them may have done,
11	expecting to start to see interior fires, yes.	11	I don't know, but there's a variety of things going on
12	Q. So would a fair summary be that towards the end of your	12	there and a variety of door types.
13	second stage is when you would expect to see internal	13	I'm not at the moment planning myself to do
14	fires?	14	a systematic study, but I'm very interested in this kind
15	A. Possibly, but this needs a lot more work, really. I'm	15	of information, yes.
16	looking forward to collaborating or looking more deeply	16	Q. Thank you.
17	into the exterior time shots from the other experts,	17	Can I move on to a different topic, and that is just
18	combined with the observations of people who were in	18	about the differences or similarities between hydrogen
19	these flats, trying to pin down some of these aspects.	19	cyanide and carbon monoxide.
20	Although I don't recall much description in the	20	A. Yes, I'm sorry, I had two more slides on it this morning
21	witness statements of large burning furniture fires in	21	which I cut out for time reasons.
22	flats. So	22	Q. Firstly, is it right that hydrogen cyanide would be more
23	Q. As we have shown in paragraph 21 of your report, where	23	potent than carbon monoxide?
24	you identified these three stages, are the time ranges	24	A. Yes.
25	that you've given based on your consideration of witness	25	Q. But what you've also said in your report is that they
	Page 181		Page 183
	1 480 101		1 450 100
1	evidence?	١,	A STATE OF THE STA
	evidence.	1	are additive in combination.
2	A. Yes.	2	are additive in combination. A. Yes, that's based on experimental
2 3			
	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes, that's based on experimental
3	A. Yes. Q. That's the	2 3	A. Yes, that's based on experimentalQ. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is
3 4	A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source.	2 3 4	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide?
3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. That's theA. Primary source.Q source of it? I follow.	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you
3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in
3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental — Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide — it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am extremely interested in the timing and extent of any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am extremely interested in the timing and extent of any smoke migration and cause of it through these doors. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am extremely interested in the timing and extent of any smoke migration and cause of it through these doors. One of the complications, of course, is Dr Lane has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am extremely interested in the timing and extent of any smoke migration and cause of it through these doors. One of the complications, of course, is Dr Lane has given a breakdown of the different types of doors that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide much more in times of incapacitating effects than death.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow. Can I just take you back to flat 6. A. Yes. Q. If we can take that image off and go back to the professor's report. Have you conducted an analysis thus far of whether the doors to each flat 6 had a closer, when the flat 6 was vacated and whether the door was left open, or is this something that you may consider undertaking for Phase 2? A. I haven't done a systematic study. I'm not sure it would be my place to do that. But, of course, I am extremely interested in the timing and extent of any smoke migration and cause of it through these doors. One of the complications, of course, is Dr Lane has given a breakdown of the different types of doors that were on all the different flats, the numbers that there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide much more in times of incapacitating effects than death. I think in most cases there are cases where cyanide
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide much more in times of incapacitating effects than death. I think in most cases there are cases where cyanide fires have killed people. There's some recent papers,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide much more in times of incapacitating effects than death. I think in most cases there are cases where cyanide fires have killed people. There's some recent papers, some fires in prisons where the prison mattresses
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. That's the A. Primary source. Q source of it? I follow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, that's based on experimental Q. Can you explain how that works given hydrogen cyanide is more potent than carbon monoxide? A. Oh, yes, because we do it in terms of FED. So if you have an atmosphere and you expose an animal to it, in fact, with half the concentration of cyanide that would cause incapacitation in a certain time, and that alone, after that sort of time, they're not going to be incapacitated. If in the same mixture you put half an incapacitating dose of carbon monoxide it could be a much greater amount, but half the amount required, half plus a half equals one, they tend to become incapacitated in that additive way. It's a fairly rough estimate, but that's the way we've treated it and that seems to be the case. Q. Again, does hydrogen cyanide have a more rapid effect than carbon monoxide? A. Yes, and as I said this morning, I really see cyanide much more in times of incapacitating effects than death. I think in most cases there are cases where cyanide fires have killed people. There's some recent papers, some fires in prisons where the prison mattresses produced a lot of cyanide, and there was not a lot of

1	that's rare. Normally you find that when people are	1	person were then able to take shelter somewhere where
2	dead in a fire, they have a lethal dose of carbon	2	there was a lower level of carbon monoxide or where they
3	monoxide in their blood, as I mentioned earlier.	3	could get air, oxygen, into their system, would that
4	So if they have been exposed to cyanide, and many of	4	mean the carboxyhaemoglobin would reduce?
5	them are, I think this is more significant in terms of	5	A. Decrease, yes. So if you, for example, came down the
6	collapse before you escape rather than whether or not	6	stair and you were breathing CO, and then you went and
7	you die.	7	stood outside and breathed ordinary air, over a period
8	Q. One of the points you make in your report is that blood	8	of an hour or two, you'd get a decay curve and you'd
9	cyanide levels are not routinely measured following	9	flush it out. If you breathe oxygen at the same time,
10	a fire.	10	you flush it out much more quickly because the two
11	A. Yes.	11	things compete in the blood, yes.
12	Q. Do you know why that is?	12	So yes is the answer to that. It gradually is lost,
13	A. No. Cost, I think. I mean, basically they always	13	yes.
14	measure carbon monoxide, and it's fairly straightforward	14	Q. What about hydrogen cyanide, if you were able to remove
15	to measure, it's very stable, as I said, it's a very	15	yourself from a source of hydrogen cyanide to somewhere
16	good marker of the extent to which somebody can be	16	safer, would the levels of hydrogen cyanide in the blood
17	explained as a smoke death. And, of course, not all	17	then decrease?
18	fires produce cyanide.	18	A. From my studies, the level of cyanide, it does decrease,
19	In contrast, cyanide is very unstable in blood,	19	but it's over quite a long time. It's comparable to the
20	particularly in the blood of fatalities. It can lose	20	CO though. Yes, it does decrease, yes.
21	half of it within 24 hours in a body. So if it's three	21	Q. Just one final matter before I ask for a short
22	days before you take the blood sample in autopsy, you've	22	adjournment. You've set out in your report at the
23	lost most of the information.	23	end and we don't need to go to it the further work
24	Then once you've got the blood sample, whereas the	24	that you are considering
25	CO samples are pretty stable, the cyanide will gradually	25	A. Yes.
	Page 185	-	Page 187
1	be lost on storage.	1	Q for the purpose of your Phase 2 report, and that
2	Also, the interpretation of what the significance of	2	includes, doesn't it, a review of the evidence of
3	a particular cyanide level is in terms of what happened	3	firefighters; is that right?
4	to the people is very complicated. I've been developing	4	A. Oh, yes, I haven't really had a chance to do that yet.
5	some models to try and deal with this, which I've	5	I glanced at some of it, but I haven't done a systematic
6	published. So it's not an easy thing to deal with, but	"	- g
-		6	study.
7		6 7	study. MR RAWAT: Thank vou.
7 8	it would be very valuable if we did it.	7	MR RAWAT: Thank you.
8	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by	7 8	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my
8 9	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire	7 8 9	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break
8 9 10	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in	7 8 9 10	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass?
8 9	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire	7 8 9	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break
8 9 10 11	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very	7 8 9 10 11	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides.
8 9 10 11 12	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples,	7 8 9 10 11 12	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions depend on the situation.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are trapped in other flats and trying to get into the lobby.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions depend on the situation. Q. And to turn to carbon monoxide, if someone was exposed	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are trapped in other flats and trying to get into the lobby. That lobby will have high concentrations of both CO and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions depend on the situation. Q. And to turn to carbon monoxide, if someone was exposed to a level of carbon monoxide sufficient to cause	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are trapped in other flats and trying to get into the lobby. That lobby will have high concentrations of both CO and probably cyanide, but a lot of that cyanide will now
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions depend on the situation. Q. And to turn to carbon monoxide, if someone was exposed	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are trapped in other flats and trying to get into the lobby. That lobby will have high concentrations of both CO and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it would be very valuable if we did it. There was a particular study in France by a Professor Bode, who went out with a French fire service and he took blood samples from fire victims in dwellings as they were pulled out of a building, so very fresh samples — they were alive — and he found very high levels of cyanide in those fresh blood samples, whereas when it's done at post-mortem, they're often much lower. So it is a bit of a problem, yes. But it would be good. I'm disappointed it's not measured because it would give us more information. Q. In the context of the tower, is it at all possible that someone could have been exposed to hydrogen cyanide but not carbon monoxide? A. No, you always have both but, of course, the proportions depend on the situation. Q. And to turn to carbon monoxide, if someone was exposed to a level of carbon monoxide sufficient to cause	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR RAWAT: Thank you. Mr Chairman, I've reached the end, I think, of my questions, but if I could ask for a short 5-minute break to see if there is anything else I need to canvass? A. Can I briefly say something on this topic? Partly because I missed off on those slides. I'm asking myself the role cyanide may have played, or made a difference anywhere at Grenfell, and I've talked a bit about the cyanide and the CO coming into flat 6 from the outside. As I said this morning, nobody was incapacitated in a flat 6, they all had time to get away, so neither CO nor cyanide had any significant effect on anybody in a flat 6. All right? Then you have the later phase when people are trapped in other flats and trying to get into the lobby. That lobby will have high concentrations of both CO and probably cyanide, but a lot of that cyanide will now

Trout the contents as much as the chadding. The when the fire great round to any flat and breaks in, if is a bit like the original flat of situation. So the only situation I can see where the presence of cyanide may have affected the outcome for people at Grenfell — if you're trapped in the flat and die there, if doesn't make any difference. Where it just could conceivably have an influence is if you stay in any flat up to, say, 300 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your list is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not or collapse on the stair, which bottom of the stair or not or collapse on the stair, in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's geting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. Same as before: no talking about your exidence, please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 If you go with the usbers, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usbers, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usbers, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usbers, she'll look after you. All right, thank you very much. All right, than				
in, it's a bif like the original flat of situation. So the only situation I can see where the presence of cyanide may have affected the outcome for people at Gerefielt—if you're trapped in the flat and die there, if doesn't make any difference. Where it just could conceivably have an influence is if you stay in any flat up to say, 300 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and fryou flat is offered, which you can't deal with, and the irritance, onceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not or collapse on the stair, in light partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the bobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. Silk MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Vou could leave all those there, if you would like to the please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Vou could leave all those there, if you would like a larght, thank you like the shelps. Silk MARTIN MOORE-BICK: How or displate on the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the same of the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the same of the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the same of the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the same of the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the same of the counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so the going to say 4.15. If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right, 41sp for Casy, I'll leave there. Silk MARTIN MOORE-BICK: How will be quite safe, don't worry. The WITNESS: It is not you go you have you professor. I gather there's and mone monocide by that membre of the t		<u> </u>		
So the only situation Lan see where the presence of cyanide may have affected the outcome for people at 6 Grenfert — if you're trained in the flat and die there, if doesn't make amy difference. Where if just could conceivably have an influence is fyou stay in any flat up to, say, 3.00 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which up to, say, 3.00 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which is conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, and it is conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, and it is might partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's geting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Page 189 I If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 I If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 I If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 I If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. All right, Olay, Ill leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quife safe, don't worry. All right, Olay, Ill leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quife safe, don't worry. All right, Alls, please, thank you. All right, Alls, powers and there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there oncemed with, first, there is smoke as a toxic professor lists,		•		
of cyanide may have affected the outcome for people at Grenfell—if you're trapped in the flat and die there, it doesn't make any difference. Where it just could conceivably have an influence is if you stay in any flat up to, say, 3,300 m., and then you come uit not the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not not ealtage on the stair, in girl partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the button of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, in girl partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbles. 1 don't know if that helps. 2 Well, w'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so I'm going to say 4.15. 3 Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you 've out of the room. 2 You could leave all those there, if you would like to. 1 He WITNESS: It hink that will be all right. 3 If the WITNESS: It hink that will be all right. 4 If He WITNESS: It hink that will be all right. 4 All right, thank you very much. 5 If magin that have all those there, if you would like to. 4 All right, thank you very much. 5 If magin that you be there to look anything up, but I think I'll heal right. Okay, I'll eave it there. 5 If MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. 5 If MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. 6 The gring to say 4.15. 6 The gring to say 4.15. 7 The WITNESS: It share you. 9 Sold wall the control of the room. 10 All right. Okay, I'll eave it there. 11 All right, thank you very much. 12 (4.07 pm.) 13 (a short break) 14 (4.15 pm.) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. 15 SIR MART				
Grenfell — If you're trapped in the flat and die there, it doesn't make any difference. Where it just could conceivably have an influence is if you stry in any flat up to, say, 3.00 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stirt, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially he affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Well, w''ll have a short break now so that counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. Page 189 Page 191 It think if it's wet careainy if it's wet it will about the acid guses like bydrage notheride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, alry and giving you revidence today. The WITNESS: Ithink that will be all right. All right, 1.5t, please, thank you. The WITNESS in think you care you give no look of the you're you and soulted experise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. The WITNESS in this posses, a lask you this, in both your temption of the trough and you're you you'r		•		
rit doesn't make any difference. Where it just could conceivably have an influence is if you stay in any flat up to, say, 3.00 am, and the you come cut into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the thotton of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partfailly be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the borroing contents that's getting into the lobbies. Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Veil, w'e'll have a short break now so that counsel conconsider whether there are any further questions, so I'm going to say 4.15. Sir Martin Moore-Bick in the fall right. Sir Martin MOORE-Bick in the fall right. This Will have a bort break I'm going to say 4.15. Sir MARTIN MOORE-Bick. They will be quite safe, don't wory. I'll Willness. I think that will be all right. Sir MARTIN MOORE-Bick. They will be quite safe, don't owery. I'll Willness. I think that will be all right. Sir MARTIN MOORE-Bick. Thank you, professor, I gulber there so en matter counsel needs to raise. Sir MARTIN MOORE-Bick. Thank you, professor, I gulber there so en matter counsel needs to raise. Professor Purser, can I ask you this in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic All right, this, there is smoke as a toxic Concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic Sir MARTIN MOORE-Bick. Thank you very much. Mit will have also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. Sir MA				
8 conceivably have an influence is if you stay in any flat 9 up to, say, 3.00 am, and then you come out into the very 11 smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stir, which 12 flat is on fire and that he smoke-filled stir, which 13 conceivable that whether or not you make it to the 14 bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, 15 might partially be affected by eyanide. 16 But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing 16 in the loby and stair is going to be mainly from the 17 burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. 18 but the source of that cyanide that you're breathing 19 Lif you go with the there are any further questions, so 20 Pin going to say 4.15. 21 Sam as before: no talking about your evidence, 22 please, while you're out of the room. 23 Page 189 1 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 24 THE WITNESS: It shink that will be all right. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 26 THE WITNESS: It shink that will be all right. 27 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 28 WARATIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 29 Contains the very much. 30 Lift will be all right. Clay, rill leave it there. 31 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 32 If martin modes to raise. 33 Contains the very much. 34 (4.15 pm) 35 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 36 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 37 THE WITNESS: Oray 38 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 39 Professor Purser, can lask you this in both your 40 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 40 Professor Purser, can lask you this in both your 41 EWITNESS: Took you say on which we are 42 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 43 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a roxic 44 men the product, then you've spoken about the irrifant acidic gases. 45 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much. 46 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much. 47 THE WITNESS: Thank you sery much. 48 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you go were your evidence to an optimal what it's all about. 49 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much. 40 SIR M		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
y up to, say, 3.00 am, and then you come out into the very smoke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will be all contain high concentrations of yanide, and if your flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. 15 might partially be affected by cyanide. 16 But the source of that cyanide that tyou're breathing in the lobby, and stair is going to be mainly from the buraing contents that's getting into the lobbies. 19 I don't know if that helps. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. 21 Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsed can consider whether there are any further questions, so fraging to say 4.15. 22 So it's the pain to the eyes, the pain to the noviously the mouth and the difficulty in breathing. This is partly due to the smoke particles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases stated to these particles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take to these particles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take to the separaticles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take to these particles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take to the equality due to the smoke particles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take to the separaticles themselves and, as I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases take difficulty in breathing. This is into the acid gases take difficulty in breathing. This is the tere acid gases take the separation and the interest and acid gases take the separation and the interest and acid gases take the separation and the index of the particles themselves and acid gases take the separation and the particles an		·		
moke-filled lobby and the smoke-filled stair, which will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your land it is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to he mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. John't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to the wordy. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Oasy, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, thank you evy much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, thank you approximate you be approximated to the your evidence today. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, thank you approximate you be approximated to the your evidence today. All right, thank you approximate your your evidence today. All right, thank you approximate your your evidence today. All right thank you approximate your				
### will contain high concentrations of cyanide, and if your flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. ### by and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due to the senoke particles which get down into the air-ways and cause distress, and partly due t				
flat is on fire and that has some cyanide in, then it's conceivable that whether or not you make it to the bottom of the stair on on, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. 15 might partially be affected by cyanide. 16 But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. 16 John 'know if that helps. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. 21 Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so Pin going to say 4.15. 22 can consider whether there are any further questions, so Pin going to say 4.15. 23 Jin 'By having a fowed or a wet towel, you can filter those. You can't stop the asphyxiant gases, though. 24 Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. 25 Plage 189 1 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 26 You could leave all those there, if you would like to. 3 THE WITNESS: Ithink that will be all right. 3 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. (A.15 pease, thank you. 4 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 4 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 4 (4.15 pm) 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, sprofessor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 19 Mark and the work particles which get down into the aword and the mouth and the difficulty in preathing, partity the the worke particles which get down into the aword asset which get down into the aword asset which get down into the aword asset which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free eadig asses attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free eadig asses stached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and p		•		v -
the mouth and the difficulty in breathing. This is partly due to the same pararicles themselves and, as I married that eyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so i'm going to say 4.15. Burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. I like Will know if that helps. I like Wil				
bottom of the stair or not, or collapse on the stair, might partially be affected by cyanide. But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. Is by having a towed or a wet towel, you can filter those. You can't stop the asphysiant gases, though. Certainly ther's carbon monoxide by that method. But the fere of the two the tory on the their those. You can't stop the asphysiant gases, though. If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. It is limited firsh wet - certainly if it's wet t will about the rit will about the rit want of a you ha		•		
15 might partially be affected by cyanide. 15 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the organic irritants and acid gases attached to these particles which get down into the airways and cause distress, and partly due to the free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the edition to the acid gases attached to these free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the edition to the trote acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning, to the edition to the trote acid gases attached to these free acid gases you are breathing. 18 I mentioned this morning to the acid gases attached to the free acid gases and acted served, our after early further questions, so the free acid gases attached to the free acid gases and acted stored, on the free acid gases and acuse attached to the free acid gases and and scid gases atta		·		•
16 But the source of that cyanide that you're breathing in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the labins in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the labins. 17 17 18 19 19 19 10 10 19 19 19		•		
in the lobby and stair is going to be mainly from the burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so 23 I'm going to say 4.15. Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (A short break) (A short break) (A short break) Fig. Witness: Can, and partly due to the free acid gases stou are breathing. By having a towel or a week, you can filter those. You can't stop the asphysiant gases, though. Certainly there's carbon monoxide by that method. But you can get some relief from the irritants. And people did. Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you, professor, I shall have can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. This witness: Thank you. I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (A short break) (A short break) (A short break) All right, 4.15, please, thank you, professor. I gather the were some matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather the were some matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much, and thank you wery much,				5
burning contents that's getting into the lobbies. I don't know if that helps. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so the going to say 4.15. Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: his just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. All right, that you very much. All right, that you very much. All right, that you very much. All right, that you very much there's one matter counsel needs to raise. All right, that you wery much there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Chay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. It hank you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. It has the free acid gases you are breathing. Those those, you can filter those. You can't stop the aspity and two two two can't shough. Occariant plant and those. We though a wet own can't stop the aspity and such you can get some relief from the irritants. And people did. Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, arything would bely, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. It think if it's wet - certainly if it's wet it will about the exit of the toxic matter should like to some extent. It's just as lightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would bely, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, frank you v		• • •		•
If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. If He WITNESS: Ithink that will be all right. Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't whether lifts with Coay, leave it there. Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, that, you very much. All right, that, you very much. All right, talls, please, thank you. (A short break) (A short br				
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. 21 Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel 22 can consider whether there are any further questions, so 23 Pm going to say 4.15. 24 Same as before: no talking about your evidence, 25 please, while you're out of the room. 26 Page 189 27 Page 199 1 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 28 You could leave all those there, if you would like 30 to. 4 THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't 4 worry. 7 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but 1 8 think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, thank you very much. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, 16 for coming and given you evidence today. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 19 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritants. And people did. 22 did. 24 C. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? 24 A. Well, whether it's twe to dry, and sightly better filter. But 25 hink it's wet - certainly if it's wet it will 26 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some 27 textent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 28 whether it's wet or dry, any thing would help, you know. 39 certent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 30 whether it's wet or dry, any thing would help, you know. 30 That's all have. Can you thank you, inforesor, for coming and giving your evidence today. 30 That's all have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. 31 T				
Well, we'll have a short break now so that counsel can consider whether there are any further questions, so I'm going to say 4.15. 24 Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. 24 Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? 25 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 26 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 27 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 28 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 28 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 29 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 29 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 20 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 30 Extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 30 Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 30 Extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 30 Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 31 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 32 Extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 32 Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 33 Extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 34 Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 35 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: That you. 35 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Alway a cup of tea instead. 36 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you wery much 36 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you wery much 36 Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 37 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you wery much 37 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you wery much 38 MRARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much 39 William 3		•		•
22 concensider whether there are any further questions, so I'm going to say 4.15. 23 Jame as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 Page 191 1 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 2 You could leave all those there, if you would like to to. 3 to. 4 THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. 7 THE WITNESS: If just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, thank you very much. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you. 16 THE WITNESS: Cokay. 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Cokay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've spoken about apphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 22 you can get some relief from the irritants. And people did. 24 Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? 25 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But Page 191 1 I think if it's wet it will 2 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, atty it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, atty it will 2 cextent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, atty it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, atty it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, atty it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry, atty it's a filter. But Whether it's wet or dry,		• • •		
23 I'm going to say 4.15. Same as before: no talking about your evidence, please, while you're out of the room. Page 189 Page 191				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? 25 Page 189 Page 191 1 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. 2 You could leave all those there, if you would like 3 to. 4 THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't 6 worry. 7 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I 8 think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 11 (4.15 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor, I gather there's one matter coursel needs to raise. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir, 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 24 Q. What's the benefit of the towel being wet? 25 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 26 A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, it's a filter. But 27 I think if it's wet - certainly if it's wet it will 28 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But 4 whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 4 That's all have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 That's all have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. 7 For coming and giving your evidence today. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. 10 indeed. You've given lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 16 if the WITNESS: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Ra		• • •		
Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've asso spoken about the irritant acidic gases. A. Well, whether it's wet or dry, anything would hite aborb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet – certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet – certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet – certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet – certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet – certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen deloride to some extent. I				
Page 189 If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (4.15 pm) KIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. Page 191 I think if it's wet - certainly if it's wet it will absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. That's all have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. That's WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your evidence and every grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Ves. THE WITNESS: Ves. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one sho		•		-
If you go with the usher, she'll look after you. You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) KIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. RRAWAT: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. RRAWAT: Thank you, sir. Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving vour evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. RRAWAT: Thank you, sir. MR RAWAT: Moore-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wisher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thenk you wishe	23	preuse, white you're out of the room	25	The vietny vincence is a vice of ary, it is a fineer. But
2 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some 3 to. 4 THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't 6 worry. 7 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I 8 think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 10 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the 11 where in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr Rawat. 27 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 18 ABORD Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, professor, 10 That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, 11 for coming and giving your evidence today. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 26 THE WITNESS: Yes. 27 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 28 ABORD Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed. 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you ve		Page 189		Page 191
2 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some 2 to. 3 to. 4 THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. 5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't 6 worry. 7 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I 8 think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, thank you very much. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr Rawat. 28 absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. The WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 20 Explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.				
to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Chay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases.	1	If you go with the usher, she'll look after you.	1	I think if it's wet — certainly if it's wet it will
THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. Whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming along twing giving your evidence today. The WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you wery much, and thank you decaplain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank				-
6 worry. 7 THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I 8 think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are cyplained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are cyplained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are cypoduct, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 6 That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. 7 HE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 10 indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 11 investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. 13 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 14 Universes: Thank you. 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 16 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 20 The	2	You could leave all those there, if you would like	2	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some
THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (A.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3	You could leave all those there, if you would like to.	2 3	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But
think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (A.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MR RAWAT: SIR, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right.	2 3 4	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know.
9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. 10 All right, thank you very much. 11 All right, 4.15, please, thank you. 12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 9 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. 10 indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your 12 undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 13 very grateful indeed. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and 16 explain what it's all about. 18 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 19 usher. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 ITHE WITNESS: Yes. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't	2 3 4 5	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you.
All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) (A short break) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 10 indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 19 Usher. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 (The witness withdrew) 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry.	2 3 4 5 6	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor,
All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) (SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. In the witness in the stragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today.
12 (4.07 pm) 13 (A short break) 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 21 undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 22 very grateful indeed. 23 the Witness: Thank you. 24 undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 25 very grateful indeed. 26 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 27 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 28 Undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 29 very grateful indeed. 29 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 Explain what it's all about. 20 Well, now, if you would like to go with the 20 Undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 21 very grateful indeed. 22 Indoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are 23 Very grateful indeed. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 26 (The witness withdrew) 27 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 28 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 29 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13 very grateful indeed. 14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 20 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 21 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 22 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 23 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 21 yery grateful indeed. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. 18 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 (The witness withdrew) 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much
14 (4.15 pm) 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather 16 there's one matter counsel needs to raise. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 19 MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. 19 Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your 20 report and in the evidence you've given today, you've 21 explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are 22 concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 23 product, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 24 product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 26 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. 26 Explain what it's all about. 27 Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. 28 Usher. 29 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 20 (The witness withdrew) 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 22 (The witness withdrew) 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your
there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. If for coming along today to give us your evidence and explained to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. By Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. The witness withdrew of the witness withdrew of the witness withdrew of the witness withdrew. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are
THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. report and in the evidence you've given today, you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. repolain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. Is Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. In He WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Is Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. In He WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 19 usher. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 (The witness withdrew) 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you
Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. 22 (The witness withdrew) 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and
report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about the irritant acidic gases. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about.
explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 22 (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the
concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and 25 then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one 25 short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher.
product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 24 MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes.
then you've also spoken about the irritant acidic gases. 25 short matter that I need to deal with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. (The witness withdrew)
Page 190 Page 192	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You could leave all those there, if you would like to. THE WITNESS: I think that will be all right. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: They will be quite safe, don't worry. THE WITNESS: It's just if I need to look anything up, but I think I'll be all right. Okay, I'll leave it there. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have a cup of tea instead. All right, thank you very much. All right, 4.15, please, thank you. (4.07 pm) (A short break) (4.15 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, professor. I gather there's one matter counsel needs to raise. THE WITNESS: Okay. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Thank you, sir. Professor Purser, can I ask you this: in both your report and in the evidence you've given today, you've explained, in terms of the toxic matters that you are concerned with, first, there is smoke as a toxic product, then you've spoken about asphyxiant gases and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	absorb the acid gases like hydrogen chloride to some extent. It's just a slightly better filter. But whether it's wet or dry, anything would help, you know. MR RAWAT: Thank you. That's all I have. Can you thank you, professor, for coming and giving your evidence today. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, professor, thank you very much indeed. You've given a lot of time and effort to the investigation of this tragedy and you've put your undoubted expertise at our disposal, for which we are very grateful indeed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, and thank you for coming along today to give us your evidence and explain what it's all about. Good. Well, now, if you would like to go with the usher. THE WITNESS: Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. (The witness withdrew) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Rawat. MR RAWAT: Sir, before we finish for the day there is one

```
Could I please have on the screen INQ00000534,
2
          please.
3
            If I could explain that this is a schedule which has
4
          already been disclosed to all core participants. It
 5
          lists witness evidence of firefighters, senior fire
          officers, firefighters and control room operators. We
 7
          would ask that the statements listed there are taken as
8
          read into the record today.
            The statements themselves will be published at some
10
          point on the inquiry website and everyone has been
          notified of the plan.
11
12
       SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
13
            Well, thank you very much. I think it's right that
14
          we record our gratitude to all those who have made
15
          statements. Even if they haven't been called as
16
          witnesses in person, their evidence is very valuable and
17
          the statements will form part of the overall evidence
18
          before the inquiry and will be taken into account
19
          accordingly.
20
       MR RAWAT: Thank you.
21
       SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.
22
            Good, thank you very much. So that's all for today.
23
       MR RAWAT: It is.
24
       SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Now, we're not sitting tomorrow.
25
       MR RAWAT: No.
                             Page 193
       SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: But we are sitting on Monday.
1
       MR RAWAT: We are sitting on Monday just to deal with some
3
          evidence from the bereaved, residents and survivors --
4
        SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
5
       MR RAWAT: -- which is going to be read, admitted evidence.
       SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right, thank you very much.
6
7
            So we will obviously break now and we'll resume at
8
          10 o'clock on Monday morning.
9
            Good, thank you very much.
10
       (4.25 pm)
         (The hearing adjourned until Monday, 3 December 2018
11
                     at 10.00 am)
12
13
                      INDEX
       PROFESSOR DAVID PURSER (sworn) ......2
14
          Presentation 1: Productions of toxic smoke ......8
15
          and gases and effects in general domestic fire
          scenarios similar to those occurring at
16
          Grenfell
          Presentation 2: Fire hazard scenario ......73
          development and effects on occupants during
17
          the Grenfell incident
18
          Presentation 3: Possible toxicity ......106
          performance of materials present at Grenfell
19
          Questions by MR RAWAT ......126
20
21
22
23
24
25
                             Page 194
```

	actual 14:4 18:21	air 48:7 61:22 62:1	110:9 111:18	apparatus 139:12
A 100.10	21:19 22:3,5 23:9	62:23 64:1,1,12	110.9 111.18	139:13,16,25
Abebe 100:19	23:22 24:4 26:19	64:14 65:18 83:17	114:6,6,20 115:24	apparently 165:10
ability 12:5 18:4	28:3 36:5 37:24		114.0,0,20 113.24	appear 134:16
35:1 54:6 124:24		91:12,12 116:21 117:5,23 139:1	134:21 135:15	147:3
able 21:20 23:15	40:18,24 43:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
28:2 30:20 36:3	118:11,14 155:2	140:16 142:24	157:4 160:9 164:4	appeared 134:15
40:25 44:11 53:4	added 52:23	143:7,8,18 144:18	169:18 184:12,12	164:21 178:13
57:12 66:20 73:25	adding 93:23	145:5 146:21,25	amounts 92:25	appearing 96:14
77:14 78:10,18	143:15	148:12 187:3,7	114:5 115:8	appears 25:20
79:13 80:8 83:3	additive 184:1,14	airflow 144:2,24	138:24 162:12	Appendix 5:2
83:23 85:7 86:17	additives 109:14	145:7	analyse 98:6	appliance 96:5
88:3,3,20 89:18	address 3:5 4:5	airways 20:6	analysed 30:21	appliances 42:21
97:4 99:9 100:16	8:16 44:25 63:17	191:17	55:8	113:14
102:2 103:15,18	73:17 107:6,13	alarm 16:23,23	analysing 122:14	applied 26:9
145:19 146:8	addressed 145:11	50:1 80:18,22	analysis 4:11 19:9	164:18
187:1,14	addressing 89:20	91:1	23:15 35:11 36:17	applies 19:24 24:25
Abraham 100:19	140:2 160:12	alarms 91:20 96:8	37:17 40:25 52:12	128:17 139:9
abroad 7:7	164:2	alerted 16:25 24:18	79:5 99:16 120:6	apply 24:11 151:1
absence 53:3	adequate 73:23	84:16 96:7 129:19	131:11 137:20	applying 129:3
Absolutely 138:3	adjourned 194:11	alerting 80:17	141:20 149:5	approach 154:11
161:4 166:8	adjournment	alive 31:21,23	150:23 156:9,17	appropriate 2:10
absorb 192:2	106:16 187:22	38:16 39:3 51:14	157:15 160:7	16:17 74:6,7
absorbed 49:6	admit 114:23 133:2	60:5 104:18	162:23 171:14	117:10
access 39:21	admitted 194:5	186:12	182:10	Approved 78:3
accidental 58:8	advancement 7:18	allowing 30:4	anchor 82:13	approximate
account 12:6 14:12	advice 6:24 86:6	82:21	and/or 129:18	113:18
88:23 142:14	advise 26:20	allows 142:24	angle 180:14	approximately
144:11,13,16,18	advised 7:1,5	alluded 15:1	animal 184:6	24:13 39:24 42:24
145:17 149:7	aerosol 29:25 30:1	alludes 15:12	answer 127:21	77:17 85:15,24
161:19 162:16	affect 34:10 51:6	Alternatively 52:1	129:2 148:4 149:6	152:25 161:18
163:25 171:9	54:24,25 75:24	aluminium 140:13	156:6,18 157:8	approximation
193:18	116:19 136:5	140:14 146:14	170:16 187:12	164:13
accounts 43:14	151:16 152:21	147:18,23 157:18	Anthony 2:24	area 9:6 13:18
44:19 71:12 85:5	afraid 72:4 112:11	157:21 158:2	anticipate 146:19	60:12 63:25 65:10
96:11 97:24 168:8	afternoon 72:11	159:5	anxious 139:17	101:5 112:18,20
accumulated	agent 59:2	aluminium-faced	anybody 31:3	114:16 119:3
103:13	aggregate 168:3	158:13 159:2	47:16 102:24	129:9 133:7,18
achieve 74:13	ago 46:24 54:4 66:8	164:19	120:1 171:15	141:25 148:1
acid 11:2 191:16,18	118:9 177:9	Amal's 85:20 86:2	188:19	150:10,11 168:15
192:2	agree 14:17 148:22	amazingly 58:20	anyway 63:3 84:24	170:23
acidic 136:4 190:25	agreement 24:1	ambulance 32:4,5	129:17 133:5	areas 48:8 131:22
acquired 34:15	60:8 140:1	51:17	apart 10:22 46:22	142:2 168:3
39:5	Ah 170:13	America 55:4	91:25 99:13	argue 169:12
action 24:21	ahead 133:23	amount 11:13	104:16 150:15	arising 3:12
activities 101:2	aid 28:2	20:13 23:16 67:10	apologise 131:17	arithmetic 133:20
	ĺ		1	

armchair 67:16	assign 44:11	44:16 185:22	60:15 105:5,11	behalf 26:16
96:5 130:23,25	assign 44.11 assist 26:21 143:9	available 16:15,16	based 14:21 115:25	behave 109:10,10
armchair's 122:15	148:14	18:1 36:19 37:1	149:23 161:24	behaviour 5:19 6:4
armchairs 47:7	associated 141:5,11	44:9 45:9 79:2	174:8 181:25	7:11,19 9:14
aromatic 109:11	assume 77:16 120:3	144:2	184:2	14:14 16:8 18:4
arrival 39:18	148:3 164:14	average 113:8	basic 19:21 25:24	18:15 24:23 54:13
arrived 114:2	assumed 82:20	149:24	79:6 90:22 143:2	55:17 75:24 83:25
arrived 114.2	118:1 120:12	awaiting 44:16	basically 9:22	84:6
arrow 147:12	121:3,20 122:3,12	awake 40:8	20:12 27:20 30:24	behavioural 3:9
art 86:23	125:11 145:12	awarded 7:17	31:12 45:18 47:8	5:24 13:20 53:9
artificial 158:24	150:4 151:23	aware 80:23 91:21	61:5 68:7 70:4	53:11 55:1
ascended 101:10	164:22 165:20	95:18 96:10,24	75:3,20 84:2 89:6	behaviours 81:16
ascending 84:21	assumes 78:3	111:25 139:6	90:22 95:7 110:1	belief 4:19
asked 3:4,16 26:18	179:19	156:21 162:20	113:7 131:22	believe 26:10 42:14
26:23 27:12 43:9	assuming 122:23	axis 34:22,22 37:12	136:20 141:14	55:19 57:23 62:6
143:21 182:25	123:23 145:5,7	56:19	160:11 163:7	82:9 84:5 92:7
asking 150:16	149:8 158:9		170:8 173:16	97:17 103:6,12
188:13	162:23	B	175:1 179:8,11	116:25 118:23
asleep 35:9 49:14	assumption 144:7	B 78:3	185:13	171:18 175:13
50:1	151:16 161:24	back 9:8 15:17 46:3	basing 147:1 158:7	believed 73:17
aspect 9:21 64:24	164:1	50:24 51:8 55:11	162:21	141:3
169:10	assumptions 151:9	55:14 64:23 66:4	basis 44:21 127:24	bench 139:7
aspects 5:24 9:21	160:6	72:7 76:19 83:16	128:17 151:12	benefit 72:2 191:24
12:7 13:8 15:7	assured 43:23	84:9,9,10 85:9,17	173:12	benign 47:18
44:4 181:19	atmosphere 12:15	86:1,3,5,7,7,19	bathroom 33:24	bereaved 194:3
asphyxia 69:12	48:13 52:25 61:22	88:12 89:22 90:18	bathtub 48:2	best 4:18,20 22:14
123:18	115:11 137:10	101:9,10 108:5	beating 38:19	better 15:8 131:20
asphyxiant 11:17	184:6	115:10 118:17	becoming 86:14	192:3
11:25 18:5 23:1	attached 11:23	127:25 129:11	91:21 95:18	beyond 13:15
30:25 35:7,21	164:25 191:16	131:3 137:15	bed 32:11 35:8,9,12	107:21,25 110:16
36:9 37:15 38:17	attacked 150:11	141:20 148:18	36:6 37:14 68:15	119:8 123:17
45:14 51:11 52:22	163:24	173:21,21 182:6,8	Bedfordshire 47:4	126:6 155:17
59:1 70:24 71:10	attempt 25:10	183:8	bedroom 33:16	big 55:3 63:11,23
89:14 93:1 103:25	50:10	back-calculate	35:7 37:7,11 47:9	91:6 101:4 109:21
105:15,18 124:15	attempted 74:13	39:14	48:14,16 49:14	163:21 169:4
152:2,24 190:24	77:19 103:8	back!' 86:1	50:7,25 60:23	bigger 16:4,5 65:20
191:20	attempts 83:16	background 5:1,2	67:21 168:5	154:9 160:24
asphyxiants 11:9	attention 37:25	bad 49:8 84:16	bedrooms 28:1	Birmingham 5:7
11:10 56:15	61:25 135:3	89:9 90:14 96:11	30:8 32:15 33:20	Bisby 92:12 110:11
assembly 96:21	167:24 177:19,24	badly 51:24 88:5	38:2	128:12 133:9
assess 34:2	authored 6:14	Barbara 156:23	beds 113:13	146:4 180:4
assessing 20:21	authority 26:17	bare 111:23	beg 76:10	Bisby's 91:8 92:17
113:10	automatically	barrier 157:2	beginning 18:24	94:24 110:20
assessment 19:7	29:20	barriers 191:9	75:18	111:15 112:13,20
44:21 117:12	autopsy 38:24	bars 58:6,22 60:15	begins 73:3	176:5 180:10

	_	_	_	
bit 9:16 15:2 22:23	184:25 185:3,8,19	breaking 107:23	16:5 19:16,19	98:21 108:7,13
26:5 28:11,14	185:20,22,24	168:25 169:8	24:8 26:17 27:25	109:2 115:10
29:1,14 30:15	186:10,13 187:11	breaks 25:20 33:4	27:25 28:17 29:14	116:21,24 117:2
31:11 34:2 48:1	187:16	129:18 189:2	36:22 40:17 41:7	119:12,22 120:13
50:4 54:1 59:4	blown-up 30:23	breathe 11:6 49:1	47:22 73:21 74:5	121:9 122:2,23
62:17,21 67:4	blows 119:25	51:15 52:8 86:17	74:8,9,11 76:25	124:4,14 126:10
68:6 76:4 77:9	blue 31:20 40:7	187:9	77:20 78:2,7,11	127:17 128:11
83:13 86:9 87:1	54:9 82:6	breathed 125:15	79:19,25 82:16	141:3,21 142:3,5
87:16 91:13 101:9	Bode 186:9	187:7	87:9 116:21	143:14 146:16,18
111:20 114:23	bodies 3:24 37:20	breathing 38:16,20	119:15 134:13	148:6 154:21
116:2,11,16	41:14 105:6	45:13 48:19 51:7	155:9,25,25 156:1	155:3,5,17,23
120:17 122:21	body 61:8,9 185:21	52:19 100:5	156:5 165:1 170:1	157:20 163:11,14
123:10 125:2,10	boilers 58:9	103:23 187:6	170:5 175:13	163:16,17 164:14
125:20 129:12	boots 79:12	189:16 191:13,18	179:13 186:11	167:8 175:14
142:10 143:7	bottom 16:19 29:4	breaths 51:9 52:25	building-up 69:8	176:11 181:21
144:5 146:10	51:13 57:3 63:24	brief 90:20 107:2	buildings 1:21	189:18
147:25 148:13,15	65:19 79:15,24	briefly 44:24 54:1	builds 25:14	burns 18:9 31:5
150:15 165:5,11	82:5 160:24	61:17 63:17 76:4	built 27:18 30:14	37:18 45:2 60:11
165:17 172:13,20	161:20 167:25	188:11	170:25	60:14,15,16,25
179:7 181:10	173:4 175:24	Brigade 50:25	bulbous 165:2	61:5,6,12,14
186:15 188:15	177:14 189:14	60:10 91:3	bulk 141:15	71:18 105:17
189:3	bottom-left 47:8	bright 95:6,10	buoyancy 64:4	109:13 117:22
bits 90:10 110:9	box 73:24	128:8 163:9	burn 12:13 62:22	122:6 124:7 139:4
black 10:17 30:8	boy 158:19	brightness 175:13	65:21 67:14	162:25
35:16 60:16 82:3	BPF 132:10	180:18,23	108:16 115:23	burnt 14:4 41:12
100:24 101:4,25	Bradford 17:8	bring 156:11	134:21 140:12	111:17 121:22
105:11	brain 11:13,14,14	bringing 8:25	146:21 148:11	122:8 123:13
blackened 165:1	31:25 51:19	brings 10:14 13:25	158:10 160:8	136:18,22 137:3
BLAR00000002	Branislav 101:21	British 6:18 7:22	161:2 166:13,13	162:25 163:5
174:17	brave 83:9	15:23	166:24 167:1	165:6 166:21
BLAS0000010 0	BRE 27:12 47:1	broken 33:6,13,14	burned 12:10 14:9	byproduct 139:12
147:5	55:3 66:8 113:17	160:13	20:4 37:20 41:6	
blew 30:3	118:9 130:14	bromine 109:5	41:12 45:8 58:23	C
blinds 94:10 130:3	134:18 138:7	136:3	61:9 65:22 98:14	C 5:2
blip 35:19	bread 165:6	bronchopneumo	108:18 120:23	calculate 23:4,15
block 75:6,12 80:7	break 45:22,24	32:8,12	121:4,21 122:15	23:17 36:15 61:17
99:20	46:9 71:21,25	brought 32:9 153:3	122:24 136:21,22	82:22 112:20
blocking 84:24	72:24 106:10	brown 82:4	137:6 145:12	123:4
blood 20:16,20	144:5 159:9,15,23	Bryan 55:5,6	158:7,23 161:18	calculated 35:22
21:2 23:20,21,24	169:2 188:9	BSR 176:8	163:4 164:10	79:21 123:18
32:6 38:1,18,22	189:21 190:13	build 13:13 52:6	165:15 167:16,17	calculating 36:11
38:25 39:8,12,18	194:7	145:22 158:19	burning 17:14 34:1	71:4 124:12
39:23 44:8,9,13	break-out 163:4	build-up 31:15	48:12,23 63:8,19	145:24 146:2
57:25 58:7 71:14	breakdown 125:16	103:7	65:9 66:3,10	calculation 22:21
104:7,21 105:19	128:25 182:20	building 5:22 7:6	93:14 95:7,9	36:14 40:21 82:19
			ĺ	
		1	<u> </u>	

				rage 190
121:3 122:7	116:2,15 117:23	150:13 151:24,25	58:17,18,20 59:16	changes 27:2 51:23
134:23 135:13	123:5,8 125:5,18	159:6 165:14	59:19,20,22 60:7	155:8
161:12 164:17	125:21,22 135:20	168:22 171:15	61:13 105:9	char 137:6 164:11
calculations 26:22	135:23,23 136:12	184:16	116:15,16 120:13	165:12
40:22 78:4 107:10	137:9,10,13	cases 7:9 15:18	120:14,17,20	char-forming
114:12,24 120:20	165:12 166:15,20	39:22 51:18 53:13	121:3,18,20,22,23	137:8
121:8,19 135:1	166:22,25 167:1	60:17 61:10,15	121:23 122:3,9,13	charred 111:21
145:18 149:20,23	183:19,23 184:4	69:3 75:7 81:2	122:25 123:6	164:15 165:1,5,7
151:3,8 155:14	184:11,18,25	84:10 95:23,24	124:1,1 136:22	165:11,17,23
158:5 166:11	185:2,14 186:20	96:8 99:11 104:1	137:5,11 151:12	charring 137:15
calibrated 158:25	186:23,24 187:2	117:13 130:10	151:13,20,21,22	164:8
call 1:10 2:12 24:14	191:21	144:4 173:17	151:23,24,25	charry 150:15
24:16 44:19 50:9	carboxyhaemogl	184:21,21	152:14,17 160:8	charts 34:20
64:9 90:7 109:23	20:11,16,19 21:2	catalytic 58:13	161:14,18 164:14	check 133:19
called 20:13 22:22	23:18,20,23 37:21	categories 178:4	164:22 165:14,15	checking 114:13
48:6 50:25 91:3	38:6,8,18,21	categorising	165:16,16,18,19	133:21
101:9 158:12,16	40:23 44:8,12	182:24	166:16	chemical 6:6
193:15	45:10 57:25 58:7	caught 17:6 130:8	centigrade 29:10	134:11
calls 9:2 43:8,10	58:19 59:17,21,22	176:14 178:19,23	49:8 62:1 66:1	chemicals 10:12,24
69:24	60:11,17,24 61:3	cause 5:20 11:4	centimetre 133:13	10:24,25 48:25
camera 49:18	68:25 71:14 105:9	37:2,3,3 45:13	centimetres 133:5	chemistry 6:1,11
cans 29:25 30:1,2	186:25 187:4	52:24 58:25 70:17	Centre 5:13	Chief 6:24
canvass 188:10	carboxyhaemogl	115:11 171:19	certain 3:10 10:13	children 80:5 85:21
capabilities 9:14	38:1	182:18 184:8	11:12 14:7,25	chloride 125:7,21
capability 18:12	Cardington 47:4	186:24 191:17	18:6 20:13 23:6	166:1,2,5,17
55:18 75:25	care 18:17 26:15	caused 11:15 30:2	25:10 34:14,16,24	167:4 192:2
capable 74:9	27:1 43:24	48:23 58:9	61:8 81:20 115:24	chlorine 109:5
103:11 126:4	careful 22:2 139:7	causes 3:22 44:22	116:1,10 165:7	113:25 116:16
capacity 80:15	carried 3:14 40:1	52:6 57:23	172:9 184:8	133:16 136:3,12
captured 17:10	47:5 66:8 78:12	causing 59:2 91:23	certainly 14:10	166:15,16
94:23	97:8	103:11	37:18 43:12 66:17	choose 160:10
carbon 10:18 12:15	carry 23:13 26:19	cavities 141:5,11	89:24 103:17	chunk 82:2
20:13,14,15,17,18	26:24 46:11	142:5	111:25 130:9	circulated 1:14
21:12 23:14,16	106:18 159:25	cavity 63:21 116:24	168:18 191:21	circumstances 3:8
31:1 32:1 35:15	191:3	117:1 141:4 142:3	192:1	22:13
35:16 36:11 38:13	carrying 102:1	142:20 143:14,14	chair 28:6 160:17	clad 162:2
38:15,25 39:11	case 29:12 33:17	144:15,16,21	Chairman 1:8 73:1	cladding 41:22
45:17 48:18,19	39:19 50:14 51:2	149:12	188:8	63:22 64:16 92:11
51:21 56:16,17	52:13 53:3 58:10	ceased 101:20	challenging 167:21	93:5,16 95:7
58:3,8 59:1,13	59:12 64:13,15	ceiling 47:22,24	chance 68:16 188:4	107:16 110:1,22
61:7,11 63:3,12	67:15 74:7 78:25	63:4,6 98:1	change 75:10	111:11,17 116:20
63:12 103:3	79:7 89:24 93:12	Celotex 110:2	104:10 137:8	117:4 118:25
105:13 108:17	99:14 112:25	131:25	152:20 161:3	119:14 121:2
109:4 113:22,24	120:4,16 124:4,10	cent 23:20 36:13	changed 54:13	127:18 132:8
115:22,25 116:1,1	124:14 149:9,10	38:5,7,8,9 48:18	167:13	141:4,10,10
				,,
	I	I	I	I

				raye 199
142:15 143:1,22	18:14 35:2 37:4	combusting 116:22	102:12,15,19,22	66:23 116:25
144:11,14 145:1	38:7 45:16 53:1	combustion 6:10	119:15 120:6	155:11 186:4
145:16 148:20	56:25 57:22 69:12	7:3 10:5 41:22	123:6 124:2 125:1	complications
149:1,9,14,17	70:5,22 103:11	62:24 63:1,2,10	126:9 127:13	182:19
150:4,8 154:21	105:18 152:3	63:11 64:13,13,21	129:5,22 156:2	components 110:10
161:5 163:5 168:4	185:6 189:14	108:9 109:15,18	160:14 163:21	112:25 127:1
175:14 189:1	collapsed 31:4	116:18,23 117:3,6	164:13 167:17	153:4,10 161:2
clarifies 14:20	38:14 51:12 57:4	117:8,8 118:2	169:21,22 170:6	composed 10:18
clarify 153:18	57:14 105:14	134:11 135:24	171:10,22,23	109:6
175:1	124:18	136:5,24 137:11	174:1 175:12,14	composite 113:20
Clarita 102:1	collapsing 77:14	137:23 138:13	178:5,9 183:9	composition 44:6
clear 1:18 57:7	100:17 103:16	139:15 140:18,19	188:15 192:7,16	109:3,8,11 113:9
66:7 73:10 76:8	104:1	141:1 142:1,8	Commander 7:22	113:16,18,21
78:11 83:7 89:21	colleague 79:3	143:9 144:22	comment 163:10	133:25 134:12
90:13 102:18	colleagues 26:18	146:9 148:13,25	committees 6:19,23	compounds 10:11
141:7 150:16	College 5:8	149:13,16 151:13	committing 58:11	11:2,23
172:6	column 55:24 57:3	154:13,20 157:24	common 42:4	comprehensively
cleared 101:18	75:20 95:3 142:1	158:14 166:6,22	51:20 52:2 58:17	114:3
clearer 100:15	142:4,24 143:18	167:16	134:12	conceivable 189:13
clearly 75:15 180:3	150:7 162:14	come 8:18 15:6	community 81:20	conceivably 189:8
180:6	180:20	38:9 46:3 49:5	comparable 187:19	concentrate 110:14
clears 34:12	column-generated	50:4,11 52:9 56:6	compare 41:1	concentrating 10:9
cliff-edge 25:18	142:4	69:21 74:24 77:20	58:22 139:24	concentration
climbing 162:3	columns 93:15	79:9 84:8,20	compared 59:23	17:23 18:21 20:18
close 94:9 98:3	110:3,25 111:22	85:10 86:24 88:8	70:5	25:18 34:5,8
160:17 183:4	121:13 141:4,10	88:16,21 89:8,12	compares 68:1	35:20 40:20 48:22
closed 29:19 30:12	141:14,21 162:6	94:3 97:9,23	comparing 40:24	52:16,18 56:20
31:14 33:21 48:4	162:10	98:16 101:16,22	comparison 114:7	57:18,21 69:18,25
48:14 50:2 65:4	coma 45:19	103:20 112:8	115:19 157:10	108:10,22 123:3,5
68:2,8,21 91:2	comatose 31:24	113:7,14 114:4	compartment	123:9 124:2 125:6
101:20 102:16	38:12	115:3 131:15,23	139:18 140:5	152:1 155:7 184:7
103:3 183:5	combination 22:15	132:23 136:24	163:5	concentration-re
closely 98:11	54:20 107:18	137:15 147:7	compensate 39:13	34:13
172:17 173:14	184:1	150:9 189:9	compete 187:11	concentrations
closer 94:25 128:14	combine 23:12 64:2	comes 56:1,1 61:19	complete 21:24	30:25 35:6,17
179:23 182:11	combined 181:18	75:11 76:19 87:19	139:14	51:10 56:18 58:6
clothing 79:11,12	combines 20:15	119:24 124:15	completely 41:11	61:10 68:23 70:8
cloud 101:4	combust 64:3	coming 15:6 62:24	41:16 98:14	70:10,25 89:14
CO ² 167:12	combusted 116:3	64:1 65:4,19	136:19	100:8,9 103:5,10
cocktail 36:9	130:17 164:23	70:13 76:8 79:10	completion 134:22	124:9 125:5,18
COHb 20:10,10,25	combustible 41:11	80:10 84:11,17,23	166:21 167:12	126:5 137:24
60:1	93:21 94:9 109:25	86:20 88:13,24,25	complex 13:18 15:5	152:23 155:3
collaborating	110:4 113:15	89:2 91:6,16 92:1	41:3,20 141:2	188:23 189:11
181:16	114:8 135:4,9	92:1,8 93:6 98:21	155:19	concept 34:5
collapse 11:16 18:7	136:18 156:17	99:6 100:22	complicated 13:4	concerned 12:24
	-	-	-	-

				1490 200
16:20 17:15 18:2	confidence 58:25	187:24	55:21 56:13 57:18	29:18 30:4,5,9,17
138:16 149:22	confident 101:15	consistent 59:2	64:11 115:9 167:5	31:1,3,3,6,8,12
190:23	140:3	consisting 110:7,18	169:16 186:18	33:10 35:8 37:7
conclude 179:1,3	confined 36:6	constant 70:1 137:3	continue 4:3 38:16	47:9 54:5
conclusion 144:6	confirm 2:23,25	155:4	51:8 55:10,14	corridors 27:21,21
174:23	3:4 4:17,21	constantly 87:13	64:3 65:21 101:6	29:17,21 74:17
conclusions 3:17	confirmed 4:9 80:8	constructed 74:5	continued 5:23	corroborated 37:19
concrete 74:24	183:8	construction 74:15	28:21	Cost 185:13
142:19 164:25	confirms 104:14	consultant 7:1	continues 38:18	couched 123:11
condition 39:3	confronted 13:17	consumed 3:24	continuing 8:24	could've 31:4 50:23
			S	
68:20 117:3,6,8	84:20 99:6	41:16 108:14	51:15 98:13	counsel 189:21
118:2,7 122:24	confronts 154:19	152:14 164:15	continuous 65:18	190:16
139:4 144:3	confusion 11:16	contain 1:18	175:3 179:24	counter 129:8
145:13 149:24	conscious 32:19	189:11	continuously 155:5	country 7:10
166:25 169:3	consciousness	contained 11:25	contradiction	couple 52:24 57:22
conditions 3:20	31:24 32:2 51:25	29:25 92:25	168:20	85:12 100:20
9:12 13:13 14:8	consequence 65:23	contains 11:8	contrast 68:22	101:8 124:16
17:7,19 19:3 21:8	148:25	contamination	185:19	128:1
22:1 23:2 24:2,4	consequences 3:7	74:21	contrasts 28:20	coupled 71:3
25:7 33:7,9 41:17	3:18 25:10,12,22	content 115:22	57:10	course 2:9 13:12
42:10 47:18 48:15	53:24	116:5 124:6	contribute 114:21	18:7 22:4 26:1
48:17 49:13 50:13	conservative	135:20 136:1,2	contributing 59:7	27:9 28:7 30:20
53:22 55:11 63:19	120:11	160:19 165:17	contribution 7:18	49:2 50:21,22
64:1 66:16,21	consider 44:17	166:16	108:7 110:13	53:14 80:5,18
67:6,13,17,18	77:11 116:17	contents 2:5 28:17	126:25 127:14,17	86:19 87:21 98:12
68:11 82:14 85:6	119:10,21 132:19	40:18 41:7,11,25	157:3,11 172:1	98:15 104:19
85:16 90:15,15,16	135:14 143:17,21	42:20 65:11,22	contributions	110:16 111:11,25
99:8 100:15,24	144:1,1 145:2	93:23 94:9,9	42:21 119:6	115:3,21 117:5
102:3,8,19 103:21	149:24 155:12	95:13 98:21	137:22	118:18 119:1
107:12,21 109:18	157:14 172:21	107:17 110:18	control 139:14	120:3 121:5,13
116:3,18,23	182:13 189:22	111:8 113:3,4,5	193:6	124:17 125:23
117:24 118:4	considerable	115:3,5,6,18	convenient 105:22	130:21 132:15
119:2 122:2 124:5	111:16,18 165:13	116:13 118:3,5	converters 58:13	137:6,16,17
124:20 138:13,18	consideration	119:5 122:12,13	cooler 62:12	139:20 142:20,22
140:10,19,21	158:1 172:3,5	125:10,22 126:12	core 140:15 147:15	144:20 146:25
141:1 143:15	181:25	127:2,6,14,17	147:16,18,23	147:10 148:11,14
149:1 150:20	considerations	127.2,0,14,17	193:4	148:24 149:11
158:24 160:12	95:21	129:8,10 134:16	corner 16:19 99:20	150:9 151:1
166:7 167:8	considered 24:12	136:14 153:11,17	119:1 151:6 159:4	154:10,15 155:13
173:15	44:19 110:12	,		170:17 171:13
		154:8,12,21 160:8	168:25 169:1,2	
conduct 4:10 5:23	111:7 125:17	160:16 161:6	175:12,15	178:15 182:16,19
conducted 5:13	156:9 157:21	178:25 179:15	Correct 5:16	185:17 186:21
64:25 139:22	169:25	189:1,18	correctly 152:10	191:8
182:10	considering 25:25	context 9:18 14:15	correlates 70:24	court 4:23 43:22
conference 6:15	141:17 157:9	16:14 44:20 50:18	corridor 29:15,18	cousin 87:20,23

covered 67:5	32:1 35:21 36:15	database 58:3,11	165:10,19	density 18:3 34:9
covers 48:24	36:17,18,25 45:17	60:10	decomposing	54:11,20 55:15
crack 129:23	48:22 53:1,3	datasheet 131:25	125:23,24	56:6 68:8 69:23
creamy-looking	56:16 57:10,11,19	dated 3:1	decomposition	69:25 78:5,14,16
165:9	57:23 59:8 63:15	daughters 86:8	64:9 137:7 141:23	78:19 111:3
create 67:12 122:18	103:6,10 123:9	David 1:9 2:12,14	decrease 48:21	112:22 131:13,25
created 177:21	124:9 125:6,12,19	2:24 7:17 194:14	187:5,17,18,20	132:1,4,11,12,16
creation 145:3	125:22 136:2	day 49:9 192:24	deep 111:6	133:10 135:7
crib 158:16,19,20	167:19 183:19,22	days 10:2 47:2	deeply 44:25	152:20 165:22
cribs 158:23	184:3,7,17,19,21	185:22	181:16	department 5:12
crisis 52:4	184:24 185:4,9,18	dead 20:7 38:14	defined 139:4	departure 151:15
cross-section 165:4	185:19,25 186:3	59:25 185:2	definitely 103:21	depend 15:25 108:8
crosses 34:21 52:14	186:13,19 187:14	deal 25:22 174:5	162:11	116:2 130:19
crossing 36:1	187:15,16,18	186:5,6 191:11	degree 20:22	145:25 167:5
crowd 78:14 79:19	188:13,15,19,24	192:25 194:2	142:12	186:22
crucial 28:23 67:21	188:24 189:5,11	dealing 4:25 15:14	degrees 29:10 49:8	depended 96:20
84:6 85:10 87:3	189:12,15,16	death 3:23 5:20	61:25 66:1	103:13
crucially 31:9		11:16 18:14 37:3	delaminate 140:15	dependent 138:13
35:19 36:8 37:8	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D}}$	44:22 45:18 57:24	146:24	depending 25:7
49:21 105:5	D 194:13	58:18 59:1,2,12	delamination	155:9 158:20
cubed 108:23	damage 1:21 9:22	71:5 105:7,13	147:23 148:14	160:21 165:21
133:13	13:8 22:16 28:11	184:20 185:17	delay 17:6	depends 55:21
cues 80:25 96:8	28:14 31:25 51:20	deaths 26:15	deliberately 174:4	56:12 77:14 94:17
cup 190:9	67:10 111:13	decay 187:8	demand 134:11,20	108:13,15,19
cupboard 28:12,12	damaged 28:18	decedents 21:3	demonstrated	109:3,7,17 119:2
29:4,6,8,25	dampers 172:10	27:14	68:12	150:11 179:7
113:19 170:25	danger 94:16,21	December 194:11	demonstrates	depict 81:11
cupboards 113:12	dangerous 13:22	decide 13:21,23	102:3	depicted 82:24
current 173:11,12	16:3 17:22 70:14 83:10 91:24 99:18	16:10 24:19 25:9	dense 25:4 50:4	depleted 64:5,10
currently 15:22		53:18,20 55:10	56:2,7,10 68:19	deposits 172:17
44:16	DAPR0000001	75:17 84:3,20	70:7 75:23 78:9	depth 133:3,6,7
curtain 130:6,8	131:8 dark 86:16 95:9	180:16	82:10 83:7 86:16	derived 118:8
178:21,23		decided 24:20 62:8	88:1 89:17 92:24	153:15 154:4
curtains 94:10	darkness 54:14	81:6,7 84:7,18	92:24 93:2,20,21	descend 79:14
130:3 176:14,16	69:6 data 22:19 30:20	86:5	97:15 98:1,10	82:20 83:23 88:3
178:19	37:23,24 40:22	deciding 83:9	100:3 108:2 111:4	90:6 100:16 102:5
curve 17:3 37:10	42:13 43:2 44:9	decision 25:5 53:12	114:25 123:16	103:15 104:3
37:11 59:10 61:25	44:20 45:6,10	53:18,21 81:9	125:18,19 152:21	descended 85:4
70:21 108:10	59:23,24 60:9	84:14 95:20	154:3 170:7 173:7	103:24
138:22 155:16,16	61:23 71:2 104:9	decision-making	179:13	descending 79:17
187:8	115:14 117:14	55:10	densely 36:1	89:17 90:10
curves 17:24 18:17	118:8 123:4 132:4	decompose 64:6	denser 89:13	descent 83:4 89:21
18:21 40:20	135:18 138:16	112:9	114:18	104:2
cut 46:14 183:21	139:17	decomposed 64:7 125:11 149:9	densities 70:24	describe 83:15 85:6
cyanide 28:7 31:2	137.11	143.11 149.9	131:16	96:14 102:22
	l		l	l

129:21 130:2	53:22	61:11 99:4 105:9	156:24	domestic 8:5,10
160:7	deteriorating 33:7	105:14,14 124:18	dioxide 35:15 48:19	24:13,14 36:25
described 13:6	99:8	difference 53:4	63:3 116:1 123:5	42:4 46:25 90:23
62:19 64:25 65:5	determine 17:23	56:15 67:21	167:1	96:3 125:13
90:23 92:13,23	18:11 71:16 77:8	117:25 151:20,21	diplomate 5:8	194:15
94:14 102:17	109:1 131:24	151:22 152:15,18	direct 5:23 9:23	dominate 154:13
103:17 130:22	determines 136:3	188:14 189:7	directed 5:14	dominated 127:14
131:11 135:14	determining 10:6	differences 41:2	direction 102:20	127:16 154:20
143:4 148:7	develop 6:3 10:2	183:18	143:10 165:20	door 25:3,9,15 30:4
167:10 169:1	17:20 19:8 22:12	different 3:19,21	directly 61:20	32:22 34:1 48:4
173:2,15 178:10	31:10 98:13 169:4	12:7,10,10 13:1	disabled 80:6	49:24 50:2,7,13
describes 88:24	180:1	14:6 19:8 22:12	disappointed	53:5,15 56:4,10
describing 93:18	developed 12:7	22:13 26:2,3 30:7	186:16	56:12 68:2,3,4,6
description 181:20	14:8 22:22 24:8	30:18 33:1,2 41:8	disclosed 193:4	68:14,16,17,17
descriptions 44:1	26:4 27:9 29:8	41:25 42:3 44:2	discomfort 45:13	69:4 74:20,20,25
69:22 120:5	67:3 124:20	52:24 54:2 56:18	discuss 46:1	83:18 84:19 87:8
173:19 174:2	138:24 174:24	60:17 65:14 66:24	discussing 7:14	89:2 91:2 97:13
design 7:5 15:20	180:17	66:25 67:1 69:23	131:4 153:5	97:19 100:25
16:14 74:13	developing 13:14	70:24,25 71:11	dispersed 108:20	101:20 102:16
designed 74:5 78:2	19:16 32:12 42:9	81:15 83:24 85:2	108:24 124:11	119:8 154:18
139:2,13 165:8	109:22 139:16	85:6 88:7 95:21	dispersing 123:2	169:13 173:18,22
172:6,8	186:4	96:12,19 107:15	169:17	173:25 178:22
designedly 143:22	development 6:8	113:4,12 128:21	display 46:13	182:12 183:5,7,12
despite 80:21	26:2 41:4,19	137:25 153:16,20	disposal 192:12	doors 27:6,8 29:19
destroyed 28:24	42:12,22 44:5	161:2 169:20	dispute 142:21	29:19 30:9,12
150:12	73:14 93:24 96:1	175:4 179:24	distance 133:6,7	31:18,19 33:17,23
destruction 112:15	96:13 129:13	182:20,21 183:17	distinction 141:8	83:9 97:13,22,23
122:8	154:7 178:1	differently 109:10	distress 191:17	97:24 98:3,8
detail 8:25 9:7 15:9	194:17	179:24	distressing 2:1,6	102:14 113:13
60:3 73:19 84:1	devices 21:11	differing 41:4	73:9	130:7 155:20
92:5 95:16 114:2	diagram 29:13	difficult 11:5,5	distributed 48:13	171:22 174:4,5
129:12 145:4	30:23 77:4 93:18	25:5 41:17 49:1	disturbing 73:7	182:11,18,20
171:8	147:6	52:7,21 53:12,17	dizziness 11:15	doorway 48:6
detailed 1:16 4:11	diagrams 1:19	66:21 95:19 113:3	dizzy 45:16 100:12	dose 18:6 34:6,14
8:21 9:12 14:24	145:7	difficulties 45:13	104:1	38:6,8 39:1,15
15:7 19:9,13,20	dial 139:2	103:23	document 78:3,4	40:6 52:1 56:20
21:8,10 40:12,13	die 10:7 35:3 45:19	difficulty 28:5 36:4	132:6 180:11	57:2 58:18 61:6
42:7 43:5,21	52:8 58:16,21	52:19 83:13 86:17	dogleg 27:20 29:15	69:9 70:16 103:13
113:6	185:7 189:6	100:5 102:25	doing 1:11 9:5	104:25 184:11
details 27:19 131:5	died 19:25 24:10	191:13	21:17 53:24 79:4	185:2
detection 16:22	31:4 32:7,8,15	diffusers 62:6	81:20 104:5 107:9	dose-related 57:9
29:21 74:16	38:2 40:6 41:18	dilemma 49:13	132:19 134:24	doses 11:12
detectors 74:16	43:18 44:13 45:3	diluted 91:13	138:15 150:19	double 178:22
81:3	45:7 58:3,8,23	118:19	151:10 158:5	Doulova 88:9
deteriorate 17:7,19	60:1,6,22 61:5,9	dimensions 112:19	171:6	downstairs 47:17
	1	1	1	1

(7.24.97.12	17.12 24.19 21	77.11 120.16	11.20	24.10.25.1.26.10
67:24 87:12	17:13 24:18,21	77:11 120:16	11:20	24:10 35:1 36:19
downward 65:24	41:8 49:17 53:14	125:25 184:20	encounter 64:8 87:1	36:21 37:2,4
Dr 55:4 62:5 73:20	55:25 74:6 80:23	191:11 194:15,17		50:10,16 55:17
87:5 93:18 94:2	81:5 83:1 84:3,14	efficiency 166:6	encountered	73:23 74:4,7,15
110:11 112:7	90:25 92:8 93:10	efficient 63:2 64:14	101:18	74:18 75:13,24
119:19 120:6	96:1,24 100:23	efficiently 146:22	encouraged 75:3	77:4 108:4 124:24
141:20 147:4	107:23 120:23	effort 102:2 162:19	101:11	171:15 185:6
171:7 172:8	122:9,12 126:3	192:10	encouraging 95:23	escaped 124:24
174:16 182:19	129:13,20 130:2	either 12:22 40:8	ended 30:6 46:15	escaping 25:12
draft 177:21	130:11 149:17	49:20 50:8 58:4,9	85:1,17	103:22 183:4
drainpipe 50:15	150:25 154:1	83:5,9 85:2 141:8	endpoint 34:24	especially 62:12
draw 37:25 61:24	163:8 170:7,21	141:9 145:12	ends 181:7	80:9 86:19 93:9
135:2 167:24	east 75:19 94:1	160:15 165:20	engineering 6:20	93:25
dressed 97:3	95:2 99:2 175:11	191:4	15:22	essential 10:19
drills 77:25	180:14	elderly 26:15 32:11	engineers 7:17	essentially 10:20
drip 146:15	easy 19:4 97:20	35:8 36:5 80:5	138:17	11:14 14:2,18
dripped 121:6	148:13 186:6	electric 176:19	engines 81:2	15:25 16:14 29:17
dripping 143:13	edge 146:12,12	element 142:7	ensure 16:15 139:3	31:8 38:25 58:4
146:18 148:6	148:6	elemental 109:3	entered 82:17,23	73:24 105:13
drips 140:13 146:6	edges 146:5	134:12	88:6 100:14	107:14 115:16
146:20	EDPM 141:24	elements 136:12,17	entering 93:16	125:13 151:10
droplets 146:16	156:13 157:1	136:23,24 157:9	168:9,10 173:9	155:22
dropping 146:16	effect 9:23 11:5,6	elongated 145:6	enters 163:1	establish 41:17
drops 59:18	34:13,16 45:15	emerged 83:25	entrains 91:12	established 6:24
dry 191:25 192:4	52:23 54:21 55:1	emergency 9:2 43:7	entrance 25:15	Establishment 5:22
due 38:13 64:3	55:17,20 57:10	44:19 69:24 87:8	74:19 102:14,16	26:18
105:7 137:16	61:17,18 109:15	emerging 170:22	entry 93:3 102:10	estimate 42:15,25
191:14,17	109:21 124:23	170:24	enveloped 61:21	69:14 71:10 82:19
duplicate 21:18	177:4 184:17	emphasis 45:9	environment 35:23	85:15 90:5 112:16
22:14	188:19	emphasise 22:7	74:23	116:14 120:11
duplication 22:1	effective 34:5,6	43:13 107:9	environmental	131:15 132:18
dwelling 75:7	35:10 43:15 83:4	Empire 7:22	5:14 7:2	161:2,17 165:23
dwellings 24:14	effectively 38:22	empty 79:17 86:12	envisaging 143:12	184:15
186:11	74:9	86:12	equally 150:5	estimated 42:23
dying 45:17 61:1	effects 5:17 8:4,10	enable 74:18	equals 184:13	82:16 116:22
	8:15,16 9:25 10:3	encased 63:21	equations 123:19	118:4 120:20
E	10:5,8,11 11:17	140:12	equipment 80:12	121:20 131:13
e 173:5 194:13	18:15 19:17 21:10	enclosed 28:16 30:3	equivalence 64:18	132:14 145:11
		49.0 62.10.22	64:20 109:19	estimates 43:4
earlier 13:16 28:23	22:5,25 27:13	48:9 62:19,22	07.20 107.17	estillitees is.
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22	22:5,25 27:13 30:22 33:2 34:2	63:7,22 64:25	equivalent 65:3	137:22 162:15
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19	-	•		
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19 150:23 154:9	30:22 33:2 34:2	63:7,22 64:25	equivalent 65:3	137:22 162:15
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19 150:23 154:9 164:7 176:21,22	30:22 33:2 34:2 35:11 37:5 40:10	63:7,22 64:25 67:13 68:5 94:7	equivalent 65:3 138:23	137:22 162:15 estimating 114:14
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19 150:23 154:9 164:7 176:21,22 178:2,18,21 185:3	30:22 33:2 34:2 35:11 37:5 40:10 40:21 42:15 43:1	63:7,22 64:25 67:13 68:5 94:7 108:21 131:1	equivalent 65:3 138:23 escape 6:21 7:5	137:22 162:15 estimating 114:14 123:12 151:4
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19 150:23 154:9 164:7 176:21,22	30:22 33:2 34:2 35:11 37:5 40:10 40:21 42:15 43:1 43:4,16 57:8	63:7,22 64:25 67:13 68:5 94:7 108:21 131:1 141:3	equivalent 65:3 138:23 escape 6:21 7:5 9:14 12:5 13:23	137:22 162:15 estimating 114:14 123:12 151:4 evacuate 16:11,11
earlier 13:16 28:23 35:17 46:23 82:22 93:15 149:19 150:23 154:9 164:7 176:21,22 178:2,18,21 185:3	30:22 33:2 34:2 35:11 37:5 40:10 40:21 42:15 43:1 43:4,16 57:8 69:14,15 71:6	63:7,22 64:25 67:13 68:5 94:7 108:21 131:1 141:3 enclosure 143:3	equivalent 65:3 138:23 escape 6:21 7:5 9:14 12:5 13:23 16:15,16,17 17:2	137:22 162:15 estimating 114:14 123:12 151:4 evacuate 16:11,11 16:21 24:19 75:8

84:4,8 99:9,23	examining 19:19	experience 5:3	12:8 14:11 17:24	162:21 182:17
103:9	example 12:9,16	24:21 43:20 130:1	18:8 20:17,22	185:16 192:3
evacuated 80:16	17:8 23:12 24:17	130:14 178:7	23:1 24:6,9 27:15	exterior 41:22
88:7 91:3 97:2,8	26:7 28:4 33:14	experienced 21:4	30:9 33:6 35:24	42:19 75:21 92:11
99:10	33:24 35:4 42:10	103:23	36:9 41:9,18	92:15 93:3,4
evacuating 97:17	49:10 51:11 53:7	experiences 19:20	47:25 54:5 56:17	103:18 110:6,8
evacuation 25:23	53:23 55:22 60:23	43:15 69:15 95:21	56:21,24 57:3,11	112:19 113:1
78:3 79:19 80:2	66:7 69:2 70:11	96:19	57:20 61:22 62:3	116:21 119:3
81:14,24	77:16 80:18 90:20	experimental 19:2	63:6,25 64:2	135:6 153:25
evacuations 77:25	90:22 100:20	22:1 23:2 42:14	69:15 77:12,23	155:23,24 165:7
evaluate 5:19	107:19 108:17	47:2 184:2	99:24 103:9 105:1	167:9 168:23
evaluation 6:8	109:9,11 110:11	experimenters	105:3 146:5,25	178:15 181:17
evenly 48:13	113:19 114:7	158:17	153:9,13 168:16	external 74:2 96:6
event 21:9 87:3	115:9 129:4,5,9	experiments 47:5	185:4 186:19,23	extra 36:19 74:23
events 173:2	129:15 135:15	56:17 66:8 71:3	191:2	extract 176:5
eventually 83:18	137:8 149:20	78:12 90:1 138:7	exposes 117:4	extracted 136:10
87:23 100:22	150:6,25 161:5	158:11,22 159:3	exposing 58:12	172:12
everybody 59:17	162:10,24 165:14	expert 1:6 6:23 7:9	exposure 10:5	extractor 176:12
78:25 88:13 91:2	169:7 187:5	7:10 131:23	24:16,21,22 25:16	extreme 66:16
evidence 1:12 8:21	examples 50:11	expertise 147:25	25:24 26:10,12	78:25 79:7 120:7
8:24 9:1,4 14:1,3	69:13 100:18	192:12	30:7,13,14,18	150:1,3
14:22,23 15:1	exceptionally 105:8	experts 13:5 19:22	31:8,9,13,19	extremely 11:17
43:6,22 45:5 46:2	exceptions 178:17	92:4 95:14 120:25	32:13,14,17 33:2	43:14 91:13
72:16 106:10	excessive 64:12	163:10 181:17	33:19 35:11,23	182:17
112:4 159:18	exercise 113:10	explain 1:11 22:23	37:15 38:13 39:6	extremes 120:3
174:8,14 175:16	114:1	131:15 132:23	42:3 43:17 45:2	eyes 11:3 49:1 50:5
175:19 176:7,23	exhaust 58:12	134:9,20 137:21	45:12,13 51:18	191:12
177:1,4,9,11,20	existed 144:13	138:9 166:9	52:4 57:17 58:8	
179:2 180:8 182:1	exit 13:21 82:21	168:21 169:6	61:19 70:23 71:5	F
188:2 189:24	exited 82:15 100:21	176:5 184:3	71:17 77:12 95:22	fabrics 116:7
190:21 192:7,16	expand 131:8 140:8	192:17 193:3	105:14	facade 142:14
193:5,16,17 194:3		explained 7:25 42:2	exposures 5:25	face 49:22 52:11
194:5	157:9	109:18 116:25	22:19 23:9	69:5 70:20 95:2
evidential 173:12	expanded 4:6 8:23	119:20 134:2	express 4:15	100:4 123:15
exact 39:23 60:13	110:6	138:12 140:20	expressing 71:8	152:6 157:16
66:15 114:5	expect 89:23	185:17 190:22	extended 41:16	175:11,13 180:14
132:16 139:14	114:21 138:17	explanation 34:17	extent 20:4,22	180:15,21,22
exactly 2:2 14:7,8	146:21,23 154:6	134:6	28:20 29:22 32:9	face-covering
27:24 41:12 50:12	160:23 163:24	exploded 30:1,2	55:16 60:14 71:16	191:6
112:2 132:10	167:13 180:25	explore 47:12	78:15 80:7 95:22	faced 25:6 26:12
142:17 149:25	181:13	49:12	108:9 109:7 116:7	33:9 47:13 49:13
156:24 171:5	expected 87:18	exponentially 17:5	119:4 128:20	50:3,3 53:8,12
182:24	105:18	expose 140:15	139:10,12 144:17	95:19
examination 40:12	expecting 181:11	184:6	146:20 151:15	faces 147:19
43:5	expensive 139:20	exposed 3:9 9:13	157:23,24 158:6	facia 157:12,17
	•	•	•	<u> </u>

				1490 200
facing 16:13 53:24	133:13 139:15	68:19 77:22 82:10	16:3,4,10,21,23	94:21,22 95:3,13
69:8	149:22 171:14,16	97:15 98:9 102:18	16:23 17:2,9,14	95:18 96:1,3,4,9
fact 8:14 10:22	172:4,20 177:19	108:2 175:21	17:16,18,22,24	96:13,18 98:12,15
15:22 24:25 26:23	177:23 182:10,23	179:19	18:9,12,18,21,23	99:6,12,21,23
27:2 32:23 55:6	fatalities 21:3,13	fillers 109:5	18:25 19:5,6,8,10	103:20 107:19,23
59:1 98:3 138:22	27:14 38:2 39:5	filling 48:2 88:1	19:13,16,21,25	107:23 110:16
140:1 142:14	40:24 41:14 44:10	97:25 98:4 100:3	20:1,12,24 21:1,4	112:3,8 115:9
143:18 145:6	44:15,23 45:2	102:9 126:8	21:5,8,12,18 22:2	118:22 119:4,14
164:3 165:3	58:7 60:11 71:15	170:15 173:7	22:9,12,19 23:3	120:23 121:21
168:23 169:12	71:17 98:25	174:1 175:2,6	23:10 24:2,6,18	125:13 127:16
184:7	105:11 185:20	178:8 179:18	25:20,21 26:2,4,8	128:10,21 130:8
factor 37:1 86:15	faulty 58:9,9	filling-up 68:24	26:14 27:7,8,18	139:18 140:5
95:23 103:7	fear 2:8	fills 48:7 55:23 56:2	28:4,8,11,11,14	143:4,9 145:23
factored 166:11	feasible 41:5	63:8	28:15,23 29:3,8	150:6,12,25 153:5
factors 96:22	feature 29:24	filter 191:19,25	29:11,15,19,19,20	153:12 154:5,7,9
145:15 153:18	features 145:22	192:3	29:23 30:1,8,11	154:12 155:5,10
failed 75:15 154:15	FEC 34:5,7,21	final 180:8 187:21	30:14,24 31:1,16	155:23 158:17,19
fails 65:16	FED 22:22 26:22	finally 7:21 27:11	31:19,22 32:19,24	160:13 162:8,17
failure 93:10	34:6,14,21 37:10	32:21 94:8 153:11	33:4,13,25 34:4	163:4,8,13,14,15
178:13	40:21 52:12 67:20	find 2:5 9:20 17:11	34:23 35:5,7,9	163:18 164:15
faint 45:19	71:3,8 123:18	18:14 23:25 25:3	36:17 37:13 38:3	168:1,11,19,23,25
fair 130:13 181:8	184:5	61:8,10 89:25	39:1 40:12,13,16	169:4,6,8,22
181:12	feed 65:19	91:17 96:17 97:14	40:17,19 41:3,6,8	170:21 171:7
fairly 26:7 52:2	feel 2:10 13:1 36:23	101:23 113:23	41:16,18,19 42:4	172:10 173:16
79:21 100:23	44:21 45:16 62:3	116:14 120:14	42:13,17 43:16,18	175:12,15 176:15
110:13 112:10	104:10 117:10	121:23 122:4	46:25 47:7,14,17	176:16 177:25
117:20 118:1	126:1	131:20 133:11	47:19 48:11 49:17	178:6,9,13,19,23
140:3 164:2	feeling 54:14,15	154:16 172:23	49:20 50:9,25	178:25,25 179:4
184:15 185:14	83:14 100:7,12	178:4 185:1	53:14,14,16 55:3	180:19 185:2,10
fall 94:18,20	103:25 126:8	finding 19:20 43:14	55:25 56:1 60:10	186:9,10 189:2,12
fallen 104:24	173:11,13	51:21 83:13 92:9	60:11,19,20,22,23	193:5 194:15,16
149:10 161:5	fell 93:12,13 105:4	98:19 100:24	61:2,20 62:21,22	fire's 33:6,14
162:17	121:5 129:24	141:23 155:24	63:24 64:6,24	fire-resisting 73:24
falling 148:20	felt 50:20	167:10	65:1,4,6,12,20,20	74:14,20
163:19	fiercely 66:10	fine 10:18	65:23 66:2,2 68:4	firefighter 9:4
falls 48:1 65:16	158:24	finish 72:8 192:24	68:5,15 69:13	firefighters 101:11
117:4 149:17	figure 113:7 132:9	fire 1:20 3:9,19,22	70:7 71:5 73:14	101:15 174:4
familiar 176:23	132:14,18 133:1,6	3:24 5:17,20,25	73:21,25 74:1,2,7	188:3 193:5,6
177:2	133:12,15,20	6:1,2,8,11,19,20	74:8,18,21,25	firefighting 101:2
fan 176:18,19	156:19 161:14	6:20 7:10,11,13	75:11,14,21 76:2	101:19 162:19
far 21:19 27:24	165:25	7:16,19,22 8:5,10	77:18 80:12,23	171:24
29:5 43:11 45:5	figures 57:1 112:22	9:3 10:7,17,23,23	81:2 82:5 84:17	fires 3:6,20 6:4,6
64:25 69:11 85:22	114:2,5 132:11	11:21 12:7,11	86:21 90:23,25	11:16 16:2 17:3
104:3 110:12,14	145:9 150:9	13:7,12 14:14,22	91:3,21 92:15,19	22:11 24:13,13,14
115:4 118:13	filled 25:4 48:12	15:19,21,25 16:3	93:5,14 94:3,8,16	35:16 36:23,24,25
L.,	•			•

41:25 42:5,14	93:6,11,17 95:6	114:8,11,15 115:2	91:18 93:3,24	101:2 118:19
46:25 47:5,7,15	95:22 96:14	115:3,5,9,10,18	95:8,12 96:12	145:5 146:17
48:3,10 57:23	129:22 163:9	116:13,14 117:20	97:6,10 98:12,14	flows 48:6 91:11
58:4,23 59:1,6,9	167:11 176:18	118:3,5,22 119:3	99:17,25 102:7	145:9
61:19 63:16 64:23	178:7,11	119:7,13,24 120:4	105:6,16 108:4	flush 187:9,10
65:8 67:3,7 75:5	flaming 62:24	120:8,14 121:24	111:21 114:9,10	foam 48:23 111:3
91:16 95:12 98:16	63:25 109:19	122:4,5,6,11,13	118:23 121:17	115:20 116:7
98:18 109:19	140:17,18 147:21	122:25 123:3,5,13	126:10 127:13	132:2
128:9 139:20,22	158:21	123:16,17 124:2,9	128:10,17,21,23	focus 73:22 74:3
155:3 163:5	flash 76:18	124:12,17,18,19	129:18 150:13,14	114:9
174:11,24 175:9	flashover 17:16	124:21,22 125:10	151:2 153:12	foil 157:12,16,18
175:10,16,18	66:11,15 94:22	125:21 126:4,5,11	154:5,7,10,21	follow 34:18 85:21
178:16 180:1,6,17	98:23 163:19	126:12 127:1,6,14	155:23 162:5,6	131:2 136:16
180:24 181:1,4,11	flat 25:2,9,14,15,20	127:17 128:16	168:2,2,5,9,10,11	161:11 164:6
181:14,21 184:22	25:21 33:4,11,13	129:3,4,8,9,11,15	168:24 169:5	182:5
184:23 185:18	33:18,22 34:1	131:1 134:16	173:24 174:5,11	followed 155:16
first 5:6 8:1,3,7	42:20 47:9 53:22	136:14 150:4,6,7	174:21 175:17	following 161:17
9:17 15:11 16:9	55:22,23,24,24	151:1,5,13,17	178:1,6 181:19,22	185:9
19:13 21:15 24:17	56:2,10 65:6 66:4	152:4,17 153:8,11	182:21 188:22	follows 58:24
50:6 51:4 54:3	66:19 67:2,13	153:24 154:12,17	fled 183:7	force 30:10
62:21 75:21 88:5	69:2,6 70:11,13	155:12,17 160:8	floats 132:12	forced 99:22
92:21 95:18 96:15	73:24 74:1,16,18	160:14,21 161:6,6	floor 75:20 78:22	forensic 58:3
102:8 109:25	74:19,19 75:6,12	161:22 163:1,18	78:23 79:14 82:5	forget 60:13 112:14
112:17 118:18	75:20 83:9 84:17	167:10 168:14,19	82:5,5,6,21,22,23	130:4 172:6
119:12,16 122:22	85:14,15 86:5	169:4,6,7,15,17	84:10 85:2,2,14	forgive 85:12
129:18 130:1,22	87:19,21 90:21,22	170:8 173:10,15	85:23 86:4 92:9	forgotten 133:2
138:9 153:8	91:7,23 92:2,9,11	173:17,21,25	92:14,16 93:4	155:21 170:10
167:24 174:16	92:16,19,22 93:16	176:3,10 177:5,7	96:2 100:1,21,25	form 10:21 20:16
176:4,10,11 178:6	93:23,25 94:7,8	177:8,10,10,11,14	101:17 107:24	108:10 117:10
178:7,13 179:17	95:3,5,12,19,25	177:15,20,23	170:8,15,16,23	180:1 193:17
181:1 190:23	96:2,7,10,17,24	178:8 179:2,4	172:7,9 173:10	formed 12:20,20,22
firstly 3:5,18 109:2	97:5,12,12,17,19	180:20,22 182:6	floors 13:1 62:7,14	47:23 154:14
135:19 144:6	97:20 98:3,8,13	182:11,11 183:4	79:16 81:4,16,17	forms 63:5 110:25
183:22	98:18,21,25 99:5	188:16,18,20	81:19,24,25 82:1	formula 79:22
fiscal 26:17 27:13	99:8,9,10,12,13	189:2,3,6,8,12	82:2,3,4 83:2,24	Fortunately 100:10
flame 1:19 25:21	99:13,19,25 100:1	191:4	84:6,14 88:7 89:1	forward 181:16
93:3,24 109:14	101:2,10 102:9,14	flatmate 101:22	92:4 97:11 100:2	found 50:13 54:8
119:18 128:9	102:15,16,19,20	flats 12:23 24:14	101:8 104:5	54:19 55:12 62:5
129:5,18 145:6,6	102:23 103:2,13	25:13 26:3 30:16	154:10 172:11,14	69:3 78:13 79:13
146:12 148:5	103:14,17,19	41:4,11,15,21,25	173:20 174:3,24	83:18,21 90:1
159:4 163:21,22	107:20,21,24	42:5 44:2,13	flow 30:4 79:20,23	100:15 105:16
166:13,19,19	108:21 109:22	55:24 66:25 69:16	97:14,20 142:25	133:1 136:13,13
167:2,4,18	110:2,17,18 111:8	74:17 75:4,18,20	145:15 148:7	139:25 151:25
flames 24:7 44:2	112:6,11 113:2,3	76:2 83:16 84:12	flowing 35:14 66:4	173:22 178:2,9
63:8 75:17 92:10	113:4,6,8,12,15	84:17 85:3 90:19	91:7,25 97:25	186:12
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

four 24:15 31:21	fumes 58:12	52:22,24 70:6,8	154:8 160:18	157:8 159:19
32:7 39:2 51:18	function 5:18 57:12	71:11 89:14 93:1	179:6 189:18	165:20 168:6
60:5 76:20 78:5	71:8	93:20 94:7 98:18	Ghavimi 102:1	174:5 175:24
fourth 13:25	fundamental 74:11	103:25 104:15	girls 86:2	174.3 173.24
fractional 34:5,6	139:10	105:25 104:15	give 2:2,16 6:24 8:7	182:8 187:23
35:10		109:2 118:8	12:18 21:5 23:12	190:1 192:18
frame 94:5 112:8	furnace 138:8,10 138:25 139:1			
		123:19 124:3,15	40:1 52:18 135:7	goes 12:21 21:12
France 186:8	furnishings 42:20	124:22,23 127:7	136:16 165:25	50:1 63:4,13 67:7
free 75:13 79:1	134:13	130:17,19 136:4	172:3 173:5 181:8	92:13 117:19
166:17 191:18	furniture 36:24	142:2 152:2,24	186:17 192:16	119:24 120:4,8,17
freely 79:8	47:6,16 48:23,24	155:7 166:20	given 2:4 39:6,10	137:6,10 141:20
French 186:9	63:16 65:9 67:7	167:17 169:18	43:21,22 69:11	143:18 154:6
frequent 58:18	94:13 113:11	190:24,25 191:16	104:19 127:22	163:22 166:25
frequently 10:2	115:20 116:6,8	191:18,20 192:2	128:25 131:13	going 1:5,9,11 2:9
fresh 62:23 83:17	125:12,13 181:21	194:15	134:6 181:25	5:4 8:1,14,16 9:7
186:12,13	further 3:13 4:4	gasified 141:22	182:20 184:3	10:8 11:9 12:3
frightened 101:6	9:16 70:21 88:6	gather 190:15	190:21 192:10	13:7 14:1,6 15:2
frightening 50:14	105:25 145:20	general 8:10,20	gives 21:14 23:7	15:11 16:1 18:18
86:21	153:18 155:16	15:12 26:12 43:1	24:4 57:5,13	20:8 22:23 24:15
front 49:22,24 53:5	156:25 172:3	80:18,21 96:23	58:25 106:8	24:24 26:4 29:1
56:4 68:16 69:4,5	187:23 189:22	99:13 118:12	108:22 123:3	32:11 33:18 34:18
70:20 100:4		170:3 194:15	giving 1:12 127:24	35:25 39:25 46:1
123:14 152:6	$\frac{G}{G + G}$	generally 16:24	154:3 192:7	50:4 51:9 56:3
169:13	Galea 79:3	63:2 90:11 100:1	glance 68:18	57:21 60:2,3
frozen 38:22	gap 146:13	generate 63:14	glanced 188:5	62:20 64:8,23
fuel 63:13,14 64:2	gaps 91:17 93:9	136:4	Glasgow 28:2	65:11,12 67:4,22
64:14 65:21	141:24 142:5	generated 92:22	glazing 65:16 93:10	69:17 70:3 72:10
108:14 109:23	143:7,22 144:11	98:18 122:5	94:18 178:12	73:6 80:11 81:3,5
110:14,17 113:8	144:13 145:16	127:12 130:19	go 2:3 9:7,16 13:22	83:9,10 84:2,22
113:15,24 114:8	170:18	151:12 188:25	15:8 20:1 33:15	85:17 86:22 87:2
116:4 131:12	garage 58:12	generating 94:6	44:25 45:19 46:3	88:12 89:22 91:17
143:15 155:3	gas 12:14 20:13	119:12 124:22	50:2,18,19 52:4	91:20 92:4 94:6
fuel-air 64:11,19	31:8 34:4 35:21	generation 135:22	53:19,19 67:4	95:14 96:2,11,16
138:14 139:3	42:18 70:25 125:7	136:2	68:9,15 69:12	98:22 101:1
146:1	gases 1:23 3:6,8,18	generator 155:22	72:10,12,18 73:11	102:21 106:6
fuel-to-air 109:19	8:4,9 10:13 11:2,8	generic 3:19 8:5,15	77:2 81:7 83:16	107:24 113:4
fuels 22:10 66:1	11:9,11,12,17,25	8:16 14:21 42:18	84:22,24 86:1,1,5	114:9 115:5,10
108:16	11:25 18:6,23	43:4 44:4 118:10	86:7 87:12 88:4	118:16 120:15
full 2:23 30:10	19:1 20:24 21:23	getting 11:13 28:5	88:12 90:18 95:20	121:10 122:5,11
44:16 83:7 89:23	22:6,20 23:1 25:8	68:16 84:16 98:17	100:6 101:7,8	127:13,16 128:1
96:17 129:1	25:17 26:22 30:10	123:20 124:8	106:1,11 118:16	129:11,17 130:12
70.17 127.1		400 4 7 400 40	110.1 120.15	138:9 142:20,24
full-scale 21:18	30:21,25 33:8	130:17 133:18	119:1 120:15	130.7 172.20,27
	34:10 35:6,7 36:9	130:17 133:18 139:8,16,25	133:23 140:6	143:9 145:25
full-scale 21:18	34:10 35:6,7 36:9 37:15 38:17 45:14			*
full-scale 21:18 26:19,24 40:16	34:10 35:6,7 36:9	139:8,16,25	133:23 140:6	143:9 145:25
full-scale 21:18 26:19,24 40:16 41:5 46:24 71:3	34:10 35:6,7 36:9 37:15 38:17 45:14	139:8,16,25 141:19 147:24	133:23 140:6 145:20 148:18	143:9 145:25 146:15 147:7,12

151:23,24 152:16	Grenfell 3:7,11,22	169:6	162:20 164:21	heart 11:13 38:19
154:2,13,16 156:1	3:23 4:1 8:6,11,17	guide 90:2	172:15 186:3	heat 17:17 18:9,22
156:2,3 158:9	8:22 9:2 12:4,8,11	gusto 76:12	happening 16:6	21:23 23:2 24:9
161:9,9 163:18	12:25 14:15,19,23		19:16 73:18 81:9	24:22 31:9 33:8
164:3 171:2,24	15:2,13,15 19:18	Н	86:22 90:18 95:25	37:6,10,16,17
172:4 183:11	21:7,12 24:11,12	haemoglobin 20:15	146:19	41:9 45:2 47:23
184:9 189:17,23	25:1 26:12 27:10	hair 17:18	happens 15:19	49:6 61:13,18,18
194:5	28:21,21 30:15	half 31:22 57:12	20:12 39:13 65:17	61:19 62:8 63:3
golden 17:1	31:10 33:3,4,11	67:16 70:13,16,16	94:17,18	64:6 67:23,24,24
good 1:3,8 2:21,22	33:16,22 37:9	70:18 130:23,24	happy 46:11 72:11	71:17 104:15
14:18 20:25 23:8	39:11 40:15,15	130:25 161:20	hard 68:6 72:14	105:17 134:10
24:3 32:20 39:13	41:1,3 42:1,3,9,13	184:7,10,12,13,13	156:22 166:14	145:2 158:21,25
40:25 44:3,21	42:15,19 43:1,3,5	185:21	175:4,4,5 179:9	heaters 58:9
45:21 46:6 51:17	44:9,22 45:1	half-hour 27:7	harmless 10:22	heavily 28:6
60:8 64:1 68:16	49:23,24 53:8,13	halfway 50:24	hazard 6:8 16:12	heavy 79:12 111:4
70:9 71:24 80:14	55:22 56:8 60:4	hall 48:7	18:13 19:7 34:21	114:25 133:15
82:13 85:17	60:23 61:15 62:4	hallway 33:24 48:3	34:21,24,24 73:14	height 35:8 62:12
106:21 137:5	65:3,5,15 66:25	68:11	112:16 123:20	88:9 179:7
140:1 157:25	69:2,7,15,19	hallways 74:17	125:4 194:16	help 13:12 70:23
159:13 160:2	70:12 71:1,6,11	halogen 124:6	hazardous 89:13	131:7 153:18
185:16 186:16	71:15 73:15,18	136:2	89:15 90:16 91:15	180:16 192:4
192:18 193:21,22	74:13 75:1,16	halogens 117:22	103:22 107:20	helped 32:24
194:9	76:5 77:17 78:21	hand 32:10 49:22	115:11 118:21,21	helps 148:4 189:19
Gordon 58:1	78:22 79:2,10	56:9 69:5 70:20	123:7 130:17	hidden 14:6 78:4
GP 39:21	80:8,13 89:17	100:4 123:14	hazards 6:20 14:22	high 25:18 30:25
gradual 68:23	106:25 107:8	125:3 152:6	15:25 17:21,24	33:8 35:17,20
gradually 25:16	108:8 109:22	173:18	18:2 19:8,10	37:21 38:4 48:22
30:14 48:1 102:8	118:11,14 136:14	handrail 80:14	21:11 27:9,15	51:10 60:1,24
185:25 187:12	142:15 188:14	89:19 90:2	34:7,14 47:6,13	61:4,6,10 66:5
gram 134:21	189:6 194:16,17	hangar 47:3	52:10 54:2 71:10	70:8 89:13 98:23
grams 133:12	194:18	hanging 176:19	108:8 109:22	100:8,9 103:9
graph 29:7 34:22	Grenfell-specific	happen 10:4 22:25	119:7	104:16 105:7,8
34:23 68:10 70:3	45:5	23:5,8 33:16 42:5	haze 91:23	109:12 115:22
70:15 81:22 89:5	grew 65:1	51:3 66:19 70:2	hazy 87:13	116:5 117:22
graphic 173:19	grey 10:17 60:15	75:22 76:3 127:1	head 62:12	124:8 125:4,6
grateful 192:13	86:16 101:25	163:21 165:8	headed 8:3 86:7	126:5 150:14
gratitude 193:14	grilles 171:11	167:5 170:18	133:25	166:14,21,23
great 9:7 43:24,24	group 60:1 78:17	happened 14:19	health 6:23	170:23 186:13
76:12 83:12	81:19 82:2 85:24	15:14 21:19 26:25	hear 1:5 11:10 14:1	188:23 189:11
greater 16:16 17:5	86:2,18 101:22	30:15 39:10,19 47:15 63:15 69:2	63:5	higher 20:17 38:10
20:19 64:21	105:13	84:5 91:5 92:19	heard 13:5 15:4,10	64:7,8,17 91:18
118:24 168:5,15	groups 82:1	92:21 93:19 96:15	79:4 97:9	101:9 156:2
180:1 184:12	growing 25:21 96:3	96:20 134:25	hearing 1:4 8:1	highlight 8:14 9:17
greatly 54:17	154:5	152:3 159:3	10:10 13:12,16	highly 10:25 48:13
green 35:13 58:6	growth 155:16,16	134.3 137.3	19:22 194:11	56:3 64:22 93:20

	1	1	1	
hold 28:5	63:15 109:4 125:7	immediately 34:11	incident 13:2 19:11	160:7 164:17
holding 89:19	125:21 136:2	47:25 52:17 87:7	19:13 21:19 22:4	individual 8:22 9:7
holes 93:9 176:18	166:1,2,5,17	92:22 112:3	22:15 23:22 24:4	13:16 14:10 15:9
home 18:18 26:15	167:3 183:18,22	115:21 129:10	26:20 29:2,24	21:10 22:19 27:21
27:1 58:9	184:3,17 186:19	impact 1:23 146:8	33:20 36:5 40:18	41:4 43:4 75:18
hope 14:16,20 73:1	187:14,15,16	166:6,9 169:25	43:3,8 73:15,18	104:11,18 107:15
98:4	192:2	170:11	87:4 150:22	113:6 115:16
hoping 72:5 131:20	hypoxia 11:15	impairment 35:1	160:25 194:17	155:12 168:2
horizontal 170:13	hypoxic 31:25	impinging 146:12	incidents 14:24	individually 107:18
hose 101:1		148:5 163:23	23:10 42:4,14	125:24
hospital 20:1 32:5	I	imply 171:2	44:20 45:6 55:9	inefficient 63:10,11
32:6,11 39:9,10	idea 78:6 164:7	importance 44:7	55:16 56:7 71:2	124:7
39:15,19,20,21	ideal 26:7 80:1	important 4:3,13	71:16	inert 109:5
40:1,7 60:6	ideally 17:22 19:10	10:6 11:18 12:19	include 156:16,25	inference 123:16
104:19,20	identical 22:2,10	20:8,21 22:8	included 5:17 6:1,3	inferno 50:23
hot 29:11 31:2 37:7	28:3	29:12 34:8 36:25	7:13 28:4	infill 110:6
49:2,4,11 50:20	identified 104:11	37:8,9 41:2 45:1	includes 188:2	infiltration 153:13
61:20,21,22,22	109:23 115:16	45:20 54:25 55:1	including 1:19 3:13	169:14
62:11 64:9 65:24	174:10 181:24	61:24 62:20 64:11	3:23 40:17 65:21	inflammation 52:5
65:25 66:3,11	identify 42:2 71:5	64:24 75:9 82:7	69:16 86:2 107:16	influence 24:23
hotter 65:20	173:3	86:9 88:10 91:10	135:23 153:17	27:8 189:8
hour 25:13 31:15	identifying 173:8	95:23 97:5 108:3	161:21 183:3	information 13:4
31:22,22 39:6	175:1	123:11 134:23	increase 38:18	14:24 15:6 19:15
45:25 57:13 68:24	ignite 22:11 47:15	135:4,9,11,12	63:11 155:4	21:6,8,10 22:17
69:7 70:14,16,19	66:1,6 92:10	157:2 160:11	186:25	24:5 26:8 42:8,12
72:4 77:22 99:22	160:17	importantly 15:4	increased 167:3,20	42:17 44:18 69:20
103:4 106:8	ignited 66:10	60:18 109:17	increases 48:19	69:21 73:20 90:10
153:12 187:8	158:23	impossible 104:3	increasing 16:7	114:13 131:19,20
hours 28:22 32:8	igniting 17:18	impression 98:7	25:16 68:22 88:2	131:22 132:7,18
52:3,3,3 92:12	66:13	in-depth 19:14	88:22 98:21 154:8	147:3 156:22
108:1 154:11	ignition 16:2	21:17 79:5	161:7,8	183:15 185:23
185:21	158:17	incapacitate 18:10	Indicates 16:19	186:17
house 42:20 47:2,3	ignore 86:6	incapacitated	17:4 31:14,17	informing 9:9
47:10 48:8,12	illustrated 16:18	36:16 105:3	32:16,21 49:15,17	ingress 1:19 119:18
50:9 67:14,17	17:3	125:15 184:10,14	52:15 59:5 60:21	inhalation 5:12,14
68:3,13,18 131:1	illustrates 67:6	188:17	61:16 65:18 83:20	45:8,11 61:1
houses 42:5	illustration 112:7	incapacitating	94:2 95:6,10 99:3	105:7
human 5:25 6:4	image 35:22 70:4	40:21 184:11,20	104:17 110:23,24	inhale 11:4,11,12
7:11 14:14 22:19	94:23 104:8	incapacitation 3:23	111:2 117:20	20:5 38:17 61:6
23:9 61:23	180:13 182:8	5:20 37:2 44:22	148:8 180:19,20	inhaled 18:6 20:18
hundreds 42:6	images 1:18 13:6	57:23,24 70:17	180:23	32:1,10 52:1,6
115:12	imagine 55:21	71:4 125:8 184:8	indicating 128:9	108:11
Huntingdon 5:13	167:7	inch 143:23	indication 172:13	inhales 20:14
hurry 173:17	immediate 9:23	inches 143:23	indicative 107:11	inhaling 3:7 38:15
hydrogen 35:21	11:4,6 34:8,12	incidence 61:4	117:12 137:19	45:15 51:10

18:14 112:10 118:3 112:10 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 11 120:15 119:4 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:4 121:11,12 118:3 119:4,11 120:15 119:5 120:11					
initial 144:22 intend 4:10 intended 8:20 87:8 investigations 14:25 21:5 investigations 14:25 21:5 invite 8:7 invite 8:7 invite 8:7 invite 8:11 invite 8:7 invite 8:11 invite 8:12 invite	inharantly 22:11	integrity 1/16:2/	42:11 75:16	issua 45·1 102·13	141:20 142:2 5
intended 8:20 87:8 107:11 14:25 21:25 152:19 155:14,15 157:80 164:16 157:70 174:18 178:16 178:18 165:72 174:18 178:18 178:19	_				-
initially 8:14-17:15 47:17 62:22 63:20 47:17 62:22 63:20 148:5 191:9 141:2 142:25 148:5 191:9 1injured 20:2 1injured 20:2 1injured 3:15:3 193:1 193:2 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1					
47:17 62:22 63:20 filteraction 81:18 finites 8:7 finites 8:1 finites 8:7 finites 8:7 finites 8:7 finites 8:1 f			S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Interaction 81:18 invites 87:20 involve 8.2 19:17 interes 94:13 113:12 involve 8.2 19:17 involve 95:17 interes 94:13 113:12 involve 95:17 interes 94:13 113:12 involve 95:17 interes 95:17 interes 10:24 19:21 18:21 10:28 18:58 19:7 77:10 19:11 16:36 interior 33:17,25 19:10 16:36 interior 33:17,25 13:32 13:33 13:34					
141:2 142:25 interactions 19:15 involve 8:2 19:17 19:23 65:11 94:41 13:23 Items 94:13 113:12 Items 94:13 113:12 13:23 Items 94:13 113:12					,
148:5 191:9					
injured 20:2 interested 16:22 131:12 128:21 150:8 18:5,8 197-77:10 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 193:1 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 193:10,18 195:17 191:10 163:6 112:22 133:8 14:6 68:8 92:1 93:24 95:12 193:24 95:12 105:16 112:6 108:20 156:4 179:25 181:11 108:20 156:4 179:25 181:11 118:14,18 148:9 179:4 180:17,24 158:17 193:5,14 95:7 107:16 110:1,24 115:18 113:11 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 12:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:14 12:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:14 12:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:14 12:11,12 116:24 118:25 117:14 116:24 118:24 179:14 115:8 179:14 115:8 179:14 115:8 179:14 115:8 179:14 115:8 179:19 144:99,111 161:21 115:8 179:19 144:99,111 161:21 116:24 19:21 21:9,17 191:22 100:14 100:5 100:16 100:16 100:16 100:35 10:22 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:25 100:35 10:22 100:35 10:25 100:35					
input 135:13 interested 16:22 18:5,8 19:7 77:10 193:1 193:10,18 183:14 inquiry's 15:6 interesting 50:17 191:10 163:6 interesting 50:17 191:10 163:6 interior 33:17,25 138:14 119:4,11 120:15 134 pan 54:4 153:5,6 159:5 138:14 interior 33:17,25 122:6 126:5 128:9 108:20 156:4 179:25 181:11 international 6:12 instructed 4:4 7:9 international 6:12 instructed 4:4 7:9 instructed 4:4 7:9 international 6:12 interviews 19:19 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9;17 19:122 19:122 Interviews 19:19 Investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9;17 Investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9;17 Investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9;17 Investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9;17 Investigation 14:14 19:22 21:19;17 Investigation 14:14 19:22 21:19;1					
185,8 19:7 77:10 180:20 involved 27:7 28:22 35:14 129:20 130:7 178:21 183:14 112:10 118:3 112			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
193:1 194:19:19:10:18:3 129:13 182:17 129:13 182:18 129:13 183:14	_				
19:17 15:3 19:13 18:17 18:14 19:10 18:3 18:14 19:10 18:3 19:11 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 16:36 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10 19:10 16:36 19:10	_			85:14	
12:10 18:13 12:10 18:3 18:14 11:210 18:3 11:21	193:1	95:15 115:17	involved 27:7 28:22		141:22 150:7
inquiry's 1:6 inserts 141:16 inside 9:13 12:22 13:8 14:6 68:8 92:1 93:24 95:12 105:16 112:6 112:6 126:5 128:9 128:9,10 143:13 128:9,10 143:13 128:9,10 143:13 13:8 148:9 129:13 181:1,4,13 169:11 instances 130:9 Institution 7:16 6:19 7:2 internationally 7:10 91:50 16:22 internationally 17:11 19:5 17:16 110:1,24 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 116:24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:15 175:16 110:12,24 118:25 119:16:24 118:25 119:11 120:15 119:14 121:11,22 119:15 178:16 180:22 110:18 10:12 115:10 122:25 1100:13 10:17 120:15 121:25 122:16 120:2 130:11 121:25 122:16 13instances 130:9 176:10 177:10 179:10 16:36 179:25 181:11 174:7 175:3 180:14 175:15 115:9 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:22 186:2 180:18 18:10:22 180:18 18:	inquiry 3:1 5:3	129:13 182:17	46:23 47:7 107:18		178:21
Sinserts 14 :16	95:17 193:10,18	183:14	112:10 118:3		kitchens 92:16
1	inquiry's 1:6	interesting 50:17	119:4,11 120:15	_	knew 50:23 101:7
inside 9:13 12:22 13:8 14:6 68:8 92:1 93:24 95:12 105:16 112:6 179:25 181:11 110:126:122:6 126:5 128:9 128:9,10 143:13 143:14,18 148:9 154:7 163:15 169:11 10stances 130:9 Institution 7:16 instructed 4:4 7:9 insulation 41:23 63:20 64:16 92:11 93:5,14 95:7 107:16 110:1,24 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 114:12,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 115:8 17:9 19:12 115:9 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 18:25 110:14 117:13 110:15 18:14 117:17,8,15 20:3 34:19 39:17,24 112:19:19:1 110:16:12 110:16:12 110:16:12 110:16:10 110:14 111:17:13:5,21 110:18 17:10 110:18 18:22 110:18 110:10 111:17:13:5,21 110:18 110:12 110:18 17:10 110:18 110:12 110:18 17:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:22 115:9 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18 18:10 110:18	inserts 141:16			_	knot 84:20 86:11
13:8 14:6 68:8 92:1 93:24 95:12 108:20 156:4 160:16 179:25 181:11 179:25 18:25 1	inside 9:13 12:22			Jin 54:4,8 89:22	
92:1 93:24 95:12 108:20 156:4 179:25 181:11 179:25 18:10 19:25 189:25 18:25 189:25 18:25 189:25 18:25 189:25		,		Jin's 83:14	
105:16 112:6 179:25 181:11 internal 33:23 174:11,24 175:8 126:12 129:1 130:2 154:8 169:11 international 6:12 internat			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	job 157:25	5 5
122:6 126:5 128:9 128:9,10 143:13 174:11,24 175:8 179:4 180:17,24 130:2 154:7 163:15 169:11 161:21 179:14 181:1,4,13 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 154:8 160:18 179:14 130:2 159:8 130:2 130:8				joined 5:22	,
128:9,10 143:13					,
143:14,18 148:9 179:4 180:17,24 181:1,4,13 160:18 179:14 180:17,24 181:1,4,13 160:18 179:14 180:18 179:14 180:18 179:14 180:19 17:2 181:1,4,13 160:18 179:14 180:29 181:14,13 180:22 186:20 183:20 183:20 183:20 183:23 183:24 183:25					
154:7 163:15 169:11 international 6:12 6:19 7:2 internationally 7:10 19:55,14 95:7 107:16 110:14,24 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 141:2,8,9 142:13 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intext 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 19:12 19:22 19	· ·	,			
international 6:12 6:19 7:2 international 6:12 6:19 7:2 internationally 7:10 interpretation 26:22 186:2 interrupt 76:7 127:20 intervals 30:1 intervals 30:1 11:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 141:2,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intex (65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 intervals 30:1 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 investigate 27:13 19:22 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 investigate 20:10 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 investigate 20:10 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 investigate 20:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 investigate 20:10	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		K	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
insofar 166:11 instances 130:9 Institution 7:16 interpretation 26:22 186:2 interrupt 76:7 127:20 interviews 19:19 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 44:12,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intex (65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 161:21 149:21 21:9,17 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 19:22 10:10 10:14 10:16				Kebede 90:25	
instances 130:9 Institution 7:16 instructed 4:4 7:9 insulation 41:23 63:20 64:16 92:11 93:5,14 95:7 107:16 110:1,24 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 141:2,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 Interval on the remationally 7:10 internationally 7:10 119:15 178:16 180:22 ironically 99:17 irrespective 127:1 irritancy 54:21 55:16 191:11 irritant 11:1,23,24 32:10 34:10 45:12 48:25 50:22 52:6 52:17 54:18 71:10 100:3,5 108:2 123:21 125:7 136:4 140:22 190:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 Intervals 30:1 115:9 key 5:5 13:1 kill 18:10 52:20 killed 61:14 184:22 kilogram 12:13 108:18 kilograms 12:14 67:15 108:14,17 108:23 114:14 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 144:3 145:21 144:3 145:21 149:6 156:23 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 18:10 34:10 45:12 172:15,20 173:11 172:15,20 173:11 185:12 189:19 192:4 115:10 122:25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kill 18:10 52:20 killed 61:14 184:22 166:6 171:7 172:4 172:15,20 173:11 172:15,20 173:11 172:15,20 173:11 185:12 189:19 108:18 109:14 18:10 52:20 106:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,22 160:20,2 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 160:20,2 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,22 162:22 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 16:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:20,2 160:2				keep 103:18 107:2	
Institution 7:16			<u> </u>	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
instructed 4:4 7:9 interpretation 26:22 186:2 ironically 99:17 irrespective 127:1 127:20 intervals 30:1 88:16 104:5 119:14 121:11,12 40:13 144:10,14 149:3 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intext 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 19:21 21:9,17 intervals 30:1 180:22 ironically 99:17 irrespective 127:1 irritancy 54:21 55:16 191:11 irritant 11:1,23,24 illos 113:10 122:25 ironically 99:17 irritancy 54:21 108:18 kilograms 12:14 67:15 108:14,17 108:23 114:14 67:15 108:14,17 108:23 114:14 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 12:23:1 25:7 65:17 78:13 81:19 19:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 114 108:5 100:20,22 162:22 160:20,22 162:22 killed 61:14 184:22 kilogram 12:13 108:18 kilograms 12:14 67:15 108:14,17 108:23 114:14 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 123:1,13,14,25 123:1,13,14,25 123:1,13,14,25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1					
instructed 4.4 7.9 insulation 41:23					
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
108:20 04:16 92:11 127:20 127:20 108:18 174:7 177:3,8 174:7 177:3,8 174:7 177:3,8 174:7 177:3,8 180:14 183:11 188:16 104:5 108:23 114:14 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 132:21 125:7 136:4 140:22 136:4 14					
107:16 110:1,24 111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 40:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 175:14 115:8 17:9 19:14 19:12 11:9 14 16:21 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 19:24 174:7 177:3,8		_	1		*
111:11,19 114:15 116:24 118:25 119:14 121:11,12 141:2,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 111:11,19 114:15 188:16 104:5 interviews 19:19 40:13 inverted 48:2 investigate 27:13 28:19 81:8 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 133:10 191:11 irritant 11:1,23,24 32:10 34:10 45:12 48:25 50:22 52:6 52:17 54:18 71:10 100:3,5 108:2 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5	•		_		The state of the s
111.11,19 114.13 38.10 104.3 interviews 19:19 40:13 40:13 40:13 44:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intext 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 108:23 114:14 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12	*			<u> </u>	
110:24 118:23 119:14 121:11,12 141:2,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 110:14 121:11,12 40:13 48:25 50:22 52:6 52:17 54:18 71:10 100:3,5 108:2 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:10 122:25 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5	111:11,19 114:15			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	185:12 189:19
141:2,8,9 142:13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 140:13 inverted 48:2 investigate 27:13 28:19 81:8 investigated 42:6 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 148:23 30:22 32:0 52:17 54:18 71:10 100:3,5 108:2 123:1,13,14,25 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5	116:24 118:25	interviews 19:19	32:10 34:10 45:12		192:4
141.2,8,9 142.13 144:10,14 149:3 150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 130:15 132:2,24 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 15:1,5,12 kilos 115:1,5,12 kilos 115:	119:14 121:11,12	40:13	48:25 50:22 52:6		knowledge 4:19,20
150:9 157:12,17 162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 Investigate 27:13 28:19 81:8 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 Investigate 27:13 28:19 81:8 123:21 125:7 136:4 140:22 190:25 190:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 kilos 115:1,5,12 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 100:8 130:6 10	141:2,8,9 142:13	inverted 48:2	52:17 54:18 71:10	· · · · · ·	7:18 113:16
162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 125:19 81:8 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 125:21 125:7 136:4 140:22 190:25 190:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 kind 16:18 19:21 21:14 52:10 55:9 65:17 78:13 81:19 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 100:8 130:6 10	144:10,14 149:3	investigate 27:13	100:3,5 108:2		139:14
162:24 163:3 164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 investigated 42:6 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 19:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 83:25 99:1 101:6 101:14 108:5 101:14 108:5 100:8 130:6 10	150:9 157:12,17	28:19 81:8	123:21 125:7		knowledgeable
164:15 168:4 175:14 intact 65:7 94:19 149:9,11 161:21 investigating 19:10 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 190:25 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 investigating 19:10 irritants 10:12 23:1 25:8 51:6 191:15 191:22 known 50:12 Labelled 20:10		investigated 42:6	136:4 140:22		O
175:14 investigation 14:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 15:8 17:9 19:14 19:21 21:9,17 19:22 19:22 101:6 100:8 130:6 10 100:8 130:6 100					
intact 65:7 94:19		0 0			
149:9,11 161:21		S			L
100.0 120.6 10				101:14 108:5	labelled 20:10
- 165·10 - 77·15-76·X 40·17 1 ISO 15X·17 159·1 1 - 107·0 130·0;17 1 1aboratory 14·13	165:10	22:15 26:8 40:12	ISO 158:12 159:1	109:8 130:6,19	laboratory 14:13
103.10 22.13 20.8 40.12 130 138.12 139.1 139:12 140:4	105.10	22.13 20.0 70.12	150 150.12 157.1	139:12 140:4	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				raye 211
lack 21:6 80:21	140:17 144:6	67:13,17 185:2	34:2 54:1 60:25	189:17 191:5
ladies 60:5	146:4,15 147:14	level 20:19 34:15	81:20 85:11 87:1	location 55:24 95:5
lady 32:3,21 87:19	153:9 163:11	34:25 36:12 48:5	101:9 114:23	151:6
landing 48:7,17	167:7	78:14 118:22	116:11,16 122:20	locations 3:21 26:3
49:7,21 51:3	lead 149:12,13	145:4 148:8	125:20 144:5	69:23 70:25 71:11
52:13 67:19 68:11	leading 15:24 83:19	163:23,24 186:3	146:10	108:12 137:25
69:4 70:19 85:20	178:22	186:24 187:2,18	living 20:6	141:14 143:6
170:25	leads 179:3	levels 23:23 31:13	load 71:22 113:8,15	locks 137:9
landings 78:8	leakage 98:9	38:10,11,24 60:17	113:24 114:8	logic 164:18
Lane 62:5 73:20	102:21 155:19	60:25 61:4,8 89:3	115:9 154:9	logically 129:3
93:18 110:11	leaking 25:14 30:16	105:19 156:2	loaded 73:2	London 60:10,12
119:19 171:7	119:9	185:9 186:13,25	loaf 165:5	119:25
182:19	leaps 99:4	187:16	lobbies 12:25 30:16	long 10:1 16:11
Lane's 94:2 112:7	leave 2:9,10 36:3	Li 87:5	44:2,14 53:17	17:25 25:16 30:13
120:6 141:20	46:2 68:17 87:9	life 50:12	69:17 75:24 82:10	36:15 38:16 39:6
147:4 172:8	101:11 102:24	life-threatening	82:14 83:3,7 88:1	41:6 46:24 57:17
174:16	106:2 190:2,8	60:16	89:3,16,25 90:13	72:2 77:15,20,23
laptop 62:23	leaving 88:14	lift 170:20,22	90:15,17 92:7	77:24 79:9 87:23
large 41:3 55:13	165:17 173:17	light 45:6 62:5	97:7,14,15 98:5,9	90:5 100:10 117:1
58:2 97:7 109:14	lectern 12:14	111:3	100:2,9 108:2	123:19 155:5
110:9,17 114:6,16	lecture 90:24	lights 175:18	127:13,15 153:14	181:6 187:19
114:18 115:8	lectured 6:10	limit 102:10	153:14 154:2,3	longer 20:16 66:20
135:12 139:24	left 28:12 33:17	limitations 15:1	168:12,13 170:8	149:14 164:3
143:23 155:22	48:12 58:1 59:4	limited 111:13	171:22 173:7,9	177:21,24
181:21	82:4 85:15 97:6	139:21	174:1 175:2,6,21	look 17:12 20:5
large-scale 22:9	97:12,23 98:3,12	limiting 37:1	189:18 191:10	22:18 23:21 29:13
139:18,20,22	99:13 103:15	limits 166:19	lobby 25:3,4,15	30:19 46:4 59:20
larger 169:18	111:21 124:23	line 34:20,21 35:13	49:24 56:4,11	72:18 96:16 98:11
largest 115:4	150:15 153:7	35:15,16,25 36:2	74:19,21,22 80:2	98:24 104:11
late 179:7	163:14,16 165:12	54:9 55:2 81:23	83:12 85:16 88:4	106:11 121:11
laterally 95:4 150:8	165:16 173:17,20	82:3,4,6,8 89:5	89:2 90:8 92:2,9	128:19,24 131:7
layer 47:21 48:1	173:22 174:4	160:24	97:21 98:1,20	133:24 136:6
61:20 63:5,7,9	180:19 182:12	lined 158:12 159:1	99:11 100:11,12	138:4 139:23
65:24,25 66:12	183:7	lines 40:7 52:14	100:15 101:3,23	140:25 147:7
74:23 110:25	left-hand 34:22	68:8 82:7 101:5	100:13 101:3,23	151:9,24 159:19
121:17 164:24	56:23 68:5	lining 74:1	102:12,17,18,20	165:4,22,25
layers 32:22 111:1	legal 7:9 26:17	linings 158:15	102.23 103.9,11	167:23 168:6
121:15 140:14	legislation 27:3	list 134:17,18,18	107:21,25 119:8	171:7 172:14,17
164:19,20	lesser 30:18 32:14	156:12	123:16 124:25	171.7 172.14,17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	let's 23:19 33:16	listed 4:6 81:1		
layout 77:4 LDPE 114:17,19	50:1 77:18 129:5	193:7	126:6,8 154:16,18	177:3 180:23
115:7 116:20			154:19 169:11,14	181:4 182:23
115:7 116:20	148:3,18 159:17 162:24	listened 43:10	169:15,21 170:15	190:1,7 looked 76:14 87:14
	lethal 36:12 37:14	lists 193:5	170:16 171:16,19	
123:14,15,21		lit 174:21	173:23 179:14	120:16 129:12 132:11 133:11
125:17 140:12,15	38:6,8,9 40:6	little 6:3 29:1,7	188:22,23 189:10	134.11 133.11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Page 212

				1490 212
162:5 164:16	192:10	making 11:5 13:10	135:12 136:24	151:19 152:1,13
173:14,24	lots 10:24 56:6	43:5 73:10 141:7	145:11,11 149:8	153:25 154:4,22
looking 21:2 24:12	62:23 110:10	143:15 162:15	156:20 157:3	156:9,17,21
24:14 46:25 47:6	120:5	191:4	161:14 164:1	158:14 166:7
49:17 52:14 55:9	lounge 33:15 60:24	man 50:18 176:14	165:13,16,18	167:19 194:18
56:19 90:20 95:1	68:2,14,15 94:1	manner 124:8	166:16	matter 22:2 56:2
95:16 97:14	130:7 178:22	margin 16:17	masses 115:13	118:2 142:21
107:22 114:4,13	louvres 172:19	marked 128:13	136:23	187:21 190:16
121:1 138:4	low 57:17 61:3	marker 185:16	match 17:11	192:25
142:18 145:1	64:21 70:9 91:16	marks 95:9	material 9:8 10:21	matters 3:5,17 4:5
150:24 162:7	103:5,14 104:17	MARTIN 1:3,25	28:21,22 44:25	5:3 145:16 190:22
172:19 179:16	121:10 123:9	2:7,13,15,19	63:24 64:7,7	mattresses 184:23
181:16,16	125:18 135:7	45:21,24 46:6,11	67:15 73:7 74:10	maximum 79:20
*		, ,		
looks 35:19 91:13	140:21	46:14,18 71:20,24	108:7,18,24 109:2 109:4,6 110:9	MDF 113:20 116:9 mean 9:18 10:15
95:11 165:5	lower 32:17 35:10	72:7,13,21 73:6	,	mean 9:18 10:15 49:9 74:15 87:12
lose 185:20	35:22 39:5 40:9	73:10,13 76:11,16	111:5 112:18,22	
loses 146:24 loss 108:22 111:16	62:13 87:2 105:19	76:20,24 77:1,6 105:22,25 106:4,8	114:25 118:14	129:11 142:10
	111:12 172:14	, , ,	119:22 120:22	145:4,19 146:11
123:3 145:10	174:3 180:25	106:13,18,21,23	125:17 130:15,20	147:24 150:3
lost 67:25 141:25	186:15 187:2	107:5 126:15	134:22 135:16	151:7 153:22
165:13 185:23	lowers 152:1	137:2,12 142:23	136:18,21 139:1,4	155:7 157:1,18
186:1 187:12	lowest 115:22	143:20,25 147:8	139:11 142:5	158:6 159:12
lot 1:25 6:1 8:25	LSBS0000001	147:10 148:1,17	146:14 152:7	160:22 161:20
9:5,8 10:10 11:10	175:23	149:22 150:1	156:12 157:14	162:11 163:10
12:7 13:3 14:1,5	Luke 128:12	151:11,15,20	158:15 163:1	165:22 175:1
14:12,23 15:4,5	Lukic 101:21	152:9,12,16,22	165:23 167:15	179:5 181:8
15:21 19:6 20:9	lunch 72:6,14	153:1 154:24	materials 3:10,25	185:13 187:4
21:3,5 24:5,25	106:5	158:4,9 159:7,11	11:1 12:10 14:9	means 6:21 7:5
26:8,10 28:20,22	lung 5:18 52:5,7	159:14,17,25	15:16 28:1,3	37:12 73:23 74:3
29:25 35:14 42:7	<u>M</u>	160:2 179:16,22	40:18 41:23,23	74:7 75:3 77:3
53:8,16 55:8 61:3		189:20 190:5,9,15	42:19 65:9 66:5	80:17 115:23
63:14 75:4 77:25	main 9:11,11,21	190:18 192:9,15	66:14 93:22,22	124:7 136:20
81:18 84:1,2,7	10:24 12:17 22:24	192:21,23 193:12	94:4 96:6 106:24	137:9 166:18
85:1 87:2,10,12	24:15 58:25 59:2	193:21,24 194:1,4	107:7,15,17	167:9 171:17
89:24 94:17 96:12	59:7 92:8 93:2	194:6	110:21 111:7,16	meant 29:21
97:24 99:14 102:1	97:18 109:23	Maryland 55:5,7,7	113:1,17,18	142:17 143:7
114:24 120:9	111:7 116:5	mass 108:13,22	115:13,16,22	measure 20:25
124:10 125:7,12	123:20 128:2	110:17 112:23,25	117:19 118:10,11	21:12,14,22 23:14
125:13,20 128:23	131:22 135:17	113:12,22,23	118:25 119:7,12	37:6 57:2 132:16
129:25 132:13,25	141:14 153:25	114:19 116:15	119:16 120:12	138:24 139:5
154:12 156:3	157:22 168:10	118:24 119:24	121:25 126:2,10	145:23 185:14,15
161:4 163:11	170:7 173:9	120:12,14 121:19	130:10 132:17	measured 18:22
171:1 173:22	175:10 191:9	122:3,24 123:3	134:1,13,14,18	21:1 30:21 39:8
174:2 181:15	major 28:7 110:14	124:11 131:24	136:13,13 137:8	48:15 68:2,4
184:24,24 188:24	majority 80:22	132:23 133:18	137:23 139:23	133:3 134:10,19
L	-	=	=-	-

138:7 185:9	83:22	153:15,19 184:10	106:4,8,13,18,21	multiplied 56:21
186:17	metres' 55:13	mixtures 22:20	106:23 107:5	multiply 57:1,15
measurement	middle 40:3 60:6	Mm 154:24 164:16	126:15 137:2,12	133:17
26:21 39:14 40:20	migrating 156:4	Mm-hm 77:6	142:23 143:20,25	Multiplying 57:5
measurements	migration 171:3,18	mode 58:17	147:8,10 148:1,17	57:13
71:14	182:18	model 23:6 120:2	149:22 150:1	
measures 24:1	millimetres 111:6	147:1 173:2	151:11,15,20	N
102:9	114:17 133:9	models 22:22 186:5	152:9,12,16,22	N 194:13
measuring 22:6	million 56:24 57:12	moment 15:9,23	153:1 154:24	Nadia 177:12
mechanism 158:2	57:19	18:20 46:14 117:7	158:4,9 159:7,11	name 2:23 59:11
mechanisms 5:19	mind 9:9 15:18	120:19 129:11	159:14,17,25	names 173:18
183:2	108:6 126:3	133:19 141:18	160:2 179:16,22	178:3
Medal 7:17	153:19	147:1 148:3 149:8	189:20 190:5,9,15	Naomi 87:5
Medical 6:24	minimal 24:21	149:23 160:12	190:18 192:9,15	narrow 80:14
Mekonnen's 85:13	minimally 10:1	170:6 183:13	192:21,23 193:12	national 6:12 7:1
melt 146:15	24:24	Monday 194:1,2,8	193:21,24 194:1,4	nature 1:22 73:8
melting 148:9	minor 110:14	194:11	194:6	navigable 90:14
melts 140:13	153:10 156:15	monoxide 12:15	morning 1:3,8 2:21	near 66:3 70:16
member 5:8 6:18	minute 15:3 22:23	20:14,14,15,17,18	2:22 53:16 72:11	86:20 92:23
6:23	31:11 36:19,20,21	21:12 23:14,16	73:4 107:4 109:18	122:16 150:7
membrane 162:2	57:15 79:23	31:1 32:1 35:16	116:25 118:19	162:12
memory 133:4	120:18	36:11 38:13,15,25	119:17 128:1,5,6	nearer 180:7
men 58:11	minutes 10:4 19:1	39:12 45:17 48:18	143:4 178:5	nearly 31:21 58:20
mention 44:7	19:4 28:16 31:5	51:22 56:16,18	183:20 184:19	69:10 83:1 105:8
166:10 178:17	32:15 35:6,25	58:4,8 59:1,14	188:17 191:15	necessarily 57:24
mentioned 41:7	36:2,8,13 38:3	61:7,11 63:12	194:8	62:14 99:9 137:5
46:22 67:2,12	48:9 49:19 56:25	103:4 105:13	motivated 56:3	156:23 167:13
77:5 93:8,15	57:2,4,22 62:2	108:17 116:1,2	mouth 191:6,13	183:9
100:7 127:5 164:7	66:22 67:8,20	117:23 123:8	move 11:21 16:24	necessary 4:7 8:24
170:22 176:21,21	70:21 79:16 80:3	125:5,19,21,22	17:1 24:20 25:10	98:10 132:15
178:2 185:3	80:16 85:18 88:11	135:23 166:15,22	36:7,21 78:7,10	need 12:9,16,21
191:15	88:15 103:12,16	183:19,23 184:4	78:18 79:8 106:2	13:3,9,15 16:17
mentioning 178:24	123:20 124:13,16	184:11,18,25	149:18 183:17	17:23 23:8 27:18
Meron 85:13	125:9,14 150:24	185:3,14 186:20	moved 12:22 56:7	34:19 39:17 67:14
met 85:23 132:5	154:1 159:17	186:23,24 187:2	62:16 95:4 110:16	72:14 75:7 84:1
method 23:6 138:8	missed 76:16	191:21	127:19 163:13	85:10 115:14
138:25 191:21	188:12	months 10:2	180:19	119:21 130:15
methods 22:21	missing 170:19	MOORE-BICK	movement 54:6	134:21 154:25
23:11 71:4	mission 77:11	1:3,25 2:7,13,15	79:2	174:13 176:4
metre 54:10 78:6	mix 167:2	2:19 45:21,24	moves 160:25	187:23 188:10
78:15,20,24	mixed 49:5 115:18	46:6,11,14,18	moving 36:4 75:22	190:7 192:25 needed 112:17
108:23 123:14	116:13 136:14	71:20,24 72:7,13	78:16 86:3 92:3	131:24 133:9
132:2	mixes 48:7 91:12	72:21 73:6,10,13	123:22 127:10	needs 19:11 181:15
metres 36:21 54:12	mixing 167:11,18	76:11,16,20,24	163:8 175:8	190:16
54:17,22 70:12	mixture 45:18	77:1,6 105:22,25	180:21	170.10
-				

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
neighbours 91:2	139:21,22 170:4	occasions 2:5	46:21 73:16 82:24	operators 193:6
neither 188:18	171:12 174:13,21	occupant 24:16	106:12,22 132:4	opposed 59:12
Nelson 58:2	176:8	108:11	138:11 166:23	opposite 102:19
neon 175:17	numbers 37:25	occupants 3:19	170:13 190:8,17	optical 54:20,20
nervous 5:18	39:2,7 88:19	8:22 9:3 13:11	old 58:10 79:13	191:10
net 134:10	104:16 107:10	15:9 16:9 17:24	once 12:21 13:17	oral 9:1 43:6,22
neurological 51:22	118:11 122:18	19:17 22:5 24:5,9	17:19 38:12 46:2	order 5:19 12:3
neurophysiology	175:5 179:5	24:18 26:13 27:22	54:11 55:12 63:8	13:3 17:21 19:9
5:7	182:21	41:9 42:3,16 43:1	65:25 66:4 74:21	19:14 34:2,18
never 31:24 37:12	numerical 44:12	43:5,8,16 49:23	79:1 94:15 110:16	39:17,25 43:3
37:15,17 51:20,24		53:8,13 67:20	143:4 154:11	67:12 112:16
150:8 159:4,5	0	68:25 69:15 71:7	155:17 163:13	119:10 131:24
newspapers 66:13	o'clock 72:8 77:18	73:15 75:25 80:9	179:23 185:24	138:24 153:6
nice 62:23 169:3	88:15,17 89:4,5,7	80:15,22 89:17	one- 113:1	ordinary 27:6 68:3
night 27:22 28:4	89:11,15,18 98:16	95:19 96:7 97:6	one-bedroom 169:5	68:13 175:17
30:9 77:17 133:19	103:8 104:6 106:6	97:12 100:14	169:7,15	187:7
170:2 171:6 173:2	106:14 127:12,22	102:6,9 103:8,22	onerous 83:23	organic 11:1 48:25
nitrogen 63:14	128:17 154:18	104:4 120:5	ones 31:20 32:14	109:7 191:15
109:4 113:25	160:20 179:23	129:14 153:8,11	60:7 80:10 88:16	organised 99:1
116:4,5,6,11,16	181:7 188:25	194:17	107:4 110:14	origin 61:2 127:16
123:10 136:1,12	194:8	occupants' 18:15	open 25:3 30:4,8,9	original 27:25 28:1
noises 81:2	o'clockish 175:7	occur 18:13	31:18 32:15 33:18	40:19 163:14
noisy 191:3	objective 9:11	occurred 3:21	33:19 35:7 37:10	189:3
nominal 80:1	104:9	15:13 18:14 22:3	38:2 48:3,5,8,14	originally 178:3
nominally 79:15	objects 28:6	26:14 54:19 56:25	48:15 50:3 53:14	ought 3:13 84:18
non-irritant 54:9	observations	62:15 64:15 66:15	56:10 65:15 67:2	outcome 103:12
normal 87:14	161:24,25 181:18	140:16,18 148:23	67:21 68:6,17	172:21 189:5
normally 16:19	observe 154:7	occurrence 52:2	91:18 93:6 96:21	outer 121:17
185:1	162:21	occurring 3:20 8:6	97:13,13,19,25	140:14,16
north 175:12	observed 13:11	8:11 26:11 71:6	98:20 100:25	outline 128:8
180:21	66:25 158:8	105:7 142:2	103:18 116:21	outlined 131:6
nose 191:12	164:21 177:25	194:15	117:5 119:8	outlines 95:10
note 4:3 43:24	obtain 9:12 19:14	occurs 34:16 63:24	129:24 140:16	output 158:25
noted 146:4 170:20	obvious 20:4 51:22	65:14 69:18	154:18 171:22	outside 12:22 13:7
notice 18:24 39:4	171:13	oedema 52:5	173:18,22,25	25:20 33:5 53:22
noticed 173:21	obviously 12:19	offer 191:6	174:4,6 178:11	56:1 63:19,22
notified 193:11	50:6 62:18 82:12	Office 26:16	182:12 183:7	65:4 74:8 91:11
November 1:1 3:2	97:19 102:20	Officer 6:24	opened 50:13 69:4	91:25 92:11,22
number 6:11,18	108:9 120:8	officers 32:24	83:19 87:8	93:11 95:7 96:18
7:6 19:11 26:2	130:19 133:22	80:12 193:6	opening 83:8 89:2	107:19 110:1
57:6 60:16 67:12	142:18 152:2	oh 70:12 76:10	openings 93:7	113:1 114:15
81:22 86:18 92:6	160:22 162:20	84:22 134:3,5	169:3	119:15,22,25
93:8,11 94:11	163:15 172:5	161:15 179:17	operating 179:24	120:10 121:9
97:7 98:25 108:23	191:10 194:7	184:5 188:4	operation 101:19	122:24 126:10
123:6 133:17	occasional 66:14	okay 12:2 21:15	172:7	128:3 143:13

Page 215

	_	_	_	_
144:8 146:20	paid 177:19	participants 193:4	pathology 9:22	44:13 45:14 50:12
151:19 153:13	pain 37:16,16,17	particles 10:12,19	19:23 37:19,23	50:16 51:21 52:10
161:6 162:3	62:3 103:24	10:20 11:3,22,24	pattern 41:19	53:24 54:9 55:8
163:22 168:4,9,11	191:12,12	191:14,16	58:24 83:25 96:23	55:10,14 56:7
168:16 169:9,22	painful 11:4 45:18	particular 18:25	98:24	58:3,7,15,16,19
173:6 178:14,16	50:20	29:24 43:23 45:9	Pause 46:17,20	58:23 59:5,13,21
187:7 188:16	panel 15:24 122:1	50:14 52:25 60:12	76:22	59:24 60:18,20,21
outstanding 7:18	146:17	65:15 100:19	paying 177:23	61:1,3,5 62:13,16
oven 165:6	panelling 133:8	107:22 108:14	PE 110:22 123:10	65:5 69:12 72:14
overall 52:23	159:2	110:18 137:24	124:3 132:11	75:3,8 76:19
113:14 116:14	panels 110:2,3,6	146:3 151:3 159:6	143:11 146:25	78:13 79:8,20,25
117:7 193:17	111:10 112:19	161:6,19 175:10	147:23 148:9	80:6,10,20 81:3
overcome 24:6 45:3	116:20 132:3	177:23 179:5	peak 29:10 33:8	81:18,23 82:10,15
67:22 104:14	144:14	186:3,8	pedigree 136:21	82:25 83:1,8,12
105:17	paper 140:1	particularly 7:3	penetrate 75:23	84:3,6,7,13,16,21
overpressure 30:3	papers 184:22	11:14 12:24 13:10	96:9	84:23 85:1,9,24
overtake 80:11	paragraph 127:4	20:7 21:7 32:12	penetrated 44:2	86:11,19,24 87:10
85:7	132:22 134:6	36:24 41:10 47:12	75:18 76:2 94:17	87:11,13,17 88:2
oxidation 166:19	135:3 140:11,25	73:22 75:19 84:13	151:2 159:5	88:16,19,25 89:9
oxides 135:23	148:19 153:3	86:23 91:14 94:14	penetrates 92:15	89:11,19,23,24
oxygen 11:13 39:10	155:1,2 167:24	94:20 111:22	96:1	90:4,5,9 91:1,19
48:11,21 51:16	168:6,18 172:25	118:20 120:23	penetrating 102:13	91:20 93:11 94:11
64:5,10 104:20	175:25 177:8,11	121:16 124:22	penetration 41:21	94:14 95:23 96:9
109:4 134:11,20	179:5,17 181:23	126:11 127:11	75:21 93:3 96:18	96:14,17,24 97:16
134:21 187:3,9	paragraphs 131:9	138:16 141:21,24	119:18 122:10	97:23 98:2 99:6
oxygen-depleted	131:10 140:8	185:20 188:25	128:20 150:25	99:13,14,18 100:6
63:9	parallel 15:25 16:8	particulates 109:12	168:1,23 169:10	100:11 101:21
	16:12	115:24 135:22	169:11,14 177:25	102:22 103:2,12
<u>P</u>	pardon 76:10	partly 13:19 57:22	penetrations 91:18	103:17 104:2,12
Pach 59:11	part 1:16 8:1,3,7	74:14 77:15 91:22	92:3 93:17 102:23	104:14,24 105:1
Pach's 59:23	10:3,19 14:4	96:20 98:19,20	170:18	108:4 114:10
pack 78:13	15:13,15 21:15	102:13 169:6	people 1:24 2:8	124:23 129:19,21
packages 109:23	23:15 26:20 43:12	188:11 191:14,17	9:24 10:7 12:4,4,8	129:23,24,25
110:15 131:12	44:17 86:23 93:12	parts 1:15 8:3	13:16 14:19 16:16	130:1 133:21
packing 78:9,19	106:1 108:10	15:10 40:16 56:24	16:21,24,24 17:2	170:22 171:22
padded 28:6	111:12 126:22,23	57:12,19 98:15	17:15,17 18:6	173:14,24,25
page 131:8 133:24	128:13 136:5	109:25 110:4	19:24,25 20:4,7	174:3,7 177:5
134:7 135:2 136:7	137:3,21 156:10	155:18 161:19	20:22 23:3 24:25	178:5,7,9,10,12
140:6,7 147:4	165:13 170:25	165:25	30:7,11,17 31:7	178:24 181:18
148:18 160:5	176:6 180:1	pass 80:8	31:12,14,17,21	183:7 184:22
167:23 172:24	193:17	passage 170:1,12	32:11,16 33:19,22	185:1 186:4
174:17 175:23	partial 93:10 137:7	passing 63:18	33:23 35:8 36:6	188:21 189:5
176:13 177:3	166:21	patently 120:4	37:1,3 38:6,10	191:8,22
180:10	partially 97:3	Pathological 9:25	39:2,11,12,22	people's 18:4
pages 133:23	167:17 189:15	Pathologists 5:9	40:3,5,8 41:15	129:25

percentage 160:10	177:10 187:1	24:23	164:25 175:4	65:6 66:11,15
162:25,25	191:4 193:16	physiology 9:23	179:25	71:21 75:9 81:6
percentages 136:11	personality 51:23	pick 5:5 46:18	placing 45:9	82:8,12,13 86:10
136:16,17,21,23	personally 22:22	126:23 132:22	plan 29:13 43:12	87:8,14,17,19
perch 2:17	162:4	picked 80:25	193:11	88:9,15,24 91:22
perfect 21:25	persons 9:8 77:17	156:19	planning 12:18	92:10 94:15 95:2
performance 3:25	78:5,15,19,20,22	picking 154:25	183:13	101:19 105:21,22
15:15 42:17	79:23 88:6 105:16	picture 13:13 14:4	plans 133:3 165:22	122:7,14 125:11
106:24 107:7	Petra 88:9	14:18 35:10 94:2	play 129:10 156:11	126:24 127:5,10
194:18	phase 1:13 3:1,4	146:11 175:10	played 188:13	130:14 137:5,18
performance-bas	4:5,11,15 8:19,23	pictures 60:18 91:8	playing 128:16	138:21 143:20
15:20	14:21 43:12 44:17	128:24 163:20	please 2:23 46:1,7	146:23 156:11
performed 97:22	79:4 95:16 98:11	piece 157:1 167:1	72:16,21 106:9,14	157:25 159:9
159:6	104:8 114:3	175:19 180:8	131:8,9 133:23	163:6 180:9
performing 171:6	132:20 133:22	pieces 165:5 174:13	140:7,8 147:5,6	193:10
perimeter 133:6,7	145:20 169:24	pin 181:19	148:19 159:18,21	pointing 79:5
period 10:1 16:6	172:22 182:14	pink 32:16 39:2	160:5 167:23	points 3:12 5:5
17:1,6 18:25 31:4	188:1,21	pipe 63:23 64:7,8	172:24 174:17	9:17 14:20 64:12
31:15 32:3 34:15	PhD 5:6	102:22 143:3	175:23 176:13	77:5 137:18 153:2
39:6 41:6 64:15	phenomena 54:19	pipes 171:1	177:3 189:25	164:7 185:8
68:24 80:4 83:8	145:23 179:11	PIR 63:19,20	190:11 193:1,2	poisoned 51:21
83:20 87:25 88:11	phenomenon	110:24 111:18,21	pleased 63:5	poisoning 58:4,5
88:13,14,17 89:4	166:10 167:22	114:14,18 115:7	plenty 79:1,7	59:14 60:2 61:12
103:4,15 108:1,5	176:22	116:4,24 117:4,9	plotted 81:22	poisonous 65:13
121:24 147:24	phosphorus 109:5	119:14 121:11,12	plough 13:24	police 28:2 43:21
149:15,15 151:7	photo 163:13	121:15,18,20	ploughing 76:12	policy 75:2,3
164:3 173:5 174:6	photograph 111:24	123:22,23,25	plume 64:10 66:3	Polish 59:10 60:8
175:2,21 179:3,11	121:12 128:12	124:6 125:19	91:6,11 92:17	polyethylene 121:4
179:17 181:5,7	174:18 180:12	141:1,8,9,15,21	93:5 98:20 118:19	135:7 147:18
187:7	photographic	142:1,10,13,19	163:21,22 174:1	167:7
periods 28:23	112:4	143:2 144:10	plus 184:13	polyisocyanurate
permanent 11:8,9	photographs 1:20	149:3,16,19 151:4	plywood 115:19	109:9 135:8
51:19	27:17 28:9 44:15	153:9 157:12,17	116:9	polymer 109:8
person 9:13 13:20	49:16 92:18 128:2	157:18,20,23	pm 72:25 106:15	118:12
13:23 14:10 19:2	162:7	158:7,13,22 159:1	106:17 159:22,24	polymeric 134:12
20:14,16 23:4,16	phrase 172:3	159:5 161:14,18	190:12,14 194:10	polymers 135:5,9
32:17 35:1,12,23	physical 13:19	162:10,12,16,24	point 4:13 8:19	polystyrene 109:9
36:2,9 37:13	54:24 80:14	163:3,12,16	10:14 13:25 17:13	109:10 110:7
38:22 49:25 51:2	physically 79:2	164:10,14,22	18:19 22:7 24:20	111:2 125:1,20
52:25 61:8 70:18	183:4	165:10	25:20 27:23 28:13	153:10
74:18 78:23 79:17	physiological 3:8	pitch 17:17	35:3 38:19,21	polyurethane
82:23 88:8,18	10:3,5,8,11 11:6	place 9:25 67:25	44:24 45:11,16,21	115:20 116:7
100:18 105:9	13:19 22:19,21	74:8 77:12 99:20	51:8,9,16 52:8	population 58:15
130:3,6 170:24	53:10 71:3 102:2	149:14 182:16	54:16 55:19 60:7	78:6 80:2
173:20 176:10	physiologically	places 141:9 142:21	60:13 61:24 62:20	position 102:7

possibility 45:7	34:23 36:8 37:22	prevents 166:18	125:4 166:14	160:6 172:18
possible 3:25 42:2	predictions 35:22	previous 2:4 14:13	producing 126:4	176:5,25 180:4,10
42:15,21 62:1	44:5	14:24 42:13 44:20	155:23	186:9 190:15,20
71:5,9 80:11	predictive 140:4	45:6 71:15 88:12	product 123:1	192:6,9 194:14
84:23 93:2 106:24	predominant 127:6	90:24 134:7	125:16 145:25	professor's 1:22
107:7,12 138:1	prefer 2:16	Primary 182:4	190:24 191:1	182:9
139:15 151:8	preliminary 2:25	principle 15:21	production 1:20	profound 55:17
163:3 186:18	3:17 4:10 15:5	16:18	3:5,18 8:4	progress 54:7,24
194:18	45:4 114:12	prison 184:23	Productions 8:9	89:18 90:3 94:21
possibly 31:5 35:2	131:21 156:19	prisons 184:23	194:14	150:22 155:10
36:21 41:10 60:16	prepared 1:16	probability 59:14	products 5:18,20	191:9
64:15 93:25 100:1	preparing 101:7	117:1	6:6 10:6 12:11,13	progressing 52:19
102:13 103:10	presence 53:3	probably 17:11	30:14 41:22 47:23	progression 153:23
109:5 160:20	167:20 189:4	77:2 84:16 85:3	63:2,11 64:3,5,9	179:8
181:15	present 3:10 4:1	97:17 113:20	64:17,22 108:9,16	project 138:15
post-mortem	106:25 107:7	143:22 157:8	108:19,23 109:15	projects 7:6
186:14	144:19 145:19	179:12 188:24	109:21 116:19	prolong 149:15
potent 183:23	194:18	problem 10:25 17:3	119:23 120:13	prolongs 152:2,24
184:4	presentation 1:12	104:21 186:15	121:23 122:4	prompts 127:21
potential 108:3	1:15 2:3,6 8:2,8,9	problems 89:22	134:13 136:25	prone 32:11
112:16 119:6	12:17 15:10 46:15	162:1	137:23,24 138:6	propagate 74:1
136:4 169:25	71:19,23 72:3,9	procedures 39:25	138:12 141:23	properly 183:10
170:11 172:1	73:2,8,14,16 91:9	proceeded 151:11	142:1 154:13,20	properties 139:11
179:25	94:24 106:1,24	process 63:10	155:4 167:2,9	proportion 41:21
potentially 73:6	107:4 126:13,23	82:13 98:6 127:6	professional 5:1	55:13 98:22
117:9 118:21	127:11 128:13	131:5 146:9	professor 1:9,10,16	113:23 119:23,23
119:8	130:22 131:6	148:14 175:3	2:12,14,15,21	150:10 151:16,18
pouring 143:12	135:20 137:21	179:24	7:25 8:12 45:23	152:23,24 153:20
powerful 20:8	140:20 150:17	processes 16:1	46:5,11,13,15,19	154:16
23:11 38:23	161:13 181:3	140:16	54:4,8 55:5,6 58:1	proportions 137:2
ppm 31:1,2 48:18	194:14,16,18	procurator 26:16	71:23 72:4,20	137:7 153:16
57:2,4,14	presentations 6:15	27:12	73:1,11,12,16	186:21
practical 139:15	9:10	produce 12:12	76:8,10,12,17,18	proposition 174:9
practice 78:12 80:5	presented 6:14	115:24,25 121:10	76:21,25 77:3,7	protected 29:22
80:20 146:1	presenting 107:11	123:15 125:5,6,8	79:3 83:14 89:22	74:24 78:8 169:5
preceded 155:15	156:15	125:18 138:16	91:8 92:12,17	protection 31:18
precedes 179:14	preserved 75:13	157:22 185:18	94:24 105:24	32:23 74:24 191:7
precisely 39:17	preserves 149:12	produced 30:10	106:2,7,12,18,20	protective 79:11
predict 14:10 22:24	press 148:16	35:15 107:12,20	106:22 107:1,6	158:2
23:24 32:15 52:23	pressure 43:11	108:18 118:9,15	110:11,20 111:15	protects 157:23
70:1	Presumably 137:2	136:17 140:5	112:13,14,20	provide 4:4 22:16
predicted 18:14	pretty 20:4 111:17	142:2 156:1 166:5	126:19 128:25	provided 2:25 4:21
36:12 37:13 52:18	111:23 185:25	184:24	131:10 134:7	4:22 132:5,6
126:11 152:3	prevented 97:16	produces 10:23	140:10 146:4	provides 24:1
predicting 23:8	preventing 95:24	109:12 117:22	147:11 159:14,25	82:13

. 1. 44.2.21	102.11	107.10	140 14 151 4	00.22
providing 44:3,21	192:11	187:10	149:14 151:4	98:22
provisional 4:15,18	putting 138:25	quietly 38:15	raise 190:16	reached 20:19
provisions 74:6	puzzled 146:10	quite 1:25 13:18	ran 173:16	34:24,25 85:23
75:1 P C 152 0	PVC 94:4 111:5	14:17 15:5 20:9	range 30:6 40:2,4,9	168:11 188:8
PS 153:9	112:6,9,15 114:22	23:11 26:10 27:10	58:14 104:16,18	reaches 93:4
psychology 6:4	115:1,8,23 117:17	28:10,14 37:11	105:7 117:21	168:19
publications 6:15	117:18,20,22	40:5 41:2 45:12	118:1 138:18	reaching 92:13
published 1:14	122:5,9 125:3,20	46:23 50:17 55:13	139:9	142:12
140:1 186:6 193:9	133:8,15 153:10	57:19 58:10,14	ranges 138:1	reacted 14:11
pulled 130:4	166:5,12,12,23	61:2 63:25 66:23	181:24	reaction 50:6
186:11	167:2,11,18,20	67:10 78:17 80:4	rapid 25:23 69:17	reactions 166:19
pure 116:9	Q	80:13 82:7 84:7	96:23 184:17	read 81:1,13 85:8
purely 57:8 107:11	qualitative 14:2	85:1 88:10 89:9	rapidly 17:7,16,19	85:12,19 90:21
161:24 162:21	107:14	89:12 90:3,11	25:21 33:7 37:14	96:11 129:13
purest 10:21	quantify 156:23	96:10 98:9,10	68:9,19,22 89:18	170:5 171:9,15
purlboard 156:12	167:21	99:12 105:1 110:9	90:3,11 92:13	177:2 179:2 193:8
157:5	quantitative	111:2,6,20 114:15	94:21 99:7,12	194:5
purple 105:5	153:23	114:18,20 115:8	126:4 163:8	reading 104:23
purpose 9:11 22:24	quantity 152:13	116:5 120:11	178:13	130:2
24:7 158:13	quarter 122:15	123:8 124:8,10	rare 185:1	ready 73:11 106:3
169:24 188:1	quasi-standard	125:3,12 126:1	Rasbash 7:17	106:18 159:25
purposes 117:12	139:9	128:19,23 129:16	rate 17:4 48:20	real 23:9,22 45:15
120:19 121:2,8,19	queried 114:22	129:19,25 130:11	59:18,19 79:14,20	50:11 55:16
122:7 135:1 Program 1:10:10:2:12	question 85:9	138:1 140:23	82:20 108:13	realise 16:9 27:19
Purser 1:10,10 2:12	107:14 120:2	142:17,23 146:21	rates 145:10 155:3	realised 81:4,13
2:14,24 8:12	127:21 129:2	148:1 156:22	ratio 64:12,18,19	90:25
45:23 46:5,13,15	137:15 138:9	157:1,2,3,24	64:20,21 69:25	realising 96:25
46:19 71:23 72:4	143:8 146:10	158:23 166:14	109:19 138:14,23	realistic 139:24
72:20 73:12,16	148:4 150:5	167:21 169:4	146:1	reality 10:23 40:3
76:10,12,18,21,25	155:21 156:6	172:15 173:14,19	ratios 139:3	62:15 121:6
77:3,7 105:24	160:11 163:6	174:2 180:3 183:3	Rawat 1:7,8 2:2,11	really 10:7 11:20
106:2,7,12,20,22	170:10,14 171:4	187:19 190:5	2:20,21 7:25 73:1	12:21 14:2 43:13
107:1,6 147:11	170:10,14 171.4	R	73:8 76:7,14	56:16 67:14 86:22 89:12 94:12 99:4
190:20 194:14 Purser's 73:1 76:8	questions 43:9	radiating 66:12	126:16,17,18 137:15 144:5	
	66:18 97:21 107:1	radiating 60:12		107:13 117:24
push 72:13 put 53:18,20 73:20	126:17 155:19	65:25	147:11 148:18 150:16 153:2	128:18 131:17 141:19 142:8
83:11 89:5 100:18	159:12 188:9	radical 166:18		141.19 142.8
102:6 107:2	189:22 194:19	raging 50:23	154:25 159:8,10 159:12 160:3,4	158:3 175:22
	quicker 67:4	rainscreen 63:21		
115:19 117:14 131:19 138:19	quickly 16:21	110:1 111:17	180:8 188:7 190:18,19 192:5	181:3,15 183:3 184:19 188:4
144:4 150:17	32:18 35:18 57:15	119:13 121:1	190.18,19 192.3	reason 38:12 39:7
153:6 156:8 159:1	59:18,25 63:7	132:8 141:4	192.23,24 193.20 193:23,25 194:2,5	60:2 77:10 84:9
174:9 178:20	65:13 67:23 96:10	142:14,15,25	193.23,23 194.2,3	87:3
174.9 178.20 179:6,10 184:10	97:4,25 98:10	143:22 148:20	reach 36:12 88:4	reasonable 24:1
179.0,10 104.10	27.1, 20 20.10	115.22 115.20	1 CACH 30.14 00.4	1 Casunavie 24. 1

				rage 219
90:15 100:23	reference 8:21	33:21 37:9 149:20	8:20,23 9:16	31:16,23,23 32:19
102:5 133:14	138:10	158:4,6	14:21 34:18 67:11	39:3 51:1,16
149:24	referred 177:11	relief 191:22	80:9 93:19 94:2	59:13 60:5 104:18
reasonably 47:18	referring 127:25	relieved 101:23	98:25 100:11	research 5:13,14,17
56:9 78:18 85:17	refers 26:3	reluctant 86:24	104:9 110:20	5:22,24 6:3 26:18
89:7 90:13 116:23	reflected 15:20		111:15 112:7,13	55:4
reasons 26:6 86:18		relying 13:4 remain 24:19 25:9	111.13 112.7,13	
	reflects 76:24			researcher 59:11
183:21	refuge 33:12,15,23	38:16 50:8 65:11	115:15 127:4	resident 177:7
reassure 107:3	33:24 50:25 66:20	75:4 94:19 137:3	131:7,18 133:23	residents 26:15
recall 181:20	66:23 68:21 69:1	remained 85:20	134:2 135:17	85:25 194:3
receive 37:14	84:11 85:3 87:20	99:21 108:4	137:22 140:6	residents' 27:6
received 39:1	97:10 99:19	149:11	147:4 148:18	resins 116:10
reckon 72:8	114:10 153:11	remaining 97:25	153:2 156:10	resist 74:20
reclining 28:6	154:17	137:7	160:5 161:16	resolved 117:9
recollect 178:12	regard 31:10 42:9	remains 19:19	166:8 167:23	respect 88:10
recommendations	116:4 168:1	41:15 44:15 99:5	169:24 172:3,8,22	169:13
3:11	regarding 8:21	149:14	174:16 176:6,7	respirable 10:18
reconstruction	25:12 27:3	remember 38:5	177:22,24 180:5	respirator 79:12
18:17,20 21:18	regime 149:18	62:5 73:22 85:23	180:10 181:23	responded 144:23
23:13,25 24:3	175:8	87:11 93:17	182:9 183:25	response 145:2
26:19,24 27:5	region 62:10	119:17 130:1,13	185:8 187:22	rest 32:11 66:19
40:16 41:5 46:24	Regulations 73:21	132:10 145:6	188:1 190:21	88:17
record 2:23 21:24	74:12 76:25	173:18	reported 71:12	restricted 75:6
60:14 193:8,14	rehearse 5:4	remind 73:20 76:19	80:11 90:4 93:10	restrictive 79:12
recorded 39:23,24	reiterated 137:19	110:19 111:9	94:11 103:24	result 30:24 63:1
81:15	related 71:15	reminded 127:8	104:22 168:9	140:21 151:21
records 39:20,21	relates 136:13	remote 66:1 153:12	reporting 91:19	163:4
39:21	relating 6:19	remove 53:1 183:1	reports 44:16 96:14	resulted 26:14 27:2
recounts 100:22	relation 8:24 49:3	187:14	100:3 110:12	results 11:15 139:8
recover 51:20,24	64:19 138:23	removed 162:19	131:23	140:3
recovered 31:24	149:5 179:2 180:9	165:24	represent 80:1	resume 106:6 194:7
32:2,4,18 41:15	relationship 57:7	renovation 171:1	150:19	retardant 109:14
99:5	relative 85:20 86:2	repeat 2:4 22:9	representing	retire 33:12
recovery 32:20	114:5	73:3	121:18 139:10	retired 27:12
39:13 51:17	relatively 10:21	repeatedly 95:19	represents 67:15	review 45:5 145:17
recreation 24:3	32:2 56:5 65:12	replaced 27:6	79:15 122:22	188:2
red 31:17 35:15	83:6 86:12 88:25	54:18	147:14	reviewed 157:15
37:25 58:22 81:23	99:10 157:10	replicate 27:18,24	reprise 8:13,19	reviewing 9:3
82:8 147:12	relatives 45:20	29:5	required 184:12	revised 15:24
reduce 11:12 98:4	released 12:15	replicated 29:14	requirement 74:11	revisit 53:21
152:13,23 164:4	166:17	replication 22:1	requirements 27:3	Reynobond 110:2
187:4	relevance 62:4	40:19	73:21 75:15	132:8
reduced 54:17	63:18 135:18	report 1:14 3:1,5	requires 102:1	ridiculous 120:9
reducing 152:17	relevant 3:14 5:3	4:4,9,10,11,15,17	rescue 39:16	rig 19:2 23:17
refer 148:20	26:11 27:10 33:3	4:21,22 5:2 8:2,19	rescued 23:18,19	26:21 27:17,24
10101 140.20	20.11 27.10 33.3	7.41,44 3.4 0.4,19	1 CSCUEU 23.10,17	20.21 21.11,24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

28:10 29:14 47:9	66:15,18 67:9	190:5	schedule 193:3	105:6,12,19
67:2,2 145:22	68:9,21 70:14	safely 74:9 80:16		111:15,20 112:1
right 3:16 4:11,14	72:17 78:20 79:8	83:23 88:3,21	Scotland 26:17 27:3	111:13,20 112:1
5:9,25 6:16 7:16	94:16 108:25	100:16	Scottish 26:16	116:11 123:19,22
7:21 21:22 29:13		safer 187:16	scout 158:19	124:8 128:8
	128:11 158:12,12			
34:12 46:7,11,21	158:16 159:1,4	safety 6:11,19,20	screaming 87:15	129:16 130:12
52:15 55:21 62:25	189:25 193:6	7:23 15:21 16:17	screen 160:4 193:1	131:12 133:25
66:9 69:10 72:1	rooms 27:7,22	74:8,19 102:5	seals 111:6 170:19	147:7,8,11 154:12
72:15,19,21 73:11	29:16,22 30:5,11	sample 39:18,23	seat 126:19 148:17	162:8,9,11 163:9
81:4,12 88:12	30:15 31:7,14	104:21 136:18	second 10:14 28:9	163:15 173:6,8
90:12 91:4 104:8	33:21 35:9 59:25	185:22,24	51:5 54:10,17	174:18,21 175:11
105:20,25 106:7	68:12 103:3	samples 23:21 32:6	73:1,16 101:13	175:16,18 176:10
106:13,18 107:1	rose 92:19	39:8 44:9 132:16	108:15 128:13	180:6,18 181:11
115:11 126:20	Rosepark 7:13	185:25 186:10,12	136:1 172:16	181:13 184:19
136:22 137:4	18:17 21:22 23:14	186:13	173:4 174:10	188:10 189:4
141:13 142:11,17	26:6,11 27:18	sauna 49:10	175:19 179:4,18	seeing 88:25 105:19
143:3 147:8,9	28:24 29:2 33:20	saw 62:7 87:10	181:7,13	112:1 163:7
149:8 150:5,23	35:4 40:11,19	101:4 129:21,22	secondly 3:9,22	170:22 175:12
152:22 153:7	42:10 46:22 49:3	158:18,18 163:6	5:11 18:5 25:2	seen 94:14 120:25
155:17 158:25	51:19 59:24,25	171:10 173:25	26:9 52:22 93:14	121:16 145:7
159:7,20,21	68:20 103:3	176:11 178:7	109:7 110:4	163:20 171:14
162:15 164:11,23	rough 184:15	sawed 158:22 165:3	seconds 10:4 51:5	seep 144:8
166:12 167:6	roughly 45:24	saying 23:3 62:11	53:2,6 66:18	sees 13:20
170:13 175:6	56:20 57:5 58:24	77:7 84:22,23	79:14 82:20,21	segments 143:24,25
179:16,18,20	78:24 166:16	89:6 121:7 126:24	section 177:24	selected 134:17
183:22 188:3,20	round 103:20 175:7	128:17 142:3,9	see 9:11 10:17,22	self-closer 183:2
189:20 190:4,8,10	189:2	152:12 153:23	11:5 17:14 23:23	self-closers 97:22
190:11 193:13	route 75:13 97:13	164:1 173:16	27:8 28:10 29:8	self-extinguish
194:6	97:20	175:21	33:1 34:9 35:13	48:10 164:11
right-hand 18:16	routes 92:6 93:2,19	says 21:22	37:11 38:3,10	self-extinguished
49:18 56:19 59:10	102:24 119:18	scaffolding 162:4	40:9 47:18 49:19	28:15
68:5	170:17 171:12	scale 52:15 139:7	49:20,21 51:4	semi-conscious
rigs 67:1	routinely 21:1	scavenger 166:18	53:2,15 54:6,12	32:3
rising 37:11	185:9	scenario 24:25	54:13,22 56:10,23	semi-detached 47:3
risked 191:2	row 115:18 122:22	33:19 53:7 73:14	58:24 59:18 60:1	senior 193:5
role 128:16 129:10	123:23 124:3	90:23 103:1	60:3,21 66:13,16	sense 49:10 154:23
188:13	rows 123:22 124:3	118:17 126:7	67:7,8,10,19 68:9	sensitivity 58:15
room 19:3 32:21,25	Royal 5:8	194:16	68:18,21 69:5	separate 24:13
33:6,12,12 34:1	running 17:17	scenarios 3:19 8:5	70:12,14,20,23	sequence 18:13
35:12 36:2 46:2	87:15 148:9 153:6	8:10 15:13 24:16	72:11 73:6 76:11	92:16
47:9,17,19,24		25:24 26:2,10,12	76:13 78:21 82:11	series 47:5 158:11
48:3,4 49:4,20	S	30:7 42:3 46:25	83:22 86:16 87:18	serious 19:5 39:3
60:19,20,22,22	sadly 17:17 32:7	62:17,19 69:13	90:3 91:12 94:24	60:15 81:5,10
61:2 62:22,24	safe 16:15 17:2	71:6 96:3 194:15	95:9 96:16 100:4	82:14 94:12,16,22
63:7 64:23 65:8	63:4 83:4 89:7	scene 40:13	101:24 104:4,16	95:12 97:1 98:17
			ĺ	
	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	ı

128:9,10	short-term 25:23	118:20	143:20,25 147:8	183:20 188:12
service 31:16 32:5	shorter 2:2 72:10	sides 53:9 147:23	147:10 148:1,17	sliding 130:7
50:9 186:10	107:4	151:5	149:22 150:1	slight 91:23
services 7:22	shortly 177:1	sigmoid 138:22	151:11,15,20	slightly 82:22 121:6
set 3:12,16 18:16	shot 66:10	significance 157:5	152:9,12,16,22	131:17 133:14
21:17 22:8 28:9	shots 181:2,17	157:23 161:9	153:1 154:24	192:3
29:18,19 30:17	should've 127:9	186:2	158:4,9 159:7,11	slow 25:16 30:13
42:2 62:22 69:21	172:9	significant 34:25	159:14,17,25	31:15 68:23 69:17
71:5 81:15 110:11	shouted 86:1,6	53:4 83:3 92:25	160:2 179:16,22	89:23 102:11
131:18 135:18	show 14:4 18:18	97:7 98:8 103:6	189:20 190:5,9,15	103:7 169:14
137:20 151:3,8	26:8 34:19,20	104:25 105:2	190:18,19 192:9	slower 80:6,10
156:10 158:19	52:12 70:3 128:4	115:8 124:6 125:4	192:15,21,23,24	169:6
164:24 173:1	128:19 138:21	135:16 156:20	193:12,21,24	slowly 25:14 37:12
187:22	147:4 163:20	157:20 160:24	194:1,4,6	45:19 69:8 78:17
set-up 22:10 26:20	174:13 175:19	165:12 185:5	sit 126:20	103:3
sets 5:2 30:19	180:8 181:3	188:19	sitting 193:24	small 9:17 16:4
setting 1:13 47:7	showed 121:11	sills 110:8 122:8	194:1,2	35:19 65:12 67:11
131:11 156:8	128:5,5,12 162:9	133:4	situation 16:21	88:19 112:10
settle 145:20	163:13 179:6	similar 3:6,20 8:5	19:7 25:5,19	114:6,20 122:3,13
severe 51:18	181:2	8:10 23:23 31:19	40:25 42:9 49:25	125:10 135:15
severely 62:14	showing 22:16 77:4	33:8,19 42:4 65:8	53:8 65:8,14,18	157:1,3,10 160:9
shaft 74:25 170:20	91:9 93:19 112:7	69:16 96:2 118:13	66:24 68:1 69:3,8	small-scale 139:8
171:13	shown 2:9 13:6,7	124:2 194:15	75:11 86:21 90:12	139:25
shafts 155:20	29:4 30:7 31:17	similarities 183:18	97:1 99:24 126:4	smaller 110:10
172:18	47:8 69:13 92:17	Similarly 41:14	127:18,25 143:2	141:16 169:18
sharp 179:10	112:5 181:23	simple 30:23 82:19	146:3 148:5	smelling 81:2 91:19
she'll 46:4 72:18	shows 28:9 29:7	90:22	155:12 160:19	smoke 1:19 8:4,9
106:11 159:19	35:5,22,24 36:17	simplifying 55:12	168:25 169:12	10:11,12,15,16,17
190:1	37:23 49:18 52:16	simultaneous 78:2	186:22 189:3,4	10:19 11:3,7,8,19
sheets 146:13	53:23 58:14 59:16	80:21	situations 65:1	11:22,22 12:1,2
shelter 187:1	67:18,20 68:20	simultaneously	69:16 142:7	12:21,25 13:17,20
sheltered 67:25	69:1 82:25 94:3	16:1 77:19	six 81:24,25 82:1	13:22,24 18:3,3
shift 59:4 143:9	96:13 98:25 99:16	single 75:7 80:13	size 47:20	18:22 19:1 20:5
shoes 97:3	104:2 112:14	110:25 168:5	skin 62:3 140:13	20:23 22:6 23:1
short 28:15 29:3,11	115:7 119:6	sir 1:3,25 2:7,11,13	slide 20:10 29:4	24:8,9,22 25:4,7,8
30:11,13 32:3	134:10 180:3	2:15,19 45:21,24	30:23 37:23 52:12	25:11,14,17 29:23
35:5 46:9 71:25	shut 25:9 32:22	46:6,11,14,18	57:25 59:23 60:10	30:4,10,16 32:10
72:24 80:4 103:15	33:22 50:7 56:12	71:20,24 72:7,13	67:18 68:1 71:9	32:13 34:8,9,10
106:16 121:24	68:14,17 97:23	72:21 73:6,10,13	81:12 89:20 95:1	34:12 35:6,14,14
151:7 156:18	98:8 172:11	76:11,16,20,24	178:3	35:25 36:4 41:10
157:8 159:15,23	shutting 183:9	77:1,6 105:22,25	slides 1:16,18 2:5	41:19 42:18,22
164:2 187:21	side 18:16 33:25	106:4,8,13,18,21	11:19 18:19 34:18	43:17 44:1,5 45:3
188:9 189:21	49:18 53:9,10,11	106:23 107:5	67:11 76:8,16	45:8,12 47:22,23
190:13 192:25	75:19 91:7 92:13	126:15,18 137:2	138:21 156:8	48:1,5,6 49:5 50:1
short-lived 29:9	92:20 94:1 99:2,3	137:12 142:23	162:9 180:3	50:4 52:1,6,17

				1490 222
53:15,16 54:3,6,9	155:4,19,24,24,25	171:9 178:20	78:9 79:1 147:22	stage 13:1 80:23
54:10,11,18,18	156:3 167:11	185:16 191:1	148:10	81:5 84:3 92:8
55:11,15,15,23	168:1,8,10 169:10	somebody's 114:22	spaces 12:23 48:14	93:21 96:24
56:1,2,8,11 60:2	169:10,13,14,18	somewhat 30:18	156:4	100:23 102:15
61:1,11,21,22	169:21,21,25	31:19 39:4 101:18	spandrel 93:16	118:18 120:24
63:9,13 67:22	170:1,5,7,11,12	107:3 117:2 124:7	121:18 163:23	121:21 122:9,11
68:1,2,7,19 69:11	170:13,15,22,24	137:10 150:12	spandrels 110:3	122:12,17 129:20
69:17,17,22,25	171:5,10,16,17,18	169:5 171:4	111:1,22 121:15	130:11 149:17,19
70:5,7,13,15,24	171:19,21 172:2,6	soot 10:20 11:3	141:5,11,15 162:6	173:4 174:10
71:10,17 74:21	172:9,11,17 173:7	20:6	162:13 164:18	178:24 179:4
75:11,13,17,23	173:9,23 174:1	sorry 76:7 123:24	spared 138:21	181:8,13
77:12,13,22 81:2	175:3,6,22 178:5	127:8,20 128:18	speak 9:9	stages 3:21 12:11
82:10,14 83:3,5,7	178:8,11,24	170:10 183:20	speaking 1:17	17:13 41:9 55:25
83:7,10,21 86:13	179:13 182:18	191:3	10:16 16:24 135:4	96:1 126:3 130:2
87:1,13 88:1,20	183:9 185:17	sort 10:10 15:1	specific 8:21 17:22	150:25 163:8
88:22 89:2,8,13	190:23 191:14	19:18 27:20 28:5	137:23 139:3	170:21 173:3
89:17,23 91:1,6,9	194:14	30:23 32:24 33:1	specifically 8:17	176:4 179:9
91:10,11,16,20,20	smoke-filled	33:8 47:20 53:7	14:22 15:14	181:24
92:1,6,8,21,23,24	189:10,10	57:2 62:9 63:22	139:13 143:17	stair 44:3,14 51:13
93:2,6,20,21,24	smoke-filling 96:23	65:3,7 82:13 89:5	156:12	53:19,19 62:6,9
94:7 95:22 96:8	102:12	90:20,23 93:8	specimens 165:3,24	74:25,25 76:1,1,5
96:17 97:7,13,15	smoke-free 25:2	99:2 107:14 108:1	spectrum 33:1	77:9,10,13,14,15
97:20,25 98:1,5,9	56:5,10 74:22	113:8 114:5 122:9	speed 54:6,11,16	77:20,22 78:5,7,8
98:10,17 100:3,5	87:21 88:25 99:11	126:7 138:22	70:3 89:20 98:4	78:21 79:2,8,11
100:6,24 101:1,4 101:14,17,23,23	100:1,16 102:8 smoke-logged 88:5	143:2 147:11 148:8,9,9 150:23	spend 49:9 85:10 spending 27:22	79:17,20,21,22,24 80:3,7,9,10,13
101.14,17,23,23	smoke-logged 88.3 smoke-stained	158:4 160:19	spoken 129:4	82:18,23 83:5,6
102:4,10,13,13,18	172:14	161:22,23 162:2	190:24,25 191:7	83:13,19,19,21,22
103:14,19 104:14	smoke/gases 69:18	165:2 173:2	spread 24:8 41:20	84:8,19,22,24
105:2,8,10,15	smoky 36:1 50:21	175:20 184:9	42:22 44:5 65:10	85:7,16 86:11
107:24 108:2,11	68:12	sorts 15:12 26:11	74:2 92:6 94:8	87:1 88:2,4,7,20
108:15 109:1,12	snapshot 107:22	116:13	99:12,21 150:8	88:22,24 89:1,3,7
115:24 118:8,19	122:14 138:19	sound 36:20	151:9 170:5	89:12,15,21,23
119:13,19 120:6,9	150:24 155:14	source 28:2,7 92:8	171:12	90:1,11,12,13,16
120:15 123:11,16	160:19,23	92:23 94:6 97:18	spreading 92:17	90:17 91:19 92:2
123:21,21 124:10	sofa 66:10	127:6 132:3	94:11	100:10,14,23,25
125:2,8,14,18,19	soffit 48:6	153:14,25 158:17	spreads 16:5	101:1,3,6,13
125:20,20,22	softened 62:8	158:21 168:10	175:15	102:4 103:11,16
126:5,8,9 127:12	somebody 22:25	170:7 173:9 182:4	sprinklers 26:25	104:1,3 105:12
127:15 129:18	33:3,9,11 36:16	182:5 187:15	27:1,4	153:14 171:13,17
130:1 135:10,22	37:10 47:13 49:14	189:16	square 78:15,19	171:18,21 187:6
137:24 140:22,23	52:13 55:22 68:20	sources 42:25	squared 78:6 132:2	189:10,14,14,17
151:12,17,21,22	69:1 70:11 80:8	131:19 153:15,20	stable 38:23 185:15	191:10
152:5,7,17,20	88:23 102:17	south-west 99:19	185:25	staircase 87:7,14
153:8,13 154:3,18	124:12 169:1	space 28:16 63:23	stadium 17:8	stairs 49:17 50:19
	<u> </u>			

50:19,24 53:5	162:6	191:20	118:13	surprised 114:23
85:22,22 86:3,4,8	stated 97:12 98:2	stopped 95:8	succeed 25:12	surround 93:23
86:13 87:9,10	166:8 176:11	142:20 163:16,17	succeeded 104:4	96:6 110:5,7
88:2 191:5	statement 85:13	stops 38:19	successfully 89:9	112:6 117:17
stairwell 80:12	87:5 88:10 100:22	storage 186:1	successive 41:24	118:25 141:17
85:24 86:7,10	168:14,18 172:24	straddling 88:15	sudden 25:17 33:7	166:3
101:24	statements 4:17 9:1	straightforward	suddenly 19:5	surrounds 115:1
stamped 130:5	43:6,21 69:24	185:14	45:16 80:3 88:14	141:6,12
178:20	80:24 81:14 82:9	strategy 117:10	suffer 18:9 37:15	survey 162:3
stand 2:16 17:14	84:21 85:13 98:7	stream 139:1	37:17,18 51:19,23	survival 9:14 18:15
47:18 78:7 147:9	107:10 129:14	146:16	suffered 31:5	59:12,18,19 75:25
standard 15:23	170:6 181:21	stress 45:4	suffering 51:6	survive 10:7 12:5
79:22 139:9	182:24 193:7,9,15	strictly 10:16	62:14	58:19 59:15 66:17
158:12,17,20	193:17	strip 138:25 141:24	sufficient 48:11	66:20,22 125:14
159:4	states 58:2 176:18	strong 45:7	52:2 64:20 80:15	survived 19:25
standards 7:2,3	station 55:4	strongly 126:1	186:24	31:21 32:19 40:6
15:22	statistics 75:5	structural 41:23	suggested 171:10	43:17 59:21 60:8
standing 2:17	stay 13:22 50:7	42:19 65:9 93:22	suggesting 175:7	survives 59:17
17:15 19:3 23:17	53:18,20 56:11	107:17 110:21	suicide 58:11	survivors 19:20
31:3 33:5,9 78:5	83:11 95:20 189:8	126:2,10,25	suit 159:11	21:1,3 27:14 39:9
stands 20:10 116:4	stay-put 75:2,2	146:24 153:25	sum 113:23	40:14 194:3
stark 17:8	stayed 99:24 102:6	154:4 178:16,25	summaries 177:4	suspect 40:2 61:13
start 2:17 15:11	125:15	structure 49:6	summarise 81:11	sworn 2:14 194:14
16:24,25,25 17:20	staying 69:16 85:1	75:22	177:1	symptoms 51:23
46:16 51:9 62:2	Stec 172:18	stuck 103:1	summarised 136:9	71:13
63:14 73:2 89:11	step 49:24	studied 67:3	136:11 176:7	system 5:18 29:21
118:16 126:22	stepped 51:3	studies 19:24 20:3	summarises 177:22	30:3 48:9 76:9
140:14 153:24	stepping 52:13	55:3,20 80:24	summary 71:2 85:5	80:18 142:16
154:11 175:24	steps 51:12 70:19	187:18	181:12	145:1 167:6 170:1
179:23 180:1,19	78:8	study 46:22 58:1	summed 55:2	170:12 172:2
181:11	stereotypical	81:14 158:13	125:25 152:8	187:3
started 28:12 29:3	161:22	182:15 183:14	supplementary	systematic 182:15
29:20 50:18 66:2	stick 11:2	186:8 188:6	176:7	183:14 188:5
81:13 82:17,25	sticking 131:3	studying 43:24	support 62:24	
83:2 84:8 85:21	133:20	82:9	174:23	
86:3 94:4 96:9	sticks 158:19	stuff 10:16 34:3	supported 176:20	table 66:14 98:24
112:9 154:5	stimulates 48:19	133:15 162:14	supports 144:22	118:15 119:6
160:17	sting 49:1 50:5	stunned 87:16	suppose 33:14 50:1	122:19,20,22
starting 14:17	stoichiometric	subject 12:17	77:18 127:25	133:24 134:10,25
86:25 94:9 96:4,5	134:11,20	114:12	supposed 172:10	135:18 136:6,10
124:1 128:18	stood 187:7	subjects 56:17	supposing 23:13	138:4,6,19 150:3
154:19 155:18	stool 2:18	subsequently 43:18	sure 111:24 142:17	150:16 160:4
starts 16:3,4 62:21	stop 38:20 48:12	127:11,15	147:8 176:15	165:25 177:21
148:7 155:11	72:15 105:20	substantial 162:12	182:15 183:5,6,10	take 9:25 10:2 12:6
state 153:7,19	106:5 159:10	substantially	surface 140:16	16:11 22:7 24:20

				raye 224
33:12,15,23 36:14	146:17 168:22	testing 3:13 6:2	96:15 97:5 99:3	144:23 146:6
45:25 53:6 79:9	169:20 189:24	117:10 118:14	112:17 116:17	147:14 149:5
87:20 100:10	taught 55:7	139:11	117:18 119:21	150:10 152:12
106:5 123:24	tea 190:9	tests 47:11 64:24	120:22 128:2,18	157:6,22 159:14
126:19 127:4	technical 34:3,17	69:20 139:10	132:22 136:1	163:7,10 168:20
129:3,5 131:3	76:15	thank 2:7,11,13,15	139:6 146:23	168:21,23 169:1
142:13 144:13,16	techniques 26:9	8:12 46:5,6 71:19	150:3 152:8	170:23 171:1,19
144:18 145:17	televised 17:11	71:20 72:20,21	161:23 172:16	171:22 172:15
148:17 155:1	television 17:10	73:10,13 76:23	176:4,11 186:6	176:3,14 177:17
158:1 162:16,24	tell 22:4 39:1 105:1	106:12,13,14,21	things 6:2 11:20	179:12 180:3,7,15
172:24 176:4	158:11	106:23 107:5	14:7 16:1 17:20	184:21 185:5,13
177:1 182:6,8	telling 61:14 77:2	126:14,15,18,21	19:11,18 20:6	188:8 190:4,8
185:22 187:1	tells 21:3 57:17	153:1 156:7	36:25 39:4 40:1	192:1 193:13
taken 23:16,22	59:5 61:11 70:15	159:13,16,20,21	43:25 52:20 54:16	thinking 11:22,23
32:6 39:18,23	90:8	160:2 169:23	58:10 59:9 75:9	11:24 85:11 87:2
44:18 45:6 47:24	temperature 21:23	179:22 183:16	77:25 79:6 81:1	124:17 126:3
68:14 69:1 77:21	29:7 37:6 49:7,10	188:7 189:20	81:21 84:15 85:7	153:24 160:13
77:21,24 94:25	62:9	190:10,11,15,19	94:13 107:10	161:22 164:17
95:1 104:19	temperatures 66:5	192:5,6,8,9,14,15	116:8,9,10,14	third 12:17 22:18
111:24 115:14	98:23	192:3,0,8,7,14,13	118:21 119:11,19	67:16 72:9 106:3
122:7 134:14	Ten 159:17	193:20,22 194:6,9	125:23 127:18	130:23,24,25
144:11 149:7	tenability 71:8	theoretically 54:22	128:1 130:5	thirdly 3:11,25
162:8 163:25	tend 18:13 64:16	149:15	141:16 142:6	15:4 27:5 109:17
180:4,12,13 193:7	184:13	thermal 64:9 65:24	146:6 152:19	thoroughly 133:21
193:18	tends 17:5 149:12	141:22	155:20 156:3,19	thought 128:4
takes 40:11 77:15	term 37:5 64:18	thermoplastic	156:24 169:21	129:16 156:20
talk 11:19 15:2	108:22 134:20	146:14	170:19 171:23,24	171:10
26:5,6 29:1 31:11	137:19	they'd 32:10 51:20	183:11 187:11	threat 16:6,12
54:1 56:14 62:17	terms 35:20 113:12	77:19 83:17 84:16	think 9:20,20 33:3	three 1:15 3:5,17
62:21 72:16 76:4	123:11 128:16	thick 92:24 100:24	42:8 45:20 46:19	8:3 15:10 18:2
76:18 77:9 87:16	135:10 144:6,10	101:4,23 102:18	53:23 60:12 66:21	23:12 32:16 50:16
100:19 104:7	146:4 156:20	110:8,25 111:1,3	67:4,11 69:10	52:3 76:20,21
106:9 118:16	157:3 161:12	111:6 114:17	70:23 72:3,10	109:23 131:11
120:17 122:20	170:3 184:5 185:5	132:2 133:9	73:5 85:10 87:20	141:9 173:3
126:25 141:13	186:3 190:22	thicker 86:14,14	90:21 91:1 92:4	181:24 185:21
159:18	191:1	thickness 112:21	94:22 95:25	Thursday 1:1
talked 62:18 89:20	terribly 91:23	164:16 165:8	104:13 105:20	time 2:10 10:1
146:6 170:24	112:12 153:22	thin 92:22	104.13 103.20	12:24 13:14 16:2
188:15	test 18:17 21:18,21	thing 12:9,19 13:19	113:13 120:21	16:6,15,15,16,22
	,	13:20 15:17 19:13		16:23 17:6,23,25
talking 1:23 8:15	21:25 22:9,12,16		126:7 128:5,24	, ,
8:17 9:6 11:10,21	23:2,13,15,25 24:3 28:10 37:21	21:6,16 22:18	130:12,22 132:5,9	18:11,21 19:2,4,8
12:12 14:5 20:9		26:1 29:12 30:24	133:2,12,14	23:18 26:25 27:11
32:4 76:20 84:15	40:16,23 133:25	34:19 36:14 51:4	134:14 136:10	30:2 34:4,15,23
92:5 115:12	139:7,8	51:5 53:25 56:14	137:1 141:13,19	35:9 37:1 39:15
119:17 139:19	tested 134:18	59:7 81:13 92:21	142:8 143:18,20	39:22,23 40:20

41:13 42:23 43:11	108:12 133:7	100:16 147:2	68:19 70:6 71:17	trigger 73:3
46:24 47:3 49:5	137:25 153:16,21	169:20	77:12,13 92:25	trigger 73.3
49:19 52:4 56:4	154:10 184:20	touched 135:19	93:20 94:6 98:18	172:9
	timescale 35:5	161:13	104:15 105:8	trivial 114:7
56:21 61:6,18,23 63:6 67:7 69:18				trouble 19:6 68:14
	timescales 57:8	touching 140:9	108:8,10,16 109:2	
70:5 71:4,24 75:4	timing 14:9 22:24	towel 191:6,19,19	109:21 116:19	troubled 67:23
75:16 77:23 79:13	119:4 128:20	191:24	118:8 119:7,12	true 4:18 21:7
79:15,19 80:2,4	182:17	tower 1:20 3:7,11	125:16 127:7	47:16 104:23
82:8,12,21,22	Tiny 10:19	3:22,23 4:1 9:13	137:24 138:12	try 17:21 22:14
83:5,6 85:4,11	tissue 9:22	12:22,24 13:7,8	140:22 155:4	37:3 53:18 56:6
87:22,22,23 88:23	today 1:5,9,11 5:4	14:5,6 25:1 41:20	190:22,23 194:14	83:17 102:10
89:10,12 90:7,8	7:14,15 9:6 10:9	42:23 55:25 63:20	toxicity 3:9,25 6:20	107:2 114:1
90:11 95:13 99:15	11:10 12:18 14:2	75:6,19 77:17	7:11 9:18 35:20	133:18 138:16
100:13 101:13,17	14:16 20:9 129:4	80:18,22,22 81:16	64:21 69:23 71:12	176:25 186:5
102:3,5,11 104:4	190:21 192:7,16	81:24 82:1 84:4	106:24 107:7	trying 16:20 17:25
105:3,17,20 106:5	193:8,22	84:11,12 85:25	135:10,13 194:18	18:11,19 24:11
107:23 108:5,10	today's 1:4 8:1	86:3,20 91:7,17	toxicological 5:24	75:17 77:8 89:25
112:2 119:2 122:1	told 14:16 35:17	95:2 96:25 97:8,9	9:25	98:6 102:24
122:8,14,14	37:7 38:5 49:3	97:10 99:2,3,7,9	toxicologist 44:11	107:13 112:24
123:13,18,19	73:23 101:15	99:21 104:25	104:10,22	150:19 156:18
124:15,19 126:9	132:1	106:25 107:8	toxicologists 59:20	171:7,15 181:19
126:12 127:24	tolerance 61:23	108:12 111:12,14	toxicology 5:12,15	188:22
128:3,13,23	152:25	112:1 115:2	6:10 9:19,21 10:4	tube 138:7,10,25
131:18 148:23	tolerate 62:2	118:20 119:1,22	19:23 37:24 44:8	139:1
151:7 152:3,25	tolerated 57:19	119:25 121:1,10	104:7	tubes 139:3
154:6 155:13,15	tomorrow 193:24	121:16 128:3,22	toxins 191:7	turn 51:8 55:11,14
159:13 160:12,25	tool 20:8,21 38:23	129:15 132:16	tracking 70:6	186:23
161:1 164:2,3,4	top 48:5 49:16	134:15 137:25	tragedy 192:11	turned 50:24 84:9
173:5,10 174:6,24	56:23 68:9 79:16	140:17 142:15	transcripts 9:2	85:9 86:19
177:9 179:3,10	81:4,16,23,25	150:14,20 155:18	43:7,14 44:19	twice 57:20
181:5,7,10,17,24	83:24 86:4,20	161:17,20,23	transition 117:5	two 3:12 9:21 15:25
183:21 184:8,9	111:14 147:6	162:2,13 163:9	translate 42:8	16:1 23:25 26:6
187:9,19 188:18	161:22 162:13	165:24 172:16	trapped 69:6	27:20 29:17,18
192:10	Top-right 67:20	179:7 186:18	103:17 188:22	30:11 31:14,17,20
time-dependent	topic 159:13 183:17	191:2 194:19	189:6	31:22,23 32:1,18
15:19	188:11	toxic 1:23 3:6,7,18	traumatic 43:20	32:22 39:4,7
timed 79:10 90:7	Torero 55:6,6	6:6 7:2 8:4,9	treated 32:7 51:16	40:24 53:9 55:3
174:20 175:20	128:25	10:24 12:11,13,14	118:5 184:16	57:1,5,13 60:18
timeline 27:13	Torero's 112:14	18:22 20:13,23,24	treatment 52:9	61:18 64:2 78:15
times 14:7 22:9	total 6:14 113:14	24:9,22 25:17	59:15	78:19 82:4,7 86:2
52:17 53:13 67:12	113:23 115:4,9,13	33:8 34:4 35:6	tremendous 39:20	90:8,10 93:2
69:23 70:25 71:11	152:7 161:14	41:10 42:18,22	tried 27:24 29:5	103:4 104:24
74:10 75:5,14	165:18	43:17 45:3 47:6	36:7 49:23 50:19	105:1 110:24
81:14 82:15,15,16	totality 24:15	47:22 48:13 51:15	81:11 85:18 100:6	111:1 117:16
82:17 104:2	totally 45:14	64:17,22 65:13	154:18	120:10 121:15
		,		
	I	l	I	I

	1	İ	ı	İ
123:22 124:3	40:8 53:1 56:22	47:6 94:13 115:20	96:19 129:16	views 4:14
130:1 133:23	56:25 124:13	116:6,8	130:12 178:23	violent 28:15 29:3
135:4,7 141:9,14	under-ventilated	upper 61:20 65:23	182:22 183:11,12	29:11 30:13
143:23 146:13	65:2 109:20 117:3	65:25 66:11 86:23	various 12:10,23	virtually 83:5
147:18 151:18,25	117:15 118:5,6	123:23 124:3	31:13 64:12 66:13	visibility 18:3
152:19 164:19	124:14 138:20	upset 2:8	77:5 85:7 96:22	55:13 69:11 70:4
169:3,20 178:12	140:10 142:8	upstairs 47:13 48:7	100:2 102:9,23	70:6,9 71:9,12
178:17 179:9	143:5,16 144:10	48:14 49:5,21	104:2 108:11	100:4 103:23
183:20 187:8,10	149:13,16 167:16	67:21 87:15,17	112:25 113:22	123:12,12 152:21
two-bedroom	underlines 15:21	uptake 36:11 48:20	119:18 129:14	visible 123:21
68:13 107:20	underneath 17:14	68:24	143:6 151:9	vision 51:7
113:2 114:9,10,11	157:6	uPVC 110:8 166:2	155:20 156:4	visit 161:17 172:16
114:15 115:2	understand 12:3,6	use 13:9,10 22:20	162:5,6 170:17	visits 161:25
118:23 119:7	13:15 17:21 24:7	34:4 64:18 70:1	172:19 178:4	visual 161:25
150:6 168:2,14,24	27:14,19 54:15	134:15 138:17	vary 153:20 155:9	vitiated 117:24
169:17	68:7 76:14 84:2	158:17	161:4	143:15
two-storey 47:10	162:23 164:10	useful 9:20 21:6	varying 150:19	vitiation 117:20
50:9	172:7 177:18	22:16 46:23 69:14	153:15 155:13	void 93:15
twos 88:16	understanding	88:23 104:13	175:4	volume 67:13
type 109:15 118:12	9:12 43:15 44:3	usher 46:3 72:18	ventilated 109:20	108:19,20,24
types 33:1 182:20	understood 152:9	106:11 159:19	117:14,18 138:20	112:21 123:2
183:12	undertaking	190:1 192:19	140:9 142:15	124:11 131:1
typical 42:20 46:25	182:13	usually 10:1 17:1	143:12 144:7	151:17,22 162:16
47:3 54:11 90:23	undiluted 92:24	158:18	147:2	169:15,19
107:19 113:1,8,11	undoubted 192:12	utility 170:25	ventilation 142:13	volumes 131:23
113:11,24 115:2	undoubtedly		145:1 169:25	139:24
125:13 132:11	171:23	<u>V</u>	170:12 171:5	volunteers 54:5
150:13	Unfortunately	vacated 182:12	172:2,18	62:1
typically 78:14	14:25 21:11	validate 23:9 43:3	ventilator 93:7	vulnerability
99:19 129:19	unit 136:24	44:4 139:17	96:20 178:10	168:22
	United 58:2	validation 24:2	venture 83:12	vulnerable 118:24
U	universities 6:11	valuable 43:2,7,15	versions 42:18	168:3,15,24
UK 7:6	University 5:7 55:5	43:25 186:7	vertical 82:7	169:16
ultimate 22:14	unpleasant 45:12	193:16	146:17 171:12,18	****
ultimately 11:16	50:5 51:7 91:14	value 44:12 143:10	vertically 171:2	W
unable 24:10 84:25	unreasonable	variability 148:22	vicinity 130:10	Wahabi 177:9
unaffected 32:23	120:21	variable 22:11	victims 21:1 23:22	wait 78:10 87:22
unaware 45:14	unresolved 171:5	154:10	37:20,24 60:4	waiting 76:11
uncertainties 23:7	unstable 185:19	variants 66:24	184:25 186:10	walk 54:5 87:9
uncertainty 40:2	untenable 119:2	variation 96:12	video 17:12	walked 54:10
104:22 138:2	unusual 87:16	128:20,22 179:10	Vieiro 176:10	walking 54:11,13
uncomfortable	update 8:23	variations 23:7	177:7 178:18	54:14 86:13 87:10
75:10	updated 4:6	varied 151:8	view 49:18 105:20	87:11,13,15,17
unconscious 32:18	updraught 145:3	varies 150:12	142:12 170:7	wall 158:14
36:10 38:7,13	upholstered 36:24	variety 80:25 96:7	viewed 44:14	walls 54:15 83:15

	l	l	 	
100:7	180:22 184:14,15	78:25 80:3 81:25	133:17 141:25	132:13 133:1
want 2:3 5:5 16:14	191:4	84:9,10,19 87:7	142:6 154:15	working 15:22 79:4
17:12 20:3 26:6	ways 22:12 55:1	91:1 96:8 111:25	160:15,15 163:19	works 184:3
27:23 28:13 31:10	129:17 170:4	113:10 129:20	164:24 168:3,10	worried 81:8 123:2
37:24 44:24 45:11	we'll 45:25 46:3	162:1,4,5 173:20	180:7	worry 190:6
47:12 49:8 54:1	72:1,13,15 106:6	173:21 183:8	wire 176:20	worrying 48:21
56:14 61:24 62:17	159:14 172:20	186:9 187:6	withdrew 192:22	worse 17:5 87:2
73:17,19,22 74:3	189:21 194:7	weren't 37:20	witness 1:6,9 2:17	171:16
76:4,6 86:5 90:18	we're 1:11 12:12	183:9	9:1 43:6,14,21	worth 122:16
100:19 104:7	15:11 21:16 22:5	west 99:2	44:1,18 69:24	would've 4:22
107:6,9 127:5	40:24 52:14 56:19	wet 191:19,24,25	71:12 80:24 82:9	26:25 27:8 36:3
157:14 172:2	60:3 63:4,6 69:10	192:1,1,4	96:13 129:14	39:15 69:7 77:21
174:9 177:2	76:23 89:4 115:12	whilst 4:18	159:16 160:1	85:3 104:19
wanted 8:13 9:17	115:17 123:2,20	white 60:15	168:8 170:6 179:1	105:18 144:13,18
76:7 158:15 183:5	124:8,17 130:12	wide 79:20,22	181:21,25 182:23	144:24 148:22
wardrobes 113:13	131:3 139:17,18	138:1	190:4,7,17 192:8	wouldn't 49:8
warm 96:22	139:25 149:22	wife 100:20 101:8	192:14,20,22	52:20 78:10
warned 16:10,25	150:16 154:7	101:10	193:5	152:15 167:13
91:2,21	160:18 168:22	window 33:15	witnesses 13:11	wrong 50:21
warning 2:3,4 73:4	172:4 175:8,11	50:10 65:4,10,14	14:3,16 40:14	
74:4,7	193:24	65:16,16,19 83:17	69:22 85:6 93:8	X
washed 104:20	we've 29:14 30:6	93:12,13,17,23	176:3,8 177:22	X 194:13
washes 39:11	62:17 66:24 68:2	94:3,5,5,10 96:5	182:25 193:16	XPS 111:10 114:20
wasn't 39:23 83:22	68:4 78:21 113:17	96:15 98:20	woke 50:18 96:17	116:20 122:1
87:17 89:8	120:5 121:15	107:16 110:5,7	wondering 8:15	135:15
watch 47:19	140:3 184:16	112:6,8 115:1	159:8	Y
water 48:2 52:6	weak 103:25	117:17 118:25	wood 55:4 113:20	$\frac{1}{Y34:22}$
63:3	weakness 133:21	122:16 125:3	116:10 157:6	year 42:6 60:12,13
way 4:22 12:7 18:4	wearing 79:11	129:6,24,24 130:3	158:18 166:24	112:1 121:13
21:13 22:10 23:8	weather 96:22	132:24 133:8	167:1,3,4	years 5:11 10:2
24:11 26:4 27:9	web 17:12	141:6,12,17,22	wooden 113:19	14:13 54:4 66:8
32:5 39:9 49:16	website 132:10	153:10 154:15	words 31:25 135:14	79:13 118:9
54:14,15 69:21	193:10	160:18 162:5	work 1:13,22 5:17	yellow 147:12
83:13,14,18 89:25	wedded 147:2	163:24 164:2,4	6:1 9:5 10:9 13:5	yellow 147.12 yield 12:13 116:19
91:17 92:9 95:3	weeks 38:24	166:3,6 167:18	14:12 15:5,12	117:19,21 123:4
96:25 98:19 99:1	Welcome 1:3	178:11,14,17,21	16:20 17:25 19:21	138:23 139:5
99:7 100:7 104:20	well-ventilated	windows 33:5	43:12 45:4 46:23	166:15,21,23
109:10 118:10	116:23 117:6,23	41:24 65:7 66:3	54:3 77:25 83:14	167:3,19
120:14 121:23	118:1 122:2,23	91:18 93:7,9,25	112:18,21,23,24	yields 6:6 42:18
122:4 129:15	124:4,5,10 140:18	94:1,18,20 95:11	113:17,22 115:15	63:12 64:17
139:2 141:23	140:21 149:18	96:21 98:19 99:7	131:24 137:20	108:15 109:1,12
142:18 145:21,24	166:25 167:8	103:18 110:5,17	156:25 177:19	108.13 109.1,12
146:2 154:16	went 17:16,17 29:9	111:10 115:2	181:15 183:3	121:10 138:6,12
155:24 165:8	35:17 48:10 50:24	119:3,19 128:8	187:23	138:17 139:23,24
167:10 171:20	62:7 65:2 78:23	129:22,23 130:10	worked 50:14	140:4,21
				170.7,41

Page 228

	1	1	1	1
young 50:16 58:11	1 1:13 3:1,4 4:15	150 53:2	2013 7:16	3.00 189:9
	8:9,19 14:21	152 6:14	2015 7:21	3.15 159:22
Z	34:21 36:2 37:12	158 114:14	2016 140:2	3.25 159:17,21,24
zero 100:4 103:23	52:14 54:10 64:20	16 62:7 90:21,22	2018 1:1 3:2 194:11	3.5 79:16 123:6
zone 166:19	64:22 72:8 77:18	92:2,11	204 131:9	3.7 116:16
	95:5 99:12,13	160 123:20	206 131:9 132:22	3.8 132:2
0	100:1 104:8	163 85:14	207 180:10	30 38:7 59:16
0.25 123:14	120:17 132:12	17 5:11 82:2 85:20	20th 88:8 120:13	300 57:14,21
0.3 54:17	151:21,22,24,25	18 81:17 84:6 86:4	21 172:25 179:17	31 88:6,19
0.5 122:13 160:8	151:21,22,24,25	184 115:1	181:23	36 32:8 133:5
0.9 57:14	180:20 194:14	186 177:15	210 153:3	30 32.0 133.3
01.15 92:12	1-metre 79:20,22	19 84:7 174:17	210 133.3 213 134:6,10	4
01.24 92:14	1,000 29:10 56:24	19 84.7 174.17 193 87:19,21	215 134.0,10 215 135:3	4 18:25 19:4 29:18
01.25 179:13			23 79:15 81:17	35:25 36:2 54:22
01.27 85:16 87:6	1.00 106:15	1974 5:11		55:13 76:21 82:5
173:5	1.1 54:10	1985 17:10	84:14 124:13	138:4,6
01.30 53:15 84:15	1.5 133:12	1991 5:11,22	230 140:8,11,12	4.07 190:12
87:25,25 88:6	10 35:6 48:9 61:12	19th 85:14	231 140:8,25 141:1	4.15 189:23 190:11
90:13,14 97:16	85:24 125:14	2	148:19	190:14
99:25 100:2,14	194:8	2 4:5,11 8:23 15:13	23rd 84:10 92:14	4.25 194:10
102:6 108:1 126:7	10.00 1:2 194:12	5	170:23	
154:1 170:9 175:5	10/20 53:6	43:12 44:17 54:12	24 28:22 185:21	4.7 123:1,1,14
179:18,19 181:6	100 24:13 57:18	70:21 73:14 79:4	242 168:6,18	40 23:20 58:16
01.30ish 108:5	58:20 121:3 132:1	88:15 89:4,5,7,11	245 167:24 168:1	42 79:23
01.35 100:2 154:1	136:22 137:5,11	89:15,18 95:16	248 155:2	45 87:7
179:12	151:13	98:11,16 103:8	254 175:23	46 87:10 176:10
01.35ish 179:14	100-millimetre	106:6,14 114:3	26,600 57:2	460 78:20
	110:25	127:12,22 128:17	26.26 132:24	48 32:8
01.36 174:20	106 194:18	132:20 133:22,24	26.6 56:25	4th 100:25 101:17
175:20	11 82:3	134:10 135:18	270 57:16	5
01.40 160:20	11.05 46:8	145:20 154:18	29 1:1 88:11,15	
01.44 180:12,13,18	11.15 46:3,7,10	160:20 172:3,22	290 78:21	5 3:2 19:1,4 48:18
01.45/02.00 181:9	11.55 72:23	175:7 179:23	293 77:17 78:22	49:19 54:22 67:8
01.47 88:6 179:6	1182 175:25	181:7 182:14	79:25	67:14,20 82:6
01.48 100:21	1184 177:8	188:1,25 194:14		115:10 120:13,20
01.49 88:8 100:15	1187 177:11	194:16	3	121:23 122:3,9,25
101:22	12 62:7 82:2,20,21	2,610 57:13	3 15:15 29:18 30:4	123:13 124:1
01.49.20 101:24	174:24	2.00 106:17	30:5,17 31:12	130:15 150:16
01.50 160:20	12.05 72:7,15,21,25	20 44:10 61:4,13	57:4 70:12,21	151:12,23 160:4
01.56 94:25	126 194:19	78:22 84:14	94:23 99:19 102:7	165:25
02.00 90:14,16	13 78:23 125:9	151:20 152:14	106:24 114:17	5-metre 54:19
154:11 173:6	13,000 31:1	200 57:21 61:25	126:23 136:6	5-minute 188:9
02.20 180:4,7,7	14 26:15 134:12	2004 26:14	137:21 194:11,18	5,500 48:18
02.23 94:25 95:1	146 177:14	2006 5:23	3-millimetre	50 36:13 38:5,8,9
128:14	15 44:10 52:17	201 99:13 169:6	110:22 146:13	38:10 58:17 59:19
1	112:11 174:25	180:20	147:21	59:20 60:7 116:15
1				121:18,20,22,23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 229

_			Page 229
124:1 161:14,18	75 165:18		
164:14,22 165:14	76 177:10		
165:15,16,16,19	79 123:25		
166:16	7th 100:21		
552 165:25	/th 100.21		
56 177:7	8		
5th 100:25	8 88:17 104:6		
3th 100.23	172:24 194:14		
6	8,000 57:4		
6 36:8 55:24,24	80 38:10 58:18		
75:20 81:16 82:3	59:22		
92:9,16 93:25	80-millimetre		
95:3,25 96:2,7,24	111:1 132:1		
97:5,12,12,17,19	800 31:2		
98:24 99:5,25	86 177:10,11		
102:15,16 107:24	87 57:11		
124:17,18,19,21			
126:4,5,11 129:3	9		
129:4,11 150:7	9.3 79:14		
151:1 152:4	9.5 111:6 133:9		
153:24 160:14	9.5-millimetre		
170:8 173:10,15	110:8		
173:25 176:3	90 105:9 122:25		
177:23 179:2	95 120:14		
180:22 182:6,11	999 69:24 90:7		
182:11 183:4			
188:16,18,20			
189:3			
60 36:21 49:8,9			
59:22			
600 66:1			
63 131:8			
65 133:24			
66 177:8			
660 115:5			
6s 84:17 96:10			
129:15 177:5,20			
7			
7 38:3 67:15 80:3			
115:10 130:15			
7.9 36:13			
70 58:18 140:7			
72 167:23			
73 79:13 194:16			
74 160:5			
	l	1	l