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(10.00 am)
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to
    today's hearing. We're going to begin today by hearing
    evidence from Dr Karl Harrison, the lead forensic
    archaeologist who was concerned with the recovery of the
    remains of the deceased from Grenfell Tower.
            Later on today, we shall hear further presentations
        from lawyers representing the bereaved.
            Yes, Mr Millett.
MR MILLETT: Yes, Mr Chairman, good morning to you. Good
        morning, members of the panel.
            Before I call Dr Harrison, the forensic
        archaeologist, I should just give a trigger warning. In
        his evidence, there will or may be accounts or images
        that people might find distressing, including
        descriptions of the identification and recovery of human
        remains. So I should raise that at this stage so that
        those following the proceedings, either in here or
        remotely on the live stream, can absent themselves or
        look away if they wish to.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
MR MILLETT: Subject to that, then, Mr Chairman, may I now
        please call Dr Karl Harrison.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
```
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## DR KARL HARRISON (sworn)

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Please sit down and make yourself comfortable.
(Pause)

## Yes, Mr Millett.

Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
MR MILLETT: Good morning, Dr Harrison. Thank you very much for coming today and assisting the Inquiry with its investigations. We are extremely grateful to you.

Just a couple of housekeeping pointers, if I may: may I ask you, please, to keep your voice up so that the transcriber, who sits to your right, can get down everything you say very clearly. Also, please, if you're answering questions from me, don't nod your head or shake your head; say "Yes" or "No", as the case may be, so that the transcript can pick it up.

Now, you've provided for us -- for which many thanks -- a Phase 2 witness statement, which is at $\{$ KHA00000001\}. We will have that in the screen in front of you.

Is that the first page of your statement?
A. It is.
Q. If we go to page 50 , you can see a signature above your name in print and a date of 8 June 2022; is that your signature?
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A. It is.
Q. Have you read this statement recently?
A. I have.
Q. Can you confirm that the factual matters that you've set
    out in it are true to the best of your knowledge and
    belief?
A. Yes, I can.
Q. Can you also confirm that the matters set out in it,
    which are expressions of your opinion, are your true and
    genuinely held professional opinions?
A. That's true.
Q. Now, it's right, I think, that this statement describes,
    in general terms, the approach and methodology of your
    team of forensic archaeologists that was engaged in the
    recovery of the remains of the deceased at
    Grenfell Tower; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can I then start with your qualifications and your
professional background.
            You set those out on page 1 {KHA00000001/1} -- can
        we go to that, please - - at paragraphs 1, 3 and 4.
    There is also, if we go to page 44 and on to page 45
    {KHA00000001/44-45}, a CV. If we go to the bottom
    there, we can see that you begin to describe yourself,
    and that description continues over to the top of
    3
    page 45 there, for the record.
    Summarising it, if I can, with you, I think it's
    right that you hold a PhD in archeology and forensic
    science from the University of Reading?
A. That's true.
Q. An MSc in forensic archeology from Bournemouth
    University.
A. I do.
Q. Also, I think, a diploma in crime scene examination from
    the University of Durham.
A. Yes.
Q. In addition, a BA honours degree in archeology and
    ancient history, also from the University of Reading.
A. That's true.
Q. I think you were awarded an honorary DSc for services to
        forensic science by the University of West London.
A. That's true.
Q. And listed as an external adviser with the National
    Crime Agency.
A. I am -- or I was at the time.
Q. Right. I think you are a member as at today -- is this
        right? -- of the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists.
A. That's true.
Q. I think you sit on the expert panel for forensic
    archeology.
```

```
A. Yes.
Q. Is it right that you've acted in the capacity of
    forensic archaeologist over a period of some 20 years?
A. That's true, yes.
Q. And that would include excavation and recovery of human
    remains from clandestine graves?
A. Yes.
Q. Lending your expertise to extended police searches for
    clandestine burials?
A. Yes.
Q. The direction and conduction --I think is the word
    you've used -- of forensic excavations?
A. That's true.
Q. And the recovery of associated evidence types?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. I've broken those down because they are distinct
    stages in a number of processes.
        But I think it's right, isn't it, that you are also
        a trained crime scene examiner and manager; yes?
A. I am.
Q. And you have something like seven years' experience of
        working in those roles within UK police forces?
A. I do.
Q. And a director of Alecto Forensic Services Limited from
    2013 to 2021; yes?
Q. And that would include excavation and recovery of human
remains from clandestine graves?
A. Yes.
Q. Lending your expertise to extended police searches for clandestine burials?
A. Yes.
Q. The direction and conduction \(--I\) think is the word you've used -- of forensic excavations?
A. That's true.
Q. And the recovery of associated evidence types?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. I've broken those down because they are distinct stages in a number of processes.
't it, that you
crime scene examiner and manager; yes?
A. I am.
Q. And you have something like seven years' experience of working in those roles within UK police forces?
A. I do.
Q. And a director of Alecto Forensic Services Limited from 2013 to 2021; yes?
```
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    Q. I think it was in that period that you were working on
the recoveries from Grenfell Tower?
A. That's correct.
Q. Your current role -- is this right? -- is national
forensic specialist adviser with the National Crime
Agency.
A. That's true, yes.
Q. You obtained that role, I think, last year, 2021.
A. Nine months ago, yes.
Q. Nine months ago.
So just in the round, is it fair to say that you
have very considerable expertise and experience in
forensic archeology?
A. I do.
Q. Yes, thank you.

Now, I want to go back to paragraph 1, page 1, please, of your report, your statement $\{$ KHA00000001/1\}. You say here 1 follows:
"I was Lead Forensic Ecologist and a Director of Alecto Forensic Services Ltd from 2013 to 2021, and was responsible for leading the team of forensic archaeologists who provided scientific assistance during the search and examination of Grenfell Tower."

Can you briefly explain to us what it means to be
5

## A. That's true, yes. <br> A. That's true, yes.

Q. I think it was in that period that you were working on the recoveries from Grenfell Tower?
A. That's correct.
Q. Your current role -- is this right? -- is national forensic specialist adviser with the National Crime Agency.
A. That's true, yes.
Q. You obtained that role, I think, last year, 2021.
A. Nine months ago, yes.
Q. Nine months ago

So just in the round, is it fair to say that you forensic archeology?
A. I do.
Q. Yes, thank you.
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a forensic ecologist?
A. Forensic ecology is a catch-all definition within forensic science that includes disciplines that would be regarded as being classically ecological, dealing with insects, soil, plant life. It also tends to include archeology and anthropology as disciplines within it. It 's a catch-all term that tends to be used within forensic science contracts.
Q. How is that different from a forensic archaeologist?
A. It's an umbrella term within which forensic archeology falls. So my reporting discipline, the discipline I would write statements of opinion on, is forensic archeology, but in my position at Alecto, I would write forensic strategies and advise police forces, and I would take samples related to a broader range of evidence types that were ecological in nature.
Q. Were there any such exercises in the work you did at Grenfell Tower, ecological exercises?
A. No, no, it was entirely archaeological, my role.
Q. Now, I think it's right that you and your team started your work within Grenfell Tower on 16 June.
A. The 16 th would have been around the outskirts of the tower, that's correct. I think it was the 17 th when we gained entry.
Q. Right. Just to help you, the 16 th was the Friday --
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```
A. Yes.
Q. - - and the 17th was the Saturday.
    I think that you had a planning meeting, though,
    before that with the Met on 15 June, Thursday, 24 hours
    or so after the fire?
A. That's true.
Q. Now, is it right that you and Dr Deborah Ryder managed
    a team of some 36 forensic archaeologists?
A. We were the main co-ordinating archaeologists who
    managed the archaeologists that were attached to the
    search teams of police officers, yes.
Q. Right, and you provided scientific or
    archaeological-specific scientific assistance to the
    search parties, as it were?
A. That's correct.
Q. Right. Could you just explain who Deborah Ryder is?
A. Deborah Ryder was an employee of Alecto at the same
    time. She's a forensic archaeologist that I trained.
    She holds a PhD in forensic archeology, with
    a concentration on DNA, ancient DNA. She has worked on
    numerous forensic archeology cases in the past.
Q. What was her role on the Grenfell Tower team?
A. She took a co-director role with myself on the team.
    Because it required co-ordination over seven days
    a week, we shared the responsibilities.
```

Q. Right
Now, your team of 36 were mostly trained to MSc
level in a forensic science discipline of one kind or
another; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did they also all have or mostly have extensive,
complex, major crime scene experience?
A. There was a range of experience, and they were utilised
within the tower in different spaces according to their
level of experience. They all had some experience, and
the majority of them had been educated and trained by
myself at Master's level in my prior university post.
Q. Right.
Can we go to page 2 of your statement
\{KHA00000001/2\}, please, and look at paragraph 5, which
starts at the foot of page 1, but let's pick it up in
the second line, second sentence at the top there.
Just using that as an aide memoire for you, could
you tell us, in broad terms, what it was that your team
was instructed to do --it's a very general question,
I appreciate -- generally within Grenfell Tower, once
you'd started work.
A. The use of our team was dynamic and it altered as the
police response altered within the tower. It's laid out
in the table below, really, that there was a primary
9
phase of work in which we were acting in a response
manner, so initially that was outside the tower, seeking
to assist police search officers in the identification
of human tissue. That developed into working within the
tower to attend areas where primarily London
Fire Brigade staff had said that they believed that
there were bodies present, and we provided some
confirmation around that. Once those sites were
confirmed, we then moved into an excavation phase,
focusing on those sites in the first instance where we
were hand excavating over the top of those remains, and
then the much longer period of our search, which was the
comprehensive sieving of all debris within the tower.
Q. Yes. Now, we'll look at those phases in a little bit
more detail shortly, but before we do, can I ask you to
cast your eye down the middle of the screen to
paragraph 6. You say there:
"I worked both around and inside the tower
throughout the forensic examination that lasted from
June to December 2017. Together with Dr Deborah Ryder,
I managed the teams of archaeologists deployed into the
Tower on a daily basis. I have first - hand knowledge of
the nature of the Tower following the fire, the state of
human remains and the strategies adopted to ensure their
recording and recovery."
Q. Right

Now, your team of 36 were mostly trained to MSc level in a forensic science discipline of one kind or another; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did they also all have or mostly have extensive, complex, major crime scene experience?
A. There was a range of experience, and they were utilised within the tower in different spaces according to their the majority of them had been educated and trained by myself at Master's level in my prior university post.
Q. Right.

Can we go to page 2 of your statement \{KHA00000001/2\}, please, and look at paragraph 5, which starts at the foot of page 1, but let's pick it up in the second line, second sentence at the top there.

Just using that as an aide memoire for you, could you tell us, in broad terms, what it was that your team was instructed to do -- it's a very general question, appreciate -- generally within Grenfell Tower, once you'd
A. The use of our team was dynamic and it altered as the response alter in the table below, really, that there was a primary
phase of work in which we were acting in a response manner, so initially that was outside the tower, seeking to assist police search officers in the identification of human tissue. That developed into working within the tower to attend areas where primarily London Fire Brigade staff had said that they believed that there were bodies present, and we provided some confirmed, we then moved into an excavation phase, focusing on those sites in the first instance where we were hand excavating over the top of those remains, and then the much longer period of our search, which was the comprehensive sieving of all debris within the tower
Q. Yes. Now, we'll look at those phases in a little bit more detail shortly, but before we do, can I ask you to cast your eye down the middle of the screen to paragraph 6. You say there:
"I worked both around and inside the tower throughout the forensic examination that lasted from June to December 2017. Together with Dr Deborah Ryder, I managed the teams of archaeologists deployed into the Tower on a daily basis. I have first - hand knowledge of the nature of the Tower following the fire, the state of recording and recovery."

Now, you say you managed the teams of archaeologists; how did you go about supervising or overseeing the work of those teams?
A. So supervision -- direct supervision was very challenging within the tower because of the need to work within separate flats, because of the -- we recognised very early on the potential that mixing debris would have in limiting our ability to identify people's remains. So the archaeologists who were deployed within the tower were working with search teams in individual flats. They were effectively closed fire scenes individually

So the process of management underwent a number of phases. We would establish strategy, so depending on the nature of the fire debris within a flat in question; intelligence related to whether we believed there to be remains present within that flat; whether recovery had already been made and, as a consequence, we believed there to be more fragments of human remains to be present within it. All of those then would fit within our strategy of how we would approach that particular flat in question.

So the archaeologist being tasked with the search team would understand their role in the first instance. They would receive some further on-site training in
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identification of burnt bone, albeit that's their background, so they've got that aspect of experience already, and then they would work alongside the search team under the authority of the lead search for that flat that they're working within and provide the technical expertise to assist those search officers in identifying bone fragments, primarily.

On a daily basis we would have a series of briefings and debriefings, both as a group as a whole with the DVI and search teams, but also separately as a group of archaeologists, and we would have representation at the forensic strategy meetings to ensure that our approach was recognised within those broader strategic decisions.
Q. Right. How frequent were the forensic strategy meetings that you've just referred to?
A. Initially, they were very frequent and very dynamic, as you can imagine. They settled into a weekly routine.
Q. Can we go to page 3 of your statement $\{\mathrm{KHA} 00000001 / 3\}$, and let's look at paragraphs 10 and 11. Just look at those. You say there that most of the methods used by forensic archaeologists relate to controlled means of excavation or controlled excavation. What do you mean by "controlled excavation" in those paragraphs?
A. Forensic archeology is most usually associated with criminal recoveries, so a body buried in a clandestine
grave, a hidden grave, from which the police are attempting to optimise evidential recovery. In those instances, you've got an area of disturbance dug by an offender and filled in with a body within it. So ensuring that the excavation recognises the parameters of that grave, excavates within it, recovers and records material in a methodical fashion, is the core of the forensic archaeologist's skill set.

This is somewhat different, because we have a flat surface and debris is gathering on top of it, so accumulating through the fire and through the later collapse phases, which creates layers of material. Most of the human remains are very low down within that stratigraphy of debris, so excavating down to ensure that the body is revealed in its entirety; that fragmentary remains that are still directly associated with that body remain in position and continue to be associated with it ; and where bodies are located very close to one another, that, wherever possible, the material from each can be recognised and recorded as being separate.
Q. If you look at paragraph 12, towards the foot of the screen, you say that your forensic archeology work differs from the process of search and digging used by fire investigators. That's what you say.
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What are the principal differences between your work as a forensic archaeologist and the work done by forensic fire investigators?
A. Digging out -- excavation of fire scenes is a recognised process that fire investigators would undertake as part of their roles. The primary aim of the fire investigator in doing that is to try to locate the lowest point of burning within a room, because those low points of burning will tend to be seats of fire and, as such, might be origin points for that fire. The fire investigator's key role is in identifying the cause, origin and development of fire within a space.

This is a somewhat different goal, in terms of the recovery of fragmentary human remains. So the two methods look very similar, but they're structured differently within a forensic strategy.
Q. How did your strategy or strategies as a forensic archaeologist get designed to work alongside the work of the fire investigators?
A. So there were no fire investigators working with us within the scene. The fire investigators who were deployed were within the flat of origin, rather than within the fire -- the burnt scenes throughout the rest of the tower. So -- and our strategy was more integrated with the strategy of disaster victim

## Q. And LSO, is this right, means licensed search officer?

A. That's correct.
Q. I see. Were those teams -- DVI and LSO -- Metropolitan Police teams?
A. For the most part. As the search continued, then mutual
aid was drawn upon amongst a wider range of forces, primarily from the southeast of England.
Q. But police forces?
A. Yes.

## Q. I see.

What is the difference between the expertise of a DVI officer and an LSO, a licensed search officer?
A. The principal role of a DVI officer is to ensure the chain of continuity that is provided by the unique reference number that accompanies any identified human remains. So all of the processes of DVI are designed to ensure that the chances of a fragment of human remains either being missed or being wrongly attributed to a person and then repatriated to the wrong family are absolutely minimised.

The role of a licensed search officer is somewhat more general. They are utilised within policing to search for things in accordance with the search strategy. So they could be defensive searches, so a location that is going to receive a visit from a VIP will be searched in advance to ensure that no devices have been secreted there. That would be a role that would be undertaken by a licensed search officer. So they are searches, but they are not directly and specifically associated with the search for human
remains.
Q. And is it from either the DVI team or the LSO team that the primary information would come to you, such as there is a 63 -year-old female with a potential disability in a particular flat?
A. Yes, frequently via the DVI co-ordinator or the SERM, the search evidence recovery manager, who would be on duty on any given day.
Q. And SERM, search evidence recovery manager?
A. That's correct, and that's a role associated with DVI rather than with search.
Q. Right. I think that answers my next question. We can pick that up, I think, in 80. You refer to the term "Crime Scene Manager (CSM), SERM and DVI Coordinator" there.

SERM, you've explained, stands for scene evidence recovery manager. Were scene evidence recovery managers also police officers?
A. Yes, and to confuse matters somewhat further, many of them, in their day jobs, were police search advisers. So they were generally inspectors and sergeants whose day job within policing would be to write search strategies that would be enacted by those licensed search officers.
Q. Would those search strategies always be related to body
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recovery or would they be other kinds of crime scene too?
A. All sorts of crime searches and defensive searches.
Q. I see, thank you.

Now, let's go back to page 3 of your statement $\{$ KHA00000001/3\}, please, if we can, paragraph 13 , which, at the foot of the page, continues over on to page 4. You say at the foot of page 3 in paragraph 13:
"It is important to note that forensic science advice in general, and specifically in this instance forensic archaeology support at mass fatality scenes are not directly addressed by the published national guidelines on DVI, but that some forensic specialists are noted in the NPIA (2011) document with regard to the staff roles that comprise the UK DVI cadre. These roles have traditionally focused their work on aspects of remains reassociation, deconfliction and identification in the context of mortuary operations."

So just to be clear, it's right, I think, national guidelines for DVI, disaster victim identification, don't directly address forensic archeology support for disaster scenes?
A. That's correct. Currently the standing specialist cadres that support DVI efforts are pathology, anthropology and odontology. At this stage, there's no
recognition that archeology or really scene science forms part of that specialist support. That's something that we're in the process of trying to change.
Q. Right. That being so, how did you ensure that your teams were using methodologies at Grenfell Tower which were in keeping with national or international standards, or best practice?
A. So communication with the mortuary, that's essential because, in essence, our role is facilitating the work that's being undertaken at the mortuary; a very early visit from a number of lead scientists from the World Trade Center to provide some further external peer review over the processes, albeit that was very early on within the response phase; and a use of standard accepted forensic archeology processes, so an adaptation of a context recording form, which would be a standard recording form, within soil excavations in forensic archeology that we utilised to supplement the standardised forms that already exist within the DVI packs.
Q. So do I take it from that that your use of these routes to knowledge or routes to conformity, perhaps, was because of the absence of any standard operating practice which would cover a mass incident such as Grenfell Tower?
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A. Yes, that's correct, and I would say that even if there were a set of standard processes, they would still require adaptation, we would still be in a position where we would be seeking to optimise them, given that the sorts of circumstances you're liable to face for any form of DVI incident are so different and so challenging.
Q. Right. Does that explain the absence of a standard?
A. No, I don't think so. I think the absence of a standard comes from the fact that we haven't recognised that this is a discipline that should be nested within the DVI capabilities of the UK.
Q. I follow. At the top of the screen, you refer to the NPIA 2011 document. Is that the National Policing Improvement Agency's guidance on disaster victim identification?
A. It is, yes.
Q. I see. Is that a publicly available document?
A. I'm not aware. I suspect that it probably is, but I'd have to check.
Q. Did that guidance inform your methodologies or processes in working at the scene of the Grenfell Tower fire?
A. It did on a high level, inasmuch as it pointed out and it recognises and enshrines the other specialisms that are working. It doesn't provide very much beyond that,
Q. Yes
Now, let's look at page 2 \{KHA00000001/2\}. I just
want to ask you about the phases of work which you've
told us about just earlier this morning.
On page 2, you've set out a table, which sets out
the three phases. Am I right to summarise them as
follows: there was an initial phase, which was the
response; a secondary phase, which was excavation; and
the tertiary phase, search and sieve?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Yes. I think we also see, at the bottom, a final phase
which, if you go over the page $\{$ KHA00000001/3\}, says:
"Completion, sign -off and Quality Assurance."
Is it fair to characterise that fourth element as
having been incorporated throughout the tertiary search
and sieve phase?
A. Yes. So, as you can imagine, the sieving in different
flats and lobbies was completed at different times. So
as and when those were completed, the phase 4 quality
sign - off would be undertaken.
Q. If we go to page $2\{\mathrm{KHA} 00000001 / 2\}$, back to that page,
please, in the column headed "Tasks", we see under
paragraph 1.1:
"Assessment of flats and lobbies suspected of
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featuring human remains."
Do the phases in this table that we've just looked at apply only to those locations suspected of featuring human remains?
A. No. Phases 1 and 2 are directly associated with those flats and lobbies that are suspected of featuring human remains. Phases 3 and 4 are comprehensive throughout the tower.
Q. Right. But not flat 16 ?
A. No.
Q. And how were those locations identified? In other words, how were the locations covered by phases 1 and 2 identified?
A. As in physically how do we identify them?
Q. No, how did you decide which flats should be subject to phases 1 and 2?
A. So initially based on the intelligence from London Fire Brigade and 999 phone calls coming in via policing to give approximate or confirmed locations for where people were believed to have died. So that was our first level of intelligence. That was then backed up by the room searches undertaken by London Fire Brigade, who would in turn say whether they believed there was a body or bodies present within a given flat. Those areas were then subject to our initial assessment to see if that
was the case.
Q. I follow.

Were any searches carried out by your teams for the purposes of phases 1 and 2 in any other locations?
A. Ultimately, every flat was searched to ensure that there was no missed body core that hadn't been seen, that wasn't reported via 999 or hadn't been seen by London Fire Brigade. So there was a sweep of those areas prior to moving into that comprehensive phase of sieving.
Q. Yes, thank you.

Now, let's examine these phases in series, if we can, first with initial phase.

If we go to page 4 of your statement
$\{$ KHA00000001/4\}, please, paragraph 15, you can see underneath the table there, table 2, where there's a bit more detail, " Initial phase - Response". You detail here the conversations which took place on 15 and 16 June 2017 to devise an initial recording strategy for the recovery of human remains from the tower and its surroundings.

Now, can we summarise it -- and there are bullet points which go over the page -- like this: first, that in the early stages it wasn't possible to estimate the number of deceased?
A. That's true.
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Q. And there would be likely victims who had been more comprehensively burnt in the debris, as well as more visible victims?
A. Yes.
Q. And some casualties might have been moved?
A. Yes.
Q. And victims in the stairways and lobbies as well as the flat?
A. Yes, some of whom had been recovered very early on by Fire Brigade gaining access through the stairs.
Q. Yes, and it would be best to search and examine the debris in situ from the tower because of the fragile nature of the remains from exposure to the fire?
A. That's true. So one of the key areas of concentration of those early conversations about strategy was around the benefits versus the potential risks of searching in situ, in that we didn't know whether the tower would collapse during those search efforts, and we didn't know how the tower would respond as it cooled, versus a rapid attempt to move the debris within those flats and then search at a different location.
Q. Yes, I see.

If you go to paragraph 16 on the next page, page 5 $\{$ KHA00000001/5\}, you set out four stages of the initial phase under (a) to (d):
Q. So the idea would be then to excavate carefully in situ in order to maximise the amount of material --
A. That's right.
Q. - - which can be sent to the mortuary for identification?
A. So if I use the phrase "identification ", it 's not individuation that would be done by odontologists and anthropologists, it 's confirmation that remains are human and potentially confirmation that we have one, two, three, four people within this space, and we will then excavate the debris over the top accordingly.
Q. I follow, you're drawing a distinction between identification and, you call it, individuation?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. That's the distinction. So it's the mortuary, is it, that was responsible for individuation?

## A. Absolutely, yes.

Q. I see, and they would go through the exercise of matching up the information -- is this right? -- from the police -- so, for example, 63 -year-old female with a disability in a flat --
A. That's correct, and under the auspices of the coroner there. So the control by the PMOC, the post-mortem co-ordinator, and the authorities of the coroner to oversee that process.
Q. Yes, I see.

$$
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Then if we go, please, to the bottom of page 5 and over to page 6, there's a heading, "Development of systematic search strategies". You cover that in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20. If we could just look at the bottom of page 5 , paragraph 18 , and then over to the top of page $6\{\mathrm{KHA} 00000001 / 6\}$, you have the rest of that paragraph, paragraph 19 and 20 there.

Just looking at that, casting your eye down, could you tell us, in general terms, what did the development of systematic search strategies involve?
A. So just prior to that, it 's worth pointing out that the very small amount of excavation that was done in a very dynamic way associated with response was done without -with some very early and very fundamental thoughts about how we would approach these scenes, but not really with a written set of strategies in place.

By going into the flats and beginning to understand the nature of the debris, that gave us an early opportunity to then come up with a system. It's a very simple system. In the flats that were most comprehensively burnt, most of the internal walls were almost entirely destroyed. The walls were stud walls of wood and plasterboard and, generally speaking, the line of those walls was only represented by heaps -- lines of collapsed plaster associated with the slots that the
wooden uprights fitted within. So we decided to utilise
those natural landmarks within the flats to be our points of division within them. So each flat was divided into sectors, one-bedroom flats divided into three, two-bedroom flats divided into four, on the basis of the room layouts that covered most of the space, other than the halls and the bathrooms that tended to cut across those lanes.
Q. I see.

At the top of the screen, very end of paragraph 19, you say:
"As a result, the search and sieving of all debris was restricted to the flat or space in which the material was located."

Why did you decide to search and sieve all debris in the flat or space in which the material was located?
A. So there's a number of reasons for that. The first is related to health and safety, that we had no asbestos safety certificates for the material that we were excavating through, as a consequence of which, anything that was removed from the tower -- were we to decide to remove material and search it elsewhere, we would have to treat that material as if it were contaminated with asbestos. That would greatly slow us down in comparison with adopting asbestos safety ourselves and going into
those spaces to search. So that immediately ensured that we were working within the tower.

Our next concern is one of evidential continuity, that we want to ensure that there is no opportunity for a mixing of material between flats. So, again, any movement of bags is likely to raise complications that could result in an intermixing of material. So searching -- retaining material within flats then becomes paramount. So even when we've sieved and we're storing those bags of material, they're still stored within those flats with the flat name written on them.

Then, finally, because we have flats where we have numerous -- multiple individuals who have died, sometimes in different zones, sometimes in one zone together, to mark the zone number -- the zone letter where those people have come from ensures that we've got the highest possible resolution about where that debris has come from.
Q. Thank you.

You go on at paragraph 19 to describe how you decided to divide the one-bedroom flats into three sectors and the two-bedroom flats into four sectors. Could you just explain for us why you did that?
A. Those sectors represent the natural room spaces, by and large. So in the one-bedroom flats, they represented
the bedroom, kitchen, lounge layout that tends to cut across the flats. In the two-bedroom flats, bedroom, bedroom, kitchen, lounge layout. On the basis that, unless there had been some subsequent disturbance of remains following the end of the fire, then the remains of an individual will be within one of those natural room spaces.
Q. And what would account for a subsequent disturbance of remains following the end of the fire?
A. Well, there was always the potential that a -- you know, whilst the tower was still smoking, a search undertaken by the Fire Brigade might have resulted in a movement of material by stepping through between spaces, if visibility was very poor. Or, alternatively, some flats required shoring. So the lightweight concrete floors of the flats had begun to bow as the strength of the reinforcement bars within them was giving out, so we were not able to search two flats that were directly adjacent to one another in terms of their height to try to provide a little bit of a mitigation space. Some flats required shoring prior to us being able to get into them at all. So in order to get the feet of the Acrow props down, then debris had to be moved in order to make sure that they were stable on the ground.
Q. Right. When the debris was moved, either by the LFB
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search and rescue teams or other teams, or by whoever was responsible for putting the Acrow props in place, was any protocol followed about disturbing the remains so that your job, when you came in with the DVI and LSOs, would be easier?
A. Yes, protocol was adopted. Some of the very early propping, I believe, took place prior to the protocol being in place, because it was part of the response nature of the attendance at the scene.
Q. Right. When you came along or your teams came along after the process of either search and rescue or the propping by the Acrow props, did you regard any movement of material as significant or problematic in any way?
A. There was some movement of material that complicated understanding. So when you're working as an archaeologist -- I've described this very much in terms of sectors and flat numbers. These are people, and we're trying to excavate through debris and understand the positions that they're in and where they are within the spaces and what activities they might have been engaged with as they lost consciousness. So in understanding that fine detail of someone's position, then yes, where there was extensive disturbance, then that -- you can no longer make sense of that kind of positioning.

```
Q. I see. We may come back to that in a moment.
    You say in the same paragraph, paragraph 19, that
    you used the remnants of internal partition walls as
    divisions between the sectors. What was the purpose of
    doing that?
A. The purpose of doing that was to recognise that, as individuals lost consciousness and died within those spaces, the flat at the time would have had walls in situ, they would have been present either within the living room or a bedroom space or the bathroom primarily, so it made sense that our zones recognised those boundaries. It was a physical boundary that everybody within a team could see and understand. So if you were the person who was shovelling debris for it to be sieved, there was a very clear end to the sector that you were excavating within, but also it made sense within understanding the space as a whole.
Q. I see, thank you.
In paragraph 20 on the same page, you say:
"A further fundamental tenet of the search was established from the outset of deployment; that all spaces within the Tower subject to destructive burning should be subject to the same degree of search, whether that space featured reports of human remains present or persons reported missing."
```
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What do you mean by "destructive burning"?
A. So flats that were subject to destruction by fire would be searched -- the debris within it would be searched by sieving, wherever possible. So for flats -- starting from about the 9th floor upwards, we've got flats that have extensive amounts of burning, and then between the fifth and the 9th floor, the burning is less comprehensive, so there's a lot more charring, much bigger material that you can't really sieve quite as effectively. So there's a change in response lower down within the tower structure that responds to the nature of the fire.

However, although we prioritised based on when we went into flats in an intelligence -led way -- if we were told that there was believed to be a person deceased within a flat, then we would prioritise that area -- we still adopted the same processes of sieving and searching in areas that had no intelligence associated, because we couldn't -- we didn't want to be in a position where we had some kind of class B approach for spaces that nobody had said somebody was present within, only to later find that there was remains within it .
Q. Right, I see.

The third stage in that initial phase was, as you
say in paragraphs 21 and following, under the heading
"Assist in the identification of tissue at the base of the Tower", that precise stage. Now, you go on to describe that in the following paragraphs, 21 and 22.

Is it right that your team worked with the victim recovery dogs officers on 15 and 16 June 2017 to search the base of the tower and the outside areas of the building?
A. That's correct. The team at the time I think was still only three. So I started off searching directly at the base of the tower. Some of the cladding materials, insulation, as it burnt had an appearance not dissimilar to tissue. Some of the material had a degree of interest from some of the victim recovery dogs, so there was a need for us to assess very quickly, while bits were still falling from the tower, as to whether there were human remains directly associated with the ground at the foot of the tower.
Q. Right. I'm going to show you and others, of course, following these proceedings a photograph of that process, so I think this deserves probably its own trigger warning. So those who are not keen to see debris at the foot of the tower in the day or so after the fire now, please, should look away or leave the room.
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Can we then go, please, to page $8\{$ KHA00000001/8\}
figure 2. That is a photograph of early search activity at the base of the tower.

Could you just explain to us what's going on there?
A. Yes. So I didn't know this photograph was taken at the time. I wasn't aware that there were press already around the outside. So you can see the corner of the tower standing and the grassed, landscaped area surrounding the tower, with some of the fittings still surviving, the lamppost in front of us. There's a lot of material, lightweight metals and cladding, that have fallen from the exterior of the tower primarily, that are -- it's littering the ground, it's on the ledges of the tower. So that area was searched by victim recovery dogs, so dogs that are trained to scent for human remains, and those dogs came up with some points of interest.

We had intelligence to suggest that there had been at least one person who had jumped from the tower, so it was imperative that we searched the grounds around it as soon as possible to see if there was material associated with those people.

So that was -- I regarded -- it was something of a moot point. I thought that was probably the most dangerous thing to do, so I sent Dr Ryder and
flats, looking at other parts of debris, and I went with the searchers to look for this material.
Q. And were any fragments of human tissue identified through this process?
A. There were some that I couldn't discount at the time. I don't believe any of them came back as human material ultimately.
Q. Right, thank you.

Then let's go on to paragraphs 23 to 26 under the heading, "Assist in the recoveries of the identified bodies", and you cover that in your statement at paragraphs 23 through to 26 on pages 8 and 9 \{KHA00000001/8-9\}.

Is it right that the interior of the tower became accessible to your team of archaeologists and the DVI-trained officers on and from 16 June, the Friday?
A. That's correct.
Q. What were the first tasks you carried out on gaining access to the inside of the tower?
A. So the first tasks that we carried out were to go to spaces where London Fire Brigade crews or other forms of intelligence suggested that there was a strong
likelihood of bodies being present and to confirm
whether that was the case or not. So, again, when I'm
using the term "identified", I don't mean in terms of individual identification; simply that there's something that looks like a body and, yes, we can see there are human remains associated with it.
Q. I see.

In paragraph 25, if we turn the page, please, to page 9 \{KHA00000001/9\}, you note that recoveries from residents' flats was subject to the approval of the structural engineers who advised the Metropolitan Police on the structural integrity and health and safety concerns for each are. That's right, is it ?
A. It is, and it makes the point that this very early work was dynamic because it was still part of an emergency response. So if we turned over debris to try to look further to see -- to confirm whether remains were human, it was still hot enough that it would reignite at this time. So it's very much a response-led effort.
Q. Right. You say in the last sentence in paragraph 25 there on the screen:
"Recoveries inside the tower from residents' flats began on 17th June 2017 [which was the Saturday], subject to the approval of structural engineers who advised the MPS on the structural integrity and health and safety concerns for each area and advised precautions and structural supports required."

Now, did the advice about structural integrity only start on 17 June or had that been a question at the point and from the point at which you became involved?
A. It was a question from very early on. It was recognised that the structural integrity was going to be a key issue to how we approached the spaces, the flats and the lobbies. I can't remember when the first opinion was given about the structural integrity.
Q. Right. At what point did the structural supports go in to the various rooms?
A. I would have to go back to my records for when they began. Certainly the work that we were undertaking on the 17 th, we didn't have any structural supports at that time. The processes that we undertook were ones of mitigation. So we would only search every other floor. So if we had flats that needed searching on the 18th/19th/20th floors, we would search on the 18th and 20th and not put a team in on the 19th, on the case that if the floor collapsed, we wouldn't have both one team injured by falling and one team injured by a crash collapse, mitigate that.
Q. Yes, I see.

In addition to that, how did the concerns about the structural integrity of the building affect the recovery work of your teams and the DVI teams during that early
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period?
A. We were limited in staffing, so although the teams at that time numbered five or six, so an archaeologist and the DVI or -- not LSO at this stage, I think it was just DVI teams at this stage. We were only allowing three of those six people entry into a flat at any given time, again trying to limit the loading on floors that (a) we didn't understand the structural integrity of, and (b) we were very aware that moving through a rapid heat ramp has an effect on diminishing structural integrity, moving through a cooling ramp does the same thing. So you're risking -- it's the second riskiest time when it comes to collapse of those structures. So we were very aware of the need to limit the number of people going in, so that slowed us down, because we were cycling through people working within spaces, bringing in archaeologists, bringing in a photographer, everybody else being stood within the more robust concrete upright of the lobby and stair spaces.
Q. So the risks were structural integrity and re-ignition?
A. Those were the first initial risks. So one of the tools that was utilised by the USAR, the urban search and rescue teams, is called a WASP, which is a building collapse detector. In essence, it's an extremely sensitive machine that monitors the integrity of

```
    a floor, and it then issues an audible alarm if it
    senses any change in that flooring to allow you time,
    hopefully, to evacuate.
Q. Right.
        Let's then turn to the second phase: excavation.
        You cover this on pages 9 through to 12, starting at
        paragraph 27, under the heading "Secondary Response -
        Excavation". If you go to page 9, paragraph }27\mathrm{ in
        detail, you see that you say there that the areas of
        priority interest identified for the primary response
        phase were then subjected to excavation by forensic
        archaeologists. I've summarised very broadly, but is
        that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. In that paragraph, the last line says this, let's look
        at it :
            "This entailed the search and recovery for the more
        fragmentary remains, and the fragment clusters
        associated with the earlier initial response
        recoveries."
            What are or were fragment clusters?
A. So if you -- and I apologise in advance, because this
        will be fairly detailed about the nature of body
        fragmentation, if you're content for me to continue?
Q. Yes, please.
```

A. If you imagine a body high up within the tower subject to intense and extensive heating, that will result in the destruction of most associated soft tissue, not necessarily all, low down in association with the flooring. But elements of the body that are higher up within that room -- so if somebody falls on their back, the tops of a ribcage, a hand if it 's leaning up against an item of furniture -- they're going to be -- there's a higher surface area that's directly associated with the heat, and they're in a hotter part of the compartment, as you'd say in fire investigation. So ultimately that will result in a core of a body - - so primarily head, thorax, pelvis and thighs -- that can be identified, and that's our primary phase of identification that, yes, that is the remains of a person. That core can be recovered as a whole, but in lifting it and engaging in those DVI processes, elements, smaller elements -- fingers, wrists, bits of bone that will chip off from longer bones -- will fall directly down within the debris. So they' ll form clusters or fragments.

So we know where the body has been recovered from, both in terms of a zone but also those initial photographs, we recognise that that's going to be an area where there will be a need for very, very close,
Q. Yes. Would I be right in thinking, as you have I think
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told us, that the risk that the Acrow props helped to mitigate was the risk of collapse?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, when they were erected, can we take it from the photograph that the debris on the floor on which they stood was swept clear?
A. Yes. So the debris, as you see it there, cleared down to the concrete floor in the middle, is not as it would have been in that primary phase when we've looked into this flat. There would have been greater levels of debris accumulation along the interior wall lines that have gone. So this looks like a huge space; it would have been a flat with stud walls within it that we've now lost. In order for that system of props to be put up, the debris has had to be moved to the sides in order to access the floor area. So, in moving that, that would have been moved within the sections. So this is a two-bedroom flat; there would have been four separate sectors of material recovered from within it. There would be -- the material would be retained within the sector. So $I$ think it's sector $B$ and $C$, looking at this.
Q. Well, that's it, sector B and C. Was material attributable to sector $B$ retained in sector $B$ and vice versa?
A. Yes, it was. So as the plaster wall line was followed, that demarcates those two sectors, so the clearance would have been undertaken to that line.
Q. I see.

Now, just breaking that up a little bit. First, who was responsible for clearing the floor initially ?
A. DVI search teams were responsible -- DVI teams, sorry, not LSO teams, were responsible for clearing those floor areas.
Q. Right. So is it the case that when you came on the scene and your teams came on the scene, this had already been done?
A. It was partially completed, and then as it continued to be done and as our staffing of archaeologists grew, we could then attach an archaeologist to those efforts, so we could have somebody with them to ensure the chance of disturbing remains was minimised.
Q. Right. Was the process of sweeping or clearing the floor conducted by the DVI teams in accordance with an established protocol?
A. Inasmuch as the protocol was to not -- to disturb things as little as possible and keep things within their sector, but that's as far as it went.
Q. Did the movement and dispersal of the debris, as we can see from the photograph, jeopardise the integrity of
your own work and your own conclusions?
A. It poses a challenge. So in this particular instance, were there to have been a highly fragmented body directly where that shoring needed to be put, our ability to reconstruct where that body is, other than to say it's within that sector, would be hampered. We would still find the elements, but they would be dispersed within that sector.
Q. Right. How did you seek to minimise or meet the challenge, minimise the risk?
A. Well, by attaching the archaeologist. So once we realised that work was underway, to increase our numbers and have somebody go with them.
Q. Now, if we go above the photograph, please, but sticking on page 10, within paragraph 28, where you've described the installation of these supporting Acrow props and the clearing of the floor, you say in the last three lines, just above the photograph:
"Wherever possible, this moved debris was kept within the Sector in which it was found."

Just pausing there, were there instances where it wasn't possible, so that the moved debris was not kept within the sector in which it was found?
A. I couldn't speak to it in detail because some of the movement had occurred prior to an archaeologist being
Q. I see. So it was an initial pre-clearance clearance?
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A. It was about entry into the flat to give you enough working space to then conduct your work. Other than that, it was only at the point when material was moved via the external hoist that material was taken out, and then it was bagged.
Q. Was that process in accordance with best practice or some kind of established protocol?
A. Yes. So the recording on the bags, the nature of the bagging, the order in which material was moved from flats, the way it was moved between sectors in different areas and how it was stored ultimately within the cargo containers it was stored within, all of that was documented.
Q. As part of recording the continuity of the evidence where debris was moved from its original location, was it the case that the original locations were documented digitally by way of photographs and logged in reports?
A. Yes. So every DVI team has, within their equipment, a camera. The teams, while they may feature crime scene investigators among their number, are omni-competent across their skills, so any member of the team should be able to pick up that camera and use it, so every flat had associated photography with it as early as possible.
Q. Were the majority of remains removed from their original location before being identified throughout the
A. Sorry, I don't think I follow. By identification,
do you mean that we knew there were human remains --
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, yes
Q. Yes.

Given the process of removing debris from their
original location or locations in the flats, to what
extent do the archaeological conclusions that your teams
were able to reach give an exact or accurate account of
the deceased's location or position at the time of
death, rather than simply the general location, the area, flat, lobby?
A. So in the more extensive report that was prepared following our work, a series of graphics with posed figures was presented for each recovery of an individual, and they were colour-coded to give a level of confidence. So where we had $90 \%$ of an individual laid out, so we'd removed all of the debris from over the top of them, we know exactly the position they're in, we have a very high level of confidence whereabouts in the flat they are, what position they're in, who they are associated with, what material is directly associated with them, right the way through to where we have a much more fragmentary
recovery, a recovery that becomes disturbed as a consequence of shoring, where we don't have that level of confidence.
Q. Were there any other limitations or challenges to your work which arose from the removal of debris or the movement of debris, of which this is an example?
A. The environmental challenges were many. So very early on in the response we had, as you can imagine, vast amounts of firefighting water pumped into the tower, so we were walking against a waterfall that was coming down the central staircase. That would have the potential to move fragmentary, lightweight, powdery remains within it.

Prior to the screens being put up around the windows and the external scaffolding being put up, the wind -ultimately we had Sitex, so metal grid work placed on doors, but prior to that the wind would pass directly through the tower. So, again, not vast amounts, not clouds of debris being moved from flat to flat, but certainly a very challenging environment to work within.
Q. And on page 10, lower down, in paragraph 29, below the image, if we can go to that, please, you say:
"Excavation is a collection of techniques used by archaeologists to remove any material to better understand relationships between buried objects or
A. Is -- the best example would be to take a deck of cards
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and drop them off this desk. You know, some of those cards will be isolated and not associated, but the core of the pack of cards will fall in a bunch and, by picking them up one at a time, you can see which one fell first and which one fell last. So exactly that: if an individual died on a bed, then archaeologically that would look very different to somebody dying under a bed or somebody having another item of furniture falling on top of them later on in the fire.
Q. And which procedures or methods enabled you to make findings about the victim's location within the tower?
A. So primarily the trowelling, so the prime method of archaeological excavation -- so the archaeologists within the team utilising trowelling techniques to remove the unrelated -- sort of the grey, powdery debris of concrete and plaster you can see in that figure 3 on the top of the page, leaving behind, as much as is entirely possible, both the body core and the fragmentary remains directly associated with those remains.
Q. Right. Now, you used the word, I think, "trowelling"; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you just explain to us what that is?
A. So the primary tool of an archaeologist in the UK would
be a three-inch pointing trowel, so with straight sides,
a small plasterer's trowel that provides you with an
ability to excavate without digging destructively into something. So clearing from the side to ensure that you can move debris without moving the thing that you're trying to preserve the relationships of.
Q. I see.

Where remains believed to be human tissue were recovered, did you retain the debris directly associated with those remains?
A. Yes, so all debris was retained because we recognised that even after this period of excavation, a sieve process would be required, so that debris was still available. It was cleared from the direct association with the body in question to allow a photographic record to be taken of the position of that body in relationship to where it is in the room and the pose that it's adopted.
Q. But it was retained in situ otherwise, was it?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Right. So if I can envisage it, a body part or remain is identified through the trowelling process, lifted carefully out, removed with the debris associated with it around it, but that's left in situ for later sieving?
A. That's correct.

## Q. Right.

A. And by that process of excavation, if we -- and its integration with DVI processes, if we find a body core and we uncover it, that has one URN, unique reference number, associated with it, it undergoes all the DVI recording processes. If we have a second element of human remains that isn't -- you know, say it's the end of a leg and there is a leg missing on this body, it would receive its own number, but, archaeologically, we would be very interested in ensuring that the relationship between those two is recorded, because that primary act of association in the mortuary is to see if those two things relate.
Q. Yes, I see. I think it's right you go on to say, as you do at paragraph $33\{\mathrm{KHA} 00000001 / 11\}$, that you sought to understand the position that the victims of the fire were in at death.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And how were those positions recorded?
A. They were recorded photographically and they were recorded in sketch plans both within the DVI recording packs, but also on the supplementary archaeological record that we put together.
Q. Then there's the tertiary phase, search and sieve. If we go, please, to page 12 of your statement
A. That's correct
Q. Have I summarised that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes.

What was the proportion of the flats between floors 4 and 9 that were subject to the tertiary phase?
A. It varied, and if you think about the origin of a fire within a room space, very low down it will have a single point of origin that's quite small, so down at the 4th and 5th floors it's very low, and then as it Vs outwards, as the fire spreads laterally as well as upwards, then more and more becomes involved within it. So it's a gradual inclusion of more and more flats and, within those flats, more and more proportions of the space that either are charred, so partially combusted,
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or then totally combusted.

## Q. Yes, I see.

Can you explain briefly exactly what the tertiary phase of excavation involved in those areas of the tower you just mentioned?
A. So having engaged in that initial recovery in the primary phase, having excavated and recovered as much fragment clusters as we can in the secondary phase, the tertiary phase is designed to ensure that we have a comprehensive search and recovery of any other remaining material. So this is a sequential and organised sieving operation in which the team of DVI officers or LSO officers with an attached archaeologist will enter a flat and, sector by sector, will put all of the debris through sieves, either small, handheld, round sieves, looking very similar to garden sieves, or later on through some purpose-built standing sieve arrays that were put up within some of those structures.
Q. Yes, I see. Could you tell us, who was in each team? How big were they and who was in --
A. Five or six to a team. So there's a -- it was recognised that there was a -- there were some efficiencies to be had around numbers of five or six, where you could swap out searchers. So the course of this work is for eight hours, you've got your head down

## MR MILLETT: Yes, thank you.
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Mr Chairman, we've come to a natural break --
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Have we? Yes.
MR MILLETT: -- although not the end of the topic, but it's a natural break within it for our morning break.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So that would suit you to stop at
that point?
MR MILLETT: It would, yes.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes.
Well, Dr Harrison, we have a break during the
morning as a matter of course. This sounds like a good
time to take it. So we'll stop there for the time
being.
We will resume, please, at 11.30 , and I have to ask
you, while you're out the room, please don't discuss
your evidence with anyone else. All right?
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Would you go with the usher, please.
(Pause)
Thank you very much. 11.30, please.
(11.16 am)
(A short break)
(11.31 am)

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right, Dr Harrison, are you ready to carry on?

THE WITNESS: I am, thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Yes, Mr Millett.
MR MILLETT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Chairman.
Can we please have Dr Harrison's report back up on the screen and go, please, to page 12 \{KHA00000001/12\}, paragraphs 37 and 38 , where you have, at paragraph 38 , your description of the division of the areas into sectors and then the sieving process. You set out underneath that a table which runs over on to page 13.

Are you able to summarise for us how the process of sieving worked?
A. Yes. So the process is designed to ensure that every piece of debris is looked at down to a minimum size of 6 millimetres, and that as the smaller debris passes through the sieve, it's captured within a bucket and it 's bagged. So as the search is ongoing, we're not then putting the loose material back into the flat; it's all being bagged and recorded in terms of flat number, sector and the date of search.
Q. Yes.

If we look at the bottom of page 12 and over on to page 13 , you can see that there is a table, table 3 , which begins there and continues over the page. If we turn the page, please, to page 14 , you can see the rest
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## of it.

You've explained here the pathways for the various types of material which would be found during the act of sieving. Without going through every single one, could you explain what would happen to human remains if they were found during this process?
A. So human remains found during this process would move on to the previous DVI standard. So those remains would be photographed, they would be given a unique reference number, they would be packaged accordingly and then transported to the mortuary. So, in effect, that's the -- it's the strongest line of material handling streams that come from this because it's the process that's already enshrined within the process.
Q. Right.

Now, you can see from this table \{KHA00000001/13\} that "Human remains" is just above the bottom of your screen there, among other things, such as "Reinforced safety glass", "Door furniture", "Medical implants" and other things like that.

What other significant finds would justify being recovered and recorded, or would it be everything?
A. So the reinforced safety glass, the wire-reinforced glass that was present in some of the kitchen doors was important because of the fire protection qualities that
Q. And that was part of their site induction?
A. So as they came on site, they would have an initial site induction. We retained some of the burnt foot waste induction. We retained some of the burnt foot waste
bone in order to demonstrate to them how bone discolours and changes shape and form and fragments within a fire
scene, just in case they had any preconceptions about and changes shape and form and fragments within a fire
scene, just in case they had any preconceptions about what a bone was going to look like under these circumstances. So that was done when they very first started, and then anybody who was abstracted to other
come with it, so that was retained.
Door furniture, where we still had hinges or door closers present on doors, was retained to try to understand fire spread within individual flats.

Medical implants would be treated as per human remains, in that they will frequently have numbers associated with them that can assist in identification, individual identification of a person, so that again follows DVI standards.

We recognised early on that some animal remains are going to have particular importance to people, to survivors from the tower, so ensuring that animal remains are treated -- are identified as early as possible and differentiated from human remains, but still treated with dignity, and separated from animal food waste, which clearly also was present in many of the flats.

And then intimate jewellery, either jewellery directly associated with bodies, which would have been treated as per DVI standards, but then other valuables that you would come across excavating through anybody's bedroom and then ensuring they entered into the exhibit chains and were recorded and retained.
Q. Yes, I see. So is it right that some of the things that were retained as a result of the sieving process were
not in fact for identification or individuation, but for assisting in the forensic examination of the fire?
A. Yes. So there are at least three motivations to the retention: there's identification of remains, understanding of fire spread and fire protection within flats, and then the retention of valuable or material of sentimental value that may have survived the fire that we wanted to repatriate to families.
Q. Now, if we go, please, to the foot of page 14 $\{K H A 00000001 / 14\}$, the next page, you'll see paragraph 40, under the heading "Burnt bone awareness training for DVI and LSO teams".

Is it right that all DVI and LSO officers were given a burnt bone awareness training course by the co-ordinating archaeologists?
duties and then came back to the scene, if they were gone for more than a week, that process would be repeated.
Q. Why would the DVI team members or the LSO team members, as the case may be, not already know what they needed to know by way of burnt bone awareness?
A. Even if you take the role of a DVI officer -- so that's a trained role within policing. It's not a full-time job; they are police officers and police civilians who have other roles to do, and then, as and when there is a mass fatality incident, they are called into action. So they have a good degree of training, but they're not necessarily all entirely current.

In addition to that, many of the incidents that DVI officers are dealing with will be collapses or car pile-ups where there's no bone modification, where actual -- you know, the elements of people that are being recovered still have soft tissue associated with them. So this is quite different in terms of an environment.
Q. Was part of that burnt bone training twofold: perhaps,
first, to be able to distinguish osseous material from non-osseous?
A. Yes.
Q. And, secondly, to be able to differentiate between human
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bone fragments, live animal bone fragments and food waste bone fragments?
A. It's certainly to make them aware of that distinction. That would be something that I would want them to -- if they found a fragment that they were confident was bone, that's a good time for them to show it to the archaeologist, to make that confirmatory decision. So the DVI officer isn't making that decision.

The other thing that it enabled us to do is to bring together a collection of false friends, so burnt plastics and burnt plasters that look particularly bone-like within those environments, so they recognised early on that some of this material looked very porous, it looked like bone material.
Q. Apart from burnt bone analysis awareness, were the DVI and LSO teams given any other specific training before they started work within the tower?
A. Not by us, not specifically. That was the extent of the training that we provided as part of our role.
Q. Right. Did they get any training from anybody else that you know of?
A. They received safety briefings about working within the tower but, beyond that, I don't know what else they were delivered in terms of their core training.
Q. Now, if we go on two pages, please, to page 16

```
{KHA00000001/16} and look at paragraph 43. You explain
here, " Initial assessment of suspected bone fragments"
and you say:
"As a part of their supervision of the DVI and LSO Teams, the attached archaeologist would assess all suspect fragments found by searchers as an initial point of triage. This initial assessment was conducted utilising the flowchart outlined in Figure 4."
What did you mean there by "suspect fragments"?
A. So I mean an item of debris that the DVI or LSO team member cannot differentiate. So he's looking at it thinking, "I don't know whether this is bone or not, but it looks porous, it's clearly burnt, it looks a bit bony", that's something that they should be showing to the archaeologist.
Q. I see. So it would be the person doing the sieving who would come across such material, not be sure what it was and then ask?
A. Yes, and we wanted as much as possible to ensure that they didn't feel the pressure to regard themselves as experts. We wanted them to show us as much of that material as possible. It doesn't matter how many times we say, "No, no, that's plaster", we're quite happy with that.
Q. Right.
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You refer there to figure 4. Figure 4 we find on the previous page, please, page \(15\{\) KHA00000001/15\}, if we go to that, please. You can see that there's a flow chart.
Could you just take us through the process of what would happen if a suspect fragment was identified?
A. So it carries on from my example. If the DVI officer finds a fragment where the size and the shape and the porosity is right for something that looks like bone, they would initially show that to the team archaeologist. So the archaeologist is there supervising the work of those sievers. The archaeologist has more experience of bone in general and of burnt bone in particular, and they can make that initial assessment: is it non-bone or is it confirmed to be bone? If it isn't bone, if it 's just a piece of plaster that happens to look particularly porous, then it would be disposed of with the bagged debris, so it follows the chain of the rest of the debris. If it is bone, then there's a range of different things that it can fit within there. Is it confirmed as being a human bone? Then it's recorded as per DVI protocols. If it's unknown or unidentifiable, it follows that same route, because it relies upon the mortuary anthropologists to make that final assessment. If it's confirmed as being
```

non-human bone, then it's exhibited, because it doesn't follow the DVI protocols. It still goes to the mortuary for a final confirmation. So in some instances, we had flats where people died in direct association with animals and they were commingled with animal remains, so that forms a particular challenge to that kind of differentiation.
Q. So if a fragment is identified on site as a human bone and recorded as such via the DVI protocols for recording such matters, then it would go to the mortuary?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it would go in a bag, would it?
A. It would. It would be bagged. It would have a URN number, the same as a body core or a larger body element, and it would follow exactly the same process as it goes to the mortuary.
Q. Right. Would it be put in a bag with all the other associated material related to that URN?
A. No. So in this process, this is sieving. So the body cores have gone and are already at the mortuary. So if a fragment is found in isolation, it would have its own URN number.

Now, the anthropologist and the odontologist and pathologist will know that this is flat such-and-such and these are all the other URNs associated with that
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flat, and that's their starting point then for seeing how to repatriate -- whether it's possible to repatriate that bone fragment.
Q. Right, I see.

So within the mortuary, to the best of your knowledge, when the bag with its own URN, with the suspect fragment -- well, no longer suspect, but bone fragments which are identified as human bone but not individuated come in, then it's for the anthropologist, the odontologist, possibly the pathologist then to individuate by association.
A. Yes. The core principle that we're working to within those fire scenes as archaeologists is to ensure that we're not -- when material becomes mixed, it can't be unmixed. So if we have a bone fragment that comes through in isolation on its own, we want to record that with its own number as much as we possibly can.
Q. Yes, I see.

Then let's turn to completion, sign-off and quality assurance, which was I think your last phase.

At page $17\{\mathrm{KHA} 00000001 / 17\}$, if we go to that, you address this topic. If we look at table 5 on that page, which is entitled "Sequence of clearance prior to completion inspection", let's just look at that. It starts with:
"All suspected human remains and other significant
items suitably packaged and stored for onward transport as per the strategy ..."

That's the transport to the mortuary, is it?
A. Transport to the mortuary or the different evidential streams for glass, metals, the other non-human remains related material. So everything significant has been dealt with and there's nothing outstanding within that flat space.
Q. Right.

Now, you then deal with metal items in the next bullet point and you explain what happens to them. In the next bullet point after that, you explain what happens to the glass and metal sharps. Then in the next bullet point after that, you deal with windowsills, and you say:
"All window sills swept and cleared of ash and char debris; all swept debris then searched and sieved as per material in the flat."

Just explain, does it mean that you sweep the sills into a hopper and then do the search and sieve as if that material were within the flat?
A. Yes. So those windowsills are fairly deep and there are gullies within them and obviously cracks have opened up with the fire, so ashy debris is retained within them,
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and we regarded that as being part of the flat space.
So as part of ensuring that there wasn't - - there was no ashy debris left that hadn't been directly examined by that team, we would use a clean new paintbrush to remove all of the ash and debris from the windowsills, probably recovering it into a coal shovel in the first instance, into a bucket and it would go through that sieving process.
Q. Do you recall an instance where human remains were found on the windowsills?
A. No, not off the top of my head.
Q. Then you go on, all gullies, stress cracks and wall slots being swept; then clean windowsills spray painted to indicate full clearance; and then finally:
"All searched and sieved ash and char debris to be retained in double-bags as per asbestos hazards requirements".

Was there any area of the building which had been affected by the fire which was not sieved and searched?
A. No, I'm not aware of any area that wasn't sieved and searched, to the extent that we pumped out the water from the two sub-basements and any material within that was gathered and sieved.
Q. Right. We'll come back to firefighting water very shortly.

Just looking at this table, is it right to conclude that every area of the burnt flats, including cracks or slots, was searched and sieved as part of this operation?
A. That's correct. And the reference to the spray paints was because we recognised that if we completed the search and then closed off a flat saying this is completed, and then somebody went back in two weeks later, material is still falling from the solid concrete walls, dust is still blowing in through the windows from the exterior, so the only way in which we could definitively say this is done and finished was to use the spray paint to say all of these gullies have been cleared.
Q. Right.

Let's move on, then, to page 19 \{KHA00000001/19\}, paragraph 47, where you refer to the quality assurance process. You say in the paragraph there, under the heading:
"Full completion of the search of each burnt space required engagement with the system of Quality Assurance throughout the tertiary 'Search and Sieve' phase of deployment. This was a two-stage process designed and implemented from very early in the tertiary phase, with the aim of adding confidence to the search process."
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Who oversaw the quality control system throughout that phase of the operation?
A. The quality control -- so that very early quality control system was implemented by the initial DVI co-ordinator and then it was managed by the SERM on a day-to-day basis.
Q. Now, you've said that it was a two-stage process there, as I've read to you; what were the two stages?
A. So the SERM would identify which flat spaces were being searched on any particular day, and they would introduce a whiteboard pen lid, if you can imagine a pen lid of sort of an inch and a half in size and maybe three quarters of an inch in diameter, into the debris, not disturbing it within the sections but as an analogue for something that was clearly alien to the scene so it wouldn't be recognised as being part of the scene, it could be cleaned between uses, but it demonstrated that it was being found on a daily basis. It was a positive control to ensure that the searches were engaged.
Q. Right. And who designed this two-stage process?
A. That process was designed by the initial DVI co-ordinator, I believe.
Q. Was it a standard or was it tailor - made for this?
A. It was tailor-made for this. So there was an early conversation about: what could we introduce that could

```
    ensure engagement? And the suggestion that came
    initially from -- I think it came from the World Trade
    Center anthropologists, was to introduce some osseous
    material, which we weren't keen on, because that seemed
    to be adding potentially a risk of contamination, but
    certainly risk of confusion, and we were content with
    working with the size of the object as being our
    positive control.
Q. Did the searchers know that there was a pen lid in
    there?
A. They knew that one team would find a pen lid during that
    day, bearing in mind that, at our height, we had 13 or
    14 teams working at the time. They didn't know it
    would -- so they didn't know they were primed for it in
    advance, but they were aware that it was a positive
    control that was functioning.
Q. Right.
    Then at paragraph 48 you explained that a whiteboard
    pen lid was used as a foreign control object. You
    explain the reasons. Were there any occasions upon
    which the DVI or LSO team failed to find the foreign
    control object?
A. No, I'm not aware of any times when it wasn't found.
Q. I see.
    Now, while we're talking about quality control,
```
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    I just want to ask you one or two questions about some
    of the hazards you had to be aware of and mitigate
    during the entire operation, not just the search and
    sieve part of the operation, but from the beginning.
        Now, we looked at the Acrow props, and you've
        explained in your statement, if we go to page 21
        \{KHA00000001/21\}, paragraph 53, that there were a number
        of hazards there. You list them in the following
        paragraphs, and I think you do so in alphabetical order.
        Is that right? It looks as if they are.
    A. Yes, I think I might do. Yes, I think they are in
alphabetical order, yes.
Q. Starting with "Access to flats" and then the next one,
"Airborne contaminants".
I just want to ask you about one or two of those.
Can I pick up paragraph 74 on page 28 \{KHA00000001/28\},
please. Paragraph 74, "Water":
"Water posed both a hazard and a nuisance during the
course of the examination of the Tower. During the
initial phases of search, fire - fighting water ran down
the central staircase for days following the cessation
of fire-fighting activity and pooled in the sub-floors
of the building, from which it required pumping out
through a filter to avoid the potential loss of
disturbed remains carried down by the flow of water."

So, first, the water, as you say, came down the central staircase. Where did it come from before it went into the central staircase?
A. It can only have been pumped through --I presume it was pumped through primarily externally. I don't know that for a fact because I wasn't within the tower during those firefighting efforts. My first memory of it was being at the bottom of those stairs on my first day of entering the tower and being aware of running water coming down the central staircase.
Q. The first day of entry for you, I think, was Saturday, the 17 th?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. How was the risk of that water removing any debris within the tower mitigated?
A. The initial walkway areas were cleared in the first instance, so that if material was suddenly present at the bottom of the stairs, it would be very apparent if that were the case. The staircase was then cleared up to provide clear access. And early on, when we were walking into recovery flats, checking the bottoms of people's shoes to make sure they have not picked up material that was wet.
Q. I see.

Were remains found in the filter in the sub-floors
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of the building?
A. No.
Q. Right.

If we go back a page, please, to page 27
\{KHA00000001/27\}, paragraph 70, you say, "Light":
"The Tower had no integral electricity supply following the fire, and the initial response phase was conducted using handheld torches and helmet-mounted lights. While the flats generally had good natural light, the staircases received very little reflected light, and were largely black with char deposition. This confounding factor made searching difficult in the initial stages of deployment, and also increased the risk of tripping and falling. In addition, loss of light towards the end of the search period in November and December further reduced natural light levels and curtailed activity and the beginnings and ends of the days."

I think you mean at the beginnings and ends of the days.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, how did you manage the absence of an integral electrical supply in order for your teams to carry out their work?
A. So, very quickly, the Metropolitan Police accessed
Q. Right. Dangerous because you were in a potentially dangerous building as opposed to holding up the actual work?
A. That's right. So dangerous in the sense that you're trying to move at pace down the staircase to respond to an evacuation call. It 's one more factor that's playing into that being a risky activity .

## Q. Yes, I see.

Then let's turn to page 19 \{KHA00000001/19\}, go back, please, paragraph 49. I want to ask you about the phase 3 completion certificate. Paragraph 49, under the heading "Management of final searches of Recovery Spaces" there, you explain what that process was, and you refer to a phase 3 completion certificate in the third and fourth lines there, can you see?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that? What was the phase $3--$
A. So that was a document that we designed that would be signed by the co-ordinating archaeologist and the duty SERM to say that all of those activities that we listed in that table previously around windowsills and material being bagged had been all undertaken. So effectively it was a paper record of that quality control system.
Q. Right. And the co-ordinating archaeologist was, what, the archaeologist attached to the DVI and LSO teams, was it?
A. No, so that would either be normally myself or Dr Ryder, who would be sat outside at our archaeologist desk, so we would come in in order to do that investigation. If neither of us was on duty, there would be another senior archaeologist who was appointed to do that role.
Q. Right. What steps did you take or did Dr Ryder take, to the best of your knowledge, to satisfy yourself that you could sign this certificate?
A. So we would have the list of requirements, such that we've read off there, and an inspection would be made of the flat by the co-ordinating archaeologist and the SERM in conjunction and they would go through the list of activities to make sure all had been completed.
Q. Right. Did you ever observe for yourself the process of sieving and searching?
A. Yes.
Q. You did?
A. Yes, I undertook sieving as and when I was required to.
Q. Right. Was there any occasion on which you were not satisfied with any part of the process that you were observing?
A. Not that I was unsatisfied with the process, but you
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want to engage the team that you're working with to make sure that they're working to the best of their ability.
I think that's a slightly different -- maybe that's -I don't know if that's a subtle distinction, but it 's more about optimising that process. But at no stage did I have to stop proceedings to say I was unhappy with the work that was undertaken.
Q. Which tasks were required to be completed for that level of sign -off?
A. For that level -- that final phase 3 completion sign-off was effectively the task that you read previously about the sweeping of the windowsills, all debris has been sieved, all debris is bagged, bags are all recorded with the floor, flat and zone number and dates of sieving; all floor gullies are cleared and are sprayed up to have been cleared, all metalwork and glass work has been appropriately bagged up. So, in effect, there is no extraneous material still present within the flat.
Q. At what point in the operation between the June and the December of 2017 did you start issuing phase 3 completion certificates?
A. These started very late. Across the whole proceedings, I would be guessing, but I would imagine that it was probably September before we were beginning to issue those certificates.
Q. Finally, after the sign-off of the phase 3 completion certificates, you I think explain that the bagged debris was removed from each of the search spaces, and you cover that on the next page, page 20 \{KHA00000001/20\}, at paragraph 52, if you just look at that. Are you able to explain how the debris was removed?
A. Yes, so removal of the debris is a challenge in its own right, and it's one that I was aware of going on, but not one that I took a commanding role over because our phase of the work had been completed by that stage.

But, in essence, if you think that a flat might have 300 bags of debris within it, all of which are fairly weighty, so moving that in its own right becomes a challenge. So as part of the external scaffolding that was put in place around the tower, a lift and hoist structure was constructed and slots were cut through the walls of what we called flat 1 on each of the floors. So because we had no flat numbers to work with as they would have been numbered by the residents, our flats were numbered 1 to 6 in a clockwise direction, and then numbered by floor as well. So flat 1 , which was one of the two-bedroomed flats, would have had a hole cut in it, and then initially the bagged material within that flat would be taken out and cleared via the hoist and put into one of the cargo containers, and then, working
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around clockwise, the material from the other flats on that floor would be moved into flat 1 on that floor and then out through the hoist.
Q. I see. Who was responsible for overseeing that operation?
A. That operation was overseen by the SERM with -- and staffed by DVI and LSO teams, the actual physical movement of those bags.
Q. Now, for the last set of questions, I just want to ask you about the chain of custody of human remains.

If we can go, please, to page 39 of your statement \{KHA00000001/39\}, paragraph 87, halfway down that page, you have a title: "The chain of custody of human remains". You explain there that:
"The chain of custody that governed the transfer of human remains from their Recovery Space of origin to final reception at the Westminster Mortuary was a vital aspect of the security underpinning the investigation of the Tower. To achieve this, the HAA (Holding Audit Area; temporary remains storage area) was located adjacent to the Search Control building and the SERM's office ... The DVI human remains recovery protocols mandated by international published standards provided the URN reference system to ensure that a single, unique reference number was issued on the location of human
Q. How would you ensure that the bags which contained those remains were secure?
A. So those bags would be -- there would be a number of different levels of wrapping. So if it were the core of
remains, and was directly associated with the bagged remains throughout its transfer. The URN is then repeated on the front of the PM Book, which was used to record sketches and written descriptions associated with remains."

You then continue with further details in the following paragraphs, 88 and following.

But just on the basis of what I've read to you, are you able to explain why it was important, first, to have a system in place regarding the chain of custody of human remains recovered from Grenfell Tower?
A. This chain of custody is the core of the responsibility that comes with DVI training. So when those remains are within the flat within which the living person had died, they are - - they're secure in the sense that we know that they're in that flat, we know it's a closed space. As soon as those remains are bagged up and they come down to a common area, like the HAA, the temporary storage area, then you're elevating the risk of numbers being mistranscribed or elements that weren't in the room being confused before you then get to a mortuary phase.

So ensuring that that numerical system is underpinned by the URN system, that the URN number features on the packaging or the bag of the remains,
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clearly displayed on the outside, is then clearly written up and transcribed on the storage area whiteboard and is copied across on to all of the subsequent DVI paperwork, that's the fundamental thing to get right. If the remains can then reach the mortuary with that number associated, all other records can come from that.
Q. And is it right that where there were human remains located, a URN is allocated to the remains in the location?
A. Yes, absolutely, and a photograph is taken of the written URN number. The URN number on that initial piece of paper is printed, it isn't written out by somebody, so it is an automatically generated number that comes with those books in advance, like a raffle ticket, and the photograph is taken with the remains and the number sat next to them.
Q. And that number - - is this right? - - is continuously associated with the remains throughout their transfer from Grenfell Tower to the mortuary?
A. Yes.


```
a body that was at risk of fragmenting, then that would
    initially be lifted very carefully onto a piece of clean
    white plastic, so a body sheet. It would be wrapped and
    the ends sealed, like a Christmas cracker, and
    tape-sealed, so that's all contained, and then that
    placed within a sealed body bag, and then the body bag
    zip-sealed with a numbered seal that repeats that
    printed URN number.
Q. When you say it is sealed, is it initially on the body
    sheet sealed so that parts can't then move with gravity
    or movement?
A. Yes, so the sealing activity is designed both to ensure
    that nothing is lost or confused, but also that movement
    is at an absolute minimum. Because obviously these are
    highly fragile remains, and simply in their transfer
    from the flat down the stairs to the mortuary, there
    will be further fragmentation. So to ensure that the
    anthropologists can be -- and the pathologists and
    odontologists can be content that that fragmentation
    relates to that initial set of remains.
Q. How would those remains then travel physically from the
        base of the tower to the mortuary?
A. They would travel by undertakers, by private ambulance.
Q. Private ambulance. Was that --
A. A custody -- sorry, a chain of custody would still be
```
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maintained around them. They would travel with a police officer, I believe.
Q. Right. Was that chain of custody then applied to items of note that were not human remains?
A. So items of note that were intimately associated with somebody, say a wedding ring on a finger, would be transferred as part of that DVI process. They would be regarded as being a part of that person.

Items of note that fall outside of that area, in the blue boxes on that chart that I showed you previously, wouldn't come within that DVI system, they would pass through an exhibit system. So we had Metropolitan Police exhibit officers present at the tower, and they would take custody of items of note.
Q. So things like wallets, phones --
A. If a wallet couldn't be directly associated with somebody, yes. Wallets, phones, surviving printed matter, jewellery that wasn't automatically associated with an individual, yes.
Q. Yes, I see. What about animal bones?
A. Animal bones would be packaged and exhibited as per exhibits, because they're not -- they don't fall under the DVI process. However, they went to a separate part of the mortuary that was specifically put aside for animal remains, partly because of the need to continue
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## THE WITNESS: Thank you.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And then we'll see if there are any more questions for you at that point. All right?

Thank you very much. Would you go with the usher,
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## please.

## (Pause)

Thank you very much. 12.20, then, please.
(12.08 pm)
(A short break)
( 12.20 pm )
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right, Dr Harrison, let's see if
there are any more questions for you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Millett.
MR MILLETT: Mr Chairman, there are no further questions.
Dr Harrison, it only remains for me to thank you
very much for your statement and for coming here to the
Inquiry today to assist with our further investigations.
We are extremely grateful to you, so thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Dr Harrison, before you go, it's right that I should thank you very much on behalf of the panel. I think I can speak for us all when I say we found your evidence very interesting and very helpful. Thank you for such a detailed report and for all the work that you've done not just for the Inquiry, but in connection with the DVI process generally.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We are very grateful to you. Thank 1
you very much indeed, and now of course you are free to
go. Thank you.
(The witness withdrew)
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Millett.
Now, later on, we're going to hear two further
presentations; that's right, isn't it?
MR MILLETT: That is right, and they relate to the occupants
at the time of flat 202 on the 23rd floor.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, and those have been set in the
timetable for 2 o'clock.
MR MILLETT: Yes.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So we shall rise at that point and
resume at 2 o'clock this afternoon.
Thank you very much.
(12.22 pm)
(The short adjournment)
( 2.00 pm )
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Millett.
MR MILLETT: Yes, Mr Chairman.
I would now invite Danny Friedman Queen's Counsel,
please, to come to the podium and make the presentation
on behalf of the family of Majorie Vital and
Ernie Vital, who lived in flat 162 on floor 19. Ernie
was visiting Majorie Vital on the night. They were both
89
recovered from flat 202 on floor 23.
Again, before Mr Friedman starts, I should give
a general trigger warning that the presentation may
contain material or discussions which some may find
distressing, and may wish to leave now or to look away
from the live stream, as the case may be.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, thank you very much.
Yes, Mr Friedman.
Presentation relating to MAJORIE AGNES VITAL and ERNIE VITAL
by MR FRIEDMAN
MR FRIEDMAN: Majorie Agnes Vital was born in Soufrière,
Dominica, on 14 November 1948. She was 68 years old
when she died. She moved into flat 162 in
Grenfell Tower in 1978. She was one of the longest
standing residents. The flat was on what became the
19th floor.
Her son, Ernie Celestine Vital, was born in London
on 11 January 1967. He was 50 years old when he died.
Ernie lived in a north London address, but he would
often stay with his mother, as he did on the night of
the fire. Ernie and his brother both grew up at
Grenfell Tower.
Sir, you will recall that, at a commemoration
hearing on 23 May 2018, a statement written by Majorie's
sister, Paula, on behalf of the whole family was read by
their solicitor . You also saw a video prepared by Majorie's other son and Ernie's brother, who is a private person and did not wish to be identified by name.

In the statement, you were told about Majorie's childhood in Dominica. You heard how proud she was of her home at Grenfell Tower, so much so that her parents jokingly referred to Grenfell as "Majorie's Tower".

From her son, you heard about life in the tower, which he also loved, and which provided a safe haven growing up.

Majorie Vital worked to support her family as a seamstress. Her son described how his mother was ambitious and talented, but put her children first. He recalls her using her skills as a seamstress to make her own clothes so that they could afford to buy clothes for them.

As for Ernie, he was a lively and engaging person, a very good dancer, but also down to earth.

Ernie and his mother, Majorie, were especially close. Ernie's brother remembered him constantly in their mother's arms as a child and, even when grown up, he described it as if the umbilical cord had never been cut.

Majorie, at 68 years old at the time of the fire,
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had health difficulties which were known to the TMO.
A disclosed email of 31 October 2014, sent to both Rydon and TMO recipients, described Majorie as elderly, with a diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. Based on the information about Majorie's diagnosis, it is likely that she was significantly impeded in her ability to independently evacuate down the single stairs from floor 19 in the event of a need to do so.

As with other cases of this type, there is no evidence in the available records of any consideration by any relevant body as to how Majorie would evacuate in the event of a need to do so in response to a fire. Neither were there any arrangements in place to assist her to do so, such as a personal emergency evacuation plan, nor was there any pre-arrangements with the LFB to ensure assisted evacuation or rescue.

Majorie was captured on CCTV footage in the lift lobby at 3.14 pm on 13 June. Ernie, who was visiting his mother on the night, can be seen in the foyer, first at 1.31 pm , and then again in the lift lobby on floor 13 at 7.07 pm .

As presented last week, the fire spread would have affected floor 19 at approximately 01.26 . We time that on the basis that Shah Ahmed, who lived in flat 156 below, made a call at 01.27, when he was already out of
his flat due to it being on fire.
We know that before 01.28, residents of floor 19 began to evacuate their flats. This can be timed from the call of Hiwot Dagnachew from flat 26, who made a call to her niece, Meron Mekonnen, who lived in flat 163 , to tell her that the building was on fire and that she needed to get out. Records show that the call was made at 01.24 and must have completed between 01.27 , after which Meron left her flat.

Ms Mekonnen saw light smoke in the lobby, but a few minutes later, both Nicholas Burton and Fadumo Ahmed on the same floor encountered deep, black smoke. A potential source of the smoke is that the door of flat 166, where the Tuccu family lived, was not self - closing. Meron saw Amal Ahmedin and her cousin, Amna Idris, rush out of the flat and then back in, with the door remaining open.

It is known that, while residents of floor 19 and the surrounding floors descended the staircase at around 1.30, Majorie and Ernie Vital were among a number of people that ascended the staircase. At this point in time, the conditions in the stairwell were sufficiently clear for occupants who were able to do so to safely evacuate via the communal stairs. Those who descended did so without endangering themselves or each other.

During the presentation of Debbie Lamprell on
6 July 2017, we referred the panel to the known relevant
facts about why some people went up rather than down.
For these purposes, I simply refer to the transcript.
That is \{Day300/4:12 \} to \{Day300/10:3\}.
Fadumo Ahmed is a sole survivor of the group that reached floor 23, and what she has to say is important evidence in terms of understanding what happened to the Vitals.

Ms Ahmed from flat 164 and Debbie Lamprell and Gary Maunders from flat 161 went upstairs together. On the top floor, they met with a group of people that Fadumo has positively identified to include Raymond Bernard from flat 201, Ernie Vital from flat 162, Amal Ahmedin and Amna Idris from flat 166, and Berkti and Biruk Haftom from flat 155.

We do not have evidence from Majorie and Ernie about why they went upstairs, but we do know that five other people from their floor went to the top of the building at the same time. Majorie, as with others who travelled up, including Sakina Afrasehabi and Hamid Kani from other floors, would have found the walk to the top of the building less physically daunting than walking all the way down to the ground floor.

Majorie and Ernie then sought shelter from the
deteriorating conditions on the lobby of floor 23 by going into flat 202. That was the home of the young Italian couple Gloria Trevisan and Marco Gottardi, whose presentation will follow this one.

We know that Majorie and Ernie entered flat 202 before 01.34. That is because they can be heard in the background as Gloria Trevisan spoke to her mother,
Emanuela Disaró, in Italy during a telephone conversation that begun at that time.

There are no telephone calls from Majorie and Ernie to emergency services or family members. However, as I return to briefly -- and you will hear in more detail from counsel this afternoon -- Gloria and Marco made a number of calls to their respective parents in Italy. From those calls, the timing and facts of Majorie and Ernie's death can be established.

Before I do that, there are general matters relating to all the people who took refuge on floor 23 that I briefly summarise.

First, there were calls between 01.30 and 01.40 , especially from Mariem Elgwahry, Biruk Haftom and Jessica Urbano Ramirez, and then from Debbie Lamprell beginning at 01.41, all of which indicated that the 4th floor kitchen fire now dangerously affected the top of the building. Every caller was told to stay put and

## that crews were coming.

Second, the highest LFB deployment into the building was the Paddington crew at 1.56 in extended duration breathing apparatus. They were deployed to the roof of the building. This was for firefighting and not rescue purposes. They saved Fadumo Ahmed, but only one of their number reached the exit door of floor 22.

Third, other crews were deployed after 02.08 and then at $02.24,02.51$ and 03.03 , but none of them reached the top floor.

Fourth, the lift was not technically suitable to enable assisted evacuation for people with mobility or other impairment on the night. What assistance a different kind of lift might reasonably have provided if it was properly maintained and integrated into LFB planning and training is a matter that has been the subject of submissions in other modules.

As you will hear further, right from the first call Gloria Trevisan made at 01.34 to her mother, she reported that she could not leave due to the lobby being filled with smoke.

Gloria Trevisan made a video at 02.13 , during which she described smoke coming into the flat through the living room windows and having difficulty breathing. In this video, Marco Gottardi can be heard speaking to
another man, which would likely have been Ernie Vital.
The extent of the smoke in the lobby apparently caused them to believe that escape was not possible.

Gloria made a final call to her parents at 02.45 , which lasted for 22 minutes, ending at 03.08. In terms of the conditions in the flat, she said it was full of smoke and she could see fire outside the window. At some point she told her mother that the fire had come through the window and ended the call.

Marco Gottardi spoke to his father at approximately 02.45 to say that he had filled the bath with water and could not evacuate as there was too much smoke. He then left a text message with his parents at 03.08 and had a last brief telephone exchange with them at 03.15 in which he was hardly able to speak.

The archeology evidence establishes that Majorie and Ernie Vital died in the bathroom of flat 202 near to one another. Their remains were found positioned in the same direction. Ernie was in the bathtub, with Majorie in close proximity nearby on the floor.

In his Phase 2 report, Professor Purser attributes the likely deterioration in the conditions of the flat to the external fire spread and, particularly, the spread across the south face of the tower. He explains that the bathroom and hallway would have been the last
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areas of flat 202 that were not affected.
From 02.45 , the smoke and asphyxiant gas concentration would have increased rapidly. Based on the evidence of the phone calls, Professor Purser estimates the time of death as between 03.15 and 03.30.

The cause of death of Majorie and Ernie Vital was therefore the inhalation of the toxic fumes from the fire, which caused unconsciousness and then death. This is confirmed by a toxicology sample taken from Gloria Trevisan in excess of $78 \% \mathrm{COHb}$, which Professor Purser explained can be safely assumed to reflect the position of the other occupants who died nearby to them.

Taking (1) Gloria's comparator toxicology together with (2) the telephone calls that describe the conditions and (3) the bathroom positions that Majorie and Ernie went to in the flat, the evidence establishes that the medical cause of death can be given as "inhalation of toxic fumes" or a similar formulation, rather than the generic conclusion of "Consistent with the effects of fire ", as found in the post-mortem reports undertaken before this other evidence was known and analysed.

Our final reflection is that this mother and son stayed together. While it is feasible that Ernie could

## (2.19 pm)

(A short break)
$(2.46 \mathrm{pm})$
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Millett.
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## MR MILLETT: Yes, thank you, Mr Chairman.

I now call on Michael Mansfield Queen's Counsel to come to the podium, please, to make the presentation on behalf of the families of Gloria Trevisan and
Marco Gottardi, who lived in flat 202 on floor 23.
As before, I make the trigger warning that, during this presentation, those listening to it may find its content distressing and may wish either to leave the room here or to look away from the live stream.

Thank you very much.
Mr Mansfield.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
Yes, Mr Mansfield.
Presentation relating to GLORIA TREVISAN and MARCO GOTTARDI by MR MANSFIELD
MR MANSFIELD: Sir, good afternoon, Thouria Istephan and Ali Akbor.

As has just been announced, I am presenting for two families, Gloria Trevisan and Marco Gottardi, but I'm going to do it together as a joint presentation because of facts that will become very clear and probably are already as to why I would do that.

I also indicate that I don't intend to show anything
that's distressing. There will be no photographs or
anything like that. Although reference will be made to
them, they're not to be shown.
The only part, so people can be warned, that may be distressing to hear is I do want to cite an excerpt from one of the telephone calls that Gloria made to her mother, because although I was going to say it a little later, may I say it now: this is an unusual situation, and perhaps it's a reflection on the way life is lived now. These two families -- certainly one of them, Gloria's -- were actually watching the fire on television in Italy. So, again, one needs to put oneself in their shoes. And at the same time as watching what was happening on television globally, no doubt, they're actually seeing and speaking to both of these two in flat 202 as it happens.

I think a moment's reflection indicates just how grave the situation was, how anguish-making and how, with the instantaneous communication to which we're all subject now, this was a matter that was in everybody's minds and living rooms, especially this family.

They can't be here today for obvious reasons.
May I also say that there will be an element of overlap with the previous presentation because, of course, there were four people in that flat. I don't apologise for repeating some of the material because it is necessary for these families also that some of this
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material is repeated from time to time.
So, having said that, and bearing those observations in mind, may I indicate how they came to be where they were, that is Gloria and Marco.

They moved into Grenfell Tower, this particular flat, 202 on floor 23, together on 1 April 2017, just over two months only before the fire. They had both arrived from Italy together on 4 March, just under a month before. So they had been for a very short time in England.

Both were talented, aspiring young architects, who had met whilst university students in Venice two years before. The university was the University Institute of Architecture of Venice.

Following graduation in 2016 -- different times, but in that year -- they wished to obtain a broader experience and to learn English, so together they enlisted on a language course, which at the same time arranged for accommodation in London.

By the time -- and this is an indication of their enthusiasm and their talent -- of the fire, they had both successfully secured positions in well-known architectural practices in London, Marco at the Creative Ideas \& Architecture firm, Gloria was thrilled as hers specialised in the restoration of old buildings, which
was her forte. She began working at Peregrine Bryant in
Fulham palace on 5 June -- one sees how close this is. Within a remarkably short period, both of them had impressed their respective employers and were already held in very high regard and esteem as practitioners displaying considerable promise for the future, both of them. The excitement was tangible.

As Gloria's father, Loris, recalled in his Phase 1 witness statement to you, Gloria was so happy to have found this job. She was born to draw and did the most beautiful drawings and portraits.

I pause for a moment, because those designs and drawings were shown to you in a short film compiled for the commemoration. The principal at Peregrine Bryant described her work as exceptional. I think, again like other matters, it repays looking again at that particular film.

Her mother, Emanuela, remembered $\{$ IWS00000543/3\}:
"When she learned that she had secured her dream job, she rang me and said: 'Mum, I'm happy that they want me'. She had achieved her main aim. It could have taken years but she managed to find it in a few weeks. Gloria loved where she worked and sent me photographs of the beautiful surroundings around her office on her first day [that's Fulham Palace]. On the morning she
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arrived (on 5th June 2017), she told me 'look mum where I'm working, it's in a wonderful park'. It was stupendous work, exactly what she wanted and the wage matched her capacities. She felt that she had been justified. She was finally doing the work that she had dreamed of doing, helping to restore old buildings to their former glory."

Besides these interests in common, they were also close in age. They both were born in Italy, Gloria at Camposampiero on 2 December, the same birth date as her mother -- which was, as her mother thought, a matter of fate for both of them -- but her birth date was in 1990, so she's 26; Marco, who was marginally older, was born on 26 June 1989, and he was 27 . He had been born in Motta di Livenza.

They were planning to return to Italy shortly after this fire, on 21 June, to celebrate the birthday I've just mentioned of Marco, and, as it happened, the wedding anniversary of Gloria's parents, Emanuela Disaró and Loris Trevisan, which coincided with the fateful day, 14 June. So, again, a date that will be etched on their memories.

The two families were close-knit and were highly supportive of the ambitions of their children. It was the first time Gloria had lived away from home. She was
very close to her family and would speak to them every day or two. She had a very affectionate relationship with her brother, Giulio, who was seven years older than her. He was very protective of her. She was also very close to her cousin, Giorgia, for whom she left a message on the night of the fire.

Grenfell Tower, I turn to.
Once the language course was completed, they moved into a spare bedroom in the flat already mentioned, 202 on the 23 rd floor. Gloria's parents hadn't yet visited the flat, but her mother remembers $\{$ IWS00000543/4\}:
"Gloria would tell me about the incredible views she could see over London from the flat. It was very nice because of the height - they'd never seen such a panorama, such a view. Gloria sent me photos of rainbows at dawn. She was happy - they had found work, a flat, they loved each other. They were beginning to build a life for themselves in London."

I mention now the flat itself, because it's important for an understanding, which you already have, of the spread of fire.

The flat itself is on the southeast corner of the tower, with two bedrooms on the south side, south face, the living room spanning the corner, part south, part east. The kitchen is on the east face. There has been
scant reference to this flat on floor 23. You've heard
a lot about other flats -- 205 and 201 and so on -mainly because there do not appear to be any calls to the emergency service by either Gloria or Marco or, for that matter, from the Vitals either, who you have heard about this afternoon.

I want to turn to the movements, as they can be reconstructed.

On the evening of Tuesday, the 13th, they are both seen on the CCTV footage, as are many others, at about a 7.45 in the evening. Marco is on his mobile and Gloria is with him, about to go up to flat 202, no doubt at the end of a day's work. It was a Tuesday.

I then move.
They go to bed. It's not clear exactly when. However, at 00.54, a time you're very familiar with, the fire is reported in the kitchen in flat 16 on the 4th floor and then, shortly after 1 o'clock, it breaks out into the cladding.

However, there is another matter before these two young people are awoken, having gone to bed.

Farhad Neda of flat 205 on floor 23 rings the emergency TMO number and reports the air vents near the lift landing outside flat 205 are making a loud noise.

I pause because that may be of relevance in terms of
why the smoke was so bad in the lobby area of that
floor. It plays a crucial, critical part in why these two remained in the flat.

He, Farhad, reports an electrical burning smell and that one of the lifts has stopped working. Again, I don't elaborate the lifts point, which has been made many times.

Some time before 1.30, Farhad Neda notices black smoke entering the lobby from the smoke extractor system.

By $1.26--1$ just interpose it, because this is a time you have heard on many occasions, I mentioned it myself the other day -- the fire had reached one of the $6 \mathrm{~s}, 156$, that's the flat belonging to Shah Ahmed and his wife. The reason that's important is because he makes a 999 call shortly after, the one I described the other day.

Some time around this, about 1.30 , possibly 1.34 , as you've heard, Majorie and Ernie Vital left their flat, which was one floor above the one I've just mentioned with Shah Ahmed, which was floor 18. They left their flat, 162, ascended the staircase -- for reasons, as we've said, or at least Mr Friedman said earlier, it's impossible to tell why, but they did -- to floor 23, and knocked loudly on the door of flat 202. They're part of
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a group that's migrated upwards.
This is confirmed or supported, that that's what's happening at flat 202, by the evidence of Fadumo Ahmed, who saw Ernie on floor 23 shortly before 1.34 , and by the second of two calls from Gloria to her parents in Italy.

Now, I'm not going to read out all the calls, but there are a sequence of six telephone calls between Gloria and her mother. There are others towards the end between Marco and his father, but these provide, besides what's happening on television, an internal, as it were, almost microscopic view of how they were dealing with a situation well beyond their control.

In this call at 1.34, Gloria told her mother that they'd been awoken by banging on her door, that is 202, and a woman shouting outside. When Gloria opened the door, there were two people, the Vitals, only she didn't know them and she believed they lived on the same floor, which is understandable.

At the same time as she opened the door -- and she recounts all of this to her mother -- she was confronted by the thick, black, dense smoke that l've already mentioned that had been spotted coming from the extraction system. These smoke conditions are graphically depicted in a live stream Facebook video
begun a few minutes later at 1.38 by Rania Ibrahim from the front door of a neighbouring flat. This time it's 203, so they're all in proximity. This was referenced in your Phase 1 report, sir, volume 2. I don't go through all the references and the paragraphs. But you may recall that, in that Phase 1 report, there's a still photographic clip from this video taken at 1.38 or shortly thereafter, and it shows what can be seen in the lobby or, rather, not seen. The photograph that you have in that report: black. You can't see anything, except at the top there is a dim light at ceiling height.

Gloria told her mother that they both ran to the window and became aware that there was a fire below and the presence of firefighters. She was, quite naturally, extremely frightened.

Emanuela asked to speak with Marco. She knew him as a calming voice of reason. He confirmed these details. It was his belief that everything seemed under control. Gloria added that they'd been told to stay inside. This is part of the phone call.

I pause again because it's not clear where they had gleaned that information. There was plainly -- if I can put it, you talk about ambient sound -- ambient conversation in the upper floors that it was a matter of
staying put. It may have been conveyed by the Vitals, we're not able to say, but also -- and may I interpose this -- it would appear at some point, from what she says on the phone call, that what they tried to do, either Gloria or Marco, together or separately, is to go up to the roof, where they obviously thought there may be safer conditions or possibly rescue. However, they had discovered that the gate that leads to the roof, which, if you've been to the tower, is still there, was locked. If they did that, they might have come across - - and I can't put it higher - - others who had migrated upwards, who had also been able to say the general feeling was to stay put.

Emanuela suggested that they place a wet towel around their mouths to get downstairs, but they said there was too much smoke. Alternatively, her mother said, go to the roof, but this wasn't possible, as I've just said; the gate was locked.

Gloria was terrified. Marco was trying to remain calm and placed a wet towel at the flat door, which he noticed was very hot, to stop the smoke from the lobby.

During this recording, the one I've mentioned by Rania, at around 1.39, Rania steps outside flat 203, where she's been taking the film, and calls out, "Hello, hello", and then "Come here". A response can be
heard: "No, we are here, we are inside my apartment".
That's the voice of Marco, identified by Emanuela.
By $1.42-$ - again, it's all happening in fast
movement, matters of minutes -- the external fire has spread across the east elevation and across flat 201 by 1.57.
2.10 is an important time, because the horizontal spread by that time has reached their flat, and it's a significant moment that's reflected in the calls that they are making.

Gloria made two calls either side of this time. The third one, the third call in order, at 2.08 --
two minutes before the 2.10 spread -- a distressing and brief WhatsApp message was left by her to her group of friends, saying goodbye.

The next call, again short, the fourth one, at 2.12, after that 2.10 spread, a short call, 34 seconds, in which Emanuela could hear Gloria and Marco discussing what they should do.

Again, a few minutes after this, there's a fifth call. This one lasts from $2.13--$ so it's a minute or so later -- until [2.20]. It was in fact split into two parts. It's a video call, so this is why I say watching on television in Italy and also over a video call.
While this call is continuing, her parents can hear
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an alarm in the background.
Gloria continued to be extremely fearful. They were waiting to see what they should do. Emanuela thought they might breathe fresh air out of a window, but they weren't keen to do that.

These are some verbatim remarks from Gloria. She says this to her mother, "It's not a small fire, it's a very large -- look, look", and what she does is she puts the mobile phone out of the window so her mother can actually see what she's already seeing on
television, she can see it from inside the flat, as it were. She put the phone out of the window to show the fire and the fire engines. "They're never going to put out the fire, it's too big, it's impossible. Smoke is coming in through the living room windows" -- thick, black smoke of the kind that was in the lobby outside -"I can't understand how this fire is so big. We're trying to understand what we can do and where we can go."

Gloria's mother kept recommending that she kept the wet towel over her mouth. By now, the smoke was coming in from everywhere and Gloria was coughing continuously. She can be heard shouting on this phone call for help out of the window, and wanted to know whether the London Fire Brigade extending ladders would reach them.

## Emanuela didn't know what to say or how to respond.

Gloria says, "I don't know what to do, mum. I'll call you later".

Again, just for a moment, to reflect, the unimaginable anguish of all of them, possibly unprecedented, captured on screens large and small around the world, contemporaneous, instantaneous, within reach but out of reach.
2.42, Gloria recorded a WhatsApp message to her mother and her cousin, Giorgia, that I've mentioned before.
2.45, Emanuela called Marco's family and Marco's father. Giannino immediately contacted Marco, who described how they were unable to leave because of the smoke. They'd been told to stay put, the same as before, still unclear where from, and he'd taken the precautions of filling the bath in the flat.

There were ten further calls between Marco and his father up till the time of 3.15 , the last call, although only four of the ten calls actually connected.

I turn now to the last part of the call at 2.45, which lasted for 22 minutes. We've called it call 6 , in fact.

The phone was on loud speaker, and it was recorded by her father, Loris. Again, one can't imagine what
they must have been going through in order to see what was happening. The escalating events all around.

Gloria says this, as no doubt others thought and some did, "I'm throwing myself out of the window, I swear to you the fire is here, it 's in the sitting room, the fire is everywhere, we're just waiting. The fire is outside my window. Pieces of glass are coming in through the window, which we've closed. We need a miracle. I'm sorry I came here and left you. It seems impossible that everything is over for both of us. I can't get out. I don't believe it's ending like this, I don't want to believe it. I can't see anything outside. My eyes are burning. I can't breathe."

She asked her mother, finally, to take care of her cousin, Giorgia, and at the end of her last call, after thanking her parents, she said, "I just want to stay with Marco now". And in that moment, you see an element of respect, of generosity, for her parents, the kind of generosity that Mr Friedman indicated being exercised in relation to the two who had come up from the floor two floors below.

There is much, much more on this recording from a young couple facing an inevitable demise with incomparable strength and resilience, and a generosity of spirit which conveyed a loving gratitude to their
parents and reflected, really, the community in the tower as well.

They cut the call short -- this is the one to
Emanuela - - at 3.08 , for reasons that are obvious, and Marco spoke finally to his father at 3.15 , hardly able to speak.

The calls also reveal that there came a point after 3 o'clock when they thought that firefighters were no longer on their way and there was no rescue helicopter. They were right.

Again, these times are now familiar, but may 1 just say in their case: between 1.33 and $1.57--$ so I just flash back for a moment -- the London Fire Brigade were made aware of smoke and fire penetrating flats on floor 23. The overall position concerning search and rescue has been outlined many times, so a short summary will suffice : no deployments were made to this floor for those purposes -- that's search and rescue -- until after 2.08 , and that one didn't reach that floor. There were others at 2.24, 2.51, 3.03; none of them reached floor 23. The Paddington crew were going up to the roof to try and extinguish the external fire, but they didn't even get to do that.

So, therefore, their observation that basically it was over was entirely correct.
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Gloria and Marco were both fit and healthy. Despite conditions in the floor 23 lobby, according to Professor Purser, it would have been possible to escape at the latest by 2.45 or thereabouts. Stay put, you will recall, wasn't revoked until 2.47. Any later than that and the toxic pre-load -- in other words, what they'd been breathing in the flat already and from the lobby -- would probably have prevented negotiating the stairs. The most favourable time, however, was earlier, between 1.29 and 1.50, when the smoke conditions on the stairs were lighter, remembering that the front doors of the 2 s were closest to the stairs. 202 was closest to the stairs.

For Gloria and Marco, however, and others on floor 23, it was the appearance of the black smoke in the lobby which, quite naturally, was forbidding. They saw the thick smoke in the lobby and most likely thought the conditions beyond that were the same or worse.

This predicament -- and in each of these presentations, there's a point at which one steps back and reflects for the future -- highlights the need for a system which enables the communication of authoritative and authenticated information and advice at the time of the emergency by internal and external broadcast services. It's worth exploring whether such
messages could be flashed on to the screens of mobile
phones, a public service announcement, in line with
clear and practised fire safety strategy and procedures which we've proposed in future for high - rise residential blocks. We say that because, of course, mobile phones are being used by many generations now, almost on a daily and hourly basis, and if they manage to get
a message that in fact the conditions on the stairs were different, in obviously a different set of circumstances, they might have managed to get away at a much earlier time, with the Vitals, for that matter.

This is also why it's important for the control officers to share real-time information with each other as it is received during large-scale incidents, and to update residents who call -- although they didn't -- so that accurate, up-to-date information is passed on to residents and that this is disseminated to others.

If Gloria and Marco knew or had known that the stairs were less smoky than the lobby, they may have risked crossing the smoky corridor to make their escape. They just needed to know that the stairs were not as bad as the lobby.

The last moments, finale.
Gloria and Marco died as they had lived: together, next to each other, in the corridor south of the flat
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entrance door and north of the south-facing bedrooms.
According to Professor Purser's evidence, and a report
which I cite in here, these are classic exemplifications of the effects of smoke and smoke inhalation of the toxic combustible products of fire.

Following the final call at 3.15 by Marco,
Professor Purser gives a very rough -- I think he uses the word "crude" -- estimate that between 3.15 and 3.30,
so very soon after, they would have first become incapacitated and then comatose, reaching the lethal dose threshold soon after that.

Marco suffered from a single vessel coronary atheroma, which increased his vulnerability. Neither of them would have been aware of exactly what they were inhaling. Neither of them would have been conscious at the point of their joint deaths.

The configuration of flat 202 played a singular role in how matters had unfolded during these final moments. Professor Purser pointed out that the flat 2 s were especially dangerous because of the fire spread and concomitant smoke intrusion starting its progress across the east-facing kitchen and living room corner, and then around that corner to the south-facing part of the living room towards the bedrooms outside of which they were found, and finally the bedrooms between 2.51 and
2.55 , so shortly before the final call at 3.15 .

The path of progress meant that the kitchen and the hallway became quite smoke-logged first, there having been slow infiltration from the lobby since 1.30, and then the living room, and created a considerable period of roughly 75 minutes between its initial arrival and the final telephone call at 3.15.

I turn to the final stage of recovery.
Initially, in the aftermath, her brother, Giulio, and his wife-to-be at the time, Giulia, immediately travelled to London in the firm belief that Gloria might have survived. They visited the tower whilst it was still smouldering and scoured every hospital, every location, without success, an increasingly distressing mission, and they returned to Italy with nothing. No Gloria, no information. She was reported missing by the family.

Meanwhile, the painstaking, rigorous and meticulous task of recovery and identification had begun, and some of that, of course, you've heard -- well, quite a lot of it -- this morning in terms of the archeology. The care and attention to every detail employed in the general process were also explained, besides this morning, with admirable clarity before you by Gaille MacKinnon, forensic anthropologist and team leader. It involved
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the interplay of several other disciplines besides her own, archeology this morning, odontology and DNA.

The conditions with which the experts had to contend in flat 202 after the fire can be viewed in a video which was part of the commemoration for Majorie and Ernie --I have the reference, it can be seen, it was shown at the commemoration -- and it doesn't take much to envisage what they faced.

This process in relation to Gloria commenced with the initial discoveries on 19 June 2017 and continued until further confirmatory anthropological reports in December 2018(sic). However, it had been possible to make a reliable identification for Gloria through odontology and anthropology on 23 June, so much sooner, June 2017, by the identification commission, attended by the senior coroner for Inner West London, Dr Fiona Wilcox.

The gold heart pendent Gloria was wearing and her jewelled naval stud were recovered from the scene and returned to her family.

The preliminary post-mortem report recorded provisional primary cause of death as consistent -- as you've heard with many other or nearly all the other cases -- with the effects of fire, with the evidence of soot inhalation. That was recorded on 21 June. There
was a second one on 25 September which recorded
"inhalation of products of combustion".
However, it had been possible in her case to examine a blood sample. Carboxyhaemoglobin was detected at a very high level, 78\%. The saturation level associated with death, as you know, from carbon monoxide poisoning is lower than that, 40 to $50 \%$. Professor Purser provided this summary in evidence about this result \{Day297/27:8-14\}:
"So that is the result for a person who has been overcome by carbon monoxide and toxic smoke and then become comatose, and continued to inhale smoke while comatose containing carbon monoxide for some time until they eventually -- their systems pack up and they die. So it's a very strong signal to me that we're dealing here with smoke cases."

In Marco's case, the process was also commenced on the same day as it was for Gloria, 19 June, his remains being alongside Gloria. By 21 June, significant items were found amongst his personal effects, namely a mobile phone and a wallet containing photographic identification. By 30 June, a DNA database comparison had been made and could be asserted to be Marco. The cause of death and its description is the same as Gloria: fire effects and the inhalation of combustion
products, namely toxic carbon monoxide fumes, save for the additional feature of the atheroma which l've already mentioned. A blood sample was obtained in his case, but merely revealed the presence of carboxyhaemoglobin, but it couldn't be measured.

May I close with this, may I call it, epitaph for the two families.

The narrative itself that I've just been through is
a tribute to the indefatigable and enduring human spirit of those in Grenfell Tower who died -- others, of course, beyond these two -- as well as those who lived, and continue to live, with searing memories and unending love.

When Gloria's mother came to give evidence before you, towards the end of what she said, she had mentioned respect for all -- again, a theme, like generosity, of all the people who you've heard from -- and she also wanted to emphasise the need for responsibility and accountability. Then she looked up to see everyone who was at that venue for that commemoration, and she said words to this effect: that she'd listened to their stories that day and realised, in hearing those stories, that it was Gloria and Marco's story as well. The pain they felt was the pain she felt. It was in everyone's faces and, in that sense, the community was brought
together, and she felt that unity and she felt that strength.

Marco's parents have sent, in a sense, just
a message which -- because they didn't come before you at that time, but they have since wanted to say this to you about Marco and Gloria.

Giannino Gottardi and Daniela Burigotto have created something called the Grenfellove Marco and Gloria Foundation. Its objects: to remember the two young people, as well as the other 70 victims of the tragedy; to raise awareness of the safety of buildings and the protection of the environment and habitats; to provide educational tools to schools to help young people by providing scholarships to the most deserving, because we believe that knowledge will be able to make our society better.

Thank you.
SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Mansfield.
Well, that brings us to the close of today's proceedings. We shall rise at that point, but we shall resume tomorrow at 10 o'clock, when we shall hear further presentations relating to those who died in the fire.

Thank you all very much. 10 o'clock tomorrow, please.

[^0](The hearing adjourned until Tuesday, 12 July 2022 at 10.00 am)
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