1	Thursday, 20 July 2017	1	Government Legal Department.
2	(6.50 pm)	2	Well, you probably all know that the Prime Minister
3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I was just explaining that we are	3	has asked me to consult all those interested in the
4	going to record the meeting so that I have a good note	4	inquiry about what its terms of reference should be.
5	of what you've said and don't have to rely on	5	The terms of reference contain the inquiry's
6	a defective memory or a scrappy note. It was suggested	6	instructions. They tell it what matters to examine, and
7	that you might like to hear what I was going to say to	7	report on and whether to make recommendations. Since
8	the meeting at large by way of introduction. So if you	8	the inquiry cannot consider matters falling outside its
9	will give me a few minutes of your patience, I'll do	9	terms of reference, it's often convenient to frame them
10	that now and then I will throw the meeting open for	10	in broad terms to avoid imposing unduly narrow limits on
11	contributions.	11	what the inquiry can look at. On the other hand, if
12	My name is Martin Moore-Bick and, as you know, I'm	12	they are too wide the inquiry may become unwieldy and
13	the chair of the public inquiry into the fire at	13	may get bogged down in unwelcome delay, and therefore
14	Grenfell Tower.	14	the time it takes to produce a report.
15	I have already welcomed you all to the meeting.	15	So one is trying to hold a balance between asking
16	What I haven't told you is the purpose of the meeting is	16	too many questions and asking not enough questions, and
17	to enable you to tell me what questions you think the	17	I am seeking views about what should be the scope of the
18	inquiry ought to answer.	18	terms of reference and I'm seeking those views from
19	Now, we've got various members of the inquiry team	19	a wide range of people. This meeting has been arranged
20	here. They include Amanda Jaffery; Shafi Nasser;	20	so that those of you who are here can tell us what you
21	Richard Millett, Queen's Counsel, sitting here is one of	21	think the inquiry should cover.
22	the counsel for the inquiry, as is Bernard Richmond, who	22	It may be that some of you sitting here don't really
23	sits at the far end; and Kate Grange sitting in the	23	feel comfortable responding orally in a public meeting,
24	middle.	24	so there are other ways in which you can get in touch
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: The transcript will go up on the	25	with us and tell us what you think. In particular, you
	Page 1		Page 3
1	website. I should perhaps have told you that. So if	1	can send us an e-mail or a letter, or approach one of
2	you have any reluctance, tell me now, but that's what we	2	the team here after the meeting. We all have cards
3	plan to do.	3	giving contact details for the inquiry, and if you think
4	Just to explain a little bit more about the	4	you might like to give us your views after this evening
5	background here. Richard here is a highly experienced	5	in a different way, we'll make sure you get a card
6	barrister. He's done a great deal of large-scale civil	6	before you leave and that will enable you to get in
7	litigation, raising the sort of problems of the kind	7	touch with the one of the members of the team.
8	that we are going to have to consider in relation to the	8	Now, before I ask you for your views, I think it
9	fire, and he has had a lot of experience of leading	9	might be helpful to say a little bit more about the
10	teams of lawyers. As you can see, we have quite a large	10	nature of the public inquiry and what, subject of course
11	team together already.	11	to the consultation process, I currently think it
12	Bernard's experience is very different; he's	12	probably ought to investigate.
13	a criminal defence silk and has a lot of experience in	13	The purpose of the inquiry is to get hold of the
14	dealing with witnesses who suffered physical and	14	evidence, wherever it may be found, and to find out
15	psychological trauma. So you can see why he has agreed	15	exactly what happened at Grenfell Tower and what needs
16	to help us.	16	to be done to prevent a similar tragedy happening again.
17	Kate sitting there has a lot of experience in	17	The inquiry's responsibility is to find the facts,
18	construction cases, regulatory matters and public	18	and I think it's important to make that quite clear. It
19	inquiries, and I have also been lucky enough to instruct	19	is prevented by statute from determining civil or
20	three junior counsel, Andrew Kinnier and Rose Grogan,	20	criminal liability. That is something we cannot do, but
21	who are not here this evening, but Zeenat Islam is here	21	that is a matter for others who may wish to take into
22	this evening and I am very pleased to welcome her to the	22	account the findings of fact that we make in the course
23	team.	23	of our work.
24	I have a team of experienced solicitors, of whom	24	Now, in this case, it seems to me at the moment that
25	Shafi here is one, they've been provided by the	25	the finding of facts is likely to involve, among other
	Page 2		Page 4
	1 age 2		1 age T

things, the following areas of inquiry.

g

First and foremost, of course, investigating how the far started, how it was able to spread to the whole of the building and why so many people died.

Then, of course, we'll need to examine a wider picture, including the construction of the building and the modifications to it over the year, and looking at the relevant building and fire regulations to see whether they were adequate and whether they were properly enforced.

We will want to examine the involvement of the various bodies who have been responsible for the upkeep and maintenance of the building, and in particular its most recent refurbishment, including, of course, the local council, the tenant management organisation and others who have been involved in the design of the refurbishment, the manufacture of materials used and the carrying out of the work.

We shall want to considered the measures taken over the years to protect residents in the event of fire. We shall want to look into warnings which may have been given by residents to the council or the TMO about fire safety and the responses to them.

We shall want to consider also the firefighting equipment available to the fire brigade and the way in the terms of reference are actually set by the Government, not by me. But the Government has asked me to carry out this consultation process, which I'm in the course of doing, and then I shall make a recommendation as to what I suggest the terms of reference should cover.

This meeting forms a part of the consultation, but only a part of that process. I've had a similar meeting yesterday for other people interested in the inquiry, and I am going to be holding another meeting -- possibly here, possibly somewhere more convenient, I'm not sure at the moment -- next week for local people to come and give their views.

The consultation period has been extended so that it now runs out on 4 August, so you've got a bit more time to consider the position and get in touch with us.

I've already received a number of responses to the consultation and, in conjunction with team, I am considering them as they come in. But, of course, I have to look at them all in the round; it's no good looking at some and then putting them on the shelf. I'll look at them all together in the week beginning 7 August, that's the week after the consultation closes, and then I'll write to the Prime Minister with a recommendation. If I recommend that the terms of

Page 5

which the fire brigade went about tackling the blaze and attempting to save the lives of those who lived in the tower.

It might help if I said a little bit at this stage about the involvement of you as local residents in the inquiry. People who have been directly affected by the fire can apply to become what is known as core participants. Core participants means that they will have access to all the material coming before the inquiry and be able to take an active part in the proceedings. You could do that individually; you would probably find it more effective to do it through legal representation and the Government has said it will pay for legal representation for those who are taking part.

An application for core participation can be made by you personally or, as I say, probably more conveniently by lawyers acting on your behalf. I'm hoping to have a preliminary hearing in mid-September at which I can consider applications for core participation. The procedure for doing that will be put up on the inquiry's website once we've got our terms of reference and are formally up and running.

Now, the purpose of this meeting is to ask you to tell us what you think we ought to include in our terms of reference. It's right that I should tell you that Page 7

reference should be less broad than some people would like, I'll explain why I'm taking that position and then the Prime Minister can decide what to do about it.

Now, we are very conscious that those who have been personally affected by the fire are really important people as far as the inquiry is concerned for a whole range of reasons, and I am determined to ensure that everyone who wants to should have an opportunity to tell us about their experience and concerns.

So from 7 August, my team will be holding a number of drop-in sessions in the local area, which will continue so long as there's a demand for them. We're hoping to have people from the team available at two or three separate locations in the area during the day, one in the evenings, and we're going to keep that up on Saturdays as well. We're also considering how we can best engage with a wider range of people who are interested in what we're doing.

We're very well aware that the fire has affected many people in different ways and we want to make sure that these drop-in sessions are safe places where people can talk freely, and if any of you have suggestions as to how we could organise them to best effect, then please get in touch with one of the members of the team, Jim Narey(?), who is sitting at the back, whom I think

Page 6

Page 8

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1 1 some of you may have met, is someone you can certainly myself. My son and husband were still living there when 2 2 talk to about that. 3 3 Now, we've got some more people. Hello, come in and 4 find a seat close to the rest of us if you can. We 4 5 5 started the meeting because we thought that if we waited 6 any longer, everyone's patience would start to wear 6 7 7 a bit thin, so I'm just explaining at this stage 8 a little bit about the inquiry and how the procedure 8 9 will go. 10 Now, I am going to ask you in a moment to give me 10 11 your thoughts on what the inquiry should deal with. 11 12 Although we're few in number -- fewer than I'd hoped and 12 13 thought -- can I just suggest how we might go about 13 14 14 15 First of all, please use the microphone so that we 15 16 16 can collect your thoughts and get them on the 17 transcript. 17 18 I would like you, if you are willing, to give me 18 19 your name when you want to speak. If you don't want to 19 20 appear on the transcript in your own name, tell us, and 20 21 we can always redact it if you don't want to be there in 21 22 person, as it were. 22 23 23 Try to speak slowly and clearly, perhaps more slowly 24 and more clearly than I've been doing. 24 25 25 I'm sure you won't do this, sometimes in a large Page 9 meeting people get a bit excited and talk over each 1 1 2 2 other. It's obviously best if we don't do that 3 3 otherwise I won't get your thoughts clearly on the 4 4 5 5 So I think the rule is whoever's got the microphone 6 has the floor. Is that all right? 6 7 7 My note says don't speak for more than two minutes, 8 8 but you can forget that. Just keep your thoughts 9 reasonably succinct and tell me everything you need to 10 10 tell me. 11 11 So, as I've said to you, the transcript is being 12 made so we can see later on exactly what was said, and

it will go up on the inquiry website within, I hope, no

Well, that's enough from me. Who would like to

resident of Grenfell Tower from 1983 to the late 1990s.

the estate management board and seemed to be constantly

residents association, tenants association, setting up

battling with the Royal Borough of Kensington and

Chelsea for tenants' rights on Lancaster West Estate.

I love Lancaster West Estate; it taught me an awful

lot about the world and it taught me an awful lot about

Page 10

I was very involved in a lot of local issues, the

more than couple of days of this meeting.

start by giving me some thoughts?

the fire happened. My son has survived; my ex-husband has perished. I experienced a fire in Grenfell Tower in 1997, and I remember it well because it was the night before an exam and I had fellow students with me in my flat. We were all revising for an exam. And because of my involvement in tenant issues, I had at a previous occasion invited members of the fire brigade to come and talk to residents of Grenfell Tower about fire safety, and we'd had a public meeting in the old community rooms, which used to be at the bottom of the tower, and we had been left feeling very confident that if there was a fire, we should isolate ourselves from all the other flats because our flats were very, very well built and very solid and secure, that we should put wet towels in the doors and windows and letter boxes, and that are doors were two-hour fire resident, the front doors, and our internal doors were one-hour fire resistant. I remember the details to this day. So that night, when we had the fire, I said to my friends, "Don't worry, the fire is several floors down, we'll be fine". We put wet towels everywhere and we got on with our studies, and I think we even opened a bottle of wine because I was so confident that we were fine. Page 11 So what has changed in 20 years of progress and The building that I used to live in has been clad in

And we were. improvement? a highly flammable material. There has been the introduction of gas into the buildings, on the different floors. As far as I remember, there was only gas in the boiler room underneath that was heating the central heating and hot water which was then pumped up to all the flats, but we didn't have -- to my recollection but I could be wrong -- we certainly didn't have gas in 12 number 135. And now there's gas going in at the front 13 door, little pipes going through the front door. So the 14 only exit from the flat is where the gas goes in. 15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Do you remember when the gas was put 16 FROM THE FLOOR: Hello, my name is Lucy Daniels. I was a 17 FROM THE FLOOR: It's been since I left. I think it's been 18 part of the refurbishment. Other people who have been 19 living more recently in the building would be able to 20 answer that, but I do think it's significant. 21 There's another major difference, and that is to do 22 with the facts of what happened on 14 June within my 23 family, which is that my ex-husband had mental problems, 24 dementia, mobility problems. I don't know what the 25 escape plan was meant to be for people like that. Page 12

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The advice from the council was still to stay in your flats if there was a fire, despite the changes. I would ask the inquiry to look into that, why that advice remained the same when they had wrapped them all in flammable cladding, why you would still say -- when my son left the building there were flames outside the window when he left the flat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyhow, because he's a very private person, I don't want to go into a lot of detail about what happened, but he couldn't get his father out because of his issues and he has prepared a statement for that for the police.

But the significant thing that I did want to say in a public forum and for the inquiry is that when my son went into the stairway to find firemen -- because he was aware of the fire, the fire had been raging for quite a long time while he had been trying to get his father out -- he said, "My father is in flat 135 on the 16th floor". The fireman ran off, came back and said, "Where's the 16th floor? We can't see the 16th floor." The numbers, when the floor levels were changed in the building as part of the refurbishment, nobody had written the numbers back in the stairwell. So what used to be the 13th floor when I lived there is now officially the 16th floor. 13 was painted out; 16 wasn't painted in.

I was up at the town hall saying, "Oh my goodness,

- 2 you've done it again, this isn't good enough", and
- 3 Mr Kingsford said, "Oh, Mrs Singh" -- he called me
- 4 Mrs Singh because I was married to an Indian. My name
- 5 is Daniels. That's just a little example of the way
- 6 people's minds don't always work, they don't always
- 7 listen. Anyway, "Mrs Singh, you must understand, I was
- 8 brought up in a council estate," he said, "I understand
- 9 how these things are." I said, "Mr Kingsford, I wasn't
- brought up in a council estate, I don't understand why
- 11 things have to be shoddy." I don't understand. I've
- 12 never understood it. That's why I was in constant
- 13 battles

19

20

22

24

So I do think that the relationship between the tenants and the Local Authority is part of this. It

tenants and the Local Authority is part of this. It is
 part of it, and I know that that's going to be a very

difficult thing to look into as part of the inquiry, but

18 I would really beg you to look seriously at that, at

all -- going back years -- all the things that have been

ignored, all the things that have been done shoddily.

Well, that's it really.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I sensed as you were speaking that

23 you think we should be looking very carefully at the way

in which the building was looked after and modified over

25 the course of the years.

Page 13

So then the fireman went to look on the floor they were at and they came back saying, "Oh, we think we're on such and such floor", so my son said, "Then you need to go back up to the 16th". By the time they went up it was too late. They couldn't get through the smoke and they said to my son, "We can't get through" -- they didn't have breathing apparatus at this stage -- "We can't get through, we're leaving, you have to leave, you can't stay". So he had to leave and they ran down the stairs ahead of him. And no blame to the firemen: they couldn't breathe. But somebody just didn't paint the numbers back, and my son's father might have been saved had somebody just painted the numbers back.

So to me, with the history of battles with the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea that I personally have, this is just -- it reminds me, I've had this thing -- I've had two things playing in my mind: one is the song my grandfather used to sing about the Titanic, which I won't bore you with, but there is a line saying "The dirty cow is hid and deny the things did". But the other thing that's going round and round in my head is a conversation I had when I was campaigning for something, and I had a conversation with the head of housing at the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, Martin

Kingsford, and something had been done shoddily and

Page 14

Page 15

- 1 FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. Yes.
- 2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Of course, the most recent
- $3 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{refurbishment is likely to be the most important, but} \\$
- 4 there are earlier ones which are potentially just as
- 5 important.
- 6 FROM THE FLOOR: They are just as important and I think they
- 7 were more successful, the ones that I'm aware of
- earlier.

8

10

17

9 There was also a movement that I was very involved

in to take over the management. We set up something

11 called the Lancaster West Estate Management Board

because we were fed up with being run from the town

hall, and the idea was to bring the management on to the

estate and an office was get up in Grenfell Tower, so

15 that the people managing the estate worked on the

estate, so they got some ownership, so they felt loyalty

to the estate, they got to know the tenants and

18 residents. That all changed recently as well.

19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's all very helpful.

20 FROM THE FLOOR: Yes.

21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And I think we were already thinking

that quite a lot of the matters you've raised would be

ones that we would need to look at, but it's very

24 helpful to have them from your side as well, so thank

you very much.

1	FROM THE FLOOR: I'm available to answer any questions,	1	address the issues that there are with resistance from
2	except unfortunately all my paperwork is no longer in	2	the residents, survivors and local residents as well,
3	existence. But any questions to do with the past, I am	3	you know, the local community in light of the ongoing
4	happy to assist.	4	and grave relinquishing of responsibilities from several
5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.	5	different bodies, so trying to address that and the lack
6	Now, who else would like to the lady over there	6	of trust as well.
7	would like to make a contribution.	7	Would you consider appointing a panel to sit with
8	FROM THE FLOOR: Anne Montgomery, a local resident. I'd	8	you on this? I know that there has been precedence of
9	like the terms of reference to include some examination	9	that before, so is that a possibility, so that a panel
10	of the building materials used, the choice of building	10	would be more representative of the local community and
11	materials and why they were chosen. Most people know	11	therefore assisting in building that trust?
12	aluminium is pliable, it's flammable. Why this was	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, at the moment I have an open
13	chosen? Why a foam sandwich? Foam is highly flammable.	13	mind about
14	And why, if the refurbishment cost several millions, as	14	FROM THE FLOOR: Okay.
15	is reputed, didn't they fit some flameproof roofing on	15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: who ought to be, if anyone, on
16	top, which would've only cost a fraction more of the	16	the panel. There are two things I think we all need to
17	total cost?	17	bear in mind.
18	So I'd like to look in detail at the choice of	18	One is at the moment we're not quite sure what the
19	building material, and how these decisions were made.	19	questions are we've got to answer. That's what the
20	And I agree, you know, it ties in with what this lady	20	terms of reference will tell us. But it seems to me
21	previously said that relationship between tenant and the	21	that it's likely that many of the questions of the kind
22	council is a key to understanding these decisions and	22	that have been raised already are quite technical in
23	how they were made.	23	nature, examining the materials, the design work, the
24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.	24	internal structure and things like that. So we've got
25	Some other thoughts, perhaps. Lady in the middle	25	to think about the nature of the questions.
	• • •		
	Page 17		Page 19
1	there.	1	The other thing is the public inquiry must be
1	mere.		
2	EDOM THE ELOOP. Thouls you. My name is Sandra Boss and I'm	1	The other thing is the public inquiry must be
2	FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. My name is Sandra Rose and I'm	2	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've
3	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on	2 3	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers
3 4	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished.	2 3 4	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only
3 4 5	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this	2 3 4 5	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do.
3 4 5 6	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one	2 3 4 5 6	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here
3 4 5 6 7	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak	2 3 4 5 6 7	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents.
3 4 5 6 7 8	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost or Cromwell Road or High Street Kensington or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of legal action even just by raising very valid points. If
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost or Cromwell Road or High Street Kensington or thereabouts. So closer would be much better. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of legal action even just by raising very valid points. If they had been listened to before, we wouldn't be here
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost or Cromwell Road or High Street Kensington or thereabouts. So closer would be much better. This is way out of the way for them, but thank you anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of legal action even just by raising very valid points. If they had been listened to before, we wouldn't be here today. So I think that's really, really important;
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost or Cromwell Road or High Street Kensington or thereabouts. So closer would be much better. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of legal action even just by raising very valid points. If they had been listened to before, we wouldn't be here
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	speaking on behalf of my family. My brother lived on the 20th floor and our niece has also perished. First of all, thank you for inviting us to this meeting. It's got a very different flavour to the one that we were at yesterday, in that we can actually speak to you directly without needing to fight for that, although it is quite out of the way and you mentioned earlier on that you wanted to make sure that your consultation was as wide as possible. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and if you can think of a place that would be large enough and more convenient, please tell someone. FROM THE FLOOR: I'm sure the tenants will have suggestions, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We could still rearrange it for next week. FROM THE FLOOR: Particularly where the majority of the residents of Grenfell have now been moved to hotels that are even further, you know, nearer the town hall almost or Cromwell Road or High Street Kensington or thereabouts. So closer would be much better. This is way out of the way for them, but thank you anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	impartial. It must be fair to everyone involved. I've got to be as fair to the contractors, the manufacturers of materials, as I am to the residents because it's only the truth will do. FROM THE FLOOR: Of course, but your wider stakeholders here are the local residents. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I understand that. FROM THE FLOOR: So I am just asking the question it would be SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the answer to your question is I'm open minded about it. I haven't got any concluded view at all at the moment. FROM THE FLOOR: I think it would go a long way to help. Just building on the lady's points earlier on, it's really important that the past issues are delved into because it's as a result of those past issues that we have arrived at the point that we are now. And also, you know, the reluctance of the Local Authority and the TMO to listen to the residents when they had very valid points, and then they became under a lot of pressure of legal action even just by raising very valid points. If they had been listened to before, we wouldn't be here today. So I think that's really, really important;

4

5

8

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing the lady mentioned as well, what professional advice was taken on each step of these refurbishments? Obviously that will form part of your investigation. What were their credentials? And who made the ultimate decisions once they received that professional advice? Because my understanding is that Lakehouse was one of the contractors who looked after the fire detection equipment, so fire alarms and so forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, they also provided the same service to a secondary school in south London that burnt down. This was in 2010. So, having that history, why were they appointed by the Local Authority to provide the same services? And sort of what checks, what due diligence is taking place in order to offer these contracts out to these contractors? That's really, really important for the ongoing safety of tenants in other blocks. Like I say, the ultimate decision-makers and why were those decisions made.

So even if they received good advice, why didn't they take it? If they received bad advice, did they not get second opinions?

So, fourthly, the disaster recovery process being a shambles, I think that needs to be looked into because we have had a spate of terrorist attacks as well, and

1 identified their nieces in the hospitals. They had two 2 nieces; one was in one bed, the other one was in the

other bed. I don't know the details, but they lived on the same floor as my brother.

Hi, yes, yes.

6 So, you know, I don't want to speak on your

7 behalf ---

NEW SPEAKER: Please do.

9 FROM THE FLOOR: -- but I felt for them because these little 10 girls were lying there without their family members.

11 They'd already lost their family members, some of them, 12

but the ones who were desperately looking for them 13 couldn't be with them. That touched me so deeply

14 because we were in the same position and we didn't know 15

if my niece was in any of these hospitals.

16 So protocols really need to be looked at.

I'm sure the other families would've done the same thing. We would've offered to be respectful, follow infection control procedures, but I think we would be better able to identify our own family members than police officers looking at a yellow sheet of the

22 descriptions that we were giving.

23 So those are my thoughts.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed.

This lady had her hand up actually a little earlier.

Page 23

Page 21

they are different but they're the same, you know, there are people involved here, and that's who these disaster recovery situations need to be focussed on. I think if it wasn't for the local community there are a lot of people who would have suffered even more in those first few days because of complete abdication of responsibility. So that really needs to be looked into. Personally, hospital protocols were a major thing

for us. A major, major thing for us. We understand that in situations where you can't easily identify people, they are tagged, they are given a number, and I think in this case they were treated as if it was a terrorist situation. It wasn't; it was a fire, people knew who lived in that block. The families were going from hospital to hospital, knocking on ward doors, "Please let us in". We weren't allowed in to visually try and identify whether our little girl was in there. We were categorically lied to at the wards with police officers standing at the ward doors.

We were told that all the children -- and we're talking about a child here -- had been identified and linked to families. This was day 1. Day 2 we were told exactly the same thing. We kept knocking on doors. Day 3, we were told exactly the same thing. On day 3, we came across an auntie and an uncle who had just

Would you like to speak? FROM THE FLOOR: Hello, my name is Hanna Showy(?), I'm a relative of Farah Hamdan who lived on the 20th floor, flat 175.

She died, her husband died and two of their three daughters died. So now in our family we have a five-year-old orphan. And, yes, I'd just like to agree with everything -- I'm sorry, I've forgotten your name, I know you're Jessica's family, hi -- said about hospital protocol. On the day after the fire, the hospital receptions sort of gradually changed from being quite welcoming and saying they'd help us try and identify the people in their accident and emergency, and over the course of the afternoon that advice changed to, "No, we can't tell you anything, you have to phone the Casualty Bureau". I'm not sure if you know, the Casualty Bureau was taking information but not giving it out, right, there is no way they would have known about our family members.

As a result of hospital procedures, my niece who died in hospital didn't have as much time with her family as she could have done. My husband was going on his bicycle to beat London traffic from hospital to hospital, you know, just in case there was some hope that his cousin was there.

Page 22

Page 24

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1	The girls in our family were identified by my	1	housing policy and the way that they manage their
2	husband's brother, just at random, you know, the	2	tenants and their stock.
3	hospital didn't know who they were, the policemen there	3	So I am not best placed, but thank you for asking.
4	didn't know who they were.	4	I think there are other people who can answer.
5	But I'd just like to speak on behalf of the Hamdan	5	RICHARD MILLETT: If there's anyone in the room who can
6	and Belkadi family to say that we would like the inquiry	6	assist us to identify at what point we should start to
7	to be as comprehensive as possible. We feel like our	7	look, rather than the dim and distant past, then it
8	family have died as a result of being let down by	8	would be helpful to know because that would create
9	everybody who should have had a responsibility to	9	a clear boundary for us.
10	protect them, from national Government to local	10	FROM THE FLOOR: Sure. I think there are many people who
11	Government to the buildings industry.	11	are not here today that would be happy to offer that
12	What else?	12	information, and actually probably still have e-mails
13	The fire in Southwark, the council should have been	13	going backwards and forwards, there will be records, and
14	a turning point, and no action was taken then. You	14	I would imagine that the council themselves should have
15	know, Farah was 31 when she died. She was a brilliant	15	records of that correspondence.
16	mum, she didn't deserve to die. You know, her daughter	16	But I'm sorry, I can't say how far back.
17	doesn't deserve to be an orphan. Farah's mother does	17	FROM THE PANEL: Thank you.
18	not deserve to be going through this hell. We would	18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
19	just like the inquiry to be as comprehensive as	19	Lady here would like to speak.
20	possible, please.	20	FROM THE FLOOR: Sorry, it's Melanie Phelan. Just to add,
21	That's it.	21	quite a few of the e-mails back and forth, to and from
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, a very moving	22	the TMO are actually on social media. I believe it's
23	account.	23	been going on for years, unfortunately, and other
24	Before we go to the next speaker, my colleague	24	community meetings we've been at, people have spoken
25	Richard Millett would like to ask this lady a question,	25	very eloquently of this. So I think we perhaps need to
	Page 25		Page 27
	O		Ü
1	if you don't mind responding.	1	encourage those people to come and speak to you and
2	RICHARD MILLETT: Sandra, thank you very much for your	2	perhaps gather the information that we can for you.
3	insights and thank you very much as well for your	3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that's a very good idea.
4	account too.	4	I mean, that's why we're going to run these drop-in
5	I am interested very much in, as we all are,	5	sessions, so that people can make contact with us. It
6	I think, examining as part of the inquiry the system of	6	won't be evidence-gathering at that stage, people won't
7	communication between the residents and the Local	7	be asked initially to make statements, but we want
8	Authority, particularly the TMO, what system there was,	8	people to talk to us so we can understand what they have
9	whether it worked or not and past complaints. I'd like	9	to say and give them a chance, really, to tell us about
10	to know how far back they go and how far back you think	10	their experiences and anything else that might help us.
11	we should be looking, whether it's to the refurbishment	11	So please encourage people to take advantage of
12	or before that. Because if there's a long history of	12	that.
13	a communication system that doesn't work, or there was	13	FROM THE FLOOR: I am sure we will want the truth, you know,
14	no communication system at all despite the residents	14	we want some answers within the community.
15	wanting one, then I think obviously we would like to	15	May I ask, with the TMO though, surely all these
16	consider whether that's something we should be looking	16	e-mails, everything will be logged somewhere, you should
17	at, and how far back in time it goes.	17	be able to get all this.
18	FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. I'm not actually a resident, I'm	18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, we should.
19	speaking on behalf of my brother, who lost his daughter.	19	FROM THE FLOOR: May I ask: has that job started? Has all
20	I can only speak anecdotally about what I've heard	20	of this information been collated as yet? Because there
21	through everyone's accounts because we've actually	21	is concern that some of this information has been
			dispersed, as it were, and will not be available.
22	communicated a lot with other tenants. There are people	22	
23	who are far better placed than I am.	23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're labouring, in a sense, under
23 24	who are far better placed than I am. What I understand from the accounts I've heard is	23 24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're labouring, in a sense, under the difficulty that the inquiry is still waiting to be
23	who are far better placed than I am.	23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're labouring, in a sense, under
23 24	who are far better placed than I am. What I understand from the accounts I've heard is	23 24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We're labouring, in a sense, under the difficulty that the inquiry is still waiting to be

1	without its terms of reference, and we're currently,	1	corporate control of Government, the corporate control
2	pursuant to the Government's request, conducting this	2	of charities, the corporate control of every aspect of
3	consultation about the terms of reference. But we have	3	human relations at the moment. Yeah? And that greed
4	warned the TMO and the council that we need their	4	and that behaviour, that sociopathic behaviour that
5	documents, and I think you shouldn't worry too much	5	corporations display, is what has reached to this peak
6	about people destroying evidence. It's much more	6	where we see something like this happening in
7	difficult than you might think because usually if	7	Grenfell Tower.
8	documents go missing, you can tell they've gone missing	8	So from my perspective, I would say that basically
9	by reference to other material.	9	it is all related and all of the people that has pushed
10	Anyway, all I can say is please let us have any	10	1 1 1
			this culture of regeneration and redevelopment at the
11	material you've got which might be the mirror image of	11	cost of everyday people's leaves in North Kensington are
12	what the council or the TMO either have got or should	12	all complicit to it reaching to this level. Right? So
13	have.	13	that's one side of it.
14	FROM THE FLOOR: Sure. And would the criminal investigation	14	On the other side of it you have the names of the
15	not have started collating that information anyway?	15	people who are responsible. Right? Like Coleridge,
16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: The police may have done, I don't	16	like Paget-Brown, yeah? Feilding-Mellen. All of these
17	know.	17	people have been in our area, conspiring to take it over
18	FROM THE FLOOR: Okay. Thank you.	18	for many years now, and the evidence is there. They've
19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right?	19	stated it themselves. Yeah? They okayed cladding.
20	Now then, there's a gentleman at the back would like	20	They were the people who okayed this stuff, and then you
21	to say something.	21	have to go to David Cameron because David Cameron
22	FROM THE FLOOR: Yes. Evening, my name is Niles Hailstones.	22	actually while he was also in our area sped up the
23	I want to stand up so I can see you all. Yeah?	23	de-regulations on fire safety, right?
24	The terms of reference will have to include	24	So I'd say that those are good places to start, with
25	historical aspects. Now, it's true that maybe it's	25	the Prime Minister at the time setting the stage for the
	Page 29		Page 31
1	going to be too wide to go back completely, but without	1	Grenfell fire. Yeah? And everything that proceeded
2	a strong historical framework as to why these things	2	, , ,
	a strong instorical frame work as to willy these timings		aller it. But you're going to have to include the
	happened you're not going to know a solution as to why		after it. But you're going to have to include the
3	happened, you're not going to know a solution as to why	3	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the
4	it happened.	3 4	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington.
4 5	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue	3 4 5	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the
4 5 6	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in	3 4 5 6	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone
4 5 6 7	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top	3 4 5 6 7	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think
4 5 6 7 8	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and	3 4 5 6 7 8	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of
4 5 6 7 8 9	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out
4 5 6 7 8 9	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point. I would say that one of the main things you're going	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those who should be in jail now, because when people are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point. I would say that one of the main things you're going to have to look at is the culture of regeneration, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those who should be in jail now, because when people are coming to our community and saying they want to help,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point. I would say that one of the main things you're going	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those who should be in jail now, because when people are coming to our community and saying they want to help, the first thing they can do is go and arrest the people
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point. I would say that one of the main things you're going to have to look at is the culture of regeneration, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those who should be in jail now, because when people are coming to our community and saying they want to help, the first thing they can do is go and arrest the people responsible, because we know who is responsible. So we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it happened. What has happened in Grenfell is an historical issue about the disparity between the rich and the poor in RBKC that has over a hundred years of history. On top of that, we're in the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea. How did RBKC become rich? How did the Royal Borough become rich? Through colonialism, yeah, and the control of other nations, right? So you've got 500 years previous and the last 100 years to deal with of a certain attitude and behaviour towards the residents of North Kensington, yeah? That is related to us as African Caribbeans, as Muslims, as Irish, all different people that have been in the area. There's historical issues that have to be taken into account, including institutional racism and many other stuff of institutional abuses, that are documented, can easily be referenced that have led up to this point. I would say that one of the main things you're going to have to look at is the culture of regeneration, the culture of redevelopment and the destruction that that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	aspect of institutional abuses by the system to the people of North Kensington. That is a fact and it can be referenced and the history is all there. I don't think there is anyone here who could deny that this is a fact now. I think what has to be made clear is that this culture of regeneration, if we're really going to get justice out of this situation, not just the people who are responsible need to be locked up, yeah? And face the consequences of their actions, yeah? But the whole culture of regeneration and redevelopment and that has to stop now and has to not continue in the same form that it has been continuing, because otherwise we will get another Grenfell in a different way, yeah? So there's that side of it, there's like looking at: what kind of culture, what kind of society has been created where something like this could happen in the first place? Yeah? And then there's looking at those who should be in jail now, because when people are coming to our community and saying they want to help, the first thing they can do is go and arrest the people

Page 30

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

7

a long, long time, but we the people know who is responsible. And you are the people in power, in your positions of privilege and power in this society, that can hold them accountable. So the sooner you hold them accountable, the sooner we can have some faith that there is even a justice system. Because right now if we look at Hillsborough and other things that's gone on, we have no reason to believe that we will get any justice this way, right? So some radical changes have to go on in the system,

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

3

5

12

17

19

and starting with this that's happening now, the terms of reference have to be truthful and have to deal with what has happened over historical time.

Now, you can't frame all of that into it, but you can make note of it, you can say this is what it's based upon, this is the ground that it was built upon. Yeah?

So I would say that is the way to go forward, but let's look at the people who are responsible, because it does start with Cameron, and it starts before that, but those who help to deregulate, to make Grenfell a firetrap and a deathtrap which was actually predicted before by Edward from the Grenfell Action Group, all of these things were ignored.

Edward last night at the town hall, he made it very clear and he actually said, "You, I sent you an e-mail,

- 1 longer do I want to accept this as a tragedy, that it is
- 2 the atrocity that lot of us call it. I think that's
- 3 very important because a tragedy is something that, you
- 4 know, happens, that can't be prevented, that can't be
- 5 foreseen; an atrocity is something that was well
- 6 documented, well reported on prior to the absolute
- 7 horrendous situation that happened that morning. So, 8
 - therefore, I think the language has to change already
- 9 before we go any further.

I also think that a lot of points that were raised by Niles are important for us to sort of strip back the sort of systemic injustices that have gone on with housing.

And I also agree with him and wanted to say this earlier that, you know, there are certain people that are culpable, that are clearly culpable because they've been, you know, informed timelessly, over and over again, who have slunk away. As far as we're concerned, Paget-Brown slunk off. I imagine he's still on full pay somewhere. Robert Black slunk off. The

21 Feilding-whatever-his-name-is, you know, he's slunk off.

You know, that is where a lot of distrust comes from. Why should anyone believe that we have again, you know, sort of a representation of, you know, whiteness,

privilege, you know, I have to call it as I see it and

Page 33

- 1 you didn't answer it you". He pointed out the people
- 2 who are there. So if we on the ground can point out
 - these people, why don't you know who they are? That's
- 4 the question I'd like to ask. What is it you're
 - mystified by in terms of not knowing who is responsible
- 6 for this? Because it's confusing. We know who is
- 7 responsible for it, so why don't you?
- 8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, the reason we don't know is
- 9 because we haven't yet got your experience and we're
- 10 coming to you and others to help us acquire that
- 11 experience. So I hope you'll give us your evidence in
 - due course so that we can learn from you.
- 13 FROM THE FLOOR: Most definitely, and we must make contact
- 14 after this so we can give you that evidence, yeah? So
- 15 you can see directly the links between who and who did
- 16 what that made this come into being. Yeah?
 - Okay, thank you.
- 18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, thank you very much, some very

Page 34

- interesting insights and we shall certainly take those
- 20 into consideration
- 21 This lady at the front would like to say something.
- 22 FROM THE FLOOR: I would just like to back up a lot of what
- 23 Niles said. I'd also like to address the language we're
- 24 using to deal with this inquiry, and it was said last
- 25 night in another meeting I was at that actually no

Page 35

- 1 I can say it too. This is, you know, we're not seeing
- 2 anybody really representing the community here. And
- 3 this lady suggesting that, I think that's where you will
- 4 build trust. This is where you won't be having these
- 5 meetings where it gets very fraught and distressing
- 6 because people will feel like they're represented, you
 - know, and that's why contribution to this.
- 8 And I am somebody who is on the ground, since the 9 morning of the fire, daily having to bridge the gap that 10 services, authorities have done nothing to do and don't 11 have the skills, it appears, or the language to actually
- 12 treat people with respect and dignity given that they've
- 13 lost everything and most of them have lost family 14 members.
- 15 So I just want to put that in.
- 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much and we'll 17 certainly give that great consideration.
- 18 Now then, this gentleman would like to say
- 19 something.
- 20 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. I am a freelance journalist.
- 21 My name is Pete Sawyer. I would just like to ask the
- 22 inquiry if you could clarify what legal powers you have
- 23 to call witnesses and also what legal powers you have to
- 24 protect and secure evidence.
- 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Under the Inquiries Act 2005, I have

same way that a court does, and to compel the production of chements in the same way that a court does. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that include Anton Ellic orders, for instance? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would have to look that up. My caused Mr. Millet will give me some arbice about that. FROM THE FLOOR: The My so, and the major against the imaging order to septime to septime the service of the inquiry are not complied with then some perty serious sanctions will follow. And they will be used — FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The bits that cannot as contempt, for instance? The third proper of the funding way to be a served of the contempt of the inquiry way to be a served of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper of the proper of the inquiry was to contempt of the proper o				
of documents in the same way that a court does. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that include Anton Piller orders, for instance? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would have to look that up. My counsel Millert will give me some advice about that. FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. RECHARD MILLETT: The power of compulsion are quite serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not complied with the some perty serious sanctions will follow. And they will be used— FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The FROM THE FLOOR: The Property serious sanctions will follow. The Property of the control as contempt, for instance? The Property of the count as contempt, for instance? The Property of the property serious sanctions will follow. The Property if people don't produce documents and don't decreased the power if people don't produce documents and don't to co-perate to warm them the wy will be critical for in the propert. The Property if people don't produce documents and don't to coperate to warm them that by will be critical for in the propert. The Property if people don't produce documents and don't to coperate to warm them then will be critical for in the propert. The property if people don't produce documents and don't to coperate to warm them then will be critical for in the property in the property in the property in the property of the property will be property to the property will be property to the property will be property of the property will be property to the property of the property will be property of the property will be property to the property of the property will be property to the property of the property will be property to	1	the power to compel people to give evidence, just in the	1	Now, who else would like to do you mind if I let
FROM THE FLOOR: Does that include Anton Piller orders, for instance? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would have to look that up. My counsed Mr Millet will give me some advice about that. FROM THE FLOOR: The Provers of composition are quite services, and of orders of the inguity are not completed or some perty serious sanctions will follow: RECHARD MILLETT: The powers of composition are quite serious and forders of the inguity are not completed or some perty serious sanctions will follow: And they will be used — FROM THE FLOOR: Bused by the serious sanctions will follow: FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, some perty serious sanctions will follow: Thould also say this, although we haven? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, some perty serious sanctions will follow: Thould also say this, although we haven? Serious of the proper of the sanction and some perty serious sanctions will follow: Thould also say this, although we haven? Serious of the proper of the sanction and some perty serious sanctions will follow: Thould also say this, although we haven? Serious of the proper of the sanction and some perty serious sanctions will follow: The proper of people don't produce documents and don't discovered it is necessary to see the power of people don't produce documents and don't co-operate in the light of this varning they're at risk of the power of people in the saveney and the sanction of the sanction of the sanction of the proper of the proper of the sanction of the sanction of the perty of the produce of the proper of the sanction of the				
5 instance? 6 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would have to look that up, My counsed Mr Millett will give me some advice about that. 8 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 9 RCHARD MILLETT: The powers of compulsion are quite 10 sectious, and if orders of the inquiry are not complied 11 with them some pretty serious sunctions will follow. 11 with then some pretty serious sunctions will follow. 12 And they will be used - 13 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? 14 FROM THE PANIEL: Yes, it would be inc contempt of the 15 inquiry. 15 I should also say this, although we haven? 16 I should also say this, although we haven? 17 discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't have write they will be criticed if of co-operate. And then if they don't co-operate. And then if they don't co-operate in the light of they warring the bear of the warming, they've it risk 22 or serious public williferation in the report. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE BICK: 1 I hink perhaps to reassure the lady a pressuring them to make decisions is short time frames and or office they warring them to make decisions is short time frames and an organization. How can they still be seen as fit for their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see a non-openization. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, well as a court. 25 I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be critically the critical officed first by the complex with a country of the warring and dead. 26 Thought the propose in the sum way as it would be panishable by a court the bought that people might simply be exti		•		
6 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I would have to look that up. My 7 counsed Mr Millet will give me made solvice about that. 8 FROM THE FLOOR: The Prowers of compulsion are quite 9 RICHARD MILLETT: The powers of compulsion are quite 10 serious, and if orders of the imputity are not complied 11 with then some prerty serious sanctions will follow. 12 And they will be used— 13 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? 14 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? 15 impute. 16 Is a should also say this, although we haven? 17 discussed it amongst outselves: the inquity does have 18 the power if people dorl produce documents and don't 19 co-operate to warn them that they will be criticised if 20 they don't co-operate. And then if they don't 21 co-operate in the ilight of that warning, they're at risk 22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 in the front row. Page 37 1 FROM THE FLOOR: I man fustrated because TMO, who most of 24 the residents condider not fit for purpose, who are 25 in the front row. Page 37 1 FROM THE FLOOR: I and fustrated because TMO, who most of 26 the residents condider not fit for purpose, who are 27 that row risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 28 that anybody should arsewe to TMO right now as 29 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 10 purpose, really? 20 pigeon. The reason I was coming back to it was because 21 Is say you wince at the floogly that prepare for popele in the same way as it would be punishable by 22 evidence as well. We possible with regards 23 on a report for four years. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 26 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 27 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 28 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 29 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I watted Gavin Barwell as well? 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK:				
7 counsel Mr Millett will give me some advice about that. 8 FROM THE FLOOR. Thank you. 9 RRCHARD MILLETT. The powers of compulsion are quite serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not compiled 10 serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not compiled 11 with the some pretty serious sunctions will follow. 12 And they will be used -	5			something about the inquiry, or more to the point,
FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. RICHARD MILLETT: The powers of compulsion are quite with then some portry serious sanctions will follow. And they will be used: And they will be used: FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR: The product of the produce documents and don't don't do they out consider the amount of flats there were in the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if to the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the power if people don't produce documents and don't to operate to warm them that they will be criticised if they don't co-operate had then if they found the power if people who were in the power in the power if people who were in the power in the po	6	•		
RICHARD MILLETT: The powers of compulsion are quite serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not compiled 10 with then some perty sensors sunctions will follow. And they will be used	7	counsel Mr Millett will give me some advice about that.		covering.
serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not complied with then some pretry serious sanctions will follow. 11 And they will be used - 12 And they will be used - 13 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? 14 FROM THE PANEL. Yes, is would be in contempt of the 15 inquiry. 16 Is bould also say this, although we haven't 16 Gussed it amongst conselves the inquiry does have 18 the power if people don't produce documents and don't 19 co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if 20 they don't co-operate and then if they don't 21 co-operate in the light of that warming, they're at risk 22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I min trustrated because TMO, who most of 25 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 26 still badgering residents and to this day badgering. 27 the residents consider not make decisions in short time-frames 28 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 29 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 29 pigeon. The reason I was coming back to it was because 30 Is MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not may 31 pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 32 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 33 aro whether they'll go back to - these are the 34 that own risk, all of this, I personally wouldn't see 35 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 36 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 37 purpose, really? 38 Is MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not may 39 providence does amount to a contempt which is 30 to revidence does amount to a contempt which is 31 saw you wince at the thought that people might simply 32 be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 33 is may not wince all the fought that people might simply 34 be criticised if they didn't comply as an around to a contempt which is 37 purpost for four year. 38 Is MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not may 39 are opport for four year. 30 and a people for four	8	•		•
my 12-year-old nicee. She would now have been 13. If prox THE FLOOR. Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE FLOOR. Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE PANEL: Yes, it would be in contempt of the inquiry. I should also say this, although we haven? I should be minder but the numbers doth add up. When you conside the amount of bald up. When you conside the amount of all up. When you conside the amount of all up. When you conside the mumbers due the ded. We've got an allocated numbers of the survivors on that seed and call up. When you conside the mumbers ofte the week-cround alta. Even if the were - 20 than the proper o	9	RICHARD MILLETT: The powers of compulsion are quite		FROM THE FLOOR: Hi there, my name is Anals Binar (?), I had
12 And they will be used— 13 FROM THE PLOOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? 14 FROM THE PLANEL: Yes, it would be in contempt of the inquiry. 15 Industry of the property of the inquiry. 16 Industry of the property of the inquiry. 17 discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have a time the power if people don't produce documents and don't co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the poor of co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the poor of co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if the property of the pro	10	serious, and if orders of the inquiry are not complied	10	family members as well. My brother lost his daughter,
FROM THE FACOR: Does that count as contempt, for instance? FROM THE PACE: Yes, it would be in contempt of the injury. I should also say this, although we haven't 15 didn't get numbers of the people that survived. We definitely didn't get numbers of the missing and bead. We've got an allocated number but the numbers don't add up. 17 When you consider the unmount of flash there were in the eye of in poor glo only flower in poor glo only flower in the light of that warning, they're at risk 20 of serious public vilification in the report. 22 for of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 25 in the front row. 25 may be a so whether they'll go back to the 'hose are the evacues, whether they'll go back to the 'hose are the 14 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 5 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 9 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 9 upurpose, really? 15 si that a refusal to comply. But the position or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 5 or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 19 purpose, really? 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is nor my 19 purpose, the record in the same way as it would be punishable by 21 evidence and directed him to attend, eys. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence and directed him to attend, eys. 25 evidence and directed him to attend, eys. 26 so where the most of the property — we will near the propert of four years. 28 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 26 so where the hought that not a contempt which is 25 survivors length and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. 29 su	11	- ·		my 12-year-old niece. She would now have been 13.
FROM THE PANEL: Yes, it would be in contempt of the inquiry inquiry. It is should also say this, although we haven't discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't less the power in the power in the power in the power in the legs of an allocated numbers of the missing and dead. The power in the p	12	And they will be used		I just wanted to have a bit of clarification on
15 inquiry. 16 I should also say this, although we haven't 17 discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have 18 the power if people don't produce documents and don't 19 co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if 20 they don't co-operate. And then if they don't 21 co-operate in the light of that warning, they're at risk 22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 25 in the front row. Page 37 1 PROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 2 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 3 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 5 as to whether they'll go back to their homes at 6 evacues, whether they'll go back to their homes at 7 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 8 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 9 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 10 purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 12 pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 13 I saw you wince at the thought that people mights ismply 14 be criticised if they didn't compty. But the position 15 is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents 16 or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 17 punishbe in the same way as it would be punishable by 18 a court. 19 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell to give 20 evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I varated Gavin Barwell to give 21 evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 22 FROM THE FLOOR: Lew was housing minister at the time and sat 23 on a report for four years. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 25 evidence is subject to the same regime. Ves.	13	•		numbers because we never got numbers. We never got full
16 I should also say this, although we haven't 17 discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have 18 the power if people don't produce documents and don't 19 co-operate to warn them that they will be criticised if 19 co-operate to warn them that they will be criticised if 20 they don't co-operate. And then if they don't 21 co-operate in the light of that warning, they't at risk 22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 25 in the front row. Page 37 1 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 26 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 27 still badgering residents and to this day badgering. 28 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 29 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 30 purpose, really? 31 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 32 pipose. The reason I was coming back on it was because 33 a town of the pressure of the power of the purpose, really? 34 pressure at the thought that people might simply 35 to the criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 36 to the criticised if they didn't comply, But the position 37 the criticised if they didn't comply but the position 38 to that are disast or comply with a request for documents 39 a collabilation. How can they still be seen as fit for 30 purpose, really? 31 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 32 pipon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 34 a court. 35 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give 36 evidence and afferced him to attend, yes. 36 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Altyone who is called to give 37 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 38 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 38 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 39 the first of the same regime. Yes. 30 to a calculation of 15 per flat, your elooking at the time in the mature of the term is an allocated number but the numbers don't be a part and at least averaging	14	FROM THE PANEL: Yes, it would be in contempt of the		* *
discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have the power if people don't produce documents and don't co-operate to warm them that why will be circliscased if 19 co-operate to warm them that they will be circliscased if 19 they don't co-operate to warm them that why will be circliscased if 19 they don't co-operate to warm them that why will be circliscased if 19 they don't co-operate in the light of that warming, they're a risk 21 co-operate in the light of that warming, they're a risk 22 of serious public vilidication in the report. 22 of serious public vilidication in the report. 22 so a calculation of 1.5 per flat, you're looking at 60. So were lookines, but we've been 530. 40 one-bedroom flats. Even if they were so a calculation of 1.5 per flat, you're looking at 60. So were lookines, but we've been 10d that 255 survived and 80 are missing and dead. Those numbers don't and up. There are still others that are unaccounted for them. Page 37 Page 37 Page 39 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 2 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 2 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 3 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 4 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 4 their own risk, all of this. I personally	15	inquiry.	15	didn't get numbers of the missing and the dead. We've
the power if people don't produce documents and don't co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if by don't co-operate. And then if they don't co-operate in the light of that warning, they're at risk 21 co-operate in the light of that warning, they're at risk 22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 25 in the front row. 25 where looking at already 380 residents, but we've 26 been 320. 40 one-bedroom flats. Even if they were	16	I should also say this, although we haven't	16	got an allocated number but the numbers don't add up.
they don't co-operate to warm them that they will be criticised if they don't co-operate in the light of that warming, they're at risk co-operate in the light of the we've looking at already 300 residents, but we've coalculations instered of a summing and edad. Those numbers don't add up. There are still others that are unaccounted for then. Page 39 1	17	discussed it amongst ourselves: the inquiry does have	17	When you consider the amount of flats there were in
they don't co-operate. And then if they don't co-operate in the light of that varning, they're at risk coroperate in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning, they're at risk corolected in the light of that varning at corolected in the report. Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady in the front row. Page 37 Page 39 1 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are still badgering residents and to this day badgering, still badgering residents and to this day badgering, as to whether they'll go back to or time-frames as to whether they'll go back to their homes at evacues, whether they'll go back to their homes at that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because for the price evidence does amount to a contempt which is or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is propose, really? FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. Sir MARTIN MOO	18	the power if people don't produce documents and don't	18	there, 129 flats, maybe, the two-bedroom flats would've
21 co-operate in the light of that warning, they're at risk of serious public vilification in the report. 22 FROM THE FLOOR: Think you. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: I think perhaps to reassure the lady in the front row. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady in the front row. 25 Page 37 26 PROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are still badgering residents and to this day badgering, pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames as the overacles, whether they'll go back to - these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? 27 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my piegon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 1 saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 1 so that a refusal to comply with a request for documents of the great already 380 residents, but we've looking at 60. 28 been told that 255 survived and 80 are missing and dead. 29 Toos numbers don't add up. There are still others that are unaccounted for then. 20 So it would be good to have a full list of the survivors so that we calculations instead of assuming or having all these calculations instead of assuming or	19	co-operate to warn them that they will be criticised if	19	had at least averaging four people in there, would've
22 of serious public vilification in the report. 23 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady 25 in the front row. 25 Page 37 26 PROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 2 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 3 still badgering residents and to this day badgering. 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 4 as to whether they'll go back to — these are the 2 evacuees, whether they'll go back to to be a reasonably outlined to a reposition 5 in that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 4 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 5 purpose, really? 26 Is MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 2 pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 13 Is aw you wince at the thought that people might simply 14 be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 5 is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents 6 or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 7 purposhable in the same way as it would be punishable by 6 evidence and directed him to a tend, yes. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give 6 evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 25 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 26 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 27 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 27 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 28 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 29 Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 6 six MARTIN M	20	they don't co-operate. And then if they don't	20	been 320. 40 one-bedroom flats. Even if they were
been told that 255 survived and 80 are missing and dead. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady in the front row. Page 37 Page 39 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are sat to whether they'll go back to their homes at evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my piegon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell as well? FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	21	co-operate in the light of that warning, they're at risk	21	so a calculation of 1.5 per flat, you're looking at 60.
Those numbers don't add up. There are still others that are unaccounted for then. Page 37 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are still badgering residents and to this day badgering, as to whether they'll go back to —these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my piepeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I sis that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. Those numbers don't add up. There are still others that are unaccounted for then. Page 39 Page 39 Those numbers don't add up. There are still others that are unaccounted for then. Page 39 Page 39 1 So it would be good to have a full list of the survivors so that we could actually make the calculations instead of assuming or having all these question marks on what happened to people who were maybe there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't the residents, And there were probably after people were so there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't people migh the there visiting residents, you know, there were reso many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't people migh the poople migh there visiting residents, you know, there were resolated to give a claulations instead of assuming or having all thes	22	of serious public vilification in the report.	22	So we're looking at already 380 residents, but we've
Page 37 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are saitll badgering residents and to this day badgering, as to whether they'll go back tothese are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 15 give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 16 court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: May be a subject to the same regime. Yes. Page 39 So it would be good to have a full list of the survivors so that we could actually make the survivors with two evel for people were have question marks on what happened to people who were maybe there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the residents. And there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were hear visiting residents, you know, there were p	23	FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you.	23	been told that 255 survived and 80 are missing and dead.
FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are still badgering residents and to this day badgering, acalculations instead of assuming or having all these pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames as to whether they'll go back to - these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my punishable in the same way as it would be good to have a full list of the survivors so that we could actually make the calculations instead of assuming or having all these question marks on what happened to people who were maybe there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the residents. And there were probably after people were housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors letter as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors letter, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think perhaps to reassure the lady	24	Those numbers don't add up. There are still others that
1 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 2 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 3 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 5 as to whether they'll go back to — these are the 6 evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at 7 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 8 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 9 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 10 purpose, really? 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 12 pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 13 I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply 14 be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 15 is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents 16 or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 17 punishable in the same way as it would be good to have a full list of the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 decleutions instead of assuming or having all these 4 question marks on what happened to people who were maybe 4 there visiting residents, you know, there were so many 2 people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the 2 residents. And there were probably after people were 3 housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't 3 accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two 3 adults and two children, they may have had a third child 3 by the time the fire happened. Whether they were 3 actually registered as living in the property — we will 3 never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list 4 of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll 5 list should have a full mubers, but we need to have a list 6 of the survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the 8 survivors been, so we can't confirm that those 255 8 survivors hasn't been issued to any of the 8 survivors have a full list of the 9 caculations inste	25	in the front row.	25	are unaccounted for then.
1 FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of 2 the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are 3 still badgering residents and to this day badgering, 4 pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames 5 as to whether they'll go back to — these are the 6 evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at 7 their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see 8 that anybody should answer to TMO right now as 9 an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for 10 purpose, really? 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my 12 pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because 13 I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply 14 be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position 15 is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents 16 or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is 17 punishable in the same way as it would be good to have a full list of the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 survivors so that we could actually make the 2 decleutions instead of assuming or having all these 4 question marks on what happened to people who were maybe 4 there visiting residents, you know, there were so many 2 people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the 2 residents. And there were probably after people were 3 housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't 3 accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two 3 adults and two children, they may have had a third child 3 by the time the fire happened. Whether they were 3 actually registered as living in the property — we will 3 never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list 4 of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll 5 list should have a full mubers, but we need to have a list 6 of the survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the 8 survivors been, so we can't confirm that those 255 8 survivors hasn't been issued to any of the 8 survivors have a full list of the 9 caculations inste		D 27		D 20
the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are still badgering residents and to this day badgering, the resurring them to make decisions in short time-frames as to whether they'll go back to these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position to ro give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. survivors so that we could actually make the calculations instead of assuming or having all these question marks on what happened to people who were maybe there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the residents. And there were probably after people were housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE F		rage 37		rage 39
still badgering residents and to this day badgering, pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames as to whether they'll go back to these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the residents. And there were probably after people were housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors lett any on of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	1	FROM THE FLOOR: I am frustrated because TMO, who most of	1	So it would be good to have a full list of the
pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames as to whether they'll go back to these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position Is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. 4 question marks on what happened to people who were maybe there visiting residents, you know, there were so many people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the residents. And there were probably after people were housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll surv	2	the residents consider not fit for purpose, who are	2	survivors so that we could actually make the
as to whether they'll go back to these are the evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my leading to the criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. So there a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. So there visiting residents, you know, there were probably after people were housed, by accounted for two accounted for two accounted for as well. The survivors in the time and sate that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted f	3	still badgering residents and to this day badgering,	3	calculations instead of assuming or having all these
evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my Is a wy ou wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. Sir MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	4	pressuring them to make decisions in short time-frames	4	question marks on what happened to people who were maybe
their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. The survivors regarding cladding, residents. And there were probably after people were housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two accounted for as well. The survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we read that the survivors here,	5	as to whether they'll go back to these are the	5	there visiting residents, you know, there were so many
that anybody should answer to TMO right now as an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property — we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	6	evacuees, whether they'll go back to their homes at	6	people and so many factors involved, it wasn't just the
an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for purpose, really? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 9 accounted for as well. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	7	their own risk, all of this. I personally wouldn't see	7	residents. And there were probably after people were
purpose, really? So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. So where a family might have accounted for two adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	8	that anybody should answer to TMO right now as	8	housed, babies being born to families that maybe weren't
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 11 adults and two children, they may have had a third child by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 23 take that into account. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	9	an organisation. How can they still be seen as fit for	9	accounted for as well.
pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 12 by the time the fire happened. Whether they were actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	10	purpose, really?	10	So where a family might have accounted for two
I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 13 actually registered as living in the property we will never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. 18 The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 23 take that into account. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that in a way is not my	11	adults and two children, they may have had a third child
be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 14 never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	12	pigeon. The reason I was coming back on it was because	12	by the time the fire happened. Whether they were
is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 15 of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we can at least get clarification on numbers. The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly 23 take that into account. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	13	I saw you wince at the thought that people might simply	13	actually registered as living in the property we will
or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. 18	14	be criticised if they didn't comply. But the position	14	never know the full numbers, but we need to have a list
punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by a court. 18 a court. 19 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 21 FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 17 can at least get clarification on numbers. 18 The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 20 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	15	is that a refusal to comply with a request for documents	15	of the survivors. The council and the electoral roll
18 a court. 19 FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? 20 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 21 EROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give or a regime. Yes. 23 In MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. 26 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 27 TROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	16	or to give evidence does amount to a contempt which is	16	list should have a ballpark figure as well so that we
FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well? SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 19 survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255 20 survivors actually exist. And that is important to know. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	17	punishable in the same way as it would be punishable by	17	can at least get clarification on numbers.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 20 survivors actually exist. And that is important to 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards 25 to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	18	a court.	18	The survivors list hasn't been issued to any of the
evidence and directed him to attend, yes. FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat on a report for four years. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly take that into account. 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	19	FROM THE FLOOR: Does that apply to Gavin Barwell as well?	19	survivors here, so we can't confirm that those 255
evidence and directed him to attend, yes. 21 know. 22 FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat 23 on a report for four years. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give 25 evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 21 know. 22 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards 25 to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If I wanted Gavin Barwell to give	20	survivors actually exist. And that is important to
on a report for four years. 23 take that into account. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	21	evidence and directed him to attend, yes.	21	
on a report for four years. 23 take that into account. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 23 take that into account. 24 FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	22	FROM THE FLOOR: He was housing minister at the time and sat	22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes. All right, we'll certainly
evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	23	on a report for four years.	23	
evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes. 25 to Lakehouse and other contractors regarding cladding,	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Anyone who is called to give	24	FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just go back to as well with regards
Page 38 Page 40	25	evidence is subject to the same regime. Yes.	25	
$p_{age} 38$ $p_{age} 40$		D 42		D 46
1 agc 30	I	Page 38		Page 40

1

12

14

15

16

19

1 sprinkler systems and all of that? We've heard all 2 about those, but Lakehouse really affected me because 3 I live in south-east London and my son actually goes to 4 the school that Lakehouse -- the fire happened at my 5 son's school. So you can imagine my niece was lost at 6 Grenfell. This was really shocking for me to find out 7 that the fire happened at my son's school, that same 8 company was now maintaining the fire alarm systems at 9 Grenfell. If they caused a fire in Lewisham and were 10 being sued by Lewisham and my son's school, why were 11 they hired by Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea to 12 be in charge of a fire alarm system? That should never 13 have happened. 14 Hackney apparently are investigating something to do

with fraud with that same company, and they actually e-mailed all the town halls to look into the works that they've had carried out in their boroughs as well. So that to me -- all the contractors that were contracted to do the refurbishment in Grenfell, did they do the checks on every single company to make sure that they were capable of doing the job? Or were they just given a quote and thought, "Let's go with the cheapest offer and let's just go with them"?

23 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's exactly the sort of thing 25 that we are going to want to look into.

Page 41

1 shouldn't be in the country, and there may be people who 2 are scared to come forward and talk about those people.

You need to know that the meetings are going to be safe.

4 Nothing will be disclosed without the permission of the 5 person who comes forward.

6 But the only way we can start to get those ideas is

7 if people can give us that information, because one of 8 the things we want to look at is what records there

9 actually were of who was living there at the time.

10 Because it seems that there was no way of finding out in 11 any list who should've been there and who should've been

12 accounted for. And so we want to know that. But I can 13 only find that out if I can build that picture, and so

14 coming to see us is very, very important.

15 The other thing I wanted to say before I forget, the 16 person who said she wasn't a public speaker, of course,

17 like all people who say that was a really good public 18 speaker, but there are lots of people who I know would

19 not want to speak at all publicly. We will do anything

20 we can to make it easy for them and for you to speak to 21 us. So whether that's one to one, whether you want

22 a group to come in and speak together, whether you want

23 to bring a friend to come with you, whether you need

24 a phone call or even a visit, we're going to do that. 25 But without the information we can't actually help and

Page 43

FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you. 1

2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Who else would like to say 3

something?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Bernard would like to say something.

BERNARD RICHMOND: Hi, I'm Bernard Richmond. I am the person on the team who is going to be doing most of the work at the drop-in centres with my colleague here.

Just two or three things I wanted to make clear. As far as numbers are concerned, we've spoken to Fiona Wilcox, and I know her very well and I'm an assistant coroner at Westminster, but I'm not involved in the

exercise that she is involved in.

In terms of what we need to know from you is we're going to want to know as much as we can about the estate and what it was like and who was there and the people and the personalities and that's what I want to know. By the time the inquiry starts, I want to know every name of every person in every flat so that I know who fits in where.

So if you drop in to see us, we may ask you to tell us who you knew and if you know what's become of them and if you don't know. But one of the big problems is that there are going to be people there who may have been visiting because they were breaking fast with the their friends. There may have been people there who

Page 42

we can't work out where we're going to go.

2 As far as what you were saying, in terms of

3 documents, there's also the criminal sanctions for 4

perverting the course of justice. I can promise you 5 they would come down very heavily indeed. One of the

6 other reasons I'm here is because we're both criminal

7 lawyers and we're both defence lawyers and we spend

8 an awful lot of time talking about documents which

9 should be there which suddenly aren't. So we're going 10

to be very alive to that particular problem.

11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed, Bernard.

I do hope you will take advantage of the drop-in

13 facilities we're going to offer.

Yes, gentleman over there.

FROM THE FLOOR: Right, Sir Martin, your colleagues, just

a very quick introduction. I'm not a survivor, I'm not

17 a resident, I don't know anybody directly that was

18 affected, but I have come to know them since 14 June.

My name is Peter Herbert. I am a barrister, like

20 some other people here. But I have been fighting for

21 justice since I was really about 5 years old. And

22 I know people in tower blocks because my family is both

23 West African and British, working class white British

24 from the north east, and every time over the last

25 100 years there has been a major disaster, the

Page 44

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

establishment has appointed itself to examine itself and generally not heard either people of colour or the white working class in this country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I sat with Jack Straw and others on the Lawrence Inquiry, and was in Stephen Lawrence's parents' house three days after he was murdered. So we were some of the activists over many years going back to the Deptford fire that has been trying to call and hold the establishment to account.

So you're all really nice people, you're lovely, but you don't represent London. You have never represented London, apart from that privileged part that most of us only ever go to if we're lucky to aspire. And your body language when these things are raised is that you know that. You don't need me to tell you that. And that gives a problem, and my brother there who spoke so eloquently said it all. The origins of all of this do go back hundreds of years, in colonialism, racism and in a sense the way politics play out here.

I have questions, and I don't ask you to answer them now, but we will put our submissions in writing.

We are lawyers, we are minority lawyers and activists who stood back from being on the ground because we didn't think we had the right just because of our ethnicity or qualifications to go there. So we've

embarrassment to you and the people who stand behind you, which are the governments and those in authority

and in the private sector who have made money out of buildings like this for years.

5 So I hope that's not necessary. But believe me, we 6 will do it. We will do it.

In relation to the terms of reference, they have to be wide. That is the request that is coming back strong to us. You may not have given that indication, but your first comments filled us with alarm.

Any person, any person, in any capacity, who has one 12 meeting and then says, "You are likely to be 13 disappointed the terms of reference are going to be narrow", what on earth was that about? It was not

14 15 a good start. 16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I think to be fair, if you had

17 read the whole of the transcript of the interview, you'd 18 realise that the answer that was broadcast was taken out 19 of context and was given in response to a very different 20 question.

> FROM THE FLOOR: That is, in a sense, a matter of presentation. But in reality you have a whole media department behind you. You have the cabinet office here in attendance. There is no reason that presentational problems should be an issue. But it's not for me to put

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been listening and we've been watching carefully, very, very carefully and what we've seen alarms us.

Page 45

20 years ago we had to persuade Jack Straw and the British Government to have a diverse panel of advisors. They listened under some pressure. We ask you here what consultation was done about your appointment? There was none. What consultation did you do about the appointment of my fellow lawyers and civil servants here? There was none with you and there was none with anybody else. And therefore it's not surprising that, when you go to meetings, you receive less than a warm welcome. It's not just about ethnicity, it's about culture, custom, language and privilege.

That is something which is a test, and the test is this: if you don't think you have those qualifications, then step aside and let people who are qualified from our communities who do. But you won't, because privilege says you have a right to be there. So the other test is: do you have the ability to expand your numbers to make sure that you are inclusive of diversity and the people represented here? That is your test. If you fail that test, we will not, as we did 20 years ago, just go with the flow; we will judicially review your failure, because we think it is dramatically poor and it

your house in order or present it properly, it's for

Page 47

Therefore, in terms of presentation, the terms of reference have to be wide. You said -- I pick up two or three points, and I'm sorry if I take a little bit of time but you will have a substantive matter in writing, and if I am speaking out of turn, please stop me and I will sit down -- in terms of what you offered, there are at least probably 30 to 33 undocumented migrants who will never come to see you, no matter where you sit, because the Home Secretary has not given an assurance beyond 12 months' leave to remain. You know as well as I do that leave to remain, after 12 months you can be likely to be deported because your immigration status is precarious. Therefore, they will not come unless they're absolutely mad. Their dependants will not come. But they are important not only as human beings, which they are, they are key witnesses. Therefore, if you do not, if you cannot persuade this government to give them indefinite leave to remain, you will not get that search for the truth that you say you want. Therefore, that has to come from you. It cannot come from this community, it has to come from you. The second test. The third test is one of the way in which the

Page 48

inquiry is conducted. This hall is an appropriate size.

Page 46

will be unsustainable, and at the very least a political

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 The Lawrence Inquiry initially was going to be held in 2 a small hall. It took a lot of persuasion, a lot of 3 barracking of the Labour Government to get that change. 4 You have to accommodate possibly 855 people who are 5 related in one way or another to the victims of 6 Grenfell. The atrocity, and I accept that word because 7 it is nothing less than an atrocity in London in this 8 day and age. I have dealt with many, whether it be in 9 Rwanda, Lebanon, Sicily, everywhere else, but this is 10 one of the worst. 11 As far as the holding of it is concerned, I would 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

urge you to meet with, somewhere in central London or anywhere else, the lawyers who are representing people, the mental health professionals who are representing people and the charities who are supposedly handling this money, which doesn't appear to be getting through. At the meeting yesterday we had one account of somebody who couldn't even get a change of clothes to wear to attend a meeting and could not. That is absolutely appalling.

In terms of the advisors, you can tell people here you have not made up your mind, but if you fail, if you fail to have diversity of Local Authority expertise, social housing expertise, ethnicity expertise, to know why these people were ignored for so long, by the white give you his name if you like, he was here earlier. And as far as that's concerned, it is not about that individual. But on what planet are the Home Office if they do a canvas of civil servants and cannot come up with expert Asian, African, Arab-speaking, culturally aware civil servants who are at some of the most senior positions and work day in and day out in this Government and throughout this country to make sure you have the resources that you do.

If they are good enough to help deport our people, surely they're good enough to help report and prepare community engagement here. Whether it's Muslim, Hindu, Christian, white British working class, you do not represent anybody but yourselves. Therefore, when we were told yesterday by this individual, "Just trust us" -- I have lost count of the times where the establishment here has been white, male, privileged and has said "Just trust us". Whether it's Scarman, whether it's Macpherson, we have to work hard to make sure that you do and are held to account.

20 years after Lawrence, I would ask any of you here -- and you can tell this audience -- do you know what section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 says? Do you? Do you? Do you know? Well, that's it. It says that all public authorities -- all public authorities -- even

Page 49

people in power and authority in Kensington and Chelsea who have ruled for so long off the backs of the poor, or those who they perceive to be poor, then that will be subject to a judicial review. You cannot have any legitimacy to rule on the circumstances of that fire and its aftermath unless you have a panel of advisors.

Bishop John Sentamu, Dr Stone worked tirelessly to get Judge Macpherson, Lord Justice Macpherson, the Queen's cousin, to understand what racism meant. We know because we talked to them. He had absolutely no concept of what it meant. It doesn't mean sitting and being co-counsel with Courtenay Griffiths QC or going to say, "I've got some black or African or Asian friends" or liking a curry; it means hard, in your race institutional racism day in, day out.

And finally another question. If you are given civil servants to act on an inquiry -- it's not a personal issue -- we were told that one gentleman, who is the community engagement lead, volunteered. And he had, he said quite honestly, he has a background in anti-terrorism in the Prevent strategy. I cannot think of anything that makes a civil servant less qualified to assist this inquiry on community engagement than somebody who has a background in the Prevent strategy.

This is a majority Muslim victim community -- I'll

Page 50

Page 51

ones which derives their authority for a minister, have 2 a duty to provide equality for all and fairness and 3 justice. You need to read that section. If it doesn't 4 apply to you directly, it does indirectly because you're appointed under the Inquiry Act 2005 by a minister. The 5 fact that you're silent and don't know, please for 6 7 goodness' sake do some homework, because the degree of incompetence that you will bring to the table should not be left to this audience and many like it to build on.

> So, please, it is not personal. I'm sure you are beautiful and lovely people to go for a pint with or whatever else. But people here are not going for a drink on a Saturday night. They are grieving, they're in pain and the losses and the stories I've heard are appalling.

So I don't want to hear anything from you today. I don't want to hear a thing because it's not about me or people like me. We're simply trying to voice and articulate what my brothers and sisters and many other people here, and we are crying inside. You need to hear that and hear it properly.

(...Applause...)

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Who would like to speak next? FROM THE FLOOR: Good evening, people. I don't know what the correct address is, you guys over there. I know the

Page 52

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

11

12

22

24

3

establishment is obviously desperate to put the best face on this and so on and get it over with and forgotten about and so forth. As you know, there's a great deal of scepticism in the community concerning your role, your actual agenda and so forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

One thing I'd like to point out is that if it's going to continue in the level that I'm observing this evening where people are finding out last minute about something vital concerning the direction of this inquiry, all our heads should be there, there should be a full consultation, everybody should be aware of it, all survivors that wish to be here and concerned people.

This is really pathetic as a start. If that's your first move in terms of setting up this inquiry, as the gentleman said, you really need to get your acts together because you are under a phenomenal degree of scrutiny from this community. This is not any of the previous inquiries that we could refer to. This one is serious, so you guys need to stop joking. Make sure you're consulting the community. If you are having a consultation with a group, the entire group needs to know about this. We can't be discovering this by accident. So anything you're doing, do it effectively, otherwise you are going to know about it. Definitely going to hear the consequences. Keep your ends up, do you're going to do. And this is our experience of

2 consultation. 3 So we attend the consultation that you've already

4 decided what you're going to do, and then you have

5 a false consultation process, a lot of the time that

6 happens in a place that is out of the way of where the

7 thing actually happened, so we're not holding this in

8 Ladbroke Grove, we're holding it in Shepherd's Bush now.

9 Yeah? No one knows this is actually happening, they've 10

got to get here to actually be part of this, and is this

the attendance that should be here at this meeting?

So once again, it illustrates to us that this is 13 a false consultation process, that you've already

14 decided what you were going to do. Like was mentioned

15 before, you've already decided we're going to be

16 disappointed with the terms of reference. That's

17 a decision you've already made without us being

18 consulted, and now we're entering into a consultation

19 process that obviously you don't want everyone engaged

20 in, otherwise you wouldn't be holding it here outside of

21 the area where no one knows it's going on.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Now, we've got another meeting next

23 week. Do you have a suggestion as to where it should be

25 FROM THE FLOOR: What I would suggest is that the people

Page 55

Page 53

your things properly. Be professional.

(...Applause...)

FROM THE FLOOR: Yes, I would just like to second what my brother just said there. You see a lot of us that's here right now? We would not be here unless the sister in the front there sent us a message saying that this was happening tonight. We didn't know it was happening. This is what's going on. Half the people that you're seeing here now at the back, we're only here because we got a text half an hour before this meeting.

Now, I want to say one more thing about

consultation, because it's a bit like the corporate language that you fool everyone with and disenfranchise us over tea and biscuits. Corporate language. Yeah? "Consultation". What does "consultation" mean? As soon as "consultation" comes into being, someone's getting paid, know that. That word is linked to somebody getting paid, "consultation". Yeah? So there's already business going on here. All right? Now, our experience of the council and RBKC and other people's consultation process, the charities that are supposed to be representing us, is that like the

Page 54

talk about consultation, you've already decided what

brother before me just mentioned as well was that it's

clear to us as a community that by the time you come and

1 that's here tonight in this community, you take our

2 e-mails and our contacts and then when you are having

a meeting, you tell us where that meeting is happening

4 and we'll make sure this place is full. We'll make sure

5 this place is full.

6 FROM THE FLOOR: Tell them, I don't think they're listening.

7 FROM THE FLOOR: Is that agreed?

8 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you give us your e-mail

9 addresses, we can send you e-mails, of course.

10 FROM THE FLOOR: You should not have to be asking this group

11 of people to provide you with an address. Come on. You

12 have the mosque, the tabernacle church, umpteen places

13 in the borough. Please do not insult people here by

14 pretending that you need their efforts or advice. Five

15 weeks in and all these resources and this is the best

16 you can do? Come on, please. You get your act 17

together, get a community venue, get it and book it or

18 pay for it and get on with it and tell people in

19 advance. It just looks completely incompetent after

20 this period of time. I had a senior black policewoman

21 phone me up to say is the meeting still on because

22 there's hardly anybody here. That's because I worked on

23 the Police Authority for years. Come on, get on with it

24 and do it properly and professionally.

25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Point taken, thank you.

1	FROM THE FLOOR: Can I just suggest a change in the whole	1	landarship of the community. And as popula have been
1 2	strategy and ideology of this panel, and let me tell you	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	leadership of the community. And as people have been
3	why I would suggest a change in the strategy. Just look	3	saying, it's not difficult to deploy resources so people can be informed, radio stations, newspapers, public
4	at the history. Normally we are ignored. That is	4	address. This actually they say the last time we had
5	a given. And it's so secret. The masses are ignored,	5	something like this was during the war. This is like
6	the people are ignored. And the reason why we're	6	a war, you know. This is like a war. This incident
7	ignored is because there might be a thinking that we	7	could've taken place in every borough because every
8	have nothing to say, nothing useful to say. Right? So	8	borough is doing this, ignoring people who they can
9	that has been the status quo. We all know that.	9	afford to ignore. This could've happened anywhere.
10	But there are some things that should indicate to	10	So if we want a Britain that works and works for
11	you why you should change that thinking, and it's hard	11	everybody, and this can be the turning point, it doesn't
12	to change it. If you have a thinking that's been	12	have to be, but it can be the turning point, it doesn't
13	developed over a long time it's hard, it's difficult to	13	the opportunity now by beginning to respect people who
14	change that thinking. But that thinking needs to be	14	have shown great wisdom, great insight, and you need to
15	radically changed. I'll tell you why. All of this	15	rethink what you are doing and how you are approaching
16	could've been avoided if the people were listened to.	16	it.
17	Just reflect on that. Just reflect on the fact that	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
18	this is not a natural disaster. This is likely to be	18	(Applause)
19	a criminal act. It's criminal at least in the sense	19	FROM THE FLOOR: Good evening, sir. I am one of the
20	that the people weren't listened to. And the exact	20	residents from Grenfell Tower. I'm also part of
21	things the people were saying happened. And if they	21	Grenfell United, which you know about. I didn't know
22	were listened to, it would've been avoided.	22	about this meeting until about 20 minutes ago when I got
23	So if you had any doubt in the wisdom of the people	23	a message from him. That's not nice, that's not good.
24	in the past, that's one example of why you should think,	24	We're not going to gain your trust.
25	actually, maybe we should rethink how we look at that.	25	We really don't want you to be the person that does
	Page 57		Page 59
1	Right? Let's start to have some respect for people.	1	this thing because we don't think you're going to do
2	The second thing is that in the immediate aftermath,	2	anything to help us. We really do not want you. If
3	I spoke to somebody from the London Fire Brigade who is	3	people didn't want me to do something, they've got any
4	a consultant on resilience planning who said that what	4	trust in you, why are you still here? Why do you still
5	the people did in the immediate aftermath is the envy of	5	want to do it by all means necessary? Why don't you
6	every emergency service in the world.	6	just step down and let someone else do it? Or like he
7	(Applause)	7	said, we want people like him, this man here sorry,
8	So what you find is that where the Government	8	I don't know his name we want people like him sitting
9	failed, the people did what was necessary and continue	9	there. We don't just want all white faces sitting
10	to do what was necessary. So if you grow up with	10	there.
11	a mentality that says people of colour, they don't have	11	People that lived on the tower block, probably
12	nothing to say, you should be beginning to think, hold	12	80 per cent was people from Caribbean, Africa and places
13	on a second, maybe this is different, maybe these	13	like that, not a lot of white people. So why we got so
14	circumstances are different. These are wise people.	14	much white people trying to represent us? You people
15	I know it's difficult because when you are set in	15	don't understand us. You don't know what we go through.
16	your mindset, you think we're going to do it as we	16	And you were chosen not by us. If we chose you then it
17	always do it because that's what we always do, we fall	17	would have been easier, but we didn't choose you, you
18	back on what is comfortable and what we always thought.	18	were chosen by Mrs Theresa May. That's not fair.
19	But this is different. This is different and this can	19	We're again ignored time after time. Why do you
20	be different. I can guarantee you if you don't listen	20	have to do it? You think about it. If they don't want
21	to the people and respect the people, you will fail and	21	you, why are you doing it? We want people like him and
22	you will fail utterly, and when you fail it's going to	22	everybody else. We don't mind white people doing it,
23	be worse for everybody. What I would suggest is that you begin to rathink	23	but it can't just be all white people doing it.
24 25	What I would suggest is that you begin to rethink how you engage with the community and engage with the	24 25	I represent Grenfell Tower and Grenfell United and
23	now you engage with the community and engage with the	23	people don't want you. We're educated people, you know.
	Page 58		Page 60

1 should have politely declined the very first moment you 1 Because we live in a tower block don't mean we didn't go 2 2 to school. We've got good jobs, most of us went were intimated with taking this role? Are you aware of 3 3 universities. So don't treat us like we're dumb. the 52 different reasons why you are unbelievably 4 Everything little thing you're doing, you think we're 4 qualified to decline it and therefore reject even the 5 5 not noticing. Everything is getting noticed. So thought of it crossing your mind? Are you aware that 50 per cent of the people in that 6 please, please, everything you do, think about it step 6 7 7 block, that tower block that was incinerated, will not by step, because it's going to come back and bite you. 8 It would come back and bite you, seriously. Please, 8 be able to hear you or understand you without 9 yeah? And if the inquiry starts and it is not going the an interpreter? Are you aware of that? 10 way we want it to go, we are all going to boycott it 10 Are you aware of the fact that you and I are both 11 because it happened to us, not you, and if we're not 11 privileged, as well as Peter, we're privileged, because 12 12 we can articulate our views, because we can work out of there, then who are you representing? Who are you 13 helping? Nobody. 13 the environment if we don't. 14 So you think about yourself and, yeah, we want 14 Are you aware of the pain -- pain -- that the people 15 women, men, black, white, Indian people to represent us, 15 feel that led to this? The absolute deafness and 16 officialdom that led to this? You know, this is no 16 if you're not going to step down. 17 17 (...Applause...) accident at all. Every single blind person saw this 18 18 FROM THE FLOOR: I've got a very short thing to say. You coming, every deaf person heard it coming. That e-mail 19 have zero, zero confidence in the eyes of the community. 19 that was sent August 2014 to the TMO. 20 20 Just bear that in mind. Zero confidence. Every step So we are doing you the biggest favour of your 21 that you take, consider that it is a step that you have 21 career by even suggesting that you step down. We'll do 22 22 taken to win some minor degree of confidence from you the biggest personal favour, because you know that 23 23 a group of people who have zero confidence in your your name will go down in history in utter ridicule, 24 agenda, in your competence, any it. You were born with 24 undermining the best education you've gotten and your 25 25 a silver spoon in your mouth, never had any kind of long years of practising as a corporate, world respected Page 61 Page 63 1 struggle or worked your mind in any kind of way. 1 individual 2 Hear this: remember we have no faith in you. Every 2 Are you aware that we're trying to save you? We're 3 move you make, make sure it is something commendable 3 not trying to save ourselves. Because some of us have 4 that we can say, wow, well done, not a result like this, 4 lost it all. Do you know that? Some of us don't have 5 where you have something for a community from way over 5 any reason to live because the reason why we lived has 6 there over here. Not just in Shepherd's Bush, in some been incinerated. Children. 6 7 obscure corner that the majority of us have never been 7 Are you aware that we are not interested in 8 8 in this road. Why here? We are watching every move a whitewash? That we are not even interested in 9 9 justice. We just want the truth first. That's what we that you make, every half step, every micro-mill we are 10 10 watching you. You are under scrutiny. Fix up. are crying for. 11 Are you aware that Theresa May happened to be the 11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Okay, now ... 12 12 FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you very much for coming. I am Chris daughter of a vicar and she was not brought up to ignore 13 Imafidon. My daytime job is as a professor of 13 grieving widows? Are you aware that she has not made 14 14 any personal contact with men and women here? Has not informatics. My connection with this community dates 15 15 back to 1989. I got my first job in this community. written handwritten notes of condolence? Do you think 16 I would've got married in this community if not we had 16 she's a member of the human race? Is there any humanity 17 left in a woman that abandons other women that are 17 to go to church further in east London. 18 grieving, and are not even sure they will get the bodies 18 Are you aware that members of this community met 19 19 of their children back? Mrs May on Friday, after the atrocities were committed? 20 Are you aware of these facts? If you're aware, sir, 20 You're aware of that? Are you aware that we made 21 representations to her and she assured us, as fellow 21 please do yourself a massive favour, don't let this 22 become another child abuse inquiry, whether it be one 22 human beings, that our concerns and our cries would be 23 judge and another stepping down, another person -- why 23 taken into consideration. 24 can't you just say for once, "I want to be a human 24 So are you aware of the 52 reasons why you 25 being, I want to have some feelings and understand that 25 therefore, because of your long career in the bench, Page 62 Page 64

3

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

3

10

11

20

there are no values to life because the American constitution says we want the truth to be self-evident and all men are created equal."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

1

7

10

22

23

Are you aware that there is no poverty in that block of flats, because you may look at the bank accounts and begin to judge people on poverty, but every child that got incinerated there had 1 million million brain cells in their brain when they were born, and each brain cell has enough computing power to put a man on the moon. Just one brain cell. So we incinerated geniuses, that's what I'm trying to say.

Are you aware, therefore, the value that the Government has placed on these children does not deserve even you, one minute of your time, considering their positions at all? Do you understand what I'm trying to sav?

There are children there that will have discovered a cure for cancer. We will never know. There are children there that will have run the Olympics for Britain. We will never know. And even in death, even in death, we are burying the truth instead of burying our babies and burying our women and burying our children.

Can you just prove some humanity? And say the Prime Minister deserves only one thing from you: rebuke. The

whether there is proof of intelligent life on Mars.

- 2 I want to send probes to Parliament to see whether there
 - is humanity left in Parliament, because Parliament is
- 4 going on holiday. We haven't buried our dead.
- 5 A national disaster. We haven't declared one week of
- 6 mourning. It's a national disaster. It's apocalyptic.
- 7 We haven't commanded all the military men that we have
 - and minds that we have to go through the building. We
- 9 don't have all the dogs from Heathrow and Gatwick to go
- 10 sniff for the human remains. They have dogs in Gatwick
- 11 and Heathrow telling us the difference between 12
 - a terrorist and a tourist.

questions.

But we have incinerated men and women. Sir, please, prove you're a man with a heart. Please, prove you're a man that has regard for humanity. Please. Let's bury our dead. Let's behave as human. Until the Government leads a (inaudible) that will mourn babies as if it's their biological babies, dissociate yourself. Nothing more you can gain. No respect. No amount of money that you can gain from doing this. You get absolutely nothing. So that's my advice. And those are the

23 I have 52 reasons why you should resign. I am going 24 to send that to you.

I understand that this committee is meeting at the

Page 65

- Government deserves only one thing from you as a human
- 2 being: rebuke. The Parliament deserves only one thing
- 3 from you: rebuke and rejection. Because you have been
- 4 schooled in law and logic. I understand that. I have 5 had the privilege of teaching in Cambridge.
- 6 I understand what you think. But you seem to have
 - deleted all of that for the momentary gain of what?
- 8 Sir, if you are aware of this, I beg you, 9
 - disassociate yourself from anything to do with this officialdom, because the coroner -- when we met the
- 11 coroner on 4 July, you're aware what the coroner told 12
- us? It is an apocalyptic disaster. Where is the 13 response to an apocalyptic disaster? That means it's
- 14 a national tragedy. It's a national disgrace. All of
- 15 us should be mourning and holding the hands of people
- 16 that came out there through the smoke, all who went
- 17 through the smoke. He tied every single blanket he had
- 18 in his flat to get himself down. Having gone through
- 19 the fire, this man does not deserve to even sit here.
- 20 This family does not deserve to sit here and hear this
- 21
 - Do you understand? I just want you to be human and rebuke Parliament and say, "I am ashamed of being
- 24 a member of the human race".
- 25 They are sending probes from Earth to Mars to find

Page 66

Page 67

- 1 Royal Courts of Justice. You have an office at the
- 2 Royal Courts of Justice. Is that true?
 - SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Certainly, yes.
- 4 FROM THE FLOOR: At the Royal Courts of Justice. You are
- 5 inquiring into what happened in North Kensington. You
- 6 are in a tower. The people are crying and weeping. You
- 7 are in a tower. You have Westminster. If Parliament is
- 8 not for the people, why is there Parliament? It's of no
- 9 good to itself.

Please prove you have a heart.

(...Applause...)

12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: There is someone at the back there 13 with their hand up who hasn't spoken yet.

14 FROM THE FLOOR: Good evening. I'm Junior, I've been

15 volunteering around the area since this fire happened.

16 My advice to the panel is one of communication and

17 structure. The outcry of the people needs to be

18 listened to. The communication is not forthcoming, and

19 that feeds into the propaganda and the unrest on the

ground. You need to be releasing statements as you are 21 finding them so people are aware of the truth. When you

22 leave all of us just to assume stuff, and guess and make

23 assumptions about everything that is going on, then the

24 results of that and the behaviours and the reception you

25 give wherever you go, that onus lies on you to be given

our approval. If you weren't giving our defined and proven statement of your findings that would not interfere with the investigation as it goes along, you will start gaining some ground.

2.5

It has to do with structure. You have heard what everybody is saying. They are qualified people, in the minority, whose assistance you can employ to help you, who can reach the community who can reach people and give you information that, no matter how much money you spent, you would not be able to get if the composition of this panel stays as it is.

There has been different volunteering hubs all around. When the Government started getting involved with the council the volunteers on the ground that they put out to give tracks were not engaging with anybody, they were huddling around in the neighbourhood and running out. People need to be spoken to, people need to be engaged with for you to get the real picture. As of now this is a remote control operation and it's not going anywhere.

There is documented evidence this atrocity could have been averted. You have council that has e-mailed, that has approaches made by people from the tower, the action group, that started hinting about this fire a few years ago.

say.

What happened, I was about to begin a youth project that was attempting to engage with the youth of north Kensington in the redevelopment policies that they were victim to. Grenfell Tower sits within that, and the day that I was supposed to begin my project Grenfell Tower burnt, and to a certain extent now what I was trying to get out of that process, which was an understanding of what third sector organisations, the KCTMO and RBKC and big developers were doing, supposedly in the interests of the community, actually turned out to be the creation of a deathtrap.

Now, my understanding from the media reports -- and you may want to clarify this after I've spoken -- is that the terms of reference that you are working with will bracket off a significant amount of the history of redevelopment and the real estate of that area, and that although it may seem abstract it is incredibly related to what happened, because those people burnt because people didn't view their lives as worthwhile, they viewed the land as worthwhile. They had redevelopment plans for Colchester, they had redevelopment plans for Grenfell, they had redevelopment plans for the whole of the Latimer area, just like they did in Notting Hill and Ladbroke Grove. And the people of north Kensington in

Page 69

There is a document of them being labelled for slander and all of that, so that was a good starting point. The scope of this investigation has not been made known to everybody. So the way you release the information is very important, and pay attention to the structure. Don't rush to start things off until you can get it right because at the minute where you're standing you might have a good heart, good plan, but you got to feed the human factor in everything you're doing. The unrest is just too much to comprehend any corporate steps that are being taken. Certain figureheads need to be involved for you to get this, trust certain figure heads need to be approached for you to get the right It's worth taking note of. Thank you. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much (...Applause...) FROM THE FLOOR: Hello, I'm Daniel Raneck(?), I'm a youth worker in the Latimer area. I work at the Harrow Club. I've been a youth worker in the area for a number of years and I've been connected to a number of campaigns. Just bracketing off what everyone else has said --and I don't want to say this in any way to contradict what people have said -- I do believe the composition of this panel should change, but if this is about the terms of reference then there are a few things I would like to

Page 70

Page 71

general know what that means, and this exists within a context. That doesn't mean that Grenfell isn't something that has to be looked at in a very particular sense, I understand that there has to be that and that is why I think when people say that the terms of reference have to be as wide as possible, what people are saying is that everything that went wrong during that fire, whether that be the cladding, whether that be the installation, whether that be the exposed gasworks, whether that be the closed off fire escape, all of the stuff, the litany of contempt that you can find within the KCTMO's actions from 94 up until the day the tower burnt, that should all be there.

But also what should be there is a general understanding of what the land battle is in north Kensington.

But also, after the fact, I think you have to look at what the KCTMO did in regard to trying to establish the lists from referenced relief centres, why the KCTMO or why the -- present, no, statutory services base themselves out of two sides. Peter Herbert made the great point a minute ago in regards to what -- the position of undocumented people, the lack of provision that was made there, given the amount of people who didn't have language as a first -- I'm sorry, if you

Page 72

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

1 walked around the Latimer Road area for three weeks 1 those young people who have now had to watch a 2 there was no signage other than in English. You have an 2 (inaudible) not only preside what happened up until that 3 fact but then after it. incredibly racist, institutionally racist thing staring 3 4 you in the face in just signage alone. The amount of 4 If a cloak of normality or officialdom is put over 5 scandals that I felt and the amount of hats I had to 5 this, and a narrow terms of reference put on this to 6 wear because of a complete failure of a Local Authority 6 cover the arses -- excuse my language -- of the elite 7 7 to do the basic thing in regards to life that brought in within this area, then you are building it in to 8 a voluntary effort from nationwide or a councillor which 8 an entire community who have already gone through too 9 9 was nowhere present. much, way too much trauma, way too much, and that will 10 You've got a past that has to be taken into 10 be on everyone's head that allows that to happen. 11 consideration, you have the particularities of the 11 Something radical needs to come out of this. I beg of 12 12 KCTMO. For me I'm slightly baffled legally why somebody you that you make these terms of reference as wide as 13 like Rock Feilding-Mellen or Robert Black aren't in 13 possible and heed every word that is coming to you 14 prison right now because we know it was from Rock 14 because if you don't and if this isn't looked at in its 15 Feilding-Mellen that led them to change the contract 15 totality we are going to have to have such a scandal on 16 from Ledbetter to Rydon that led to the disgraceful 16 our hands (...Applause...) 17 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. cladding that was put on that building. For me, once 18 you have that established -- and that's there, that's on 18 FROM THE FLOOR: I just want to say one thing on top of 19 the record, that's not even debated any more. 19 that as well of what he's just mentioned. Do you have 20 20 So when you have all of these things stacked up you children? Do you, the panel, have children? How would 21 have a very clear case for a very wide public inquiry 21 you be feeling now if you'd lost those children? We're 22 22 that should take everything into consideration, which going to be asking those same children to go back to 23 should, just like the great reports of the past that 23 school in September, and we're gonna them to be good at 24 were led by public pressure, lead to fundamental change 24 school. Yeah? At the same time as that same country, 25 25 in Government. Meaning that there has to be local that same society that we live in, showing those same Page 73 Page 75 1 communities that are given the ability to have the 1 children that you don't care about their lives, you 2 rights over what happens to their land. Because 2 don't care about their mothers, their fathers, their 3 3 actually I mean I came back to the country to engage in aunties, their uncles, their grandfathers, nieces, 4 the number of campaigns because that area is very close 4 nephews. This is a big problem. Because this young man 5 to my heart, as a west Londoner I see it as the beating 5 here, he's watching your behaviour, he's reading your 6 heart of this part of the city and anything that happens 6 energy. Because young people, yeah, read energy. They 7 in that area will determine what happened to the rest of 7 don't listen to all the talk talk talk talk, that 8 it. So I joined the campaign, of which Niles is here as 8 goes over their head, what they read is energy. Yeah? q Chair of the Westway23 to engage with what was happening 9 And they are reading your energy now, and they are going 10 with that land and a battle to maintain communities but 10 to remember that energy. 11 also an appreciation of the existing communities of 11 So think about the future generations that's coming 12 north Kensington, places like the Westway Trust, places 12 now, don't think about your own selves and your own 13 like the KCTMO, organisations that are working hand in 13 reputations, yeah? Think about the next generation 14 glove with big developers need to -- like, the scope of 14 that's coming up, living in a country that is showing 15 that corruption and the level at which, if I were 15 them that they don't care, from the top down, sitting in your seats I would be drawing a very 16 16 Government, charities, so-called charities, yeah? TMOs, 17 complicated web right now that would see just how much 17 all of this. It's giving a clear, clear clear message 18 these splinters go there. If you restrict that you are 18 to our young people that you don't value their lives, 19 making an incredibly political decision, a decision that 19 and if that don't wake you up to do something I don't 20 will have huge ramifications. If you are able right 20 know what will. Yeah? Because maybe your own children 2.1 now, regardless of anything else you want to take from 21 would turn against you because you didn't do anything. 22 this room, to make the decision to not widen that, to 22 (...Applause...) 23 take into consideration what I've just said to you, 23 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I just say, we've only got the 24 would be the greatest insult. And believe me that, as 24 hall until actually half past eight so we've overrun 25 25 a youth worker in that area, my greatest concern is already.

Page 74

1	FROM THE FLOOR: I've got a very quick question.	1	stop now. If it's very quick.
2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'll take yours and I'll take this	2	(Pause)
3	lady.	3	FROM THE FLOOR: Seems a bad statement but it's bolting the
4	FROM THE FLOOR: So as you are doing an inquiry I'm trying	4	gate while the horses have gone. With all this
5	to find out if you are also inquiring into the KNC	5	cladding, if this hadn't taken place, no one would never
6	Foundation, because the people on the board are also	6	know what's going on.
7	part of the people who are responsible for the fire at	7	And concerning the children, the trauma that they're
8	Grenfell and they've taken a lot of money for the people	8	going through is terrible. And what can I say? It's
9	for Grenfell inside of that foundation. So I'd like you	9	just so frustrating because all people need is support
10	to also do an inquiry into that and how they are	10	and help in the right area where it's needed, because we
11	collecting money and collecting donations for people who	11	could never imagine what they're going through. To be
12	actually really need it and don't want any association	12	burnt alive and wait for death in a building that's
13	with those people at all. That's my question, that's	13	burning, it's really really bad. Think of the families.
14	what I want you to inquire into as well. That's it.	14	You see, we are sitting here comfortable, we're able to
15	KNC Foundation, yeah?	15	sit here and express ourselves, they're not, so you
16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm not sure I can answer that	16	can't blame the families for standing up for what they
17	immediately.	17	believe in. They need justice.
18	FROM THE FLOOR: Please put that as part of your inquiry as	18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, I've found this a very very
19	well. Because they shouldn't be entitled to deal with	19	humbling meeting because I heard things this evening
20	anything to do with of these people at all.	20	which I hadn't heard before, and I think it's very
21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Okay.	21	important that I hear them and those of my team hear
22	FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you.	22	them as well.
23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Now, we are going to have to stop.	23	I'm sorry we've run out of time and I'm very
24	But this lady at the front has, I think, a particular	24	grateful to all of you who have come to talk to us this
25	reason for having another person.	25	evening. And we'll take what you have told us very much
	72 - 22		D 50
	Page 77		Page 79
1	FROM THE FLOOR: Hello, my name is Hanna Showy, I'm a	1	to heart.
2	relative of Farah Hamdan who died in the fire with her	2	FROM THE FLOOR: If you really give this energy, before we
3	husband and two of their three daughters.	3	leave can all of us here have one minute's silence for
4	My question is really quick, it's about community	4	Grenfell together?
5	engagement. I would just like to ask the panel, are you	5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's a very good idea. Let's do
6	aware that there was a meeting this evening at Maxilla	6	it now.
7	Centre taking place at the same time as your meeting?	7	(Pause for a minute's silence)
8	Were you aware of that? Organised by Justice for	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you all very much.
9	Grenfell?	9	(The meeting concluded)
10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think I was certainly invited to	10	(8.50 pm)
11	attend a meeting, but not, I think, on one organised	11	
12	by Justice for Grenfell.	12	
13	FROM THE FLOOR: Right. Because to me this lack of	13	
14	communication and lack of people actually talking to	14	
15	each other makes no sense. Us as families who, you	15	
16	know, not only are we grieving but we're stretched for	16	
17	time, everybody wants a piece of us, and it's not okay,	17	
18	you know. If you want there's many many reasons that	18	
19	people more eloquent than me have expressed this	19	
20	evening, but if you want people to trust you, you	20	
21	actually need to not double book us like this. It's not	21	
22	okay.	22	
23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's a very fair point, I agree	23	
24	with you.	24	
25	All right, I'm sorry, I think we're going to have to	25	
	Page 78		Page 80
_			

A	advance 56:19	answers 28:14	79:10	auntie 22:25
abandons 64:17	advantage 28:11	anti-terrorism	areas 5:1	aunties 76:3
abdication 22:6	44:12	50:21	arranged 3:19	authorities 36:10
ability 46:19 74:1	advice 13:1,4 21:2	Anton 37:4	arrest 32:23	51:25,25
able 5:3 6:10 12:19	21:6,20,21 24:14	anybody 36:2 38:8	arrived 20:18	authority 15:15
23:20 28:17 63:8	37:7 56:14 67:21	39:4 44:17 46:10	arses 75:6	20:19 21:13 26:8
69:10 74:20 79:14	68:16	51:14 56:22 69:15	articulate 52:19	47:2 49:23 50:1
absolute 35:6 63:15	advisors 46:4 49:21	anyway 15:7 18:24	63:12	52:1 56:23 73:6
absolutely 48:16	50:6	29:10,15	ashamed 66:23	available 5:25 8:13
49:19 50:10 67:20	afford 59:9	apart 45:12	Asian 50:13 51:5	17:1 28:22
abstract 71:18	Africa 60:12	apocalyptic 66:12	aside 46:16	averaging 39:19
abuse 64:22	African 30:15	66:13 67:6	asked 3:3 7:2 28:7	averted 69:22
abuses 30:19 32:3	44:23 50:13 51:5	appalling 49:20	asking 3:15,16 20:9	avoid 3:10
accept 35:1 49:6	aftermath 50:6	52:15	27:3 56:10 75:22	avoided 57:16,22
access 6:9	58:2,5	apparatus 14:7	aspect 31:2 32:3	aware 8:19 13:15
accident 24:13	afternoon 24:14	apparently 41:14	aspects 29:25	16:7 51:6 53:11
53:23 63:17	age 49:8	appear 9:20 49:16	aspire 45:13	62:18,20,20,24
accommodate 49:4	agenda 53:5 61:24	appears 36:11	assist 17:4 27:6	63:2,6,9,10,14
account 4:22 25:23	ago 46:3,22 59:22	Applause 52:22	50:23	64:2,7,11,13,20
26:4 30:17 40:23	69:25 72:22	54:2 58:7 59:18	assistance 69:7	64:20 65:4,12
45:9 49:17 51:20	agree 17:20 24:8	61:17 68:11 70:16	assistant 42:10	66:8,11 68:21
accountable 33:4,5	35:14 78:23	75:16 76:22	assisting 19:11	78:6,8
accounted 40:9,10	agreed 2:15 56:7	application 6:15	association 10:20	awful 10:24,25
43:12	ahead 14:10	applications 6:19	10:20 77:12	44:8
accounts 26:21,24	alarm 41:8,12	apply 6:7 38:19	assume 68:22	B
65:5	47:10	52:4	assuming 40:3	babies 40:8 65:22
acquire 34:10	alarms 21:8 46:2	appointed 21:13 45:1 52:5	assumptions 68:23	67:17,18
act 36:25 50:17	alive 44:10 79:12		assurance 48:11 assured 62:21	back 8:25 13:18,22
51:23 52:5 56:16	allocated 39:16 allowed 22:16	appointing 19:7 appointment 46:6	assured 62:21 atrocities 62:19	14:2,4,12,13
57:19	allows 75:10	46:8	atrocity 35:2,5 49:6	15:19 26:10,10,17
acting 6:17	aluminium 17:12	appreciation 74:11	49:7 69:21	27:16,21 29:20
action 20:22 25:14	Amanda 1:20	approach 4:1	attacks 21:25	30:1 34:22 35:11
33:22 69:24	American 65:1	approached 70:13	attempting 6:2	38:5,6,12 40:24
actions 32:12 72:12	amount 38:16	approaches 69:23	71:3	45:7,18,23 47:8
active 6:10	39:17 67:19 71:16	approaching 59:15	attend 38:21 49:19	54:9 58:18 61:7,8
activists 45:7,23	72:24 73:4,5	appropriate 48:25	55:3 78:11	62:15 64:19 68:12
acts 53:15	Anals 39:9	approval 69:1	attendance 47:24	74:3 75:22
actual 53:5	Andrew 2:20	Arab-speaking	55:11	background 2:5
add 27:20 39:16,24	anecdotally 26:20	51:5	attention 70:5	50:20,24
address 19:1,5	Anne 17:8	area 8:11,14 30:16	attitude 30:13	backs 50:2
34:23 52:25 56:11 59:4	answer 1:18 12:20	31:17,22 55:21	audience 51:22	backwards 27:13
addresses 56:9	17:1 19:19 20:11	68:15 70:18,19	52:9	bad 21:21 79:3,13
addresses 56:9 adequate 5:9	27:4 34:1 38:8	71:17,24 73:1	August 7:15,23	badgering 38:3,3
adequate 5:9 adults 40:11	45:20 47:18 77:16	74:4,7,25 75:7	8:10 63:19	baffled 73:12
auu115 40.11		, , , , 		balance 3:15
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

				1 age 02
ballpark 40:16	biggest 63:20,22	breathing 14:7	38:24	73:15,24
bank 65:5	Binar 39:9	bridge 36:9	Cambridge 66:5	changed 12:2 13:20
barracking 49:3	biological 67:18	brigade 5:25 6:1	Cameron 31:21,21	16:18 24:11,14
barrister 2:6 44:19	biscuits 54:14	11:9 58:3	33:19	57:15
Barwell 38:19,20	Bishop 50:7	brilliant 25:15	campaign 74:8	changes 13:2 33:10
base 72:20	bit 2:4 4:9 6:4 7:15	bring 16:13 43:23	campaigning 14:22	charge 41:12
based 33:15	9:7,8 10:1 39:12	52:8	campaigns 70:20	charities 31:2
basic 73:7	48:5 54:12	Britain 59:10 65:20	74:4	49:15 54:21 76:16
basically 31:8	bite 61:7.8	British 44:23,23	cancer 65:18	76:16
battle 72:15 74:10	black 35:20 50:13	46:4 51:13	canvas 51:4	cheapest 41:22
battles 14:14 15:13	56:20 61:15 73:13	broad 3:10 8:1	capable 41:21	checks 21:14 41:20
battling 10:22	blame 14:10 79:16	broadcast 47:18	capacity 47:11	Chelsea 10:23
bear 19:17 61:20	blanket 66:17	brother 18:3 23:4	card 4:5	14:15,24 30:9
beat 24:23	blaze 6:1	25:2 26:19 39:10	cards 4:2	41:11 50:1
beating 74:5	blind 63:17	45:16 54:4,23	care 76:1,2,15	child 22:21 40:11
beautiful 52:11	block 22:14 60:11	45:10 54:4,25 brothers 52:19	career 62:25 63:21	64:22 65:6
bed 23:2,3	61:1 63:7,7 65:4	brought 15:8,10	carefully 15:23	children 22:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	blocks 21:18 44:22	64:12 73:7	46:1,2	
beg 15:18 66:8 75:11	board 10:21 16:11		Caribbean 60:12	40:11 64:6,19
	77:6	build 36:4 43:13 52:9	Caribbeans 30:15	65:13,17,19,23
beginning 7:22				75:20,20,21,22
58:12 59:13	bodies 5:12 19:5	building 5:4,6,8,13	carried 41:17	76:1,20 79:7
behalf 6:17 18:3	64:18	12:4,19 13:6,21	carry 7:3	choice 17:10,18
23:7 25:5 26:19	body 45:13	15:24 17:10,10,19	carrying 5:18	choose 60:17
behave 67:16	bogged 3:13	19:11 20:15 67:8	case 4:24 22:12	chose 60:16
behaviour 30:13	boiler 12:8	73:17 75:7 79:12	24:24 73:21	chosen 17:11,13
31:4,4 76:5	bolting 79:3	buildings 12:6	cases 2:18	60:16,18
behaviours 68:24	book 56:17 78:21	25:11 47:4	Casualty 24:16,17	Chris 62:12
beings 48:17 62:22	bore 14:19	built 11:15 33:16	categorically 22:18	Christian 51:13
believe 27:22 33:8	born 40:8 61:24	Bureau 24:16,17	caused 30:24 41:9	church 56:12 62:17
35:23 47:5 70:23	65:8	buried 67:4	cell 65:8,10	circumstances 50:5
74:24 79:17	borough 10:22	burning 79:13	cells 65:7	58:14
Belkadi 25:6	14:15,24 30:8,10	burnt 21:11 71:7	cent 60:12 63:6	city 74:6
bench 62:25	41:11 56:13 59:7	71:19 72:13 79:12	central 12:8 49:12	civil 2:6 4:19 46:8
Bernard 1:22 42:4	59:8	bury 67:15	Centre 78:7	50:17,22 51:4,6
42:5,5 44:11	boroughs 41:17	burying 65:21,21	centres 42:7 72:19	clad 12:4
Bernard's 2:12	bottle 11:24	65:22,22	certain 30:13 35:15	cladding 13:5
best 8:17,23 10:2	bottom 11:12	Bush 55:8 62:6	70:11,12 71:7	31:19 40:25 72:8
27:3 53:1 56:15	boundary 27:9	business 54:19	certainly 9:1 12:11	73:17 79:5
63:24	boxes 11:17		34:19 36:17 40:22	clarification 39:12
better 18:23 23:20	boycott 61:10		68:3 78:10	40:17
26:23	bracket 71:16	cabinet 47:23	chair 1:13 74:9	clarify 36:22 71:14
beyond 48:12	bracketing 70:21	calculation 39:21	chance 28:9	class 44:23 45:3
bicycle 24:23	brain 65:7,8,8,10	calculations 40:3	change 35:8 49:3	51:13
big 42:22 71:10	breaking 42:24	call 35:2,25 36:23	49:18 57:1,3,11	clear 4:18 27:9
74:14 76:4	breathe 14:11	43:24 45:8	57:12,14 70:24	32:8 33:25 42:8
		called 15:3 16:11		
	•	•	<u> </u>	•

54:24 73:21 76:17 76:17,17 comments 47:10 committed 62:19 concerning 53:4,9 51:2 53:12 concerning 53:4,9 consulting 53:20 contact 4:3 28:5 13:1 15:8,10 contact 4:3 28:5 17:22 25:13 27:1 34:13 64:14 29:4,12 40:15
76:17,17 clearly 9:23,24 close 19:20 close 19:20 close 19:23 committee 67:25 communicated 26:22 concluded 20:12 solden 20:12 concluded 20:12 solden 26:7,13,14 68:16 close 18:23 close 18:24 communities 30:24 closes 7:23 close 18:25 close 18:26 close 18:27 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:29 close 18:29 close 18:29 close 18:20 close 18:20 close 18:20 close 18:20 close 18:26 close 18:26 close 18:26 close 18:26 close 18:27 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:28 close 18:29
clearly 9:23,24 committee 67:25 79:7 34:13 64:14 29:4,12 40:15 cloak 75:4 26:22 concluded 20:12 contacts 56:2 contacts 56:2 councillor 73:8 close 9:4 74:4 communication 80:9 contempt 37:13,14 counsel 1:21,22 closer 18:23 68:18 78:14 conducted 48:25 conducted 48:25 context 47:19 72:2 count 37:13 51:16 closes 7:23 communities 30:24 de:17 74:1,10,11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 45:3 51:8 74:3 club 70:18 community 11:11 61:20,22,23 continuing 32:15 contract 73:15 couper 10:14 co-perate 37:19 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confusing 34:6 contracted 41:18 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 Colester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 contracts 21:16 24:14 34:12 43:1 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 contributions 1:11 Courten
cloak 75:4 communicated concerns 8:9 62:22 contacts 56:2 54:20 69:14,22 close 9:4 74:4 communication 80:9 contempt 37:13,14 councillor 73:8 closer 18:23 68:18 78:14 conducted 48:25 contempt 37:13,14 counter 37:13,14 closes 7:23 communities 30:24 conducted 48:25 continue 8:12 country 30:25 43: clothes 49:18 46:17 74:1,10,11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 45:3 51:8 74:3 Club 70:18 community 11:11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 45:3 51:8 74:3 co-counsel 50:12 27:24 28:14 32:22 confident 11:13,25 contracted 41:18 couple 10:14 colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 contracted 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11
cloak 75:4 26:22 concluded 20:12 contain 3:5 councillor 73:8 close 9:4 74:4 26:7,13,14 68:16 80:9 contempt 37:13,14 counsel 1:21,22 close 7:23 68:18 78:14 conducted 48:25 conducted 48:25 contain 3:5 counsel 1:21,22 closes 7:23 communities 30:24 conducted 48:25 conducted 48:25 contempt 37:13,14 counsel 1:21,22 clothes 49:18 46:17 74:1,10,11 community 11:11 confidence 61:19 61:20,22,23 continuing 32:15 contract 73:15 counsel 50:12 confident 11:13,25 confident 11:13,25 contract 73:15 course 4:10,22 5:2 colchester 71:22 36:2 48:23 50:19 conjunction 7:18 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 contracts 21:16 contracts 21:16 contracts 21:16 contracts 21:16 court 37:2,3 38:18 colleague 25:24 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 conscious 8:4 contributions 1:11 Courte 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8
close 9:4 74:4 closed 72:10 communication 26:7,13,14 68:16 closer 18:23 80:9 condolence 64:15 conducted 48:25 conducted 48:25 conducted 48:25 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducted 48:25 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 conducting 29:2 continuing 32:15 conducted 43:25 confident 11:13,25 confid
closed 72:10 26:7,13,14 68:16 condolence 64:15 38:16 72:11 2:20 37:7 closer 18:23 68:18 78:14 conducted 48:25 context 47:19 72:2 count 37:13 51:16 closes 7:23 communities 30:24 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 country 30:25 43:1 clothes 49:18 de:17 74:1,10,11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 continue 8:12 country 30:25 43:1 co-counsel 50:12 community 11:11 confident 11:13,25 confident 11:13,25 contract 73:15 couple 10:14 co-perate 37:19 36:2 48:23 50:19 confusing 34:6 contracted 41:18 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 37:20,21 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 Coleridge 31:15 53:4,17,20 54:24 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 contracts 21:16 Court 37:2,3 38:18 colleague 25:24 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Court 68:1,2,4 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7
closer 18:23 68:18 78:14 conducted 48:25 context 47:19 72:2 count 37:13 51:16 closes 7:23 clothes 49:18 46:17 74:1,10,11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 country 30:25 43:3 club 70:18 community 11:11 community 11:11 confidence 61:19 continuing 32:15 continuing 32:15 country 30:25 43:3 co-counsel 50:12 cooperate 37:19 37:20,21 27:24 28:14 32:22 confident 11:13,25 confident 11:13,25 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 confracted 41:18 contractors 20:3 5:5,14 7:4,19 Coleridge 31:15 53:4,17,20 54:24 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
closes 7:23 communities 30:24 conducting 29:2 continue 8:12 country 30:25 43:1 Club 70:18 corounsel 50:12 community 11:11 61:20,22,23 continuing 32:15 couple 10:14 co-operate 37:19 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confident 11:13,25 confident 11:13,25 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 Coleridge 31:15 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 conscious 8:4 contracted 70:22 contracted 70:22 colleagues 44:15 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courtenay 50:12 Courte 68:1,2,4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
clothes 49:18 46:17 74:1,10,11 confidence 61:19 32:14 53:7 58:9 45:3 51:8 74:3 Club 70:18 corcounsel 50:12 community 11:11 61:20,22,23 continuing 32:15 75:24 76:14 co-operate 37:19 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confident 11:13,25 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 Colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 conscious 8:4 contracted 70:22 contracts 21:16 Court 37:2,3 38:18 colleagues 44:15 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
Club 70:18 community 11:11 61:20,22,23 continuing 32:15 75:24 76:14 co-counsel 50:12 19:3,10 22:4 confident 11:13,25 contract 73:15 couple 10:14 co-operate 37:19 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confising 34:6 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 Colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 5:5,14 7:4,19 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collating 29:15 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
co-counsel 50:12 19:3,10 22:4 confident 11:13,25 contract 73:15 couple 10:14 co-operate 37:19 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confirm 40:19 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 37:20,21 50:23,25 51:12 confusing 34:6 contractors 20:3 5:5,14 7:4,19 Coleridge 31:15 53:4,17,20 54:24 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connection 62:14 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
co-operate 37:19 27:24 28:14 32:22 confirm 40:19 contracted 41:18 course 4:10,22 5:2 37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confusing 34:6 contracted 41:18 5:5,14 7:4,19 Colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 15:25 16:2 20:6 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collating 29:15 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracted 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
37:20,21 36:2 48:23 50:19 confusing 34:6 contractors 20:3 5:5,14 7:4,19 Colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 21:7,16 40:25 15:25 16:2 20:6 Collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collating 29:15 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Court any 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
Colchester 71:22 50:23,25 51:12 conjunction 7:18 21:7,16 40:25 15:25 16:2 20:6 Coleridge 31:15 53:4,17,20 54:24 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connection 62:14 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 29:15 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
Coleridge 31:15 53:4,17,20 54:24 connected 70:20 41:18 24:14 34:12 43:1 collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connection 62:14 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
collated 28:20 56:1,17 58:25 connection 62:14 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 collating 29:15 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contracts 21:16 44:4 56:9 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
collating 29:15 59:1 61:19 62:5 conscious 8:4 contradict 70:22 court 37:2,3 38:18 colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Court and 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
colleague 25:24 62:14,15,16,18 consequences contribution 17:7 Courtenay 50:12 42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
42:7 69:8 71:11 75:8 32:12 53:25 36:7 Courts 68:1,2,4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
colleagues 44:15 78:4 consider 2:8 3:8 contributions 1:11 cousin 24:25 50:9
collect 9:16 company 41:8,15 5:24 6:19 7:16 control 23:19 30:11 cover 3:21 7:6 75:0
collecting 77:11,11 41:20 19:7 26:16 38:2 31:1,1,2 69:19 covering 39:7
colonialism 30:10 compel 37:1,2 39:17 61:21 convenient 3:9 cow 14:20
45:18 competence 61:24 consideration 7:11 18:13 create 27:8
colour 45:2 58:11 complaints 26:9 34:20 36:17 62:23 conveniently 6:16 created 32:19 65:3
come 7:12,19 9:3 complete 22:6 73:6 73:11,22 74:23 conversation 14:22 creation 71:11
11:9 28:1 34:16 completely 30:1 considered 5:19 14:23 credentials 21:4
43:2,22,23 44:5 56:19 considering 7:19 core 6:7,8,15,19 cries 62:22
44:18 48:10,15,16 complicated 74:17 8:16 65:14 corner 62:7 criminal 2:13 4:20
48:22,22,23 51:4 complicit 31:12 conspiring 31:17 coroner 42:11 29:14 44:3,6
54:24 56:11,16,23 complied 37:10 constant 15:12 66:10,11,11 57:19,19
61:7,8 75:11 comply 38:14,15 constantly 10:21 corporate 31:1,1,2 criticised 37:19
79:24 composition 69:10 constitution 65:2 54:12,14 63:25 38:14
comes 35:22 43:5 70:23 construction 2:18 70:10 Cromwell 18:22
54:16 comprehend 70:10 5:6 corporations 31:5 crossing 63:5
comfortable 3:23 comprehensive consult 3:3 correct 52:25 crying 52:20 64:10
58:18 79:14
coming 6:9 32:22 compulsion 37:9 consultation 4:11 27:15 culpable 35:16,16
34:10 38:12 43:14 computing 65:9 7:3,7,14,18,23 corruption 74:15 culturally 51:5
47:8 62:12 63:18 concept 50:11 18:11 29:3 46:6,7 cost 17:14,16,17 culture 30:22,23
63:18 75:13 76:11 concern 28:21 53:11,21 54:12,15 31:11 31:10 32:8,13,18
76:14 74:25 54:15,16,18,21,25 could've 57:16 59:7 46:13
commanded 67:7 concerned 8:6 55:2,3,5,13,18 59:9 cure 65:18
commendable 62:3 35:18 42:9 49:11 consulted 55:18 council 5:15,22 currently 4:11 29:

curry 50:14	declared 67:5	developed 57:13	distrust 35:22	easily 22:10 30:19
custom 46:13	decline 63:4	developers 71:10	diverse 46:4	east 44:24 62:17
	declined 63:1	74:14	diversity 46:20	easy 43:20
D	deeply 23:13	die 25:16	49:23	educated 60:25
daily 36:9	defective 1:6	died 5:4 24:5,5,6,21	document 70:1	education 63:24
Daniel 70:17	defence 2:13 44:7	25:8,15 78:2	documented 30:19	Edward 33:22,24
Daniels 10:17 15:5	defined 69:1	difference 12:21	35:6 69:21	effect 8:23
dates 62:14	definitely 34:13	67:11	documents 29:5,8	effective 6:12
daughter 25:16	39:14 53:24	different 2:12 4:5	37:3,18 38:15	effectively 53:23
26:19 39:10 64:12	degree 52:7 53:16	8:20 12:6 18:6	44:3,8	effort 73:8
daughters 24:6	61:22	19:5 22:1 30:16	dogs 67:9,10	efforts 56:14
78:3	delay 3:13	32:16 47:19 58:13	doing 6:20 7:4 8:18	eight 76:24
David 31:21,21	deleted 66:7	58:14,19,19,20	9:24 41:21 42:6	either 29:12 45:2
day 8:14 11:20	delved 20:16	63:3 69:12	53:23 59:8,15	electoral 40:15
22:22,22,24,24	demand 8:12	difficult 15:17 29:7	60:21,22,23 61:4	elite 75:6
24:10 38:3 49:8	dementia 12:24	57:13 58:15 59:2	63:20 67:20 70:9	eloquent 78:19
50:15,15 51:7,7	deny 14:20 32:7	difficulty 28:24	71:10 77:4	eloquently 27:25
71:5 72:12	department 3:1	dignity 36:12	donations 77:11	45:17
days 10:14 22:6	47:23	diligence 21:15	door 12:13,13	embarrassment
45:6	dependants 48:16	dim 27:7	doors 11:17,18,18	47:1
daytime 62:13	deploy 59:2	directed 38:21	11:19 22:16,19,23	emergency 24:13
de-regulations	deport 51:10	direction 53:9	double 78:21	58:6
31:23	deported 48:14	directly 6:6 18:8	doubt 57:23	employ 69:7
dead 39:15,23 67:4	Deptford 45:7	34:15 44:17 52:4	Dr 50:7	enable 1:17 4:6
67:16	deregulate 33:20	dirty 14:20	dramatically 46:24	encourage 28:1,11
deaf 63:18	derives 52:1	disappointed 47:13	drawing 74:16	encourage 28.1,11 ends 53:25
deafness 63:15	descriptions 23:22	55:16	drink 52:13	energy 76:6,6,8,9
deal 2:6 9:11 30:12	deserve 25:16,17	disassociate 66:9	drop 42:20	76:10 80:2
33:12 34:24 53:4	25:18 65:13 66:19	disaster 21:23 22:2	drop-in 8:11,21	enforced 5:10
77:19	66:20	44:25 57:18 66:12	28:4 42:7 44:12	engage 8:17 58:25
dealing 2:14	deserves 65:25	66:13 67:5,6	due 21:14 34:12	58:25 71:3 74:3,9
dealt 49:8	66:1,2	disclosed 43:4	dumb 61:3	engaged 55:19
death 65:20,21	design 5:16 19:23	discovered 65:17	duty 52:2	69:18
79:12	desperate 53:1	discovering 53:22	duty 32.2	engagement 50:19
deathtrap 33:21	desperately 23:12	discussed 37:17	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	50:23 51:12 78:5
71:12	despite 13:2 26:14	disenfranchise	e-mail 4:1 33:25	engaging 69:15
debated 73:19	destroying 29:6	54:13	56:8 63:18	English 73:2
decide 8:3	destruction 30:23	disgrace 66:14	e-mailed 41:16	ensure 8:7
decided 54:25 55:4	detail 13:9 17:18	disgraceful 73:16	69:22	entering 55:18
55:14,15	details 4:3 11:20	disparity 30:6	e-mails 27:12,21	entire 53:21 75:8
decision 55:17	23:3	dispersed 28:22	28:16 56:2,9	entitled 77:19
74:19,19,22	detection 21:8	display 31:5	earlier 16:4,8 18:10	environment 63:13
decision-makers	determine 74:7	dissociate 67:18	20:15 23:25 35:15	envy 58:5
21:18	determined 8:7	distant 27:7	51:1	equal 65:3
decisions 17:19,22	determining 4:19	distressing 36:5	earth 47:14 66:25	equality 51:23 52:2
21:5,19 38:4	ucter mining 4.17	uisu essing 50.5	easier 60:17	equanty 31.23 32.2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

	1 15 5 55 04		.	12 10 66 10
equipment 5:25	example 15:5 57:24	fairness 52:2	figureheads 70:11	42:18 66:18
21:8	excited 10:1	faith 33:5 62:2	filled 47:10	flats 11:15,15 12:10
escape 12:25 72:10	excuse 75:6	fall 58:17	finally 50:16	13:2 39:17,18,18
establish 72:18	exercise 42:12	falling 3:8	find 4:14,17 6:12	39:20 65:5
established 73:18	exist 40:20	false 55:5,13	9:4 13:14 41:6	flavour 18:6
establishment 45:1	existence 17:3	families 22:14,22	43:13 58:8 66:25	floor 10:6,17 12:17
45:9 51:17 53:1	existing 74:11	23:17 40:8 78:15	72:11 77:5	13:18,19,19,20,23
estate 10:21,23,24	exists 72:1	79:13,16	finding 4:25 43:10	13:24 14:1,3 16:1
15:8,10 16:11,14	exit 12:14	family 12:23 18:3	53:8 68:21	16:6,20 17:1,8
16:15,16,17 42:14	expand 46:19	23:10,11,20 24:6	findings 4:22 69:2	18:2,4,15,19
71:17	experience 2:9,12	24:9,19,22 25:1,6	fine 11:23,25 39:3	19:14 20:6,9,14
ethnicity 45:25	2:13,17 8:9 34:9	25:8 36:13 39:10	Fiona 42:9	23:4,9 24:2,3
46:12 49:24	34:11 54:20 55:1	40:10 44:22 66:20	fire 1:13 2:9 5:8,20	26:18 27:10,20
evacuees 38:6	experienced 2:5,24	far 1:23 5:3 8:6	5:22,25 6:1,7 8:5	28:13,19 29:14,18
evening 2:21,22 4:4	11:4	12:7 26:10,10,17	8:19 11:2,4,9,10	29:22 34:13,22
29:22 52:24 53:8	experiences 28:10	26:23 27:16 35:18	11:14,18,19,21,22	36:20 37:4,8,13
59:19 68:14 78:6	expert 51:5	42:9 44:2 49:11	13:2,15,15 21:8,8	37:23 38:1,19,22
78:20 79:19,25	expertise 49:23,24	51:2	22:13 24:10 25:13	39:3,9 40:24 42:1
evenings 8:15	49:24	Farah 24:3 25:15	31:23 32:1 36:9	44:15 47:21 52:24
event 5:20	explain 2:4 8:2	78:2	40:12 41:4,7,8,9	54:3 55:25 56:6,7
everybody 25:9	explaining 1:3 9:7	Farah's 25:17	41:12 45:8 50:5	56:10 57:1 59:19
53:11 58:23 59:11	exposed 72:9	fast 42:24	58:3 66:19 68:15	61:18 62:12 68:4
60:22 69:6 70:4	express 79:15	father 13:10,16,17	69:24 72:8,10	68:14 70:17 75:18
78:17	expressed 78:19	14:12	77:7 78:2	77:1,4,18,22 78:1
everyday 31:11	extended 7:14	fathers 76:2	firefighting 5:24	78:13 79:3 80:2
everyone's 9:6	extent 71:7	favour 63:20,22	fireman 13:18 14:1	floors 11:22 12:7
26:21 75:10	eyes 61:19	64:21	firemen 13:14	flow 46:23
evidence 4:14		fed 16:12	14:10	foam 17:13,13
26:25 29:6 31:18	F	feed 70:9	firetrap 33:21	focussed 22:3
34:11,14 36:24	face 32:11 53:2	feeds 68:19	first 5:2 9:15 18:5	follow 23:18 37:11
37:1 38:16,21,25	73:4	feel 3:23 25:7 36:6	22:5 32:20,23	following 5:1
69:21	faces 60:9	63:15	39:2 47:10 53:14	fool 54:13
evidence-gatheri	facilities 44:13	feeling 11:13 75:21	62:15 63:1 64:9	foremost 5:2
28:6	fact 4:22 32:5,7	feelings 64:25	72:25	foreseen 35:5
ex-husband 11:2	52:6 57:17 63:10	Feilding-Mellen	fit 17:15 38:2,9	forget 10:8 43:15
12:23	72:17 75:3	31:16 73:13,15	fits 42:19	forgotten 24:8 53:3
exact 57:20	factor 70:9	Feilding-whateve	Five 56:14	form 21:3 32:14
exactly 4:15 10:12	factors 40:6	35:21	five-year-old 24:7	formally 6:22
22:23,24 41:24	facts 4:17,25 12:22	fellow 11:6 46:8	Fix 62:10	28:25,25
exam 11:6,7	64:20	62:21	flameproof 17:15	forms 7:7
examination 17:9	fail 46:22 49:22,23	felt 16:16 23:9 73:5	flames 13:6	forth 21:9 27:21
examine 3:6 5:5,11	58:21,22,22	fewer 9:12	flammable 12:5	53:3,5
45:1	failed 58:9	fight 18:8	13:5 17:12,13	forthcoming 68:18
examining 19:23	failure 46:24 73:6	fighting 44:20	flat 11:6 12:14 13:7	forum 13:13
26:6	fair 20:2,3 47:16	figure 40:16 70:12	13:17 24:4 39:21	forward 33:17 43:2
	60:18 78:23			
	l .	ı	I	ı

12.7			101.0	<u> </u>
43:5	generations 76:11	24:22 25:18 27:13	greed 31:3	happened 4:15
forwards 27:13	geniuses 65:10	27:23 28:4 30:1,3	Grenfell 1:14 4:15	11:2 12:22 13:9
found 4:14 79:18	gentleman 29:20	30:21 32:2,9	10:18 11:4,10	30:3,4,5 33:13
foundation 77:6,9	36:18 44:14 50:18	41:25 42:6,14,23	16:14 18:20 30:5	35:7 40:4,12 41:4
77:15	53:15	43:3,24 44:1,9,13	31:7 32:1,16	41:7,13 55:7
four 38:23 39:19	getting 49:16 54:16	45:7 47:13 49:1	33:20,22 41:6,9	57:21 59:9 61:11
fourthly 21:23	54:18 61:5 69:13	50:12 52:12 53:7	41:19 49:6 59:20	64:11 68:5,15
fraction 17:16	girl 22:17	53:24,25 54:8,19	59:21 60:24,24	71:2,19 74:7 75:2
frame 3:9 33:14	girls 23:10 25:1	55:1,4,14,15,21	71:5,6,23 72:2	happening 4:16
framework 30:2	give 1:9 4:4 7:13	58:16,22 59:24	77:8,9 78:9,12	31:6 33:11 54:7,7
fraud 41:15	9:10,18 28:9	60:1 61:7,9,10,16	80:4	55:9 56:3 74:9
fraught 36:5	34:11,14 36:17	67:4,23 68:23	grieving 52:13	happens 35:4 55:6
freelance 36:20	37:1,7 38:16,20	69:20 75:15,22	64:13,18 78:16	74:2,6
freely 8:22	38:24 43:7 48:19	76:9 77:23 78:25	Griffiths 50:12	happy 17:4 27:11
Friday 62:19	51:1 56:8 68:25	79:6,8,11	Grogan 2:20	hard 50:14 51:19
friend 43:23	69:9,15 80:2	gonna 75:23	ground 33:16 34:2	57:11,13
friends 11:22 42:25	given 5:22 22:11	good 1:4 7:20 15:2	36:8 45:23 68:20	Harrow 70:18
50:13	36:12 41:21 47:9	21:20 28:3 31:24	69:4,14	hats 73:5
front 11:18 12:12	47:19 48:11 50:16	40:1 43:17 47:15	group 33:22 43:22	head 14:21,23
12:13 34:21 37:25	57:5 68:25 72:24	51:10,11 52:24	53:21,21 56:10	75:10 76:8
54:6 77:24	74:1	59:19,23 61:2	61:23 69:24	heads 53:10 70:13
frustrated 38:1	gives 45:16	68:9,14 70:2,8,8	Grove 55:8 71:25	health 49:14
frustrating 79:9	giving 4:3 10:16	75:23 80:5	grow 58:10	hear 1:7 52:16,17
full 35:19 39:13	23:22 24:17 69:1	goodness 15:1	guarantee 58:20	52:20,21 53:25
40:1,14 53:11	76:17	goodness' 52:7	guess 68:22	62:2 63:8 66:20
56:4,5	glove 74:14	gotten 63:24	guys 52:25 53:19	79:21,21
fundamental 73:24	go 1:25 9:9,13	government 3:1		heard 20:25 26:20
further 18:21 35:9	10:13 13:9 14:4	6:13 7:2,2 25:10	H	26:24 41:1 45:2
62:17	20:14 25:24 26:10	25:11 31:1 46:4	Hackney 41:14	52:14 63:18 69:5
future 76:11	29:8 30:1 31:21	48:19 49:3 51:7	Hailstones 29:22	79:19,20
	32:23,25 33:10,17	58:8 65:13 66:1	half 54:8,10 62:9	hearing 6:18
G	35:9 38:5,6 39:2	67:16 69:13 73:25	76:24	heart 67:14 68:10
gain 59:24 66:7	40:24 41:22,23	76:16	hall 15:1 16:13	70:8 74:5,6 80:1
67:19,20	44:1 45:13,18,25	Government's 29:2	18:21 33:24 48:25	Heathrow 67:9,11
gaining 69:4	46:11,23 52:11	governments 47:2	49:2 76:24	heating 12:8,9
gap 36:9	60:15 61:1,10	gradually 24:11	halls 41:16	heavily 44:5
gas 12:6,7,11,12,14	62:17 63:23 67:8	grandfather 14:18	Hamdan 24:3 25:5	heed 75:13
12:15	67:9 68:25 74:18	grandfathers 76:3	78:2	held 49:1 51:20
gasworks 72:9	75:22	Grange 1:23	hand 3:11 23:25	55:24
gate 79:4	goes 12:14 26:17	grateful 79:24	68:13 74:13	hell 25:18
gather 28:2	41:3 69:3 76:8	grave 19:4	handling 49:15	Hello 9:3 10:17
Gatwick 67:9,10	going 1:4,7 2:8	great 2:6 36:17	hands 66:15 75:16	24:2 70:17 78:1
Gavin 38:19,20	7:10 8:15 9:10	53:4 59:14,14	handwritten 64:15	help 2:16 6:4 20:14
general 72:1,14	12:12,13 14:21	72:22 73:23	Hanna 24:2 78:1	24:12 28:10 32:22
generally 45:2	15:16,19 22:14	greatest 74:24,25	happen 32:19	33:20 34:10 43:25
generation 76:13			75:10	
	ı	Į	I .	1

21:17 35:3,11

40:20 43:14 48:17

51:10,11 60:2
69:7 79:10
helpful 4:9 16:19
16:24 27:8
helping 61:13
Herbert 44:19
72:21
hi 23:5 24:9 39:9
42:5
hid 14:20
High 18:22
highly 2:5 12:5
17:13
Hill 71:24
Hillsborough 33:7
Hindu 51:12
hinting 69:24
hired 41:11
historical 29:25
30:2,5,17 33:13
history 14:14 21:12
26:12 30:7 32:6
57:4 63:23 71:16
hold 3:15 4:13 33:4
33:4 45:8 58:12
holding 7:10 8:10
49:11 55:7,8,20
66:15
holiday 67:4
Home 48:11 51:3
homes 38:6
homework 52:7
honestly 50:20
hope 10:13 24:24
34:11 44:12 47:5
hoped 9:12
hoping 6:17 8:13
horrendous 35:7
horses 79:4
hospital 22:8,15,15
22:15 24:10,11,20
24:21,23,24 25:3
hospitals 23:1,15
hot 12:9
hotels 18:20

hour 54:10 house 45:5 48:1 **housed** 40:8 **housing** 14:23 27:1 35:13 38:22 49:24 hubs 69:12 **huddling** 69:16 huge 74:20 **human** 31:3 48:17 62:22 64:16,24 66:1,22,24 67:10 67:16 70:9 humanity 64:16 65:24 67:3,15 **humbling** 79:19 hundred 30:7 hundreds 45:18 **husband** 11:1 24:5 24:22 78:3 husband's 25:2

I idea 16:13 28:3 80:5 **ideas** 43:6 identified 22:21 23:1 25:1 identify 22:10,17 23:20 24:13 27:6 ideology 57:2 ignore 59:9 64:12 ignored 15:20 33:23 49:25 57:4 57:5,6,7 60:19 ignoring 59:8 illustrates 55:12 Imafidon 62:13 image 29:11 **imagine** 27:14 35:19 41:5 79:11 immediate 58:2.5 immediately 77:17 immigration 48:14 impartial 20:2 **important** 4:18 8:5 16:3,5,6 20:16,24

70:5 79:21 imposing 3:10 improvement 12:3 inaudible 67:17 75:2 incident 59:6 incinerated 63:7 64:6 65:7,10 67:13 include 1:20 6:24 17:9 29:24 32:2 37:4 **including** 5:6,14 30:18 inclusive 46:20 incompetence 52:8 incompetent 56:19 incredibly 71:18 73:3 74:19 indefinite 48:20 **Indian** 15:4 61:15 indicate 57:10 indication 47:9 indirectly 52:4 **individual** 51:3,15 64:1 individually 6:11 industry 25:11 infection 23:19 informatics 62:14 information 24:17 27:12 28:2,20,21 29:15 43:7,25 69:9 70:5 **informed** 35:17 59:3 initially 28:7 49:1 injustices 35:12 inquire 77:14 inquiries 2:19 36:25 53:18 **inquiring** 68:5 77:5 inquiry 1:13,18,19

1:22 3:4,8,11,12 3:21 4:3,10,13 5:1 6:6,10 7:9 8:6 9:8 9:11 10:13 13:3 13:13 15:17 20:1 25:6,19 26:6 28:24 32:25 34:24 36:22 37:10,15,17 39:5,6 42:17 45:5 48:25 49:1 50:17 50:23 52:5 53:10 53:14 61:9 64:22 73:21 77:4,10,18 inquiry's 3:5 4:17 6:20 **inside** 52:20 77:9 **insight** 59:14 insights 26:3 34:19 installation 72:9 **instance** 37:5,13 institutional 26:25 30:18,19 32:3 50:15 institutionally 73:3 instruct 2:19 instructions 3:6 insult 56:13 74:24 intelligent 67:1 interested 3:3 7:9 8:18 26:5 64:7,8 interesting 34:19 interests 71:10 interfere 69:3 internal 11:19 19:24 internationally 30:25 interpreter 63:9 interview 47:17 intimated 63:2 introduction 1:8 12:6 44:16 investigate 4:12 investigating 5:2 41:14

investigation 21:4 29:14 69:3 70:3 **invited** 11:9 78:10 inviting 18:5 involve 4:25 involved 5:16 10:19 16:9 20:2 22:2 40:6 42:11 42:12 69:13 70:12 involvement 5:11 6:5 11:8 Irish 30:15 **Islam** 2:21 isolate 11:14 issue 30:5 47:25 50:18 **issued** 40:18 issues 10:19 11:8 13:10 19:1 20:16 20:17 30:17 J

Jack 45:4 46:3 Jaffery 1:20 jail 32:21 Jessica's 24:9 **Jim** 8:25 **job** 28:19 41:21 62:13,15 **jobs** 61:2 John 50:7 **ioined** 74:8 **joking** 53:19 journalist 36:20 judge 50:8 64:23 65:6 judicial 50:4 judicially 46:23 July 1:1 66:11 June 12:22 44:18 junior 2:20 68:14 iustice 32:9 33:6,8 44:4,21 50:8 52:3 64:9 68:1,2,4 78:8

78:12 79:17

	1	1	1	-
K	59:6,21,21 60:8	46:8 49:13	57:16,20,22 68:18	72:17
Kate 1:23 2:17	60:15,25 63:16,22	lead 50:19 73:24	listening 46:1 56:6	looked 15:24 21:7
KCTMO 71:9	64:4 65:18,20	leadership 59:1	lists 72:19	21:24 22:7 23:16
72:18,19 73:12	72:1 73:14 76:20	leading 2:9	litany 72:11	72:3 75:14
74:13	78:16,18 79:6	leads 67:17	litigation 2:7	looking 5:7 7:21
KCTMO's 72:12	knowing 34:5	learn 34:12	little 2:4 4:9 6:4 9:8	15:23 23:12,21
keep 8:15 10:8	known 6:7 24:18	leave 4:6 14:8,9	12:13 15:5 22:17	26:11,16 32:17,20
53:25	70:4	48:12,13,20 68:22	23:9,25 48:5 61:4	39:21,22
Kensington 10:22	knows 55:9,21	80:3	live 12:4 41:3 61:1	looks 56:19
14:15,24 18:22		leaves 31:11	64:5 75:25	Lord 50:8
30:8,14 31:11	L	leaving 14:8	lived 6:2 13:23 18:3	losses 52:14
32:4 41:11 50:1	labelled 70:1	Lebanon 49:9	22:14 23:3 24:3	lost 23:11 26:19
68:5 71:4,25	Labour 49:3	led 30:20 63:15,16	60:11 64:5	36:13,13 39:10
	labouring 28:23	73:15,16,24	lives 6:2 71:20 76:1	41:5 51:16 64:4
72:16 74:12	lack 19:5 72:23	Ledbetter 73:16	76:18	75:21
kept 22:23	78:13,14	left 11:13 12:17	living 11:1 12:19	lot 2:9,13,17 10:19
key 17:22 48:18	Ladbroke 55:8	13:6,7 52:9 64:17	40:13 43:9 76:14	10:25,25 13:9
kind 2:7 19:21	71:25	67:3	local 5:15 6:5 7:12	16:22 20:21 22:4
32:18,18 61:25	lady 17:6,20,25	legal 3:1 6:12,14	8:11 10:19 15:15	26:22 34:22 35:2
62:1	21:1 23:25 25:25	20:22 36:22,23	17:8 19:2,3,10	35:10,22 44:8
Kingsford 14:25	27:19 34:21 36:3	legally 73:12	20:7,19 21:13	49:2,2 54:4 55:5
15:3,9	37:24 39:8 77:3	legitimacy 50:5	22:4 25:10 26:7	60:13 77:8
Kinnier 2:20	77:24	let's 33:18 41:22,23	49:23 73:6,25	lots 43:18
KNC 77:5,15	lady's 20:15	58:1 67:15,16	locations 8:14	love 10:24
knew 22:14 42:21	Lakehouse 21:7	80:5	locked 32:11	lovely 45:10 52:11
knocking 22:15,23	40:25 41:2,4	letter 4:1 11:17	logged 28:16	loyalty 16:16
know 1:12 3:2	Lancaster 10:23,24	level 31:12 53:7	logic 66:4	lucky 2:19 45:13
12:24 15:16 16:17	16:11	74:15	London 21:11	Lucy 10:17
17:11,20 18:21	land 71:21 72:15	levels 13:20	24:23 30:24 41:3	lying 23:10
19:3,8 20:19 22:1	74:2,10	Lewisham 41:9,10	45:11,12 49:7,12	lying 23.10
23:3,6,14 24:9,16	language 34:23	liability 4:20	58:3 62:17	M
24:24 25:2,3,4,15	35:8 36:11 45:14	lied 22:18	Londoner 74:5	Macpherson 50:8,8
25:16 26:10 27:8	46:13 54:13,14	lies 68:25	long 8:12 13:16	51:19
28:13 29:17 30:3	72:25 75:6	life 65:1 67:1 73:7	20:14 26:12 33:1	mad 48:16
32:24 33:1 34:3,6	large 1:8 2:10 9:25	light 19:3 37:21	33:1 49:25 50:2	main 30:21
34:8 35:4,15,17	18:13	liking 50:14	57:13 62:25 63:25	maintain 74:10
35:21,22,24,24,25	large-scale 2:6	limits 3:10	longer 9:6 17:2	maintaining 41:8
36:1,7 40:5,14,21	late 10:18 14:5	line 14:19	35:1	maintenance 5:13
42:10,13,14,16,17	Latimer 70:18	linked 22:22 54:17	look 3:11 5:21 7:20	major 12:21 22:8,9
42:18,21,22 43:3	71:24 73:1	links 34:15	7:22 13:3 14:1	22:9 44:25
43:12,18 44:17,18	law 66:4	list 40:1,14,16,18	15:17,18 16:23	majority 18:19
44:22 45:14 48:12	Lawrence 45:4	43:11	17:18 27:7 30:22	50:25 62:7
49:24 50:10 51:22	49:1 51:21	listen 15:7 20:20	33:7,18 37:6	making 74:19
51:24 52:6,24,25	Lawrence's 45:5	58:20 76:7	41:16,25 43:8	male 51:17
53:3,22,24 54:7	lawyers 2:10 6:17	listened 20:23 46:5	57:3,25 65:5	man 60:7 65:9
54:17 57:9 58:15	44:7,7 45:22,22	115teneu 20.23 40.3	31.3,43 03.3	66:19 67:14,15
	,,	l	l	30.17 07.11 1,10

76:4	Meaning 73:25	middle 1:24 17:25	16:2,19,21 17:5	Nasser 1:20
manage 27:1	means 6:8 50:14	39:8	17:24 18:12,17	national 25:10
management 5:15	60:5 66:13 72:1	migrants 48:9	19:12,15 20:8,11	66:14,14 67:5,6
10:21 16:10,11,13	meant 12:25 50:9	military 67:7	23:24 25:22 27:18	nations 30:11
managing 16:15	50:11	Millett 1:21 25:25	28:3,18,23 29:16	nationwide 73:8
manufacture 5:17	measures 5:19	26:2 27:5 37:7,9	29:19 34:8,18	natural 57:18
manufacturers	media 27:22 47:22	million 65:7,7	36:16,25 37:6,24	nature 4:10 19:23
20:3	71:13	millions 17:14	38:11,20,24 39:4	19:25
marks 40:4	meet 49:12	mind 14:17 19:13	40:22 41:24 42:2	nearer 18:21
married 15:4 62:16	meeting 1:4,8,10	19:17 26:1 39:1	44:11 47:16 52:23	necessary 47:5
Mars 66:25 67:1	1:15,16 3:19,23	49:22 60:22 61:20	55:22 56:8,25	58:9,10 60:5
Martin 1:3,12,25	4:2 6:23 7:7,8,10	62:1 63:5	59:17 62:11 68:3	need 5:5 10:9 14:3
12:15 14:24 15:22	9:5 10:1,14 11:11	minded 20:12	68:12 70:16 75:17	16:23 19:16 22:3
16:2,19,21 17:5	18:6 34:25 47:12	minds 15:6 67:8	76:23 77:2,16,21	23:16 27:25 29:4
17:24 18:12,17	49:17,19 54:10	minds 15:0 07:0	77:23 78:10,23	32:11 40:14 42:13
19:12,15 20:8,11	55:11,22 56:3,3	minister 3:2 7:24	79:18 80:5,8	43:3,23 45:15
23:24 25:22 27:18	56:21 59:22 67:25	8:3 31:25 38:22	morning 35:7 36:9	52:3,20 53:15,19
28:3,18,23 29:16	78:6,7,11 79:19	52:1,5 65:25	mosque 56:12	56:14 59:14 68:20
29:19 34:8,18	80:9	minor 61:22	mother 25:17	69:17,17 70:11,13
36:16,25 37:6,24	meetings 27:24	minority 45:22	mothers 76:2	74:14 77:12 78:21
38:11,20,24 39:4	36:5 43:3 46:11	69:7	mourn 67:17	79:9,17
40:22 41:24 42:2	Melanie 27:20	minute 53:8 65:14	mourning 66:15	needed 79:10
44:11,15 47:16	member 64:16	70:7 72:22	67:6	needing 18:8
52:23 55:22 56:8	66:24	minute's 80:3,7	mouth 61:25	needs 4:15 21:24
56:25 59:17 62:11	members 1:19 4:7	minutes 1:9 10:7	move 53:14 62:3,8	22:7 53:21 57:14
68:3,12 70:16	8:24 11:9 23:10	59:22	moved 18:20	68:17 75:11
75:17 76:23 77:2	23:11,20 24:19	mirror 29:11	movement 16:9	neglect 26:25
77:16,21,23 78:10	36:14 39:10 62:18	missing 29:8,8	moving 25:22	neighbourhood
78:23 79:18 80:5	memory 1:6	39:15,23	mum 25:16	69:16
80:8	men 61:15 64:14	mobility 12:24	murdered 45:6	nephews 76:4
masses 57:5	65:3 67:7,13	modifications 5:7	Muslim 50:25	never 15:12 39:13
massive 64:21	mental 12:23 49:14	modified 15:24	51:12	39:13 40:14 41:12
material 6:9 12:5	mentality 58:11	moment 4:24 7:12	Muslims 30:15	45:11 48:10 61:25
17:19 29:9,11	mentioned 18:9	9:10 19:12,18	mystified 34:5	62:7 65:18,20
materials 5:17	21:1 54:23 55:14	20:13 31:3 63:1		79:5,11
17:10,11 19:23	75:19	momentary 66:7	N	NEW 23:8
20:4	message 54:6 59:23	money 47:3 49:16	name 1:12 9:19,20	newspapers 59:3
matter 4:21 47:21	76:17	67:19 69:9 77:8	10:17 15:4 18:2	nice 45:10 59:23
48:6,10 69:9	met 9:1 62:18	77:11	24:2,9 29:22	niece 18:4 23:15
matters 2:18 3:6,8	66:10	Montgomery 17:8	36:21 39:9 42:18	24:20 39:11 41:5
16:22	micro-mill 62:9	months 48:13	44:19 51:1 60:8	nieces 23:1,2 76:3
Maxilla 78:6	microphone 9:15	months' 48:12	63:23 78:1	night 11:5,21 33:24
mean 28:4 50:11	10:5	moon 65:9	names 31:14	34:25 52:13
54:15 61:1 72:2	mid-September	Moore-Bick 1:3,12	Narey 8:25	Niles 29:22 34:23
74:3	6:18	1:25 12:15 15:22	narrow 3:10 47:14	35:11 74:8
			75:5	
	l	I	I	l

			1	1
normality 75:4	55:12 64:24 73:17	69:11 70:24 75:20	45:2,10 46:16,21	72:21
Normally 57:4	one-bedroom	78:5	47:1 49:4,13,15	Phelan 27:20
north 30:14 31:11	39:20	paperwork 17:2	49:21,25 50:1	phenomenal 53:16
32:4 44:24 68:5	one-hour 11:19	parents' 45:5	51:10 52:11,12,18	phone 24:15 43:24
71:3,25 72:15	ones 16:4,7,23	Parliament 66:2,23	52:20,24 53:8,12	56:21
74:12	23:12 52:1	67:2,3,3 68:7,8	54:8 55:25 56:11	physical 2:14
note 1:4,6 10:7	ongoing 19:3 21:17	part 6:10,14 7:7,8	56:13,18 57:6,16	pick 48:4
33:15 70:15	onus 68:25	12:18 13:21 15:15	57:20,21,23 58:1	picture 5:6 43:13
notes 64:15	open 1:10 19:12	15:16,17 21:3	58:5,9,11,14,21	69:18 70:14
noticed 61:5	20:12	26:6 45:12 55:10	58:21 59:1,2,8,13	piece 78:17
noticing 61:5	opened 11:24	59:20 74:6 77:7	60:3,7,8,11,12,13	pigeon 38:12
Notting 71:24	operation 69:19	77:18	60:14,14,21,22,23	Piller 37:4
number 7:17 8:10	opinions 21:22	participants 6:8,8	60:25,25 61:15,23	pint 52:11
9:12 12:12 22:11	opportunity 8:8	participation 6:15	63:6,14 65:6	pipes 12:13
39:16 70:19,20	59:13	6:19	66:15 68:6,8,17	place 18:12 21:15
74:4	orally 3:23	particular 3:25	68:21 69:6,8,17	32:20 55:6 56:4,5
numbers 13:20,22	order 21:15 48:1	5:13 44:10 72:3	69:17,23 70:23	59:7 78:7 79:5
14:12,13 39:13,13	orders 37:4,10	77:24	71:19,20,25 72:5	placed 26:23 27:3
39:14,15,16,24	organisation 5:15	particularities	72:6,23,24 75:1	65:13
40:14,17 42:9	38:9	73:11	76:6,18 77:6,7,8	places 8:21 31:24
46:20	organisations 71:9	particularly 18:19	77:11,13,20 78:14	56:12 60:12 74:12
0	74:13	26:8	78:19,20 79:9	74:12
	organise 8:23	pathetic 53:13	people's 15:6 31:11	plan 2:3 12:25 70:8
obscure 62:7	organised 78:8,11	patience 1:9 9:6	54:21	planet 51:3
observing 53:7	origins 45:17	Pause 79:2 80:7	perceive 50:3	planning 58:4
obviously 10:2 21:3 26:15 53:1 55:19	orphan 24:7 25:17	pay 6:13 35:19	period 7:14 56:20	plans 71:22,22,23
occasion 11:9	ought 1:18 4:12	56:18 70:5	perished 11:3 18:4	play 45:19
offer 21:15 27:11	6:24 19:15 39:6	peak 31:5	permission 43:4	playing 14:17
41:22 44:13	outcry 68:17	people 3:19 5:4 6:6	person 9:22 13:8	please 8:24 9:15
offered 23:18 48:8	outside 3:8 13:6	7:9,12 8:1,6,13,17	42:6,18 43:5,16	18:13 22:16 23:8
office 16:14 47:23	55:20	8:20,21 9:3 10:1	47:11,11 59:25	25:20 28:11 29:10
51:3 68:1	overrun 76:24	12:18,25 16:15	63:17,18 64:23	48:7 52:6,10
officers 22:19	ownership 16:16	17:11 22:2,5,11	77:25	56:13,16 61:6,6,8
23:21	P	22:13 24:13 26:22	personal 50:18	64:21 67:13,14,15
officialdom 63:16	Paget-Brown 31:16	27:4,10,24 28:1,5	52:10 63:22 64:14	68:10 77:18
66:10 75:4	35:19	28:6,8,11 29:6 30:16 31:9,15,17	personalities 42:16	pleased 2:22
officially 13:24	paid 54:17,18	31:20 32:4,10,21	personally 6:16 8:5 14:15 22:8 38:7	pliable 17:12 pm 1:2 80:10
Oh 14:2 15:1,3	pain 52:14 63:14	32:23 33:1,2,18	perspective 31:8	pm 1:2 80:10 point 20:18 25:14
okay 19:14 29:18	63:14	34:1,3 35:15 36:6	perspective 31.8 persuade 46:3	27:6 30:20 34:2
34:17 62:11 77:21	paint 14:11	36:12 37:1,18	48:19	39:5 53:6 56:25
78:17,22	painted 13:24,25	38:13 39:2,14,19	persuasion 49:2	59:11,12 70:3
okayed 31:19,20	14:13	40:4,6,7 42:15,23	persuasion 49.2 perverting 44:4	72:22 78:23
old 11:11 44:21	panel 19:7,9,16	42:25 43:1,2,7,17	Pete 36:21	pointed 34:1
Olympics 65:19	27:17 37:14 46:4	43:18 44:20,22	Peter 44:19 63:11	points 20:15,21,22
once 6:21 21:5	50:6 57:2 68:16	r5.10 11 .20,22	1 CCC TT.17 UJ.11	Points 20.13,21,22
	<u> </u>			

				Page 91
		l	1	
35:10 48:5	pretending 56:14	promise 44:4	qualified 46:16	reading 76:5,9
police 13:11 22:19	pretty 37:11	proof 67:1	50:22 63:4 69:6	real 69:18 71:17
23:21 29:16 56:23	prevent 4:16 50:21	propaganda 68:19	Queen's 1:21 50:9	realise 47:18
policemen 25:3	50:24	proper 18:25	question 20:9,11	reality 47:22
policewoman 56:20	prevented 4:19	properly 5:10 48:1	25:25 34:4 40:4	really 3:22 8:5
policies 71:4	35:4	52:21 54:1 56:24	47:20 50:16 77:1	15:18,21 20:16,24
policy 27:1	previous 11:8	property 40:13	77:13 78:4	20:24 21:16,17
politely 63:1	30:12 53:18	protect 5:20 25:10	questions 1:17 3:16	22:7 23:16 28:9
political 46:25	previously 17:21	36:24	3:16 17:1,3 19:19	32:9 36:2 38:10
74:19	Prime 3:2 7:24 8:3	protocol 24:10	19:21,25 45:20	41:2,6 43:17
politics 45:19	31:25 65:24	protocols 22:8	67:22	44:21 45:10 53:13
poor 30:6 46:24	prior 35:6	23:16	quick 44:16 77:1	53:15 59:25 60:2
50:2,3	prison 73:14	prove 65:24 67:14	78:4 79:1	77:12 78:4 79:13
position 7:16 8:2	private 13:8 47:3	67:14 68:10	quite 2:10 4:18	79:13 80:2
23:14 38:14 72:23	privilege 33:3	proven 69:2	13:15 16:22 18:9	rearrange 18:17
positions 33:3 51:7	35:25 46:13,18	provide 21:13 52:2	19:18,22 24:12	reason 33:8 34:8
65:15	66:5	56:11	27:21 37:9 50:20	38:12 47:24 57:6
possibility 19:9	privileged 45:12	provided 2:25	quo 57:9	64:5,5 77:25
possible 18:11 25:7	51:17 63:11,11	21:10	quote 41:22	reasonably 10:9
25:20 72:6 75:13	probably 3:2 4:12	provision 72:23	quote 11.22	reasons 8:7 44:6
possibly 7:10,11	6:12,16 27:12	psychological 2:15	R	62:24 63:3 67:23
49:4	40:7 48:9 60:11	public 1:13 2:18	race 50:14 64:16	78:18
potentially 16:4	probes 66:25 67:2	3:23 4:10 11:11	66:24	reassure 37:24
poverty 65:4,6	problem 44:10	13:13 20:1 32:25	racism 30:18 45:18	rebuke 65:25 66:2
power 33:2,3 37:1	45:16 76:4	37:22 43:16,17	50:9,15	66:3,23
37:18 50:1 65:9	problems 2:7 12:23	51:25,25 59:3	racist 73:3,3	receive 46:11
powers 36:22,23	12:24 42:22 47:25	73:21,24	radical 33:10 75:11	received 7:17 21:5
37:9	procedure 6:20 9:8	publicly 43:19	radically 57:15	21:20,21
practising 63:25	procedures 23:19	pumped 12:9	radio 59:3	reception 68:24
precarious 48:15	24:20	punishable 38:17	raging 13:15	reception 68.24
precedence 19:8	proceeded 32:1	38:17	raised 16:22 19:22	recollection 12:10
predicted 33:21	proceedings 6:11	purpose 1:16 4:13	35:10 45:14	recommend 7:25
preliminary 6:18	process 4:11 7:3,8	6:23 38:2,10	raising 2:7 20:22	recommendation
prepare 51:11	21:23 54:21 55:5	pursuant 29:2	ramifications	7:4,25
prepared 13:11	55:13,19 71:8	pursuant 29.2 pushed 31:9	74:20	recommendations
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	put 6:20 11:16,23	ran 13:18 14:9	3:7
present 48:1 72:20 73:9	produce 3:14 37:18 production 37:2	12:15 36:15 45:21	random 25:2	
			Raneck 70:17	record 1:4 73:19
presentation 47:22	professional 21:2,6	47:25 53:1 65:9	range 3:19 8:7,17	records 27:13,15
48:3	54:1	69:15 73:17 75:4	RBKC 30:7,9	43:8
presentational	professionally	75:5 77:18	54:20 71:9	recovery 21:23
47:24	56:24	putting 7:21	reach 69:8,8	22:3
preside 75:2	professionals 49:14	0	reached 31:5	redact 9:21
pressure 20:21	professor 62:13	$\overline{\mathbf{QC}}$ 50:12	reaching 31:12	redevelopment
46:5 73:24	progress 12:2	qualifications	read 47:17 52:3	30:23 31:10 32:13
pressuring 38:4	project 71:2,6	45:25 46:15	76:6,8	71:4,17,21,22,23
		45.25 40.15	/0.0,0	

refer 53:18	20:19	resilience 58:4	70:7,13 73:14	saw 38:13 63:17
reference 3:4,5,9	rely 1:5	resistance 19:1	74:17,20 78:13,25	Sawyer 36:21
3:18 6:21,25 7:1,5	remain 48:12,13,20	resistant 11:19	79:10	saying 14:2,19 15:1
8:1 17:9 19:20	remained 13:4	resources 51:9	rights 10:23 74:2	24:12 32:22 44:2
29:1,3,9,24 33:12	remains 67:10	56:15 59:2	risk 37:21 38:7	54:6 57:21 59:2
47:7,13 48:4	remember 11:5,20	respect 36:12 58:1	road 18:22 62:8	69:6 72:7
55:16 70:25 71:15	12:7,15 62:2	58:21 59:13 67:19	73:1	says 10:7 46:18
72:6 75:5,12	76:10	respected 63:25	Robert 35:20 73:13	47:12 51:23,24
referenced 30:20	reminds 14:16	respectful 23:18	Rock 73:13,14	58:11 65:2
32:5 72:19	remote 69:19	responding 3:23	role 53:5 63:2	scandal 75:15
reflect 57:17,17	report 3:7,14 37:22	26:1	roll 40:15	scandals 73:15
refurbishment	38:23 51:11	response 47:19	roofing 17:15	scandals 75.5 scared 43:2
5:14,17 12:18	reported 35:6	66:13	room 12:8 27:5	Scarman 51:18
13:21 16:3 17:14	_		74:22	
	reports 71:13	responses 5:23		scepticism 53:4
26:11 41:19 refurbishments	73:23	7:17	rooms 11:12	school 21:11 41:4,5
21:3	represent 45:11	responsibilities 19:4	Rose 2:20 18:2	41:7,10 61:2
	51:14 60:14,24	- ·	round 7:20 14:21	75:23,24
refusal 38:15	61:15	responsibility 4:17	14:21	schooled 66:4
regard 67:15 72:18	representation	22:7 25:9	row 37:25	scope 3:17 70:3
regarding 18:25	6:13,14 18:25	responsible 5:12	Royal 10:22 14:14	74:14
40:25	35:24	31:15 32:11,24,24	14:24 30:8,9	scrappy 1:6
regardless 74:21	representations	33:2,18 34:5,7	41:11 68:1,2,4	scrutiny 53:17
regards 40:24	62:21	77:7	rule 10:5 50:5	62:10
72:22 73:7	representative	rest 9:4 74:7	ruled 50:2	search 48:20
regeneration 30:22	19:10	restrict 74:18	run 16:12 28:4	seat 9:4
31:10 32:9,13	represented 36:6	result 20:17 24:20	65:19 79:23	seats 74:16
regime 38:25	45:11 46:21	25:8 62:4	running 6:22 69:17	second 21:22 48:23
registered 40:13	representing 36:2	results 68:24	runs 7:15	54:3 58:2,13
regulations 5:8	49:13,14 54:22	rethink 57:25	rush 70:6	secondary 21:11
regulatory 2:18	61:12	58:24 59:15	Rwanda 49:9	secret 57:5
reject 63:4	reputations 76:13	review 46:23 50:4	Rydon 73:16	Secretary 48:11
rejection 66:3	reputed 17:15	revising 11:7	S	section 51:23 52:3
related 30:14 31:9	request 29:2 38:15	rich 30:6,9,10		sector 47:3 71:9
49:5 71:18	47:8	Richard 1:21 2:5	safe 8:21 43:3	secure 11:16 36:24
relation 2:8 47:7	resident 10:18	25:25 26:2 27:5	safety 5:23 11:10	see 2:10,15 5:8
relations 31:3	11:18 17:8 26:18	37:9	21:17 31:23	10:12 13:19 29:23
relationship 15:14	44:17	Richmond 1:22	sake 52:7	31:6 34:15 35:25
17:21	residents 5:20,22	42:5,5	sanctions 37:11	38:7 42:20 43:14
relative 24:3 78:2	6:5 10:20 11:10	ridicule 63:23	44:3	48:10 54:4 67:2
release 70:4	16:18 18:20 19:2	right 6:25 10:6	Sandra 18:2 26:2	74:5,17 79:14
releasing 68:20	19:2 20:4,7,20	24:18 29:19 30:11	sandwich 17:13	seeing 36:1 54:9
relevant 5:8	26:7,14 30:14	31:12,15,23 33:6	sat 38:22 45:4	seeking 3:17,18
relief 72:19	38:2,3 39:22 40:5	33:9 38:8 40:22	Saturday 52:13	seen 38:9 46:2
relinquishing 19:4	40:7 59:20	44:15 45:24 46:18	Saturdays 8:16	self-evident 65:2
reluctance 2:2	resign 67:23	54:5,19 57:8 58:1	save 6:2 64:2,3	selves 76:12
			saved 14:12	
	•	•	•	-

send 4:1 56:9 67:2	Sicily 49:9	situation 22:13	25:5 26:20 27:19	statute 4:19
67:24	side 16:24 31:13,14	32:10 35:7	28:1 43:19,20,22	statutory 72:20
sending 66:25	32:17	situations 22:3,10	52:23	stay 13:1 14:9
senior 51:6 56:20	sides 72:21	size 48:25	speaker 23:8 25:24	stays 69:11
sense 28:23 45:19	signage 73:2,4	skills 36:11	43:16,18	step 21:2 46:16
47:21 57:19 72:4	significant 12:20	slander 70:2	speaking 15:22	60:6 61:6,7,16,20
78:15	13:12 71:16	slightly 73:12	18:3 26:19 48:7	61:21 62:9 63:21
sensed 15:22	silence 80:3,7	slowly 9:23,23	sped 31:22	Stephen 45:5
sent 33:25 54:6	silent 52:6	slunk 35:18,19,20	spend 44:7	stepping 64:23
63:19	silk 2:13	35:21	spent 69:10	steps 70:11
Sentamu 50:7	silver 61:25	small 49:2	splinters 74:18	stock 27:2
separate 8:14	similar 4:16 7:8	smoke 14:5 66:16	spoke 45:16 58:3	Stone 50:7
September 75:23	simply 38:13 52:18	66:17	spoken 27:24 42:9	stood 45:23
serious 37:10,11,22	sing 14:18	sniff 67:10	68:13 69:17 71:14	stop 32:14 48:7
53:19	Singh 15:3,4,7	so-called 76:16	spoon 61:25	53:19 77:23 79:1
seriously 15:18	single 41:20 63:17	social 27:22 49:24	spread 5:3	stories 52:14
61:8	66:17	society 32:18 33:3	sprinkler 41:1	strategy 50:21,24
servant 50:22	sir 1:3,25 12:15	75:25	stacked 73:20	57:2,3
servants 46:8 50:17	15:22 16:2,19,21	sociopathic 31:4	stage 6:4 9:7 14:7	Straw 45:4 46:3
51:4,6	17:5,24 18:12,17	solicitors 2:24	28:6 31:25	Street 18:22
service 21:10 58:6	19:12,15 20:8,11	solid 11:16	stairs 14:10	stretched 78:16
services 21:14	23:24 25:22 27:18	solution 30:3	stairway 13:14	strip 35:11
36:10 72:20	28:3,18,23 29:16	somebody 14:11,13	stairwell 13:22	strong 30:2 47:8
sessions 8:11,21	29:19 34:8,18	36:8 49:17 50:24	stakeholders 20:6	structure 19:24
28:5	36:16,25 37:6,24	54:17 58:3 73:12	stand 29:23 47:1	68:17 69:5 70:6
set 7:1 16:10 28:25	38:11,20,24 39:4	someone's 54:16	standing 22:19	struggle 62:1
28:25 58:15	40:22 41:24 42:2	son 11:1,2 13:6,13	70:7 79:16	students 11:6
setting 10:20 31:25	44:11,15 47:16	14:3,6 41:3	staring 73:3	studies 11:24
53:14	52:23 55:22 56:8	son's 14:12 41:5,7	start 9:6 10:16 27:6	stuff 30:18 31:20
Shafi 1:20 2:25	56:25 59:17,19	41:10	31:24 33:19 43:6	68:22 72:11
shambles 21:24	62:11 64:20 66:8	song 14:18	47:15 53:13 58:1	subject 4:10 38:25
sheet 23:21	67:13 68:3,12	soon 54:15	69:4 70:6	50:4
shelf 7:21	70:16 75:17 76:23	sooner 33:4,5	started 5:3 9:5	submissions 45:21
Shepherd's 55:8	77:2,16,21,23	sorry 24:8 27:16,20	28:19 29:15 69:13	substantive 48:6
62:6	78:10,23 79:18	48:5 60:7 72:25	69:24	successful 16:7
shocking 41:6	80:5,8	78:25 79:23	starting 33:11 70:2	succinct 10:9
shoddily 14:25	sister 54:5	sort 2:7 21:14	starts 33:19 42:17	suddenly 44:9
15:20	sisters 52:19	24:11 35:11,12,24	61:9	sued 41:10
shoddy 15:11	sit 19:7 48:8,10	41:24	stated 31:19	suffered 2:14 22:5
short 38:4 61:18	66:19,20 79:15	south 21:11	statement 13:11	suggest 7:5 9:13
should've 43:11,11	sits 1:23 71:5	south-east 41:3	69:2 79:3	55:25 57:1,3
showing 75:25	sitting 1:21,23 2:17	Southwark 25:13	statements 28:7	58:24
76:14	3:22 8:25 50:11	spate 21:25	68:20	suggested 1:6
shown 59:14	60:8,9 74:16	speak 9:19,23 10:7	stations 59:3	suggesting 36:3
Showy 24:2 78:1	79:14	18:7 23:6 24:1	status 48:14 57:9	63:21
	-	•	•	-

				1 480 71
suggestion 55:23	77:8 79:5	test 46:14,14,19,21	36:3 37:24 39:6	TMOs 76:16
suggestions 8:22	takes 3:14	46:22 48:23,24	45:24 46:15,24	today 20:24 27:11
18:15	talk 8:22 9:2 10:1	text 54:10	47:16 50:21 56:6	52:16
support 79:9	11:10 28:8 43:2	thank 16:24 17:5	57:24 58:12,16	told 1:16 2:1 22:20
supposed 54:22	54:25 76:7,7,7,7	17:24 18:2,5,24	60:1,20 61:4,6,14	22:22,24 39:23
71:6	79:24	23:24 25:22 26:2	64:15 66:6 72:5	50:18 51:15 66:11
supposedly 49:15	talked 50:10	26:3 27:3,17,18	72:17 76:11,12,13	79:25
71:10	talking 22:21 44:8	29:18 34:17,18	77:24 78:10,11,25	tonight 54:7 56:1
sure 4:5 7:11 8:20	78:14	36:16,20 37:8,23	79:13,20	top 17:16 30:7
9:25 18:10,15	taught 10:24,25	39:4 42:1 44:11	thinking 16:21	75:18 76:15
19:18 23:17 24:16	tea 54:14	56:25 59:17 62:12	57:7,11,12,14,14	total 17:17
27:10 28:13 29:14	teaching 66:5	70:15,16 75:17	third 40:11 48:24	totality 75:15
41:20 46:20 51:8	team 1:19 2:11,23	77:22 80:8	71:9	touch 3:24 4:7 7:16
51:19 52:10 53:19	2:24 4:2,7 7:18	thereabouts 18:23	thought 9:5,13	8:24
56:4,4 62:3 64:18	8:10,13,24 42:6	Theresa 60:18	38:13 41:22 58:18	touched 23:13
77:16	79:21	64:11	63:5	tourist 67:12
surely 28:15 51:11	teams 2:10	they'd 23:11 24:12	thoughts 9:11,16	towels 11:16,23
surprising 46:10	technical 19:22	thin 9:7	10:3,8,16 17:25	tower 1:14 4:15 6:3
survived 11:2	tell 1:17 2:2 3:6,20	thing 13:12 14:17	23:23	10:18 11:4,10,12
39:14,23	3:25 6:24,25 8:8	14:21 15:17 20:1	three 2:20 8:14	16:14 31:7 44:22
survivor 44:16	9:20 10:9,10	21:1 22:8,9,23,24	24:5 42:8 45:6	59:20 60:11,24
survivors 19:2 40:2	18:14 19:20 24:15	23:18 32:23 41:24	48:5 73:1 78:3	61:1 63:7 68:6,7
40:15,18,19,20	28:9 29:8 39:6	43:15 52:17 53:6	throw 1:10	69:23 71:5,6
53:12	42:20 45:15 49:21	54:11 55:7 58:2	Thursday 1:1	72:12
system 26:6,8,13	51:22 56:3,6,18	60:1 61:4,18	tied 66:17	town 15:1 16:12
26:14 32:3 33:6	57:2,15	65:25 66:1,2 73:3	ties 17:20	18:21 33:24 41:16
33:10 41:12	telling 67:11	73:7 75:18	time 3:14 7:15	tracks 69:15
systemic 35:12	tenant 5:15 11:8	things 5:1 14:17,20	13:16 14:4 24:21	traffic 24:23
systems 41:1,8	17:21	15:9,11,19,20	26:17 31:25 33:1	tragedy 4:16 35:1,3
	tenants 10:20	19:16,24 30:2,21	33:13 38:22 40:12	66:14
T	15:15 16:17 18:15	33:7,23 42:8 43:8	42:17 43:9 44:8	transcript 1:25
tabernacle 56:12	21:17 26:22 27:2	45:14 54:1 57:10	44:24 48:6 54:24	9:17,20 10:4,11
table 52:8	tenants' 10:23	57:21 70:6,25	55:5 56:20 57:13	47:17
tackling 6:1	terms 3:4,5,9,10,18	73:20 79:19	59:4 60:19,19	trauma 2:15 75:9
tagged 22:11	6:21,24 7:1,5,25	think 1:17 3:21,25	65:14 75:24 78:7	79:7
take 4:21 6:10	17:9 19:20 29:1,3	4:3,8,11,18 6:24	78:17 79:23	treat 36:12 61:3
16:10 21:21 28:11	29:24 33:11 34:5	8:25 10:5 11:24	time-frames 38:4	treated 22:12
31:17 34:19 40:23	42:13 44:2 47:7	12:17,20 14:2	timelessly 35:17	true 29:25 68:2
44:12 48:5 56:1	47:13 48:3,3,8	15:14,23 16:6,21	times 51:16	trust 19:6,11 36:4
61:21 73:22 74:21	49:21 53:14 55:16	18:12 19:16,25	tirelessly 50:7	51:15,18 59:24
74:23 77:2,2	70:24 71:15 72:5	20:14,24 21:24	Titanic 14:18	60:4 70:12 74:12
79:25	75:5,12	22:3,12 23:19	TMO 5:22 20:20	78:20
taken 5:19 21:2	terrible 79:8	26:6,10,15 27:4	26:8 27:22 28:15	truth 20:5 28:13
25:14 30:17 47:18	terrorist 21:25	27:10,25 29:5,7	29:4,12 38:1,8	48:21 64:9 65:2
56:25 59:7 61:22	22:13 67:12	32:6,7 35:2,8,10	63:19	65:21 68:21
62:23 70:11 73:10				
	•	•	•	•

				1 age 73
truthful 33:12	United 59:21 60:24	want 5:11,19,21,24	70:4,22 75:9,9	16:11 44:23 74:5
try 9:23 22:17	universities 61:3	8:20 9:19,19,21	ways 3:24 8:20	Westminster 42:11
24:12	unrest 68:19 70:10	13:9,12 23:6 28:7	we'll 4:5 5:5 11:23	68:7
trying 3:15 13:16	unsustainable	28:13,14 29:23	36:16 40:22 56:4	Westway 74:12
18:25 19:5 45:8	46:25	32:22 35:1 36:15	56:4 63:21 79:25	Westway 23 74:9
52:18 60:14 64:2	unwelcome 3:13	41:25 42:14,16,17	we're 8:12,15,16,18	wet 11:16,23
64:3 65:11,15	unwieldy 3:12	43:8,12,19,21,22	8:19 9:12 14:2,8	white 44:23 45:2
71:7 72:18 77:4	upkeep 5:12	48:21 52:16,17	19:18 22:20 28:4	49:25 51:13,17
turn 39:2 48:7	urge 49:12	54:11 55:19 59:10	28:23 29:1 30:8	60:9,13,14,22,23
76:21	use 9:15	59:25 60:2,3,5,7,8	32:9 34:9,23	61:15
turned 71:11	useful 57:8	60:9,20,21,25	35:18 36:1 39:22	whiteness 35:24
turning 25:14	usually 29:7	61:10,14 64:9,24	42:13 43:24 44:1	whitewash 64:8
59:11,12	utter 63:23	64:25 65:2 66:22	44:6,7,9,13 45:13	whoever's 10:5
two 8:13 10:7 14:17	utter 05.25 utterly 58:22	67:2 70:22 71:14	52:18 54:9 55:7,8	wide 3:12,19 18:11
19:16 23:1 24:5		74:21 75:18 77:12	55:15,18 57:6	30:1 47:8 48:4
40:10,11 42:8	V	77:14 78:18,20	58:16 59:24 60:19	72:6 73:21 75:12
48:4 72:21 78:3	valid 20:20,22	wanted 18:10 35:14	60:25 61:3,4,11	widen 74:22
two-bedroom	value 65:12 76:18	38:20 39:12 42:8	63:11 64:2,2	wider 74.22 wider 5:5 8:17 20:6
39:18	values 65:1	43:15	75:21,23 78:16,25	widows 64:13
two-hour 11:18	various 1:19 5:12	wanting 26:15	79:14	Wilcox 42:10
two-nour 11.10	venue 56:17	wanting 20.13 wants 8:8 39:4	we've 1:19 6:21 9:3	willing 9:18
$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	vicar 64:12	78:17	19:19,24 26:21	win 61:22
ultimate 21:5,18	victim 50:25 71:5	war 59:5,6,6	27:24 39:15,22	wince 38:13
umpteen 56:12	victims 49:5	ward 22:15,19	41:1 42:9 45:25	window 13:7
unaccounted 39:25	view 20:13 71:20	wards 22:18	46:1,2 55:22 61:2	window 13.7 windows 11:17
unbelievably 63:3	viewed 71:21	warm 46:11	76:23,24 79:23	wine 11:25
uncle 22:25	views 3:17,18 4:4,8	warm 37:19	wear 9:6 49:18	wisdom 57:23
uncles 76:3	7:13 63:12	warned 29:4	73:6	59:14
undermining 63:24	vilification 37:22	warning 37:21	web 74:17	wise 58:14
underneath 12:8	visit 43:24	warnings 5:21	web /4.17 website 2:1 6:21	wish 4:21 53:12
understand 15:7,8	visiting 40:5 42:24	wasn't 13:25 15:9	10:13	witnesses 2:14
15:10,11 20:8	visually 22:17	22:4,13 40:6	week 7:12,22,23	36:23 48:18
22:9 26:24 28:8	vital 53:9	43:16	18:18 55:23 67:5	woman 64:17
50:9 60:15 63:8	voice 20:25 52:18	watch 75:1	weeks 56:15 73:1	women 61:15 64:14
64:25 65:15 66:4	voluntary 73:8	watching 46:1 62:8	weeping 68:6	64:17 65:22 67:13
66:6,22 67:25	volunteered 50:19	62:10 76:5	welcome 2:22	word 49:6 54:17
72:4	volunteering 68:15	water 12:9	46:12	75:13
understanding	69:12	way 1:8 4:5 5:25	welcomed 1:15	work 4:23 5:18
17:22 21:6 71:8	volunteers 69:14	15:5,23 18:9,24	welcomed 1.13 welcoming 24:12	15:6 19:23 26:13
71:13 72:15		18:24 20:14 24:18	went 6:1 13:14 14:1	42:7 44:1 51:7,19
understood 15:12	W	27:1 32:16 33:9	14:4 61:2 66:16	63:12 70:18
undocumented	wait 79:12	33:17 37:2,3	72:7	worked 16:15 26:9
48:9 72:23	waited 9:5	38:11,17 43:6,10	weren't 22:16 40:8	50:7 56:22 62:1
unduly 3:10	waiting 28:24	45:19 48:24 49:5	57:20 69:1	worker 70:18,19
unfortunately 17:2	wake 76:19			74:25
27:23	walked 73:1	55:6 61:10 62:1,5	west 10:23,24	14.23
27.23				

				Page 90
1	40 17 51 15	20.40.0		
working 44:23 45:3	49:17 51:15	30 48:9		
51:13 71:15 74:13	young 75:1 76:4,6	31 25:15		
works 41:16 59:10	76:18	320 39:20		
59:10	youth 70:17,19	33 48:9		
world 10:25 58:6	71:2,3 74:25	380 39:22		
63:25				
worry 11:22 29:5	Z	4		
worse 58:23	Zeenat 2:21	4 7:15 66:11		
worst 49:10	zero 61:19,19,20,23	40 39:20		
worth 70:15				
worthwhile 71:20		5		
71:21		5 44:21		
would've 17:16	1	50 63:6		
23:17,18 39:18,19	1 22:22 65:7	500 30:12		
57:22 62:16	1.5 39:21	52 62:24 63:3 67:23		
wouldn't 20:23	100 30:12 44:25			
38:7 55:20	12 48:12,13	6		
	12-year-old 39:11	6.50 1:2		
wow 62:4	129 39:18	60 39:21		
wrapped 13:4	13 13:24 39:11			
write 7:24	135 12:12 13:17	7		
writing 45:21 48:6	13th 13:23	7 7:23 8:10		
written 13:22	14 12:22 44:18			
64:15	149 51:23	8		
wrong 12:11 72:7	16 13:24	8.50 80:10		
	16th 13:17,19,19	80 39:23 60:12		
X	13:24 14:4	855 49:4		
	13.24 14.4 175 24:4			
Y	1983 10:18	9		
yeah 29:23 30:10		94 72:12		
30:14 31:3,16,19	1989 62:15			
32:1,11,12,16,20	1990s 10:18			
33:16 34:14,16	1997 11:4			
54:14,18 55:9	2			
61:9,14 75:24				
76:6,8,13,16,20	2 22:22			
77:15	20 1:1 12:2 46:3,22			
year 5:7	51:21 59:22			
years 5:20 12:2	2005 36:25 52:5			
15:19,25 27:23	2010 21:12 51:23			
30:7,12,12 31:18	2014 63:19			
38:23 44:21,25	2017 1:1			
45:7,18 46:3,22	20th 18:4 24:3			
47:4 51:21 56:23	255 39:23 40:19			
63:25 69:25 70:20				
yellow 23:21	3			
yesterday 7:9 18:7	3 22:24,24			
jesiciuay 1.7 10.1				
L			•	