OPUS 2 INTERNATIONAL

Grenfell Tower Inquiry

Day 18

July 9, 2020

Opus 2 International - Official Court Reporters

Phone: +44 (0)20 3008 5900

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: https://www.opus2.com

1 Thursday, 9 July 2020 A. I was on the code drafting committee responsible for 2. (10.00 am) 2 several parts of BS 5588; in fact, I was the chairman 3 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to for a number of years. But part 8 was dealt with by 4 4 today's hearing. Today we're going to continue hearing a subgroup of the same committee. 5 evidence from Mr Ashton of Exova. 5 Q. Was that FSH14? 6 So would you like to ask Mr Ashton to join us, 6 A. FSH14 was the overseeing committee, if I can call it 7 7 please. 8 8 MR TERRENCE ASHTON (continued) Q. I see, yes. 9 9 THE WITNESS: Good morning. A. FSH14.1 was a subcommittee of that main committee. 10 10 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, Mr Ashton. Are you Q. I see. So you were involved in relation to that 11 11 happy to carry on? British Standard? 12 12 THE WITNESS: I am indeed, yes, thank you. A. Oh, yes. 13 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Q. Let's bring it up so we can just see it for a moment. 14 14 It's {BSI00000018}. So it's: Yes, Ms Grange. 15 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY (continued) 15 "Fire precautions in the design, construction and 16 16 MS GRANGE: Thank you. use of buildings - Part 8: Code of practice for means of 17 Mr Ashton, I have a number of questions that I'm 17 escape for disabled people." 18 18 going to deal with at the beginning now which arise from My question really is: in the light of your work on 19 19 matters covered yesterday, or potentially with some of that committee, should you not have had in mind 20 20 your colleagues when they have been giving evidence, and considerations for designing means of escape for the 2.1 then I'm going to turn to the topic I flagged yesterday, 2.1 disabled when you worked on the Grenfell 22 which is the September 2014 emails about the cladding. 22 fire strategies, given what was in Approved Document B 2.3 So we will do these corrections and questions first . 23 24 24 First of all, a correction. Yesterday, while we A. Well, I think we went into it in some detail yesterday, 25 were discussing the role of Artelia or Appleyards and 25 but the Building Regulations, or at least the approved 1 1 the role they played on the project, and whether they documents, do not require the same level of provision 2. performed roles other than that of QS, I referred to 2 for disabled people in residential buildings as they do 3 them mistakenly as performing the role of project 3 in commercial buildings. 4 4 administrator as well. That was at page 19 of the Q. Yes. 5 transcript {Day17/19}. In fact, I should have said that 5 A. Now, why they chose to do that, I don't know. In 6 6 they were employer's agent, not project administrator. an ideal world, you would have a block of apartments or 7 7 Does that make more sense? flats -- they're all called apartments nowadays -- where 8 8 A. It does indeed, yes. there were provisions for disabled people. I mean, they 9 9 Q. I apologise, that was my slip of the tongue. do make provision for what they call accessible flats, 10 10 I asked you some questions yesterday, just on so there are people with disabilities in residential 11 another topic, about inclusive design, and do you 11 blocks. But, as I say, to go back to the core business, 12 12 remember we looked at some of the early sections of in an ideal world there would be a means of getting 13 Approved Document B, which provide that fire safety 13 those disabled people out of that block. Normally it 14 measures incorporated within a building will need to 14 would be done by using the lifts . 15 take account of the needs of all people including those 15 Q. Yes. 16 with disabilities? Do you remember we looked at those 16 A. But there would have to be a management regime attached 17 17 provisions? to that to ensure that that would work. Now, that isn't 18 18 A. I do indeed, I remember that. always present in apartment buildings. 19 19 Just on that topic, is it right that you were on the Q. Yes. 20 BSI, the British Standards Institute, committee which 20 A. And that's presumably why, and I can't say for certain, 2.1 drafted part 8 of BS 5588-8:1999, which was headed: 2.1 the Government -- the responsible Government department 22 22 "Fire precautions in the design, construction and didn't say that you had to make provision for disabled 23 use of buildings - Part 8: Code of practice for means of 23 people to escape. 24

24

25

25

escape for disabled people."

Were you on that committee?

2

Q. Were you aware, though, that there were changes in 2006

to Approved Document B which brought in those inclusive

- 1 design provisions that we looked at yesterday? 2 A. Oh, yes, I'm aware that it is covered in Approved 3 Document B as well as in the British Standard that 4 you've got displayed at the moment. 5 Q. Yes. 6 A. But the fact remains that you do not have to make 7 provision for means of escape for disabled people in 8 residential buildings. I'm not saying that's right. 9 Q. Yes. 10 A. But that's what it is at the moment. 11 But is it right -- and I think this is what you said 12 yesterday -- that, in the light of that, it simply 13 wasn't considered in the context of the fire strategies 14 for the Grenfell project? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. Yes. 16 17 Just moving on to another topic, yesterday, in 17 18 response to questions about Ms Cooney's observations 18 19 19 about the overall refurbishment plans -- and, do you 20 20 remember, we looked at her email, whether they're making 21 a "crap situation worse" in terms of fire safety --21 22 22 I asked you whether you had warned the TMO about this, 23 23 and the answer you gave -- this is at {Day17/9:4-12} --24 24 you said: 25 25 "I think when I had the first meeting with the TMO, 1 which was essentially a design team meeting which they 2 attended, I did make the point fairly forcefully that 3 the proposals as drawn up by Studio E were not 4 acceptable in terms of getting approval under the 5 Building Regulations, and that therefore we needed to do 6 some serious work to get to a situation where we could 7 actually go forward to Kensington and Chelsea with a --8 confident that a scheme would be approved." 9 That's what you said yesterday. 10 A. Yes, I remember saying that, yes. 11 11 You couldn't give a precise date for that meeting, but 12 you said it was the very first design team meeting that 13 had the full design team there, including the TMO.
- 14 Now, the first meeting that you attended with the 15 TMO and the rest of the design team appears to be the 16 project meeting number 8 held on 6 September 2012. 17 I just want to pull up the minutes for that. This is 18 {ART00006770}. 19 So we looked at these notes yesterday. We can see 20 that you were physically present at this meeting, 21 because you're four lines up on the "present" list . 22 23 Q. Do you think that it was at this meeting that you made 24 the comments that you referred to, these forceful 25 comments?

- 1 A. Possibly.
- 2 Q. I see.
- 3~ A. I know I did say at one meeting that we had some hard $\,$
- 4 work to do to get the scheme into an approvable
- 5 situation --
- 6 Q. Yes. Yes.
- 7 A. -- or condition.
- 8 Q. Because the point I want to put to you is there doesn't
- 9 seem to be anything in the minutes or the notes from
- this meeting that record that. What we have on page 2
- 11 {ART00006770/2}, if we can go to this -- and, again, we
- 12 looked at this yesterday -- under "Fire", do you see
- 13 that there?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. We've got this note that:
 - "Exova need to understand the existing situation and whether LFB do test the system twice a year, and what was behind the proposed upgrade works to the smoke exhaust/fire. The statutory position on the design needs to be established as it is not possible for Building Control to insist on enhancements."

Then:

"Draft fire strategy needs detail interrogation and a meeting was arranged with [Studio E] early next week to review."

7

- 1 Do you see that there?
- 2 A. I do, ves.
- 3 Q. And the action for that is noted to be Studio E and 4 Exova.
- So the question I want to put to you is whether you did actually say that in a meeting with the TMO present, because that seems to be being disputed.
- A. In my experience, minutes don't always reflect exactly
 what was said at meetings, but I do recall saying, to
 more than just an audience comprising Studio E, that
- .1 there were approval risks based on the current
- proposals. I even referred to the need possibly to provide sprinklers to the non-residential portions, and
- 14 so on.
- Now, if I haven't got the date of the meeting right or the precise meeting right, I apologise, but I did say that.
- 18 Q. Okay. Yes.
- To what extent were you seeking to engage with the TMO on that issue and talking directly to the TMO about
- 21 it as distinct from Studio E?
- A. I wasn't really thinking about the TMO specifically when
 I made those comments. I just made the comments to
- whoever was assembled at that meeting.
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. This was before we did the first design note.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. So it was in advance of that. Now, that may give some
- 4 idea as to actually when that meeting took place.
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 Would you agree that you were, day to day, dealing
- 7 with Studio E as part of the design team and not the TMO
- 8 in respect of the preparation of the fire safety
- 9 strategies?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. Yes.
- So just moving on to another topic, this is in
- $13\,$ relation to the existing fire safety strategy, you said
- yesterday that you thought it was a misunderstanding
- between you and between Clare Barker and Cate Cooney on
- the other hand about who was actually going to finalise
- the draft existing fire strategy; you remember saying
- 18 that?
- 19 A. I do, yes.
- 20 Q. Given that this work remained outstanding for such
- a long period of time, from August 2012 until the end of
- 22 Exova's involvement in the Grenfell project, I want to
- put to you: can that really have been
- a misunderstanding? Why was that not picked up in that
- 25 length of time?

- 1 A. I don't know, to be honest. I mean, I assumed, as
- 2 I said yesterday, that Clare was going to continue to
- deal with that until it was completed, but I did take
- 4 from the recommendations of that draft report the issues
- 5 that we needed to address with the refurbishment scheme.
- 6 Q. Do you think it was that you simply forgot to come back
- 7 and address that, or in fact did you actually think
- 8 about it but consider finalising that draft report not
- 9 to be a significant priority?
- $10\,$ A. In the greater scheme of things, finishing that report
- $11 \hspace{1cm} \text{off wasn't the top priority} \,. \hspace{0.2cm} I \hspace{0.2cm} \text{mean, we've got three} \\$
- 12 strands here: we've got the risk assessment, which is
- $13 \qquad \quad \text{carried out or should be carried out periodically } \cdot \cdot$
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. -- which will highlight things that have gone wrong or
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{need to be put right; we've got work going on with the} \\$
- refurbishment, which was my main focus; and we have the
- $18 \hspace{1cm} \text{existing fire safety strategy drawn up, which I took to} \\$
- 19 be just a record of what was there, and I only took out
- 20 of that draft the things that we needed to concentrate
- 21 on going forward
- $22\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ So can we take it $\,$ from that answer that you were aware

10

- and conscious that it was a draft that hadn't been
- 24 finalised, but just didn't think that that was
- a priority that needed to be come back to?

- 1 A. If I'm honest, I didn't think it was that important,
- 2 given that there were these other strands to the
 - building.
- 4 Q. Were you aware that the existing fire safety strategy
- 5 was meant to be a baseline on which the refurbishment
- 6 strategy built?
- 7 A. No.

3

- 8 Q. Did you ever, in the next four years after 2012,
- 9 investigate what had happened to the draft existing
- 10 fire strategy?
- 11 A. No, I didn't.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- $13 \hspace{1cm} \text{So moving on to another topic, you said yesterday on} \\$
- a number of occasions that your time was stretched
- because you were handling often a large number of
- projects.
- 17 Can you recall, to the best of your ability,
- approximately how many projects would you have been
- working on at any one time, say during 2012, when you
- were first working on the fire strategies?
- $21\,$ A. Well, it could be six to ten, I guess, but they would
- all be at different stages of development, and I don't
- 23 think that I want to give the impression that there
- 24 wasn't enough time to deal with this project, because
- 25 there was.

11

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. I made enough time to do that.
- 3 O. Yes.
- 4 Now, another point arises from Ms Cooney's evidence.
- 5 She was asked about why she hadn't referred to
- 6 diagram 52 of Approved Document B in relation to the
- 7 fire main outlets being in the lobbies. So you know at
 - Grenfell Tower, the riser --
- 9 A. Indeed.

8

- $10\,$ Q. -- for the fire main outlets are in the lobbies, and she
- 11 was asked a question:
- 12 "Why did you not include any discussion or
- assessment within your draft existing fire strategy
- 14 report about the impact on operation of firefighting of
- 15 the fact that the main riser outlets were in the lobbies
- and not in the stair as required by diagram 52?"
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. The answer she gave was:
- 19 $\,\,$ "The outlets being in the lobby -- and I'm fairly
- sure on this -- were a requirement of section 20 of the London Building Acts at the time. Terry is probably
- London Building Acts at the time. Terry is probably your man to clarify this for you."
- 23 Is what she said.
- 24 A. Right
- 25 Q. So I just want to ask you: in your view, is it correct

6

7

9

10

11

- that it was a requirement of section 20 of the London
 Building Acts that the fire main outlets should be in
- 3 the lobbies as opposed to in the stair?
- 4 A. That's totally correct.
- $5\,$ Q. Would you agree that the existing fire safety strategy
- 6 should have addressed the impact on firefighting of not
- 7 having the fire main outlet in the stair as required by
- 8 the current design guidance?
- 9 A. No, it comes back to what I said at some point
- 10 yesterday, that this was an existing building and it
- would not be reasonable, just because a more recent code
- of practice suggested some other way of dealing with
- 13 things, that we should modify the building in the way
- 14 that you're suggesting.
- 15 Q. And you don't think that that's something that could
- 16 have been highlighted or should have been highlighted in
- the existing strategy, and then addressed and explain
- why that was nevertheless not a difficulty in this
- 19 building?
- 20 A. I don't think it should have been mentioned in her
- 21 strategy, but I would like to say that this idea that
- the fire main outlet should be in the stair, there
- 23 wasn't unanimity amongst this in the fire community, if
- 24 I can use that word.
- 25 O. Yes.

3

13

- 1 A. Because what it meant was, in operational terms, that if
- a fireman was fighting a fire in a flat, the door to the
 - stair would be permanently open.
- 4 Q. Right, yes.
- $5\,$ A. And a lot of people in the BSI, for example, didn't feel
- 6 that that was a good idea.
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. So to change that, given that it would be
- 9 an unreasonable thing to ask for in an existing
- $10 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{situation, didn't make a lot of sense technically} \, .$
- 11 Q. Yes, I see.
- 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I think the thrust of the
- $13\,$ $\,$ $\,$ question -- and it applies, I think, to other things as
- identify changes in requirements since the date of
- 16 construction of the building; in other words, to point
- out: "Well, it was supposed to be like this, but it's
- now supposed to be like that", and to highlight the
- 19 distinction
- 20 A. Yeah, we could have put in a general narrative, and
- $21\,$ perhaps we should have done, saying, "This building was
- built in 1971 to a code of practice that was drawn up in
- $23\,$ $\,$ $\,$ 1950", or wherever, "It must be recognised that the
- arrangements there don't meet current standards". And
- 25 taking a lesson from that, I think if we came to do

- a similar development or partial redevelopment, we would put that in as an upfront statement.
- 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
- 4 MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you.

Staying with Ms Cooney for a minute, can we go to {EXOO0000388}. This is an email we looked at yesterday from Ms Cooney to you on 10 September 2012, and she says

8 in the very beginning:

"As promised, my thoughts on the possible solution to Grenfell Tower: General fire line mark up attached to clarify ideas below."

Then she has set out various ideas under different
headings. We looked a lot yesterday at -- if we can
scroll down to the end of this email, this is the one
where she talks about, in the third from bottom
paragraph, some fairly long protected entrance halls, we
talked about that, and where she says in the next
paragraph:

"The existing ventilation system is questionable and
the overall scheme theoretically makes the existing
conditions worse ..."

22 Et cetera.

Just in terms of this email and how it came about, did Ms Cooney send you her thoughts on the possible solutions to Grenfell Tower because you asked her to do

15

1 so?

6

- 2 A. No, the opposite. I think she went further than
- 3 I thought she would. But, I mean, all information is
- 4 useful in pulling together a fire strategy for
- a building, so it wasn't unwelcome in that sense, but
 - I didn't ask for it.
- 7 Q. The follow-up question is: if she was sending you
- 8 thoughts on the refurbishment fire strategy, did that
- 9 not prompt you to think, "Well, I need her thoughts on
- 10 how to finalise the existing fire safety strategy"?
- 11 A. No, it didn't lead me to think that.
- $12\,$ Q. Did you ever ask Ms Cooney any questions about the draft
- existing fire safety strategy?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you ever discuss it with her at all?
- 16 A. No, I didn't. I mean, I think that she enlarged on the
- concerns that she had about the three issues that we've
- 18 talked about in this document.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ A. So I had a summary of what her views were about the
- 21 building in one page.
- Q. So does that explain why you didn't feel the need to
- discuss it with her?
- 24 A. Yes, indeed.
- 25 Q. Yes.

- On another topic, I take it that you are familiar
 with Article 13 of the RRO 2005, which relates to the
 obligation on the responsible person to ensure that the
 premises are equipped with appropriate firefighting
 equipment, fire detectors and alarms?
- 6 A. I am aware of that, yes.
- Q. I want to ask: what information did you seek from theresponsible person -- ie records, past compliance
- $9 \hspace{1cm} \text{notes -- in order to complete the outline } \hspace{0.1cm} \text{fire } \hspace{0.1cm} \text{safety}$
- 10 strategy?
- $11\,$ A. Well, in -- generally speaking, fire appliances for use
- by the occupants are not provided in residential
- $13\,$ buildings, because you can't train residents of flats to
- tackle a fire, and indeed it might be dangerous for them
- 15 to do so. So, broadly speaking, there are no fire
- extinguishers in apartment buildings.
- 17 Q. I appreciate that, but there would be some equipment
- 18 within the building which would be there for the purpose
- $19\,$ of firefighting , wouldn't there? The main, the dry
- 20 rising main.
- 21 A. Yeah, but those would be for use by the fire service.
- Now, in terms of the other parts of the building,
- I would have expected that there would be portable fire
- extinguishers for use by the occupants.
- 25 Q. But my question is: did you seek any information at any

- $1 \hspace{1cm} \text{stage from the responsible person in terms of records} \\$
- 2 relevant to Article 13 of the RRO?
- 3 A. No, because it wouldn't have had any impact on the
- 4 fire strategy report that I was working on.
- 5 Q. Did you ever have any communication with the responsible
- 6 person?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Moving on, yesterday we discussed the email you received
- 9 from Janice Wray, or you were forwarded an email from
- Janice Wray which, if you remember, had referred to the
- smoke control system not operating properly in
- a previous fire in the building in 2010; do you remember
- 13 that?
- 14 A. I do, yes.
- 15 Q. I asked you why that hadn't caused you to update your
- fire strategy to note that there had been a previous
- 17 fire.
- 18 A. You mean the existing building fire strategy?
- 19 Q. No, sorry, the refurbishment strategy.
- $20\,$ $\,$ A. Well, I think you might ask the question: if there was
- $21\,$ a fire in 2010 and there was a criticism made about the
- $22 \hspace{1cm} \text{smoke extract system, something would have been done} \\$
- $23 \hspace{1cm} about \hspace{0.1cm} it \hspace{0.1cm} by \hspace{0.1cm} the \hspace{0.1cm} responsible \hspace{0.1cm} person \hspace{0.1cm} then. \hspace{0.1cm} Now, in \hspace{0.1cm} terms$

18

- of mentioning it in the fire strategy that I drew up,
- 25 no, we made a clear statement in there that we were

- 1 going to improve it.
- 2 O. I see.

6

- 3 Were you aware, did anyone ever make you aware, that
- during the fire in 2010, the AOV system sent smoke from
- 5 the 6th and then later the 9th floor to upper floor
 - lobbies, to the 15th and 18th floor, during that fire?
- 7 A. No. I had seen no documentation about that fire.
- 8 Q. Did you ever ask --
- $9\,$ A. Other than the fact that there was an email which said
- 10 that there was a fire.
- 11 Q. Did you ever ask for any documentation relating to that
- 12 fire?
- 13 A. It wasn't really relevant to what I was doing.
- 14 Q. Maybe I should have put to you that: well, it would
- certainly be relevant to the existing fire safety
- strategy, wouldn't it?
- 17 A. Yes, but I wasn't dealing with the existing fire safety
- 18 strategy.
- 19 Q. I see.
- $20\,$ A. I mean, if you have a fire incident in a building like
- 21 that and there are things that you mentioned like smoke
- spread from floor to floor via the automatic opening
- vents, then you would have expected the fire authority
- to have followed that up with the building owner or
- 25 responsible person, but that doesn't seem to have taken

19

- 1 place.
- Q. No, but that wasn't something that you thought to
- 3 outline in your fire safety strategy, to make sure that
- 4 it had been followed up, given there were residents in
- 5 that building at the time and were going to be for
- 6 several years before the system was refurbished?
- 7 A. I didn't know that it had happened.
- $8\,$ Q. No. Well, you knew that there had been a fire in 2010.
- 9 I think we established that yesterday.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You were sent that email. But is it right that you
- never requested any further details of what had happened
- 13 in that fire or how serious --
- 14 A. No, because it wasn't my responsibility to do that.
- That would have been a matter between the fire authority
- and the responsible person.
- 17 Q. Had you known that, had you known that the
- 18 malfunctioning of that system had been sending smoke
- from lower floors to upper floors, would that have
- $20 \hspace{1cm} \text{rendered the stay-put strategy untenable and meant that} \\$
- 21 mitigation ought to have been put in place in terms of
- 22 evacuation?
- 23 A. We couldn't have -- I don't -- well, in the first place,
- I didn't know about it; in the second place, I don't
- think you can alter a stay-put strategy just like that,

1 because you need to do a number of things to make sure 1 Studio E to you, copying in two Rydon personnel there, 2 2 that that can function. For example, you would need to Simon Lawrence and Simon O'Connor. Do you see that 3 3 put in a fire alarm system to ensure that people would there? 4 4 respond and make their escape. Yes, indeed. 5 Q. I appreciate there might be steps that would follow from 5 "Subject ... Grenfell Tower Cavity Fire Barriers." 6 6 that if it was considered that actually the stay-put A. Yeah. 7 7 strategy wasn't tenable anymore, but wasn't it your O. He says: 8 8 responsibility to be flagging up that someone ought to "Hi Terry 9 9 "I am working on the Grenfell Tower regeneration be thinking about that? 10 10 A. No, I don't believe it was. I believe that should have project from the Studio E end. The following RFI has 11 happened at the time, not two or three years later. 11 come in relating to horizontal fire breaks within the 12 12 cladding areas. 13 13 Just one final topic before we then pick up where we "Can you comment on the RFI attached and whether you 14 14 left off yesterday. believe this interpretation in relation to stack effect 15 15 is correct? We looked at a document, I just want to bring it up, 16 16 {EXO00000693}, which was an email of 18 September 2013. "Regards 17 So if we scroll down within this document, I think it's 17 "Neil ." 18 18 on page 5 of this {EXO00000693/5}. Do you see that there? 19 19 I showed this to you yesterday and I asked you Yes, indeed. 20 20 whether the reference in blue and underlined meant that Is it right that RFI stands for request for information? 21 you were using a file -share link to send documents back 21 22 22 Q. That's how you understood it? to Studio E. 23 23 It's been quite properly pointed out overnight that Α. 24 actually there is another version of this email. If we 24 Q. So if we can go to that request for information, this 25 25 can look at that. That's {EXO00000390}. If we look at appears at {HAR00003616}. If we blow up the top half of 23 1 1 the top of that, this is exactly the same email, it's this document, we can see at the top, it is to 2 2 another Exova reference, and I think I may have actually Simon Lawrence of Rydon from Daniel Anketell-Jones of 3 taken you to this later yesterday, but what we didn't 3 Harley. It's dated 17 September 2014, and then we see 4 4 pick up was that in the line attachments at the top, it lower down the query: 5 looks like what you were sending back was actually three 5 "Please may you confirm the required extent of the 6 pdfs: "Grenfell GL.pdf", "Grenfell Mezz.pdf". Do you 6 horizontal firebreaks within the cladding areas?" 7 7 see that there? A. Right. 8 8 A. Yes, indeed. Q. Can you see there, there is a suggested solution: 9 9 Q. So it does in fact appear that you were sending "We believe that they will be required at every 10 documents back attached to the email as pdfs rather than 10 floor level on the vertical columns, but not in the area 11 11 of cladding between windows. This is because there is using a file-share link on that occasion. 12 A. I wouldn't know how to use a file -share link even now. 12 no 'chimney' effect here, and therefore the cladding 13 I mean, the standard way that I dealt with these was to 13 will not add to the spread of fire." 14 print the drawings, annotate them, scan them and then 14 Do you see that? 15 send them back as pdfs. 15 A. (Witness nods). 16 Q. Yes. Thank you. 16 So that's the RFI that you have been forwarded by 17 A. That might seem fairly simple, but it's fairly 17 Mr Crawford. 18 foolproof. 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. No, no, I understand, yes. 19 Then if we can go to your reply, this is at

22 24

20

21

22

23

24

25

{RYD00018154}. I want to start on page 2

18 September, and you reply:

"Neil

{RYD00018154/2} of this email string with your initial

reply. So if we can look in the second half of that

page, this is an email you sent back at 11.33 on

Okay, so let's pick up, then, and we're moving

forward in the chronology to September 2014, and I want

Can we go to {SEA00011705}. If we can start with

to look at an exchange of emails which you had on that

date concerning the external cladding at the tower.

the top email on this page, this is Mr Crawford of

20

21

22

23

24

1 "I've never seen details of what you're doing to the 1 employed on this project, why are you asking me those 2 2 external walls. Do you have any questions?", but I didn't see fit to do that. 3 3 cross sections/elevations?" Q. Yes. 4 4 "Kind regards. A. That wasn't entirely because we felt generous towards 5 "Terry." 5 them, but, you know, if you refuse to help people when 6 6 Do you see that there? they ask you questions, it might be seen that you're not 7 7 A. Yes, indeed. being very professional. 8 8 Q. Yes. Q. Now, is it right that, up until this point, you had 9 9 Now, in fact, your reply, "I've never seen details given no substantive consideration whatsoever to the 10 10 cladding or the impact of the cladding on external fire of what you're doing to the external walls", it may be 11 spread? 11 that you had not seen them, but as we established 12 A. Correct. 12 yesterday, you had in fact been sent details. 13 13 Q. Can you explain how it came about that, despite A. Not direct. 14 preparing three issues of the fire strategy, you still 14 Well, there was the stage --15 15 didn't have the details of what they were doing with the We were sent a link to the stage C report. 16 external walls until this point? 16 Yes, and you had attended meetings in which cladding had 17 A. Well, I think we went through this in some detail 17 been discussed, including zinc rainscreen cladding. 18 yesterday. I mean, they never sent me anything asking 18 A. No. No, I don't remember -- as I said earlier, I don't 19 19 me to comment on it. remember cladding ever being discussed at meetings that 20 20 Q. When you got this request from Mr Crawford to look at I attended. 21 21 this RFI, did this not ring alarm bells for you, given Q. Now, Neil Crawford replies to you on 18 September, the 22 22 what you had written in your previous fire strategies same day, at 12.18. Can we go to that. I've got 23 23 about the B4 requirement being satisfied by the a different reference for that. Let's go to the 24 24 proposals? different reference. I think I'm going to follow my 25 25 It didn't ring alarm bells because I had never seen any notes here: {EXO00000709}. This is Mr Crawford's 25 1 1 details of what we were proposing, and that's what response at 12.18. He says: 2 2 "Hi Terry I said in my response. 3 3 "Please see attached our sections and the initial Q. Did you look back at what you had written in your 4 Δ drawings set we have from Harleys. The initial drawings fire strategies? I mean, this is September 2014, so 5 it's nearly -- it's not quite a year, but from your 5 from Harleys are fairly limited but they attempt to 6 6 third issue, which was November 2013, it's quite a few establish the basic approach." 7 7 months. Can you see there -- yes, the reason I have gone to 8 8 A. No, I didn't refer back. I mean, I think it's worth this email is that you can see there are various 9

- pointing out that, September 2014, my belief was that we 9
- 10 were not really a fully paid-up member of the design
- 11 team, because Rydon were appointed in March, and we were
- 12 never approached by Rydon to work for them.
- 13
- 14 A. And we did talk yesterday about why it was that we saw
- 15 fit to respond to these emails, and we did it because we
- 16 normally do that if it's limited in extent.
- 17 Q. Yes. And I think --
- 18 A. Even though we consider ourselves not to be working on 19 the project.
- 20 Q. I think what we established is what we don't see in
- 21 these emails is you saying to Mr Crawford, "Well, why
- 22 are you asking me? We're not engaged anymore. If you
- 23 want our advice" --
- 24 A. Yeah, well, I did hint at that yesterday, I did say that

26

25 we would have been entitled to say, "We are no longer

- attachments clearly that are sent with that email. Do
- 10 you see that?
- 11 A. I do, yes.
- 12 One of those is a drawing called "1279 SEA (06) 120",
- 13 the first one. Do you see that?
- 14 A.
- 15 Q. Now, if we can look at what you say at paragraph 5.17 of
- 16 your witness statement, this is at {EXO00001621/15}, in
- 17 that last sentence when you are dealing with this email,
- 18 you say:
- 19 "These were large scale drawings which showed zinc 20 outer cladding but did not specify the materials to be
- 21 used for insulation."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 I just want to look at that drawing. If we can go to

28

25 it -- and we will need the native version -- this is

- EXO00000710}. If we can, what I want to do is look at the labels on the left -hand side of those sections.
- 3 Perhaps we can look at the top of the page, and zoom in.
- They're quite small, Mr Ashton, but -- that's better,
- 5 thank you.
- 6 So can you see that we get various labels here, and
- 7 we can see that at the top there it says "H92 Zinc
- 8 composite rainscreen panel and framing system to cills ",
- 9 can you read that?
- 10 A. I can, yes.
- $11\,$ Q. If we keep going down, we should see one labelled
- 12 H92/776.
- Yes, there, three labels up from the bottom, there's
- 14 H92/776, "Thermal insulation". Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Did you understand when you got these drawings what
- these little labels in circles were referring to?
- $18\,$ $\,$ A. Well, I assume that they were various components of the
- 19 cladding system.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Yes. $\,$ So, $\,$ I $\,$ mean, had you seen similar $\,$ references, $\,$ like
- H92, in other projects, or P10?
- 22 A. No, no, it didn't mean anything to be honest.
- 23 Q. So you didn't understand that that related to the
- 24 employer's requirements in the NBS specification for the
- 25 project?

- 1 A. No. No. And may I say, I didn't look at these drawings
- 2 in any great detail; I just noted that the outer
- 3 material seemed to be zinc, and that the insulation
- 4 wasn't defined at that stage.
- 5 Q. I see, so you noted that much.
- 6 A. Oh, yes.
- 7 Q. Why didn't you look at the drawings in any great detail?
- 8 A. Because, as I said earlier, we were no longer part of
- $9\,$ the design team, we hadn't been employed by Rydon, and
- $10 \hspace{1cm} \text{so I wasn't spending a lot of time on this} \, .$
- 11 Q. Did you ever make that clear to Studio E?
- 12 A. No.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. No, you didn't ever say, "By the way, I'm not spending
- $14 \hspace{1cm} \text{much time on this, you need to understand that"?} \\$
- 15 A. No, I didn't make that clear to them, no. But, as
- 16 an overall impression, we have a building which is going
- to be clad with zinc, with some aluminium elements, such
- as window flashings, and there was some insulation,
- which I would expect to see, but it wasn't specified as
- whatever it was, it was just insulation.
- Q. But you didn't think to ask for more details?
- 22 A. No. I think it comes back to the fact that I wasn't
- employed by Rydon, and therefore I didn't feel that
- I was obliged to spend a lot of time on this .
- 25 Q. Yes. Just to be clear -- I think I know what your

30

- answer is going to be, but I want to just check with
- 2 you -- did you ever see any employer's requirements or
- 3 the NBS specification at any stage on the Grenfell
- 4 project?
- 5 A. No. I mean, that's an interesting question because
- 6 employer's requirements are normally provided at the
- 7 beginning of a development, not towards the end, because
- 8 if you're drawing up a fire strategy for a building, you
- $9 \hspace{1cm} \text{need to} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{know what the employer's requirements are, and}$
- 10 I never saw them on this job.
- 11 Q. Did you ever ask to see the employer's requirements?
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. No. And had I done so at the time that I produced the
- 13 first report, they wouldn't have been available, it
- 14 would appear.
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Just to be clear, when you looked at these drawings sent
- 16 to you, was this the first time that you had looked at
- 17 Studio E's design and specification for the cladding
- 18 system?
- 19 A. Well, I was given to understand these were Harley
- 20 drawings, not Studio E drawings.
- 21 Q. I see, yes.
- 22 A. And it was the first time, yes.
- 23 Q. Can we then turn to your reply to the email you were
- sent then by Mr Crawford. {EXO00000708}. It's on the
- same day, and if we can zoom in to the top box, and this
 - 31
- 1 is at 15.32. You say:
 - 2 "Neil
- 3 "If the insulation in the cavities behind the
- 4 rainscreen cladding is combustible you will need to
- 5 provide cavity barrier as shown on your drawing (number
 - 1279 (06) 120) ..."
- 7 That's the one we were just looking at.
- 8 A. Indeed.

6

- 9 Q. "... in order to prevent fire from spreading from one
- 10 flat to the one above even if there isn't a continuous
- 11 cavity from the top to the bottom of the building.
- 12 "Kind regards
- 13 "Terry."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Now, I just want to take this response in stages.
- First of all, what do you mean by, "If the
- 18 insulation in the cavities behind the rainscreen is
- 19 combustible"?
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ A. Well, as I said yesterday, there were systems around
- which employed combustible insulation, but which had been validated for use by test to BS 8414 and therefore
- satisfied BR 135. So -- and there must be a number of
- buildings in the country which still have combustible
- cladding which is endorsed in that way by test evidence.

- Q. You have said there, "If the insulation in the cavities
- 2 is combustible"; did you think to ask for the
- 3 specification for the insulation to check that point?
- 4 A. No. No, I didn't.
- 5 Q. Why not?
- 6 A. I wanted to deal with this in a light way because
- 7 I wasn't working on the project full-time.
- 8 Q. I see.
- 9 What was the reaction to fire performance
- 10 requirement for insulation in Approved Document B for
- 11 buildings over 18 metres at this time?
- 12 Well, if you were going the linear route, as Studio E
- 13 described it, which is a term I don't understand, you
- 14 would have to use materials of limited combustibility.
- 15 However, if you were using a tested system, you wouldn't
- 16 have to do that.
- 17 Q. So under paragraph 12.7 of the ADB, the insulation
- 18 should be of limited combustibility in a building
- 19 greater than 18 metres.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What did you understand the term "limited
- 22 combustibility" to mean at the time?
- 23 Well, it's a firm -- sorry, it's a term that's defined
- 24 in Approved Document B, and broadly speaking it's --
- 25 historically it was introduced so that plasterboard

33

- 1 wasn't deemed to be a combustible material, bearing in
- 2 mind that plasterboard has a non-combustible core but is
- 3 faced both sides with paper or cardboard. So it was
- Δ an artificial definition produced by the DoE at the
- 5 time. Now --
- 6 Q. And --
- 7 A. Sorry, please go on.
- 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, you finish your answer.
- 9 A. Well, I pretty well have. Yes, I have, thank you.
- 10 MS GRANGE: Is it right that that definition was by
- 11 reference to certain fire testing?
- 12 A. It included materials which had been tested to various
- 13 parts of the British Standard, yes, indeed.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 Now, if you were aware that, under paragraph 12.7,
- 16 the insulation should be of limited combustibility, then
- 17 why were you asking whether the insulation was
- 18 combustible?
- 19 A. I wasn't asking; I was saying if it was combustible, you
- 20 would need to provide cavity barriers.
- 21 Q. Can you explain why you didn't immediately draw
- 22 Mr Crawford's attention to the fact that the insulation
- 23 must be of limited combustibility if following ADB
- 2.4 paragraph 12.7?
- 25 A. I didn't know which route they were taking.

- Q. Why didn't you ask?
- 2 A. Because, as I said earlier, I wasn't -- didn't feel
- 3 obliged to do a lot of work on this given that we were
- 4 not part of the design team.
- 5 Q. Would you agree, looking at this now, this email, that
 - it appears to suggest that combustible insulation is
- 7 permissible as long as there are cavity barriers?
- 8 It could be interpreted that way, yes, I agree.
- 9 Q. Is that how you meant the email to be read?
- 10 A. Yes, I think so. I mean, I didn't know what insulation
- 11 they were using. I didn't know whether they were going
- 12 compliance with ADB or whether they were going to use 13 a system which had been tested.
- 14 But given you didn't know, it wasn't the correct advice
- 15 to give, was it, to say or to suggest that if the
- 16 insulation is combustible, it's permissible as long as
- 17 there are cavity barriers?
- A. I could have worded it better, I concede that. 18
- 19 Q. Do you agree that, as at 18 September, you had not been
- 20 provided with any information suggesting that the
- 21 proposed cladding system that Studio E or Harley were
- 22 proposing to use had been tested to BS 8414 or otherwise
- 23 shown to meet the performance criteria in BR 135?
- 24 A. I hadn't been given any information at all about the
- 25 cladding, or the insulation for that matter.

35

- 1 Q. Did it not occur to you at this point to advise Studio E
- 2 about the risks of using combustible insulation in
 - a cladding façade?
- 4 A. I didn't feel that that was my job at this stage of the
- 5 project.

- 6 Q. I mean, I just want you to think about the warning that
- 7 is in Approved Document B at 12.5 that the use of
- 8 combustible materials in the cladding system may present
- 9 a risk to health and safety. Did you not think, with
- 10 reference to that very clear warning, that you ought to
- 11 be giving that warning to the design team on this
- 12 project?
- 13 A. No, I think if $\operatorname{--}$ I think it comes back to what I was
- 14 saying vesterday, which is that because of the very
- 15 onerous requirements of the thermal regulations,
- 16 designers are forced to use more thermally efficient
- 17 insulation, and at that time there were -- there was
- 18 a lot of work going on, making highly efficient
- 19 plastic -type materials compliant in order to minimise 20 the amount of insulation provided on the external walls
- 21 of buildings.
- 22 If you were restricted to using mineral wool, which 23 is effectively the only alternative, the thickness of
- 24 your external wall would be quite significant . That's 25
 - why there was a lot of work going on at seeing if other

- 1 materials that had better thermal properties than 2 mineral wool could be used, and they were having it
- 3 tested on a regular basis.
- 4 Q. Do you agree, looking at this now, that you ought to
- 5 have ensured that the design team was fully and properly
- 6 advised as to the risks of using combustible insulation
- 7 in the system and the requirements or the guidance in
- 8 Approved Document B, in particular at 12.5 and 12.7?
- 9 A. No, I think that the designers should have been aware
- 10 themselves of the need to comply with the
- Building Regulations and the guidance documents that
- they -- are published in support of those regulations.
- If this issue had come up at a time when I was
- 14 a fully paid-up member of the design team, then all of
- these things would have been discussed in much more
- 16 detail.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. I'm being asked to comment on things when we have
- effectively left the design team, and only in little
- bites of information, not the full picture.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did you appreciate at that time that that was quite
- a risky thing to be doing, to be advising piecemeal
- 23 without full details on something as important as
- an external wall, given the effect it could have on
- 25 compartmentation?

- A. Arguably it's a risky thing for the architect to do as
 well.
- 3 Q. But I'm asking you whether you appreciated at the
- 4 time --
- A. Asking me something -- a question which is not supportedby a sufficient level of detail.
- 7 Q. Just going back to the answer that you have given there,
- 8 you have recognised that combustible insulation would
- 9 permit the spread of flame upwards from one flat to
- another. Do you see that there?
- 11 A. Yes. Yeah.
- $12\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Can you explain $\,$ why you have suggested that the need for
- cavity barriers depends on there being combustible
- 14 insulation?
- 15 A. I'm not quite sure that I'm saying that. Cavity
- barriers are required irrespective of the combustibility
- 17 or otherwise of the insulation . The regulations are
- quite clear: you have to provide cavity barriers at the
- junction of all compartment walls and compartment floors
- with the external walls.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. Yes, quite, but can you see, reading this now, where you
- 22 said
- "If the insulation in the cavities behind the
- $24 \hspace{1cm} \text{rainscreen is combustible [if] you will need to provide} \\$

38

25 cavity barrier as shown on your drawing ..."

Do you see that? Can you see that it seems to make

- 2 it contingent, the cavity barriers, on there being
- 3 combustible insulation?
- $4\,$ $\,$ A. If it's read that way, then it wasn't meant to read that
- $\,\,\,$ $\,\,$ way, and I $\,$ concede that $\,$ it $\,$ could have been more clearly
- 6 worded.
- 7 O. Yes.
- 8 It's right, isn't it, that Approved Document B
- 9 recommended that cavity barriers were provided around 10 the windows and also at the head of the cladding system
- 11 regardless of whether the insulation was combustible?
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. That was the requirement or recommendation in the
- approved document, yes, I agree.
- 14 Q. So even if the insulation was of limited combustibility,
- isn't it right that cavity barriers were still required
- 16 to be provided if following that guidance?
- 17 A. If you followed that guidance to the letter, yes, that's
- 18 correct.
- 19 Q. At this time, were you aware of paragraph 12.8 of
- 20 Approved Document B, which required cavity barriers to
- be installed in accordance with section 9 of Approved
- 22 Document B?
- 23 A. Yes, I was aware of that.
- 24 Q. And were you aware that to comply with section 9 and, in
- 25 particular, diagram 33, cavity barriers are required

- around all openings in the external walls, ie around all
- window openings, at the head and top of the external
- 3 wall and at the junction of compartment walls and
- 4 floors?
- 5 A. Yes. Yes, I was aware.
- 6 Q. Those cavity barriers are all required, just to be
- 7 clear, irrespective of the reaction to fire performance
- 8 of the insulation; do you agree?
- 9 A. I do agree.
- $10\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Given that, $\,$ why do you not recommend cavity barriers at
- the window openings or at the top of the external walls?
- 12 A. That wasn't the question I was being asked, I don't
- 13 think
- $14\,$ Q. Can we just go back to the drawing that you looked at .
- You appear to have looked at it, because in the email
- you say "you will need to provide cavity barrier as
- shown on your drawing", so it does appear that you
- looked at this drawing at the time.
- 19 A. Very briefly, yes.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Can we go back to that drawing, the native version,
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \{ \text{EXO00000710} \}. \hspace{1cm} I \hspace{1cm} \text{want to zoom in on that } \hspace{1cm} \text{top section}$
- again as much as we can.
- Now, the point $\, I \,$ want to put to you is that the
- horizontal cavity barriers in this drawing are not
- $25\,$ placed around the window frames but only in between the $$40\,$

- windows. So in this section, for example, there's
- 2 nothing shown at cill level, is there? Can we see that
- 3 at the bottom of the page? There is no cavity
- 4 barrier --
- $5\,$ $\,$ A. Maybe the drawing needs to go up a $\,$ bit so I can see the
- 6 bottom. There is a cavity barrier which is in the same
- 7 horizontal plane as the floor.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- $10\,$ Q. But can you see the window frame above that? There is
- 11 no cavity barrier, there is no label for a cavity
- 12 barrier, at the cill of the window, at the bottom of the
- 13 window.
- 14 A. I can see that now, yes, but as I said to you earlier,
- I didn't look at this drawing in any great detail.
- 16 Q. So when you were advising that they would need to
- provide the cavity barriers as shown in this drawing,
- were you just talking about the cavity barrier you can
- see and you didn't think about whether or not other
- 20 cavity barriers ought to be there as well?
- 21 A. No, that's right.
- 22 Q. Again, can you explain why you advised in that way?
- 23 A. I can't really add to what I said earlier, which is that
- I didn't give these drawings much attention, I just
- wanted to know in broad terms what they were doing.

- 1 I wasn't asking for full constructional details in order
- 2 for me to do hours and hours of work which I was not
- 3 entitled to be paid for.
- 4 Q. Were you aware of the importance of cavity barriers
- 5 around the windows --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- in terms of preventing fire spread from the
- 8 compartment into the cavity and then into the system?
- $9\,$ A. Yes, we did have a little bit of a discussion about this
- 10 yesterday. You definitely need cavity barriers at the
- junction of walls and compartment floors. There is some
- debate about the efficacy of cavity barriers around
- 13 window openings where you have effectively got cavity
- barriers on all four sides.
- However, I concede that that is not what the
- 16 approved document says.
- 17 Q. No, diagram 33 is very clear, isn't it?
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- $19\,$ $\,$ Q. Why didn't you give detailed advice to Mr Crawford as to
- $20 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{the required location of cavity barriers in accordance} \\$
- with the requirements of Approved Document B?
- $22\,$ A. I'm sorry if this is going to sound repetitive: we
- $23 \qquad \quad \text{weren't really charged with helping them in this way}.$
- We weren't part -- we weren't employed by Rydon.
- $25\,$ Q. Does it follow that you didn't think it was part of your

- 1 role, when you were responding to this email, to be
- 2 stating that the drawings did not comply with Approved
- 3 Document B because they didn't have cavity barriers at
- 4 the window openings?
- 5 A. No, I didn't see that to be my function at all.
- 6 Q. And these drawings don't show cavity barriers at the top
- 7 of the external wall either. If we zoom out, we see the
- 8 top, on the right-hand side of this drawing -- we were
- 9 looking at the left.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. And there is no cavity barrier at the top of the
- building; do you see that?
- 13 A. There's not one that's labelled, but there's one,
- looking at it now which could be --
- 15 Q. There's one at the top of that window, but I'm talking
- higher up. Shouldn't there have been one higher up at
- the very head of the cladding system?
- 18 A. I can't answer that now. I mean, if I had been asked to
- look at these in detail some time earlier in the design
- 20 procedure, then I would have gone over them with
- 21 a fine-tooth comb.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 Later that same day, so staying with
- 24 18 September 2014, Neil Crawford sent you another email.
- 25 Can we go to that at {SEA00011724}, and I want to look

43

- 1 at the top of page 1.
- 2 So just looking at that very first email at the top, 3 so this is now at 4.07 in the afternoon, and then he
- 4 savs:

5

6

11

- "Terry
 - "Is this interpretation correct (see below)?
- 7 "Regards
- 8 "Neil."
- 9 Then if we can look at the email directly below,
- it's from Daniel Anketell-Jones to Mr Crawford, copying
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. "Subject ... Grenfell Tower Cavity Fire barriers."

in Rydon and Kevin Lamb as well.

- 14 "Neil
- 15 "Thank you for your response.
- The insulation is class 0... Therefore after
- reading the correspondence below; I believe that the
- fire barrier in these locations, will not be necessary.
- "Can you confirm that this is acceptable?"

design team about whether --

- 20 So this is a debate that's going on within the
- 22 A. Right

21

- 23 Q. -- a further fire barrier is necessary, and he has said
- there the insulation is class 0; do you see that there?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

44

- Q. Now, we can also see from the top email, when
- 2 Neil Crawford emails you forwarding this on, he attaches
- 3 a "Rainscreen cladding product datasheet aug14.pdf". Do
- 4 you see that there?
- 5 A. I see there is an attachment, yes.
- 6 Q. I want to just go to that attachment. This is at
- 7 {RYD00018155}. This is, if we zoom in to the top half
- $8\,$ of this, the Celotex RS5000. In the very top right-hand
- 9 corner, there is actually a date, which I can't now see
- $10\,$ on the screen. Yes, "Issue 1, August 2014"; do you see
- 11 that there?
- 12 A. Yes, indeed.
- Q. So this is the Celotex RS5000 datasheet for a premium rainscreen cladding board, and it says there it is:
- 15 $\,$ "... our premium performance PIR solution for use in
- 16 rainscreen cladding systems."
- through what happened with the emails before I ask you
- a number of questions.
- I want to go to your response to Mr Crawford's email where he asks for advice on Mr Anketell-Jones'
- interpretation. If we can go to that at {EX000001430},
- this is 16.21, so a little bit later in the afternoon,
- you respond to Mr Crawford:

- 1 "Neil
- 2 "A material which has a Class 0 rating is not
- necessarily non-combustible although the reverse is
- invariably true. Some Class 0 products will burn when exposed to a fully developed fire. In any case, you
- 6 need to prevent fire spread from [one] flat to the flat
- above as I stated in my earlier email. What isn't clear
- 8 from the information to hand is whether or not there is
- 9 a continuous cavity from top to bottom in any part of
- 10 the cladding (apart from around the column casings)
- irrespective of the type of insulation?
- 12 "Kind regards
- 13 "Terry."
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Do you see that? Now, again, I want to ask you a number
- of questions about this exchange.
- First of all, do you recall reading the datasheet
- $18 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{for the insulation which Mr Crawford had forwarded to} \\$
- 19 you?
- 20 A. No, I didn't open the attachment.
- 21 Q. Do you know --
- $22\,$ $\,$ A. Why would I? I mean, I didn't see the need to open the
- 23 attachment.
- 24 Q. Why not?
- 25 A. Well, I was just dealing with an exchange of emails

- about whether a class 0 material is -- means you don't
- 2 need to have cavity barriers.
- 3 Q. Wouldn't it be important to open the attachment, look at
- 4 the datasheet, so you can see exactly what type of
- 5 insulation it is?
- 6 A. This is down to communication. I think I assumed that
- 7 if I needed to look at it, Neil would have said, "Please
- 8 see the attached and the datasheet attached". He
- 9 didn't. He just asked me a question about whether,
- $10\,$ $\,$ you know, you needed cavity barriers because of the $\,$ fire
- performance of the material.
- 12 Q. Well, he has asked you about whether Mr Anketell-Jones'
- 13 interpretation is correct. He says the insulation is
- class 0, and they have forwarded to you the datasheet so
- you have got the information on the insulation. Wasn't
- it patently clear that you needed to open that
- 17 attachment and then respond?
- $18\,$ $\,$ A. No, it wasn't clear to me that that was what I needed to
- 19 do.
- 20 Q. Were you familiar with the Celotex RS5000 product at
- 21 this time? So this is September 2014. It was actually
- launched in August 2014, as per the datasheet. So it
- was only launched a month before, but were you aware of
- 24 the Celotex RS5000 product?
- 25 A. I was aware that there was a product called Celotex.

47

- 1 I've not actually investigated or not had cause to
- 2 investigate it for any particular application.
- 3 Q. How long had you been aware? Because we know there had
- 4 been another product called FR5000, which in fact is the
- 5 same product but they then marketed it differently. How
- 6 long had you been aware of the Celotex insulation
- 7 product?
- 8 A. It's difficult to put a time on it.
- 9 Q. Did you know what type of insulation it was?
- $10\,$ $\,$ A. Well, I knew it was PIR foam, which is polyisocyanurate
- or polyurethane foam.
- 12 Q. Did you know --
- 13 A. It doesn't mean -- these foam products had been around
- 14 for a long while. As I referred to yesterday, they were
- 15 used in insulation to fast food factories and the like.
- 16 Q. Did you know its reaction to fire performance?
- 17 A. No, I didn't.
- 18 Q. Were you aware that there might be an issue about how
 - a PIR insulation would perform in an external cladding
- 20 system

19

- $21\,$ A. Yes. Yes, of course I was aware of that, but I wasn't
- aware they were using it here.
- 23 Q. Now, in your first witness statement you said at
- paragraph 5.20 $\{EXO00001621/16\}$ that you do not remember
- 25 seeing the datasheet.

3

6

- A. That's right, because I didn't open the attachment.
- 2 Q. So you just didn't open the attachment?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. That's what I just wanted to clarify .
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. So you didn't take any information away from that
- 7 datasheet at all?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Can you explain to us, with reference to your email and
- 10 discussion of class 0, how class 0 is relevant to
- 11 insulation products in an external rainscreen system?
- 12 It isn't really relevant. I mean, if you're looking at
- 13 Approved Document B, what it says -- and I summarise
- 14 it -- is that insulation must be of limited
- 15 combustibility and the external wall finished outer
- 16 surface must be class 0.
- 17 Q. If it isn't really relevant, why didn't you respond
- 18 saying exactly that, saying, "I'm sorry, class 0 is not
- 19 relevant to an insulation product and whether it should
- 20 be on an external wall"? It wouldn't be relevant
- 21 whether you were following Approved Document B or the
- 22 full fire test route, would it?
- 23 A. I didn't know at that time what insulation they were
- 24 using. In fact, I didn't know until after the fire had
- 25 taken place. But they were saying, "If we've got

49

- 1 a material that is class 0, we don't need cavity
- 2. barriers", in effect, and I'm saying "Oh, yes, you do".
- 3 Q. I see, so you just took it at face value that it was
- 4 a material with class 0 and you responded to that narrow
- 5 question?
- 6 A. Yes, I dealt with it in a narrow way, for the reasons
- 7 I've given earlier. At this stage of the development of
- 8 the building, I was not really fully engaged. I was not
- 9 really engaged at all.
- 10 Q. Again, my question is the same as before: why didn't you
- 11 immediately refer to paragraph 12.7 of ADB and explain
- 12 that the insulation needed to be of limited
- 13 combustibility if following that guidance?
- 14 A. Well, I think I've already answered that question. We
- 15 weren't --
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Ashton -- sorry, carry on. 16
- 17 A. We weren't part of the design team. I mean, what are
- 18 the obligations on somebody who has stopped working on
- 19 a project to carry on as if nothing had happened?
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, Mr Ashton, that rather feeds 20
- 21 into what I wanted to ask you.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Which is this: if you had regarded

50

- 24 yourself as still part of the design team, would you
- 25 have responded in a different way?

- A. Yes, I would have probably spent more time looking at
- 2 the drawings and literally looking at it in much more
- 3 detail
- 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And the attachment, would you have
- 5 opened the attachment?
- 6 A. I might have done but, you know, I wasn't part of the
- 7 design team and that was the way I felt my status was
- 8 with regard to the project.
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, I understand that. 9
- 10 If you're asked a question on an ad hoc basis, so
- you're not part of the design team but for commercial reasons you are willing to respond to questions, is
- 12
- 13 there any reason why you shouldn't be expected to
- 14 respond in a fully professional manner?
- 15 A. No. No, there isn't a reason why we shouldn't do that.
- 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So you would expect to do that?
- 17 A. I would expect to do that, yes, that's fair comment.
- 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would there be any difference
- 19 between responding in a professional manner to an ad hoc
- 20 question and responding in a professional manner as part
- 21 of the design team?
- 22 A. Well, I think that's a difficult question to answer,
- 23 because if you're not part of the design team, you're
- 24 not really obligated to give them any answer at all,
- 25 which is something I said yesterday, I think. With the
 - 51
 - 1 wisdom of hindsight, maybe I should have said to
 - 2 Studio E, "Look, I'm no longer part of the design team,
 - please stop sending me technical queries".
 - 4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I do understand that, and
 - 5 I can see the force of what you say, that you could have
 - responded by saying, "It's not part of my responsibility
 - 7 to answer these questions". But once you do agree to
- 8 answer the questions, I'm just wondering whether there
- 9 is any real difference between one's professional
- 10 obligations as a member of the design team and one's
- 11 professional obligations in answering questions on
- 12 an ad hoc basis.
- 13 A. Probably not. Put in those terms, probably not.
- 14 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 15 Yes, Ms Grange.
- 16 MS GRANGE: Yes, just a couple of follow-up questions on
- 17
- 18 Had you been part of the design team, would you have 19 advised that the insulation needed to be of limited
- 20 combustibility, do you think?
- 21 A. Or tested, yes, indeed
- 22 Q. That's a very simple, easy thing for you to advise,
- 23 isn't it? It didn't require detailed research.
- 24 A.
- 25 You knew that, did you, at the time?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So it wasn't something that was going to take you a lot 3 of extra time, was it, to go and research?
- 4 A. Not necessarily research, just tell them that it needed
- 5 to be of limited combustibility or justified by test
- 6 data. That's a sentence which I could have added,
- 7 I agree.
- 8 Q. Is it right that at this time you're still recording
- 9 your time and assuming you're going to be billing for
- 10 the work? Is that correct?
- 11 A. Not necessarily anticipating billing, but certainly
- 12 recording my time, that's part of -- you know, you have
- 13 to record what you spend your time on, on a timesheet.
- 14 Everybody does that.
- 15 Q. I think what Exova do -- we will look at this later --
- 16 is they still have some headroom in their stage D/E fee,
- 17 so it just gets added to that in the end, and you never
- 18 reach the limit of that stage D/E fee. Was that your
- 19 understanding?
- 20 A. I think that's correct, yes.
- 21 Q. Did you ever at any stage on the project give any
- 22 consideration as to whether or not Celotex RS5000 was
- 23 a suitable insulation product to be used on the tower?
- 24 A. No, because I didn't know it was being used.
- 25 Q. Did it occur to you to -- forget whether you opened the

- 1 Celotex datasheet -- quickly look up the product,
- 2 research it yourself, or even approach Celotex directly
- 3 and find out what type of insulation it was?
- 4 A. I'm sorry, as I just said, I didn't know they were using
- 5 Celotex on the building.
- 6 Q. Do you agree that if a product is of limited
- 7 combustibility, it will typically be marketed as such?
- 8 So take Rockwool, for example. Do you agree that
- 9 normally they'll expressly say in the marketing
- 10 material, "This is of limited combustibility"?
- 11 A. Oh, yes, and I would expect them to say a bit more than
- 12 that, "It's suitable for use in high-rise buildings",
- 13 and so on, and what tests it had been tested under, and
- 14 so on. It would be quite a fairly comprehensive
- 15 description. Because if you try and market a material
- 16 as being of limited combustibility, people wouldn't
- 17 understand it.
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 In your second statement at paragraph 2.3
- 20 {EXO00001775/2} you say that had it been suggested that
- 21 the insulation was Celotex, you would have raised doubts
- 22 about that, and you said something similar yesterday in
- 23 oral evidence {Day17/96:1}, you said:
- 24 "If I had been told that they were using Celotex,
- 25 I would have said that that is not acceptable without

54

- 1 test evidence that it is suitable for use on that 2 building."
- 3 I'm suggesting to you now that effectively you were
- 4 told that they were using Celotex; you were sent the 5
- 6 A. No. I mean, I think this is in the same sort of
- 7 category as sending me a link to a stage C report but
- 8 not asking me to look at it and comment on it.
 - Q. Now, in your reply, just looking at it, you say:
- 10 "A material which has a class 0 rating is not 11 necessarily non-combustible ..."
- 12 Can you help us -- I know you touched on this
- 13 yesterday -- precisely what your understanding is of the
- 14 meaning of class 0?
- 15 A. I couldn't give you the precise definition in Approved
- 16 Document B but, as I said earlier this morning, it was
- 17 an artificial term composed by the DoE to ensure that
- 18 plasterboard was not put at a commercial disadvantage
- 19 because it had cardboard facings. That's the background
- 20 to it. So it's a sort of artificial definition, if you
- 21

3

5

24

- 22 I mean, I'm not aware of any materials of limited
- 23 combustibility other than non-combustible materials.
- 24 You know, mineral wool. It is probably the only
- 25 material you can use with confidence in the sort of

55

- 1 situation that we're talking about, unless you happen to
- 2 have had your system tested and validated for use on
 - high-rise buildings.
- 4 When you state there in the second line:
 - "Some Class 0 products will burn when exposed to a
- 6 fully developed fire." 7 Which class 0 products were you meaning to refer to
- 8
- 9 A. I couldn't be specific. I mean, some will. If they're
- 10 mineral wool, they will have a class 0 rating but it 11 won't burn.
- 12 Q. You weren't aware at the time, were you, whether
- 13 Celotex RS5000 was non-combustible or whether it was, in
- 14 your words, a product which will burn when exposed to
- 15 a fully developed fire?
- A. I think I knew that Celotex was isocyanurate foam, which 16
- 17 is not a non-combustible material.
- 18 O. Just moving on within this email, there is a lot of
- 19 detail in here, why do you ask whether there is
- 20 a continuous cavity apart from around the column
- 21 casings? Did you believe that such a cavity within the
- 22 column casings would have been permissible?
- 23 Α. I was just asking whether there was one or not.

And you weren't curious to see the full details of the

25 drawing so you could see more information about the

1 cavities and whether they were continuous in certain

- 2 locations?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Would you agree that you are not in a position to
- 5 provide comprehensive advice without full details of the
- 6 cladding system and the design and should not have
- 7 sought to give that advice without having that?
- 8 A. No, I was answering a fairly simple question and I felt
- 9 able to answer that without being in full knowledge of
- 10 what was going on. The specific question was: do we
- 11 need to have cavity barriers if the material we're using
- 12 is a class 0 rating? And the answer is you still do.
- Now, maybe I should have just stopped at that. 13
- 14 Q. Did you not think there was an immediate need to correct
- 15 Harley's interpretation that all they needed to be
- 16 concerned about was whether or not it was class 0?
- 17 A. I thought I did by this response.
- 18 Q. You also say you need to prevent fire spread from one
- 19 flat to the flat above. Where is that requirement taken
- 20 from? What were you thinking of when you said that?
- 21 A. Approved Document B.
- 22 Q. And any particular part of it?
- 23 A. Well, it's the provision of cavity barriers that's in
- 24 B -- in section 13 of Approved Document B.
- 25 Q. Yes.

57

- 1 A. Where you need them.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. I mean, it's very difficult to give a two or three-page
- 4 answer to every -- what I regarded as fairly minor
- 5 technical questions.
- 6 Q. Did you ever think about approaching other experts in
- 7 Exova to help you when advising on these topics?
- 8 A. I didn't think I needed to.
- 9 Q. I mean, this goes into my next question, which is: did
- 10 you feel that you were appropriately qualified and had
- 11 the right expertise to be advising on these issues?
- 12 A. Do you mean the totality of the issues that they raised
- 13 or just this particular one?
- 14 Q. This particular string, so back to the RFI and then
- 15 being asked about the insulation is class 0 and cavity
- 16 barriers; did you feel that you were appropriately
- 17 qualified to give the right advice about these
- 18 auestions?
- 19 A. Yes, I did feel suitably qualified.
- 20 Q. Going back to your answer earlier, you said, "If I had
- 21 been asked the specific question: is it okay to use
- 22 Celotex RS5000, then I $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$ might have answered in
- 23 a different way".
- 24 Were you qualified and experienced to advise on the
- 25 use of Celotex RS5000? If you had been asked to advise

- 1 on that specific product, would you have thought you
- 2 were able to or would you have referred it to other
- 3 experts within Exova?
- 4 No, I think I would have been able to do it on my own.
- 5 Q. Now, you're asking a question at the end. You're 6
 - saving:
- 7 "What isn't clear from the information to hand is
- 8 whether or not there is a continuous cavity from top to 9 bottom in any part of the cladding ... irrespective of
- 10 the type of insulation?"
- 11 Can you recall, we can't find any evidence of this,
- 12 but did Mr Crawford or Mr Anketell-Jones ever get back
- 13 to you regarding the extent of the external wall cavity
- 14 as you requested?
- 15 No, I don't think they came back at all.
- 16 Q. And you didn't think to chase for a response?
- 17 A. No, the last statement in there was intended to be
- 18 helpful: are there continuous cavities?
- 19 Q. And you didn't think that you needed to clarify the
- 20 position you had posed in this email before letting this
- 21 trail go cold?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 MS GRANGE: Mr Chairman, I think that's an appropriate
- 24 moment, actually. There is a related topic coming, but
- 25 I would rather do that --

- 1 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It's probably best to break now,
- 2 isn't it?
- 3 MS GRANGE: Yes, it would be.
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Ashton, we will have another 4
- 5 break now.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
- 7 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We will come back at 11.35, so if
- 8 you would like to go with the usher, that would be good.
- 9 Please remember not to talk to anyone about your
- 10 evidence while you're out of the room. I have to keep
- 11 telling you that just in case you forget.
- 12 THE WITNESS: It's all right, I won't forget. Thank you.
- 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sure you won't. Thank you very
- 14 much.

15

- (Pause)
- 16 Right, 11.35, please.
- 17 MS GRANGE: Thank you.
- 18 (11.17 am)
- 19 (A short break)
- 20 (11.35 am)
- 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton, ready to carry
- 22
- 23 THE WITNESS: I am, thank you.
- 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
- 25 Yes, Ms Grange.

- 1 MS GRANGE: Yes, just a couple of follow-up questions from 2 this morning's evidence.
- 3 At what point did you consider that your retainer as
- 4 per the fee proposal had ended? You seemed to be saying
- 5 you had entered a different stage and you weren't part
- of the design team and you didn't consider you were
- 7 appointed anymore. At what point are you saying you
- 8 thought that?
- $9\,$ $\,$ A. $\,$ I $\,$ think $\,$ when I became aware that Rydon had been
- appointed and they hadn't approached us to help them.
- 11 Q. Isn't it quite common for fire engineers to stay client
- 12 side, so to carry on advising your client, the TMO,
- despite the appointment of a design and build
- 14 contractor? Doesn't that happen on some projects?
- 15 A. That's exactly what is happening on a project that I'm
- 16 currently working on, the client said, "I want you to
- 17 carry on", notwithstanding the fact that there has been
- a contractor appointed to do the job. That being the
- case, I would have expected some sort of indication from
- the client that he wanted us to carry on.
- 21 Q. But you didn't get any kind of indication that they
- didn't want you to --
- 23 A. No, no.
- 24 Q. -- carry on, did you?
- 25 A. Obviously. That's the obvious inference --

- 1 Q. And you --
- 2~ A. $\,$ -- you can draw from not being said, don't, you know --
- 3 Q. And you carried on --
- 4 A. -- just carry on.
- 5 Q. -- receiving requests for advice?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can I just look at your fee proposal for a moment. We
- 8 will come back to this later, EXO00000474. I beg your
- 9 pardon, sorry, that's not the fee proposal. Sorry,
- 10 it 's --
- 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sorry, before you go on, can
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{I ask you to } \hspace{0.1cm} \text{clarify} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{.} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{You just said to Ms Grange} -- \hspace{0.1cm} \text{I'm}$
- looking at the transcript -- she put it to you you
- 14 didn't get any kind of indication that they didn't,
- 15 I think she meant want you to continue.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You said, "No, no, obviously, that's
- the obvious inference you can draw from not being", and
- 19 then there was some overspeaking.
- 20 A. Sorry, did I not make it clear?
- $21\,$ $\,$ SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's what I want you just to
- 22 clarify.
- 23 A. If there had been no communication at all from the
- 24 client, then it would have been reasonable to assume
- 25 that they were happy for me to advise on an as-and-when

1 basis.

6

- 2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Okay, thank you. Yes.
- 3 MS GRANGE: Sorry, I've got the reference now,
- 4 {TMO10003885}, so this is the fee proposal that we
- 5 looked at of 9 May where you set out your work for the
 - TMO, and we know that that was accepted, and you were
- 7 told to proceed on that basis.
- 8 Can we just look at page 5 $\{TMO10003885/5\}$ within
- $9\,$ that. There is a section there headed "Changes to
- 10 client or invoice details ", and it says there:
- "If, during the project, you inform us of changes to the client or invoicing information, we would be happy
- 13 to make those changes. However, if there are changes to
- $14 \hspace{1cm} \text{the client company ... we would need to carry out a} \\$
- 15 credit check ..."
- 16 Et cetera.
- 17 A. Right.
- $18\,$ Q. You were never informed, were you, that there had been
 - any change to the client at any stage?
- 20 A. No. no.

19

- 21 Q. So you understood your client throughout to be the TMO;
- 22 is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Even when you were providing the ad hoc advice you were
- 25 providing in 2014 and 2015?

63

- $1\,$ $\,$ A. Yes, well, I think I assumed that KCTMO were still the
- 2 client.
- 3 Q. Yes. Once you knew that you were being asked for ad hoc
- 4 advice, in, say, September 2014, that we were looking at
- 5 this morning, did you think to go back to the TMO and
- 6 raise your status, your contractual status, with them?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Just on another point, we looked at that drawing that
- 9 you were sent. Can we go back to that. This is at
- 10 {EXO00000710}. This is the drawing you were sent by
- Mr Crawford, and I want to go again to the top left -hand
- section and zoom in on that.
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. If you see the labels towards the bottom of that, there
- is a label with a little circle "H92/125", and it says
- 16 "PPC aluminium composite rainscreen panel and framing
- 17 system"; do you see that?
- 18 A. I see that now, yes.
- $19\,$ Q. There is a line and a label and you can see it's
- referring to the outer panel of the rainscreen system,
- as distinct from the insulation that's sitting behind
- it . Do you see that?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 Q. So it's referring there to a composite, aluminium
- composite, do you see that, "TBC"?

A. I also see "TBC" after that, yes. 1 requirements of AD B2 Table A7 and so should not be 2 2 Q. Yes. Yesterday you said in evidence -- I think this is accepted as meeting AD B2 paragraph 12.7. However, if 3 around pages 76 and 77 (Day17/76) -- that you weren't 3 they are included as part of a cladding system being 4 4 familiar with composite metal panels. So when you tested to BR135 & BS8414, the complete assembly may 5 looked at this drawing, did it occur to you that that 5 ultimately prove to be acceptable." 6 6 was something you ought to clarify and check? If you Do you see that? 7 7 weren't familiar with the aluminium composite, was that A. I do, yes. That's more or less what I was saying 8 8 not a trigger to find out more about that? earlier, without referring to rigid polyurethane foam 9 9 A. It might have been, but "TBC" means to be confirmed. boards. I mean, any system can be justified by test to 10 10 be suitable for use. Q. Yes. 11 11 Q. Did you have any understanding around this time of the A. It might have been an alternative material, ultimately, 12 but, as I said earlier, I didn't look at these drawings 12 limited conditions in which Celotex RS5000 could be used 13 13 in a huge amount of detail. within an external cladding system? 14 14 Q. So picking it back up in 2014, in the light of the A. Not specifically, but I was aware that Celotex was not 15 15 advice we've just looked at that you gave, were you a non-combustible material. 16 aware of the Building Control Alliance Technical 16 Q. I see. 17 Guidance Note 18: Use of Combustible Cladding Materials 17 The datasheet can be found -- let's have a look at 18 on Residential Buildings, issue 0, that had been 18 it again -- {RYD00018155}, if we can blow that up. 19 19 published in June 2014? Were you aware of that I want to look in particular, actually, at page 3 20 20 publication? {RYD00018155/3} and the top of page 3. So it says 21 21 A. No, I wasn't. 22 22 Q. Can we just bring that up so you can see it. It's at "Celotex RS5000 is a premium performance solution 23 23 {CEL00003615}. This is the Building Control Alliance and is the first PIR board to successfully meet the 24 24 note. performance criteria set out in BR 135 for rainscreen 25 25 So do I take it you didn't read this at the time of cladding systems." 67 1 1 working on the Grenfell project? Then it says there: 2 2. A. No, I didn't. "The system tested was as follows ..." 3 3 Q. Have you ever read this piece of guidance? And it explains what the system was. Can you see 4 4 that it involved 12 millimetre fibre cement panels; do A. No. I haven't. 5 Q. So you're not aware of the warnings that are contained 5 you see that? 6 6 within it about the use of combustible materials on A. I do. 7 7 residential buildings and the different routes to Q. Were you aware at any time when you were working on the 8 8 compliance that it's recommending when dealing with Grenfell project that if you're using Celotex RS5000, it 9 would have to be within the parameters of this system 9 that? 10 A. No, but looking at it, it's sort of pretty similar 10 that was tested? 11 A. No, I think, as a general comment, if you have had 11 guidance as to what is contained in other publications. 12 I'm not quite sure why the BCA decided that they needed 12 a particular construction tested to BS 8414 and you then 13 13 want to use -- vary that specification slightly, at the to reinforce that because, as I say, just looking at it, 14 I can't really see anything in there that adds any 14 time you were able to go and get an assessment from the 15 15 information to what was already in the marketplace. test house that conducted the test. Q. I see. 16 16 Q. Yes, a desktop assessment. 17 So just looking at it in a bit more detail, under 17 A. And say, "Look, this is slightly different, we're using 18 "Key Issues", if we can zoom in on the bottom of that 18 a different facing material, we're not using fibre 19 page, it says there, in the third paragraph: 19 cement panels, we might be using" -- I don't know --

66 68

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A Surface Spread of Flame Classification does not

"Thermosetting insulants (rigid polyurethane foam

infer any resistance to combustibility, it is solely a

measure of the spread of a flame across the surface."

Then in the first bullet it says:

boards) do not meet the limited combustibility

20

21

22

23

24

25

"sheet steel, can you assess whether that will still

Did you think at this time to ask Mr Crawford what

type of rainscreen cladding panel was proposed at this

perform in the same way as the tested system?"

this is {EXO00001430}.

Q. Can I go back to the email you wrote to $\,Mr$ Crawford,

- 1 time?
- 2 A. No, I didn't.
- 3 Q. Given it was clear from these email exchanges that there
- 4 was some confusion within the design team, did you think
- 5 that it might be helpful to suggest a meeting to discuss
- 6 these issues?
- 7 A. Yes, but equally I would -- if -- it could have come
- 8 from the other side. If there was some doubt as to
- 9 whether or not what they were doing was acceptable then
- it would be open to them to have called for a meeting.
- $11\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did you think about whether or not you ought to advise
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{Mr Crawford that the fire strategy report that you had} \\$
- 13 written in November 2013, a third issue, should be
- revised given that the B4 compliance was to be confirmed
- by an analysis in a future issue of this report?
- 16 A. No, I didn't think to tell him at that point.
- 17 Q. Can you explain why?
- 18 A. No, I can't, really.
- 19 Q. Now, in his oral evidence, Mr Crawford said a number of
- 20 things about discussions that he had with you at this
- 21 time, and I want to take you to some of what he said, so
- that you have the chance to respond to that.
- 23 A. Okay
- 24 Q. You have addressed this in your second statement, but
- I want to ask you some more questions about what

- 1 Mr Crawford said.
- 2 Can we go to the transcript at {Day9/176:23}. This
 3 is right at the bottom, I just want to read this. So he
- 5 "I think when I spoke with Exova, it must have been 6 17 or 18 September ..."
- 7 And he is talking about 2014 here.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. So the exact dates we were just talking about:
- 10 "... to confirm what ... confirm the compliance of11 the Celotex insulation and the proposed cavity barrier
- strategy, my understanding from the conversation with
- Exova is the ... that what was being proposed was
- compliant. I'm not sure if I entirely understood on
- 15 which method they were using or which basis they assumed
- it to be compliant. I think I probably assumed a linear
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{route.} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{But I think, having said that, Exova have} \\$
- a massive -- they've a massive wealth of knowledge in
- 19 terms of similar projects and they may have ... may have
- 20 had the confidence to say what they said based on
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \text{desktop studies, previous projects, similar projects,} \\$
- 22 similar build-ups."
- Do you see that there?
- 24 A. I do, yes.
- 25 Q. So he is saying that he spoke with you around 17 or

70

1 18 September to confirm the compliance of the Celotex

- 2 insulation.
- Now, I just want to ask you first: do you recall
- 4 speaking with Mr Crawford on the telephone at the time
- 5 that these email exchanges were being sent and
- 6 exchanged?
- 7 A. No, I don't recall any conversation with Neil Crawford.
- 8 Q. So you didn't have any conversations with him around
- 9 this time?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Or in the days thereafter?
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. No. It's interesting he says "conversation with Exova";
- he doesn't name me as the person that he spoke to.
- $14\,$ Q. It's pretty clear from his evidence that he is talking
- about you, Mr Ashton.
- 16 A. Well, I didn't have this conversation with him.
- 17 Q. So did you ever confirm the compliance of the Celotex
- insulation in conversations with him at this time?
- 19 A. No, definitely not.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did you ever have a conversation with him in which you
- were talking about the Celotex RS5000 insulation?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Can we look at another extract from his oral evidence --
- 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Sorry to interrupt, Ms Grange.
- 25 If Mr Crawford is right, it would be quite

71

- an important conversation, wouldn't it?
- 2 A. It would indeed, yes.
- 3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm just wondering: was it your
- 4 practice to keep notes of a conversation of that kind,
- 5 if it had taken place?
- 6 A. No, I wouldn't have kept notes, but, given that it is
- 7 an important subject, I would have expected him to
- 8 confirm by email that I had agreed that these materials
- 9 were acceptable. To rely on a telephone conversation or
- an alleged telephone conversation is not very reliable.
- 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you.
- 12 Sorry, Ms Grange.
- 13 MS GRANGE: Thank you, yes.
 - .
- Can we look at another extract from the transcript. This is $\{Day10/58:1\}$ down to $\{Day10/59:1\}$. So the
- 16 question is:
- $\ensuremath{^{17}}$ "So just so I've got your evidence clear on this,
- 18 it's the class 0 fire performance throughout the entire
- product which to you meant that it was safe to use on buildings above 18 metres as an insulation product?"
- buildings above 18 metres as an insulation product?"Do you see that there?
- 22 A. Yes, I do, yes.
- 23 Q. The answer that Mr Crawford gives:
- 24 "Answer: No, no, it's the entire presentation
- 25 material. I then sought to check that interpretation

1 with Exova, which I did, and which I understood from 1 2 2 what was fairly emphatic confirmation from Exova that it 3 3 was applicable and that it could be used. 4 4 "Question: Let's look --5 "Answer: Their understanding of how it was and how 5 6 6 it could be used may have been different from mine. 7 7 They may have had -- they work on hundreds of buildings. 8 8 They may have had knowledge of BR 135 testing, 9 9 for example, that led them to believe that it was 10 10 applicable in this particular build-up. 11 "Question: But you don't know that, do you? 11 that we were running with ACM. 12 "Answer: No, but what I know is from the 12 13 13 "Answer: At the same time. conversations I had with Exova, they were emphatic --14 14 fairly emphatic about the fact that it was appropriate 15 15 to use, and that's what they suggested to me. I mean, 16 16 that was my understanding from the conversations I had 17 with them. I asked them to put it in writing, they put 17 18 it in writing, the contacts with the cavity barriers. 18 19 19 On reflection, it is a tacit approval in writing, but you are saying? 20 20 from the conversations I had with them, I understood 21 21 that it was appropriate to use." "Question: Really? 22 22 Now, in the light of that, did you at any stage on 23 23 the project discuss with Mr Crawford whether the 24 24 insulation was compliant and appropriate to use? Do you see all that? 25 25 No, I didn't. A. I do.

73

1 Q. Did you provide emphatic confirmation to him that it was 2 appropriate for the project?

3 A. No, I didn't. And it says in there that they -- that we

4 wrote to them confirming this, and we did not.

5 Q. Yes, I think it was clear from follow-up questions that

6 Mr Crawford was talking about the emails we've just been

7 discussing, and then there's some further emails in

8 2015. He wasn't referring to any other written

9 correspondence?

10 A. Yes, but nothing in the emails that I exchanged with him 11

could be described as emphatic about anything.

12 Yes. You don't recall ever putting in writing that the

13 insulation categorically was appropriate to use?

14 A. No, and I wouldn't have done that anyway.

15 Q. Were you ever asked by Studio E about BS 8414 system

16 testing or BR 135 classification?

17 A. Not specifically, no.

18 Q. I now want to ask you about whether you had any

19 discussions around, again, 17/18 September 2014 with

20 Mr Crawford about the ACM, the aluminium composite

21 material panels. Can we look at what Mr Crawford said

22 about this on {Day10/91:16}.

23 A. Right.

24 Q. To {Day10/92:11}.

25 So the question is put:

74

"Question: Why didn't you say to Mr Ashton, 'I should just tell you that the bits where it says zinc aren't right anymore, it's aluminium composite'?

"Answer: But I think I did. There was a follow-up -- I ... zinc CM and ACM in performance terms, as $\, I \,$ understood it, were the same, and then -- so he worked on the assumption, I think, of the zinc CM, performance of which I think had been the same as the ACM. Then at the end of the conversation I think I did confirm with him that it was -- my understanding was

"Question: When was that conversation, please?

"Question: At the same time as?

"Answer: 17th/18th conversation.

"Question: That you referred to on Thursday where you told us that he had said that the Celotex was compliant, the same conversation as that; is that what

"Answer: I think it was, yes, I think it was.

"Answer: I mean, maybe I've got conversations mixed

75

1 Q. Again, I want to give you the chance to respond to this:

2 did Mr Crawford confirm that aluminium composite

material was to be used? You have pointed out on the

Δ drawing it was TBC, but did he ever confirm that to you

5 on the telephone?

6 A. No, he didn't.

3

11

7 Q. Do you ever recall at any time discussing the use of

8 aluminium composite material panels with Mr Crawford?

9 A. Never. I mean, I think in my witness statement I said

10 that I didn't know that ACM was being used until after

I read about the fire in the press.

12 Did you ever specifically discuss the use of

13 Reynobond 55 PE rainscreen panels with Mr Crawford?

14 A. No, I didn't.

15 Q. I just want to look at what Mr Crawford said later in

16 the day, {Day10/94:1-22}. The question: 17 "Question: Well, we're going to look at the rest of

18 the email chain shortly, but just so I understand your 19 answer, you're saying, are you, that you had a telephone 20 conversation with Mr Ashton on 17 or 18 September in

21 which he told you that Celotex RS5000 and Reynobond

22 PE 55 aluminium composite material rainscreen --

23 "Answer: ACM.

24 "Question: -- ACM --

25 "Answer: Yeah.

"Question: -- were compliant; is that your evidence? I don't want to put words in your mouth, I'm trying to summarise what I think you've been telling me, and if I'm wrong, please say.

"Answer: My understanding is that in discussing the fire strategy -- the cavity barrier strategy in relation to the wall build-up, he understood what the build-up was, we had sent him the insulation detail -- the insulation data sheet, and he understood what the cavity barrier strategy was and he understood what the cladding panel build-up was, and I asked him to confirm that in

"Question: And you say that he did confirm it in writing, but only tacitly?

"Answer: He confirmed in writing that he thought that it was appropriate, but on reflection it's more of a tacit approval than an explicit one."

18 Did you ever confirm in conversations with 19 Mr Crawford that you understood what the wall build-up 20 พลร?

21 A. No, I didn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22 Q. Did you have a conversation about the suitability of the

23 cavity barrier strategy at any time?

24 A. No. No.

25 Did you ever say to him that your advice was based on

77

- 1 desktop studies or previous projects?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. He goes on to say -- I think I can just summarise this
- 4 bit -- that his understanding of the route to compliance
- 5 on the cladding system was from conversations he had
- 6 with you around 18 September.
- 7 Again, do you recall any conversations about the 8 route to compliance for the cladding system?
- 9 A. No, I don't recall any discussion about that.
- 10 Q. Did you tell Mr Crawford around this time that you would 11
 - be completing the outline fire safety strategy?
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- 13 Q. Mr Crawford said that he remembered you eluding to the
- 14 fact that he would have to complete the report or
- 15 something of that nature; do you recall ever having
- 16 a conversation about having to complete the outline fire
- 17 safety strategy?
- 18 A. No, I'm assuming we're talking about one telephone
- 19 conversation here, which I -- you know, didn't take
- 20 place as far as I was concerned.
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 A. Seems to exchange a lot of information in one telephone
- 23 conversation.
- 24 Conversely, did you ever tell him that you were so sure
- 25 that what was being constructed was acceptable that

- 1 there was no need for a further report?
- 2 A. Definitely not.
- 3 Q. Did you ever tell him that there was no risk of external
- 4 fire spread?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 Just sticking then with 2014 and moving on to
- 8 a slightly different topic, can we go to {SEA00012189}.
- 9 Now, these are some exchanges that you had in late 2014
- 10 with Mr Crawford about observations that RBKC
- 11 Building Control had made on the means of escape
- 12 arrangements for the refurbishment. If you look at the
- 13 top email there, Mr Crawford is saying that he has
- 14 received some mark-ups from Building Control on the
- 15 fire strategy. He is due to meet them on Monday and
- 16 wondered if you had any views on their comments.
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. Then in the second and third lines, he says this:
- 19 "On the Academy project we had the situation where 20 Tony Pearson managed to argue some of their comments
- 21 away. If you had any observations particularly where
- 22 you think there comments may be excessive I would be
- 23 grateful to know as I can take these with me to the
- 24 meeting on Monday.
- 25 "Regards

79

- 1 "Neil."
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3
- 4 Was it your understanding that Tony Pearson had argued
- 5 some Building Control comments away on the KALC project,
- 6 the Academy and Leisure Centre project?
- 7 A. No, I think I took Neil Crawford's word for it that
- 8 Tony Pearson had managed to do that. I didn't discuss
- 9 it with Tony Pearson because the academy building was
- 10 Tony Pearson's job.
- 11 Q. Did you know what topics he had managed to argue away?
- 12 A.
- 13 Do you know the detail of what they were talking about? Q.
- 14 A. No. I think my inference from this was, "Tony Pearson
- 15 has managed to persuade Building Control to accept
- 16 a number of modifications, do you think you could do the
- 17 same?"
- 18 O. Yes.
- 19 That's how I read it.
- 20 Yes, and what was your reaction to that? Did you think
- 21
- 22 A. Well, I didn't know what he wanted relaxations of, at
- 23 the time of reading that.
- 24 Q. Did you think that it might be part of your role on the
- 25 Grenfell project at this time to argue Building Control

2 A. Very definitely . I mean, we had quite lengthy 3 discussions with Kensington and Chelsea 4 Building Control. 5 Q. Do you agree, though, that best practice would have been 6 to engage in an open and transparent way with 7 Building Control and not seek to get around issues that 8 they're raising? 9 A. I'm not actually sure what Tony Pearson managed to argue 10 away. I mean, our objective is not to go the -- take 11 the line of least resistance on projects; it's to 12 provide a safe building. Now, without seeing the 13 context of what Tony Pearson and Kensington and Chelsea 14 discussed, I couldn't comment further. It may have been 15 totally unrelated to the sort of questions that we had. 16 Q. Okay. 17 So we're now going to go forward in the chronology 18 and look at some emails that were exchanged in 19 March 2015 between you and the design team on the 20 project. 21 A. Right. 22 Q. Before we get to those, by March 2015 had you heard or 23 read about a fire which had occurred in the 24 Lacrosse Building in Melbourne, Australia, in which a 25 rainscreen façade incorporating ACM panels had rapidly 1 spread up the entire external façade to the top of 2 a 21-storey building? 3 A. No, I wasn't aware of that specific job or building. 4 Q. It was a fire that occurred in Melbourne. 5 A. Yeah. I mean, fires do occur, but I don't follow them 6 all . 7 Q. No. 8 Do you remember ever hearing or reading about that 9 specific fire, the Lacrosse fire in Melbourne? 10 A. No, I don't. Q. It's not one you are aware of even to this day? 11 12 A. No 13 Q. No. 14 A. No. 15 Q. Turning to the advice which was sought from you on 16 3 March 2015 from Neil Crawford again, {EXO00001315}. 17 If we can blow up that top email. So he says: 18 "Hi Terry 19 "Just a quick question relating to Grenfell Tower. 20 As part of the re-clad we are we have (sic) added fire 21 breaks around the apartments as per the email below. 22 Can you comment on the level of protection (90+30) as to 23 whether this is suitable. My only query might be that

we have different levels of party wall at the lower

82

levels - see attached fire plan with some 60 some 120

comments away, to go into debate with them?

1

1 walls. 2 "Regards 3 "Neil." 4 Do you see that? 5 A. I do, yes. 6 Q. Do you remember receiving this email? 7 A. Yes, I think I do, yes. 8 Q. Did you ever respond to this email? 9 A. I'm not sure. 10 Q. We can't find a response to this email. 11 A. No, it's possible that this was one that I identified in 12 my first witness statement as one that I obviously 13 received because it was on our system, but I may not 14 have seen it, and had I seen it, I would have responded. 15 I mean --16 Q. I see, yes. 17 A. -- looking at the project as a whole, I'm pretty sure 18 that I answered every single query that was put to me. 19 Whether it was the right way to do it or not is another 20 issue. But I don't remember responding to this, and, as 21 I understand it, I didn't. 22 Q. No. 23 A. Which must indicate to me that I hadn't read it. 24 Q. Okay. Yes, you talked about your witness statement. If 25 we just look at that, paragraph 5.25 of your first

83

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & \quad \text{witness statement, } \{\text{EXO00001621/17}\}, \text{if we can go to} \\ 2 & \quad \text{this} \, . \end{array}$

3 A. Right.

Q. You say there, this is in reference to this email:

"I do not have any recollection of seeing this email

or the attached 'Specification Note', or of sending any

reply to it. I understand that in reviewing Exova's

documents no response to this email has been found. It

is very possible that I did not reply to it and if that

is the case then I probably did not see this email."

So that remains your evidence; is that right?

12 A. Yeah, that's in its essence what I just said, yeah.

Q. Did you have any kind of system or procedure within the
office for checking or picking up on any requests that
hadn't been answered, or would you just leave it to the
person sending the email to send another one saying "You

17 haven't responded"?

A. No, there isn't a system for checking on whether all emails received have been answered, but from time to time, if I or one of my colleagues fails to respond to an email, we generally get a reminder, "May I please have a response to my email dated so-and-so, which is attached", and I didn't get that in this case.

84

Q. If we can go and look down on this page at
 paragraph 5.27(B) of your statement --

2.4

A. Yeah. 2 Q. -- you say -- let's pick it up at 5.27: 3 "I would not have needed to look at the details of 4 the attachments to provide this answer. Having now 5 reviewed the email and the attachments I note that, at 6 the back of the pack, there is reference to 7 'Glazing-P1-Panels' in the Specification Note ... which 8 provides some information on the panels and describes 9 the cladding as aluminium composite panels." 10 Then you say at (B): 11 "In relation to that email and its attachments, 12 I note 13 "(B) The Specification Note mentions that the 14 cladding would be a 'Reynobond Rainscreen Cassette'. 15 Reynobond is a brand name and there are various types of 16 Reynobond product, some which include insulation of 17 limited combustibility and others which do not. The 18 information provided in the Specification Note does not 19 indicate which type of Reynobond system it was intended 20 to use." 21 Do you see that there? 22 A. I do, yes. 23 Q. I just wanted to ask you some questions there about what 24 you say about the Reynobond product. 25 What do you mean when you say here that there are

some Reynobond products -- it's the third line there of

2 (B) -- "some of which include insulation of limited 3 combustibility and others which do not"? What do you 4 mean by that? 5 A. I think -- I'm not particularly familiar with Reynobond, 6 but I think I must have looked at some technical 7 datasheet for them subsequently. Bear in mind that this 8 particular email was tabled at a meeting with our legal

9 advisers and, as I've explained already, I hadn't seen 10 it before and therefore didn't reply to it.

11 O. Yes.

1

12 But I can't say hand on heart now that Reynobond is 13 a product which could be used in any circumstances

14 without a test.

15 Q. No. The phrase you have used there about the product, 16 "some of which include insulation of limited combustibility", do you mean include it within the panel

17

18 itself?

19 A. Yes, indeed, yeah.

20 Q. I see. Inside the composite panel?

21 A. Yes, we have a sandwich of I think it 's aluminium with

22 a filling of one particular material or another. But,

23 I mean, this is only my understanding; I'm not saying

2.4 categorically here that that is the case.

25 Q. Yes. I just wanted to check whether you're mixing up 1 the Reynobond ACM there with the insulation, but

2 I think, is it right, what you are saying is that

3 sometimes inside the panel you have insulation material

4 of limited combustibility?

5 Α.

6 Q. Yes, I understand now, thank you.

7 A. Indeed.

8 You also say in your witness statement at (C) below that

9 that had you seen these specification notes giving the

10 specification details of the cladding, so had you looked 11 at the attachments to this email, you would have drawn

12 Studio E's attention to the fact that the use of

13 styrofoam in the glazing panels would not have been

14 acceptable to Building Control because styrofoam is

15 combustible. Do you see that there?

16 A. Yes, indeed.

17 Q. Can you explain the basis on which you would have given

18 that advice?

19 A. Had I become aware of the totality of this specification

20 then I would have told them it wasn't acceptable.

21 Styrofoam was obviously a combustible material, in which

22 case, you know, there are no arguments about its fire

23 performance. As I've said in (B), it's possible that

24 Reynobond has different fillers which may be acceptable.

25 Yes. I'm trying to concentrate for the moment on the

1 glazing P1 panels as having a 25-millimetre styrofoam 2

core.

3 Do you agree that the reason you would have given 4 that advice to say, well, it just can't be used, is

5 because of 12.7 of Approved Document B that provides

6 that any insulation product, filler material, et cetera,

7 used in the external wall construction should be of

8 limited combustibility?

9 A. Yes, I do agree with that, yeah.

10 You would have said the styrofoam panels were classified

11 as an insulation product?

12 A. Yes, indeed

13 Q. Yes.

14 Had you been asked -- I appreciate your position is

15 you weren't ever asked to advise on it -- whether

16 Reynobond aluminium composite material with a PE core

17 used with Celotex RS5000 insulation was compliant, what

18 would you have said in terms of compliance with the

19 **Building Regulations?**

20 Α. Well, I would have said, "On the face of it, it doesn't

21 comply, but I will make some enquiries as to the fire

22 properties of what is proposed".

23 Let's break that down and think about the aluminium

24 composite material panel on the exterior for a moment.

25 A. Okay.

1	Q.	Can you be more specific as to on what basis you have	1		" to be fitted between the existing concrete
2		said it wouldn't comply?	2		external wall panels and the new external rain screen
3	A.	Sorry, you want me to deal with it component by	3		aluminium cassettes."
4		component?	4		Do you see that?
5	Q.	I'm now asking you	5	A.	Yeah, I do.
6		I didn't know enough about Reynobond to say one way or	6	Q.	So you would have been aware, looking at that email,
7		the other.	7		that at that stage, at least, they were using rainscreen
8	Q.	I see.	8		aluminium cassettes; do you see that?
9		Do you know whether you would have been looking at	9		Then the proposal is from Ricky Kay at Siderise
10		paragraph 12.6 of Approved Document B and diagram 40, or	10		below that. If we can look at that email below.
11		would you have been thinking more about 12.7 and the	11		Ricky Kay states:
12		requirement for limited combustibility?	12		"Apologies for the delay
13	A.	Well, I would have been looking at the fire properties	13		"Please find below extract from the Approved
14		of the material in their totality .	14		Document B of the Building Regulations."
15	Q.	I see.	15		Then if we can go on to the next page
16	A.	Because, as I said yesterday, class 0 materials	16		{EXO00001434/5}, he says:
17		Approved Document B does allow the external covering of	17		"Here you can see that it clearly states that
18		buildings to be a class 0 fire performance. That	18		30 minutes fire integrity and 15 minutes insulation is
19		doesn't tell the whole story. And with a material like	19		all that is required from a cavity fire barrier. This
20		this, you would have to look at it a bit more carefully	20		is reference to rainscreen cladding applications where
21		to see whether or not in fact it was a suitable	21		the cavity barrier is deemed to be on the outside of the
22		material.	22		building. Our RH25-90/30 will offer 90 minutes fire
23	Q.	Yes. I see.	23		integrity and 30 minutes fire insulation, therefore
24	A.	And for that, I might rely on our testing colleagues in	24		exceeds minimum requirements."
25		Warrington.	25		He says:
		89			91
1	Q.	Okay.	1		"120 minute fire rating is generally the industry
2		Now, we know that on 27 March 2015, Mr Crawford then	2		standard for curtain wall to concrete slab edge
3		sends an email to Mr John Hoban, copying you in. Let's	3		firestopping where the firestop is located on the inside
4		look at that. This is at {EXO00001434}. And I want to	4		of a building and is considered to be a continuation of
5		pick this up on page 4 {EXO00001434/4}, in the second	5		the floor slab."
6		email down on page 4, from Neil Crawford to you. So	6		Then he says:
7		there we see at 10.53 Mr Crawford states:	7		"Please get in touch if you need anything else"
8		"There has been a lot of conversation on site about	8		Do you see that?
9		the cavity fire barrier requirements to be fitted	9	A.	Yes, indeed.
10		between the existing concrete external wall panels and	10	Q.	Looking at those emails, was this the first interaction
11		the new external rain screen aluminium cassettes.	11		that you had had with RBKC Building Control about the
12		"Can you please see the proposal by the cladding	12		cladding system, or the first time you have been looped
13		contractor below and confirm if this is acceptable to	13		in to an email about the cladding which also involves
14		you."	14		RBKC Building Control?
15		Do you see that?	15	A.	I believe it was. You know, I can't say with certainty

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

20 A. Yes, I do.

A. Yes, I do.

16

17

18

19

Q. Can we just note while we're looking at this that it says:

90

and also copying in Paul Hanson of RBKC

Building Control. Do you see that?

Q. So that's from Mr Crawford to Mr Hoban, copying you in,

23 "There has been a lot of conversation on site

24 about ..."

 $25 \hspace{1cm} \text{And then in the second line it says:} \\$

 $25 \hspace{1cm} \text{didn't \ cause alarm because aluminium is used for \ things}$

seeing this, I think.

any concerns with you?

92

that I had never seen anything else, but I do remember

Mr Crawford's email to you which we just looked at raise

It didn't register, if I'm honest. I don't understand

briefly at the original details that Neil Crawford sent

the term aluminium cassettes. $\ \ I \ \ mean, when \ I \ looked$

me, there were aluminium elements in that, and that

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Did the reference to rain screen aluminium cassettes in

1 like louvres and what have you. But to this day, Q. Then I'll ask you some questions about this, but let's 2 2 I don't understand the term "cassettes ". look at your response first. 3 3 Q. Okay. Did it register with you, when you received these In response, at the top of page 1, you say later 4 4 emails, that they weren't proposing to use zinc panels that day: 5 anymore, but aluminium panels? 5 "Neil 6 6 A. No, it didn't register with me that that was the case. "This isn't something that would necessarily form 7 7 Q. So it follows, does it, that it didn't signal to you part of a fire safety strategy for a building. 8 8 that you needed to consider giving some detailed advice Therefore, it would not have been dealt with in the fire safety strategy for this buildings. I agree with 9 9 with regard to requirement B4 at this stage? 10 10 A. No, I think the main focus here was the cavity barriers Ben Kay. I believe that a cavity barrier is all that is 11 11 required in this application. Even if we were to agree again. 12 Q. Now, we can see that you're then copied in to an email 12 with RBKC, it is difficult to see how a fire-stop would 13 13 of 30 March 2015 from Mr Hoban. Let's look at that. stay in place in the event of a fire where external This is {EXO00000715/2}. So if we look at this email, 14 flaming occurred as this would cause the zinc cladding 14 15 this is from Mr Hoban, 30 March, to a number of 15 to fail. 16 16 people -- Siderise, Harley, Rydon -- and then you're "Kind regards 17 there on the list as well. 17 "Terry." 18 A. Right. 18 Do you see that there? 19 19 Q. So it's actually sent to you, this email. A. Yes, indeed. 20 20 A. Yes, yes. Q. Again, I just want to take this email in stages. 21 21 Q. At that stage, what John Hoban does is set out his First of all, why was this not something that would 22 22 interpretation of diagram 33, and he says that it's his necessarily form part of a fire safety strategy for 23 23 interpretation that the detail between compartment 2.4 floors was not a cavity barrier but a firestop which 24 A. Well, I think that's not correct as written. I'm not 25 25 needed to be of 120 minutes standard. Do you see that? quite sure why I wrote that. The provision of cavity 95 1 1 So in the substance of his email he says, two lines 2 strategy under B3; it would just say that internal voids down: 3 3 "I would advise you that it is my interpretation of

2

diagram 33 of Approved Document B is that the detail between compartment floors and external cladding is not a cavity barrier, therefore it must be fire stopped to at least the standard of the existing compartment floor [120 minutes]."

9 Do you see that there?

10 A. Yes, indeed.

4

5

6

7

8

11 Q. You're then sent an email from Neil Crawford at 12.05 on 12

31 March, if we go to the bottom of page 1

13 $\{EXO00000715/1\}$ of this string. If we look at the 14 bottom of the page, at 12.05, 31 March, Neil Crawford

15 says to you:

16 "Hi Terry

17 "Can you comment on the history of this item- please 18 see correspondence below as it is not clear to me why

94

19 this item is causing such a difference in

20 interpretation - can't see anything that seems to

21 reference it in the fire strategy.

22 "Regards.

23 "Neil."

2.4 Do you see that there?

25 A. Yes, indeed. barriers would normally be part of a fire safety

will be provided with fire cavity barriers and so on.

Δ I think the main thrust of this was to do with 5 whether or not there needed to be a firestop or a cavity 6 barrier at the junction between the cladding and the 7 structural floors. In that sense, I agreed with Mr Kay 8 that quite clearly it was a cavity barrier and not

9 a fireston.

10 Q. Yes.

11 So you knew that cavity barriers arose in the

12 context of functional requirements B3 and B4; you knew

13 that?

14 A. Absolutely.

15 Q. And you knew that your fire strategy reports for the

16 project had purported to address both of those

17 requirements, hadn't they?

18 A. Hadn't?

19 Had. They had dealt with B3 and B4.

20 A. Oh, yes, but not in great detail, I have to say that.

21 Q. Isn't advice on cavity barriers something that

22 a fire strategy consultant would need to address when

23 considering the fire strategy for a building that was to

2.4 be overclad?

25 A. Arguably, yes, it would be. I think it was

- 1 an unfortunate form of words in the sense that you 2 wouldn't be discussing in the body of a fire strategy 3 whether or not there was a difference between a firestop 4 and a cavity barrier. That's what I meant.
- 5 Q. Yes.

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

Do you think in that first statement, which you have just said was not strictly correct, that what you were really trying to do here was justify your failure to deal with it in the fire strategy reports that you prepared prior to this?

- 11 A. No. As I said just now, the central question here was: 12 do we need a firestop or a cavity barrier where the floor is -- at the junction of the floor and the external wall? And that sort of argument would not be part of a fire strategy. I certainly wouldn't have said, "Well, this wouldn't form part of a fire safety strategy" because I had forgotten to deal with it in the 18 report. That wasn't my intention at all.
- 19 Q. Can we just look at what you said in your witness 20 statement about this. This is at paragraph 5.29(F) 21 {EXO00001621/19}. So you say there:

22 "I replied that '[t]his isn't something that would 23 necessarily form part of a fire safety strategy '. 24 Looking at this comment now, it reads a little oddly. 25 I think that my comment was probably a narrow one, ie

97

1 that a detailed commentary on whether Approved 2 Document B required cavity barriers or fire stops in 3 this location was not something which would normally be 4 included in a fire safety strategy. In a broader sense, 5 commenting on the need for cavity barriers within a 6 cladding system (or elsewhere) certainly might form part 7 of a fire safety strategy. I agreed, however, that 'a 8 cavity barrier is all that is required in this 9 application "."

And then you say how your email continued.

- 11 A. Yes, I think that's putting in slightly different 12 wording what I've just said to you: that it was -- you wouldn't have an argument in a fire strategy report about whether something was either a cavity barrier or a firestop. You would actually have made it quite clear 16 what was needed, where,
- 17 Q. When would commentary on whether ADB required cavity 18 barriers or firestops be included as part of a fire
- 19 safety strategy?
- 20 A. Never.

10

13

14

15

- 21 O. Never?
- 22 A. That's what I'm suggesting. By the time you have
- 23 written the fire strategy, you will have advised the
- 24 design team that, "You need cavity barriers here because
- 25 it's only closing a cavity", or, "You need firestops

- 1 because this junction needs to have the same standard of
- 2 fire resistance as the floor for which it, you know,
- 3 forms a junction with the wall with".
- 4 Q. I see. But I think you have accepted here and I think
- 5 you accepted earlier that you have said, in a broader
- 6 sense, commenting on the need for cavity barriers within
- 7 a cladding system or elsewhere certainly might form part
- 8 of a fire safety strategy. You accept that.
- 9 A. I do, I do accept that, yes.
- 10 Q. And that's not something that you ever did in a fire 11 safety strategy for Grenfell Tower, did you?
- 12 On this particular occasion, I didn't, no.
- 13 Q. Do you accept, thinking back to the fee proposal
 - document, which talks about compartmentation
- 15 requirements, that it might be thought to be included in
- 16 the work that you had committed to provide on the
- 17 Grenfell project?
- 18 A. Yes, it could have been. But it would have been
- 19 a general statement to the effect that you need to
- 20 provide cavity barriers in ... within cavities .
- 21 O. Yes.

14

- 22 A. So to restrict the spread of unseen -- sorry, the unseen
- 23 spread of smoke and fire.
- 24 Q. Can you help us a little bit more as to precisely why
- 25 you thought the cavity barrier was all that was required

99

- 1 rather than a firestop?
- 2 A. Because of its location in the building envelope.
- 3
- 4 A. I mean, I believe that John Hoban was wrong in his
- 5 interpretation. And I think you're probably going to
- 6 ask me later on anyway, but firestopping is designed to
- 7 stay in place for the duration of the fire. It's
- 8 an extension of the structural slab, or an extension of
- 9 the vertical compartment wall. Cavity barriers are only
- 10 there to prevent unseen spread of smoke and flames. So
- 11 they're not structural elements; they are elements to
- 12 contain fire and smoke.
- 13 Q. At this time, did you know what type of cavity barrier
 - was proposed, ie whether it was going to be full fill or
- 15 open state cavity barrier?
- 16 A. No.

14

- 17 Q. Was that not something that you thought about clarifying 18 with the team?
- 19 Well, that would have been part of the consideration of
- 20 the complete details of the cladding, which we never
- 21
- 22 Q. Did you have any opinion on the ability of an open state
- 23 cavity barrier to achieve 30 minutes' integrity and
- 24 15 minutes' insulation?
- 25 A. Well, they're used quite a lot. I mean, what you have

- 1 is you have an intumescent seal which still allows the
- 2 passage of water which has penetrated the outer layer to
- 3 drain down and be got rid of at the bottom, and then
- 4 when there is a fire spread in that cavity, the material
- 5 intumesces and forms a complete barrier. And it's the
- 6 only practical way to do it.
- 7 Q. But here it's forming a barrier against a metal panel
- 8 that may warp or deform. Is that something you ever
- 9 thought about?
- 10 A. Well, that's -- well, that's -- we cover that later and
- 11 I'm sure you're going to ask me about it.
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 Now, you refer to zinc cladding in the email that we
- 14 just looked at.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 What made you think it was zinc at that stage?
- 17 A. I thought from start to finish that they were using
- 18
- 19 Q. I think we have just established that you had received
- 20 an email of 27 March where it's stated to be aluminium,
- 21 but you just hadn't picked that up from the email; is
- 22 that right?
- 23 A. If you're referring to the email we've just discussed
- 24 where they were talking about aluminium cassettes,
- 25 I didn't recognise as cassette as a complete cladding

- 1 system.
- 2 Q. I see. Yes, let's go back to it {EXO00000715/1} at the
- 3 top. So that's where you say "would cause the zinc
- Δ cladding to fail ".
- 5 Did you ever appreciate at any time during your
- 6 involvement with the project that the cladding had been
- 7 changed from a zinc to an aluminium composite panel?
- 8 A. No, and I would have thought that somebody should have
- 9 corrected me if that wasn't the case.
- 10 Q. Yes. Do you recall Mr Crawford ever emailing you or
- 11 calling you after this to tell you that the cladding was
- 12 aluminium and not zinc.
- 13 A. No, I mean, we discussed this earlier when we were
- 14 discussing his evidence. He never rang me.
- 15 Q. Yes. You refer in that email to Ben Kay in the second
- 16 line. I think you mean Ricky Kay of Siderise; is that
- 17
- 18 A. Oh, yes, it was -- yes, sorry about that. Yes, Mr Kay
- 19 I said, I think.
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Ben in the email.
- 22 Q. Now, as you have anticipated, I do want to focus on the
- 23 last lines of that.
- 24
- 25 Q. By saying that the zinc cladding would fail, did you

102

- 1 mean that the zinc cladding panels would burn, melt and
- 2 fall off the building and that that's why a firestop
- 3 would not stay in place in the event of a fire?
- 4 No. Zinc cladding, as an entity, would not burn. Metal
- 5 cladding wouldn't necessarily burn. I think the point
 - I was trying to make here was that if you get a severe
- 7 fire in one compartment or apartment which causes the
- 8 windows to break, if the windows are in some way
- 9 connected to the cladding, there would be local failure
- 10 of the cladding, ie it will fall off the building.
- 11 O. I see.

6

- 12 A. And it might fall off to the extent that fire coming out
- 13 of the window would bypass the cavity barrier at the
- 14 window head. And I think probably you're going to ask
- 15 me about Tony Pearson's -- because Tony Pearson put it
- 16 rather than better than I did, I think.
- 17 Q. Yes. I will ask you about that.
- 18 Just picking up on that, that's a rather specific
- 19 concern, flaming coming out of the window and localised
- 20 failure. It doesn't seem to bear much relation to what
- 21 you say here, which is "where external flaming occurred
- 22 ... this would cause the zinc cladding to fail ". It 23 seems to be a more general point you're making. Could
- 24 it have been a more general point?
- 25 No, no, based on experience of fires over a large number

103

- 1 of years, if you do get failure of glazing, if it's not
- 2 in a masonry wall, it will take a bit of whatever it's
- 3 attached to with it when it falls out. That's
- 4 inevitable.
- 5 Q. I see.
- 6 If the cladding were to fail, as you have suggested
- 7 here, wouldn't it follow that the external façade would
- 8 have failed to resist the spread of fire over the walls
- 9 in breach of the Building Regulations?
- 10 A. No, I think "fail" in this context means structurally.
- 11 It means bits of it would fall off the building. It
- 12 doesn't mean that they would catch fire. And zinc, if
- it's pure zinc, you wouldn't expect fire to spread up it 14 because it's non-combustible.
- 15 Q. I see. This maybe goes back to the fact that you
- 16 weren't aware at this time of composite products,
- 17 whether zinc composite or aluminium composite products,
- 18 that might have --
- 19 A.

13

- 20 O. -- polymeric --
- 21 A. No, I wasn't aware of that.
- 22 Q. -- in the middle?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Does that not perhaps suggest that you weren't the best
- 25 person to be advising on these issues at the time and

- 1 there might have been more appropriate experts within
- Exova that would have known, for example, about the
- 3 development in the use of composite panels?
- 4 A. As I wasn't aware that those products existed at that time, I'm not sure that I can answer that in any other
- 6 way than saying, no, I don't believe there was the
- 7 expertise.
- We don't routinely call on our testing colleagues to give judgements on what's proposed on a material --
- sorry, on a building, unless we were unsure. But at no stage in this development was I aware that they were
- stage in this development was I aware that they were using a composite material, so there was no need for me
- to consult our testing colleagues.
- $14\,$ Q. Would you agree that the failure of the cladding in the
- event of a fire in the way you have described in this
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{email would be a major fire \ safety \ issue \ for \ the}$
- 17 project?
- 18 A. No. No. When I say it would fail, it wouldn't cause
- the cladding on the building as a whole to fall off.
- I mean, I don't want to go back years and years and
- years, but there was a serious fire in the West End of
- 22 London where great big sheets of glass were released and
- 23 flew along the street. That's the sort of thing I'm
- 24 talking about. Bits of the -- or pieces of the cladding
- 25 falling, not the whole thing failing.

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ I see. But you said to us yesterday that you were
- aware, for example, of the big tower fires in Dubai.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Weren't those really quite stark examples of external
- 5 fire spread through external rainscreen panels?
- 6 A. But they weren't zinc, as far as I know.
- 7 Q. I see.
- 8 Were you aware at this time that the existing
- 9 fire strategy report that had been drafted by Ms Cooney
- 10 had justified the stay-put or defend-in-place strategy
- $11 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{remaining by reference to an assumed high degree of} \\$
- 12 compartmentation?
- 13 A. I think that's a given for any apartment building.
- 14 Stay put is because it's highly compartmented.
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did the knowledge that you had here that $\,$ fire $\,$ could
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{spread, even if } we \text{ go with your narrow, you know, fire} \\$
- spreading from the compartment and out and localised
- spread, did that knowledge that fire could spread that
- 19 way give you concern about its impact on
- $20 \hspace{1cm} compartmentation and your knowledge that a stay-put \\$
- 21 strategy applied?
- $22\,$ $\,$ A. No, I mean, if I had assumed, and I think I did, that
- 23 the cladding and the insulation would comply with the
- 24 recommendations of Approved Document B, then that would

106

25 not have caused me any concern about external fire

- 1 spread.
- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Did the knowledge that you had about this $\,$ fire $\,$ spread $\,$
- 3 give you any concern, given the difficulties posed by
- 4 the interior of Grenfell Tower, namely the ventilation
- $5\,$ issues you knew about at the time and a narrow single
- 6 stair? Did that give you any concern?
- $7\,$ A. No, my expectation of the internal compartmentation was
- $8\,$ $\,$ that it was okay or it should have been following the
- 9 completion of the works.
- 10 In terms of smoke control, my assumption again was
- 11 that the design of the smoke control would prevent
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{fire spread -- sorry, smoke spread into the stair} \, .$
- That's the functional requirement for a smoke extract system in that location.
- Now, if you lose compartmentation -- and I'm not
- telling you anything you don't already know -- if you
- 17 lose compartmentation for one reason or another, then
- a stay-put strategy is a risky one.
- 19 Q. Yes, but I'm not talking about the completion of the
- $20\,$ works, Mr Ashton. I appreciate you knew that the smoke
- 21 control system would be refurbished. I'm talking about
- Grenfell Tower as it existed at that point, and you knew
- that the smoke control system had real problems.
- $24\,$ $\,$ A. Yes, but I didn't know that there were any defects in
- 25 compartmentation, and I'm not sure there were.

107

- 1 Q. I see.
- Do we take it from the fact that Mr Pearson becomes involved that you did have some concern about advising
- 4 on this or some concerns about the ramifications of
- 5 this?
- 6 A. No, no, absolutely not.
- 7 Q. Can you help us as to how Mr Pearson becomes involved in
- 8 this
- 9 A. I think he must have been copied in on the email, and he
- offered his opinion as well.
- 11 O. Yes.
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. $\,$ I don't believe I asked him to agree with what I was
- saying, but I may have done.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. We were in the same room together frequently.
- 16 O. Yes.
- Did you appreciate at the time that one conclusion
- to be drawn from your observations about failure of the
- 19 cladding in the event of fire was that the proposed
- 20 refurbishment works would have an adverse effect on the
- 21 building in relation to external fire spread?
- 22 A. No, I didn't conclude that at all. If they had put
- a compliant cladding on that building, then there
- 24 wouldn't have been a problem, in my view.

25 Q. I see. So it follows that you didn't appreciate that

- 1 the comment you had made in your fire safety reports
- 2 that the proposed changes will have no adverse effect on
- 3 the building in relation to external fire spread
- 4 required immediate retraction because it couldn't be 5 justified?
- 6 A. No, I said it would be confirmed by an analysis in the
- 7 future, to deal with the information that I hadn't been
- 8 provided with.
- 9 Q. I'm going to put it to you that what you should have
- 10 done is that you should have retracted that comment in
- 11 the fire safety reports and advised Studio E that they
- 12 needed full and proper advice about the fire safety
- 13 risks posed by the overcladding once you started
- 14 advising in these kind of terms about zinc cladding
- 15 failing, et cetera?
- 16 A. Nothing that I had seen that I commented on gave me any
- 17 cause for concern, because I didn't know they were using
- 18 unsuitable materials. As far as I was concerned, they
- 19 were using zinc cladding, which I took to be
- 20 non-combustible, and I think that's a reasonable
- 21 assumption. I didn't know about the insulation, but
- 22 I assumed that at some point they would tell me what it
- 23 was, but they never did.
- 24 Q. So you didn't think about engaging, for example, with
- 25 RBKC Building Control in order to discuss with them

- 1 whether the external wall was compliant with the
- 2 functional requirements of the Building Regulations?
- 3 A. That's not something we would do routinely. We wouldn't
- 4 ring up the Building Control department and say, "Oh, is
- 5 what we're proposing for this okay?" It would be more
- 6 direct than that. We would sit down with them with the
- 7 details of what was proposed and go through them.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 Did you consider that the statement you had made in
- 10 the fire strategy reports, the three issues, that the
- 11 proposed changes would have no adverse effect on the
- 12 building in relation to external fire spread, could
- 13 still be justified?
- A. It depends at what time you look at this. If you had
- 15 asked me if that remark or that statement was valid post
- 16 the fire, I'd say no, it wasn't.
- 17 Q. No, I'm asking you --
- 18 A. At the time I wrote it, we didn't know that they were
- 19 doing.
- 20 Q. I'm asking you at the time you wrote this email here,
- 21 now, in March 2015. Do you think when you were writing
- 22 it or did you consider whether the statement you had
- 23 made previously could still be justified?
- 24 A. No, I didn't link the two, because I didn't smell a rat.
- 25 I mean, we're talking about zinc cladding and whether or 110

- 1 not there needed to be cavity barriers or firestops at
- 2 the junction between the external walls and the floors.
- 3 Q.

6

- 4 Α. It was that narrow.
- 5 Did it occur to you at this time that there might be
 - a need to identify more clearly the performance
- 7 requirements for the external wall construction in the
- 8 locations where these cavity barriers were required?
- 9 A. I think I've already said that, in evidence earlier,
- 10 with the wisdom of hindsight, it might have been helpful 11 to quote what the regulation required, in effect, in the
- 12 strategy. But we didn't do it.
- 13 O. Yes.
- 14 A. We assumed, I think, a degree of knowledge on the part
- 15 of the reader.
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 Can we have a quick look at a passage in ADB. If we
- 18 go to {CLG00000224/86}, paragraph 9.15 there in the
- 19 bottom half of that page in the left -hand column, it
- 20 states there that:
- 21 "Cavity barriers should also be fixed so that their
- 22 performance is unlikely to be made ineffective by ...
- 23 "c. failure in a fire of their fixings ... and
- 24 "d. failure in a fire of any material or
- 25 construction which they abut."

111

- 1 Do you see that?
- 2 A. I do, yes.
- 3 Q. Then it gives an example about a suspended ceiling over 4 the top of a fire resisting wall.
- 5 Did you ever think about that guidance and have
- 6 regard to that when you were giving this advice about
- 7 cavity barriers?
- 8 A. No, I think the reality is that if what I said had
- 9 occurred, you would get -- the cavity barrier would not 10 have been an effective barrier against fire and smoke.
- 11 With sort of collapse of the external covering of the
- 12 building adjacent to that, it would almost become
- 13 redundant, and I think that's pretty much in line with
- 14 what Tony Pearson said in his response, which was only
- 15 to me, as it happens.
- 16 Q. Given what you have said about the risk of flaming
- 17 exiting a compartment and spreading into the cladding, 18 did you ever consider advising Studio E or Rydon of the
- 19 need for cavity barriers around windows to prevent
- 20 a breach of the compartment into the external cladding?
- 21 Not specifically, but I have said earlier today that
- 22 there is some debate as to whether or not cavity
- 23 barriers around window openings are strictly necessary
- 24 where you have got cavity barriers at the junction of
- 25 the compartment walls and floors. It is a matter that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- could be debated. I accept that the approved document says they should be provided, but I'm saying that
- 3 it's -- it is a matter for debate.
- $4\,$ Q. If you had advised on that issue, would you have been
- 5 advising that they were required around the windows?
- 6 A. If I had been looking at the details in totality, then
 7 yes, I would have said this is what is required.
- $8\,$ Q. You talk about a debate in the industry. Were you aware
- 9 that there was a debate in the industry at this time as
- 10 to the correct interpretation of diagram 33, in
- particular whether in a rainscreen cladding system there
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \text{should be a cavity barrier or whether there should have} \\$
- $13 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{been a firestop which was the same fire \ resistance \ as} \\$
- the compartment lines as the cladding passed over?
- 15 A. No, I wasn't aware of that.
- 16 Q. Ms Beryl Menzies, the Inquiry's Building Control expert,
- indicated that if she had been the Building Control
- officer at Grenfell, she would have required
- a 120-minute firestop at compartment lines to extend
- 20 right through the compartmentation out into the
- 21 rainscreen system.
- 22 A. So she supported John Hoban, in effect.
- 23 Q. That's what she said, yes.
- $24\,$ A. Well, that's, you know, an interpretation again, I would
- 25 suggest.

113

- 1 Q. Did you ever think about whether the substantive
- 2 requirements of B3 and B4 together might mean that
- 3 compartmentation should be maintained and that this
- 4 meant dividing the rainscreen cavity with a 120-minute
- 5 firestop in the way that John Hoban and Ms Menzies
- 6 indicated?
- 7 A. No, because they, to my mind, go further than what the
- 8 approved document says. If you look at where cavity
- 9 barriers need to be provided, it's behind rainscreen
- 10 cladding.
- 11 O. Yes.
- $12\,$ $\,$ A. It doesn't say you must have firestops behind rainscreen
- 13 cladding.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{Now, moving on to that $\mbox{email from Dr Tony Pearson}$}$
- that we just talked about. Just two minutes after you
- sent your email to Mr Crawford on 31 March 2015, you
- 18 received an email from Dr Pearson on this same point.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Can we go to that, {EXO00001347}. This is just
- an internal email, if you like, between you and
- 22 Mr Pearson that he sends to you. He says:
- 23 "We note that the barrier against fire spread
- $24 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{between floors is provided through the connection of the} \\$
- 25 structural floors to the existing external walls. The

114

existing external walls are expected to provide sufficient fire resistance to prevent fire from entering the cavities at or near floor or ceiling level.

"We would not rule out that fire could enter the cavity if there is flaming through the windows. However, if significant flames are ejected from the windows, this would lead to failure of the cladding system, with the external surface falling away and exposing the cavity, eliminating the potential for unseen fire spread. A standard cavity barrier should be sufficient to prevent fire spread between floors while there remains a cavity.

"In view of the above, we do not feel that there should be a need for a 2-hour rated fire break in the cavities along the lines of the compartment floors or walls."

Do you see that?

- 18 A. I do, yes, and I said earlier that I thought heexpressed it better than I did.
- 20 Q. Yes. You say --
- 21 A. He is saying the same thing, isn't he, effectively?
- Q. Let's just explore this. So you say, first of all, inyour witness statement at paragraph 5.32
- 24 {EXO00001621/20} that you don't recall receiving the
- emails from Dr Pearson at the time.

115

- Isn't it likely that you forwarded Mr Crawford's email of 31 March to him and asked for his view on how
- 3 to answer Mr Crawford's query?
- 4 A. I think that's the most likely scenario and I think that
 5 he responded to me too late; in other words, after I had
 6 responded to Mr Crawford.
- 7 Q. Yes.

Now, Dr Pearson has said in his witness statement that the likely reason he became involved in commenting was that all emails containing technical information had to be approved by at least two people. He says that at paragraph 4.5 of his witness statement.

Now, we have covered this already, but would you

agree -- I think you agreed yesterday -- that that was

normally the practice with technical advice, even

an email, that it would be approved by two people?

- 17 A. Yes, that was standard practice.
- Q. Now, by responding to Mr Crawford's query at 13.32
 before you have received Dr Pearson's email at 13.34, do
- you agree that, in this instance, you didn't follow that
- 21 practice?
- A. Yes, I didn't follow that practice, but I was fairly confident in what I was saying to Mr Crawford and, as it
- turned out, Tony Pearson was saying pretty much the same

116

25 thing.

- 1 Q. Did you read Dr Pearson's email at the time?
- 2 A. Subsequently, yes, I did, yes.
- 3 Q. When you say subsequently, when would that have been?
- $4\,$ $\,$ A. Well, I think I -- when I was having a meeting with my
- 5 legal advisers.
- 6 Q. I see.
- 7 A. Our legal advisers, sorry.
- 8 Q. Do you have a recollection of reading it at around the
- 9 time you sent your email or shortly thereafter?
- $10 \quad A. \quad I \ think \ I \ may \ have \ done, but \ I \ can't \ honestly \ say \ one$
- 11 way or the other.
- 12 Q. Now-
- 13 A. It didn't give me any cause for alarm, because it
- essentially says what I said in my email.
- 15 Q. I want to put it to you that, actually, what Dr Pearson
- has done is draw more explicit attention to the risks
- posed by fire to the cladding by explaining in
- particular that if significant flames are ejected from
- 19 the windows, this would lead to failure of the cladding
- system, with the external surface falling away and
- 21 exposing the cavity. Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes, I see that, and I did say earlier I thought his
- response was better than mine, but --
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. Do you agree it's more explicit about the risks that are
- 25 posed?

117

- $1\,$ $\,$ A. No, I think it's not the risks; I think whathe is
- 2 saying is what would happen in the same way that I was.
- 3 If you get fire coming out of a window, it will lead
- $4\,$ $\,$ to -- it could lead to failure of the cladding system
- 5 surrounding that window opening.
- 6 Q. Did it alarm you that flaming through the windows might
- 7 lead to failure of the cladding system?
- 8 A. No, I'm suggesting that would happen anyway.
- 9 Q. Would you agree that if you were reading Dr Pearson's
- email at the time that you should have appreciated that
- $11 \hspace{1.5cm} \hbox{his comments had significant implications} \hspace{0.2cm} \hbox{for the} \\$
- fire safety of the refurbishment works?
- 13 A. No, no, I think I've answered that question in
- a different way earlier. Nothing that I had seen to
- that point gave me any cause to think that there would
- be external -- extensive external fire spread.
- 17 Q. Would you agree that that had significant implications
- for compliance with the requirements of the
- 19 Building Regulations and particularly the B4.(1)
- 20 requirement?
- 21 A. No. No. As I say, I was not concerned at what was
- $22\,$ being proposed at that time, as I understood it. The
- 23 question was -- and I take you back to that -- the
- $24\,$ $\,$ $\,$ question was: do we need cavity barriers or do we need

118

25 firestops in this location? And that's all it was

- about, really. And I sought to justify why cavity
- 2 barriers would be adequate.
- 3 Q. Yes, I think what I'm suggesting to you is that although
 - it began with that narrow question, in answering that
- 5 question, something far more serious was exposed by the
- 6 answers that you're both giving.
- 7 A. Sorry, this is -- this happened -- I won't say it
- 8 happens every day, that would be an exaggeration, but on
- 9 numerous fires, windows do fail, and if they're in
- $10 \hspace{1cm} \text{a lightweight construction, they will cause part of that} \\$
- $11 \hspace{1.5cm} \textbf{lightweight construction surrounding them to fail} \;. \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{That}$
- doesn't imply something far worse, which is the whole of
- 13 the façade on fire, which is what happened at Grenfell.
- Q. Did it occur to you that Dr Pearson's comments here had
 significant implications for the correctness of the
- significant implications for the correctness of the
- statement in the fire safety reports that the works
- would have no adverse effect on the building in relation
- 18 to external fire spread?
- 19 A. No, it didn't.
- 20 Q. Again, I'm going to ask you -- and I know what your
- answer will be, but I'm going to nevertheless ask it --
- can you explain why you didn't seek to update your
- 23 fire strategy report at this stage?
- 24 A. Because I hadn't got sufficient details from the
- architects to enable me to do that.

119

- 1 Q. I see. So was it still in your mind that you might need
- 2 to do that when you got those details?
- 3 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 4 Q. I see.

5

6

- (Pause)
- Can you explain why you didn't raise with Studio E
- 7 at any stage that there was the potential for
- 8 flame spread within the cladding system because the
- 9 cavity barriers would not be effective once the panels
- 10 started to heat up and melt?
- $11\,$ $\,$ A. No. Well, to say that to a designer would imply that
- that always happens, and it doesn't always happen.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. Just looking at Dr Pearson's view, it appears to be that
- fire could enter the cavity if there is flaming through
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \text{the windows, that cladding will then fail , and therefore} \\$
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{there is no unseen fire spread, and no need for} \\$
- a two-hour firebreak.
- 18 Would you agree, looking at that now, that it
- 19 potentially overlooks the point that the cladding
- 20 materials, including for example the insulation, can 21 still burn and spread flame between flats even once the
- 22 panels have fallen off?
- $23\,$ $\,$ A. I think -- I don't think that he envisaged combustible
- 24 materials being used on the face of this building.
 - I would differ with him in one respect. If the fire

120

- 1 comes out and hits the external surface of the cladding, 2 it could be that that fails first before it gets into
- 3 the cavity.
- 4 The other route, which I'm sure you all know about, 5 is if the fire gets into the cavity behind the cladding.
- 6 But that's not examined in this analysis of his.
- 7 Q. No. That's exactly the point, that it also overlooks
- 8 that before the cavity actually falls off, there could
- 9 be some unseen fire spread behind the panels.
- 10 A. There could be.
- 11
- 12 You can't say that fire will never do what it's
- 13 predicted to.
- 14 Q. But doesn't that potentially present problems for the
- 15 fire service if they are attempting to put out a fire
- 16 and you have got unseen fire spread behind the panels?
- 17 A. Yeah, that's why we have cavity barriers. One thing
- 18 that, as I -- I think I've said this several times over
- 19 the last few days, that that's why we have to be careful
- 20 with buildings where they can't fight a fire externally.
- 21 Q. Did you discuss this further with Dr Pearson at the time
- 22 or with anybody else within Exova?
- 23 A. No, I didn't, no.
- 24 Q. Do you remember what you did with Dr Pearson's email?
- 25 Did it just stay in your inbox?

- 1 A. Just stayed in my inbox, yes.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. I mean, just to add to that, I mean, if he had said
- 4 something totally different to what I had said, then
- 5 I would have had a discussion with him and I might have
- 6 gone back to Studio E and said, "Oh, my colleague
- 7 doesn't agree with me, this is what we jointly think ".
- 8 But reading what Tony has written here, it's saying, as
- 9 I said, slightly better than I would have done, but more
- 10 or less saying the same thing: that you will get
- 11 localised failure of the cladding.
- 12 Wasn't Dr Pearson's written advice intended to alert
- 13 Studio E, Harley, Rydon and Building Control that there
- 14 was a need to review the fire safety of the whole
- 15 cladding system due to the risk that fire could enter
- 16 the cavity if there was flaming through the windows? 17
- A. Well, this was an internal email from him to me. It
- 18 wasn't addressed to anybody else.
- 19 Q. Yes, but, I mean, we will ask Dr Pearson about this, but
- 20 did you not think about whether what he was doing was
- 21 giving you some thoughts which actually were important
- 22 to send on to Studio E, Harley, Rydon and
- 23 Building Control so that they were fully aware of the
- 2.4
- 25 A. I'm not sure that he said anything extra that needed to 122

- 1 be conveyed to Studio E, et cetera. He is saying the
- 2 same thing as me, essentially . He's saying more what --
- 3 using more words, but what he is saying is that you will
- 4 get some local failure of the cladding, which could lead
- 5 to the fire bypassing the cavity barrier.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 Now, if we go back to the email of 31 March 2015 at
- 8 (SEA00013044), and if we look at the top of that page,
- 9 at that email that he sent to you where he has asked you
- 10 to comment on the history of this item, we can see in
- 11 the attachments that he sends a drawing, "1279 SEA (06)
- 12 110 - Typical Bay". Do you see that there?
- 13 A. Yes, I see the reference, yeah.
- 14 Do you recall looking at that drawing at the time?
- 15 A. No, I don't believe I did.
- 16 Can we just have a look at it. So it's {SEA00002499}
- 17 and we will need the native version.
- 18 What I want to look at is that section on the top
- 19 right, that "Proposed Section - Typical Bay", if we
- 20 could zoom in as much as possible on that.
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. Now, this again indicates that there were cavity
- 23 barriers at the head of the window only. Can you see
- 24 that there?
- 25 A. I can, yes.

- 1 Q. At the head of the window we see it says:
- 2 "Ensure horizontal and vertical cavity barriers meet 3 tightly ."
- 4 Under P10/435.
- 5 There is nothing there at the cill of the window.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. Does it follow, then, from the fact that you didn't look
- 8 at the drawing, that that was not something that you
- 9 noticed at the time or checked at the time?
- 10 A. No, that's right.
- 11 Q. No.

24

- 12 Now, finally -- I'm just on my last couple of
- 13 questions on this topic and then we can break for lunch,
- 14 if that's okay.
- 15 Can you recall whether in March/April 2015 you had
- 16 any telephone conversations with Mr Crawford about the
- 17 cavity barrier strategy, ie calls not emails?
- 18 A. No, I don't believe I had any.
- 19 Q. Did Mr Crawford ever raise with you any concern that it
- 20 was not possible to construct the rainscreen cladding in
- 21 accordance with the ADB guidance, in particular
- 22 section 9 of ADB and paragraph 12.8?
- 23 No, I would have remembered a conversation like that.
- Q. Did he talk to you about the practical application of 25 cavity barriers and whether it was possible to have them

1	immediately around the windows, or whether they should	1	Q.	Indeed, in the NBS specification for this project, one
2	be further away but in line with the compartment walls?	2	•	of the alternatives that was put forward was a zinc
3	A. No.	3		polymeric composite panel.
4	Q. Did he ever talk to you about the practical application	4	A.	Right.
5	of diagram 33 of ADB within section 9?	5	Q.	Again, was that something you were aware of on this
6	A. No, he didn't.	6		project?
7	MS GRANGE: Okay, thank you.	7	A.	No, I I never saw the NBS specification . Is that
8	Mr Chairman, that would be a good moment for	8		part of the employer's requirements?
9	a break.	9	Q.	It is.
10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, all right, thank you very much.	10	A.	No, well, I never saw those. I mean, as I said in my
11	We're going to have another break now, Mr Ashton,	11		evidence this morning, it's important that the design
12	time for some lunch, so we will resume at 2 o'clock,	12		team see the employer's requirements very early on in
13	please, and no talking about your evidence.	13		the design process. That didn't seem to happen, or at
14	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.	14		least didn't happen in my case.
15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Would you like	15	Q.	Was that ever something you raised with Studio E or your
16	to go with the usher.	16		client, the TMO, and said exactly that: "It's important
17	(Pause)	17		that I see the employer's requirements, please can I see
18	MS GRANGE: Thank you.	18		them because this is an important document"?
19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good, thank you very much. Up to	19	A.	No, I say that $$ I'm thinking more in terms of new
20	speed?	20		commercial developments. There may not have been any as
21	MS GRANGE: Yes, I think we're going to be fine. It	21		far as I was aware for this building.
22	slightly depends on whether we are inundated in the	22	Q.	You thought there might not have been any employer's
23	lunch break.	23		requirements?
24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course, yes.	24	A.	No, not necessarily.
25	MS GRANGE: But with any luck, we have covered a lot of	25	Q.	For the refurbishment project? I mean, it was a big
	125			127
				12/
1	questions overnight.	1		refurbishment.
1 2		1 2	Α.	
	questions overnight.			refurbishment.
2	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.	2		refurbishment. Yeah.
2	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please.	2	Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's
2 3 4	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you.	2 3 4	Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements?
2 3 4 5	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm)	2 3 4 5	Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's
2 3 4 5 6	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment)	2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on.
2 3 4 5 6 7	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm)	2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you. I just want to pick up on a few matters arising from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you. I just want to pick up on a few matters arising from this morning's evidence before moving on with my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you. I just want to pick up on a few matters arising from this morning's evidence before moving on with my remaining topics.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you. I just want to pick up on a few matters arising from this morning's evidence before moving on with my remaining topics. I want to start by discussing zinc. A. Zinc? Q. Zinc panels.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway, so it's the same as zinc, but so, you know, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm) (The short adjournment) (2.00 pm) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Ashton? THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you very much. Yes, Ms Grange. MS GRANGE: Yes, thank you. I just want to pick up on a few matters arising from this morning's evidence before moving on with my remaining topics. I want to start by discussing zinc. A. Zinc? Q. Zinc panels. A. Right. Q. You said a number of times that you assumed the panels were zinc and therefore made certain assumptions about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway, so it's the same as zinc, but so, you know, if somebody said, "We're going to clad the building in zinc or aluminium", that wouldn't have raised any particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway, so it's the same as zinc, but so, you know, if somebody said, "We're going to clad the building in zinc or aluminium", that wouldn't have raised any particular concerns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway, so it's the same as zinc, but so, you know, if somebody said, "We're going to clad the building in zinc or aluminium", that wouldn't have raised any particular concerns. Would you accept, and we looked at it this morning, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	questions overnight. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good. 2 o'clock, please. MS GRANGE: Thank you. (1.00 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	refurbishment. Yeah. Wouldn't you have expected there to be employer's requirements? Can I put it another way: I don't see the employer's requirements on every project that I work on. I see. But I normally see some. Back to the point I'm putting to you: if you had known that there were zinc composite panels on the market, might you have been a bit more questioning about whether the use of zinc panels was appropriate? Yes, I would have done, yes. If that was right as well, you couldn't simply have assumed from the fact it was zinc as opposed to aluminium that that meant the panels were inert and wouldn't behave No, no, I think aluminium is an inert material anyway, so it's the same as zinc, but so, you know, if somebody said, "We're going to clad the building in zinc or aluminium", that wouldn't have raised any particular concerns.

6

- 1 accept that a reasonably competent fire engineer would
- 2 have noticed that the contractor was telling him that
- 3 the cladding had changed?
- 4 A. No, I said earlier, I think, this morning that I didn't
- 5 read aluminium cassette as being the totality of the
- 6 cladding on the building. When I looked at the drawings
- 7 that they did send me, there were aluminium elements in
- 8 that, but the principal cladding was zinc, as far as
- 9 I could tell.
- Q. I see. 10
- 11 Would you accept that a reasonably competent fire
- 12 engineer would have investigated for himself or enquired
- 13 if necessary of the contractor what a cassette was if
- 14 you didn't know?
- 15 A. No, it just didn't strike me as being a significant
- 16 element of the construction.
- 17 Q. And yet you said candidly you didn't actually know what
- 18 the cassettes meant?
- 19 A. No, no. No. But, as I say, the central question was
- 20 not to do with the cladding but more to do with cavity
- 21 barriers and firestops and the performance, and all that
- 22 sort of thing.
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. It wasn't specifically to do with the construction of
- 25 the external walls.

129

- 1 Q. Just one further proposition on this: would you accept
- 2. that a reasonably competent fire engineer would have
- 3 advised that, now that there was further detail about
- 4 the external wall materials and the design, he should
- 5 complete the outstanding work under the fire safety
- 6 strategies and do the proposed future analysis on B4?
- 7 A. I think it would be reasonable to assume that I would
- 8 have asked them for details so that we could discuss the
- 9 details .
- 10 Q. I see. Reasonable for them to assume that that's what
- 11 you were going to do?
- 12 No, no, reasonable -- I mean, I could have asked them
- 13 what it -- you know, "Can we have more details of the
- 14 cladding?" Although these should have been, you know,
- 15 made available much earlier in the design process.
- 16 Q. Okay. I want to put it to you again: do you accept that
- 17 a reasonably competent fire engineer would have advised
- 18 that, now that there was further detail about the
- 19 external wall materials and the design, he should
- 20 complete the outstanding work under the outline fire
- 21 safety strategies and do the promised future analysis
- 22 under B4?
- 23 A. I don't think it's -- yeah, I think that's a reasonable

130

- 24 assumption.
- 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, in that case, I think you

- 1 could help me by telling me why you didn't do that.
- 2 A. Because I was more focused on the specific question in 3
 - the email, which wasn't actually to do with the external
- wall construction, it was to do with components of it. 5 MS GRANGE: I now want to ask you a little bit about
 - knowledge of US developments.
- 7 At the time that you were advising Studio E about,
- 8 for example, cavity barriers in the cladding and other
- 9 issues in September 2014 and March 2015, were you aware
- 10 that in June 2014 the Fire Protection Research
- 11 Foundation, based in Massachusetts, USA, had published
- 12 a report on fire hazards of exterior wall assemblies
- 13 containing combustible components?
- 14 No, I wasn't aware of that publication.
- 15 Q. So you haven't ever read it or --
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. -- digested its contents? In which case, I'll move on.
- 18 I now want to ask you some questions about Celotex 19 and desktop study work that was done in relation to the
- 20 Celotex RS5000.
- 21 I want to look at Mr Rek's witness statement. This
- 22 is at $\{SEA00014278/34\}$. So Mr Rek, just to put this in
- 23 context, was one of the witnesses for Studio E that we
- 24 have heard evidence from already, and he was involved,
- 25 for example, in putting together the NBS specification.

131

- 1 That's one of the things he had involvement in.
- 2 Α. Okay.
- 3 Q. He says this at the end of his witness statement:
- 4 "After I left [Studio E LLP] I was involved in the
- 5 design of a high-rise building where Celotex FR5000 was
- 6 also specified. On that project Exova had produced a
- 7 desktop study comparing the rainscreen façade cladding
- 8 with Celotex's tested sample and they had concluded that
- 9 it complied with BR135."
- 10 Do you see that there?
- 11 A. I do, yes.

14

- 12 Did you know at the time you were advising on the
- 13 Grenfell project anything about this desktop study work
 - in relation to -- there it's the FR5000 Celotex product?
- 15 A. No. I mean, had I looked into the thing in more detail,
- 16 I might have asked whether there had been a desktop
- 17 study. I was aware that desktop studies were being
- 18 carried out by one of my -- one of the other departments
- 19 in our organisation, which is what I was saying,
- 20 you know, in terms of following the opposite to the
- 21 linear route, you could actually get an assessment or
- 22 a fire test as a way of satisfying the regulation.
- 23 But you weren't aware of this specific desktop study
- 24 work?
- 25 A. No, I weren't, no.

within Exova at the time, something you were aware of,

Q. So you can't help us as to the building involved, how

2 2 tall it was, the detail of it? these different build-ups for RS5000 that were being 3 3 A. Yeah. I guess by high-rise he probably meant over considered? 4 4 18 metres. No, but if I had become aware that Celotex was being --5 Q. But you can't help us any more --5 if I had been aware of the totality of the external 6 6 A. No, I can't. walls and that Celotex was being used, I would have 7 7 O. -- as to the background to all of that? carried out some investigations. They would have 8 8 A. No. included enquiring of our testing department whether 9 9 Q. Were you aware, when you were working on the Grenfell they had any information on the performance of Celotex 10 project, that in February and March 2015 there had been 10 and in what given sort of configurations. 11 direct contact between Celotex and Exova personnel about 11 O. Yes. 12 Celotex products, including RS5000? Were you aware of 12 A. This would be part of the process of determining whether or not they had a certificated approval to assist them. 13 13 that? 14 14 A. No, I wasn't aware of that. It would appear from the documents we have that these 15 15 Q. Can we look at an example. This is {CEL00003541}. This common build-ups form the subject of a draft desktop 16 16 is an email between Celotex -- if we can zoom in on the study carried out by Exova dated 7 May 2015. 17 top one to start with, this is Debbie Berger at Celotex 17 A. Right. 18 and someone called Frans Paap at Exova, and it's in the 18 Q. I assume from your answers so far that you didn't have 19 19 context of exchanges about the RS5000 product. any involvement or knowledge of that? 20 20 Did you know or have any contact with Frans Paap of A. No. I wouldn't expect to. 21 21 But if you had been enquiring within your firm about the 22 22 A. I knew Frans Paap, yes. I didn't have any exchanges use of RS5000, you might have found out about this --23 23 with him on Celotex. Oh, yes. Yes, I would. 24 Q. Were you aware that he was having exchanges with 24 Q. Now, just on the subject of updating your fire strategy 25 25 Celotex -work, I now want to turn to an email that you received 133 135 1 1 A. No. on 21 December 2015, so later in the project again. Can 2 2 Q. -- at this time? we go to that. This is at {MAX00006084/6}. If we zoom 3 If we could also look at {CEL00003547}, this is 3 in to the top of that. 4 4 an appointment for a meeting between Celotex and Exova So this is from Andy Bridges of RJ Electrics, who is 5 on 16 February 2015. It appears to be a meeting: 5 one of the subcontractors on the project --6 6 "Subject: RS5000 Field of Application. A. Okav. 7 7 "Location: Celotex, Bretton House Board Room." Q. -- to you and Tony Pearson, copying in some others at 8 8 Do you see that? JS Wright; Steve Blake, that's Rydon; Matt Smith, 9 9 Max Fordham; David Hughes, Rydon. Do you see that A. Yes. 10 "Hi Andrew and Frans 10 there? 11 11 "Thank you so much for agreeing to see us so A. Right. 12 quickly. I will organise a lunch for when you arrive." 12 He's emailing you about installing smoke detection to 13 That's from Debbie Berger. 13 operate the AOV system in the community rooms. 14 Were you aware of that meeting or meetings? 14 A. Right. 15 15 A. No, I mean, we weren't sort of aware of what was going Q. That's the estates office and the concierge office, were 16 on in the testing and assessment department on a routine 16 removed in favour of two additional flats at the 17 17 basis. mezzanine level. 18 Just one final email to look at. This is {CEL00003589}. 18 If we look at the second sentence of the first 19 19 If we look at the top again, this is Debbie Berger, paragraph, he says: 20 18 March 2015, to Frans Paap, and she is enclosing 20 "Hello Terry. 21 a copy of her 32-page test report for BS 8414 part 2 21 "We are the electrical contractors on this project. 22 22 using Celotex RS5000, and sending examples of "4 I'm not sure if you've been made aware but there have 23 23 build-ups which we commonly see presented to us at been some fairly major changes to the lower levels since 24 24 design stage". Do you see those? you issued your last Fire Strategy document, Iss 03."

25

Again, was that something that was being discussed

134

25

Then he gives -- we don't need to trouble ourselves

Again, my question is a general question. When you

2 2 changes are. Then if we look down at his questions, he have got this kind of email exchange, and it's entitled 3 3 raises three questions: "changes to floor layout", didn't that prompt you to at 4 4 "How is the omission of the concierge going to least think about whether or not you ought to be telling 5 affect your current document and thinking?" 5 someone that the fire strategy that you had done for the 6 6 I think in the version of this document what we're building needed to be revisited? 7 7 seeing is answers that you then gave to those questions; Well, the first question related to an AOV which I knew 8 8 is that right? was required in the lobby between the boxing club and 9 9 the stairs, so that to me didn't make any -- have any A. Probably, yes. 10 10 Q. So in that first question: impact. 11 "How is the omission of the concierge going to 11 Q. I see. But it didn't occur to you that there would need 12 affect your current document and thinking?" 12 to be a finalised fire strategy for the building that 13 13 It would appear that you have then typed into this would, for example, form part of the health and safety 14 14 file as part of compliance with the CDM Regulations? 15 15 "The omission of the concierge doesn't materially A. It didn't occur to me at that point, no. 16 affect the fire strategy." 16 Q. No. And also an updated fire strategy to deal with 17 Do you see that? 17 regulation 38 information that would be passed on to the 18 18 responsible person, so there is a link between what's 19 19 Q. Do you remember doing this? Do you remember -been done under the Building Regulations and then the 20 20 A. I remember it, yes, I remember it coming from responsible person's obligations under the RRO, that 21 21 didn't occur to you either? an electrical subcontractor. 22 22 Q. Yes. Now, given that you're being updated on A. No, it didn't, no. 23 23 developments since the third issue of your Q. No. 24 24 fire strategy, and he is saying that these are fairly I also briefly want to turn to some clerk of works 25 25 major changes to the lower levels, didn't that put you reports review meeting minutes. If we go to 137 139 1 1 on notice that the fire strategy for the tower was out {ART00006688}, this is from a meeting on 2 2 of date and no longer reflective of the design, and 12 January 2016. These are COW, we haven't looked at 3 3 should you have not at least advised Rydon or Studio E any of these yet, but they're clerk of works reports Δ 4 or the TMO of the need for an updated report? review meeting minutes, and then it says there has been 5 A. He described them as major changes. They don't seem to 5 a meeting at the Rydon site office, and you can see the 6 6 be major to me. Or didn't at the time, I should say. attendees. 7 7 Q. I see. Now, you're not present at this meeting, just to be 8 8 Can we look at another exchange. This is with clear, but if we look on at page 5 {ART00006688/5} of 9 Ms Williams on 19 October 2015. This is {ART00004926}. 9 this document, and if we blow that up, three lines from 10 So here, what we're seeing in this is a series of 10 the bottom, it says there: 11 11 "Fire strategy needs to be updated as per on site exchanges that you have with Ms Williams entitled 12 "Fire Strategy", and you're providing advice on, 12 discussions." 13 13 I think, fire strategy drawings at this point; is that Then there are initials there, DH, which we think 14 right? It would appear to be a pdf fire strategy 14 are David Hughes of Rydon. 15 15 My question for you is: did David Hughes or anyone 16 16 else from Rydon, or indeed anyone else involved in the A. Well, I'm assuming there was a plan, yes. 17 Q. For example, the top email: 17 project, contact you in or around this time, so 18 "Terry 18 January 2016, to ask for the fire strategy to be 19 19 "This plan shows that we had assumed an AOV within updated? 20 the lobby of the boxing club, ie where it is accessible 20 A. No. 21 21 Q. Now -at walkway level (top right drawing). 22 22 "Would this suffice? I don't know how you would be A. Before we leave that, I'm not exactly sure, looking at 23 able to reach to manually reset one at the top of the 23 the list of items here, why we would need to update the

24

25

Q. I see.

140

fire strategy to --

stairs here.

"Thanks for your help."

138

24

25

1

about the detail of this -- an explanation of what the

- A. -- deal with what are snagging defects.
- 2 Q. Yes. Well, I mean, we can explore that in due course
- 3 with the Rydon witness.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. I was just interested to know whether or not you had 6 ever had any contact --
- 7 A. Absolutely no contact, no.
- 8 Q. -- after this.

9 Yesterday I put to you that there appeared to be 10 around 90 emails either sent by you or cc'd between 11 April 2014 when Rydon come on board and March 2016.

12 Now, there appears to be a dispute about this, and 13 your solicitors have emailed overnight and said their 14 calculation is it's closer to 40 emails. There has not 15 been time to double check that, so what I'm going to do 16 is just withdraw that question, but put it to you in 17 a different way.

In light of what we have been looking at today -- so the advice you gave in September 2014, the advice you gave in the spring of 2015, these ongoing queries in late 2015 -- the substantive point is: doesn't this show that you were still regarded as involved in the project, even if you are saying you didn't regard yourself as a full part of the design team?

25 A. I think that some members of the design team did assume

141

- 1 that we were still on board, so to speak.
- 2 Q. Again, the follow-up question is: did you ever disabuse 3 them of that perception --
- 4 A. No.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 Q. -- at any stage?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Sprinklers, briefly. I just want some brief questions 8 about sprinklers.
- 9 I think it's right, isn't it, that your 10 understanding of the existing smoke control system 11 serving the common lobbies pre the refurbishment was
- 12 that it was not compliant with current guidance and it 13 needed to be refurbished and/or modified. You said that
- 14 in your design note that we looked at.
- 15 A. Yes, yeah.
- 16 Q. You also said in that initial design note that as the 17 stairs serving residential accommodation should not 18 serve other, non-residential accommodation, it may be 19 necessary to provide sprinkler or water mist systems to 20 the boxing club or office suite. That was something
- 21 that was said in your design note.
- 22 Now, given the problems you had identified, 23 including the single stair, the width of that stair, and 24 the ventilation deficiency, did you consider or ever

consider whether sprinklers would be appropriate 142

1 throughout the building?

2 A. I can answer that in two ways. If you ask me: should

3 existing high-rise blocks of flats be sprinklered

4 retrospectively, my answer to that would be yes. That's

5 not always possible and it's not backed up by any 6 statutory requirement.

7 With regard to this development, I mentioned

8 sprinklers in the context of the non-residential uses as

9 identifying that it might be -- or I think the word

10 I used was "may" -- may be needed to satisfy

11 Building Control.

12 Q. Yes.

13 Did you ever advise anyone that it might be a good 14 idea to consider sprinklers throughout the building?

15 A. No, I didn't.

16 Can we go to paragraph 4.10 of your first witness

17 statement. This is {EXO00001621/10}. You say:

18 "Following the design note, I recall having a

19 discussion with Studio E about some of the issues that 20 had been raised in Ms Cooney's 10 September 2012 email

21 ... including the possibility of sprinklers. This was

22 also a point that had been covered in email exchanges

23 with Studio E following the design note."

24 Do you see that there?

25 Α. Yes, I do.

143

1 Q. Can you recall who you had that discussion with at

2 Studio E about sprinklers?

3 A. Well, it was either Bruce Sounes or Adrian Jess. One of

4 the two people. The specific problem was travel

5 distances in one apartment, which exceeded the

6 recommended 9 metres, and my view was that we had to

7 offer something by way of mitigation for that particular

8 extended travel distance, and that could have been by

9 using sprinklers --

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. -- or it could have been done by putting in an enhanced

12 fire detection system, which meant a detector in every 13

14 Now, I have to say that, of the two, to deal with 15 that particular problem, the detection system would be

16 better because it would have detected the fire sooner

17 than a sprinkler would.

18 O. Yes.

19 You have to bear in mind that a sprinkler would only

20 operate when there was a very high temperature in the

21 room in which the fire is started. So for the occupants

22 of that flat, the fire detection system was the better

23 option.

24 Q. I see. So do you think that what you have just been

25 explaining was the subject of your discussion with

144

- 1 Studio E at the time about sprinklers to that specific 2
- 3 A. No, I think that if you read on in what I said later in
- 4 that paragraph, it was: what do we need to do to
- 5 provide, you know, an extra factor of fire safety, and
- 6 what -- which particular way of doing that will be
- 7 accessible to -- sorry, acceptable to Building Control.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Because they could conceivably have said, "Well, we
- 10 think you should put sprinklers in", in which case the
- 11 client would have had to face putting in a sprinkler
- 12 installation in one small apartment.
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. So it was a number of -- well, two options, to provide
- 15 compensation for a slightly increased travel distance,
- 16 and common practice was that you put in an enhanced
- 17 fire detection system for the reasons that I've already
- 18 iust given.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 Were you aware of Ms Cooney's comment "no sprinklers
- 21 wanted" in her email of 17 August 2012 to Andrew Martyn?
- 22 That was the email we looked at before where she said
- 23 "we're making a crap condition worse", that kind of very
- 24 frank exchange she had with Mr Martyn?
- 25 Yes, I was aware of that, yes. I don't know who told

- 1 her or --
- 2 Q. You were aware she had said "no sprinklers wanted"?
- 3 A. Yes. I don't know whether it was the client or Studio E
- 4 who told her that.
- 5 Q. Yeah, that was my next question.
- 6 A. Well --
- 7 Q. Did you ever ask her who had told her no sprinklers
- 8 wanted and where that had come from?
- 9 A. Well. I assumed it had come from the client's side. If
- 10 I had come from the client as distinct from Studio E, it
- 11 would have carried more weight, if you like, but I think
- 12 that the general philosophy was that they didn't want
- 13 sprinklers.
- 14 Q. No.
- 15 A. And there are obvious benefits in putting in sprinklers,
- 16 but if the client says, "I don't want to put a partial
- 17 sprinkler system into this building", then -- and it's
- 18 not required by the regulations, then it wouldn't
- 19 happen.
- 20 Q. No. Yes.
- 21 Now, presumably at the time of the Grenfell project,
- 22 you were aware that sprinklers were mandatory in
- 23 new-builds over 30 metres?
- 24 A. Absolutely.
- 25 Q. Yes.

1 Do you accept that Grenfell Tower was and could be 2 properly described as a complex building over 30 metres?

- 3 Not complex, no. No.
- 4 Q. Why would you --
- 5 It was a block of flats with a little bit of 6 non-residential accommodation in the lower levels.
- 7 O. Complex in the sense it's an old building, single stair,
- 8 fairly narrow stair, smoke ventilation system, natural
- 9 system no longer working. Wouldn't that all make it
- 10 quite complex from a fire safety perspective?
- 11 Not complex. I would say it wasn't up to modern-day
- 12 standards, and you have to then make a value judgement 13 as to how you do that. But the vehicle for doing that
- 14 is the Regulatory Reform Order. If a risk assessment is
- 15 carried out in an existing block of flats -- it needn't
- 16
- be Grenfell, it could be somewhere else -- and we think
- 17 that you ought to provide sprinklers in this building,
- 18 then that would be the way in which it would be
- 19 sprinklered.
- 20 Q. I see, so --
- 21 Unless you are backed by legislation, as in the case of
- 22 Scotland or parts of Scotland, where an Act of
- 23 Parliament was put through forcing owners of existing
- 24 high-rise residential buildings to put sprinklers in
- 25 retrospectively.

5

147

- 1 Q. So I think I understand from what you're saying there,
- 2 and you have said it in relation to other topics, that 3
- that's really a separate question for the fire risk
- 4 assessment and under the RRO.
 - What I want to put to you is actually when you are
- 6 doing a fire safety strategy, that's also your concern
- 7 and your consideration, and that there isn't this hard
- 8 division between what you're doing for a fire strategy
- 9 and the fire risk assessment process. Actually, to some
- 10 extent there is an overlap and you're looking
- 11 potentially forward at what's going to happen at the
- 12 next fire risk assessment, and also be asking: is it
- 13
- compliant with the RRO?
- 14 The absence of sprinklers is not evidence of 15
- non-compliance with the RRO. I don't automatically 16 think, in dealing with buildings like this, as first
- 17 principle, why don't we suggest that the client put
- 18
- sprinklers in.
- 19 With the wisdom of hindsight and following this 20 fire, that might be our stance now, but at the time
- 21 there was no reason to think that putting in sprinklers
- 22 throughout the building would be a reasonable way to go 23 about things.
- 24 What I'm putting to you is a more general point than 25 just sprinklers, but sprinklers are an example, about

148

- 1 this potential overlap in some sense with the fire risk
- 2 assessment process feeding into the fire strategy and
- 3 vice versa, you're feeding into that process. So,
- 4 again, it's not a hermetically sealed two bubbles; to
- 5 some extent, you both have to be having regard to what
 - the other has done. Does that not make sense?
- 7 A. Well, no, I think I didn't get sight of the fire risk
- 8 assessment for this building because that was really,
- $9 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{I guess, to inform my colleagues in Warrington who were} \\$
- doing the existing fire strategy.
- 11 Q. And that wasn't a document that you ever thought it
- would be important for you to see, the current fire risk
- 13 assessment?

- 14 A. No, because I think if they had said in the latest risk
- assessment, "We consider this building should be
- provided with a sprinkler system" then Cate would have
- passed that information on to me.
- 18 Q. One final question on this topic: with the benefit of
- hindsight, do you think you should have strongly advised
- $20 \hspace{1cm} \text{that sprinklers should have been installed \ throughout} \\$
- 21 the building?
- 22 A. No. You know, you say that, but I had no reason to
- expect when I was dealing with this building that there
- 24 was going to be such a catastrophic fire.
- 25 Q. Yes, okay.

149

- Now, I have a few other questions on miscellaneous topics before we're just going to come and look overall
- at the work that Exova did and look back at it at the end.
- I want to ask you some questions about the evacuation of residents.
- evacuation of residents.
 Given that you say you never visited Grenfell Tower,
- 8 what consideration did you give to the residents who
- 9 were living in the building?
- 10 A. In what sense?
- $11\quad Q. \ \ In \ terms \ of \ evacuation \ strategies \ .$
- $12\,$ A. Well, I think I've said in earlier sessions of this that
- $13 \hspace{1.5cm} \hbox{there is no evacuation strategy for blocks of} \\$
- $14 \qquad \quad \text{residential} \quad \text{flats} \; ; \; \; \text{it's a stay-put strategy} \, .$
- 15 Q. Yes. I mean, we have gone over the disabled and we've
- 16 looked at ADB and those changes. Did you at any point
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{consider any other particularly vulnerable groups--} \\$
- elderly, young children -- and how the fire strategy
- might affect them? Was there any consideration about
- 20 the specific community within this building?
- $21\,$ $\,$ A. No. I think in answer to an earlier question you gave
- $22\,$ me, the only time I would look at an occupant profile of
- a building is if it were -- I hate to use the
- expression -- an old persons' home, where it would be
- 25 completely reasonable to put in sprinklers. But if you

- 1 have any other mix of occupancy -- young people, old
- 2 people, people with disabilities -- it wouldn't cause me
 - to do anything.
- 4 O. Yes.

3

- 5 A. Given that if there is a fire, they don't need to
- 6 evacuate, unless they happen to be in the flat in which
- 7 a fire starts.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 Dr Barker suggested in her evidence that the
- assumption was that residents could self-evacuate. Was
- $11 \hspace{1cm} \text{that also your assumption when you were working on the} \\$
- 12 project?
- 13 A. That's never explicitly stated in any of the codified
- guidance, but yeah, I mean, obviously a corollary to
- that is that the occupants of the fire affected
- apartment would be expected to self-evacuate.
- 17 Q. Yes. So did you ever turn your mind to the fact that
- some residents might not be able to self-evacuate
- 19 without assistance?
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ A. No. That would be true for any apartment block.
- 21 Q. The existing building fire strategy report makes two
- 22 statements about evacuation. It says first that
- evacuation of flats beyond the dwelling of fire origin
- would be carried out under the control of the attending
- 25 fire service if necessary.

151

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. It also states, due to an assumed high degree of
- 3 compartmentation and therefore low probability of
- 4 fire spread beyond the dwelling of fire origin,
- 5 simultaneous evacuation of the building is unlikely to
- 6 be considered.
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Now, the existing building fire strategy uses the word
- 9 "assumed", these are assumed. What investigations did
- you make to confirm what the degree of compartmentation
- would be after the refurbishment?
- 12 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
- 13 Q. Yes. What investigations did you make --
- 14 A. The second part, anyway.
- 15 Q. -- to confirm what the degree of compartmentation would
- be after the refurbishment, given that those were the
- 17 evacuation assumptions?
- 18 A. I didn't make any assumptions, and it wasn't part of our
- agreed scope of works to visit the building after the
- works to see that a satisfactory degree of
- 21 compartmentation had been provided.
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. Had it been asked for, that would have been in our
- 24 fee proposal.
- 25 Q. Yes.

1 Now, we discussed today the Celotex RS5000 and we 1 "Matt 2 2 were discussing it a moment ago, and you said you didn't "We're trying not to have to provide mechanical 3 3 know about the fire performance of that product, but smoke extract to lobbies you've queried. 4 4 that you were aware that it was not a non-combustible "Kind regards 5 material. 5 "Terry." 6 6 Were you aware that there had been a number of fires Do you see that there? 7 7 worldwide involving both aluminium composite material A. Yes, yeah. 8 8 and foam insulation? Q. But you have said in evidence that you understood that 9 9 A. I was aware that there were fires in the Middle East a mechanical system would be installed to rectify the 10 involving certainly ACM. 10 inadequacy of the existing system; is that correct? 11 Q. But not necessarily that they had involved foam 11 A. That is correct, but I think here I might be referring 12 insulation? 12 to other areas of the building which it was not possible 13 A. No. 13 for the main extract system to serve, ie the --14 Q. No. 14 Q. Ah, okay. 15 15 A. -- non-residential uses on the lowest levels. A. I think it's -- it might be relevant, it may not, but in 16 the fires in the Middle East, the buildings are 16 Q. I see. 17 sprinklered and the evacuation strategy for them was 17 A. All of which were required to have some form of smoke 18 simultaneous evacuation, so nobody lost their lives in 18 control. 19 19 the fires that I was aware of. Q. That makes sense. 20 20 Q. Yes. Do you agree that a mechanical smoke extract system 21 21 A. Although there was significant property damage, as you was necessary to rectify the problems with the main 22 22 existing system? would imagine. 23 23 Q. Another question: were you aware of anything to do with A. Absolutely. 24 the process involved in forming Celotex RS5000, the 24 Q. Yes. 25 25 manufacturing process? Did you have any knowledge of I want to look at another email, this time to 153 155 1 1 that? Duncan Campbell. This is at {MAX00023204/2}. So this 2 2 A. No, no, but I know what polyurethane foam is. I mean, is you, and I think it's to Duncan Campbell. Is that of 3 3 it's exfoliated plastic. Max Fordham? 4 4 Q. Did you know --Yes, it would have been. Α. 5 A. In sort of layman's terms. 5 Q. Yes. 6 6 Q. Did you know anything about the blowing agent that was A. Yeah. 7 7 used for the foam? Q. And you state: 8 8 A. No. 9 9 Q. Or the flammability of any such blowing --"I appreciate that you are making an existing 10 A. No, but I was aware from work done by the GLC, it goes 10 (unsatisfactory) system more reliable. However, given 11 11 back that far, that the composition of a lot of these RBKC's comments when we met them, they are likely to 12 materials was such that, if they were involved in 12 require that we demonstrate in some way that this system 13 a fire, they would give rise to toxic fumes and dense 13 is as good as (or better than) a compliant natural 14 smoke, which would hamper mainly firefighting 14 ventilation system. I don't believe that the enhanced 15 15 operations. system would equate to a depressurisation system meeting 16 16 the recommendations of BS 12101-6 and I believe we Q. Yes. 17 Just a few questions on the smoke ventilation 17 should resist a requirement for this. As a starting 18 18 point, is it possible to state how many air changes/hour 19 19 Can we look at an email to Matt Smith that you sent, will be achieved on the fire affected floor?" 20 Matt Smith of Max Fordham. This is at {EXO00000659}. 20 Do you see that there? 21 21 A. I do, yes. 22 22 Q. I want to look at the bottom of page 1. This is Q. What do you mean by a compliant natural ventilation 23 23 an email you sent on 3 October 2013 from you to system in that fourth line? 24 Matt Smith, Max Fordham, copying in Bruce Sounes and 24 Well, a compliant natural ventilation system was not --25 others, including at Max Fordham. You say there: 25 we didn't have enough space to put one in. I mean,

154

6

7

8

9

2 a 3-square-metre chimney located in the central 3 lift lobby. Now, that was not really practicable. 4 Q. Yes. 5 A. With automatic opening vents into it, and a vent at the 6 top. That could not be accommodated in the floor plate. 7 So we were forced to go to some form of natural 8 ventilation -- sorry, some form of mechanical 9 ventilation . 10 Q. Mechanical. 11 A. Yeah. 12 Can you explain why you're saying in this email that you 13 believe you should resist a requirement for 14 a depressurisation system meeting the recommendations of 15 that British Standard there? 16 A. Possibly because a brand new depressurisation system 17 meeting the recommendations of this British Standard 18 might be difficult to achieve in this building. 19 Q. Can you give any more detail as to why that would be 20 difficult to achieve? 21 A. It could probably be again for spatial reasons. 22 Q. Yes. 23 A. I think it's useful to point out that there are 24 commercial systems on the market which require ducts of 25 very small cross-sectional area which do work and would 157 1 have been suitable here, and I think that's actually 2 what we ended up with, although it was after my 3 involvement in this particular part of the design. 4 Q. Well, we will be exploring this more in Module 3. 5 A. Okav. 6 Q. Can I just go to another exchange. This is an exchange 7 you had with Claire Williams. This is at 8 {EXO00000618/3}. 9 She says at the bottom of that page, if we can look 10 at this -- you are cc'd into an email to Matt Smith. 11 She says: 12 13 "On site we talked about finding out the original 14 installation information - ie from building regulations, 15 as this would evidence the intended design strategy and 16 any measurements. 17 "Do you have any access to building regulations 18 information, or have you done this already?" 19 I think she is trying to establish what the existing 20 system was capable of? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Is that right? 23 A. Yes, that's correct. 24 Q. Then if we can go one up, they say: 25 "We'll look into this. We hold historical

158

a compliant natural ventilation system would be

1 regulatory documents in our library ... There will also 2 be the issue of which regulations applied at the 3 time ..." 4

Then there is all that.

I think that the general question for you here is: why was the design strategy and measurements of the existing system still uncertain at the time of this email exchange in 2014? Can you help us?

A. Why were they uncertain?

10 Q. Yes, why are they still struggling to understand the 11 existing system at that time?

12 When I heard what it was, I was struggling to understand 13 what it was, because there were quite clearly defined 14 methods for dealing with smoke extract from common areas 15 of residential buildings, and they were documented in 16 both the LCC -- sorry, London County Council code of

17 practice and the subsequent GLC code of practice.

18 O. Yes.

19 A. What was put in there seemed to me to be somewhat 20 experimental, and I think I've referred to it as such 21 somewhere in the correspondence.

22 Q. Yes.

23

24

25

Would you agree that the performance of that existing system should have been determined from the outset to inform the design strategy for the new system?

159

1 A. No, not really. I think, as I said quite often, there 2 was an intention to put in a mechanical system because 3 of the difficulties in getting small area natural vents 4 to work in a building of this height.

5 Q. Yes.

6 Now, just finally on this topic I want to go to 7 another email, {EXO00000344}.

8 A. Right.

9 Q. If we can blow this up, this is 6 January 2015, so this 10 is Matt Smith, Max Fordham, to you -- you are the 11 recipient of this email -- copying in Max Fordham and 12 Artelia:

13 "Subject: Grenfell Tower - Smoke Extract System. 14 "Afternoon Terry

15 "Can you look over and provide comment on the smoke 16 extract proposal put forward by PSB on behalf of 17 JS Wright? It looks acceptable and I believe the 18 principle of it has been verbally accepted by Building 19 Control, but it may be wise to get your view as it falls

20 slightly outside our area of expertise.

> "It's fundamentally different to what we specified in that it is now a pressure differential system rather than simple smoke clearance. Details can be found below. If you have any questions then please give me a call ."

160

21

22

23

24

25

6

- 1 Do you see that there?
- 2 A. I do, yes.
- 3 Q. Do you recall receiving that request for advice on that?
- 4 A. Yes, I do recall receiving it.
- 5 Q. Can you recall making any comments on it?
- 6 A. I said something, I believe, to the effect that PSB are
- 7 specialists in this area.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Similar to PSB, another specialist smoke extract company
- 10 does do bespoke systems for this application, and when
- 11 we're dealing with that, we say, well, they have their
- 12 own design backed up by CFD, and so the job of getting
- it approved is generally handed over to them. 13
- 14 Q. I see, yes.
- 15 A. So as long as PSB were able to satisfy RBKC that it
- 16 would work, then that was fine.
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. I mean, I wouldn't seek to argue what they were doing
- 19 was inadequate because they're the experts in their own
- 20 system.
- 21 Q. I see. So does it follow that you didn't get involved
- 22 in the design process when the change was made to go to
- 23 a pressure differential system?
- 24 A. No, I didn't. Just to give you another example, where
- 25 we're dealing with a commercial building with

161

- 1 firefighting shafts and the building design consultant
- 2. opts to go for a commercial system, all that we say in
- 3 our documentation is, "This will be provided by X", and
- 4 we have no further involvement in that because they're
- 5 the experts at doing that.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 Were you ever given an explanation on the project as
- 8 to why the system that was finally proposed was not the
- 9 same as that which had been specified by Max Fordham?
- 10
- 11 Q. Do you know why the design intention for the system was
- 12 still unclear at the time of this email exchange in
- 13 2015?
- 14 A. At the time when, sorry?
- 15 Q. Why was the design intention for the system still
- 16 unclear at the time of this email exchange in 2015?
- 17 A. All I can say was it probably hadn't been firmly
- 18 established that what was being proposed was workable.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 Now, fire doors, just a few quick questions on that.
- 21
- 22 Q. Is there any reason why the fire safety strategy reports
- 23 which you produced didn't refer to the location and
- 2.4 performance of all the fire doors in the building?
- 25 A. No. It was quite deliberate. We were only dealing with 162

- 1 doors to new openings.
- 2 $Q.\ \ I$ see. So it wasn't part of your work to look at the
 - doors that were already existing in the tower and check
- 4 that they were compliant with -- well, either compliant
- 5 with modern requirements and then explain why they
 - didn't have to be, or check whether they complied with
- 7 the requirements which would have been applicable at the
- 8 time?
- 9 A. No, I would expect that to be -- what's the word? --
- 10 highlighted as a result of a fire risk assessment if the
- 11 doors were inadequate. I mean, I know a number of cases
- 12 with local authority housing where one of the
- 13 recommendations of a fire risk assessment is that the
- 14 doors are either replaced or modified to make them
- 15 compliant.
- Q. Yes. But, as we know, you never got a copy of the fire 16
- 17 risk assessment, so you wouldn't have known whether any
- 18 of those doors had been highlighted.
- 19 A. No, no.
- 20 Q. No.
- 21 Is there a reason why no investigation was made by
- 22 Exova into the performance of the existing flat entrance
- 23 doors, stair doors or lift shaft doors anywhere in the
- 24 building to see if it complied with relevant fire safety
- 25 requirements?

163

- 1 A. Erm --
- 2 Q. That's a different way of putting the same question,
- 3 I think
- 4 A. It is, really. We wouldn't have looked at the remainder
- 5 of the building because that wasn't part of the scope of
- 6
- 7 Q. What about the fire doors that were proposed in the
- 8 refurbishment? Was it any part of your role to check
- 9 the compliance with fire safety regulations and
- 10 Building Regulations of the new fire doors that were
- 11 proposed as part of the refurbishment?
- 12 No, we had no inspection function as part of our scope
- 13 of works. I think the reason for that is a fairly
- 14 obvious one: that we would expect that that work would
- 15 be done by Building Control anyway.
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 So just moving to another topic -- and we're getting
- 18 towards the end of my questions, although we're going to
- 19 have to pause when I finish and just sweep up anything
- 20 else.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. What I'm going to try to do is finish my questions first 23 and then we break, if that's okay.
- 24 So I want to take stock and look back at the work of

164

25 Exova, and compare the work which you proposed in the

1 fee proposal and the work that was carried out.

2 It's right, isn't it, that the third version of the

- 3 outline fire safety strategy was, in the end, the only
- 4 version of that report that was ever produced?
- 5 A. Yes, that's right.
- 6 Q. Do you agree that that third version represented the
- 7 culmination of Exova's work up to and including RIBA
- 8 stages D and E?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, as we discussed earlier, your fee proposal
- 11 envisaged that a detailed fire strategy would be
- 12 prepared for RIBA stage D and E, which would be written
- 13 in line with the requirements of the
- 14 Building Regulations.
- 15 Did you think that the third issue of the outline
- 16 fire safety strategy satisfied that part of the
- 17 fee proposal?
- 18 A. No, it didn't, because it didn't deal with a number of
- 19 issues, in particular the B4 issue that we have been
- 20 discussing for the last few days.
- 21 Q. Did you ever look back at the fee proposal and see that
- 22 what was promised in the detailed fire strategy was
- 23 a determination of any external fire spread issues that
- 24 there may be and recommendations of compartmentation and
- 25 fire protection standards? Did you ever look back and

165

- 1 consider whether that work had been completed?
- 2. A. No, but I was -- I was aware that we hadn't completed
- 3
- 4 Q. Can you explain why we see so little change between the
- 5 three iterations of your fire strategy report, in terms
- 6 of the level of detail that was provided?
- 7 A. Yeah, I think that's because it really only picked up
- 8 internal changes as a result of Studio E redesigning
- 9 parts of the lower four floors.
- 10 Q. Is it right that nothing commensurate with RIBA stage F,
- 11 or indeed any stage thereafter, was ever produced by
- 12
- 13 A. I think that's fair comment, and that is particularly
- 14 because we weren't novated to Rydon.
- 15 Q. Do you accept that each time you were copied in to
- 16 an email about the external wall design in 2014 and
- 17 2015, it represented a missed opportunity to give
- 18 comprehensive guidance on the Building Regulations and
- 19 statutory guidance document ADB and what they required?
- 20 A. I think it could be interpreted that way, but, as I've
- 21 said on many occasions over the last few days, the
- 22 general gist of the enquiries that we got were details
- 23 rather than, "This is a system that we're thinking of
- 2.4 using, what do you think?"
- 25 Q. Would you accept that these were missed opportunities

166

- 1 for any CDM-related issues to be addressed as part of
- 2 a design risk assessment process?
- 3 A. We had no involvement with the CFD co-ordinator.
- 4 I think probably --
- 5 Q. Sorry, when you say CFD co-ordinator, do you mean CDM
 - co-ordinator?
- 7 A. Sorry, did I -- sorry, Freudian slip. CDM co-ordinator.
- 8 Had we been employed by Rydon, it's likely that we would
- 9 have had to provide some information and co-operate with
- 10 the CDM co-ordinator, because the critical role they
- 11 perform is mainly when the building is -- when the
- 12 building is being built, although there are some aspects
- 13 of the design that they need to consider as well,
- 14 particularly those architectural issues that could
- 15 create problems for end users of the building.
- 16 Q. I would suggest there were very important aspects of the
- 17 design process that have to be considered.
- 18 Is the building safe to use, yes, exactly.
- 19 Did you know during your time on the project who the CDM
- 20 co-ordinator was?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. So you didn't know that, up until 2015, that was Artelia
- 23 or Appleyards?
- 24 A. No, I didn't.
- 25 Did it ever occur to you, or did you ever think about,

167

- 1 that your client, the TMO, would need a more detailed
- 2 and up-to-date fire strategy when considering
- 3 Building Control approval?
- 4 A. I think I thought it might be necessary, but
- 5 Building Control didn't ask for a more detailed
- 6 fire strategy; they appeared to have approved the
- 7 concepts without that information. We never had any
- 8 instruction from the client to update it.
- 9 Q. But were you ever worried or concerned that
- 10 Building Control might read your iterations of the
- 11 fire strategy and see that no issues had been identified
- 12 and take comfort from that?
- 13 A. No, I would assume that they were a competent
- 14 Building Control authority and if they weren't happy,
- 15 they would have said so. And that is my experience of
- 16 dealing with Kensington and Chelsea Building Control, by
- 17 and large; they really do want to know what's going on.
- 18 Exactly. Building Control needed to have an analysis of 19 the B4 performance requirements and how the external
- 20 wall satisfied those requirements, didn't they?
- 21 They did.
- 22 Q. In your proposed scope of works for RIBA stage F, you
- 23 said that there would be an updated revision of the fire

- 24 safety strategy documentation to reflect any agreed
- 25 changes to the strategy following any related design

- development and consultation with the approvals body.
- 2 Do you want to look at that again?
- 3 A. No, that's a standard thing that we would do if
- 4 necessary.
- 5 Q. Can you explain what happened to that updated revision
- 6 to reflect any agreed changes following any related
- 7 design development and consultation with the approvals
- 8 body?
- $9\,$ $\,$ A. $\,$ I wasn't aware it was necessary. As I said earlier , we
- didn't get anything back from Kensington and Chelsea
- $11 \hspace{1cm} \text{which required us to change the fundamentals of our} \\$
- 12 fire strategy.
- 13 Q. So you didn't think about the fact that your
 - fee proposal stated that Exova would be providing
- an updated revision of the fire strategy, that was
- 16 accepted by the TMO, that was what you had proposed you
- 17 would do?

14

- 18 A. I think, as I said earlier, the wording in our
- fee proposals is a standard wording, and it covers all
- areas of what might happen, or might reasonably cover
- all eventualities. If at stage F it's necessary to
- update a report to reflect changes either in the design
- as brought about by the contractor or any other reason,
- then we would expect to have to update the report.
- $25\,$ $\,$ Q. There is no evidence of you meeting with RBKC

169

- Building Control after September 2013. Do you agree
- 2 that such meetings would have been useful to discuss
- your fire strategy and necessary revisions to it as the
- 4 project progressed and before the full plans application
- 5 in August 2014?
- 6 A. We generally find that either the project manager or the
- 7 lead consultant asks for meetings with Building Control
- 8 if he or she deems it necessary. It doesn't come from
- 9 Building Control. They don't say, "We need to meet you,
- say, once every two months to discuss things ". It's
- generally we ask them or we -- I say "we", I mean the
- design team.
- 13 Q. I think we saw before that you in fact initiated
- yourself the first meeting with Building Control. You
- 15 organised it and --
- 16 A. Yeah, that's because I knew how to get hold of them
- 17 easily.
- $18\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ I see. But that wasn't something that it occurred to
- you to do thereafter on the project?
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ A. No, no, I assumed that from there on the lead consultant
- would arrange these things, because when they go and see
- $22\,$ Building Control, they don't just talk about fire, they
- 23 talk about all the other parts of the
- 24 Building Regulations.
- 25 Q. Did you think at the time that it was odd -- this is

170

- while you were still involved in the project -- that
- a compliance check of the design for the external wall
- 3 had not been carried out?
- 4 A. Design -- sorry?
- 5 Q. A compliance check of the design for the external wall.
- 6 Did it occur to you that it was odd that no compliance
- 7 check had been carried out?
 - A. Yes, I think it was very odd that I had no contact with
- 9 Studio E to discuss it.
- 10 Q. And did you ever think about contacting your client, the
- TMO, and just saying that: "It's really odd that we
- 12 haven't done this, you should know that"?
- 13 A. No, I wouldn't do that, I don't think. I mean, that's
- not the normal way of how a building design team works.
- 15 If somebody goes to the project manager and says, "We're
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{not getting sufficient input from Warrington on} \\$
- a particular subject", I would be slightly upset that
- $18\,$ they hadn't come to me and said, "Look, we need some
- information from you regarding X, Y or Z" rather than
- 20 going straight to the project manager or client.
- 21 Q. Would you accept that it fell below the standards of
- a reasonably competent fire engineer not to advise the
- 23 TMO, your client, that there was a need for a compliance
- 24 check of the design to be done?
- 25 A. No.

171

- 1 Q. Okay.
- Now, we know that the outline fire safety strategy
- 3 issue 3 was expressly incorporated into the design and
- 4 build contract between the TMO and Rydon?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. It formed part of the contract documentation.
- 7 Did you know, prior to that, that it was going to be
- 8 incorporated into the design and build contract?
- 9 A. No, I didn't.
- $10\,$ $\,$ Q. So it follows that you didn't know that it would be
- 11 relied on and used by the main contractor?
- 12 A. Well, no, when I say I didn't know, I mean, it would
- $13 \hspace{1cm} \text{normally be information given to a contractor prior to} \\$
- 14 him tendering for the job.
- 15 Q. Were you aware at the time that issue 3 of the outline
- fire safety strategy was eventually submitted to RBKC
- Building Control by Studio E on 29 September 2014 as
- part of the full plans application for Building Control
- approval? Did you come to know about that at the time?
- 20 A. No, I wasn't aware of that, but I would imagine that
- 21 that would be standard practice for our fire strategy
- $22\,$ report to be part of the full plans application.
- that that was likely to happen, that that issue 3 was --

And you were completely comfortable with that, were you,

25 A. Well, they had to have something to look at. I mean, if

172

- 1 the full plans application had been made without any
- 2 statement about the fire strategy, then it could have
- 3 been rejected under the Building Regulations, in my
- 4
- 5 Q. Yes. But was it common, in your experience, for
- 6 a fire strategy to go in as part of a full plans
- 7 application which didn't deal with, for example, the B4
- 8 requirement when there was to be an overcladding?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. That wasn't --
- 11 A. I think in that sense it would have been nice if the
- 12 final edition of the fire strategy did contain details
- 13 of compliance with B4, but it didn't because it hadn't
- 14
- 15 Q. Yes.

20

21

16 Can we look at paragraph 3.21 of your witness 17 statement. This is {EXO00001621/6}. I just want to

18

read what you say here: "By the time of Stage F, a contractor has generally been appointed to carry out the project. For large

projects this is often on a 'design and build' basis,

- 22 meaning that the contractor takes on responsibility for
- 23 the design of the works (including completing any
- 24 unfinished design work) as well as the construction of
- 25 the works. What often occurs is that the contractor who

173

- 1 has been appointed to carry out the project will carry
- 2 out a 'value engineering' exercise, meaning they look 3 for ways to reduce the cost of the project (for instance
- 4 through changing materials or approach) while still
- 5
- meeting the client's objectives. Such changes may or 6 may not impact on the fire strategy."
- 7 Then you say it's not a given that you will remain 8 involved in the project at this stage.
- 9 I just want to ask you about that value engineering
- 10 process you refer to there. Did you ever hear about 11 whether there had been a value engineering process on
- 12 this project?
- 13 A. No, but I say it's very common --
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. -- for the successful tenderer to do that, to see if
- 16 there are ways in which the design can be
- 17 accomplished --
- 18 Q. So you didn't ever try -- sorry.
- 19 -- at a reduced cost.
- 20 Q. Yes. So you didn't ever try and find out if such
- 21 a value engineering exercise had taken place and whether
- 22 changes had been made which would affect the
- 23 fire strategy?
- 2.4 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Do you agree, looking at it now, that the questions that

- 1 were being asked of you by Studio E in both
- 2 September 2014 and March 2015 about the cladding flowed
- 3 directly from the lack of any analysis about B3 and B4
- 4 in your outline fire strategy reports?
- 5 No, I think it's a reflection of the fact that they
 - didn't tell us what they wanted to do and sit down and
- 7 discuss it.

6

- 8 Q. Would you agree that the queries raised by Studio E were
- 9 basic questions about performance requirements in fire
- 10 which should have been addressed by Exova in the
- 11 fire strategy reports?
- 12 Ideally they should have been, but weren't, for the
- 13 reasons I've already given.
- 14 Would you agree that, in light of your knowledge that
- 15 the refurbishment works included overcladding with
- 16 panels which would potentially fail in the event of
- 17 a fire with external flaming from the compartment, you
- 18 should have produced a further and final outline fire
- 19 safety report which took account of up-to-date
- 20 information about the overcladding?
- 21 I think that you are placing too much importance on
 - local failure of a cladding because of fire coming out
- 23 of a window. That's not the same question at all.
- 24 Well, that's the explanation you have given for those
- 25 emails?

22

6

175

- 1
- 2 Q. You don't think that that should have meant that you
- 3 should have been producing a further and final version
- 4 of your fire strategy report?
- 5 A. I think I've said on a number of occasions that it would
 - have been nice if we had done a full and final
- 7 fire safety strategy, but for reasons I have already
- 8 explained, that didn't happen.
- 9 Q. Do you accept that you should have advised the TMO as
- 10 your client, and indeed Studio E, that there were
- 11 serious issues which needed to be addressed concerning
- 12 fire safety of the cladding given its likelihood that it
- 13 might fail in the event of a fire with external flaming?
- 14 No, I didn't see the need to say that to them because
- 15 I had no way of knowing that it might fail in the way
- 16 that you are describing. I mean, that could happen with 17 any building.
- 18 Q. I just want to look, then, at the overall time spent on
- 19 the project and just ask you a few questions about that.
- 20 We have had disclosed to the Inquiry a spreadsheet 21 which shows the time spent on the project by Exova. If
- 22 we can go to the native version, {EXO00001353}. I want 23 to look at the timesheets at the second tab at the
- 24

25

Have you seen this document before?

174

- A. No, I don't think so.
- 2 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Now, the Inquiry's expert, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Dr}}$ Lane, has considered it ,
- 3 and it appears to show that 53 hours are assigned to the
- 4 primary refurbishment project -- that excludes work on
- 5 the existing fire strategy -- between September 2012 and 6
 - April 2015.
- 7 Now, we know that there must have been some other
- 8 time spent before that, before September 2012, because
- 9 we can see Exova personnel, for example, attending
- 10 design team meetings before that. Subject to that,
- 11 I just want to ask you about that time allocation.
- 12 Some 51 hours are associated with your work on the
- 13 refurbishment; does that sound about right, 51 hours?
- 14 A. On the refurbishment?
- 15 Q. Yes. About 51 hours in total.
- 16 A. I didn't have any part in the work to do with the --
- 17 sorry, I'll start again.
- 18 Clearly we've underspent by a significant amount of
- 19 money. Now, that is a reflection of the lack of
- 20 discussion on some of the key structural elements of the
- 21 building, including the cladding.
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. So that figure of £3,853 would have been considerably
- 24 more if we had had a series of meetings with Studio E to
- 25 discuss that.

- 1 Q. Yes. We will just come to the figures in a moment.
- 2 Thinking about just the time at the moment, the
- 3 spreadsheet has split the time allocation between the
- 4 stage C work and the stage D/E work. It appears to
- 5 indicate less than -- well, around four working days, if
- 6 you do a seven-hour day, on stage C, and just less than
- 7 one week for stage D and E work. Does that sound about
- 8 right to you?
- 9 A. Stage C, yes, probably. I'm surprised at stage D/E.
- 10 Q. Yes?
- 11 A. I would have expected there to be more time.
- 12 I think, as you have anticipated, the cost of the time
- 13 spent at stage C was indeed the full amount. Exova
- 14 invoiced and were paid the full amount of £3,300. But
- 15 for stage D and E, the time spent and invoiced is
- 16 £3,874, which is less than the £5,300 stated in the
- 17 fee proposal.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I think you have just explained it, but I just want to
- 20 give you another chance: can you explain why Exova
- 21 didn't invoice for the full amount shown in the fee
- 22 proposal, didn't do the full work that was indicated
- 23 would be done?
- 24 A. Generally, unless we have a fixed fee for each stage, as
- 25 distinct from an estimate, we would only charge for the

- 1 work actually carried out.
- 2 Q. Would you agree that less than one week's work on the
- 3 stage D/E process for a project of this complexity was
- 4 unacceptable?
- 5 A. I think you need to understand what we did at stage C --
- 6 or are you saying D and E?
- 7 Q. I'm saying D/E, because you put those together in your
- 8 fee proposal.
- 9 A. It is much less than I think -- I thought that I spent.
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 Mr Ashton, just the last couple of questions from me
- 12 and then we will pause and break, if that's okay, to
- 13 pick up anything else.
- 14 Looking back at this and in the light of the last
- 15 few days of evidence, can you tell us: would you have
- 16 done anything differently now if you had the chance to
- 17 do it again?
- 18 Yes, I think I would have asked more questions of the A.
 - design team.
- 20 Q. Yes.

19

- 21 A. Instead of expecting them to come to me all the time,
- 22 I may -- should have said, maybe, "What's happening with
- 23 so-and-so? What about this?" And there wasn't a great
- 24 element of me ringing them up and saying, "What's
- 25 happening?" It was me waiting for them to send the

179

- 1 information to deal with.
- 2. MS GRANGE: Okay, thank you.
- 3 Mr Chairman, can we take a break?
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. Shall we say 3.30? Will that 4
- 5 give you enough time?
- 6 MS GRANGE: Yes, it should. Can I just look behind me and
- 7 see?
- 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
- 9 (Pause)
- 10 MS GRANGE: 3.30 should be fine.
- 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We are going to have a break now,
- 12 Mr Ashton. Ms Grange thinks she has reached the end of
- 13 her questions, but sometimes, when they review it,
- 14 counsel find that there are things they ought to have
- 15 picked up which they haven't.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okav.
- 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we will break now and resume at
- 18 3.30, if you would, please.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay, yes, thank you.
- 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the
- 21 usher, then, thank you.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 Good, 3.30, please.
- 24 MS GRANGE: Thank you.
- 25 (3.12 pm)

1 (A short break) 2 (3.30 pm) 3 (Proceedings delayed) 4 (3.40 pm)5 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Ashton, I'm sorry we kept you 6 waiting longer than I indicated. It turned out there 7 were more things to be done than I thought. But anyway, 8 we are ready to continue now. 9 THE WITNESS: Okav. 10 MS GRANGE: I just have a few short questions for you, 11 Mr Ashton 12 At the time the Grenfell Tower project was taken on 13 by Exova, had Exova done many social housing residential 14 15 A. Yes, we had. I couldn't tell you how many, but yes, 16 certainly. 17 Q. Had you yourself done any social housing residential 18 projects? 19 A. Yes, I have. 20 Q. Is it less likely that Exova would have accepted 21 an invitation to work on this project if it had not 22 already been involved in the KALC project, the 23 Kensington Academy and Leisure Centre project, in your 2.4 view? 25 No, I don't think we would have been reluctant to take 181 1 the job on regardless of whether we were working on the 2 KALC project. 3 Q. I see. 4 Would you accept that less detailed care was taken 5 over the Grenfell Tower strategies than for the KALC 6 strategies? 7 A. I'm not sure I would use the words "less care". I would 8 say that obviously because the KALC project was 9 a new-build, it necessitated much more detailed 10 involvement. 11 Q. I see. So is that the distinction you would draw, the 12 new-build versus refurbishment, as to why there appears 13 to be less detailed work in terms of the fire strategies 14 for Grenfell Tower as compared with the KALC fire 15 strategies? 16 A. Yes, yes. 17 Q. Did you or, to your knowledge, anybody else at Exova 18 ever ask to see the health and safety file or the

Q. And you're not aware whether Ms Cooney and Ms Barker ever requested that information? A. I've no idea one way or the other. I have to say -it's probably gratuitous, but I'll say it anyway -- my experience is that these documents are not easily obtainable for a building of that age. O. I see. So you wouldn't expect to find an up-to-date CDM health and safety file for Grenfell Tower? A. No, not really. Q. And that's based on, what, your experience of other projects? Experience, yes. I mean, if you go to a shopping centre that's been built ten years, finding the original fire strategy for that is not easy. I mean, this is why the DoE or their predecessor or their successor decided to put in regulation 38, to ensure that the as-built information is actually sent to the enduser of the building and is maintained. Q. Yes. A. So anybody doing a risk assessment would want to satisfy him or herself that they'd still got that information. Q. In your experience, was regulation 38 complied with in practice, as a generality, on projects? A. I can't say I've any experience of that. Q. Okay. 183

Just finally a few questions about the evacuation of residents. It was put to you today whether you ever turned your mind to the fact that some residents might not be able to self-evacuate without assistance, and your evidence was that you didn't consider that.

Were you aware that there were at least 22 people with disabilities living in Grenfell Tower above the tenth floor?

9 A. No, I wasn't aware of that.

Q. Given you weren't aware of that, would you expect to
 have been told that information during the time that you
 were working on the fire strategies for the project?

were working on the fire strategies for the project:

13 A. No, not normally. We don't normally get that sort of information. As I say, unless there is a specific

client profile, such as they're all people with

disability or impaired sight, we would expect there to

be a cross-section of society living in these buildings.

 $18\,$ Q. Had you been told that information, would you have done

9 anything differently from that which you did do?

20 A. No.

Q. If you wouldn't have expected to have been told, whosejob do you think it was to make the necessary provision

184

for such residents in terms of their evacuation?

24 A. The building management.

 $25\,$ $\,$ Q. $\,$ Do you mean the responsible person under the --

182

A. No, I don't believe we did. If we had been, it would

Q. I see. So that was not something that ever occurred to

have been the people dealing with the existing

fire safety manual for the building?

A. No.

fire strategy.

you to ask?

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
A. Well, the responsible person or the building management.
                                                                       1
                                                                                                     INDEX
 2
     O. -- RRO?
                                                                       2
                                                                                                                            PAGE
                                                                       3
 3
     A. They may well have been one and the same person.
                                                                           MR TERRENCE ASHTON (continued)
     MS GRANGE: I see, okay.
                                                                       4
 4
 5
             Mr Chairman, those are all of the questions that we
                                                                       5
                                                                                  Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY ......1
                                                                       6
 6
                                                                                  (continued)
         are going to ask.
 7
     SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right, very good, thank you very
                                                                       7
 8
                                                                       8
         much.
 9
                                                                       9
             Well, Mr Ashton, that is the end of the questioning.
                                                                     10
10
         I am sorry we have asked you to come for quite such
11
         a long time, but it's been very helpful. We are very
                                                                     11
12
         grateful to you for coming to give your evidence, and
                                                                     12
13
                                                                     13
         now you're free to go. Thank you very much.
14
     THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
                                                                     14
15
                                                                     15
                       (The witness withdrew)
     SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you, Ms Grange. Is that it
16
                                                                     16
17
         for the day?
                                                                     17
                                                                     18
18
     MS GRANGE: That's it, thank you, we will have another
19
                                                                     19
         witness on Monday.
20
     SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right. Thank you all very much.
                                                                     20
21
         That's all for today. We're going to rise now, and we
                                                                     21
22
                                                                     22
         shall resume on Monday morning at 10 o'clock, when we're
23
                                                                     23
         going to hear --
24
                                                                     24
     MS GRANGE: From Dr Tony Pearson.
25
     SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: -- from Dr Pearson.
                                                                     25
                              185
                                                                                                    187
 1
             Thank you very much.
                                                                                                    188
 2
     (3.45 pm)
 3
     (The hearing adjourned until 10 am on Monday, 13 July 2020)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

a7 (1) 67:1 ability (2) 11:17 100:22 able (8) 57:9 59:2,4 68:14 138:23 151:18 161:15 184:4 above (7) 32:10 41:10 46:7 57:19 72:20 115:13 184:7 absence (1) 148:14 absolutely (7) 42:18 96:14 108:6 120:3 141:7 146:24 155:23 abut (1) 111:25 academy (4) 79:19 80.6 9 181.23 accept (16) 80:15 99:8,9,13 113:1 128:23 129:1.11 130:1,16 147:1 166:15.25 171:21 176:9 182:4 acceptable (13) 6:4 44:19 54:25 67:5 69:9 72:9 78:25 87:14,20,24 90:13 145:7 160:17 accepted (7) 63:6 67:2 99:4,5 160:18 169:16 181.20 access (1) 158:17 accessible (3) 4:9 138:20 145:7 accommodated (1) 157:6 accommodation (3) 142:17.18 147:6 accomplished (1) 174:17 accordance (3) 39:21 42:20 124:21 account (2) 2:15 175:19 achieve (3) 100:23 157:18.20 achieved (1) 156:19 acm (10) 74:20 75:5,9,11 76:10,23,24 81:25 87:1 153:10 across (1) 66:22 action (1) 8:3 acts (2) 12:21 13:2 actually (28) 6:7 8:6 9:4.16 10:7 21:6.24 22:2,5 45:9 47:21 48:1 59:24 67:19 81:9 93:19 98:15 117:15 121:8 122:21 129:17 131:3 132:21 148:5.9 158:1 179:1 183:17 ad (7) 51:10,19 52:12 63:24 64:3 67:1,2 adb (11) 33:17 34:23 35:12 50:11 98:17 111:17 124:21.22 125:5 150:16 166:19 add (3) 24:13 41:23 122:3 added (3) 53:6,17 82:20 additional (1) 136:16 address (4) 10:5,7 96:16.22 addressed (7) 13:6,17

69:24 122:18 167:1 98:7 116:14 152:19 175:10 176:11 168:24 169:6 adds (1) 66:14 agreeing (1) 134:11 adequate (1) 119:2 ah (1) 155:14 adjacent (1) 112:12 air (1) 156:18 alarm (6) 21:3 25:21,25 adjourned (1) 186:3 adjournment (1) 126:6 92:25 117:13 118:6 administrator (2) 2:4,6 alarms (1) 17:5 adrian (1) 144:3 alert (1) 122:12 advance (1) 9:3 alleged (1) 72:10 adverse (4) 108:20 alliance (2) 65:16,23 109:2 110:11 119:17 allocation (2) 177:11 advice (25) 26:23 35:14 178:3 42:19 45:22 57:5,7 allow (1) 89:17 58:17 62:5 63:24 64:4 allows (1) 101:1 65:15 77:25 82:15 almost (1) 112:12 87:18 88:4 93:8 96:21 along (2) 105:23 115:15 109:12 112:6 116:15 already (13) 50:14 122:12 138:12 66:15 86:9 107:16 141:19.19 161:3 111:9 116:13 131:24 advise (10) 36:1 52:22 145:17 158:18 163:3 58:24.25 62:25 69:11 175:13 176:7 181:22 88:15 94:3 143:13 also (20) 39:10 45:1 171:22 advised (11) 37:6 41:22 92.13 111.21 121.7 52:19 98:23 109:11 113:4 130:3.17 138:3 142:16 143:22 149:19 176:9 advisers (3) 86:9 159:1 117:5,7 alter (1) 20:25 advising (12) 37:22 alternative (2) 36:23 41:16 58:7.11 61:12 65:11 104:25 108:3 109:14 alternatives (1) 127:2 112:18 113:5 131:7 although (7) 46:3 119:3 132:12 130:14 153:21 158:2 affect (5) 137:5,12,16 164:18 167:12 150:19 174:22 aluminium (33) 30:17 affected (2) 151:15 64:16,24 65:7 74:20 156:19 75:3 76:2,8,22 85:9 after (15) 11:8 44:16 86:21 88:16,23 90:11 49:24 65:1 76:10 102:11 114:16 116:5 93:5 101:20.24 132:4 141:8 102:7,12 104:17 152:11,16,19 158:2 128:16,18,21,25 170:1 129:5.7 153:7 afternoon (3) 44:3 always (5) 4:18 8:8 45:24 160:14 120:12.12 143:5 again (29) 7:11 40:22 amongst (1) 13:23 41:22 46:15 50:10 amount (6) 36:20 65:13 64:11 67:18 74:19 177:18 178:13.14.21 76:1 78:7 82:16 93:11 analysis (7) 69:15 109:6 95:20 107:10 113:24 119:20 123:22 127:5 175:3 andor (1) 142:13 130:16 134:19,25 136:1 139:1 142:2 andrew (2) 134:10 149-4 157-21 169-2 145.21 177:17 179:17 andy (1) 136:4 against (3) 101:7 anketelliones (5) 24:2 112:10 114:23 44:10 45:22 47:12 age (1) 183:6 59:12 agent (2) 2:6 154:6 annotate (1) 22:14 ago (1) 153:2 another (31) 2:11 5:17 agree (36) 9:6 13:5 9:12 11:13 12:4 17:1 21:24 22:2 38:10 35:5,8,19 37:4 39:13 40:8.9 52:7 53:7 43:24 48:4 60:4 64:8 54:6,8 57:4 81:5 71:23 72:14 83:19 88:3.9 95:9.11 105:14 84:16 86:22 107:17 108:12 116:14,20 125:11 128:5 138:8 153:23 155:25 158:6 117:24 118:9,17

43:18 51:22,24 52:7,8 57:9.12 58:4.20 72:23,24 73:5,12 75:4.13.15.20.22 76:19.23.25 77:5.15 85:4 105:5 116:3 119:21 143:2,4 150:21 answered (6) 50:14 58:22 83:18 84:15,19 118:13 answering (3) 52:11 57:8 119:4 answers (3) 119:6 135:18 137:7 anticipated (2) 102:22 178:12 anticipating (1) 53:11 anybody (4) 121:22 122:18 182:17 183:20 anymore (5) 21:7 26:22 61:7 75:3 93:5 anyone (5) 19:3 60:9 140:15,16 143:13 57:18 65:1 87:8 90:18 anything (19) 7:9 25:18 29.22 66.14 74.11 132:6 134:3 139:16,24 92:7,16 94:20 107:16 122:25 132:13 151:3 148:6,12 151:11 152:2 153:23 154:6 164:19 169:10 179:13,16 184:19 anyway (8) 74:14 100:6 118:8 128:18 152:14 164:15 181:7 183:4 anywhere (1) 163:23 aov (4) 19:4 136:13 138:19 139:7 apart (2) 46:10 56:20 apartment (8) 4:18 17:16 103:7 106:13 144:5 145:12 91:3.8 92:18.22.24.25 151:16.20 apartments (3) 4:6,7 apologies (1) 91:12 apologise (2) 2:9 8:16 appear (7) 22:9 31:14 40:15.17 135:14 137:13 138:14 appeared (2) 141:9 168:6 appears (9) 6:15 23:25 121:6 130:6,21 168:18 35:6 120:13 134:5 141:12 177:3 178:4 182:12 appleyards (2) 1:25 167:23 appliances (1) 17:11 applicable (3) 73:3,10 163.7 application (12) 48:2 95:11 98:9 124:24 125:4 134:6 161:10 170:4 172:18.22 173:1,7 applications (1) 91:20 applied (2) 106:21 159:2 applies (1) 14:13 appointed (6) 26:11

appreciate (9) 17:17 21:5 37:21 88:14 102:5 107:20 108:17,25 156:9 appreciated (2) 38:3 118:10 approach (3) 28:6 54:2 174:4 approached (2) 26:12 61:10 approaching (1) 58:6 appropriate (11) 17:4 59:23 73:14.21.24 74:2,13 77:16 105:1 128:12 142:25 appropriately (2) 58:10,16 approvable (1) 7:4 approval (7) 6:4 8:11 73:19 77:17 135:13 168:3 172:19 approvals (2) 169:1.7 approved (36) 2:13 3:22,25 4:25 5:2 6:8 12:6 33:10 24 36:7 37:8 39:8,13,20,21 42:16.21 43:2 49:13.21 55:15 57:21,24 88:5 89:10,17 91:13 94:4 98:1 106:24 113:1 114:8 116:11.16 161:13 168:6 approximately (1) 11:18 april (2) 141:11 177:6 architect (1) 38:1 architects (1) 119:25 architectural (1) 167:14 area (5) 24:10 157:25 160:3,20 161:7 areas (5) 23:12 24:6 155:12 159:14 169:20 arent (1) 75:3 arguably (2) 38:1 96:25 argue (5) 79:20 80:11,25 81:9 161:18 argued (1) 80:4 argument (2) 97:14 98:13 arguments (1) 87:22 arise (1) 1:18 arises (1) 12:4 arising (1) 126:13 arose (1) 96:11 around (27) 32:20 39:9 40.1 1 25 42.5 12 46:10 48:13 56:20 65:3 67:11 70:25 71:8 74:19 78:6.10 81:7 82:21 112:19,23 113:5 117:8 125:1 140:17 141:10 178:5 arrange (1) 170:21 arranged (1) 7:24 arrangements (2) 14:24 79:12 arrive (1) 134:12 art00004926 (1) 138:9 art00006688 (1) 140:1 art000066885 (1) 140:8 art00006770 (1) 6:18 appointment (2) 61:13 art000067702 (1) 7:11

167:22 article (2) 17:2 18:2 artificial (3) 34:4 55:17.20 asandwhen (1) 62:25 asbuilt (1) 183:16 ashton (22) 1:5,6,8,10,17 29:4 50:16,20 60:4,21 71:15 75:1 76:20 107:20 125:11 126:8 179:11 180:12 181:5.11 185:9 187:3 ask (47) 1:6 12:25 14:9 16:6,12 17:7 18:20 19:8.11 27:6 30:21 31:11 33:2 35:1 45:17.19 46:15 50:21 56:19 62:12 68:24 69:25 71:3 74:18 85:23 95:1 100:6 101:11 103:14.17 119:20,21 122:19 131:5,18 140:18 143:2 146.7 150.5 168.5 170:11 174:9 176:19 177:11 182:18.24 185:6 asked (33) 2:10 5:22 12:5,11 15:25 18:15 21:19 37:18 40:12 43:18 47:9.12 51:10 58:15.21.25 64:3 73:17 74:15 77:11 88:14,15 108:12 110:15 116:2 123:9 130:8,12 132:16 152:23 175:1 179:18 185:10 asking (15) 25:18 26:22 27:1 34:17.19 38:3.5 42:1 55:8 56:23 59:5 89:5 110:17,20 148:12 asks (2) 45:22 170:7 aspects (2) 167:12,16 assembled (1) 8:24 assemblies (1) 131:12 assembly (1) 67:4 assess (1) 68:20 assessment (19) 10:12 12:13 68:14,16 132:21 134:16 147:14 148:4.9.12 149:2,8,13,15 163:10,13,17 167:2 183-20 assigned (1) 177:3 assist (1) 135:13 assistance (2) 151:19 184:4 associated (1) 177:12 assume (7) 29:18 62:24 130:7.10 135:18 141:25 168:13 assumed (17) 10:1 47:6 64:1 70:15,16 106:11.22 109:22 111:14 126:20 128:15 138:19 146:9 152:2.9.9 170:20 assuming (3) 53:9 78:18 138:16 artelia (3) 1:25 160:12 assumption (6) 75:7

107:10 109:21 130:24 151:10.11 assumptions (3) 126:21 152:17.18 attached (11) 4:16 15:10 22:10 23:13 28:3 47:8,8 82:25 84:6,23 104:3 attaches (1) 45:2 attachment (10) 45:5,6 46:20.23 47:3.17 49:1,2 51:4,5 attachments (7) 22:4 28:9 85:4,5,11 87:11 attempt (1) 28:5 attempting (1) 121:15 attended (4) 6:2,14 27:16.20 attendees (1) 140:6 attending (2) 151:24 177:9 attention (4) 34:22 41:24 87:12 117:16 audience (1) 8:10 aug14pdf (1) 45:3 august (5) 9:21 45:10 47:22 145:21 170:5 australia (1) 81:24 authority (4) 19:23 20:15 163:12 168:14 automatic (2) 19:22 157:5 automatically (1) 148:15 available (2) 31:13 130:15 aware (77) 4:24 5:2 10:22 11:4 17:6 19:3,3 34:15 37:9 39:19.23.24 40:5 42:4 47:23.25 48:3,6,18,21,22 55:22 56:12 61:9 65:16,19 66:5 67:14 68:7 82:3,11 87:19 91:6 104:16.21 105:4.11 106:2,8 113:8,15 122:23 126:23 127:5.21 131:9.14 132:17,23 133:9,12,14,24 134:14.15 135:1.4.5 136:22 145:20,25 146:2,22 153-4 6 9 19 23 154:10 166:2 169:9 172:15,20 183:1 184:6.9.10 away (9) 49:6 79:21 80:5.11 81:1.10 115:8 117:20 125:2 В

b (31) 2:13 3:22 4:25 5:3 12:6 33:10,24 36:7 37:8 39:8.20.22 42:21 43:3 49:13,21 55:16 57:21.24.24 85:10.13 86:2 87:23 88:5 89:10,17 91:14 94:4 98:2 106:24 b2 (2) 67:1,2

120.18 122.7 155.20

159:23 165:6 170:1

agreed (7) 72:8 96:7

174:25 175:8,14 179:2

160.7 161.9 24 164.17

178:20 185:18

answer (36) 5:23 10:22

12:18 31:1 34:8 38:7

61:7.10.18 173:20

134:4

b3 (5) 96:2,12,19 114:2
175:3
b4 (13) 25:23 69:14 93:9 96:12,19 114:2
130:6,22 165:19
168:19 173:7,13 175:3 b41 (1) 118:19
back (43) 4:11 10:6,25
13:9 21:21 22:5,10,15
24:23 26:3,8 30:22 36:13 38:7 40:14,20
45:17 58:14,20
59:12,15 60:7 62:8 64:5,9 65:14 68:22
85:6 99:13 102:2
104:15 105:20 118:23 122:6 123:7 128:9
150:3 154:11 164:24
165:21,25 169:10
179:14 backed (3) 143:5
147:21 161:12
background (2) 55:19 133:7
barker (3) 9:15 151:9
183:1
barrier (41) 32:5 38:25 40:16 41:4,6,11,12,18
43:11 44:18,23 70:11
77:6,10,23 90:9
91:19,21 93:24 94:6 95:10 96:6,8 97:4,12
98:8,14 99:25
100:13,15,23 101:5,7
103:13 112:9,10 113:12 114:23 115:10
123:5 124:17
barriers (61) 23:5 34:20 35:7,17 38:13,16,18
39:2,9,15,20,25
40:6,10,24 41:17,20
42:4,10,12,14,20 43:3,6 44:13 47:2,10
50:2 57:11,23 58:16
73:18 93:10
96:1,3,11,21 98:2,5,18,24 99:6,20
100:9 111:1,8,21
112:7,19,23,24 114:9
118:24 119:2 120:9 121:17 123:23
124:2,25 129:21 131:8
based (6) 8:11 70:20 77:25 103:25 131:11
183:10
baseline (1) 11:5
basic (2) 28:6 175:9 basis (10) 37:3 51:10
52:12 63:1,7 70:15
87:17 89:1 134:17
173:21 bay (2) 123:12,19
bca (1) 66:12
bear (3) 86:7 103:20 144:19
bearing (1) 34:1
became (2) 61:9 116:9
become (3) 87:19 112:12 135:4
becomes (2) 108:2,7
before (24) 9:1 20:6
21:13 45:18,19 47:23 50:10 59:20 62:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

81:22 86:10 116:19 121:2.8 126:14 140:22 145:22 150:2 170:4,13 176:25 177:8.8.10 beg (1) 62:8 began (1) 119:4 beginning (3) 1:18 15:8 31:7 behalf (1) 160:16 behave (1) 128:17 behaviour (1) 126:22 behind (11) 7:18 32:3.18 38:23 64:21 114:9,12 121:5,9,16 180:6 being (36) 8:7 12:7,19 25:23 27:7,19 37:18 38:13 39:2 40:12 53:24 54:16 57:9 58:15 61:18 62:2.18 64:3 67:3 70:13 71:5 76:10 78:25 118:22 120:24 129:5,15 132:17 134:25 135:2.4.6 137:22 162:18 167:12 175:1 belief (1) 26:9 believe (20) 21:10,10 23:14 24:9 44:17 56:21 73:9 92:15 95.10 100.4 105.6 108:12 123:15 124:18 156:14.16 157:13 160:17 161:6 182:20 bells (2) 25:21,25 below (13) 15:11 44:6,9,17 82:21 87:8 90:13 91:10,10,13 94:18 160:24 171:21 ben (3) 95:10 102:15,21 benefit (1) 149:18 benefits (1) 146:15 berger (3) 133:17 134:13,19 beryl (1) 113:16 bespoke (1) 161:10 best (4) 11:17 60:1 81:5 104:24 better (10) 29:4 35:18 37:1 103:16 115:19 117:23 122:9 144:16,22 156:13 between (30) 9:15,15 20:15 24:11 40:25 51:19 52:9 81:19 90.10 91.1 93.23 94.5 96:6 97:3 111:2 114:21,24 115:11 120:21 133:11.16 134:4 139:8,18 141:10 148:8 166:4 172:4 177:5 178:3 beyond (2) 151:23 152:4 big (3) 105:22 106:2 17:18,22 18:12,18 19:20,24 20:5 30:16 127:25 billing (2) 53:9,11 31:8 32:11 33:18 37:11 43:12 50:8 54:5 bit (12) 41:5 42:9 45:24 54:11 66:17 78:4 55:2 65:16,23 89.20 99.24 104.2 79:11 14 80:5 9 15 25 128:11 131:5 147:5 81:4,7,12,24 82:2,3

105:24 blake (1) 136:8 block (5) 4:6,13 147:5,15 151:20 blocks (3) 4:11 143:3 150:13 blow (5) 23:25 67:18 82:17 140:9 160:9 blowing (2) 154:6,9 blue (1) 21:20 board (5) 45:14 67:23 134:7 141:11 142:1 boards (2) 66:25 67:9 body (3) 97:2 169:1,8 both (7) 34:3 96:16 119:6 149:5 153:7 159:16 175:1 bottom (19) 15:15 29:13 32:11 41:3.6.12 46:9 59:9 64:14 66:18 70:3 94:12,14 101:3 111:19 140:10 154:22 158:9 176:24 box (1) 31:25 boxing (3) 138:20 139:8 142:20 br (5) 32:23 35:23 67:24 73:8 74:16 br135 (2) 67:4 132:9 brand (2) 85:15 157:16 breach (2) 104:9 112:20 break (16) 60:1,5,19 88:23 103:8 115:14 124:13 125:9.11.23 164:23 179:12 180:3.11.17 181:1 breaks (2) 23:11 82:21 bretton (1) 134:7 bridges (1) 136:4 brief (1) 142:7 briefly (4) 40:19 92:23 139:24 142:7 bring (3) 3:13 21:15 65:22 british (6) 2:20 3:11 5:3 34:13 157:15,17 broad (1) 41:25 broader (2) 98:4 99:5 broadly (2) 17:15 33:24 brought (2) 4:25 169:23 bruce (2) 144:3 154:24 bs (8) 2:21 3:2 32:22 35:22 68:12 74:15 134:21 156:16 bs8414 (1) 67:4 bsi (2) 2:20 14:5 bsi00000018 (1) 3:14 bubbles (1) 149:4 build (4) 61:13 172:4,8 173:21 building (148) 2:14 3:25 6:5 7:21 11:3 12:21 13:2.10.13.19 14:16,21 16:5,21

87:14 88:19 90:19

91:14,22 92:4,11,14

95:7,23 96:23 100:2 103:2.10 104:9.11 105:10,19 106:13 108:21.23 109:3.25 110:2.4.12 112:12 113:16,17 118:19 119:17 120:24 122:13,23 127:21 128:20 129:6 132:5 133:1 139:6,12,19 143:1.11.14 145:7 146:17 147:2,7,17 148:22 149:8.15.21.23 150:9,20,23 151:21 152:5,8,19 155:12 157:18 158:14.17 160:4,18 161:25 162:1.24 163:24 164:5.10.15 165:14 166.18 167:11,12,15,18 168:3.5.10.14.16.18 170:1,7,9,14,22,24 171:14 172:17,18 173:3 176:17 177:21 182:19 183:6,18 184:24 185:1 buildings (25) 2:23 3:16 4:2,3,18 5:8 17:13,16 32:24 33:11 36:21 54:12 56:3 65:18 66:7 72:20 73:7 89:18 95:9 121:20 147:24 148:16 153:16 159:15 184:17 buildup (5) 73:10 77:7.7.11.19 buildups (4) 70:22 134:23 135:2,15 built (4) 11:6 14:22 167:12 183:13 bullet (1) 66:23 burn (8) 46:4 56:5,11,14 103:1,4,5 120:21 business (1) 4:11 bypass (1) 103:13 bypassing (1) 123:5

c (9) 27:15 55:7 87:8 111:23 178:4,6,9,13 179:5 calculation (1) 141:14 call (4) 3:6 4:9 105:8 160:25 called (6) 4:7 28:12 47:25 48:4 69:10 133:18 calling (1) 102:11 calls (1) 124:17 came (4) 14:25 15:23 25:13 59:15 campbell (2) 156:1,2 candidly (1) 129:17 cant (20) 4:20 17:13 41:23 43:18 45:9 59:11 66:14 69:18 83:10 86:12 88:4 92:15 94:20 117:10 121:12,20 133:1,5,6 183:24

capable (1) 158:20

cardboard (2) 34:3 55:19 care (2) 182:4,7 careful (1) 121:19 carefully (1) 89:20 carried (13) 10:13,13 62:3 132:18 135:7,16 146:11 147:15 151:24 165:1 171:3,7 179:1 carry (13) 1:11 50:16,19 60:21 61:12.17.20.24 62:4 63:14 173:20 174:1.1 cases (1) 163:11 casings (3) 46:10 56:21.22 cassette (5) 85:14 101:25 128:25 129:5.13 cassettes (8) 90:11 91:3,8 92:18,22 93:2 101:24 129:18 catastrophic (1) 149:24 catch (1) 104:12 cate (2) 9:15 149:16 categorically (2) 74:13 86.24 category (1) 55:7 cause (11) 48:1 92:25 95:14 102:3 103:22 105:18 109:17 117:13 118:15 119:10 151:2 caused (2) 18:15 106:25 causes (1) 103:7 causing (1) 94:19 cavities (9) 32:3.18 33:1 38:23 57:1 59:18 99:20 115:3,15 cavity (115) 23:5 32:5,11 34:20 35:7,17 38:13.15.18.25 39:2.9.15.20.25 40:6,10,16,24 41:3,6,11,11,17,18,20 42:4,8,10,12,13,20 43:3,6,11 44:13 46:9 47:2.10 50:1 56:20.21 57:11,23 58:15 59:8,13 70:11 73:18 77:6.9.23 90:9 91:19,21 93:10,24 94:6 95:10.25 96:3.5.8.11.21 97:4.12 98:2,5,8,14,17,24,25 99:6.20.25 100.9 13 15 23 101.4 103:13 111:1,8,21 112:7,9,19,22,24 113:12 114:4.8 115:5,9,10,12 117:21 118:24 119:1 120:9.14 121:3,5,8,17 122:16 123:5.22 124:2.17.25 129:20 131:8 ccd (2) 141:10 158:10 cdm (6) 139:14 167:5,7,10,19 183:7 cdmrelated (1) 167:1 ceiling (2) 112:3 115:3 cel00003541 (1) 133:15 cel00003547 (1) 134:3 cel00003589 (1) 134:18

cel00003615 (1) 65:23

celotex (46) 45:8,13 47:20.24.25 48:6 53:22 54:1,2,5,21,24 55:4 56:13.16 58:22.25 67:12.14.22 68:8 70:11 71:1,17,21 75:17 76:21 88:17 131:18,20 132:5,14 133:11,12,16,17,23,25 134:4,7,22 135:4,6,9 153:1.24 celotexs (1) 132:8 cement (2) 68:4.19 central (3) 97:11 129:19 157:2 centre (3) 80:6 181:23 183:12 certain (4) 4:20 34:11 57:1 126:21 certainty (1) 92:15 certificated (1) 135:13 cetera (5) 15:22 63:16 88:6 109:15 123:1 cfd (3) 161:12 167:3,5 chain (1) 76:18 chairman (5) 3:2 59:23 125:8 180:3 185:5 chance (4) 69:22 76:1 178:20 179:16 change (5) 14:8 63:19 161:22 166:4 169:11 changed (2) 102:7 129:3 changes (20) 4:24 14:15 63:9,11,13,13 109:2 110:11 136:23 137:2,25 138:5 139:3 150:16 166:8 168:25 169:6,22 174:5,22 changeshour (1) 156:18 changing (1) 174:4 charge (1) 178:25 charged (1) 42:23 chase (1) 59:16 check (14) 31:1 33:3 63:15 65:6 72:25 86:25 141:15 163:3.6 164:8 171:2,5,7,24 checked (1) 124:9 checking (2) 84:14,18 chelsea (5) 6:7 81:3,13 168:16 169:10 children (1) 150:18 chimney (2) 24:12 157:2 chose (1) 4:5 chronology (2) 22:21 81:17 cill (3) 41:2,12 124:5 cills (1) 29:8 circle (1) 64:15 circles (1) 29:17 circumstances (1) 86:13 clad (2) 30:17 128:20 cladding (103) 1:22 22:23 23:12 24:6,11,12 25:10,10 27:16,17,19 28:20 29:19 31:17 32:4,25 35-21 25 36-3 8 39-10

43:17 45:3,14,16

65:17 67:3,13,25

46:10 48:19 57:6 59:9

68:25 77:10 78:5,8 85:9.14 87:10 90:12 91:20 92:12,13 94:5 95:14 96:6 98:6 99:7 100:20 101:13.25 102:4,6,11,25 103:1,4,5,9,10,22 104:6 105:14,19,24 106:23 108:19,23 109:14,19 110:25 112:17.20 113:11.14 114:10,13 115:7 117:17.19 118:4.7 120:8,15,19 121:1,5 122:11,15 123:4 124:20 129:3.6.8.20 130:14 131:8 132:7 175:2.22 176:12 177:21 claire (1) 158:7 clare (2) 9:15 10:2 clarify (7) 12:22 15:11 49:4 59:19 62:12,22 65:6 clarifying (1) 100:17class (23) 44:16,24 46:2.4 47:1.14 49:10,10,16,18 50:1,4 55:10,14 56:5,7,10 57:12,16 58:15 72:18 89:16.18 classification (2) 66:20 74:16 classified (1) 88:10 clear (21) 18:25 30:11.15.25 31:15 36:10 38:18 40:7 42:17 46:7 47:16.18 59:7 62:20 69:3 71:14 72:17 74:5 94:18 98:15 140:8 clearance (1) 160:23 clearly (7) 28:9 39:5 91:17 96:8 111:6 159:13 177:18 clerk (2) 139:24 140:3 clg0000022486 (1) 111:18 client (24) 61:11.12.16.20 62:24 63:10,12,14,19,21 64:2 127:16 145:11 146:3.10.16 148:17 168:1,8 171:10,20,23 176:10 184:15 clients (2) 146:9 174:5 closer (1) 141:14 closing (1) 98:25 club (3) 138:20 139:8 142:20 cm (2) 75:5.7 code (7) 2:23 3:1,16 13:11 14:22 159:16.17 codified (1) 151:13 cold (1) 59:21 collapse (1) 112:11 colleague (1) 122:6 colleagues (6) 1:20 84:20 89:24 105:8,13 149.9 column (4) 46:10 56:20,22 111:19

bites (1) 37:20

bits (3) 75:2 104:11

columns (1) 24:10

comb (1) 43:21
combustibility (23)
33:14,18,22 34:16,23 38:16 39:14 49:15
50:13 52:20 53:5
54:7,10,16 55:23
66:21,25 85:17
86:3,17 87:4 88:8 89:12
combustible (24)
32:4,19,21,24 33:2
34:1,18,19 35:6,16 36:2,8 37:6 38:8,13,24
39:3,11 65:17 66:6
87:15,21 120:23
131:13
come (19) 10:6,25 23:11 37:13 45:17
60:7 62:8 69:7 141:11
146:8,9,10 150:2
170:8 171:18 172:19 178:1 179:21 185:10
comes (4) 13:9 30:22
36:13 121:1
comfort (1) 168:12
comfortable (1) 172:23 coming (7) 59:24
103:12,19 118:3
137:20 175:22 185:12
commensurate (1)
166:10 comment (17) 23:13
25:19 37:18 51:17
55:8 68:11 81:14
82:22 94:17 97:24,25
109:1,10 123:10 145:20 160:15 166:13
commentary (2)
98:1,17
commented (1) 109:16 commenting (3) 98:5
99:6 116:9
comments (13) 6:24,25
8:23,23 79:16,20,22 80:5 81:1 118:11
119:14 156:11 161:5
commercial (7) 4:3
51:11 55:18 127:20
157:24 161:25 162:2 committed (1) 99:16
committee (7) 2:20,25
3:1,4,6,9,19
common (7) 61:11
135:15 142:11 145:16 159:14 173:5 174:13
commonly (1) 134:23
communication (3)
18:5 47:6 62:23 community (3) 13:23
136:13 150:20
company (2) 63:14
161:9
compare (1) 164:25 compared (1) 182:14
comparing (1) 132:7
compartment (19)
38:19,19 40:3 42:8,11
93:23 94:5,7 100:9 103:7 106:17
112:17,20,25
113:14,19 115:15
125:2 175:17 compartmentation (15)
compartmentation (13)

37:25 99:14 106:12,20 concierge (4) 136:15 107:7.15.17.25 113:20 137:4.11.15 114:3 152:3,10,15,21 conclude (1) 108:22 concluded (1) 132:8 conclusion (1) 108:17 concrete (3) 90:10 91:1 condition (2) 7:7 145:23 competent (6) 129:1,11 conditions (2) 15:21 67:12 conducted (1) 68:15 complete (9) 17:9 67:4 confidence (2) 55:25 70:20 confident (2) 6:8 116:23 configurations (1) completely (2) 150:25 135:10 confirm (16) 24:5 44:19 completing (2) 78:11 70:10.10 71:1.17 72:8 75:10 76:2.4 completion (2) 107:9,19 77:11,13,18 90:13 152:10.15 confirmation (2) 73:2 complexity (1) 179:3 74:1 compliance (18) 17:8 confirmed (4) 65:9 69:14 77:15 109:6 70:10 71:1.17 78:4.8 confirming (1) 74:4 88:18 118:18 139:14 confusion (1) 69:4 connected (1) 103:9 connection (1) 114:24 compliant (18) 36:19 conscious (1) 10:23 70:14.16 73:24 75:18 consider (16) 10:8 26:18 61:3.6 93:8 110:1 142:12 148:13 110:9.22 112:18 156:13,22,24 157:1 142:24,25 143:14 149:15 150:17 166:1 167:13 184:5 considerably (1) 177:23 comply (6) 37:10 39:24 consideration (6) 25:9 53:22 100:19 148:7 150:8.19 component (2) 89:3,4 considerations (1) 3:20 components (3) 29:18 considered (8) 5:13 21:6 92:4 135:3 152:6 167:17 173:14 177:2 considering (2) 96:23 168:2 74:20 75:3 76:2,8,22 construct (1) 124:20 85:9 86:20 88:16.24 constructed (1) 78:25 102:7 104:16.17.17 construction (13) 2:22 105:3,12 126:24 127:3 3:15 14:16 68:12 88:7 111:7,25 119:10,11 composition (1) 154:11 129:16.24 131:4 173:24 constructional (1) 42:1 consult (1) 105:13 concede (3) 35:18 39:5 consultant (4) 96:22 162:1 170:7,20 conceivably (1) 145:9 consultation (2) 169:1,7 concentrate (2) 10:20 contact (6) 133:11,20 140:17 141:6.7 171:8 contacting (1) 171:10 contacts (1) 73:18 contain (2) 100:12 108:3 109:17 124:19 173:12 contained (2) 66:5,11 containing (2) 116:10 78:20 109:18 118:21 contents (1) 131:17

165:24

106:14

145:15

171.22

172:23

173:23

complex (5)

173:13

163:4.4.15

complied (4) 132:9

163:6,24 183:22

43:2 88:21 89:2

composed (1) 55:17

composite (25) 29:8

128:10 153:7

comprehensive (3)

54:14 57:5 166:18

comprising (1) 8:10

concepts (1) 168:7

concern (9) 103:19

106:19,25 107:3,6

concerned (5) 57:16

concerning (2) 22:23

concerns (4) 16:17

92:20 108:4 128:22

context (7) 5:13 81:13

133:19 143:8

contingent (1) 39:2

96:12 104:10 131:23

42:15

87:25

148:6

168:9

176:11

64:16.24.25 65:4.7

106:23

131:4,13

147:2,3,7,10,11

35:12 66:8 69:14

164:9 171:2,5,6,23

77:1 88:17 108:23

compartmented (1)

compensation (1)

130:2,17 168:13

78:14.16 100:20

101:5,25 130:5,20

completed (3) 10:3 166:1.2

continuation (1) 92:4 continue (4) 1:4 10:2 62:15 181:8 continued (5) 1:8,15 98:10 187:3.6 continuous (6) 32:10 46:9 56:20 57:1 59:8,18 contract (3) 172:4,6,8 contractor (11) 61:14.18 90:13 129:2,13 169:23 172:11.13 173:19,22,25 contractors (1) 136:21 contractual (1) 64:6 control (45) 7:21 18:11 65:16.23 79:11.14 80:5.15.25 81:4.7 87:14 90:19 92:11.14 107:10,11,21,23 109:25 110:4 113:16,17 122:13,23 142:10 143:11 145:7 151:24 155:18 160:19 164:15 168:3.5.10.14.16.18 170:1,7,9,14,22 172:17,18 conversation (21) 70:12 71:7,12,16,20 72:1.4.9.10 75:9.12.15.18 76:20 77:22 78:16.19.23 90:8,23 124:23 conversations (10) 71:8,18 73:13,16,20 75:22 77:18 78:5,7 124:16 conversely (1) 78:24 conveyed (1) 123:1 cooney (7) 9:15 15:5,7,24 16:12 106:9 183:1 cooneys (4) 5:18 12:4 143:20 145:20 cooperate (1) 167:9 coordinator (6) 167:3,5,6,7,10,20 copied (3) 93:12 108:9 166:15 copy (2) 134:21 163:16 copying (8) 23:1 44:10 90:3,17,18 136:7 154:24 160:11 core (4) 4:11 34:2 88:2,16 corner (1) 45:9 corollary (1) 151:14 correct (21) 5:15 9:10 12:25 13:4 23:15 25:12 35:14 39:18 44:6 47:13 53:10.20 57:14 62:16 63:22 95:24 97:7 113:10 155:10,11 158:23 corrected (1) 102:9 correction (1) 1:24 corrections (1) 1:23 correctness (1) 119:15 correspondence (4)

cost (3) 174:3,19 178:12 couldnt (8) 6:11 20:23 55:15 56:9 81:14 109:4 128:14 181:15 council (1) 159:16 counsel (3) 1:15 180:14 187:5 country (1) 32:24 county (1) 159:16 couple (4) 52:16 61:1 124:12 179:11 course (3) 48:21 125:24 141:2 cover (2) 101:10 169:20 covered (5) 1:19 5:2 116:13 125:25 143:22 covering (2) 89:17 112:11 covers (1) 169:19 cow (1) 140:2 crap (2) 5:21 145:23 crawford (50) 22:25 24:17 25:20 26:21 27:21 31:24 42:19 43:24 44:10 45:2,25 46:18 59:12 64:11 68:22.24 69:12.19 70:1 71:4,7,25 72:23 73:23 74:6,20,21 76:2.8.13.15 77:19 78:10.13 79:10.13 82:16 90:2.6.7.17 92:23 94:11.14 102:10 114:17 116:6,23 124:16.19 crawfords (8) 27:25 34:22 45:21 80:7 92:19 116:1,3,18 create (1) 167:15 credit (1) 63:15 criteria (2) 35:23 67:24 critical (1) 167:10 criticism (1) 18:21 cross (1) 25:3 crosssection (1) 184:17 crosssectional (1) 157:25 culmination (1) 165:7 curious (1) 56:24 current (7) 8:11 13:8 14:24 137:5,12 142:12 149:12 currently (1) 61:16 curtain (1) 92:2 D d (6) 111:24 165:8,12 178:7,15 179:6 damage (1) 153:21 dangerous (1) 17:14 daniel (2) 24:2 44:10

data (2) 53:6 77:9

45:3,13,18 46:17

22:23 45:9 138:2

dated (3) 24:3 84:22

47:4,8,14,22 48:25

49:7 54:1 55:5 67:17

datasheet (14)

86:7

135:16

dates (1) 70:9

44:17 74:9 94:18

159:21

day1094122 (1) 76:16 day1719 (1) 2:5 dav1776 (1) 65:3 day179412 (1) 5:23 day17961 (1) 54:23 day917623 (1) 70:2 days (6) 71:11 121:19 165:20 166:21 178:5 179:15 de (6) 53:16,18 178:4,9 179:3,7 deal (14) 1:18 10:3 11:24 33:6 89:3 97:9,17 109:7 139:16 141:1 144:14 165:18 173:7 180:1 dealing (14) 9:6 13:12 19:17 28:17 46:25 66:8 148:16 149:23 159:14 161:11,25 162:25 168:16 182:21 dealt (5) 3:3 22:13 50:6 95:8 96:19 debate (7) 42:12 44:20 81:1 112:22 113:3,8,9 debated (1) 113:1 debbie (3) 133:17 134:13.19 december (1) 136:1 decided (2) 66:12 183:15 deemed (2) 34:1 91:21 deems (1) 170:8 defects (2) 107:24 141.1 defendinplace (1) 106:10 deficiency (1) 142:24 defined (3) 30:4 33:23 159:13 definitely (4) 42:10 71:19 79:2 81:2 definition (4) 34:4,10 55:15,20 deform (1) 101:8 degree (6) 106:11 111:14 152:2,10,15,20 delay (1) 91:12 delayed (1) 181:3 deliberate (1) 162:25 demonstrate (1) 156:12 dense (1) 154:13 department (4) 4:21 110:4 134:16 135:8 departments (1) 132:18 depends (3) 38:13 110:14 125:22 depressurisation (3) 156:15 157:14,16 date (6) 6:11 8:15 14:15 described (5) 33:13 74:11 105:15 138:5 147:2 describes (1) 85:8

david (3) 136:9

day (12) 9:6,6 27:22

31:25 43:23 76:16

178:6 185:17

day10581 (1) 72:15

day10591 (1) 72:15

day109116 (1) 74:22

day109211 (1) 74:24

82:11 93:1 95:4 119:8

140:14.15

describing (1) 176:16 description (1) 54:15 design (83) 2:11,22 3:15 5:1 6:1.12.13.15 7:19 9:1.7 13:8 26:10 30:9 31:17 35:4 36:11 37:5,14,19 43:19 44:21 50:17,24 51:7,11,21,23 52:2,10,18 57:6 61:6.13 69:4 81:19 98:24 107:11 127:11.13 130:4.15.19 132:5 134:24 138:2 141:24,25 142:14.16.21 143:18,23 158:3,15 159:6.25 161:12.22 162:1.11.15 166:16 167:2,13,17 168:25 169:7,22 170:12 171:2.4.5.14.24 172:3,8 173:21,23,24 174:16 177:10 179:19 designed (1) 100:6 designer (1) 120:11 designers (2) 36:16 37:9 designing (1) 3:20 desktop (10) 68:16 70:21 78:1 131:19 132:7.13.16.17.23 despite (2) 25:13 61:13 detail (25) 3:24 7:23 25:17 30:2,7 37:16 38:6 41:15 43:19 51:3 56:19 65:13 66:17 77:8 80:13 93:23 94:4 96:20 130:3,18 132:15 133:2 137:1 157:19 166:6 detailed (11) 42:19 52:23 93:8 98:1 165:11,22 168:1,5 182:4.9.13 details (26) 20:12 25:1.15 26:1 27:9.12 30:21 37:23 42:1 56:24 57:5 63:10 85:3 87:10 92:23 100:20 110:7 113:6 119:24 120:2 130:8,9,13 160:23 166:22 173:12 detected (1) 144:16 detection (5) 136:12 144-12 15 22 145-17 detector (1) 144:12 detectors (1) 17:5 determination (1) 165:23 determined (1) 159:24 determining (1) 135:12 developed (3) 46:5 56:6,15 development (9) 11:22 15:1 31:7 50:7 105:3,11 143:7 169:1,7 developments (3)

dh (1) 140:13

127:20 131:6 137:23

diagram (9) 12:6,16

39:25 42:17 89:10

93:22 94:4 113:10
93:22 94:4 113:10 125:5
didnt (161) 4:22 10:24 11:1,11 14:5,10
16:6,11,16,22 20:7,24
22:3 25:15,25 26:8 27:2 29:22,23
30:1,7,13,15,21,23
33:4 34:21,25 35:1,2,10,11,14 36:4
41:15,19,24 42:19,25
43:3,5 46:20,22 47:9 48:17
49:1,2,6,17,23,24
50:10 52:23 53:24 54:4 58:8 59:16,19
61:6,21,22 62:14,14
65:12,25 66:2 69:2,16 71:8,16 73:25 74:3
75:1 76:6,10,14 77:21
78:12,19 80:8,22 83:21 84:23 86:10
89:6 92:21,25 93:6,7
99:12 101:25 107:24 108:22,25
109:17,21,24
110:18,24,24 111:12 116:20,22 117:13
119:19,22 120:6
121:23 124:7 125:6 127:13,14
129:4,14,15,17 131:1
133:22 135:18 137:25 138:6
139:3,9,11,15,21,22
141:23 143:15 146:12 149:7 152:18 153:2
156:25 161:21,24
162:23 163:6 165:18,18 167:22,24
168:5,20 169:10,13
172:9,10,12 173:7,13 174:18,20,24 175:6
176:8,14 177:16 178:21,22 184:5
differ (1) 120:25
difference (4) 51:18 52:9 94:19 97:3
different (21) 11:22
15:12 27:23,24 50:25 58:23 61:5 66:7
68:17,18 73:6 79:8
82:24 87:24 98:11 118:14 122:4 135:2
141:17 160:21 164:2
differential (2) 160:22 161:23
differently (3) 48:5
179:16 184:19 difficult (6) 48:8 51:22
58:3 95:12 157:18,20
difficulties (2) 107:3 160:3
difficulty (1) 13:18
digested (1) 131:17 direct (3) 27:13 110:6
133:11
directly (4) 8:20 44:9 54:2 175:3
disabilities (4) 2:16
4:10 151:2 184:7 disability (1) 184:16
disabled (9) 2:24

3:17,21 4:2,8,13,22 5:7 150:15 disabuse (1) 142:2 disadvantage (1) 55:18 disclosed (1) 176:20 discuss (14) 16:15,23 69:5 73:23 76:12 80:8 109:25 121:21 130:8 170:2,10 171:9 175:7 177:25 discussed (10) 18:8 27:17,19 37:15 81:14 101:23 102:13 134:25 153:1 165:10 discussing (9) 1:25 74:7 76:7 77:5 97:2 102:14 126:16 153:2 165:20 discussion (9) 12:12 42:9 49:10 78:9 122:5 143:19 144:1.25 177:20 discussions (4) 69:20 74:19 81:3 140:12 displayed (1) 5:4 dispute (1) 141:12 disputed (1) 8:7 distance (2) 144:8 145:15 distances (1) 144:5 distinct (4) 8:21 64:21 146:10 178:25 distinction (2) 14:19 182:11 dividing (1) 114:4 division (1) 148:8 document (45) 2:13 3:22 4:25 5:3 12:6 16:18 21:15,17 24:1 33:10,24 36:7 37:8 39:8,13,20,22 42:16.21 43:3 49:13.21 55:16 57:21,24 88:5 89:10,17 91:14 94:4 98:2 99:14 106:24 113:1 114:8 127:18 136:24 137:5.6.12.14 140:9 149:11 166:19 176:25 documentation (5) 19:7,11 162:3 168:24 172:6 documented (1) 159:15 documents (8) 4:1 21:21 22:10 37:11 84.8 135.14 159.1 183:5 doe (3) 34:4 55:17 183:15 does (20) 2:7,8 16:22 22:9 23:21 40:17 42:25 53:14 66:20 85:18 89:17 93:7.21 104:24 124:7 149:6 161:10,21 177:13 178:7 doesnt (17) 7:8 19:25

122:20 137:19 145:6 147:13 148:6,8 149:10 161:18 162:5 183:20 done (29) 4:14 14:21 18:22 31:12 51:6 74:14 108:13 109:10 117:10,16 122:9 128:13 131:19 139:5.19 144:11 149:6 154:10 158:18 164:15 171:12.24 176:6 178:23 179:16 181:7,13,17 184:18 dont (60) 4:5 8:8 10:1 11:22 13:15,20 14:24 20:23.24 21:10 26:20 27:18.18 33:13 40:12 43:6 47:1 50:1 59:15 62:2 68:19 71:7 73:11 74:12 77:2 78:9 82:5,10 83:20 92:21 93:2 105:6,8,20 107:16 108:12 115:24 120:23 123:15 124:18 128:5 130:23 136:25 138:5.22 145:25 146:3,16 148:15,17 151:5 156:14 170:9,22 171:13 176:2 177:1 181:25 182:20 184:13 door (1) 14:2 doors (12) 162:20,24 163:1,3,11,14,18,23,23,23 164:7.10 double (1) 141:15 doubt (1) 69:8 doubts (1) 54:21 down (14) 15:14 21:17 24:4 29:11 47:6 72:15 84:24 88:23 90:6 94:2 101:3 110:6 137:2 175:6 dr (18) 114:15,18 115:25 116:8,19 117:1.15 118:9 119:14 120:13 121:21,24 122:12,19 151:9 177:2 185:24.25 draft (10) 7:23 9:17 10:4,8,20,23 11:9 12:13 16:12 135:15 drafted (2) 2:21 106:9 drafting (1) 3:1 drain (1) 101:3 draw (5) 34:21 62:2,18 117:16 182:11 drawing (24) 28:12,24 31:8 32:5 38:25 40:14.17.18.20.24 41:5,15,17 43:8 56:25 64:8.10 65:5 76:4 123:11,14 124:8 138:15,21 drawings (17) 22:14 28:4,4,19 29:16 30:1,7 31:15,20,20 41:24 43:2,6 51:2 65:12 129.6 138.13 drawn (5) 6:3 10:18 14:22 87:11 108:18 drew (1) 18:24

25:1,15 27:10 37:22

41:25 69:9 110:19

dry (1) 17:19 dubai (1) 106:2 ducts (1) 157:24 due (4) 79:15 122:15 141:2 152:2 duncan (3) 156:1,2,8 duration (1) 100:7 during (7) 11:19 19:4,6 63:11 102:5 167:19 184:11 dwelling (2) 151:23 152:4 e (46) 6:3 7:24 8:3,10,21 9:7 21:22 23.1 10 30.11 31.20 33:12 35:21 36:1 52:2 74:15 109:11 112:18 120:6 122:6.13.22 65:12 67:8 99:5 129:4 130:15 150:12,21 165:10 169:9,18 early (3) 2:12 7:24 127:12 east (2) 153:9.16 easy (2) 52:22 183:14 edge (1) 92:2 edition (1) 173:12 37:24 50:2 99:19 161:6 effective (2) 112:10 120:9 effectively (5) 36:23 37:19 42:13 55:3 115:21

123:1 127:15 131:7,23 132:4 138:3 143:19.23 144:2 145:1 146:3,10 165:8.12 166:8 171:9 172:17 175:1.8 176:10 177:24 178:7,15 179:6 earlier (26) 27:18 30:8 35:2 41:14,23 43:19 46:7 50:7 55:16 58:20 102:13 111:9 112:21 115:18 117:22 118:14 easily (2) 170:17 183:5 effect (12) 23:14 24:12 108:20 109:2 110:11 111:11 113:22 119:17 efficacy (1) 42:12 efficient (2) 36:16,18 either (9) 43:7 98:14 139:21 141:10 144:3 163:4,14 169:22 170:6 ejected (2) 115:6 117:18 elderly (1) 150:18 electrical (2) 136:21 137:21 electrics (1) 136:4 element (2) 129:16 179:24 elements (6) 30:17 92:24 100:11.11 129:7 177:20 eliminating (1) 115:9 else (10) 92:7.16 121:22 122:18 140:16,16 147:16 164:20 179:13 182:17

185:9 ended (2) 61:4 158:2 endorsed (1) 32:25 engage (2) 8:19 81:6 engaged (3) 26:22 50:8.9 engaging (1) 109:24 130:2,17 171:22 engineering (4)

174:2,9,11,21 engineers (1) 61:11 enhanced (3) 144:11 145:16 156:14 enhancements (1) 7:21 enlarged (1) 16:16

elsewhere (2) 98:6 99:7 eluding (1) 78:13 email (113) 5:20 15:6,14,23 18:8,9 19:9 20:11 21:16.24 22:1,10,25 24:21,23 28:8,9,17 31:23 35:5,9 40:15 43:1,24 44:2,9 45:1,21 46:7 49:9 56:18 59:20 68:22 69.3 71.5 72.8 76.18 79:13 82:17,21 83:6.8.10 84:4,5,8,10,16,21,22 85:5,11 86:8 87:11 90:3.6 91:6.10 92:13,19 93:12,14,19 94:1.11 95:20 98:10 101:13.20.21.23 102:15.21 105:16 108:9 110:20 114:15.17.18.21 116:2,16,19 117:1,9,14 118:10 121-24 122-17 123-7 9 128:24 131:3 133:16 134:18 135:25 138:17 139:2 143:20,22 145:21,22 154:19,23 155:25 157:12 158:10 159.8 160.7 11 162:12.16 166:16 emailed (1) 141:13 emailing (2) 102:10 136:12 emails (20) 1:22 22:22 26:15,21 45:2,19 46:25 74:6,7,10 81:18 84:19 92:10 93:4 115:25 116:10 124:17 141:10.14 175:25 emphatic (5) 73:2,13,14 employed (6) 27:1 30:9,23 32:21 42:24 employers (12) 2:6 29:24 31:2,6,9,11 127:8.12.17.22 128:3.5 enable (1) 119:25 enclosing (1) 134:20 end (16) 9:21 15:14 23:10 31:7 53:17 59:5 75:9 105:21 132:3 150.4 164.18 165.3 167:15 180:12 183:17 engineer (5) 129:1,12

enough (5) 11:24 12:2 89:6 156:25 180:5 enquired (1) 129:12 enquiries (2) 88:21 166:22 enquiring (2) 135:8,21 ensure (6) 4:17 17:3 21:3 55:17 124:2 183:16 ensured (1) 37:5 enter (3) 115:4 120:14 entered (1) 61:5 entering (1) 115:2 entire (3) 72:18,24 82:1 entirely (2) 27:4 70:14 entitled (4) 26:25 42:3 138:11 139:2 entity (1) 103:4 entrance (2) 15:16 163:22 envelope (1) 100:2 envisaged (2) 120:23 165:11 equally (1) 69:7 equate (1) 156:15 equipment (2) 17:5.17 equipped (1) 17:4 erm (1) 164:1 es (2) 31:17 87:12 escape (7) 2:24 3:17,20 4:23 5:7 21:4 79:11 essence (1) 84:12 essentially (3) 6:1 117:14 123:2 establish (2) 28:6 158:19 established (6) 7:20 20:9 26:20 27:11 101:19 162:18 estates (1) 136:15 estimate (1) 178:25 et (5) 15:22 63:16 88:6 109:15 123:1 evacuate (1) 151:6 evacuation (12) 20:22 150:6.11.13 151:22.23 152:5,17 153:17,18 184:1.23 even (13) 8:12 22:12 26:18 32:10 39:14 54:2 63:24 82:11 95:11 106:16 116:15 120:21 141:23 event (6) 95:13 103:3 105:15 108:19 175:16 176:13 eventualities (1) 169:21 eventually (1) 172:16 ever (65) 11:8 16:12,15 18:5 19:3.8.11 27:19 30:11,13 31:2,11 53:21 58:6 59:12 66:3 71:17,20 74:12,15 76:4,7,12 77:18,25 78:15,24 79:3 82:8 83:8 88:15 99:10 101:8 102:5,10 112:5,18 114:1 124:19

166:11 167:25,25 168:9 171:10 174:10,18,20 182:18.23 183:2 184:2 every (7) 24:9 58:4 83:18 119:8 128:6 144:12 170:10 everybody (1) 53:14 everyone (1) 1:3 evidence (30) 1:5,20 12:4 32:25 54:23 55:1 59:11 60:10 61:2 65:2 69:19 71:14.23 72:17 77:2 84:11 102:14 111:9 125:13 126:14 127:11 131:24 148:14 151:9 155:8 158:15 169:25 179:15 184:5 185:12 exact (1) 70:9 exactly (10) 8:8 22:1 47:4 49:18 61:15 121:7 127:16 140:22 167:18 168:18 exaggeration (1) 119:8 examined (1) 121:6 example (19) 14:5 21:2 41:1 54:8 73:9 105:2 106:2 109:24 112:3 120:20 131:8,25 133:15 138:17 139:13 148:25 161:24 173:7 177:9 examples (2) 106:4 134:22 exceeded (1) 144:5 exceeds (1) 91:24 excessive (1) 79:22 exchange (12) 22:22 46:16,25 78:22 138:8 139:2 145:24 158:6.6 159:8 162:12,16 exchanged (3) 71:6 74:10 81:18 exchanges (8) 69:3 71:5 79:9 133:19,22,24 138:11 143:22 excludes (1) 177:4 exercise (2) 174:2,21 exfoliated (1) 154:3 exhaustfire (1) 7:19 existed (2) 105:4 107:22 existing (42) 7:16 9:13,17 10:18 11:4,9 12:13 13:5 10 17 14:9 15:19,20 16:10,13 18:18 19:15,17 90:10 91:1 94:7 106:8 114:25 115:1 142:10 143:3 147:15.23 149:10 151:21 152:8 155:10.22 156:9 158:19 159:7,11,24 163:3,22 177:5 182:21 exiting (1) 112:17 exo00000344 (1) 160:7 exo00000388 (1) 15:6 exo00000390 (1) 21:25 exo00000474 (1) 62:8 exo000006183 (1)

48:13 61:14 71:13

88:20 89:19 103:20

104-12 114-12 119-12

120:12 121:14 122:7

137:15 141:21 170:8

doing (18) 19:13

158:8

exo00000659 (1)

125.4 127.15 131.15

146:7 149:11 151:17

162:7 165:4,21,25

141:6 142:2,24 143:13

154:20
exo00000693 (1) 21:16 exo000006935 (1)
21:18
exo00000708 (1) 31:24 exo00000709 (1) 27:25
exo00000710 (3) 29:1
40:21 64:10 exo000007151 (2)
94:13 102:2
exo000007152 (1) 93:14
exo00001315 (1) 82:16
exo00001347 (1) 114:20
exo00001353 (1)
176:22 exo00001430 (2) 45:23
68:23
exo00001434 (1) 90:4 exo000014344 (1) 90:5
exo000014345 (1) 91:16
exo0000162110 (1)
143:17 exo0000162115 (1)
28:16
exo0000162116 (1) 48:24
exo0000162117 (1)
84:1 exo0000162119 (1)
97:21
exo0000162120 (1) 115:24
exo000016216 (1) 173:17
exo000017752 (1)
54:20 exova (37) 1:5 7:16 8:4
22:2 53:15 58:7 59:3
70:5,13,17 71:12 73:1,2,13 105:2
121:22 132:6
133:11,18,21 134:4 135:1,16 150:3 163:22
164:25 166:12 169:14
175:10 176:21 177:9 178:13,20
181:13,13,20 182:17
exovas (3) 9:22 84:7 165:7
expect (13) 30:19
51:16,17 54:11 104:13 135:20 149:23 163:9
164:14 169:24 183:7
184:10,16 expectation (1) 107:7
expected (10) 17:23 19:23 51:13 61:19
72:7 115:1 128:3
151:16 178:11 184:21 expecting (1) 179:21
experience (9) 8:8
103:25 168:15 173:5 183:5,10,12,22,24
experienced (1) 58:24
experimental (1) 159:20 expert (2) 113:16 177:2
expertise (3) 58:11
105:7 160:20 experts (5) 58:6 59:3
105:1 161:19 162:5

```
faced (1) 34:3
                            facing (1) 68:18
                            facings (1) 55:19
                            factor (1) 145:5
 119:22 120:6 157:12
                            factories (1) 48:15
                            fail (13) 95:15 102:4,25
                              103:22 104:6,10
                              105:18 119:9,11
                              120:15 175:16
explaining (2) 117:17
                              176:13,15
                            failed (1) 104:8
                            failing (2) 105:25
explanation (3) 137:1
                             109:15
                            fails (2) 84:20 121:2
                            failure (15) 97:8
                              103:9.20 104:1 105:14
                              108:18 111:23,24
                              115:7 117:19 118:4.7
                              122:11 123:4 175:22
                            fair (2) 51:17 166:13
                            fairly (16) 6:2 12:19
                              15:16 22:17.17 28:5
                              54:14 57:8 58:4
                              73:2,14 116:22 136:23
                              137:24 147:8 164:13
expression (1) 150:24
                            fall (5) 103:2,10,12
                             104:11 105:19
expressly (2) 54:9 172:3
                            fallen (1) 120:22
                            falling (3) 105:25 115:8
extension (2) 100:8,8
                             117:20
                            falls (3) 104:3 121:8
                             160:19
                            familiar (5) 17:1 47:20
                              65:4.7 86:5
                            far (9) 78:20 106:6
                              109:18 119:5.12
                              127:21 129:8 135:18
                              154:11
 36:20,24 37:24 38:20
                            fast (1) 48:15
  40:1,2,11 43:7 48:19
                            favour (1) 136:16
                            february (2) 133:10
 67:13 79:3 82:1 88:7
                             134:5
  89:17 90:10,11 91:2,2
                            fee (18) 53:16,18 61:4
                              62:7,9 63:4 99:13
                              152:24 165:1,10,17,21
                              169:14,19
                              178:17.21.24 179:8
                            feeding (2) 149:2,3
 114:25 115:1,8 117:20
                            feeds (1) 50:20
                            feel (9) 14:5 16:22
 121:1 129:25 130:4,19
                              30:23 35:2 36:4
                              58:10,16,19 115:13
 166:16 168:19 171:2,5
                            fell (1) 171:21
                            felt (3) 27:4 51:7 57:8
externally (1) 121:20
                            few (12) 26:6 121:19
                              126.13 150.1 154.17
                              162:20 165:20 166:21
                              176:19 179:15 181:10
extra (3) 53:3 122:25
                              184:1
                            fibre (2) 68:4,18
                            field (1) 134:6
                            fight (1) 121:20
                            fighting (1) 14:2
                            figure (1) 177:23
                            figures (1) 178:1
                            file (3) 139:14 182:18
                             183:8
                            fileshare (3) 21:21
                              22:11,12
```

explain (17) 13:17

16:22 25:13 34:21

38:12 41:22 49:9

50:11 69:17 87:17

163:5 166:4 169:5

explained (3) 86:9

176:8 178:19

explains (1) 68:3

162:7 175:24

explicit (3) 77:17

explore (2) 115:22

exploring (1) 158:4

exposing (2) 115:9

expressed (1) 115:19

extend (1) 113:19

extended (1) 144:8

extensive (1) 118:16

extent (7) 8:19 24:5

148:10 149:5

exterior (2) 88:24

external (63) 22:23

25:2,10,16 27:10

49:11.15.20 59:13

94:5 95:13 97:14

106:4,5,25 108:21

111:2,7 112:11,20

118:16.16 119:18

131:3 135:5 165:23

175:17 176:13

extinguishers (2)

extract (12) 18:22

71:23 72:14 91:13

107:13 155:3.13.20

159:14 160:13.16

f (4) 166:10 168:22

169:21 173:19

faade (6) 36:3 81:25

82:1 104:7 119:13

face (4) 50:3 88:20

120:24 145:11

fill (1) 100:14

filler (1) 88:6

fillers (1) 87:24

filling (1) 86:22

17:16,24

145:5

161:9

132:7

109:3 110:1.12

103:21 104:7

131:12

26:16 59:13 103:12

exposed (4) 46:5 56:5.14 119:5

117:16.24 explicitly (1) 151:13

141:2

117:21

178:20

144.25

final (7) 21:13 134:18 149:18 173:12 175:18
176:3,6 finalise (2) 9:16 16:10
finalised (2) 10:24 139:12
finalising (1) 10:8
finally (4) 124:12 160:6 162:8 184:1
find (9) 54:3 59:11 65:8
83:10 91:13 170:6 174:20 180:14 183:7
finding (2) 158:13
183:13 fine (3) 125:21 161:16
180:10
finetooth (1) 43:21
finish (4) 34:8 101:17 164:19,22
finished (1) 49:15
finishing (1) 10:10 fire (303) 2:13,22
3:15,22 5:13,21
7:12,23 9:8,13,17
10:18 11:4,10,20
12:7,10,13 13:2,5,7,22,23 14:2,14
15:10 16:4,8,10,13
17:5,9,11,14,15,21,23
18:4,12,16,17,18,21,24 19:4,6,7,10,12,15,17,20
20:3,8,13,15 21:3
23:5,11 24:13
25:10,14,22 26:4 31:8 32:9 33:9 34:11 40:7
42:7 44:13,18,23
46:5,6 47:10 48:16
49:22,24 56:6,15 57:18 61:11 69:12
72:18 76:11 77:6
78:11,16 79:4,15
81:23 82:4,9,9,20,25 87:22 88:21 89:13,18
90:9 91:18,19,22,23
92:1 94:6,21
95:7,8,13,22 96:1,3,15,22,23
97:2,9,15,16,23
98:2,4,7,13,18,23
99:2,8,10,23 100:7,12 101:4 103:3,7,12
104:8,12,13
105:15,16,21
106:5,9,15,16,18,25 107:2,12 108:19,21
109:1,3,11,12
110:10,12,16
111:23,24 112:4,10 113:13 114:23
115:2,2,4,10,11,14
117:17 118:3,12,16
119:13,16,18,23 120:14,16,25
121:5,9,12,15,15,16,20
122:14,15 123:5
126:22 129:1,11 130:2,5,17,20
131:10,12 132:22
135:24 136:24
137:16,24 138:1,12,13,14
139:5,12,16
140:11,18,24

144:12,16,21,22
145:5,17 147:10
148:3,6,8,9,12,20
149:1,2,7,10,12,24 150:18
151:5,7,15,21,23,25
152:4,4,8 153:3 154:13 156:19
162:20,22,24
163:10,13,16,24
164:7,9,10 165:3,11,16,22,23,25
166:5 168:2,6,11,23
169:12,15 170:3,22
171:22 172:2,16,21 173:2,6,12 174:6,23
175:4,9,11,17,18,22
176:4,7,12,13 177:5 182:13,14,19,22
183:14 184:12
firebreak (1) 120:17
firebreaks (1) 24:6
firefighting (6) 12:14 13:6 17:4,19 154:14
162:1
fireman (1) 14:2
fires (8) 82:5 103:25 106:2 119:9
153:6,9,16,19
firestop (13) 92:3 93:24
95:12 96:5,9 97:3,12 98:15 100:1 103:2
113:13,19 114:5
C (0) 00 0
firestopping (2) 92:3
100:6
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fitt (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 11:23 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18 flaming (10) 95:14
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 11:23 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18 flaming (10) 95:14 103:19,21 112:16 115:5 118:6 120:14 122:16 175:17 176:13
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18 flaming (10) 95:14 103:19,21 112:16 115:5 118:6 120:14 122:16 175:17 176:13 flammability (1) 154:9
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fitt (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 17:18 flaming (10) 95:14 103:19,21 112:16 115:5 118:6 120:14 122:16 175:17 176:13 flammability (1) 154:9 flashings (1) 30:18
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 111:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18 flaming (10) 95:14 103:19,21 112:16 115:5 118:6 120:14 122:16 175:17 176:13 flammability (1) 154:9 flashings (1) 30:18 flat (11) 14:2 32:10 38:9 46:6,6 57:19,19
100:6 firestops (6) 98:18,25 111:1 114:12 118:25 129:21 firm (2) 33:23 135:21 firmly (1) 162:17 first (36) 1:23,24 5:25 6:12,14 9:1 11:20 20:23 28:13 31:13,16,22 32:17 44:2 46:17 48:23 66:23 67:23 71:3 83:12,25 92:10,12 95:2,21 97:6 115:22 121:2 136:18 137:10 139:7 143:16 148:16 151:22 164:22 170:14 fit (2) 26:15 27:2 fitted (2) 90:9 91:1 fixed (2) 111:21 178:24 fixings (1) 11:23 flagged (1) 1:21 flagging (1) 21:8 flame (5) 38:9 66:20,22 120:8,21 flames (3) 100:10 115:6 117:18 flaming (10) 95:14 103:19,21 112:16 115:5 118:6 120:14 122:16 175:17 176:13 flammability (1) 154:9 flashings (1) 30:18 flat (11) 14:2 32:10

120:21 136:16 143:3

```
41:7 92:5 94:7
 97:13,13 99:2 115:3
 139:3 156:19 157:6
  184:8
floors (15) 20:19,19
 38:19 40:4 42:11
  93:24 94:5 96:7 111:2
 112:25 114:24.25
 115:11,15 166:9
flowed (1) 175:2
foam (10) 48:10.11.13
  56:16 66:24 67:8
  153:8.11 154:2.7
focus (3) 10:17 93:10
  102:22
focused (1) 131:2
follow (10) 21:5 27:24
  42:25 45:18 82:5
  104:7 116:20,22 124:7
 161.21
followed (3) 19:24 20:4
 39:17
following (12) 23:10
  34:23 39:16 49:21
 50:13 107:8 132:20
 143:18.23 148:19
 168:25 169:6
follows (4) 68:2 93:7
  108:25 172:10
followup (6) 16:7 52:16
 61:1 74:5 75:5 142:2
food (1) 48:15
foolproof (1) 22:18
force (1) 52:5
forced (2) 36:16 157:7
forceful (1) 6:24
forcefully (1) 6:2
forcing (1) 147:23
fordham (8) 136:9
  154:20,24,25 156:3
  160:10,11 162:9
forget (3) 53:25
 60:11,12
forgot (1) 10:6
forgotten (1) 97:17
form (12) 95:6,22
 97:1,16,23 98:6 99:7
 135:15 139:13 155:17
  157:7,8
formed (1) 172:6
forming (2) 101:7
 153:24
forms (2) 99:3 101:5
forward (7) 6:7 10:21
 22:21 81:17 127:2
 148:11 160:16
forwarded (5) 18:9
  24:16 46:18 47:14
 116:1
forwarding (1) 45:2
found (4) 67:17 84:8
 135:22 160:23
foundation (1) 131:11
four (5) 6:21 11:8 42:14
  166.9 178.5
fourth (1) 156:23
fr5000 (3) 48:4
 132:5,14
```

147:5,15 150:14

19:5.5.6.22.22 24:10

151:23

floor (17)

flew (1) 105:23

```
frame (1) 41:10
frames (1) 40:25
framing (2) 29:8 64:16
frank (1) 145:24
frans (5) 133:18,20,22
  134:10,20
free (1) 185:13
frequently (1) 108:15
freudian (1) 167:7
fsh14 (2) 3:5,6
fsh141 (1) 3:9
full (21) 6:13 37:20,23
  42:1 49:22 56:24
  57:5,9 100:14 109:12
  141:24 170:4
  172:18.22 173:1.6
  176:6 178:13,14,21,22
fulltime (1) 33:7
fully (9) 26:10 37:5.14
  46:5 50:8 51:14
  56:6,15 122:23
fumes (1) 154:13
function (3) 21:2 43:5
  164:12
functional (3) 96:12
  107:13 110:2
fundamentally (1)
  160:21
fundamentals (1)
 169:11
further (15) 16:2 20:12
  44:23 74:7 79:1 81:14
  114:7 121:21 125:2
  130:1.3.18 162:4
  175:18 176:3
future (4) 69:15 109:7
  130:6,21
gave (9) 5:23 12:18
  65:15 109:16 118:15
  137:7 141:19.20
  150:21
general (11) 14:20
  15:10 68:11 99:19
  103:23,24 139:1
  146:12 148:24 159:5
  166:22
```

51:24 53:21 55:15

57:7 58:3,17 76:1

105:9 106:19 107:3,6

117:13 150:8 154:13

157:19 160:24 161:24 166:17 178:20 180:5 185:12 given (38) 3:22 9:20 11:2 14:8 20:4 25:9.21 31:19 35:3,14,24 37:24 38:7 40:10 50:7 69:3,14 72:6 87:17 88:3 106:13 107:3 112:16 135:10 137:22 142:22 145:18 150:7 151:5 152:16 156:10 162:7 172:13 174:7 175:13,24 176:12 184:10 gives (3) 72:23 112:3 136:25 giving (7) 1:20 36:11 87:9 93:8 112:6 119:6 122-21 glass (1) 105:22 glazing (3) 87:13 88:1 glazingp1panels (1) 85:7 glc (2) 154:10 159:17 glpdf (1) 22:6 goes (5) 58:9 78:3 104:15 154:10 171:15 going (54) 1:4,18,21 9:16 10:2,16,21 19:1 20:5 27:24 29:11 30:16 31:1 33:12 35:11,12 36:18,25 38:7 42:22 44:20 53:2.9 57:10 58:20 76:17 81:17 100:5,14 101:11 103:14 109:9 119:20,21 125:11,21 128:20 130:11 134:15 137:4.11 141:15 148:11 149:24 150:2 164:18,22 168:17 171:20 172:7 180:11 185:6,21,23 gone (5) 10:15 28:7 43:20 122:6 150:15 good (14) 1:3,9,10,13 14:6 60:8 125:8,19 126:2.10 143:13 156:13 180:23 185:7 government (2) 4:21,21 grange (34) 1:14,16 15:4 34:10 52:15,16 59:23 60:3,17,25 61:1 62:12 63:3 71:24 72:12,13 125:7,18,21,25 126:4,11,12 131:5 180:2,6,10,12,24 181:10 185:4.16.18.24 grateful (2) 79:23 gratuitous (1) 183:4 great (6) 30:2,7 41:15 96:20 105:22 179:23 greater (2) 10:10 33:19 grenfell (34) 3:21 5:14 9:22 12:8 15:10,25 22:6.6 23:5.9 31:3 44:13 66:1 68:8 80:25 82:19 99:11,17 107:4,22 113:18

119:13 132:13 133:9 146:21 147:1,16 150:7 160:13 181:12 182:5,14 183:8 184:7 groups (1) 150:17 guess (3) 11:21 133:3 149:9 guidance (15) 13:8 37:7,11 39:16,17 50:13 65:17 66:3,11 112:5 124:21 142:12 151:14 166:18,19

58:7 61:10 99:24 108:7 131:1 133:1,5 138:25 159:8 helpful (4) 59:18 69:5 111:10 185:11 helping (1) 42:23 here (31) 10:12 24:12 н 27:25 29:6 48:22 56:19 70:7 78:19 h92 (2) 29:7,21 85:25 86:24 91:17 h92125 (1) 64:15 93:10 97:8,11 98:24 h92776 (2) 29:12,14 99:4 101:7 103:6.21 hadnt (18) 10:23 12:5 104:7 106:15 110:20 18:15 30:9 35:24 119:14 122:8 61:10 83:23 84:15 138:10,24 140:23 86:9 96:17,18 101:21 155:11 158:1 159:5 109:7 119:24 162:17 173:18 166:2 171:18 173:13 hermetically (1) 149:4 half (4) 23:25 24:22 herself (1) 183:21 45:7 111:19 hes (2) 123:2 136:12 halls (1) 15:16 hi (5) 23:8 28:2 82:18 hamper (1) 154:14 94:16 134:10

heart (1) 86:12

heat (1) 120:10

height (1) 160:4

hello (1) 136:20

help (11) 27:5 55:12

held (1) 6:16

hand (4) 9:16 46:8 59:7 high (3) 106:11 144:20 86:12 152:2 handed (1) 161:13 higher (2) 43:16,16 handling (1) 11:15 highlight (2) 10:15 hanson (1) 90:18 14:18 happen (14) 56:1 61:14 highlighted (4) 118:2,8 120:12 13:16,16 163:10,18 127:13,14 146:19 highly (2) 36:18 106:14 148:11 151:6 169:20 highrise (6) 54:12 56:3 172:24 176:8,16 132:5 133:3 143:3 happened (9) 11:9 147:24 20:7.12 21:11 45:19 himself (1) 129:12

50:19 119:7,13 169:5

happening (3) 61:15

happens (3) 112:15

happy (4) 1:11 62:25

har00003616 (1) 23:25

119:8 120:12

63:12 168:14

hard (2) 7:3 148:7

hate (1) 150:23

harley (6) 24:3 31:19

35:21 93:16 122:13,22

harleys (3) 28:4,5 57:15

havent (7) 8:15 66:4

171:12 180:15

84:17 131:15 140:2

having (12) 13:7 37:2

85:4 88:1 117:4

hazards (1) 131:12

head (6) 39:10 40:2

headed (2) 2:21 63:9

headings (1) 15:13

headroom (1) 53:16

182:18 183:8

159:12

186:3

health (4) 36:9 139:13

hear (2) 174:10 185:23

heard (3) 81:22 131:24

hearing (4) 1:4,4 82:8

57:7 70:17 78:15,16

133:24 143:18 149:5

43:17 103:14 123:23

179:22.25

hindsight (4) 52:1 111:10 148:19 149:19 hint (1) 26:24 historical (1) 158:25 historically (1) 33:25 history (2) 94:17 123:10 hits (1) 121:1 hoban (8) 90:3,17 93:13,15,21 100:4 113:22 114:5 hoc (5) 51:10,19 52:12 63:24 64:3 hold (2) 158:25 170:16 home (1) 150:24 honest (4) 10:1 11:1 29.22 92.21 honestly (1) 117:10

honestly (1) 117:10 horizontal (5) 23:11 24:6 40:24 41:7 124:2 hours (6) 42:2,2 177:3,12,13,15 house (2) 68:15 134:7 housing (3) 163:12 181:13,17

however (7) 33:15 42:15 63:13 67:2 98:7 115:6 156:10 huge (1) 65:13 hughes (3) 136:9 140:14,15

140:14,15 hundreds (1) 73:7 id (1) 110:16 idea (5) 9:4 13:21 14:6 143:14 183:3 ideal (2) 4:6,12 ideally (1) 175:12 ideas (2) 15:11,12 identified (3) 83:11 142:22 168:11 identify (2) 14:15 111:6 identifying (1) 143:9 ie (9) 17:8 40:1 97:25 100:14 103:10 124:17 138:20 155:13 158:14 ill (4) 95:1 131:17

177:17 183:4 im (72) 1:17,21 5:2,8 11:1 12:19 27:24 30:13 37:18 38:3,15,15 42:22 43:15 49:18 50:2 52:2,8 54:4 55:3,22 60:13 61:15 62:11.12

60:13 61:15 62:11,12 66:12 70:14 72:3 77:2,4 78:18 81:9 83:9,17 86:5,23 87:25 89:5 92:21 95:24 98:22 101:11 105:5,23 107:15,19,21,25 109:9

110:17,20 113:2 118:8 119:3,20,21 121:4 122:25 124:12 127:19 128:9 136:22 138:16 140:22 141:15 148:24

140:22 141:15 148:2 152:12 164:22 178:9 179:7 181:5 182:7 imagine (2) 153:22

172:20 immediate (2) 57:14

immediately (3) 34:21 50:11 125:1 impact (7) 12:14 13:6 18:3 25:10 106:19

18:3 25:10 106:19 139:10 174:6 impaired (1) 184:16 implications (3)

118:11,17 119:15 imply (2) 119:12 120:11 importance (2) 42:4 175:21

important (11) 11:1 37:23 47:3 72:1,7 122:21 127:11,16,18 149:12 167:16

impression (2) 11:23 30:16 improve (1) 19:1

inadequacy (1) 155:10 inadequate (2) 161:19 163:11 inbox (2) 121:25 122:1

incident (1) 19:20 include (5) 12:12 85:16 86:2,16,17 included (7) 34:12 67:3

98:4,18 99:15 135:8 175:15 including (11) 2:15 6:13

27:17 120:20 133:12 142:23 143:21 154:25 165:7 173:23 177:21 inclusive (2) 2:11 4:25 incorporated (3) 2:14 172:3,8 incorporating (1) 81:25 increased (1) 145:15 index (1) 187:1 indicate (3) 83:23 85:19

indicated (4) 113:17 114:6 178:22 181:6 indicates (1) 123:22 indication (3) 61:19,21 62:14

industry (3) 92:1 113:8,9 ineffective (1) 111:22

inert (2) 128:16,18 inevitable (1) 104:4 infer (1) 66:21 inference (3) 61:25 62:18 80:14 inference (3) 63:11 140:

inform (3) 63:11 149:9 159:25 information (37) 16:3 17:7,25 23:20,24

35:20,24 37:20 46:8

47:15 49:6 56:25 59:7 63:12 66:15 78:22 85:8,18 109:7 116:10 135:9 139:17 149:17 158:14,18 167:9 168:7 171:19 172:13 175:20 180:1 183:2,17,21

184:11,14,18 informed (1) 63:18 initial (4) 24:21 28:3,4

142:16 initials (1) 140:13 initiated (1) 170:13

input (1) 171:16 inquiry (3) 1:15 176:20 187:5

inquirys (2) 113:16 177:2 inside (3) 86:20 87:3 92:3

insist (1) 7:21 inspection (1) 164:12 installation (2) 145:12 158:14

installed (3) 39:21 149:20 155:9 installing (1) 136:12 instance (2) 116:20

174:3
instead (1) 179:21
institute (1) 2:20
instruction (1) 168:8

instruction (1) 168:8 insulants (1) 66:24 insulation (79) 28:21 29:14 30:3,18,20

32:3,18,21 33:1,3,10,17 34:16,17,22 35:6,10,16,25 36:2,17,20 37:6 38:8,14,17,23 39:3,11,14 40:8 44:16,24 46:11,18

39:3,11,14 40:8 44:16,24 46:11,18 47:5,13,15 48:6,9,15,19 49:11,14,19,23 50:12 52:19 53:23 54:3,21 58:15 59:10 64:21 70:11 71:2,18,21 72:20 73:24 74:13 77:8,9 85:16 86:2,16 87:1,3 88:6,11,17 91:18,23 100:24 106:23 109:21 120:20 153:8,12 integrity (3) 91:18,23 100:23 intended (4) 59:17 85:19 122:12 158:15

intended (4) 59:17 85:19 122:12 158:1! intention (4) 97:18 160:2 162:11,15 interaction (1) 92:10 interested (1) 141:5 interesting (2) 31:5 71:12

interior (1) 107:4 internal (5) 96:2 107:7 114:21 122:17 166:8 interpretation (13) 23:14 44:6 45:23 47:13 57:15 72:25 93:22,23 94:3,20

93:22,23 94:3,20 100:5 113:10,24 interpreted (2) 35:8 166:20 interrogation (1) 7:23 interrupt (1) 71:24

interrupt (1) 71:24 into (24) 3:24 7:4 42:8,8 50:21 58:9 81:1 107:12 112:17,20 113:20 121:2,5 132:15 137:13 146:17 149:2,3 157:5 158:10,25 163:22 172:3,8 introduced (1) 23:25

introduced (1) 33:25 intumescent (1) 101:1 intumesces (1) 101:5 inundated (1) 125:22 invariably (1) 46:4 investigate (2) 11:9 48:2

investigated (2) 48:1 129:12 investigation (1) 163:2

investigation (1) 163:21 investigations (3) 135:7 152:9,13 invitation (1) 181:21 invoice (2) 63:10 178:21 invoiced (2) 178:14,15

invoicing (1) 63:12 involved (17) 3:10 68:4 108:3,7 116:9 131:24 132:4 133:1 140:16 141:22 153:11,24 154:12 161:21 171:1 174:8 181:22

involvement (8) 9:22 102:6 132:1 135:19 158:3 162:4 167:3 182:10 involves (1) 92:13 involving (2) 153:7,10 irrespective (4) 38:16 40:7 46:11 59:9 isnt (22) 4:17 32:10 39:8,15 42:17 46:7 49:12,17 51:15 52:23

isocyanurate (1) 56:16

nt (22) 4:17 32:10 jointly (1) 122:7 js (2) 136:8 160:17 judgement (1) 147:12 judgements (1) 105:9 july (2) 1:1 186:3 junction (9) 38:19 40:3 42:11 96:6 97:13

iss (1) 136:24 issued (1) 136:24 issues (19) 10:4 16:17 25:14 58:11,12 66:18 69:6 81:7 104:25 107:5 110:10 131:9 143:19 165:19,23 167:1,14 168:11 176:11 item (3) 94:17,19 123:10 items (1) 140:23 iterations (2) 166:5 168:10 its (104) 3:14,14 14:17 21:17.23 22:1.17 24:3 26:5,5,6,8,16 31:24 33:23.23.24 35:16 38:1 39:4.8 44:10 48:8,16 52:6 54:12 55:20 57:23 58:3 60:1.12 62:10 64:19,24 65:22 66:8,10 71:12,14 72:18.24 75:3 77:16 81:11 82:11 83:11 84:12 85:11 86:1.21 87:22,23 93:19,22 98:25 100:2,7 101:5,7,20 104:1,2,13,14 106:14,19 113:3 114:9 117:24 118:1 121:12 122:8 123:16

ive (23) 25:1 27:9,22
48:1 50:7,14 63:3
72:17 75:22 86:9
87:23 98:12 111:9
118:13 121:18 145:17
150:12 159:20 166:20
175:13 176:5 183:3,24

janice (2) 18:9,10
january (3) 140:2,18
160:9

jess (1) 144:3

job (9) 31:10 36:4 61:18

172:14 182:1 184:22

100:4 113:22 114:5

99:1,3 111:2 112:24

june (2) 65:19 131:10

80:10 82:3 161:12

john (5) 90:3 93:21

join (1) 1:6

127:11,16 128:19

130:23 131:17 132:14

133:18 139:2 141:14

142:9 143:5 146:17

147:7 149:4 150:14

153:15 154:3 156:2

157:23 160:21 165:2

167:8 169:21 170:10

176:12 183:4 185:11

itself (1) 86:18

171:11 174:7,13 175:5

justified (6) 53:5 67:9 106:10 109:5 110:13,23 justify (2) 97:8 119:1

ĸ

kalc (6) 80:5 181:22 182:2,5,8,14 kay (7) 91:9,11 95:10 96:7 102:15,16,18 kctmo (1) 64:1 keep (3) 29:11 60:10 72:4 kensington (6) 6:7 81:3.13 168:16 169:10 181:23 kept (2) 72:6 181:5 kevin (1) 44:11 key (2) 66:18 177:20 kind (12) 25:4 32:12 46:12 61:21 62:14 72:4 84:13 95:16 109:14 139:2 145:23 155.4 knew (14) 20:8 48:10 52:25 56:16 64:3 96:11,12,15 107:5,20,22 133:22 139:7 170:16 know (88) 4:5 7:3 10:1 12:7 20:7.24 22:12 27:5 30:25 31:9 34:25 35:10.11.14 41:25 46:21 47:10 48:3.9.12.16 49:23.24 51:6 53:12,24 54:4 55:12,24 62:2 63:6 68:19 73:11,12 76:10 78:19 79:23 80:11.13.22 87:22 89:6.9 90:2 92:15 99:2 100:13 106:6,16 107:16,24 109:17,21 110:18 113:24 119:20 121:4 128:19 129:14.17 130:13.14 132:12,20 133:20 138:22 141:5 145:5,25 146:3 149:22 153:3 154:2,4,6 162:11 163:11,16 167:19,22 168:17 171:12 172:2,7,10,12,19 177:7 knowing (1) 176:15 knowledge (13) 57:9 70:18 73:8

label (3) 41:11 64:15,19 labelled (2) 29:11 43:13 labels (5) 29:2,6,13,17 64:14 lack (2) 175:3 177:19 lacrosse (2) 81:24 82:9 lamb (1) 44:11

lane (1) 177:2

106:15.18.20 107:2

111:14 131:6 135:19

153:25 175:14 182:17

known (5) 20:17,17

105:2 128:9 163:17

large (5) 11:15 28:19 103:25 168:17 173:20 last (10) 28:17 59:17 102:23 121:19 124:12 136:24 165:20 166:21 179:11,14 late (3) 79:9 116:5 141:21 later (13) 19:5 21:11 22:3 43:23 45:24 53:15 62:8 76:15 95:3 100:6 101:10 136:1 145:3 latest (1) 149:14 launched (2) 47:22,23 lawrence (2) 23:2 24:2 layer (1) 101:2 laymans (1) 154:5 layout (1) 139:3 Icc (1) 159:16 lead (9) 16:11 115:7 117:19 118:3.4.7 123:4 170:7,20 least (9) 3:25 81:11 91.7 94.7 116.11 127:14 138:3 139:4 184:6 leave (2) 84:15 140:22 led (1) 73:9 left (4) 21:14 37:19 43:9 132:4 lefthand (3) 29:2 64:11 111:19 legal (3) 86:8 117:5,7 legislation (1) 147:21 leisure (2) 80:6 181:23 length (1) 9:25 lengthy (1) 81:2 less (11) 67:7 122:10 178:5,6,16 179:2,9 181:20 182:4.7.13 lesson (1) 14:25 lets (12) 3:13 22:20 27:23 67:17 73:4 85:2 88:23 90:3 93:13 95:1 102:2 115:22 letter (1) 39:17 letting (1) 59:20 level (9) 4:1 24:10 38:6 41:2 82:22 115:3 136:17 138:21 166:6 levels (6) 82:24,25 136:23 137:25 147:6 155:15 Ifb (1) 7:17 library (1) 159:1 lift (2) 157:3 163:23 lifts (1) 4:14 light (8) 3:18 5:12 33:6 65:14 73:22 141:18 175:14 179:14 lightweight (2) 119:10.11 like (20) 1:6 13:21 14:17,18 19:20,21 20:25 22:5 29:20 48:15 55:21 60:8 89:19 93:1 114:21 124:23 125:15 146:11

85:17 86:2,16 87:4 88:8 89:12 line (12) 15:10 22:4 56:4 64:19 81:11 86:1 90:25 102:16 112:13 125:2 156:23 165:13 linear (3) 33:12 70:16 132:21 lines (8) 6:21 79:18 94:1 102:23 113:14,19 115:15 140:9 link (7) 21:21 22:11.12 27:15 55:7 110:24 139:18 list (3) 6:21 93:17 140:23 literally (1) 51:2 little (10) 29:17 37:19 42:9 45:24 64:15 97:24 99:24 131:5 147:5 166:4 lives (1) 153:18 living (3) 150:9 184:7.17 IIp (1) 132:4 lobbies (7) 12:7,10,15 13:3 19:6 142:11 155:3 lobby (4) 12:19 138:20 139:8 157:3 local (4) 103:9 123:4 163:12 175:22 localised (3) 103:19 106:17 122:11 located (2) 92:3 157:2 location (7) 42:20 98:3 100:2 107:14 118:25 134:7 162:23 locations (3) 44:18 57:2 111:8 london (4) 12:21 13:1 105:22 159:16 long (9) 9:21 15:16 35:7,16 48:3,6,14 161:15 185:11 longer (6) 26:25 30:8 52:2 138:2 147:9 181:6 look (84) 21:25 25 22:22 24:22 25:20 26:3 28:15,24 29:1,3 30:1.7 41:15 43:19.25 44:9 47:3,7 52:2 53:15 54:1 55:8 62:7 63:8 65:12 67:17,19 68:17 71:23 72:14 73:4 74:21 76:15,17 79:12 81:18 83:25 84:24 85:3 89:20 90:4 91:10 93:13,14 94:13 95:2 97:19 110:14 111:17 114:8 123:8,16,18 124.7 131.21 133.15 134:3,18,19 136:18 137:2 138:8 140:8

150:2,3,22 154:19,22

181:20

limit (1) 53:18

limited (24) 26:16 28:5

39:14 49:14 50:12

55:22 66:25 67:12

33:14.18.21 34:16.23

52:19 53:5 54:6,10,16

155:25 158:9,25 160:15 163:2 164:24 165:21,25 169:2 171:18 172:25 173:16 174:2 176:18.23 180:6 looked (31) 2:12,16 5:1,20 6:19 7:12 15:6,13 21:15 31:15,16 40:14,15,18 63:5 64:8 65:5,15 86:6 87.10 92.19 22 101.14 128:23 129:6 132:15 140:2 142:14 145:22 150:16 164:4 looking (31) 32:7 35:5 37:4 43:9.14 44:2 49:12 51:1,2 55:9 62:13 64:4 66:10.13.17 83:17 89:9.13 90:21 91:6 92:10 97:24 113:6 120:13.18 123:14 140:22 141:18 148:10 174:25 179:14 looks (2) 22:5 160:17 looped (1) 92:12 lose (2) 107:15.17 lost (1) 153:18 lot (16) 14:5,10 15:13 30:10,24 35:3 36:18.25 53:2 56:18 78:22 90:8,23 100:25 125:25 154:11 louvres (1) 93:1 low (1) 152:3 lower (7) 20:19 24:4 82:24 136:23 137:25 147:6 166:9 lowest (1) 155:15 luck (1) 125:25 lunch (4) 124:13 125:12,23 134:12 М

main (15) 3:9 10:17 12:7.10.15 13:2.7.22 17:19,20 93:10 96:4 155:13,21 172:11 mainly (2) 154:14 167:11 maintained (2) 114:3 183:18 major (5) 105:16 136:23 137:25 138:5,6 makes (3) 15:20 151:21 155:19 making (6) 5:20 36:18 103:23 145:23 156:9 161:5 malfunctioning (1) 20:18 man (1) 12:22 managed (5) 79:20 80:8,11,15 81:9 management (3) 4:16 184:24 185:1 manager (3) 170:6 mandatory (1) 146:22 manner (3) 51:14,19,20 manual (1) 182:19 manually (1) 138:23

manufacturing (1) 153:25 many (5) 11:18 156:18 166:21 181:13.15 march (19) 26:11 81:19,22 82:16 90:2 93:13,15 94:12,14 101:20 110:21 114:17 116:2 123:7 131:9 133:10 134:20 141:11 175.2 marchapril (1) 124:15 mark (1) 15:10 market (4) 54:15 126:24 128:10 157:24 marketed (2) 48:5 54:7 marketing (1) 54:9 marketplace (1) 66:15 markups (1) 79:14 martin (46) 1:3,10,13 14:12 15:3 34:8 50:16.20.23 51:4,9,16,18 52:4,14 60:1,4,7,13,21,24 62:11.17.21 63:2 71:24 72:3,11 125:10.15.19.24 126:2,8,10 130:25 180:4,8,11,17,20 181:5 185:7,16,20,25 martyn (2) 145:21,24 masonry (1) 104:2 massachusetts (1) 131-11 massive (2) 70:18,18 material (37) 30:3 34:1 46:2 47:1,11 50:1,4 54:10,15 55:10,25 56:17 57:11 65:11 67:15 68:18 72:25 74:21 76:3.8.22 86:22 87:3.21 88:6.16.24 89:14,19,22 101:4 105:9,12 111:24 128:18 153:5.7 materially (1) 137:15 materials (19) 28:20 33:14 34:12 36:8,19 37:1 55:22,23 65:17 66:6 72:8 89:16 109:18 120:20,24 130:4,19 154:12 174:4 matt (8) 136:8 154:19,20,24 155:1 158:10,12 160:10 matter (4) 20:15 35:25 112:25 113:3 matters (2) 1:19 126:13 max (8) 136:9 154:20,24,25 156:3 160:10.11 162:9 max000060846 (1) 136:2 max000232042 (1) 156:1 maybe (7) 19:14 41:5 52:1 57:13 75:22 104:15 179:22 mean (77) 4:8 10:1,11

43:18 46:22 48:13 49:12 50:17 55:6.22 56:9 58:3,9,12 67:9 73:15 75:22 76:9 81:2.10 82:5 83:15 85:25 86:4,17,23 92:22 100:4,25 102:13,16 103:1 104:12 105:20 106:22 110:25 114:2 122:3.3.19 127:10.25 130:12 132:15 134:15 141:2 150:15 151:14 154:2 156:22,25 161:18 163:11 167:5 170:11 171:13 172:12,25 176:16 183:12.14 184:25 meaning (4) 55:14 56:7 173:22 174:2 means (10) 2:23 3:16.20 4:12 5:7 47:1 65:9 79:11 104:10,11 meant (15) 11:5 14:1 20:20 21:20 35:9 39:4 62:15 72:19 97:4 114:4 128:16 129:18 133:3 144:12 176:2 measure (1) 66:22 measurements (2) 158:16 159:6 measures (1) 2:14 mechanical (6) 155:2,9,20 157:8,10 160:2 meet (7) 14:24 35:23 66:25 67:23 79:15 124:2 170:9 meeting (36) 5:25 6:1,11,12,14,16,20,23 7:3.10.24 8:6.15.16.24 9:4 67:2 69:5.10 79:24 86:8 117:4 134:4,5,14 139:25 140:1,4,5,7 156:15 157:14,17 169:25 170:14 174:5 meetings (8) 8:9 27:16,19 134:14 170:2,7 177:10,24 melbourne (3) 81:24 82:4,9 melt (2) 103:1 120:10 member (3) 26:10 37:14 52:10 members (1) 141:25 mentioned (3) 13:20 19:21 143:7 mentioning (1) 18:24 mentions (1) 85:13 menzies (2) 113:16 114:5 met (1) 156:11 metal (3) 65:4 101:7 103:4 method (1) 70:15 methods (1) 159:14 metres (7) 33:11,19 72:20 133:4 144:6

146:23 147:2

mezzpdf (1) 22:6

middle (3) 104:22

153:9,16

mezzanine (1) 136:17

16:3 16 18:18 19:20

22:13 25:18 26:4,8

29:20,22 31:5 32:17

33:22 35:10 36:6

might (46) 17:14 18:20 21:5 22:17 27:6 48:18 51:6 58:22 65:9,11 68:19 69:5 80:24 82:23 89:24 98:6 99:7,15 103:12 104:18 105:1 111:5,10 114:2 118:6 120:1 122:5 127:22 128:11 132:16 135:22 143:9,13 148:20 150:19 151:18 153:15 155:11 157:18 168:4.10 169:20.20 176:13,15 184:3 millimetre (1) 68:4 mind (8) 3:19 34:2 86:7 114:7 120:1 144:19 151:17 184:3 mine (2) 73:6 117:23 mineral (4) 36:22 37:2 55:24 56:10 minimise (1) 36:19 minimum (1) 91:24 minor (1) 58:4 minute (2) 15:5 92:1 minutes (14) 6:17 7:9 8:8 91:18.18.22.23 93:25 94:8 100:23,24 114:16 139:25 140:4 miscellaneous (1) 150:1 missed (2) 166:17,25 mist (1) 142:19 mistakenly (1) 2:3 misunderstanding (2) 9:14,24 mitigation (2) 20:21 144:7 mix (1) 151:1 mixed (1) 75:22 mixing (1) 86:25 modern (1) 163:5 modernday (1) 147:11 modifications (1) 80:16 modified (2) 142:13 163:14 modify (1) 13:13 module (1) 158:4 moment (11) 3:13 5:4.10 59:24 62:7 87:25 88:24 125:8 153:2 178:1,2 monday (5) 79:15,24 185:19,22 186:3 money (1) 177:19 month (1) 47:23 months (2) 26:7 170:10 moorebick (46) 1:3,10,13 14:12 15:3 34:8 50:16.20.23 51:4,9,16,18 52:4,14 60:1.4.7.13.21.24 62:11,17,21 63:2 71:24 72:3.11 125:10,15,19,24 126:2.8.10 130:25 180:4,8,11,17,20 181:5 185:7,16,20,25 more (50) 2:7 8:10 13:11 30:21 36:16 37-15 39-5 51-1 2 54:11 56:25 65:8 66:17 67:7 69:25 77:16 89:1,11,20

148.16 180.20

likelihood (1) 176:12

156:11 167:8 172:24

likely (7) 116:1,4,9

99:24 103:23,24 105:1 110:5 111:6 117:16.24 119:5 122:9 123:2,3 127:19 128:11 129:20 130:13 131:2 132:15 133:5 146:11 148:24 156:10 157:19 158:4 168:1,5 177:24 178:11 179:18 181:7 182:9 morning (9) 1:3,9,10 55:16 64:5 127:11 128:23 129:4 185:22 mornings (2) 61:2 126:14 most (1) 116:4 mouth (1) 77:2 move (1) 131:17 moving (10) 5:17 9:12 11:13 18:8 22:20 56:18 79:7 114:15 126:14 164:17 ms (49) 1:14.16 5:18 12:4 15:4,5,7,24 16:12 34:10 52:15,16 59:23 60:3.17.25 61:1 62:12 63:3 71:24 72:12,13 106:9 113:16 114:5 125:7,18,21,25 126:4,11,12 131:5 138:9,11 143:20 145.20 180:2,6,10,12,24 181:10 183:1.1 185:4.16.18.24 much (28) 1:13 30:5,14 37:15 40:22 41:24 51:2 52:14 60:14,24 103:20 112:13 116:24 123:20 125:10,15,19 126:10 130:15 134:11 175:21 179:9 182:9 185:8,13,14,20 186:1 must (12) 14:23 32:23 34:23 49:14,16 70:5 83:23 86:6 94:6 108:9 114:12 177:7

N

name (2) 71:13 85:15 namely (1) 107:4 narrative (1) 14:20 narrow (8) 50:4,6 97:25 106:16 107:5 111:4 119:4 147:8 native (4) 28:25 40:20 123:17 176:22 natural (7) 147:8 156:13,22,24 157:1,7 160:3 nature (1) 78:15 nbs (5) 29:24 31:3 127:1,7 131:25 near (1) 115:3 nearly (1) 26:5 necessarily (10) 46:3 53:4,11 55:11 95:6,22 97:23 103:5 127:24 153:11 necessary (14) 44:18,23 112:23 129:13 142:19 151:25 155:21 168:4 169:4.9.21 170:3.8

184:22

necessitated (1) 182:9 need (61) 2:14 7:16 8:12 10:16 16:9,22 21:1.2 28:25 30:14 31:9 32:4 34:20 37:10 38:12,24 40:16 41:16 42:10 46:6,22 47:2 50:1 57:11,14,18 58:1 63:14 79:1 92:7 96:22 97:12 98:5,24,25 99.6 19 105.12 111.6 112:19 114:9 115:14 118:24.24 120:1.16 122:14 123:17 136:25 138:4 139:11 140:23 145:4 151:5 167:13 168:1 170:9 171:18,23 176:14 179:5 needed (28) 6:5 10:5.20.25 47:7,10,16,18 50:12

52:19 53:4 57:15 58:8 59:19 66:12 85:3 93:8,25 96:5 98:16 109:12 111:1 122:25 139:6 142:13 143:10 168:18 176:11 neednt (1) 147:15 needs (6) 2:15 7:20,23 41:5 99:1 140:11 neil (21) 23:17 24:25 27:21 32:2 43:24 44:8.14 45:2 46:1 47:7 71:7 80:1.7 82:16 83:3 90:6 92:23 94:11.14.23 95:5 never (23) 20:12 25:1,18,25 26:12 27:9

31:10 53:17 63:18 76:9 92:16 98:20,21 100:20 102:14 109:23 121:12 127:7.10 150:7 151:13 163:16 168:7 nevertheless (2) 13:18 119-21 newbuild (2) 182:9,12 newbuilds (1) 146:23 next (7) 7:24 11:8 15:17 58:9 91:15 146:5 148:12 nice (2) 173:11 176:6 nobody (1) 153:18 nods (1) 24:15 noncombustible (10)

109:20 153:4 noncompliance (1) 148:15 nonresidential (5) 8:13 142:18 143:8 147:6 155:15 normal (1) 171:14 normally (11) 4:13 26:16 31:6 54:9 96:1

34:2 46:3 55:11,23

56:13 17 67:15 104:14

26:16 31:6 54:9 96:1 98:3 116:15 128:8 172:13 184:13,13 note (18) 7:15 9:1 18:16 65:17,24 84:6 85:5,7,12,13,18 90:21 114:23 142:14,16,21

143:18,23 noted (3) 8:3 30:2,5 oh (10) 3:12 5:2 30:6 50:2 54:11 96:20

notes (7) 6:19 7:9 17:9 27:25 72:4.6 87:9 nothing (7) 41:2 50:19 74:10 109:16 118:14 124:5 166:10 notice (1) 138:1 noticed (2) 124:9 129:2 notwithstanding (1)novated (1) 166:14 november (2) 26:6 nowadays (1) 4:7 number (20) 1:17 3:3 6:16 11:14,15 21:1 32:5.23 45:20 46:15 69:19 80:16 93:15 103:25 126:20 145:14 153:6 163:11 165:18 176:5

0

numerous (1) 119:9

objective (1) 81:10 objectives (1) 174:5 obligated (1) 51:24 obligation (1) 17:3 obligations (4) 50:18 52:10,11 139:20 obliged (2) 30:24 35:3 observations (4) 5:18 79:10.21 108:18 obtainable (1) 183:6 obvious (4) 61:25 62:18 146:15 164:14 obviously (6) 61:25 62:17 83:12 87:21 151:14 182:8 occasion (2) 22:11 99:12 occasions (3) 11:14 166:21 176:5 occupancy (1) 151:1 occupant (1) 150:22 occupants (4) 17:12,24 144:21 151:15 occur (11) 36:1 53:25 65:5 82:5 111:5 119:14 139:11,15,21 167:25 171:6 occurred (7) 81:23 82:4 95:14 103:21 112:9 170:18 182:23 occurs (1) 173:25 oclock (3) 125:12 126:3 185:22 oconnor (1) 23:2 october (2) 138:9 154:23 odd (4) 170:25 171:6,8,11 oddly (1) 97:24 offer (2) 91:22 144:7 offered (1) 108:10 office (5) 84:14 136:15.15 140:5 142:20 officer (1) 113:18 often (4) 11:15 160:1

102:18 110:4 122:6 135:23 okay (40) 8:18 11:12 12:1 21:12 22:20 43:22 50:22 52:14 58:21 60:6 63:2 69:23 79:6 81:16 83:24 88:25 90:1 93:3 107:8 110:5 124:14 125:7,14 130:16 132:2 136:6 141-4 149-25 155-14 158:5 164:21,23 172:1 179:12 180:2.16.19 181:9 183:25 185:4 old (3) 147:7 150:24 151:1 omission (3) 137:4,11,15 once (6) 52:7 64:3 109:13 120:9.21 170:10 onerous (1) 36:15 ones (2) 52:9,10 ongoing (1) 141:20

ongoing (1) 141:20
open (11) 14:3 46:20,22
47:3,16 49:1,2 69:10
81:6 100:15,22
opened (2) 51:5 53:25
opening (3) 19:22 118:5
157:5
openings (7) 40:1,2,11
42:13 43:4 112:23
163:1
operate (2) 136:13
144:20
operating (1) 18:11
operation (1) 12:14
operational (1) 14:1

operations (1) 154:15
opinion (2) 100:22
108:10
opportunities (1)
166:25
opportunity (1) 166:17
opposed (2) 13:3

opposite (2) 16:2 132:20 option (1) 144:23 options (1) 145:14 opts (1) 162:2

128:15

oral (3) 54:23 69:19 71:23 order (6) 17:9 32:9 36:19 42:1 109:25

147:14 organisation (1) 132:19 organise (1) 134:12

organise (1) 134:12 organised (1) 170:15 origin (2) 151:23 152:4 original (3) 92:23 158:13 183:13

others (4) 85:17 86:3 136:7 154:25 otherwise (2) 35:22 38:17

ought (11) 14:14 20:21 21:8 36:10 37:4 41:20 65:6 69:11 139:4 147:17 180:14 ourselves (2) 26:18

136:25 outer (5) 28:20 30:2

49:15 64:20 101:2 outlet (2) 13:7.22 outlets (5) 12:7,10,15,19 13:2 outline (11) 17:9 20:3 78:11,16 130:20 165:3,15 172:2,15 175:4,18 outset (1) 159:25 outside (2) 91:21 160.20 outstanding (3) 9:20 130:5.20 over (15) 33:11 43:20 103:25 104:8 112:3 113:14 121:18 133:3 146:23 147:2 150:15 160:15 161:13 166:21 182:5 overall (5) 5:19 15:20 30:16 150:2 176:18 overclad (1) 96:24 overcladding (4) 109:13 173:8 175:15,20 overlap (2) 148:10 149:1 overlooks (2) 120:19 121:7 overnight (3) 21:23 126:1 141:13 overseeing (1) 3:6 overspeaking (1) 62:19 own (3) 59:4 161:12,19

owner (1) 19:24

owners (1) 147:23

Р

p1 (1) 88:1 p10 (1) 29:21 p10435 (1) 124:4 paap (4) 133:18,20,22 134:20 pack (1) 85:6 pages (1) 65:3 paid (2) 42:3 178:14 paidup (2) 26:10 37:14 panel (12) 29:8 64:16,20 68:25 77:11 86:17,20 87:3 88:24 101:7 102:7 127:3 panels (31) 65:4 68:4,19 74:21 76:8,13 81:25 85:8.9 87:13 88:1.10 90:10 91:2 93:4.5 103:1 105:3 106:5 120:9.22 121:9.16 126:18,20,22,24 128:10,12,16 175:16 paper (1) 34:3 paragraph (24) 15:16,18 28:15 33:17 34:15,24 39:19 48:24 50:11 54:19 66:19 67:2 83:25 84:25 89:10 97:20 111:18 115:23 116:12 124:22 136:19 143:16 145:4 173:16 parameters (1) 68:9 pardon (1) 62:9 parliament (1) 147:23 part (59) 2:21,23 3:3,16 9:7 30:8 35:4 42:24.25

46:9 50:17,24 51:6.11.20.23 52:2,6,18 53:12 57:22 59:9 61:5 67:3 80:24 82:20 95:7.22 96:1 97:15,16,23 98:6,18 99:7 100:19 111:14 119:10 127:8 134:21 135:12 139:13,14 141:24 152:14,18 158.3 163.2 164:5,8,11,12 165:16 167:1 172:6.18.22 173:6 177:16 partial (2) 15:1 146:16 particular (22) 37:8 39:25 48:2 57:22 58:13.14 67:19 68:12 73:10 86:8.22 99:12 113:11 117:18 124:21 128:21 144:7,15 145:6 158:3 165:19 171:17 particularly (6) 79:21 86:5 118:19 150:17 166:13 167:14

111:17 passed (3) 113:14 139:17 149:17 past (1) 17:8 patently (1) 47:16 paul (1) 90:18 pause (7) 60:15 120:5 125:17 164:19 179:12 180:9.22 pdf (1) 138:14 pdfs (3) 22:6.10.15 pe (3) 76:13,22 88:16 pearson (23) 79:20 80:4,8,9,14 81:9,13 103:15 108:2,7 112:14 114:15,18,22 115:25 116:8.24 117:15 121:21 122:19 136:7 185:24.25

pearsons (9) 80:10

121:24 122:12

103:15 116:19 117:1

118:9 119:14 120:13

parts (6) 3:2 17:22

170:23

party (1) 82:24

passage (2) 101:2

34:13 147:22 166:9

penetrated (1) 101:2 people (23) 2:15,24 3.17 4.2 8 10 13 23 5:7 14:5 21:3 27:5 54:16 93:16 116:11,16 144:4 151:1.2.2 182:21 184:6,15 per (4) 47:22 61:4 82:21 140:11 perception (1) 142:3 perform (3) 48:19 68:21 167:11 performance (23) 33:9 35:23 40:7 45:15 47:11 48:16 67:22,24 72:18 75:5,8 87:23 89.18 111.6 22 129.21 135:9 153:3 159:23

162:24 163:22 168:19

175:9

performed (1) 2:2 performing (1) 2:3 perhaps (3) 14:21 29:3 104:24 period (1) 9:21 periodically (1) 10:13 permanently (1) 14:3 permissible (3) 35:7,16 56:22 permit (1) 38:9 person (14) 17:3,8 18:1,6,23 19:25 20:16 71:13 84:16 104:25 139:18 184:25 185:1,3 personnel (3) 23:1 133:11 177:9 persons (2) 139:20 perspective (1) 147:10 persuade (1) 80:15 philosophy (1) 146:12 phrase (1) 86:15 physically (1) 6:20 pick (7) 21:13 22:4,20 85:2 90:5 126:13 179:13 picked (4) 9:24 101:21 166:7 180:15 picking (3) 65:14 84:14 103:18 picture (1) 37:20 piece (1) 66:3 piecemeal (1) 37:22 pieces (1) 105:24 pir (4) 45:15 48:10,19 67:23 place (12) 9:4 20:1,21,23,24 49:25

34:2 55:18 plastic (1) 154:3 plastictype (1) 36:19 plate (1) 157:6 played (1) 2:1 please (21) 1:7 24:5 28:3 34:7 47:7 52:3 60.9 16 75.12 77.4 84:21 90:12 91:13 92:7 94:17 125:13 126:3 127:17 160:24 180:18,23 pm (6) 126:5.7 180:25 181:2,4 186:2 pointed (2) 21:23 76:3 pointing (1) 26:9 polyisocyanurate (1) 48:10

polymer (1) 126:24

polymeric (2) 104:20

polyurethane (4) 48:11

66:24 67:8 154:2

portable (1) 17:23

portions (1) 8:13

127:3

72:5 78:20 95:13

placed (1) 40:25

plan (3) 82:25

138:16,19

plane (1) 41:7

plans (6) 5:19 170:4

172:18.22 173:1.6

plasterboard (3) 33:25

placing (1) 175:21

100:7 103:3 174:21

20:2,8,11,17 21:5,12

22:9.16.19

posed (5) 59:20 107:3
109:13 117:17,25 position (4) 7:19 57:4
59:20 88:14
possibility (1) 143:21 possible (12) 7:20
15:9,24 83:11 84:9
87:23 123:20 124:20,25 143:5
155:12 156:18
possibly (3) 7:1 8:12 157:16
post (1) 110:15
potential (3) 115:9 120:7 149:1
potentially (5) 1:19
120:19 121:14 148:11 175:16
ppc (1) 64:16
practicable (1) 157:3 practical (3) 101:6
124:24 125:4
practice (15) 2:23 3:16 13:12 14:22 72:4 81:5
116:15,17,21,22
145:16 159:17,17 172:21 183:23
pre (1) 142:11
precautions (2) 2:22 3:15
precise (3) 6:11 8:16
55:15 precisely (2) 55:13
99:24
predecessor (1) 183:15 predicted (1) 121:13
premises (1) 17:4
premium (3) 45:13,15 67:22
preparation (1) 9:8
prepared (2) 97:10 165:12
preparing (1) 25:14
present (7) 4:18 6:20,21 8:6 36:8 121:14 140:7
presentation (1) 72:24
presented (1) 134:23 press (1) 76:11
pressure (2) 160:22
161:23 presumably (2) 4:20
146:21
pretty (6) 34:9 66:10 71:14 83:17 112:13
116:24
prevent (8) 32:9 46:6 57:18 100:10 107:11
112:19 115:2,11
preventing (1) 42:7 previous (5) 18:12,16
25:22 70:21 78:1
previously (1) 110:23 primary (1) 177:4
principal (1) 129:8
principle (2) 148:17 160:18
print (1) 22:14
prior (3) 97:10 172:7,13 priority (3) 10:9,11,25
probability (1) 152:3
probably (18) 12:21 51:1 52:13,13 55:24
60:1 70:16 84:10

97:25 100:5 103:14 133:3 137:9 157:21 162:17 167:4 178:9 problem (3) 108:24 problems (5) 107:23 121:14 142:22 155:21 procedure (2) 43:20 proceedings (1) 181:3 process (14) 127:13 130:15 135:12 148:9 149:2.3 153:24.25 34:4 132:6 162:23 165:4 166:11 175:18 producing (1) 176:3 47:20,24,25 48:4,5,7 49.19 53.23 54.1 6 56:14 59:1 72:19,20 85:16.24 86:13.15 88:6,11 132:14 133:19 48:13 49:11 56:5.7 86:1 104:16.17 105:4 professional (6) 27:7 51:14,19,20 52:9,11 progressed (1) 170:4 project (72) 2:1,3,6 5:14 6:16 9:22 11:24 36:5,12 50:19 51:8 53:21 61:15 63:11 66:1 68:8 73:23 74:2 79:19 80:5,6,25 81:20 83:17 96:16 99:17 136:1,5,21 140:17 141:22 146:21 151:12 171:1,15,20 173:20 176:19,21 177:4 179:3 projects (14) 11:16,18 181:14,18 183:11,23

183:4

144:4,15

167:15

84:13

proceed (1) 63:7

161:22 167:2,17

174:10.11 179:3

produced (7) 31:12

product (24) 45:3

products (10) 46:4

profile (2) 150:22

23:10 26:19 27:1

29:25 31:4 33:7

102:6 105:17

162:7 167:19

174.1 3 8 12

181:12,21,22,23

182:2.8 184:12

70:19,21,21 78:1

29:21 61:14

81:11 173:21

promised (3) 15:9

130:21 165:22

proper (1) 109:12

properly (4) 18:11

21:23 37:5 147:2

properties (3) 37:1

property (1) 153:21

88:22 89:13

prompt (2) 16:9 139:3

170:4,6,19

127:1,6,25 128:6

132:6.13 133:10

153:3

133:12

184:15

proposal (17) 61:4 62:7.9 63:4 90:12 91:9 99:13 152:24 160:16 165:1.10.17.21 169:14 178:17.22 179:8 proposals (4) 6:3 8:12 25:24 169:19 proposed (22) 7:18 35:21 68:25 70:11,13 88:22 100:14 105:9 108:19 109:2 110:7 11 118:22 123:19 130:6 162:8.18 164:7.11.25 168:22 169:16 proposing (4) 26:1 35:22 93:4 110:5 proposition (1) 130:1 protected (1) 15:16 protection (3) 82:22 131:10 165:25 prove (1) 67:5 provide (22) 2:13 8:13 32:5 34:20 38:18,24 40:16 41:17 57:5 74:1 81.12 85.4 99.16 20 115:1 142:19 145:5,14 147:17 155:2 160:15 167:9 provided (16) 17:12 31:6 35:20 36:20 39-9 16 85-18 96-3 109:8 113:2 114:9.24 149:16 152:21 162:3 166:6 provides (2) 85:8 88:5 providing (4) 63:24,25 138:12 169:14 provision (7) 4:1,9,22 5:7 57:23 95:25 provisions (3) 2:17 4:8 5:1 psb (4) 160:16 161:6,9,15 publication (2) 65:20 131:14 publications (1) 66:11 published (3) 37:12 65:19 131:11 pull (1) 6:17 pulling (1) 16:4 pure (1) 104:13 purported (1) 96:16 purpose (1) 17:18 putting (10) 74:12 98:11 128:9 131:25 144:11 145:11 146:15 148:21,24 164:2 Q q (636) 2:9,19 3:5,8,10,13 4:4,15,19,24 5:5,9,11,16 6:11,23 7:2,6,8,15 8:3,18,25 9:2,5,11,20 10:6,14,22 11:4.8.12

12:1,3,10,18,25

17:7,17,25

18:5,8,15,19

19:2,8,11,14,19

13:5.15.25 14:4.7.11

16:7.12.15.19.22.25

23:5,7,20,22,24 24:8.16.19 25:8.13.20 26:3.13.17.20 27:3,8,14,16,21 28:12,15,24 29:11,16,20,23 30:5,7,11,13,21,25 31:11,15,21,23 32:9.16 33:1.5.8.17.21 34:6,14,21 35:1.5.9.14.19 36:1.6 37:4,17,21 38:3,7,12,21 39:7.14.19.24 40:6,10,14,20 41:8.10.16.22 42:4.7.17.19.25 43:6.11.15.22 44:13,23 45:1,6,13 46:15.21.24 47:3,12,20 48:3,9,12,16,18,23 49:2,4,6,9,17 50:3,10 52:22,25 53:2.8.15.21.25 54:6.18 55:9 56:4,12,18,24 57:4,14,18,22,25 58:2.6.9.14.20 59:5.16.19 61:11.21.24 62:1.3.5.7 63:18.21.24 64:3,8,14,19,24 65:2.10.14.22 66:3,5,16 67:11,16 68:7,16,22 69:3.11.17.19.24 71:8.11.14.17.20.23 72:23 74:1,5,12,15,18,24 76:1,7,12,15 77:22,25 78:3,10,13,21,24 79:3,6,18 80:4.11.13.18.20.24 81:5,16,22 82:4,7,11,13,15 83:6.8.10.16.22.24 84:4,13,24 85:2,23 86:11,15,20,25 87:6.8.17.25 88:10.13.23 89:1,5,8,15,23 90.1 17 21 91.6 92:10,18 93:3,7,12,19,21 94:11 95:1.20 96:10,15,19,21 97:5.19 98:17.21 99:4,10,13,21,24 100:3.13.17.22 101:7,12,16,19 102:2,10,15,20,22,25 103:11,17 104:5,15,20,22,24 105:14 106:1,4,7,15 107:2,19 108:1.7.11.14.16.25 109:9,24 110:8,17,20 111:3,5,13,16 112:3,16

113:4,8,16,23 114:1.11.14.20 115:20,22 116:7,18 117:1.3.6.8.12.15.24 118:6.9.17 119:3,14,20 120:1,4,13 121:7,11,14,21,24 122:2,12,19 123:6,14,16,22 124:1.7.11.19.24 125:4 126:18,20 127:1.5.9.15.22.25 128:3,7,9,14,23 129:10,17,23 130:1.10.16 131:15.17 132:3,12,23 133:1,5,7,9,15,24 134:2.10.18 135:11.14.18.21.24 136:7,12,15 137:10.19.22 138:7.17 139:11,16,23 140:21,25 141:2,5,8 142:2.5.7.16 143:12,16 144:1.10.18.24 145:8,13,19 146:2,5,7,14,20,25 147:4,7,20 148:1,24 149:11.18.25 150:11.15 151:4.8.17.21 152:2.8.13.15.22.25 153:11,14,20,23 154:4.6.9.16.22 155:8,14,16,19,24 156:5,7,22 157:4,10,12,19,22 158:4,6,22,24 159:10.18.22 160:5.9 161:3,5,8,14,17,21 162:6,11,15,19,22 163:2,16,20 164:2.7.16.22 165:6,10,21 166:4.10.15.25 167:5,16,19,22,25 168:9.18.22 169:5.13.25 170:13,18,25 171:5.10.21 172:1.6.10.15.23 173:5,10,15 174:14,18,20,25 175.8 14 24 176:2,9,18 177:2,15,22 178:1.10.12.19 179:2,7,10,20 181:17.20 182:3.11.17.23 183:1.7.10.19.22.25 184:10,18,21,25 185:2 qs (1) 2:2 qualified (4) 58:10,17,19,24 queried (1) 155:3 queries (3) 52:3 141:20 175.8 query (5) 24:4 82:23 83:18 116:3,18 question (60) 3:18 8:5

12:11 14:13 16:7 17:25 18:20 31:5 38:5 40:12 47:9 50:5,10,14 51:10.20.22 57:8.10 58:9.21 59:5 72:16 73:4,11 74:25 75:1,12,14,16,21 76:16,17,24 77:1,13 82:19 97:11 118:13,23,24 119:4,5 129-19 131-2 137-10 139:1,1,7 140:15 141:16 142:2 146:5 148:3 149:18 150:21 153:23 159:5 164:2 175:23 questionable (1) 15:19 questioning (2) 128:11 185:9 questions (48) 1:15,17,23 2:10 5:18 16:12 27:2.6 45:17.20 46:16 51:12 52:7,8,11,16 58:5,18 61:1 69:25 74:5 81:15 85:23 95:1 124:13 126:1 131:18 137:2.3.7 142:7 150:1,5 154:17 160:24 162:20 164:18,22 174-25 175-9 176-19 179:11.18 180:13 181:10 184:1 185:5 187.5 quick (3) 82:19 111:17 162:20 quickly (2) 54:1 134:12 quite (24) 21:23 26:5,6 29:4 36:24 37:21 38:15,18,21 54:14 61:11 66:12 71:25 81:2 95:25 96:8 98:15 100:25 106:4 147:10 159:13 160:1 162:25 185:10 quote (1) 111:11 R

rain (2) 90:11 91:2 rainscreen (29) 27:17 29:8 32:4,18 38:24 45:3.14.16 49:11 64:16.20 67:24 68:25 76:13,22 81:25 85:14 91:7.20 92:18 106:5 113:11,21 114:4,9,12 124:20 128:25 132:7 raise (4) 64:6 92:19 120:6 124:19 raised (6) 54:21 58:12 127:15 128:21 143:20 175:8 raises (1) 137:3 raising (1) 81:8 ramifications (1) 108:4 rang (1) 102:14 rapidly (1) 81:25 rat (1) 110:24 rated (1) 115:14 rather (9) 22:10 50:20 59:25 100:1 103:16,18 160:22 166:23 171:19

rating (5) 46:2 55:10 56:10 57:12 92:1 rbkc (9) 79:10 90:18 92:11.14 95:12 109:25 161:15 169:25 172:16 rbkcs (1) 156:11 reach (2) 53:18 138:23 reached (1) 180:12 reaction (4) 33:9 40:7 48:16 80:20 read (17) 29:9 35:9 39:4,4 65:25 66:3 70:3 76:11 80:19 81:23 83:23 117:1 129:5 131:15 145:3 168:10 173:18 reader (1) 111:15 reading (8) 38:21 44:17 46:17 80:23 82:8 117:8 118:9 122:8 reads (1) 97:24 ready (2) 60:21 181:8 real (2) 52:9 107:23 reality (1) 112:8 really (27) 3:18 8:22 9:23 19:13 26:10 41:23 42:23 49:12.17 50:8,9 51:24 66:14 69:18 75:21 97:8 106:4 119:1 148:3 149:8 157:3 160:1 164:4 166:7 168:17 171:11 183:9 reason (12) 28:7 51:13,15 88:3 107:17 116:9 148:21 149:22 162:22 163:21 164:13 169:23 reasonable (9) 13:11 62:24 109:20 130:7.10.12.23 148:22 150:25 reasonably (6) 129:1,11 130:2,17 169:20 171.22 reasons (6) 50:6 51:12 145:17 157:21 175:13 176:7 recall (20) 8:9 11:17 46:17 59:11 71:3.7 74:12 76:7 78:7,9,15 102:10 115:24 123:14 124:15 143:18 144:1 161:3,4,5 received (9) 18:8 79:14 83.13 84.19 93.3 101:19 114:18 116:19 135:25 receiving (5) 62:5 83:6 115:24 161:3,4 recent (1) 13:11 recipient (1) 160:11

reclad (1) 82:20

38:8

39:12

recognise (1) 101:25

recognised (2) 14:23

recollection (2) 84:5

recommend (1) 40:10

recommendation (1)

recommendations (7)

10:4 106:24 156:16

retainer (1) 61:3

retracted (1) 109:10

retraction (1) 109:4

157:14,17 163:13
165:24 recommended (2) 39:9
144:6 recommending (1) 66:8
record (3) 7:10 10:19
53:13 recording (2) 53:8,12
records (2) 17:8 18:1
rectify (2) 155:9,21 redesigning (1) 166:8
redevelopment (1) 15:1
reduce (1) 174:3 reduced (1) 174:19
redundant (1) 112:13
refer (7) 26:8 50:11 56:7 101:13 102:15
162:23 174:10
reference (15) 21:20 22:2 27:23,24 34:11
36:10 49:9 63:3 84:4
85:6 91:20 92:18 94:21 106:11 123:13
references (1) 29:20
referred (9) 2:2 6:24 8:12 12:5 18:10 48:14
59:2 75:16 159:20
referring (7) 29:17 64:20,24 67:8 74:8
101:23 155:11
reflect (4) 8:8 168:24 169:6,22
reflection (4) 73:19
77:16 175:5 177:19 reflective (1) 138:2
reform (1) 147:14
refurbished (3) 20:6 107:21 142:13
refurbishment (21) 5:19
10:5,17 11:5 16:8 18:19 79:12 108:20
118:12 127:25 128:1
142:11 152:11,16 164:8,11 175:15
177:4,13,14 182:12
refuse (1) 27:5 regard (6) 51:8 93:9
112:6 141:23 143:7
149:5 regarded (3) 50:23 58:4
141:22
regarding (2) 59:13 171:19
regardless (2) 39:11
182:1 regards (10) 23:16 25:4
32:12 44:7 46:12
79:25 83:2 94:22 95:16 155:4
regeneration (1) 23:9
regime (1) 4:16 register (3) 92:21
93:3,6
regular (1) 37:3 regulation (5) 111:11
132:22 139:17
183:16,22 regulations (23) 3:25
6:5 36:15 37:11,12
38:17 88:19 91:14 104:9 110:2 118:19
139:14,19 146:18
158:14,17 159:2

164:9,10 165:14 166:18 170:24 173:3 regulatory (2) 147:14 159:1 reinforce (1) 66:13 rejected (1) 173:3 rek (1) 131:22 reks (1) 131:21 related (5) 29:23 59:24 139:7 168:25 169:6 relates (1) 17:2 relating (3) 19:11 23:11 82:19 relation (14) 3:10 9:13 12:6 23:14 77:6 85:11 103:20 108:21 109:3 110:12 119:17 131:19 132:14 148:2 relaxations (1) 80:22 released (1) 105:22 relevant (10) 18:2 19:13.15 49:10,12,17,19,20 153:15 163:24 reliable (2) 72:10 156:10 relied (1) 172:11 reluctant (1) 181:25 rely (2) 72:9 89:24 remain (1) 174:7 remainder (1) 164:4 remained (1) 9:20 remaining (2) 106:11 126:15 remains (3) 5:6 84:11 115:12 remark (1) 110:15 remember (21) 2:12,16,18 5:20 6:10 9:17 18:10,12 27:18.19 48:24 60:9 82:8 83:6,20 92:16 121:24 137:19,19,20,20 remembered (2) 78:13 reminder (1) 84:21 removed (1) 136:16 rendered (1) 20:20 repeat (1) 152:12 repetitive (1) 42:22 replaced (1) 163:14 replied (1) 97:22 replies (1) 27:21 reply (9) 24:19,22,24 27.9 31.23 55.9 84.7 9 86:10 report (27) 10:4,8,10 12:14 18:4 27:15 31:13 55:7 69:12,15 78:14 79:1 97:18 98:13 106:9 119:23 131:12 134:21 138:4 151:21 165:4 166:5 169:22,24 172:22 175:19 176:4 reports (11) 96:15 97:9 109:1,11 110:10 119:16 139:25 140:3

25:20 161:3 requested (3) 20:12 59:14 183:2 requests (2) 62:5 84:14 require (4) 4:1 52:23 156:12 157:24 required (27) 12:16 13:7 24:5,9 38:16 39:15,20,25 40:6 42:20 91:19 95:11 98-2 8 17 99-25 109-4 111:8,11 113:5,7,18 139:8 146:18 155:17 166:19 169:11 requirement (14) 12:20 13:1 25:23 33:10 39:12 57:19 89:12 93:9 107:13 118:20 143:6 156:17 157:13 173.8 requirements (32) 14:15 29:24 31:2,6,9,11 36:15 37:7 42:21 67:1 90:9 91:24 96:12.17 99:15 110:2 111:7 114:2 118:18 127:8.12.17.23 128:4,6 163:5,7,25 165:13 168:19,20 175:9 research (5) 52:23 53:3,4 54:2 131:10 reset (1) 138:23 residential (12) 4:2,10 5:8 17:12 65:18 66:7 142:17 147:24 150:14 159:15 181:13,17 residents (9) 17:13 20:4 150:6,8 151:10,18 184:2,3,23 resist (3) 104:8 156:17 157:13 resistance (5) 66:21 81:11 99:2 113:13 115.2 resisting (1) 112:4 respect (2) 9:8 120:25 respond (11) 21:4 26:15 45:25 47:17 49:17 51:12.14 69:22 76:1 83:8 84:20 responded (7) 50:4,25 52:6 83:14 84:17 116:5,6 responding (5) 43:1 51:19 20 83:20 116:18 response (15) 5:18 26:2 28:1 32:16 44:15 45:21 57:17 59:16 83:10 84:8,22 95:2,3 112:14 117:23 esponsibility (4) 20:14 21:8 52:6 173:22 responsible (13) 3:1 4:21 17:3.8 18:1.5.23 19:25 20:16 139:18,20 184:25 185:1 rest (2) 6:15 76:17

restrict (1) 99:22

restricted (1) 36:22

resume (3) 125:12

180:17 185:22

result (2) 163:10 166:8

retrospectively (2) 143:4 147:25 reverse (1) 46:3 review (5) 7:25 122:14 139:25 140:4 180:13 reviewed (1) 85:5 reviewing (1) 84:7 revised (1) 69:14 revision (3) 168:23 169:5.15 revisions (1) 170:3 revisited (1) 139:6 reynobond (14) 76:13,21 85:14,15,16,19,24 86:1.5.12 87:1.24 88:16 89:6 rfi (6) 23:10,13,20 24:16 25:21 58:14 rh259030 (1) 91:22 riba (4) 165:7,12 166:10 168-22 ricky (3) 91:9,11 102:16 rid (1) 101:3 righthand (2) 43:8 45:8 rigid (2) 66:24 67:8 ring (3) 25:21,25 110:4 ringing (1) 179:24 rise (2) 154:13 185:21 riser (2) 12:8,15 rising (1) 17:20 risk (18) 10:12 36:9 79:3 112:16 122:15 147:14 148:3,9,12 149:1,7,12,14 163:10,13,17 167:2 183:20 risks (8) 8:11 36:2 37:6 109:13 117:16,24 118:1 122:24 risky (3) 37:22 38:1 107:18 rj (1) 136:4 rockwool (1) 54:8 role (7) 1:25 2:1,3 43:1 80:24 164:8 167:10 roles (1) 2:2 room (5) 60:10 108:15 134:7 144:13,21 rooms (1) 136:13 route (8) 33:12 34:25 49:22 70:17 78:4,8 121-4 132-21 routes (1) 66:7 routine (1) 134:16 routinely (2) 105:8 110:3 rro (7) 17:2 18:2 139:20 148:4,13,15 185:2 rs5000 (23) 45:8.13 47:20,24 53:22 56:13 58:22.25 67:12.22 68:8 71:21 76:21 88:17 131:20 133:12,19 134:6,22 135:2,22 153:1,24 running (1) 75:11 ryd00018154 (1) 24:20 ryd000181542 (1) 24:21 rvd000181553 (1) 67:20 rydon (24) 23:1 24:2 26:11.12 30:9.23 42:24 44:11 61:9 93:16 112:18 122:13,22 136:8,9 138:3 140:5,14,16 141:3,11 166:14 167:8 172:4 S 167:18

safe (3) 72:19 81:12 safety (55) 2:13 5:21 9:8,13 10:18 11:4 13:5 14.14 16.10 13 17.9 19:15,17 20:3 36:9 78:11,17 95:7,9,22 96:1 97:16.23 98:4,7,19 99:8,11 105:16 109:1.11.12 118:12 119:16 122:14 130:5.21 139:13 145:5 147:10 148:6 162:22 163:24 164:9 165:3,16 168:24 172:2,16 175:19 176:7.12 182:18,19 183:8 same (31) 3:4 4:1 22:1 27:22 31:25 41:6 43:23 48:5 50:10 55:6 68:21 75:6.8.13.14.18 80:17 99:1 108:15 113:13 114:18 115:21 116:24 118:2 122:10 123:2 128:19 162:9 164:2 175:23 185:3 sample (1) 132:8 sandwich (1) 86:21 satisfactory (1) 152:20 satisfied (4) 25:23 32:23 165:16 168:20 satisfy (3) 143:10 161-15 183-20 satisfying (1) 132:22 saw (5) 26:14 31:10 127:7,10 170:13 saying (47) 5:8 6:10 8:9 9:17 14:21 26:21 34:19 36:14 38:15 49:18,18,25 50:2 52:6 59:6 61:4.7 67:7 70:25 75:19 76:19 79:13 84:16 86:23 87:2 102:25 105:6 108:13 113:2 115:21 116:23.24 118:2 122:8.10 123:1.2.3 132:19 137:24 141:23 148:1 157:12 171:11 179:6,7,24 scale (1) 28:19 scan (1) 22:14 scenario (1) 116:4 scheme (5) 6:8 7:4 10:5.10 15:20 scope (4) 152:19 164:5.12 168:22 scotland (2) 147:22.22

screen (3) 45:10 90:11

scroll (2) 15:14 21:17

91:2

ryd00018155 (2) 45:7

sea (2) 28:12 123:11 sea00002499 (1) 123:16 sea00011705 (1) 22:24 sea00011724 (1) 43:25 sea00012189 (1) 79:8 sea00013044 (1) 123:8 sea0001427834 (1) 131:22 seal (1) 101:1 sealed (1) 149:4 second (12) 20:24 24:22 54:19 56:4 69:24 79:18 90:5.25 102:15 136:18 152:14 176:23 section (13) 12:20 13:1 39:21.24 40:21 41:1 57:24 63:9 64:12 123:18.19 124:22 sections (3) 2:12 28:3 sectionselevations (1) see (178) 3:8,10,13 6:19 7:2.12 8:1 14:11 19:2,19 22:7 23:2,18 24:1.3.8.14 25:6 26:13.20 27:2 28:3,7,8,10,13,22 29:6,7,11,14 30:5,19 31:2.11.21 32:14 33:8 38:10.21 39:1.1 41:2.5.10.14.19 43:5,7,12 44:6,24 45:1,4,5,9,10 46:15,22 47:4.8 50:3 52:5 56:24,25 64:14,17,18,19,22,25 65:1.22 66:14.16 67:6,16 68:3,5 70:23 72:21 75:24 80:2 82:25 83:4,16 84:10 85:21 86:20 87:15 89:8,15,21,23 90:7.12.15.19 91:4,8,17 92:8 93:12.25 94:9,18,20,24 95:12,18 99:4 102:2 103:11 104:5.15 106:1,7 108:1,25 112:1 115:17 117:6.21.22 120:1.4 123:10,12,13,23 124:1 127:12,17,17 128-5 7 8 129-10 130:10 132:10 134:8,11,23,24 136:9 137:17 138:7 139:11 140:5,25 143:24 144:24 147:20 149:12 152:20 155:6.16 156:20 161:1.14.21 163:2,24 165:21 166:4 168:11 170:18.21

125:5

29:2

25:3

seeking (1) 8:19 seem (6) 7:9 19:25 22:17 103:20 127:13 seemed (3) 30:3 61:4 159:19 seems (5) 8:7 39:1 78:22 94:20 103:23 seen (15) 19:7 25:1,25 27:6,9,11 29:20 83:14.14 86:9 87:9 92:16 109:16 118:14 176:25 selfevacuate (4) 151:10,16,18 184:4 send (7) 15:24 21:21 22:15 84:16 122:22 129:7 179:25 sending (9) 16:7 20:18 22:5.9 52:3 55:7 84:6,16 134:22 sends (3) 90:3 114:22 123:11 sense (13) 2:7 14:10 16.5 96.7 97.1 98.4 99:6 147:7 149:1,6 150:10 155:19 173:11 sent (25) 19:4 20:11 24:23 25:18 27:12,15 28:9 31:15,24 43:24 55:4 64:9.10 71:5 77:8 92:23 93:19 94:11 114:17 117:9 123:9 141:10 154:19.23 183:17 sentence (3) 28:17 53:6 136:18 separate (1) 148:3 september (27) 1:22 6:16 15:7 21:16 22:21 24:3.24 26:4.9 27:21 35:19 43:24 47:21 64:4 70:6 71:1 74:19 76:20 78:6 131:9 141:19 143:20 170:1 172:17 175:2 177:5,8 series (2) 138:10 177:24 serious (5) 6:6 20:13 105:21 119:5 176:11 serve (2) 142:18 155:13 service (3) 17:21 121:15 serving (2) 142:11,17 sessions (1) 150:12 set (5) 15:12 28:4 63:5 67:24 93:21 sevenhour (1) 178:6 several (3) 3:2 20:6 121:18 severe (1) 103:6 shaft (1) 163:23 shafts (1) 162:1 shall (2) 180:4 185:22 sheet (2) 68:20 77:9 sheets (1) 105:22 shopping (1) 183:12 short (4) 60:19 126:6 181:1.10 shortly (2) 76:18 117:9 should (61) 2:5 3:19

162-22 175-4 11

166:17

represented (2) 165:6

request (4) 23:20,24

13:2,6,13,16,20,22

14:21 19:14 21:10

174:15 176:14 177:9

180:7 182:3,11,18,23

seeing (7) 36:25 48:25

81-12 84-5 92-17

seek (5) 17:7,25 81:7

137:7 138:10

119:22 161:18

183:7 185:4

29:11 33:18 34:16
37:9 49:19 52:1
57:6,13 67:1 69:13
75:2 88:7 102:8 107:8
109:9,10 111:21 113:2,12,12 114:3
115:10,14 118:10
125:1 130:4,14,19
138:3,6 142:17 143:2
145:10 149:15,19,20
156:17 157:13 159:24 171:12 175:10,12,18
176:2,3,9 179:22
180:6,10
shouldnt (3) 43:16
51:13,15
show (3) 43:6 141:21 177:3
showed (2) 21:19 28:19
shown (7) 32:5 35:23
38:25 40:17 41:2,17
178:21
shows (2) 138:19 176:21
sic (1) 82:20
side (5) 29:2 43:8 61:12
69:8 146:9
siderise (3) 91:9 93:16
102:16 sides (2) 34:3 42:14
sight (2) 149:7 184:16
signal (1) 93:7
significant (10) 10:9
36:24 115:6 117:18
118:11,17 119:15 129:15 153:21 177:18
similar (8) 15:1 29:20
54:22 66:10
70:19,21,22 161:9
simon (3) 23:2,2 24:2
simple (4) 22:17 52:22 57:8 160:23
simultaneous (2) 152:5
153:18
since (3) 14:15 136:23
137:23
single (4) 83:18 107:5 142:23 147:7
sir (46) 1:3,10,13 14:12
15:3 34:8 50:16,20,23
51:4,9,16,18 52:4,14
60:1,4,7,13,21,24
62:11,17,21 63:2 71:24 72:3,11
125:10,15,19,24
126:2,8,10 130:25
180:4,8,11,17,20
181:5 185:7,16,20,25
sit (2) 110:6 175:6
site (5) 90:8,23 140:5,11 158:13
sitting (1) 64:21
situation (7) 5:21 6:6
7:5,16 14:10 56:1
79:19
six (1) 11:21 slab (3) 92:2,5 100:8
slightly (9) 68:13,17
79:8 98:11 122:9
125:22 145:15 160:20
171:17 slip (2) 2:9 167:7
small (4) 29:4 145:12
.,

157:25 160:3 smell (1) 110:24 smith (6) 136:8 154:19,20,24 158:10 smoke (29) 7:18 18:11,22 19:4,21 20:18 99:23 100:10,12 107:10,11,12,13,20,23 112:10 136:12 142:10 147.8 154.14 17 155:3,17,20 159:14 160:13.15.23 161:9 snagging (1) 141:1 soandso (2) 84:22 social (2) 181:13,17 society (1) 184:17 solely (1) 66:21 solicitors (1) 141:13 solution (4) 15:9 24:8 45:15 67:22 solutions (1) 15:25 somebody (4) 50:18 102:8 128:20 171:15 someone (3) 21:8 133:18 139:5 something (34) 13:15 18:22 20:2 37:23 38:5 51:25 53:2 54:22 65:6 78:15 95:6.21 96:21 97:22 98:3.14 99:10 100:17 101:8 110:3 119:5.12 122:4 124:8 127:5,15 134:25 135:1 142:20 144:7 161:6 170:18 172:25 182:23 sometimes (2) 87:3 somewhat (1) 159:19 somewhere (2) 147:16 sooner (1) 144:16 sort (14) 55:6,20,25 61:19 66:10 81:15 97:14 105:23 112:11 129:22 134:15 135:10 154:5 184:13 sought (4) 57:7 72:25 82:15 119:1 sound (3) 42:22 177:13 sounes (2) 144:3 154:24 space (1) 156:25 spatial (1) 157:21 speak (1) 142:1 speaking (4) 17:11,15 33:24 71:4 specialist (1) 161:9 specialists (1) 161:7 specific (14) 56:9 57:10 58:21 59:1 82:3,9 89:1 103:18 131:2 132:23 144:4 145:1 150:20 specifically (6) 8:22 67:14 74:17 76:12 112:21 129:24

160:10

179:23

180:13

159:21

184:14

131:25

specification (15) 29:24

31-3 17 33-3 68-13

87:9,10,19 127:1,7

84:6 85:7,13,18

specified (4) 30:19 132:6 160:21 162:9 specify (1) 28:20 speed (1) 125:20 spend (2) 30:24 53:13 spending (2) 30:10,13 spent (7) 51:1 176:18,21 177:8 178:13,15 179:9 split (1) 178:3 spoke (3) 70:5,25 71:13 spread (40) 19:22 24:13 25:11 38:9 42:7 46:6 57:18 66:20,22 79:4 82:1 99:22,23 100:10 101:4 104:8.13 106:5,16,18,18 107:1,2,12,12 108:21 109:3 110:12 114:23 115:10.11 118:16 119:18 120:8,16,21 121:9.16 152:4 165:23 spreading (3) 32:9 106:17 112:17 spreadsheet (2) 176:20 178:3 spring (1) 141:20 sprinkler (6) 142:19 144:17,19 145:11 146:17 149:16 sprinklered (3) 143:3 147:19 153:17 sprinklers (26) 8:13 142:7.8.25 143:8,14,21 144:2,9 145:1.10.20 146:2,7,13,15,22 147:17.24 148:14,18,21,25,25 149:20 150:25 stack (1) 23:14 stage (41) 18:1 27:14,15 30:4 31:3 36:4 50:7 53:16,18,21 55:7 61:5 63:19 73:22 91:7 93:9,21 101:16 105:11 119:23 120:7 134:24 142:5 165:12 166:10,11 168:22 169:21 173:19 174:8 178:4,4,6,7,9,9,13,15,24 179:3.5 stages (4) 11:22 32:16 95:20 165:8 stair (12) 12:16 13.3 7 22 14.3 107:6,12 142:23,23 147:7,8 163:23 stairs (3) 138:24 139:9 142:17 stance (1) 148:20 standard (15) 3:11 5:3 22:13 34:13 92:2 93:25 94:7 99:1 115:10 116:17 157:15,17 169:3,19 172:21 standards (5) 2:20

14:24 147:12 165:25

171.21

stands (1) 23:20

stark (1) 106:4

start (6) 22:24 24:20

101:17 126:16 133:17 177:17 started (3) 109:13 120:10 144:21 starting (1) 156:17 starts (1) 151:7 stated (5) 46:7 101:20 151:13 169:14 178:16 statement (28) 15:2 18:25 28:16 48:23 54.19 59.17 69.24 76:9 83:12,24 84:1,25 87:8 97:6.20 99:19 110:9,15,22 115:23 116:8,12 119:16 131:21 132:3 143:17 173:2,17 statements (1) 151:22 states (5) 90:7 91:11,17 111-20 152-2 stating (1) 43:2 status (3) 51:7 64:6,6 statutory (3) 7:19 143:6 166:19 stay (6) 61:11 95:13 100:7 103:3 106:14 121.25 stayed (1) 122:1 staying (2) 15:5 43:23 stayput (7) 20:20,25 21:6 106:10,20 107:18 150:14 steel (1) 68:20 steps (1) 21:5 steve (1) 136:8 sticking (1) 79:7 still (23) 25:14 32:24 39:15 50:24 53:8,16 57:12 64:1 68:20 101:1 110:13,23 120:1.21 141:22 142:1 159:7,10 162:12,15 171:1 174:4 183:21 stock (1) 164:24 **stop (1)** 52:3 stopped (3) 50:18 57:13 94:6 stops (1) 98:2 story (1) 89:19 straight (1) 171:20 strands (2) 10:12 11:2 strategies (14) 3:22 5:13 9:9 11:20 25:22 26:4 130:6,21 150:11 182:5,6,13,15 184:12 strategy (123) 7:23 9:13,17 10:18 11:4,6,10 12:13 13:5.17.21 14:14 16:4,8,10,13 17:10 18:4.16.18.19.24 19:16,18 20:3,20,25 21:7 25:14 31:8 69:12 70:12 77:6,6,10,23 78:11,17 79:15 94:21 95:7,9,22 96:2,15,22,23 97:2,9,15,17,23 98:4,7,13,19,23 99.8 11 106.9 10 21 107:18 110:10 111:12 119:23 124:17 135:24

138:1,12,13,14 139:5.12.16 140:11,18,24 148:6,8 149:2.10 150:13.14.18 151:21 152:8 153:17 158:15 159:6,25 162:22 165:3,11,16,22 166:5 168:2,6,11,24,25 169:12,15 170:3 172:2.16.21 173:2,6,12 174:6,23 175:4.11 176:4.7 177:5 182:22 183:14 street (1) 105:23 stretched (1) 11:14 strictly (2) 97:7 112:23 strike (1) 129:15 string (3) 24:21 58:14 94:13 strongly (1) 149:19 structural (5) 96:7 100:8,11 114:25 177:20 structurally (1) 104:10 struggling (2) 159:10,12 studies (3) 70:21 78:1 132:17 studio (43) 6:3 7:24 8:3,10,21 9:7 21:22 23:1.10 30:11 31:17.20 33:12 35:21 36:1 52:2 74:15 87:12 109:11 112:18 120:6 122:6,13,22 123:1 127:15 131:7.23 132:4 138:3 143:19,23 144:2 145:1 146:3,10 166:8 171:9 172:17 175:1,8 176:10 177:24 study (6) 131:19 132:7,13,17,23 135:16 styrofoam (5) 87:13,14,21 88:1,10 subcommittee (1) 3:9 subcontractor (1) 137:21 subcontractors (1) 136:5 subgroup (1) 3:4 subject (10) 23:5 44:13 72:7 134:6 135:15,24 144:25 160:13 171:17 177:10 submitted (1) 172:16 subsequent (1) 159:17 subsequently (3) 86:7 117:2,3 substance (1) 94:1 substantive (3) 25:9 114:1 141:21 successful (1) 174:15 successfully (1) 67:23 successor (1) 183:15 suffice (1) 138:22

sufficient (5) 38:6

115:2,11 119:24

suggest (7) 35:6,15

148:17 167:16

suggested (7) 13:12

24:8 38:12 54:20

69.5 104.24 113.25

171:16

136:24 137:16,24

73:15 104:6 151:9 suggesting (6) 13:14 35:20 55:3 98:22 118:8 119:3 suitability (1) 77:22 suitable (7) 53:23 54:12 55:1 67:10 82:23 89:21 158:1 suitably (1) 58:19 suite (1) 142:20 summarise (3) 49:13 77:3 78:3 summary (1) 16:20 support (1) 37:12 supported (2) 38:5 113:22 supposed (2) 14:17,18 sure (20) 12:20 20:3 21:1 38:15 60:13 66:12 70:14 78:24 81:9 83:9,17 95:25 101:11 105:5 107:25 121:4 122:25 136:22 140:22 182:7 surface (6) 49:16 66:20,22 115:8 117:20 121.1 surprised (1) 178:9 surrounding (2) 118:5 119:11 suspended (1) 112:3 sweep (1) 164:19 system (96) 7:17 15:19 18:11.22 19:4 20:6.18 21:3 29:8,19 31:18 33:15 35:13.21 36:8 37:7 39:10 42:8 43:17 48:20 49:11 56:2 57:6 64:17,20 67:3,9,13 68:2,3,9,21 74:15 78:5.8 83:13 84:13.18 85:19 92:12 98:6 99:7 102:1 107:14,21,23 113:11,21 115:8 117:20 118:4,7 120:8 122:15 128:25 136:13 142:10 144:12.15.22 145:17 146:17 147:8,9 149:16 154:18 155:9.10.13.20.22 156:10,12,14,15,15,23,24 157:1,14,16 158:20 159:7.11.24.25 160:2,13,22 161:20,23 162:2,8,11,15 166:23 systems (6) 32:20 45:16 67:25 142:19 157:24 161:10

tab (1) 176:23 table (1) 67:1 tabled (1) 86:8 tacit (2) 73:19 77:17 tacitly (1) 77:14 tackle (1) 17:14 taken (8) 19:25 22:3 49:25 57:19 72:5 174:21 181:12 182:4 takes (1) 173:22 taking (2) 14:25 34:25 talk (7) 26:14 60:9 113:8 124:24 125:4 170:22.23

talked (5) 15:17 16:18 83:24 114:16 158:13 talking (17) 8:20 41:18 43:15 56:1 70:7.9 71:14.21 74:6 78:18 80:13 101:24 105:24 107:19,21 110:25 125:13 talks (2) 15:15 99:14 tall (1) 133:2 task (1) 166:3 tbc (4) 64:25 65:1,9 76:4 team (34) 6:1,12,13,15 9:7 26:11 30:9 35:4 36:11 37:5.14.19 44:21 50:17,24 51:7.11.21.23 52:2.10.18 61:6 69:4 81:19 98:24 100:18 127:12 141:24,25 170:12 171:14 177:10 179:19 technical (6) 52:3 58:5 65:16 86:6 116:10.15 technically (1) 14:10 telephone (8) 71:4 72:9,10 76:5,19 78:18,22 124:16 telling (6) 60:11 77:3 107:16 129:2 131:1 temperature (1) 144:20 ten (2) 11:21 183:13 tenable (1) 21:7 tenderer (1) 174:15 tendering (1) 172:14 tenth (1) 184:8 term (6) 33:13,21,23 55:17 92:22 93:2 terms (23) 5:21 6:4 14:1 15:23 17:22 18:1,23 20:21 41:25 42:7 52:13 70:19 75:6 88:18 107:10 109:14 127:19 132:20 150:11 154:5 166:5 182:13 184:23 terrence (2) 1:8 187:3 terry (14) 12:21 23:8 25:5 28:2 32:13 44:5 46:13 82:18 94:16 95:17 136:20 138:18 155:5 160:14 test (12) 7:17 32:22,25 49.22 53.5 55.1 67.9 68:15,15 86:14 132:22 134:21 tested (14) 33:15 34:12 35:13,22 37:3 52:21 54:13 56:2 67:4 68:2,10,12,21 132:8 testing (8) 34:11 73:8

74:16 89:24 105:8,13

thank (41) 1:12,13,16

15:4 22:16 29:5 34:9

60.6 12 13 17 23 24

63:2 72:11,13 87:6

125:7,10,14,15,18,19

126:4,9,10,12 134:11

134:16 135:8

tests (1) 54:13

44:15 52:14

unclear (2) 162:12,16

underlined (1) 21:20

underspent (1) 177:18

22:19 29:16.23 30:14

31:19 33:13,21 51:9

83:21 84:7 87:6 92:21

93:2 148:1 159:10,12

52:4 54:17 76:18

understanding (12)

53:19 55:13 67:11

179:5

understand (21) 7:16

180:2,19,21,24
185:7,13,14,16,18,20
186:1
thanks (1) 138:25
thats (96) 4:20 5:8,10
6:9 9:10 13:4,15 21:25 23:22 24:16 26:1 29:4
31:5 32:7 33:23 36:24
39:17 41:21 43:13
44:20 49:1,4 51:17,22
52:22 53:6,12,20
55:19 57:23 59:23
61:15,25 62:9,17,21 64:21 67:7 73:15
80:19 84:12 90:17
95:24 97:4 98:11,22
99:10 101:10,10 102:3
103:2,18 104:3 105:23
106:13 107:13 109:20
110:3 112:13
113:23,24 116:4 118:25 121:6,7,17,19
124:10,14 130:10,23
132:1 134:13 136:8,15
143:4 148:3,6 151:13
158:1,23 164:2,23
165:5 166:7,13 169:3
170:16 171:13
175:23,24 179:12 183:10,13 185:18,21
themselves (1) 37:10
theoretically (1) 15:20
thereafter (4) 71:11
117:9 166:11 170:19
therefore (12) 6:5 24:12
30:23 32:22 44:16 86:10 91:23 94:6 95:8
120:15 126:21 152:3
theres (6) 29:13 41:1
43:13,13,15 74:7
thermal (3) 29:14 36:15
37:1
thermally (1) 36:16
thermosetting (1) 66:24 theyd (1) 183:21
theyll (1) 54:9
theyre (12) 4:7 5:20
29:4 56:9 81:8
100:11,25 119:9 140:3
161:19 162:4 184:15
theyve (1) 70:18 thickness (1) 36:23
thing (14) 14:9 37:22
38:1 52:22 105:23,25
115:21 116:25 121:17
122:10 123:2 129:22
132:15 169:3
thinking (10) 8:22 21:9
57:20 89:11 99:13 127:19 137:5,12
166:23 178:2
thinks (1) 180:12
third (10) 15:15 26:6
66:19 69:13 79:18
86:1 137:23
165:2,6,15 though (3) 4:24 26:18
81:5
thought (20) 9:14 16:3
20:2 57:17 59:1 61:8
77:15 99:15,25 100:17
101.0 17 100.0 115.10
101:9,17 102:8 115:18
117:22 127:22 149:11

```
168:4 179:9 181:7
thoughts (5) 15:9.24
  16:8,9 122:21
three (10) 10:11 16:17
  21:11 22:5 25:14
  29:13 110:10 137:3
  140:9 166:5
threepage (1) 58:3
through (12) 25:17
  45:19 106:5 110:7
  113:20 114:24 115:5
  118:6 120:14 122:16
  147:23 174:4
throughout (6) 63:21
  72:18 143:1,14 148:22
  149:20
thrust (2) 14:12 96:4
thursday (2) 1:1 75:16
tightly (1) 124:3
time (121) 3:23 9:21,25
  11:14,19,24 12:2,21
  20:5 21:11
  30:10,14,24
  31:12,16,22 33:11,22
  34:5 36:17 37:13.21
  38:4 39:19 40:18
  43:19 47:21 48:8
  49:23 51:1 52:25
  53:3,8,9,12,13 56:12
  65:25 67:11
  68:7.14.24 69:1.21
  71:4,9,18 75:13,14
  76:7 77:23 78:10
  80:23.25 84:19.20
  92:12 98:22 100:13
  102:5 104:16.25 105:5
  106:8 107:5 108:17
  110:14,18,20 111:5
  113:9 115:25 117:1,9
  118:10,22 121:21
  123:14 124:9.9 125:12
  126:23 131:7 132:12
  134:2 135:1 138:6
  140:17 141:15 145:1
  146:21 148:20 150:22
  155:25 159:3,7,11
  162:12.14.16 163:8
  166:15 167:19 170:25
  172:15,19 173:19
  176:18.21 177:8.11
  178:2,3,11,12,15
  179:21 180:5 181:12
  184:11 185:11
times (2) 121:18 126:20
timesheet (1) 53:13
timesheets (1) 176:23
tmo (21) 5:22,25
  6:13,15 8:6,20,20,22
  9:7 61:12 63:6.21 64:5
  127:16 138:4 168:1
  169:16 171:11.23
  172:4 176:9
tmo10003885 (1) 63:4
tmo100038855 (1) 63:8
today (6) 1:4 112:21
 141:18 153:1 184:2
 185:21
todays (1) 1:4
together (5) 16:4
                           ultimately (2) 65:11
```

```
87:20 128:24 145:25
  146:4.7 184:11.18.21
tongue (1) 2:9
tony (16) 79:20
  80:4.8.9.10.14 81:9.13
  103:15,15 112:14
  114:15 116:24 122:8
  136:7 185:24
too (2) 116:5 175:21
took (7) 9:4 10:18,19
  50:3 80:7 109:19
topic (14) 1:21 2:11,19
  5:17 9:12 11:13 17:1
  21:13 59:24 79:8
  124:13 149:18 160:6
  164:17
topics (5) 58:7 80:11
 126:15 148:2 150:2
total (1) 177:15
totality (6) 58:12 87:19
 89:14 113:6 129:5
  135:5
totally (3) 13:4 81:15
 122:4
touch (1) 92:7
touched (1) 55:12
towards (4) 27:4 31:7
  64:14 164:18
tower (23) 12:8
 15:10.25 22:23 23:5.9
  44:13 53:23 82:19
  99:11 106:2 107:4.22
  138:1 147:1 150:7
 160:13 163:3 181:12
 182:5.14 183:8 184:7
toxic (1) 154:13
trail (1) 59:21
train (1) 17:13
transcript (4) 2:5 62:13
 70:2 72:14
transparent (1) 81:6
travel (3) 144:4,8
 145:15
trigger (1) 65:8
trouble (1) 136:25
true (2) 46:4 151:20
try (4) 54:15 164:22
 174:18.20
trying (6) 77:3 87:25
  97:8 103:6 155:2
 158:19
turn (5) 1:21 31:23
 135:25 139:24 151:17
turned (3) 116:24 181:6
 184.3
turning (1) 82:15
twice (1) 7:17
twohour (1) 120:17
type (8) 46:11 47:4
  48:9 54:3 59:10 68:25
  85:19 100:13
typed (1) 137:13
types (1) 85:15
typical (2) 123:12,19
typically (1) 54:7
          U
```

```
70:12 73:5.16 75:10
 77:5 78:4 80:4 86:23
 142:10
understood (12) 23:22
  63:21 70:14 73:1,20
  75:6 77:7.9.10.19
 118:22 155:8
unfinished (1) 173:24
unfortunate (1) 97:1
unless (6) 56:1 105:10
  147:21 151:6 178:24
 184:14
unlikely (2) 111:22
 152:5
unreasonable (1) 14:9
unrelated (1) 81:15
unsatisfactory (1)
 156:10
unseen (7) 99:22,22
 100:10 115:10 120:16
 121:9.16
unsuitable (1) 109:18
unsure (1) 105:10
untenable (1) 20:20
until (8) 9:21 10:3
 25:8,16 49:24 76:10
 167:22 186:3
unwelcome (1) 16:5
update (6) 18:15
 119:22 140:23 168:8
  169:22,24
updated (8) 137:22
  138:4 139:16
  140:11,19 168:23
 169:5.15
updating (1) 135:24
upfront (1) 15:2
upgrade (1) 7:18
upper (2) 19:5 20:19
upset (1) 171:17
uptodate (3) 168:2
 175:19 183:7
upwards (1) 38:9
usa (1) 131-11
used (22) 28:21 37:2
  48:15 53:23,24 67:12
  73:3.6 76:3.10
  86:13,15 88:4,7,17
 92:25 100:25 120:24
 135:6 143:10 154:7
 172:11
useful (3) 16:4 157:23
 170:2
user (1) 183:17
users (1) 167:15
uses (3) 143:8 152:8
 155:15
usher (3) 60:8 125:16
 180:21
using (28) 4:14 21:21
 22:11 33:15 35:11
```

36:2,22 37:6 48:22 49:24 54:4.24 55:4 57:11 68:8,17,18,19 70:15 91:7 101:17 105:12 109:17.19 123:3 134:22 144:9 166:24 ٧

valid (1) 110:15 validated (2) 32:22 56:2 value (6) 50:3 147:12 174:2,9,11,21 various (6) 15:12 28:8 29:6,18 34:12 85:15 vary (1) 68:13 vehicle (1) 147:13 vent (1) 157:5 ventilation (11) 15:19 107:4 142:24 147:8 154:17 156:14,22,24 157:1.8.9 vents (3) 19:23 157:5 verbally (1) 160:18 versa (1) 149:3 version (10) 21:24 28:25 40:20 123:17 137:6 165:2,4,6 176:3,22 versus (1) 182:12 vertical (3) 24:10 100:9 124:2 via (1) 19:22 vice (1) 149:3 views (2) 16:20 79:16 visit (1) 152:19 visited (1) 150:7 voids (1) 96:2 vulnerable (1) 150:17

W

waiting (2) 179:25 181.6 walkway (1) 138:21 wall (29) 36:24 37:24 40:3 43:7 49:15.20 59:13 77:7,19 82:24 88:7 90:10 91:2 92:2 97-14 99-3 100-9 104:2 110:1 111:7 112:4 130:4.19 131:4.12 166:16 168:20 171:2,5 walls (20) 25:2,16 27:10 36:20 38:19,20 40:1,3,11 42:11 83:1 104:8 111:2 112:25 114:25 115:1,16 125:2 129:25 135:6 warned (1) 5:22 warning (3) 36:6,10,11 warnings (1) 66:5 warp (1) 101:8 warrington (3) 89:25 149:9 171:16 wasnt (59) 5:13 8:22 10:11 11:24 13:23 16:5 19:13.17 20:2.14 21:7,7 27:4 30:4,10,19,22 33:7

34:1,19 35:2,14 39:4

40:12 42:1 47:15,18 48:21 51:6 53:2 65:21 74:8 82:3 87:20 97:18 102:9 104:21 105:4 110:16 113:15 122:12,18 126:25 129:24 131:3,14 133:14 147:11 149:11 152:18 162:10 163:2 164:5 169:9 170:18 172:20 173:10 179:23 water (2) 101:2 142:19 way (42) 13:12,13 22:13 30:13 32:25 33:6 35:8 39:4.5 41:22 42:23 50:6,25 51:7 58:23 68:21 81:6 83:19 89:6 118:2,14 128:5 132:22 147:18 148:22 156:12

101:6 103:8 105:6.15 106:19 114:5 117:11 141:17 144:7 145:6 164:2 166:20 171:14 176:15.15 183:3 ways (3) 143:2 174:3,16 wealth (1) 70:18 week (2) 7:24 178:7 weeks (1) 179:2 weight (1) 146:11 welcome (1) 1:3 went (3) 3:24 16:2 25:17

werent (23) 42:23,24,24 50:15,17 56:12,24 61:5 65:3.7 88:15 93:4 104:16,24 106:4,6 132:23,25 134:15 166:14 168:14 175:12 184:10

west (1) 105:21 weve (11) 7:15 10:11,12,16 16:17 49:25 65:15 74:6 101:23 150:15 177:18 whatever (2) 30:20 104:2

whats (7) 105:9 139:18

148:11 163:9 168:17 179:22.24 whatsoever (1) 25:9 wherever (1) 14:23 whoever (1) 8:24 whole (6) 83:17 89:19 105:19,25 119:12 122.14

whose (1) 184:21 width (1) 142:23 williams (3) 138:9,11 158:7 willing (1) 51:12 window (20) 30:18 40:2.11.25 41:10,12,13 42:13

43:4.15 103:13.14.19 112:23 118:3,5 123:23 124:1,5 175:23 windows (16) 24:11 39:10 41:1 42:5 103.8 8 112.19 113.5 115:5,7 117:19 118:6

119:9 120:15 122:16

125:1

wisdom (3) 52:1 111:10 148:19 wise (1) 160:19 withdraw (1) 141:16 withdrew (1) 185:15

witness (30) 1:9,12 24:15 28:16 48:23 60:6,12,23 76:9 83:12,24 84:1 87:8 97:19 115:23 116:8,12 125.14 126.9 131.21 132:3 141:3 143:16 173:16 180:16.19 181:9 185:14,15,19 witnesses (1) 131:23 wondered (1) 79:16

wondering (2) 52:8 72:3 wont (4) 56:11 60:12,13 119:7 wool (4) 36:22 37:2

55:24 56:10 worded (2) 35:18 39:6 wording (3) 98:12 169:18,19

work (46) 3:18 4:17 6:6 7:4 9:20 10:16 26:12 35:3 36:18.25 42:2 53:10 63:5 73:7 99:16 128:6 130:5,20 131:19 132:13,24 135:25 150:3 154:10 157:25 160:4 161:16 163:2 164:14.24.25 165:1.7 166:1 173:24

177:4,12,16 178:4.4.7.22 179:1.2 181:21 182:13 workable (1) 162:18 worked (2) 3:21 75:7 working (16) 11:19,20

18:4 23:9 26:18 33:7 50:18 61:16 66:1 68:7 133:9 147:9 151:11 178:5 182:1 184:12

works (17) 7:18 107:9,20 108:20 118:12 119:16 139:24 140:3 152:19,20 164:6,13 168:22 171:14 173:23.25 175:15

world (2) 4:6,12 worldwide (1) 153:7 worried (1) 168:9 worse (4) 5:21 15:21

119-12 145-23

worth (1) 26:8 wouldnt (36) 17:19 18:3 19:16 22:12 31:13 33:15 47:3 49:20 54:16 72:1.6 74:14 89:2 97:2.15.16 98:13 103:5 104:7.13 105:18 108:24 110:3 128:3,17,21 135:20 146:18 147:9 151:2 161:18 163:17 164:4

171:13 183:7 184:21 wray (2) 18:9,10 wright (2) 136:8 160:17 writing (8) 73:17,18,19 74:12 77:12,14,15 110:21

108:15 114:2 131:25

told (13) 54:24 55:4

63:7 75:17 76:21

67:5

unacceptable (1) 179:4

unanimity (1) 13:23

uncertain (2) 159:7,9

written (9) 25:22 26:3 69:13 74:8 95:24 98:23 122:8,12 165:12 wrong (3) 10:15 77:4 100:4 wrote (5) 68:22 74:4 95:25 110:18,20

x (2) 162:3 171:19

y (1) 171:19 yeah (33) 14:20 17:21 23:6,23 24:18 26:24 38:11 41:9 49:5 76:25 82:5 84:12.12 85:1 86:19 88:9 91:5 106:3 121:17 123:13 128:2 130:23 133:3 142:15 146:5 151:14 152:7 155:7 156:6 157:11 166:7 170:16 176:1 year (2) 7:17 26:5 years (9) 3:3 11:8 20:6 21:11 104:1 105:20,20,21 183:13 yesterday (38)

years (9) 5:3 11:8 20:6 21:11 104:1 105:20,20,21 183:13 yesterday (38) 1:19,21,24 2:10 3:24 5:1,12,17 6:9,19 7:12 9:14 10:2 11:13 13:10 15:6,13 18:8 20:9 21:14,19 22:3 25:18 26:14,24 27:12 32:20 36:14 42:10 48:14 51:25 54:22 55:13 65:2 89:16 106:1 116:14 141:9 yet (2) 129:17 140:3 young (2) 150:18 151:1 youre (39) 6:21 13:14 25:1 27:6,10 31:8

youre (39) 6:21 13:14 25:1 27:6;10 31:8 49:12 51:10,11,23,23 53:8,9 59:5,5 60:10 66:5 68:8 76:19 86:25 93:12,16 94:11 100:5 101:11,23 103:14,23 119:6 137:22 138:12 140:7 148:1,8,10 149:3 157:12 183:1 185:13

yourself (5) 50:24 54:2 141:23 170:14 181:17 youve (4) 5:4 77:3 136:22 155:3

136:22 155:: **Z**

z (1) 171:19
zinc (39) 27:17 28:19
29:7 30:3,17 75:2,5,7
93:4 95:14
101:13,16,18
102:3,7,12,25
103:1,4,22
104:12,13,17 106:6
109:14,19 110:25
126:16,17,18,21,23
127:2
128:10,12,15,19,20
129:8
zoom (10) 29:3 31:25
40:21 43:7 45:7 64:12

66:18 123:20 133:16

136:2

0 (24) 44:16,24 46:2,4 47:1,14 49:10,10,16,18 50:1,4 55:10,14 56:5,7,10 57:12,16 58:15 65:18 72:18 89:16,18 03 (1) 136:24 06 (3) 28:12 32:6 2006 (1) 4:24

20:8

2010 (4) 18:12,21 19:4

11:8,19 15:7 143:20

2012 (9) 6:16 9:21

145:21 177:5,8

2013 (5) 21:16 26:6

69:13 154:23 170:1

2014 (26) 1:22 22:21

24:3 26:4,9 43:24 45:10 47:21.22 63:25 123:11 64:4 65:14,19 70:7 74:19 79:7.9 131:9.10 141:11,19 159:8 166:16 170:5 172:17 1 (7) 44:1 45:10 94:12 175:2 95:3 154:22 187:3.5 2015 (27) 63:25 74:8 10 (4) 15:7 143:20 81:19,22 82:16 90:2 185:22 186:3 93:13 110:21 114:17 **100 (1)** 126:5 123:7 124:15 131:9 **1000 (1)** 1:2 133:10 134:5,20 1053 (1) 90:7 135:16 136:1 138:9 110 (1) 123:12 141:20,21 160:9 1117 (1) 60:18 162:13,16 166:17 1133 (1) 24:23 167:22 175:2 177:6 **1135 (3)** 60:7,16,20 2016 (3) 140:2,18 **12 (2)** 68:4 140:2 141:11 120 (6) 28:12 32:6 2020 (2) 1:1 186:3 82:25 92:1 93:25 94:8 **21 (1)** 136:1 1205 (2) 94:11,14 21storey (1) 82:2 120minute (2) 113:19 22 (1) 184:6 114:4 23 (1) 54:19 **121016 (1)** 156:16 25millimetre (1) 88:1 1218 (2) 27:22 28:1 27 (2) 90:2 101:20 **125 (2)** 36:7 37:8 29 (1) 172:17 126 (1) 89:10 2hour (1) 115:14 127 (8) 33:17 34:15,24 37:8 50:11 67:2 88:5 89:11 1279 (3) 28:12 32:6 123:11

128 (2) 39:19 124:22

13 (4) 17:2 18:2 57:24

186:3

1332 (1) 116:18

1334 (1) 116:19

135 (5) 32:23 35:23

67:24 73:8 74:16

15 (2) 91:18 100:24

1532 (1) 32:1

15th (1) 19:6

16 (1) 134:5

1621 (1) 45:24

76:20 145:21

1718 (1) 74:19

17 (5) 24:3 70:6,25

17th18th (1) 75:15

18 (15) 21:16 24:24

72:20 76:20 78:6

133:4 134:20

19 (2) 2:4 138:9

1950 (1) 14:23

1971 (1) 14:22

126:3 134:21

20 (2) 12:20 13:1

200 (1) 126:7

2005 (1) 17:2

2 (5) 7:10 24:20 125:12

18th (1) 19:6

27:21 33:11,19 35:19

43:24 65:17 70:6 71:1

3 (8) 67:19,20 82:16 154:23 158:4 172:3,15,24 30 (7) 91:18,23 93:13.15 100:23 146:23 147:2 **31 (5)** 94:12,14 114:17 116:2 123:7 312 (1) 180:25 321 (1) 173:16 32page (1) 134:21 33 (6) 39:25 42:17 93:22 94:4 113:10 125:5 **330 (5)** 180:4,10,18,23 181:2 **3300 (1)** 178:14 **340 (1)** 181:4 345 (1) 186:2 **38 (3)** 139:17 183:16,22 3853 (1) 177:23 **3874 (1)** 178:16 3squaremetre (1) 157:2

4 (3) 90:5,6 134:22 40 (2) 89:10 141:14 407 (1) 44:3 410 (1) 143:16 45 (1) 116:12 5 (3) 21:18 63:8 140:8
51 (3) 177:12,13,15
517 (1) 28:15
52 (2) 12:6,16
520 (1) 48:24
525 (1) 83:25
527 (1) 85:2
5276 (1) 84:25
529f (1) 97:20
53 (1) 177:3
5300 (1) 178:16
532 (1) 115:23
55 (2) 76:13,22
5588 (1) 3:2
558881999 (1) 2:21

. . .

6 (2) 6:16 160:9 60 (1) 82:25 6th (1) 19:5

7 (1) 135:16 76 (1) 65:3 77 (1) 65:3

8

8 (5) 2:21,23 3:3,16 6:16 8414 (5) 32:22 35:22 68:12 74:15 134:21

9

9 (7) 1:1 39:21,24 63:5 124:22 125:5 144:6 90 (2) 91:22 141:10 9030 (1) 82:22 915 (1) 111:18 9th (1) 19:5

Opus 2 International Official Court Reporters