OPUS₂

GRENFELL TOWER INQUIRY RT

Day 284

May 25, 2022

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 020 4515 2252
Email: transcripts@opus2.com
Website: https://www.opus2.com

1 shakes don't get picked up on the transcript.

2 If any of my questions are unclear, please say so

3 and I will happily rephrase the question.

Lastly, if you feel you need a break at any time,

5 please let me know.

Is that okay?

4

9

10

11

12

16

18

7 A. That's fine, thanks

8 Q. Now, you have made two statements to the Inquiry and they will appear on the screen in front of you.

Taking you to your first statement, please, that's {CLG00030414}. We can see there on the right-hand side it's dated 14 March 2019.

13 There is a signature on page 23; is that yours?

14 A. Yes, it is.

15 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,I'd}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,like}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,to}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,tatement}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,now.}}$

That's {CLG00030781}. We can see it's dated

17 2 November 2020.

There's a signature on page 7; is that your

19 signature?

20 A. Yes, it is.

2.1 Q. Have you had an opportunity to read each of your 2.2

statements recently?

23 A. Yes. I have.

24 Q. Can you confirm that they are true to the best of your

25 knowledge? 1 A. Yes. they are.

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

19

Q. The focus of my questions today, Dr Farrar, will be in the following three parts: firstly, your background and role at the time of the fire; secondly, your oversight of the resilience and emergencies division, RED, which we heard about yesterday from your former colleague Gill McManus; and, thirdly and finally, your actions and the actions of DCLG in response in the days after the

10 So, first , turning, then, to your role at the time 11 of the fire

You were director general for local government and public services at the Department for Communities and Local Government, as it was known then; is that right?

15 A. That's right.

Q. The Inquiry heard evidence yesterday that the 16 17 department, DCLG, changed its name in 2018 to become

18 known as the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local

Government, MHCLG, and it then subsequently changed its

2.0 name to the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and

21 Communities, DLUHC. I'm going to refer to it as DCLG

22 during the course of my questions. Okay?

A. Thank you. 2.3

2.4 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ At the time of the fire , you were part of DCLG's

2.5 leadership team; is that right?

1 A. That's right.

2 Q. You reported to Melanie Dawes, who was then the

3 Permanent Secretary of DCLG; is that right?

4 A. That's right.

Q. You are now the Second Permanent Secretary at the Ministry of Justice and chief executive of HM Prisons

and Probation Service; is that right?

8 A. That's right.

9 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,I'd}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,like}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,to}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,were}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,were}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,that}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,you}}\ \ensuremath{\mbox{\,about your roles}}\ \ensuremath{\m$ 10

involved in before your time with DCLG, if I may.

11 Is it correct that, before your role at DCLG, you 12 were chief executive of Bath and North East Somerset

13 Council between March 2012 and August 2016?

14

16

15 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Before that, you were chief executive of the Bridgend

County Borough Council between October 2006 and

17 March 2012: is that right?

18 Yes, I believe I started in Bridgend in January, not the

19 previous October, but that's when I was appointed.

20 Q. You had a decade's worth of experience of being a chief

21 executive of a local council; is that right?

2.2 A. That's right.

23 Q. In those chief executive roles, did you have a good

24 working knowledge of resilience procedures for those

25 areas in which you were a chief executive?

- 1 A. I did.
- Q. Now, in your first witness statement -- I'd like to take 2
- 3 you to it, please -- page 2, paragraph 9
- 4 $\{CLG00030414/2\}$, you say here:

5 "As a result of my responsibility for RED, I was required to have a broad understanding of the terms of 6

- 7 the Civil Contingencies Act 2004, the Civil
- Contingencies Act 2004 (Contingency Planning) 8
- 9 Regulations 2005 and the associated guidance, although
- 10 I would be advised by specialists in the RED team."
- 11 Is that right?
- 12 A. That's right.

20

- 13 Q. As part of your role as director general for local government and public services at the time, did one of 14
- 15 those responsibilities include oversight of DCLG's
- resilience and emergencies division, known as RED? 16

senior civil servant responsible for RED.

- 17 A. It did, but I should explain the structure: so I was the 18 director general, underneath me was Jillian Kay, who was the director, and then Katherine Richardson, who was the 19
- 2.1 Q. Turning, then, to the function of RED.
- 22 The Inquiry has already heard evidence from 2.3 Ms McManus yesterday, who was a resilience adviser, as 2.4 to the function of RED. But, in short, would you agree

that one of RED's functions was as a conduit between

- 1 central government and local responders when it came to 2 responding to emergencies?
- A. Yes, a conduit, a liaison point.
- Q. I'd like to move on to events on 14 June, if I may,
- 5 taking matters chronologically as best I can.
- You were first alerted to the fire by an email from 6 7 Gill McManus, who was duty head of resilience that 8 night, at 5.55 on 14 June; is that right?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. If we could go to {CLG00030446}, I believe we should be 11 able to see that notification that you received.
- 12 Is this it?
- 13 A Yes I believe so

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. We can see it's an email at 5.55 from Ms McManus. 14
 - I would like to take you further down the page. please, to the fifth paragraph down, where it says this:
 - "There are suggestions in the media that the cause of the fire could be neglect of health and safety legislation . Grenfell Action Group have suggested that a major fire was averted in 2013 when faulty wiring caused power surges. The block is owned by the local
- 2.2 authority.' Did you consider or anticipate at that early stage 2.3 2.4
- that there would be any problems with RBKC leading on 25 the recovery, given that it was owner of the tower, and

- media reports that were circulating at the time suggesting the fire could have been caused by neglect?
- 3 A. So, no, I didn't at that time anticipate any issues with 4
- the local authority, and I also knew that the local 5 authority was part of the London Resilience operation, which had responded to many local emergencies.
- 7 Q. We'll come on to talk about the resilience arrangements 8 in due course.
- 9 Was it something that you then went on to consider, 1.0 the conflict between the council owning the building and 11 the media reports that were circulating at the time? Is
- 12 it something that you then went on to consider after? 13 So not personally, no, I was very much focused on the
- 14 local authority and the recovery period. 15 Q. We can see here, under the "Issues for DCLG", that 16
- reference is made to fire policy and DCLG Building 17 Regulations. There isn't any reference here to any
- 18 resourcing considerations for the department.
- 19 Would you have expected at this early stage there to 2.0 be some consideration of the impact that the incident 21 would have on resourcing for RED?
- 22 A. So not at this early stage. In fact, the way that --23 well, the responsibilities of RED, as I say, were really 2.4 a liaison point between the local response and central
- 2.5
- government, and they were resourced to be that liaison

1 point.

2

6

- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Was it the role of RED to be responsible for supporting 2. 3 the local authority response?
- 4

8

9

- Q. You emailed Ms McManus, and we can go to that now at 5 6 $\{CLG00002859\}$. It's the second email on the page at
- 7 7.05, and you say this:
 - "Thanks Gill. I presume we will also be involved in any recovery issues. We are due to brief the
- 10 [Secretary of State] this morning on another issue, but
- 11 we can make sure he is updated as well. 12 "Thanks to RED for responding so quickly."
- 13 She then responds to you, we can go up to an email 14 at 7.07, saying:
- 15 "Morning Jo
- 16 "Yes this will almost certainly be a local authority 17 led recovery.
 - "Will keep you updated."
- 19 What other type of recovery could there have been,
- 2.0 if not a local authority-led one?
- 21 A. So for an incident like this. I would have assumed it
- 2.2 would be a local authority-led recovery, given the
- 23 nature of the incident. So in emergency situations, the
- 2.4 incident is split into two: a response phase, which in
- 25 this case was led by the SCG locally reporting in to the

authority-led recovery.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

So as director general for local government and public services, I would have been concerned to make sure that if the local authority was taking over the recovery phase from, in this instance, the police, that they were equipped to do that, and that's why I was asking that question.

- 12 You can see here that you say, "I presume we will ... be 13 involved in any recovery issues". That's the second 14 email on the page at 7.05. Can you explain, what did 15 you mean by that?
- A. Yes, so actually a choice has to be made in terms of 16 17 which government department leads recovery. I assumed 18 it would be a role for us. If it's a local 19 authority-led recovery, then it would have tended to be 2.0 the department who were then the lead government 21 department. At the time of the fire, the response 22 phase, we weren't the lead government department. So 2.3 I needed to ascertain -- and this was the nature of the 2.4 question — whether the recovery phase would move from

the Home Office to the department, because that would

- 1 involve us then making sure we had the adequate 2 structures in place to oversee that.
 - Q. I'd like to take you to your first witness statement, please, paragraph 24, page 6 $\{CLG00030414/6\}$. We can see there at paragraph 24 you say:

"At the same time, it was inevitable that RBKC would have a central role in the relief effort, given the location of Grenfell Tower and its residents. In the circumstances, my expectation was that either RBKC or the London Resilience Partnership would lead the recovery effort."

I just want to ask you about that. Is this a view that you formed at the time, or is this a reflection that you've had since, whilst drafting your statement?

- A. No. it's a -- I think you can see from the email with Gill that this was a consideration at the time, and an assumption at the time.
- 18 Q. When you refer to the local resilience partnership 19 potentially leading the recovery effort, can you assist 2.0 us with what your understanding would be as to how that 21 would be done?
- 2.2 A. Yes. So in London there was -- and I think you heard 23 from other witnesses that there was a London Resilience 2.4 Partnership, which supported local authorities in terms 25 of leading major incidents, and actually tended to play

10

a leading role, in my experience, in incidents in

- London. So I would have expected that either RBKC or
- 3 the London Resilience Partnership would have had a heavy
- 4 involvement, given the nature of the -- or given the 5 circumstances.
- Q. What process or mechanism do you understand they would 6
- 7 be using to lead the recovery effort? 8 A. So I would have expected a Local Authority Gold response
- 9 to be set up, and then you -- that used to lead the 10 recovery effort, and bringing in other partners as 11 necessary.
- 12 Is that the London Local Authority Gold arrangements
- 13 that the Inquiry has heard about, the LLAG? 14 Yes, so that is one option. I mean, obviously RBKC
- 15 could have chosen to set up their own Gold arrangements.
- 16 I hadn't envisaged they will do, given the strength --
- 17 they would do, given the strength of the support
- 18 available in London.

2

- 19 Q. So you mentioned two options there: one would be an RBKC
- 20 Gold, the second would be an LLAG Gold.
- 2.1 A. Yes. So, for example, as a local authority chief
- 22 executive, when I led on incidents, then we -- I would
- 23 set up a Local Authority Gold, not having access to the
- 2.4 same support, being in a different area of the country,
- 25 but there would be a Gold arrangement that would be set

- 1 up that would pull in other partners as necessary to
- make sure that the recovery effort continues to be led 2. 3
 - well.
- 4 Q. When you say "pull in other partners", can you just
- 5 expand upon: what would your understanding be of how
- 6 an LLAG Gold would operate? Would they take over
- 7 a response, for example, or would it be more nuanced
- 8 than that?
- 9 A. So they would take over the recovery phase. The
- 10 response phase would come to an end, the police or
- 11 whoever was the Response Gold would hand over to the
- 12 Local Authority Gold, and then you would be responsible
- 13 for leading the recovery effort in the area. Sometimes
- that involves other partners. So, for example, I was 14
- 15 involved in some very actually tragic deaths in
- 16 Bridgend, where I led the Gold but brought in health and
- 17 the police, because we were very much working in
- 18 partnership to support the community in Bridgend at that
- 19
- 2.0 Q. So was it your understanding that one local authority
- 21 would take over from another local authority?
- 2.2 No, my understanding isn't that one local authority
- 23 would take over from another authority. Actually, the

- 2.4 local authority would take over from a different
- 25 authority. So if the response phase was led by the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 1 police, then Local Authority Gold would -- may take that 2 over, if that was the decision, in the recovery phase. 3 I think in London there are different -- there were 4 different arrangements. So it could be that London 5 Resilience played a bigger role in terms of the Local Authority Gold, as in fact we eventually saw in 6 7 Kensington and Chelsea.
 - Q. I'd like to move on, if I may, in time to around about -- not too much further on, though $--\ 7.15$ on 14 June

You had a conversation with Melanie Dawes, the Permanent Secretary. In your first witness statement. page 5 {CLG00030414/5}, paragraph 20, you say that you spoke to Ms Dawes at around 7.45. In her statement {CLG00030653/8}, she says that she believes you spoken to one another at 7.15. It may be that there's little in it, but are you able to assist us with whether there was a conversation at 7.15 and another at 7.45, or was there just one conversation at some point at 7 o'clock?

- 20 A. No, there was one conversation between 7 o'clock and 2.1 8 o'clock. It's probably my memory, but it was -- there 2.2 was one conversation.
- Q. I'd like to take you to Ms Dawes' statement, please, 2.3 2.4 that's {CLG00030653/8}, we can see here at paragraph 20, 25 and it's the third line down:

"I recall messaging my Private Secretary at around 07:15 and ringing Jo Farrar to discuss how the Department would need to be involved. It was clear that the immediate response was for the emergency services and other local responders. The recovery would be led locally, but I was clear that the Department would almost certainly coordinate the wider Central Government support that would be needed to support recovery from an incident on this scale.'

Did you both discuss how the department would be

- 12 A. Yes. Yes, we did, and we certainly discussed that the 13 department would have a role in the recovery part of the 14 management of the incident.
- 15 Q. When you mention a role in the recovery, could you just 16 help us with telling us what that would entail?
- 17 A. Yes. So as I said earlier, I think as the emergency 18 moved from response to recovery, our understanding was 19 that this was likely to be a local authority-led 2.0 recovery. As the Department for Communities and Local 21 Government, we felt that we would probably be the lead 2.2 government department, but if not, we would still have 2.3 a big role, being responsible for local government at 2.4 that time.
- 25 Q. What does it mean to be the lead government department

14

in recovery, though, what would that actually mean that 2 you would be doing?

- 3 A. So that means that you would be the -- so you would pull 4 together other government departments, would be the lead 5 department on any ministerial group, would be the first point of contact for government. 6
- 7 Q. Would it mean that you would be operational in any way 8 on the ground?
- 9 A. No, so not -- no, not necessarily, that was not normally 10 our role, unless there was a decision that central 11 government should be involved operationally. But our --12 essentially our role was to make sure that the recovery 13 effort was operating effectively.
- 14 Q. Let's go to your witness statement at page 5 15 {CLG00030414/5}, paragraph 20, please. It's the last 16 sentence there. We can see.

17 "Melanie Dawes indicated that she knew 18 Nicholas Holgate during her time at HM Treasury and 19 would contact him to offer Government's support." 20 Is that right?

2.1 A. Yes, that's right. We -- I remember discussing with 22 Melanie that we both felt it would be good to make sure that the chief executive of RBKC knew that he could 23 2.4 reach out to government for support if he felt that was 2.5 necessary. As she had an existing relationship, she

15

- 1 said that she would contact him.
- 2 Q. Moving on in time, at 9 o'clock on 14 June you received 3 a briefing from Katherine Richardson, as you've already 4 mentioned, the deputy director of RED, which included 5 the leadership and co-ordination of the local response. 6 That's what you say in your statement.

7 Can you assist us with what specifically you did to 8 discuss the leadership and co-ordination of the 9 response?

- 10 A. Yes. From my memory, we discussed the SCG and the fact 11 that RED was attending SCG meetings, and I believe she 12 gave me a report back on issues that had come from SCG 13 meetings.
- 14 Q. Was it clear to you who was leading the response in 15 relation to humanitarian assistance, for example?
- 16 A. No, not at that point.
- Q. Was there any discussion at that stage about whether the 17 18 local authority would be able to deal with the
- 19 humanitarian response or if they needed any greater 2.0 support?
- 21 I'm not sure. There was discussion about whether the
- 2.2 local authority needed support, but I'm not sure if it 23
- 2.4 Q. Well, we've mentioned Mr Holgate and the pre-existing 2.5 relationship that you mentioned Ms Dawes had with him

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 whilst working at HM Treasury. 2 I would like to take you to, please, {CLG00002873}. 3 This is an email at 9.07, sent by the office of Ms Dawes 4 to Mr Holgate, and we can see that your office was 5 copied in to that, and it says this: "Dear Nick, 6 7 "I just wanted to express how shocked and sad I was to hear about the terrible fire at Grenfell Tower this 8

> "We are ready to help however we can from Central Government — Jo Farrar from DCLG is already leading on this. Obviously today you will be dealing with the immediate response but do let us know - as and when it

is helpful - if there is anything [else] we can do."Were you leading on central government support to RBKC at this time that this email was sent?

A. So I was —— I think what Melanie was referring to is that, at the time. I was involved in pulling together any information that we had and looking at the central government response. But I should say that there was a different command structure put in place in central government. It might be worth explaining that. I know you will have had it in other witness statements, but at the time the response was being -- was that lead government department was the Home Office. Very shortly

17

into the incident, a ministerial -- an ad hoc ministerial group was set up. That -- the lead department on that group was the Home Office.

In the Department for Communities and Local Government, Melanie attended those ministerial meetings, and Helen MacNamara, who I believe you also had a statement from, was the lead for DCLG in terms of -because a number of the issues related to housing and rehousing and the TMO, and all of that was in Helen's area of responsibility .

So my area of responsibility essentially was about the SCG and RED and whether there were any requests for help from central government through that route. So -but I would be the lead contact for chief executives, given my role, so I believe that's what Melanie was referring to. So if Nicholas Holgate had wanted to reach out to somebody, she was essentially telling him he should contact me.

- 19 Q. So it wasn't necessarily that there was anything 2.0 specific that you had done at that point or that you 21 were preparing to do to make an offer of support to 2.2 RBKC, for example?
- 23 A. No, but I would be the lead contact for him, and I think 2.4 that's what Melanie is referring to.

18

25 Q. At 9.30, together with Katherine Richardson and

1 Jillian Kay, you met with the Secretary of State; is 2 that right?

- 3 A. Yes, I believe there were others at that meeting as 4 well
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Was this the first meeting with the Secretary of State 5 6 regarding the fire?
- 7 A. It was the first meeting I attended. I believed he'd 8 been updated separately, but possibly by his private 9 office .
- 10 Q. I'd like to take you to paragraph 28 of your first 11 statement. That's page 6 {CLG00030414/6}, please. We 12 can see there in the second sentence:

13 "We discussed, amongst other issues, the local 14 response and the support that central government could 15 offer "

16 Just pausing there, can you assist us with what was 17 specifically discussed regarding the local response and 18 the support that central government could offer?

- 19 A. So, from my memory, it was mostly about housing and the 20 support that central government might offer. There was 21 also a question about funding, and whether the local 22 authority could access funding. We have a scheme to 23 allow local authorities to access funding in 2.4 emergencies -
- 25 Is that the Bellwin funding?

- 1 A. -- and that's the Bellwin funding. But I do believe 2 there will have been a minute of that meeting.
- 3 Q. Could I just invite you to look at Jillian Kay's first witness statement. That's {CLG00030430/4}, at 5

paragraph 15. She says: "As well as noting what was understood about the fire and those affected by it, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ noted that there had been no request for government assistance at that point from the SCG or directly from the Local Authority. I noted that the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham had offered mutual aid to the Royal Borough of

11 12 Kensington and Chelsea ('RBKC'). From the discussion,

13 I noted that my colleagues in Housing within the Department my need to step in later to help with 14 15 rehousing ...'

16 She refers us to her note of that meeting, which I would like to take you to. It's {CLG00030410}. We 17 18 can see there, 14 June, it says, "9am SoS

19 [Secretary of State] briefing". There is then a line, and underneath it, it says: 2.0

21 "-SCG-DCLG attended.

"- No specific requests for government [I think that

20

 $^{\prime\prime}-$ Also speaking H&F [Hammersmith and Fulham] re 2.4 2.5 mutual aid."

2.2

23

6

7

8

9

- $\{CLG00002915/1\}$ on 14 June at 11.54 there's a read—out, 1 Does that accord with your recollection of what was 2 said at the meeting? 2 and if we go further down on to page 2 {CLG00002915/2}, 3 A. Yes. I mean, that's right, there were no specific 3 we can see "Actions for Katherine R [Richardson]/RED": 4 requests from government from the SCG. I mean, I don't 4 " • Please could you draft a background briefing on know if it would help if I just briefly expand on how Bellwin funding and how this has been used in the past. 5 5 the SCGs operate. ' Grateful if you could also pass on the read out 6 6 7 So the SCGs bring together all of the local 7 of the Strategic Co-ordination Group meeting that took responders. They're chaired, structured in a very 8 8 place this morning." 9 specific and organised way. There's an opportunity for 9 We can see there that there is an action for 10 everybody to feed in requests of help. RED attend that 10 Katherine Richardson. We can see that there are 11 meeting, and any person in that meeting can request help 11 a number of other actions recorded. 12 12 from government, and that will be fed back to either Would it be fair to say that there was a wide ambit 13 the -- well, to the lead government department. And 13 of issues that the department was dealing with? certainly when I have been involved as a chief executive 14 A. Yeah, that's absolutely fair. So Katherine Richardson 14 15 in incidents, the presence of RED is very visible and 15 and RED really act as the liaison point with the SCG, the question is asked, "Is there any" -- in my but this was -- this incident obviously involved a fire 16 16 17 experience, the question's always been asked, "Is there 17 and housing, and there were a number of issues related 18 any help needed from central government or anything that 18 to the fire that would have been -- that were dealt with 19 you are struggling with?" 19 in a different part of the department, and I think they 20 2.0 will have been covered in Helen MacNamara and So, no, they --2.1 Q. That's your expectation of what would ordinarily be 21 Sally Randall's statements. asked --2.2 22 Q. Now, we've mentioned the Bellwin scheme; is it right 2.3 A. That is my --23 that that's for emergency financial assistance for local 2.4 $Q. \ --$ but you don't know whether that was asked in this 2.4 authorities to meet uninsurable costs that they've 25 instance: is that --2.5 incurred when responding to an emergency? 21 23 1 A. Well, we were -- it was reported back from RED that 1 A Yes 2 there was no specific requests from government. 2. Q. Is that right? 3 I assume that was accurate, and I'm sure it would be 3 A. Yeah. 4 Q. Effectively, the mechanics of money. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Were you aware of any other offers of assistance that 5 5 A. Yes 6 RBKC had received at that time? 6 Q. We can see here that there aren't any actions arising 7 7 A. Not specifically, but I would have expected that London out of what central government could be doing, what your 8
 - Resilience would have been in contact with the council, and London Resilience were able to bring in mutual aid from different authorities. In fact, it was unusual that Hammersmith and Fulham were offering mutual aid and not -- it would usually come, I believe, through the London Resilience Forum. But in this case. Hammersmith and Fulham are very close to Kensington and Chelsea, so would have probably made an offer direct.
- 16 Q. You mentioned earlier a read-out. I'm going to take you 17 to, I believe, a read—out of the briefing. It's 18 {CLG00002915}. If we could -- well, let me identify 19 that this is an email at ... If I could take you to 2.0 {CLG00002915}.
- 21 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's what I've got on the screen. 2.2
- 23 MS MALHOTRA: Page 2 {CLG00002915/2}.
- SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Ah. 2.4

10

11

12

13

14

15

MS MALHOTRA: We can see here at the very bottom of page 1

22

8 department -- what the department could be doing to 9 support the local authority; would you agree with that?

10 A. Yes, there are no actions, and there was certainly no 11 request from the local authority for support.

12 Q. What about consideration for replacement passports, 13 for example? What about the number of people that would be displaced as a result of the fire? Was any 14

15 consideration given to that? 16

A. So I remember conversations about people being displaced 17 as the fire $\,--$ that was being dealt with by $\,--$ in 18 another director general area, but there were certainly 19 conversations about that.

2.0 Q. Can you assist us with what the reason was for the 21 minister asking for the SCG minutes?

2.2 So I presume -- well, Katherine Richardson at the 23 meeting gave a read—out of what happened at the 2.4

strategic co-ordination group. I believe the minister 2.5

thought it would be happy to -- helpful to have the note

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- for our records. I think that was good practice.
- 2 Q. There was nothing usual about that, was there?
- 3 A. No, absolutely not, and actually, as I say, good 4 practice to make sure that we have a note of the 5 relevant meetings.
- Q. I'd like to show you, please, go to the Secretary of State's witness statement. It's his first witness statement, $\{CLG10009728/2\}$. At paragraph 7 he says this:

"We were aware from the start that it would require all the energy we could give, both in terms of direct help and in terms of coordinating the response across Government. In my view, it is the job of central government following a disaster like this to do more than we would normally do. There was a significant emotional element - people had lost their loved ones, their homes and all their belongings. At a time like that they should be able to look to government. They should be able to have very high expectations of what government will deliver."

He goes on to say:

"I also felt that any council involved in such a catastrophic event would soon be overwhelmed and would need support — including support that only government is in a position to give. We wanted to ensure that support

was given sooner rather than later. Which was why we offered help as soon as we did - from the morning of 14 June."

Do you consider that the department's response at this time -- so 9.30, at the time that this briefing was going on -- was aligned with this statement by the Secretary of State in his statement?

- A. Yes, I believe it was aligned, absolutely. I mean, we -- in an incident like this, you would expect the 10 local responders to be asking for help, and we'd identified, for example, the issue of funding in other parts of the department, which I'm not really qualified to speak to, but I'm sure there will have been other issues that the department felt that they could and would want to help with. But in my area, certainly the funding, which came under my remit, we were looking at 17 proactively to make sure that we were ready to give emergency funding support if and when needed.
- 19 Q. You say you were looking at that; can you assist us with 2.0 when that funding support, the Bellwin scheme, was 21 actually put into effect?
- 2.2 A. Actually, I can't, I would have to look at the notes, 23 but I remember from that meeting that work was going on 2.4 in the local government finance team to make sure that 25

that support was available.

1 Q. If I was to suggest that it was a few days later, would 2 you disagree with that?

3 A. Probably not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

3

14

16

18

Q. I'd like to move to contact that you had with Mr Holgate on the morning of 14 June.

We can see here {CLG00030460}. We should be able to see here an email that your office sent at 9.27 on 14 June on your behalf, asking for a mobile number for the chief executive of Kensington and Chelsea.

10 Were you trying to speak with Mr Holgate?

11 A. I wanted to send him a message. So I was aware that 12 Melanie Dawes had contacted him separately, and we'd 13 agreed that she would. I knew that she had said that 14 I was his contact in government, so I wanted to make

15 sure that he had my mobile number and was able to 16 contact me at any point.

17 Q. You say in your statement $\{CLG00030414/6\}$ — we don't 18 need to go to it now -- that you sent him a text message

19 to arrange a time to speak. You recall saying that the

2.0 department was in contact with RBKC and offering

21 support. Is that right?

22 A. Yes, that's right.

Q. Did you say, "We think you need support that only 2.3

2.4 central government is in a position to offer you"?

2.5 So not at that point. I was at that point trying to get

27

1 hold of him, actually, and to give him my contact

2 details. I believe I also asked my office to contact

his office to set up a time for us to speak.

Q. It's right to say that you didn't receive a reply to 5 this message, did you?

6 A. I don't remember receiving a reply.

7 Q. Did you attempt to contact Mr Holgate again on 14 June?

8 A. I believe my office contacted his office to arrange 9 a time for us to speak.

10 Q. Did you in fact speak with him on the 14th?

11 A. I believe it was the 15th I spoke to him.

12 Q. You mentioned earlier about enquiries into RBKC. I'd

13 iust like to look at those now.

At 1.42 on 14 June, your secretary contacted

15 Alex Powell, who was responsible for collating

information on local authorities, to request background

17 information on RBKC. We can see this at

 $\{CLG00030414/6\}$ —— this is your statement —— at

19 paragraph 30, that you refer to it. 2.0

Can you assist us with why you made this request?

21 A. Yes, absolutely. So Alex Powell was the deputy director 2.2 for local government stewardship, and that was the part

23 of the department that brought together information on

2.4 local authorities . It was the part of the department

2.5 that really looked at the performance of local

26

1 authorities. So I thought it would be really sensible tri -borough agreement but I suspect Jo is already 2 to check whether we had any concerns about the Royal 2 sighted on that.' 3 Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, you know, to make 3 Were you sighted on the breakdown of the tri-borough4 sure that they were able to deal with something like 4 agreement? A. Yes, I was. Actually, I think TF probably relates to 5 this 5 6 At the time I felt that they would be drawing on the troubled families, but I'm not 100% sure. I'm sure 6 7 support of London Resilience, but I felt it was also 7 I knew at the time. Q. I'd like to take you next to {CLG00002952}, please. We 8 really important just to check out if there was any 8 9 background information on the local authority itself . 9 can see here an email that was in fact sent to 10 10 Q. Was that standard procedure? Melanie Dawes' office, and we can see there: 11 A. Yes, absolutely 11 'This is some really basic background about MS MALHOTRA: Did anything --12 12 Kensington and Chelsea and the Department's relationship 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Sorry to interrupt. 13 14 14 What sort of background information were you looking It then goes on to say that there is a fact sheet 15 15 that's attached and information on Kensington and 16 A So we would collect information on local authorities 16 Chelsea 17 working closely with the LGA, from different inspection 17 It goes on to talk about the leader: 18 reports or other information that we'd gathered together 18 "• His relationship with the Department is good. He 19 19 really to make sure that the local authority system was is well respected and open to discussing various ideas. 2.0 functioning, and there would have been some local 2.0 "• The general view of officials is that he is 21 authorities that we were more concerned with. In fact, 21 a safe pair of hands who runs a tight and steady ship. 22 you'll have seen examples where local government has 22 " • Has been in post since 2013. "• Was part of the tri-borough system with 2.3 intervened in different local authorities. But Royal 23 2.4 Borough of Kensington and Chelsea weren't one of those 2.4 Hammersmith and Fulham and Westminster this will 25 authorities that were flagged up through all of the 2.5 officially end in 2018." 31 1 information that we had as being an authority of concern 1 Was that your understanding as well? to us, in terms of their -- this is in terms of their 2 2 A. Well, I — actually, Kensington and Chelsea was not 3 general performance across their services. 3 a local authority that I knew well, hence why I was MS MALHOTRA: Let's see if we can go to those documents. It asking Alex for the information at the time. I wanted 5 may help as to what the request was. 5 to understand more about them. I wanted to speak to $\{CLG00002954\}$, please. At 1.43, we can see at the 6 Nicholas Holgate and wanted to make sure that I was 6 7 7 bottom of the page there: prepared for that meeting, but also I think, in the 8 "Jo would like a little more information on our 8 absence of hearing from Nicholas Holgate, I wanted to 9 9 relationship with Kensington and Chelsea. Are they on reassure myself that this was not a council that we were 10 you radar for any reason, and if so, why? Or is there 10 11 any other information it would be useful for her to 11 As I say, at the time, I felt they would be drawing note?" 12 12 on the support of London Resilience as well. Certainly 13 13 That's the general request that was sent. had knowledge and confidence of London Resilience, 14 If we go up, we can see at 2.05 in the afternoon 14 having worked in a London local authority previously. 15 that Mr Powell receives confirmation: 15 Q. We can see here that it says the Secretary of State has 16 " ... K&C aren't on our radar at all and therefore we 16 spoken to the leader today, and then it goes on to say 17 don't hold anything on them." 17 that the Minister for London is also going to speak 18 It then goes on to say that: 18 later that afternoon. 19 "The Ministerial Support Unit have an app/database 19 Then so far as Mr Holgate is concerned, it says: 2.0 2.0 on councils which can provide general info (facts and " • Melanie has emailed and Jo has text today. 21 21 figures on funding, housing, TF ...' " - He confirmed that [he has] received lots of blue

2.2

23

2.4

25

light support.

30

Q. "... if that's of use. The most recent development of

note that I'm aware of is the break-down of the

What does that stand for?

A. I'm not sure, actually,

32

very good relationship with him."

" • Previously worked in HMT - the Department has

Is this the reference that we mentioned earlier,

2.2

23

2.4

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

16

- 1 where you say Ms Dawes previously knew him from work 2 elsewhere in government?
- 3 A. Yes, I believe Melanie knew him. I'm not sure how well, 4 actually, but I believe she knew him from HMT. Treasury.
 - Q. We can see here at the top of the email it says "some really basic background"; you would agree that that's indeed what it is, very basic?
- 8 A. Yes, it's very basic, and we were concerned to know 9 more, actually, hence my trying to speak to 10 Nicholas Holgate and then having the conversation on the 11

I mean, I should say that, actually, we gather basic information on local authorities. Just because the relationship with the department hasn't caused any concern in the past, we have seen from other local authorities that that doesn't necessarily mean that they're able to cope with every eventuality, so hence wanting to speak to Nicholas Holgate and understand that

20 Q. Yes. We'll come to that.

2.1 But let's just have a look at the fact sheet, 22 please, {CLG00036412}, for completeness. It seems to be 2.3 a fact sheet about finance. Is that a fair summary?

2.4 A. Actually, no, I don't think it is all about finance. No, there seems to be a range of information on there.

33

- 1 Q. Would you accept that it's relatively superficial 2 information that's been provided?
- 3 A. Yes, I mean, that would -- yes, that would just be information that we had that was provided, yeah.
- 5 Q. It doesn't provide an assessment of their ability to 6
- cope in an emergency. I think you've already said that. 7 A. No, and in fact I -- at the time, the department wasn't resourced nor do I believe it was our role to really
- 8 9 assess the emergency plans of local authorities.
- 10 Q. Did you, in your role with oversight of RED, or do you 11 know if RED would have received information about what 12 training or exercising of pan-London resilience plans RBKC had been involved in? 13
- 14 A. I don't think they would have had a detailed 15 understanding of that. I think they will have had some 16 reassurance, mostly through London Resilience, that 17 structures were in place, but they certainly wouldn't 18 have had detailed looks at individual local authority 19 plans. I mean, that's not something that was assessed
- 2.0 to be their role at the time or they were resourced to 21
- 2.2 Q. Do you think information of that nature would have been 23
- 2.4 A. In this incident, it may have been helpful. I would 25 question whether that would have been a RED role,

- because it would have covered the whole of government,
 - or whether that would have been something that the
- 3 Cabinet Office would have been interested in. But the
- 4 RED team worked closely with the Cabinet Office.
- 5 Q. You also contacted the Local Government Association to see if there was anything you needed to know about RBKC 6 7 which was relevant to its ability to deal with the
- 8 disaster. Did they provide you with any relevant
- 9 information?
- 10 A. I'm struggling to remember, I'm really sorry. I mean, 11 I think we had a general conversation about Kensington 12 and Chelsea as an authority. I believe I asked them 13 about -- also about London Resilience, and I believe I asked them about the chief executive at the time,
- 14 15 Nicholas Holgate.
- Q. Well, I'd like to move next to a meeting that you 17 attended with Melanie Dawes at 3.30 on 14 June. 18 You refer to it in your statement -- and I'll take

19 you to it -- at page 7 {CLG00030414/7}, paragraph 33. 20

21 "At 3.30 pm on Wednesday, I attended a meeting with 22 Melanie Dawes. At around this time, I recall she 23 informed me that she had contacted Nicholas Holgate and 2.4 that he had informed her that RBKC had the situation 2.5 under control and did not need any central government

35

support." 1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

2 Was there a minute of your meeting with 3 Melanie Dawes?

it was unusual not to need any support.

A. I'm not sure, actually. If there was a minute, I'm sure 5 we would have provided it for the record, but I do 6 remember that conversation. I also remember that we 7 discussed whether I should speak to him as well, because

> I mean, actually. I should explain how these incidents work. I mean, sometimes, actually, local authorities don't need central government support, and, in fact, in the first few days, I think it's really important -- or in the first few hours, in fact, it's really important to let local responders respond to the situation. So, in fact, as central government, you can quite often confuse the situation if you become involved really early on, because actually you might make decisions or make directions without an understanding of the local area that might then prove to be quite damaging later on.

So I think the way the Civil Contingencies Act is set up is to give the responsibility to local responders who are familiar with the local area. There's a requirement for them to have plans in place and be trained. In the case of London, there should be some

34

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

incidents

So guite often -- which is why the SCG is important -- you will not need central government involvement, particularly in the early stages. It can get in the way of the situation. But it is really important, if central government help is needed, that the SCG feeds that up and there's a mechanism for them to do that.

So if there are things happening on the ground which central government may not be able to see because, for example, we wouldn't be in the cordoned area or able to get to some of the places where it was evident that support was needed, actually what we need is for people to be reporting that back and saying, "Actually, we do need support with specifics". I mentioned funding earlier , but I'm sure there were a number -- in fact, there were a number of other issues where central government did then provide support.

Q. This information that Ms Dawes was telling you at this time, as we can see in the statement here, do you know where that information was coming from? Was it coming from Mr Holgate directly?

37

- 1 A. Yes, I believe he had told her that he didn't need any 2 support, but we discussed and I remember Melanie and 3 I saying that it would be helpful if we had a follow-up call with Nicholas Holgate, just to probe that a bit 5 more and make sure, and make sure he knew what support 6 was on offer and that central government was here if he 7 needed it.
- Q. Could I take you to {CLG00002877}, please. It should be 8 the middle email on the page.

Just to put it into context first, we can see there at the bottom, this is the email at 9.07 that was sent by Ms Dawes' office to Nicholas Holgate. In the middle there, at 9.09, we can see a response from him to her saying:

"Many thanks. Plenty of blue light resource at present."

Is it your understanding that this was the information Ms Dawes was relying on when she told you that she had spoken and had contacted Mr Holgate who wasn't asking for any support?

- 21 A. I'm not sure what —— I know she'd had contact with him. 2.2 I'm not sure what she was referring to at that point. 23 But I do remember her being concerned, as was I, that we
- 2.4 should test this and speak to Mr Holgate direct.
 - I mean, you know, conscious that he and others will have 38

been managing the incident; however, felt that it would 2 be helpful for us to ensure that he didn't need support.

- 3 Q. Would it surprise you if information that was being 4 relayed to you in the afternoon of 14 June was relying 5 on information that had been sent at 9.09 in the morning of the 14th? 6
- 7 A. It wouldn't have surprised me. I mean, I think --I mean, you know, I think Melanie had reached out to 8 9 Nicholas Holgate, he had said there was -- he didn't 10 need any support. Actually, I think we both thought we 11 needed to have a follow-up conversation to make sure of 12 that. So I think we wouldn't have relied on just one 13 piece of information, hence me trying to speak to him 14
- 15 Q. I would like to move on in time, then, to the evening of 16 14 June the 7.30 SCG

and having discussed with Melanie that I would do that.

17 We can see here, if we go to {CLG00008048}, an email 18 that was sent at 9.28, 21.28, on 14 June, and it's 19 a read—out from the 7.30 SCG: is that right?

- 20 A. I believe so. Yes, I can see it now. Thank you.
- 2.1 Q. You can see in the subject line, "update", and then it 22 says, "Please see the latest update below".
- 2.3 A. Yes.

15

16

2.4 Did you consider that there was any issue with the 2.5 timing of receiving information about the SCG meeting

39

- 1 that had started at 7.30 but that was only being 2 circulated at 9.28 that evening?
- 3 A. I don't remember being concerned about that. It was
- 7.30 in the evening, was the SCG meeting. It would
- 5 have -- I'm not sure how long the meeting will have
- 6 lasted for. It may have been a while. I mean, that 7
- information wasn't available to me. But my experience 8 of RED is that they reported back as soon as they were 9 able
- 10 Q. We can see in this read—out, if we could go to page 2 $\{\text{CLG00008048}/2\},$ please, the very top of page 2, it 11

12 $^{\prime\prime}$ Still in emergency response but working towards 13 14

steady state so the formation of a parallel recovery group will be considered first thing tomorrow, working towards a potential handover tomorrow night."

17 Was there anything unusual about moving to 18 a handover the following day?

- 19 A. Well, I personally was very concerned about that, and I remember discussing that with Katherine Richardson and 2.0
- 21 RED. It seemed very early to move that -- to move to
- 2.2 recovery, bearing in mind the local authority would then 23 be leading that recovery. There seemed to me to still
- 2.4 be quite a lot of work for the emergency services to do.
- 2.5

I was concerned that the local authority, or any other

- 1 resilience arrangements -- local government resilience 2 arrangement, would be able to -- would be the right 3 people to lead that at that point, and I was still 4 wanting to speak to Nicholas Holgate. But I remember that I pushed back on that and asked. "Is that the right 5 thing to do, to hand over to a recovery phase as early 6 7 as tomorrow night?", as it says here, and you can see 8 from the rest of the email that there is still quite 9 a lot of -- the emergency situation is still being 10 managed. It seemed to me to be still very much in the 11 response phase.
- 12 Q. We can see there, just in the third paragraph down, that 13 it savs:

"Vulnerable people and families have been given accommodation overnight (hotel) as a priority. This is 44 families from the tower and 25 from the surrounding area. There is still a shortfall of accommodation."

18 Did that concern you?

14

15

16

17

- 19 A. Well, that was being dealt with by another part of the 20 department, who I know were very concerned about that.
- 2.1 Q. Do you consider that this was perhaps an indication that 2.2 all was not well with the local authority?
- 2.3 A. Well. I wanted to understand whether all was well with 2.4 the local authority. I mean, it's very -- I understand 25 that, you know, it was a very challenging situation. As

- 1 I say, another part of the department was dealing with that issue. I think there were concerns at that point 2 3 about the housing ability of the local authority, but my main concern was -- for my part of the department, was: 5 are they able to lead a Recovery Gold, given that 6 they're dealing with all of these other issues? It 7 still seems to me to be in a response situation, and there's still concerns with other things that they need 8 9 to sort out, such as accommodation.
- 10 Q. Can you assist us with when these concerns that you had 11
- 12 A. So my concerns about whether they were ready to lead the 13 recovery crystallised when I read this email and spoke 14 to Katherine Richardson. I believe there was an email 15 from me on the subject that hopefully you have in your 16 records, asking whether it was the right time to move to 17 local authority recovery.
- 18 Q. I won't take you to that now, but would it be fair to 19 say that you hadn't made any enquiries at this stage to 2.0 action those concerns that you had that evening?
- 21 A. So when I read this email. I spoke to 2.2 Katherine Richardson, so I absolutely had made 23 enquiries. The housing enquiries were being dealt with 2.4 by another part of the department. So I suppose you 25 have to look at mine and Helen MacNamara's statements

2 But, yes, my particular concern was: were they ready to 3 lead a recovery phase, given that they were still 4 dealing with the emergency situation, and in particular 5 housing vulnerable people and families, which seemed to

together to see the full response of the department.

- be a priority for them. So I would have expected them 6 to be focused on that. The response was still being led 7
- by the police. I wondered if it was the right time --8 9 I more than wondered if it was the right time, actually;
- 10 I felt it was not the right time for them to be leading
- 11 the recovery, and I raised this with
- 12 Katherine Richardson and asked for that to be fed back 13
- through the Home Office and to the strategic command 14 group, which I believe was done. I believe they didn't
- 15 actually hand over the recovery at that point.
- 16 Q. Could I ask this: aside from what's mentioned in this 17 read-out, had you received any concerns outside of this
- 18 SCG read-out about RBKC's response? 19 A. So, no, personally I hadn't received any concerns about
- 20 their response. I started to receive concerns the next
- 21 day. But, as I said, I wasn't in the ministerial
- 22 meeting, so most of the information was -- the way the
- 23 structure was set up was that the Home Office were the 2.4 lead department, there was the ad hoc ministerial
- 25 meetings, a lot of information was fed up through that

43

- 1 route, RED were reporting in to that route. I wasn't at
- 2 those meetings, so if things were raised at those
- 3 meetings that weren't in the minutes, I wouldn't have
- necessarily been aware of them. But by the 15th,
 - I certainly was becoming aware of several issues.
- 6 Q. Let's then move to 15 June, please.
 - I'd like to show you an email sent by
 - Sir Jeremy Heywood at 9.12, please, at $\{CLG10009750\}$.

9 It savs this:

5

7

8

12

14

2.2

- 10 "Lots of concern here that the [local authority] LA 11 is not gripping the aftermath - re-housing etc.
 - "Is that fair?
- "Should CLG second in some people to help? 13
 - "Which of your Ministers is now gripping this

15 tightly?"

- 16 Were you made aware of this email?
- 17 A. Not until later on that day.
- 18 Q. Can you assist us with when that was later?
- 19 A. It was later in the afternoon.
- 2.0 Q. Would you agree that it was a matter of significance
- 21 that the head of the civil service was contacting the
 - Permanent Secretary of DCLG stating there were lots of
- 23 concerns and asking who was gripping this?
- 2.4 Well, it was a concern. I think both Melanie and I, at
- 25 different points, when we saw the email, were very

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

concerned about that. We were -- I was still making 2 efforts to talk to Nicholas Holgate. In the end 3 I believe -- I don't believe my office has given 4 a statement, but I believe I asked my office to be very 5 firm with his office that I needed to have a conversation with him. 6 7 Q. You had his mobile number by this point, did you?

1

16

17

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

A. I don't remember -- I may have done and I may have tried 8 9 to ring him. I remember that I couldn't get hold of 10 him, so I felt the best route would be to contact his 11 office and put a specific time in to speak to him, 12 because I felt that that would be the best way to get 13 hold of him, having not received a reply to my text 14 message. And, as I say, I can't remember whether 15 I tried to ring him or not, but I had definitely been

trying to get in touch and having no response, so that's

- 18 Q. Given the concerns that you say you had on the morning 19 of 15 June, it would have been open to you, rather than 20 waiting for a formal arrangement for a call, to 2.1 telephone him directly. As you say, you had sent him 22 a text message, he hadn't responded, but you could have 2.3 picked up the phone and tried to ring him.
- 2.4 A. I believe I may have done that. I don't believe I had 25 any response, but I can't remember exactly. And, as

45

- 1 I said. I hadn't been made aware of the real issues until the afternoon of -- when a number of issues 2 3 started to come together, actually, in the afternoon, including my conversation with Nicholas Holgate.
- 5 Q. We'll come to that in due course.

the route I went down.

What did you make of the fact that the head of the civil service on the morning of 15 June had heard concerns, yet you had not through RED?

A. Well, RED -- it's a different role for RED. So, as I say, RED was resourced really to be the conduit between the SCG and central government. There were other people in contact with the local authority, particularly on the housing side. I believe there'd also been visits to the area, so other people would have been picking up information. That should have been fed through the strategic command group. I mean, if issues were that obvious and the local authority wasn't gripping the aftermath, and particularly the housing, then that should have been fed up through the strategic command group. I would imagine it was also being fed up -- if it had gone to Jeremy Heywood, it would have been fed up through the chain to the part of the department that was concerned with rehousing, and I know there was a lot of activity going on in that part of the department. But, actually, that wasn't my area of

responsibility

So I imagine the feedback -- this type of email would have been fed in through the housing part of the department to make sure that support was being offered in terms of rehousing, and in fact I later learned that support was offered in terms of rehousing, but not taken, because I was asked to follow that up personally when I visited RBKC.

Day 284

- 9 Q. Would it be fair to say, Dr Farrar, that there was 10 an issue with your situational awareness at this point, 11 then?
- 12 A. So my personal situational awareness? So, ves. I ... 13 well, I think I'll say ... the awareness that I had 14 I think was the information that I needed to know, 15 because there was a -- the response in the department was being led elsewhere. So I think there's 16 17 a difference between my situational awareness as the 18 person not leading for DCLG and the awareness of the 19 department. I think the department's awareness was 2.0 probably high, but my role was not leading the housing 21 and rehousing effort and assuring myself that the local 22 authority was able to lead the housing and rehousing 23 effort. That was a responsibility of DCLG, and there 2.4 was a lot of activity in that area, but that wasn't in

my particular area.

47

1 Q. So the fact that there were concerns about the local 2 authority not gripping the aftermath, you say that that 3 is not something that was your concern, that you should 4 have known about?

A. And I did know about it. So this was -- so I did receive a copy of this email later on and information of this type, because I was speaking to Nicholas Holgate and also visiting the local authority. I think in these -- in emergency situations, it's really important that you have a command and control structure and people aren't trying to do things that it's not their responsibility. It needs to feed in through this ministerial group and the lead government department so the whole response is managed, and that was happening. All I'm saving is that, actually, my role in that part was limited, although I had an interest in local government and in whether they could lead the recovery. And I think Jeremy Heywood's email, if you look at it, is really referring to the housing and rehousing effort, of which I was very aware that there were big concerns, and knew that that was being dealt with by some really competent people in a different part of the department.

Q. I'd like to move on to 9.30 on 15 June

A briefing took place with the Secretary of State, the housing and planning minister, Alok Sharma,

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

it was for those to find out what was going on?

Contingencies Act, that the local responders are

responsible for making sure that the response is

adequate and feeding up to central government if it's

whether there were enough accommodation places and the

not adequate. So I imagine the question was asked

A. So, I mean, the way that local responses are organised

is that, actually, in the -- if we go back to the Civil

1		Melanie Dawes and others from DCLG, and the briefing was	1	SCG were reassuring that there were enough accommodation
2		again conducted by Katherine Richardson and you	2	places.
3		attended; is that right?	3	I would go back to my earlier point that it's just
4	Α.	I believe so.	4	so important that the strategic command groups are
5	Q.	Was this email from Jeremy Heywood discussed at this	5	feeding back really accurate information and saying when
6		meeting?	6	they need support. As I said, central government at the
7	Α.	I don't remember. The housing situation was certainly	7	time was not $$ well, and still isn't $$ a category 1
8		discussed at the meeting.	8	responder, isn't therefore leading the response on the
9	Q.	Let's look at paragraph 40 of your first witness	9	ground, can sometimes get in the way if it tries to lead
10		statement, please, that's at page 8 {CLG00030414/8}.	10	the response on the ground when somebody else is leading
11		Just to give you some context, at paragraph 39	11	it who understands the borough, but the important thing
12		you're talking about the 9.30 meeting, and then at	12	is that we receive accurate information from those local
13		paragraph 40 you say this:	13	responders. Everybody should be working together to
14		"At 10.36am I provided an update to Vince Kiddell,	14	make sure that the people affected, who are the absolute
15		the private secretary of Melanie Dawes, based on the	15	primary concern, are supported and have their needs met,
16		information I had been given by RED in a verbal update."	16	so making sure that there is accommodation for 100% of
17		Can you assist us with whether this was a verbal	17	displaced people. I think I've learnt since that there
18		update you had received at the 9.30 briefing or is this	18	wasn't. Really important that we receive that
19		a separate update that you had received after it?	19	information as soon as possible so we are able to help.
20	Α.	It was both.	20 G). I'd like to turn to, please, conversations that you had
21	Q.	So the verbal update happened at the briefing?	21	at 10.36 on 15 June. We've referred to it already; it
22	Α.	So there was a verbal update at the briefing and I spoke	22	was an update on the response to Melanie Dawes'
23		to Katherine Richardson afterwards as well.	23	private secretary based on information that you'd been
24	Q.	We can see the actions arising from that if we go to	24	given by RED $$ you say this in your statement $$ from
25		$\{CLG00003125\}. \ $ It's 15 June at 9.30, "Actions from	25	a verbal update.
		49		51
1		[Secretary of State] update meeting", "Immediate	1	We can see it here at {CLG00003102}. So this is
2		response today":	2	an update that you had provided that is being emailed
3		"Understanding from the SCG that there are enough	3	from Ms Dawes' office to her, "RBKC update from Jo",
4		accommodation places for 100% of displaced people,	4	10.36:
5		including a list of how many places have been offered by	5	"There was a quick [response] in terms of providing
6		each borough."	6	temporary accommodation last night.
7		It then goes on to talk about trauma work.	7	"• The task for today and tomorrow is finding more
8		What discussion gave rise to this action?	8	permanent homes.
9	Α.	Sorry, remind me which action?	9	" • Our team is in contact with the Director of
10	Q.	Where it says here the understanding $$ the immediate	10	Housing who is holding a meeting and will report back
11		response, an understanding from the SCG on the number of	11	after 11am.
12		accommodation places for 100% of displaced people.	12	"• There is a strategic co—ordinating group at 11am
13	Α.	Yes. So, I mean $$ so that's $$ that wasn't an action,	13	where this will be discussed. Our staff are on this
14		that was a comment from the SCG, who were saying there	14	group."
15		was enough accommodation places for 100% of displaced	15	Did you consider this information to be at odds with
16		people ——	16	the information that you had received following the 7.30
17	Q.	Would that comment not have given rise to an action that	17	SCG the night before, where we saw earlier that there

50 52

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

was a shortfall of accommodation?

A. So this was the -- I believe -- so this would have been

an update from RED taken from the next SCG. So the

information from the local responders was that there was

a quick -- this is a factual note of what I'd been told,

I believe it came from the SCG meeting, that there was

these aren't my judgements. So what I was told, and

a quick response in terms of providing temporary

on, I hope?

54

020 4515 2252

```
THE WITNESS: Yes.
 1
         accommodation, that people today and tomorrow were
 2
         thinking of permanent homes. The team in MHCLG, or
                                                                                      SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.
 3
         DCLG, which was in a different part of the department,
                                                                                  3
                                                                                              Yes, Ms Malhotra.
 4
         were in contact with the director of housing, as they
                                                                                  4
                                                                                      MS MALHOTRA: Thank you.
         were -- they had a meeting the next day, but were also
 5
                                                                                  5
                                                                                              Could I just clarify one matter with you, Dr Farrar.
         in contact with them -- they were going to report back
                                                                                  6
                                                                                              You were talking earlier in your evidence about the
 6
         after 11\ \text{o'clock}, and then there was another SCG at
                                                                                  7
                                                                                          response and the recovery phases, and you mentioned that
         11.00 am, and RED were on that group.
 8
                                                                                  8
                                                                                          the response phase was the emergencies services would be
 9
             I mean -- so I think -- so this is a factual report
                                                                                  9
                                                                                          involved, and the recovery phase came later.
10
                                                                                 10
         back for me. In emergencies I feel , and in fact it's
                                                                                              I just wanted to clarify, you're not suggesting that
11
         good practice, when you receive information, to jot down
                                                                                 11
                                                                                          the local authority didn't have any responsibilities in
12
                                                                                 12
         what you're being told and that that is put on the
                                                                                          the response phase, are you?
13
                                                                                 13
                                                                                      A. Oh, no, absolutely not. They're a category 1 responder
      Q. Well, you say that this is a factual note; did you
                                                                                 14
14
                                                                                           and are on the strategic command group, so should be
15
         question the information that you were being given by
                                                                                 15
                                                                                           part of the feedback to central government.
16
         Katherine Richardson here?
                                                                                 16
                                                                                       Q. As you will be well aware, there would be
      A. So I -- so, no, not at this point. I was gathering
                                                                                17
                                                                                           responsibilities on the local authority as a category 1
17
18
         information from her for -- in terms of what was said at
                                                                                18
                                                                                          responder to set up rest centres, for example.
19
         the SCG. As I say, the main concerns that I was aware
                                                                                19
                                                                                      A. Rest centres, housing, responding to anything that falls
                                                                                          within their responsibility , yes. So they would have
20
         of were related to housing, which -- I'm sorry to keep
                                                                                 20
2.1
         saying this, but which were being dealt with, I know
                                                                                 2.1
                                                                                          been a big part of the response, actually. They just
22
         very proactively, by some very competent people in the
                                                                                 22
                                                                                          weren't leading, and weren't chairing.
         rest of the department. So my trust was with them to be
2.3
                                                                                 2.3
                                                                                      Q. And there isn't a clear dividing line between response
2.4
                                                                                 2.4
         actually following up on those issues and making sure
                                                                                          and recovery, the two run in tandem; is that right?
25
         that they were reporting back, and they were reporting
                                                                                 25
                                                                                      A. No, I mean, there is a dividing line, so there's
 1
         back into ministerial meetings, and in fact the director
                                                                                  1
                                                                                          a response phase and, at a point, the response phase is
 2
         general for that area was on the ad hoc ministerial --
                                                                                  2
                                                                                          handed over to recovery, and that's a separate phase.
 3
         attended the ad hoc ministerial group as well, given
                                                                                  3
                                                                                          So actually, yes, there is an element of things running
         that housing was their main concern.
                                                                                          in tandem, because people want to be ready to lead the
      MS MALHOTRA: Mr Chairman, I haven't finished this, but
 5
                                                                                  5
                                                                                          response, so they'll need to make sure that their
                                                                                  6
                                                                                          structures are set up, but there should be a clear
 6
         I think now is a convenient moment.
      SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That suits you, does it?
 7
                                                                                  7
                                                                                          handover point, and I think that was referred to in some
      MS MALHOTRA: Yes.
                                                                                  8
 8
                                                                                          of the emails, where the Gold Commander or the strategic
 9
      SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right.
                                                                                  9
                                                                                          command group commander was suggesting that they would
10
             Well, Dr Farrar, as you know, we have a break during
                                                                                 10
                                                                                          be handing over to the local authority as part of the
         the morning. We'll take it now. We'll stop there and
11
                                                                                 11
                                                                                           recovery phase.
12
         come back, please, at 11.35, and this is the first break
                                                                                 12
                                                                                      Q. Thank you for clarifying that.
                                                                                              I wonder if I could take you to {CLG00003099},
13
         in your evidence, so I have to ask you, please, not to
                                                                                13
                                                                                          please. It's the second email in the chain at 10.20.
14
         talk to anyone about your evidence or anything relating
                                                                                14
15
                                                                                 15
         to it while you're out of the room. All right?
                                                                                              I appreciate these are emails that you were not
16
     THE WITNESS: I won't.
                                                                                 16
                                                                                          copied in to, but I wonder if you might be able to help
      SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much. Would you go
                                                                                 17
17
                                                                                           us with some of the context.
18
         with the usher, please.
                                                                                 18
                                                                                              15 June, 10.20, an email from Jenny Shellens. Is
19
                               (Pause)
                                                                                 19
                                                                                          that a member of RED?
2.0
                                                                                      A. I'm not sure, actually
             Thank you. 11.35, then, please. Thank you.
                                                                                 2.0
21
      (11.20 am)
                                                                                 21
                                                                                       Q. And it's from her to Philip James, I hope you can accept
2.2
                           (A short break)
                                                                                 2.2
                                                                                          from me -- well, if we go down to the bottom of page 2,
23
                                                                                 23
                                                                                           we can see Jenny Shellens was a member of the resilience
      SIR MARTIN MOORE—BICK: All right, Dr Farrar, ready to carry
2.4
                                                                                 2.4
                                                                                          and emergencies division.
```

56

25

A. Yes, thanks.

2.1

22

2.3

2.4

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q. And Philip James, I believe, was the GLO for that day. In this email, there are a number of asks, and I'll just read it out:

 $^{\prime\prime}\text{I}$ know you are joining the SCG at 11 today. I will include your read-out in the briefing for this afternoon's Ministerial so as you would expect we'll be wanting it as soon as you can.

"A couple of areas that it would help us for you to probe on if it is not completely clear:

"The number of residents displaced — we were awarded an action to clarify this at yesterday's meeting. My line currently read like this ..."

She then goes on to set out what the lines on it

Over the page, at page $2 \{CLG00003099/2\}$, she says: "The temporary accommodation arrangements - again we were given an action to liaise with RBKC. We've had an update from LRP [London Resilience Partnership] and also homelessness team are talking to the RBKC team. But it would be useful to add any intelligence you can along the lines of

"— Are we satisfied that everyone who wants accommodation has it?

- When do we think those from surrounding area (who are in the rest centre) will be able to return home?

57

"- Are we satisfied that RBKC has a grip on this?" We can then see, if we go up in the email chain {CLG00003099/1}, at 10.28, Lynne Dowdican, who was a resilience adviser, head of resilience, within RED, says the following in the second paragraph:

"I can confirm I spoke to both Toby and Hamish [I believe they are London Resilience Group employees] this morning and on both calls they confirmed that the [local authority] have confidence that they [sic] temporary accommodation for all those that need it."

Then she goes on to say:

"They may give further reassurance on the SCG." Would you expect any concerns raised by a GLO who was attending the SCG to be documented in SCG minutes?

A. I would expect issues — possibly. I think there are different levels of minutes. So the minutes that -yes, but yes, I would expect them, actually, to be recorded on SCG minutes, because the GLO is an important part of the SCG, and I know sometimes they're summarised, but yes, I certainly would expect that.

Q. Could I take you to {LFB00119323}, please. Just to identify that these are the SCG minutes from the 11 o'clock meeting on 15 June

If we go to page 4 $\{LFB00119323/4\}$, we can see there:

58

"DCLG provided an update:

2 ". Following a ministerial meeting this AM, 3 ministers were keen to provide help wherever possible, 4 particularly in regards to accommodation.'

"Action 14: All to copy LRG [London Resilience Group] to any requests to DCLG." 6

7 Would you have expected there to have been a note 8 here that DCLG wanted information about numbers on those 9 that were displaced?

10 A. If -- possibly. I mean, the minutes are summarised. 11 I would have expected them to have noted if particular 12 requests were made from DCLG, and I assume if they were 13 asked to make those requests, they would have made them at the meeting. So, yes, I would have then expected it 14 15 to be minuted.

16 Q. I wonder if I could just clarify , you said that there 17 were concerns emerging, and I think you said in your 18 evidence that it was the afternoon of the 15th when

19 concerns really came to the fore for you; is that right?

20 A. That's right.

5

2.1 Q. So at this stage, on the morning of the 15th, you knew 22 that there were concerns about rehousing; is that right?

2.3 A. Yes, and that was being dealt with by a different part 2.4 of the department, and also being fed in through the

2.5 command structure that government had set up -- set in

1 place.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. Could I take you to {CLG00003112}, please, at 10.45. It should be the third email on the first page. We can see there an email from the office of the Secretary of State, and it says this:

"No.10 have been in touch. During the [Secretary of State]'s phone call with the [Prime Minister] this morning, he gave assurances that DCLG have been working hard to ensure that there is a co-ordinated response to the incident. The PM would now like a briefing confirming this that (a) outlines what DCLG has done to ensure the response is co-ordinated (b) clearly states who and how many people we have on the ground and (c) sets out what DCLG's role is."

15 So just pausing there for a moment, it seems as 16 if $\,--\,$ and would you agree with this $\,--\,$ the 17 Prime Minister was seeking reassurance from the 18 Secretary of State that DCLG was in control and ensuring 19 that there was a co-ordinated response? Would you agree 2.0 with that?

21 A. Well. I wouldn't agree that it's asking if DCLG was in 2.2 control; it's asking: is DCLG ensuring that the response 23

2.4 And so would it be fair to say from that that there was

25 an assumption that it would be DCLG's responsibility to

60

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

25

issue with access to cash for former residents of

1		ensure that that response was co-ordinated on the	1		Grenfell Tower. He's also been told via Margot James MP
2		ground?	2		(BEIS Minister) that the Post Office — there is local
3	Α.	And we $$ and I think that would refer to the response	3		one just around the corner from the tower, have offered
4		stage of the incident, that we were all concerned that,	4		to facilitate access to money for residents. He would
5		actually, the response stage was effective and	5		be really grateful if your teams were able to facilitate
6		co—ordinated.	6		a link between the Post Office and the local Council so
7	Q.	Did the fact that questions were now being asked at the	7		that they can make people aware."
8		highest level in the form of the Prime Minister make you	8		Then the final bullet point:
9		review the response that was happening at the time?	9		"There have also been a couple of concerns raised
10	A.	Well, that was being done, as I say, through the kind of	10		with him about the quality of the sleeping materials
11		organisational structure that central government had put	11		that were available for people last night and asked if
12		in place, and so I'm absolutely sure that people would	12		there was anything HMG [Her Majesty's Government] could
13		have been very concerned about that. My primary issue	13		do to help the Council upgrade?"
14		of concern was making sure that the council was able to	14		I would like to then go to another email in this
15		lead the response phase. So I'm looking at these emails	15		chain at $10.45 \{CLG00030477/1\}$. It's the next email up.
16		through a different lens.	16		This time Katherine Richardson from DCLG RED is cc'd in,
17		So there's a whole system looking at the	17		and it says this:
18		Prime Ministerial concerns and issues, and issues and	18		"On the question about emergency access to cash for
19		actions raised through the ministerial group, of which	19		residents of Grenfell Tower, the CEO of the Post Office
20		I wasn't a part. But I'm thinking about the handover of	20		(Paula Vennells) has called the Minister direct to offer
21		the response phase to RBKC and/or London Resilience, and	21		support. The Leader of the RBKC Council suggested that
22		that was my concern, making sure that actually what	22		his CEO, Nicholas Holgate would be the right person for
23		would be quite a long and difficult response was going	23		the Post Office to engage with.
24		to be properly managed.	24		"The Minister is keen that i) someone from
25		I mean, I think it's quite normal for departments to	25		[Her Majesty's Government] speaks to the CEO of the
		61			63
1		split responsibilities and make sure that the people who	1		[Post Office] and ii) link is made to the Council and
2		are overseeing those areas are proactively thinking	2		that residents are able to access money as soon as
3		about what's happening in the next stage.	3		possible unless there are already alternative
4		So that's why on the 15th, although all these	4		arrangements in place?
5		issues —— the department was aware of all these issues	5		"I would be happy to facilitate but I don't want to
6		and involved in them and providing the briefing that was	6		tread on toes/upset your procedures and your
7		requested here, I was looking at it through the lens of:	7		relationship with the Council?"
8		does this mean that the council won't be able to lead	8		So we can see here that concerns are being fed back
9		the response? And that's what I was really concerned to	9		to RED on the morning of 15 June; is that right?
10		find out.	10	Α.	Specific issues are being fed back to RED through that
11	Q.	So we can see here that there is a concern and that	11		command structure, and I can see here that RED is
12	٩.	there is reassurance that is being sought, and that the	12		responding to those.
13		Prime Minister is asking "how many people we have on the	13		Can you tell me who the James is in the ——
14		ground"; can you assist us with how many people from	14	0	I believe that's the office of the private secretary to
15		DCLG were on the ground at that stage?	15	۷.	the Minister of State.
16	٨	No, I can't. That would have been being managed through	16	۸	Okay, thank you. Thank you.
17	Α.	the structures that I've already mentioned.	17		I believe .
18	0	-		Q.	
	Q.	, ,	18		If we go up in the email chain, at 10.54 on 15 June
19 20		an email at 8.45. We can see here, 15 June, 8.45, this	19		we can see, a short time later —— so this is then sent
20		is an email from Nicholas Hurd, the Minister of State	20		to your office:
21		for Policing and the Fire Service, his office, to the	21		"Another email to be aware of from the Home Office.
22		office of the Secretary of State.	22		Nick Hurd is keen that i) someone from [Her Majesty's
23		In the second bullet point there, it says:	23		Government] speaks to the CEO of the Post Office on the
24		"The Minister has been told that there is an urgent	24		provision of emergency cash for Grenfell Tower residents

62 64

25

and ii) follows up with the Council to ensure that

transcripts@opus2.com 020 4515 2252 Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

1 residents are able to access money as soon as possible 2 unless there are already alternative arrangements in 3 place. Are you okay to pick up with the Council on 4 these points alongside other points today?" Then at 11.02 we can see an email that says at the 5 6

bottom, the last sentence:

"Jo will get in touch with Nick Holgate." Is that right?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. Yes. I was -- at that point, as you know, I was trying to get in touch with Nick Holgate. So I think --I mean — so what happens in these situations is that you have the lead -- as I say, the lead department and the ministerial group, the ad hoc ministerial group. There will be a number of actions that come from that group. They can -- they are then taken by different people across government and then re-fed back in to that group to make sure that they are co-ordinated and that they are leading to the outcome that you want. So. actually, as I was speaking to Nicholas Holgate already, I was asked to pick up other points, so particularly the issue about accessing money, with him.

2.2 Q. Well, could I take you to your first witness statement, 2.3 please. That's page 9 {CLG00030414/9} at paragraph 42, 2.4 where you say this, it's the first part of the 25 paragraph:

65

"By Thursday, I was aware from conversations I had had and from meetings I had been to that there were concerns in relation to the way in which RBKC was handling the rehousing effort. Any wider concerns in relation to the coordination of the emergency response itself were not drawn to my attention at this stage."

Do you still stand by that statement, considering the email exchanges that you've just seen?

A. Yes, because actually that —— they're about offers of help and they're about me asking the council: have residents been able to access support? So there weren't concerns at that point about -- raised with me about how the council was co-ordinating the response or whether they were accessing the things that they needed to act. The big concerns that had been fed back were about the way that RBKC was handling the housing of residents at that point.

18 Q. So is it fair to say, then, that you didn't consider the 19 issue of bedding to be a concern?

2.0 A. So I didn't — and maybe I should have done, but 21 I didn't see the email relating to bedding, because 2.2 I absolutely think the issue of bedding is a concern, 2.3 and that's one of the things -- the first things that 24 I noticed on the Friday when I attended the 25 Westway Centre. So had I picked up on that email that

66

said about bedding, then that -- as someone who's 2 managed emergency response, that would have raised a big 3 question in my mind, actually, about the rest centre, 4 because I would have been very surprised, and I was very 5 surprised on the Friday, to see that the rest centre wasn't set up in the way that I would normally expect 6 7 a rest centre to be set up, from my local government 8 experience, not from my central government --

Day 284

Q. We'll come on to that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

I don't want there to be any confusion about this. Perhaps we could just go back to that email again, then, please, {CLG00030477}. In the second email in the chain at 10.54, it shows in the subject line that this was forwarded to you. Is it forwarded to your office? A. It's forwarded to my office, and so I didn't always pick

16 up every email from my office. I also had a direct 17 email address. I could pick up emails to my office, but 18 I -- I believe, but that wasn't routine. My office

19 would forward on emails that -- for me to see, because 2.0 obviously there's a huge volume of emails.

2.1 Q. So your evidence is that you didn't see that, the 22 reference to the bedding?

So I -- well, I think -- so absolutely, so I didn't see 2.3 2.4 the reference, and I'd be very apologetic if I had seen 2.5

that email and not picked that up, because that seems to

1 me to be really significant , and I'm sure if I had seen that I would have picked it up. 2.

3 Q. I'd like to turn, then, to your conversation with

4 Mr Holgate, which you say in your statement

5 $\{\mathsf{CLG00030414}/10\}$ — we don't need to go to it now ——

6 took place at about 12.30 on 15 June; is that right?

7 A Yes

8 Q. As we have heard, at the time -- and we saw it in 9 an email that Melanie Dawes sent early on the 14th -10 you were at that stage leading on the support for RBKC; 11

12 A. Leading on the support -- I was the lead contact, 13 I think. I would have been the lead contact for the

14 chief executive.

15 Q. Do you consider it satisfactory that this was the first 16 time that you were both speaking?

17 A. No, and in fact I had really wanted to speak to him the

18 day before, and had made every effort to speak to him, 19 actually

2.0 Q. Do you consider that maybe that was an indicator that 21 something was amiss?

2.2 A. Yes, absolutely, and one of the reasons that Melanie and

23 I discussed whether it would be good to visit and speak

2.4 to Nicholas Holgate directly, but absolutely.

25 Now, I know people are busy and managing a response.

- 1 My own experience of managing responses is actually the 2 contact from central government tends to be at deputy 3 director or director level. If a director general, 4 I think, from central government had wanted to speak to 5 me as the chief executive, personally I would have taken that seriously and would have wanted to speak to them 6 quite quickly. And in fact, you know, the contact from the Permanent Secretary to Nicholas Holgate, I'm very 8 9 surprised there was only a brief response. When you're 10 managing these incidents, they are busy, but there's 11 a command structure in place. So, you know, the Silver 12 group underneath you are managing the response, you come 13 together as a Gold Group at intervals; there are spaces 14 in between where I would have personally made sure that 15 I had made contact with the department. I would have 16 seen the director general, and particularly the 17 Permanent Secretary, to be people that I would have 18 wanted to speak to.
- 19 Q. So we're now at the stage where you do speak to him. 20 Can you tell us what was discussed?
- 2.1 A. So I made a note of what was discussed. I don't know if 22 we'd be able to see that. I felt it was really 2.3 important just to jot down the main points that he said 2.4 during the conversation.
- 25 Q. I think we do have that, and I believe it's

69

- 1 {CLG00008140}.
- 2 A. Yes, that's it. So -- and this will have been -- just 3 to explain the context. So as it was an emergency situation, I felt it was important to note down the 5 points that he raised. As I said with an earlier email, this is -- these are the points that he made, they're 6 not my assessment of how he is performing. So these are 8 the points that Nicholas Holgate told me in that 9 discussion.
- 10 Q. So let's work through this, then.
- 11 It's an email from Ms Dawes' office on 15 June 2017 12 at 4.26 to DCLG and others within DCLG, and it says at 13 the bottom there:
 - "Jo Farrar call with Nick Holgate, 12.30,

15 15 June ..."

14

16

Was this your note?

- A. So, yes, I wrote a handwritten note and my office typed 17 18 it up, so this is my office's transcript of my 19 handwritten note.
- 2.0 Q. We can see there the first bullet point:
- 21 "They have found hotel accommodation for anyone with 2.2 need. He thinks that can roll over for as long as 23
- 2.4 A. Yeah, so that's Nicholas Holgate. In fact, I have to 25 say he was very confident in the conversation I had with

70

- him. So I knew there were concerns about housing, I'd
- been asked to raise housing with him, particularly the
- 3 temporary accommodation, so that was the first point we
- discussed. He told me that there was hotel 4
- 5 accommodation for everybody who needed it. But we'll
- talk about my visit the next day, but clearly the next 6
- 7 day there were people without hotel accommodation.
- 8 I mean, possibly it would have been made available to 9 them, I don't know, but there were still people who were
- 10 not in hotels.
- 11 Q. Just --
- 12 But we can come back to that. But his reassurance on 13 that day was that they'd found hotel accommodation for 14 everybody with need, and he thinks that this can 15 continue for as long as the hotel accommodation is
- 16 needed, so people won't then be moved from those hotels. 17 which would have been another point of concern.
- 18 Q. Could I just pause you there for a moment, because we 19 can see further down:
- 20 "845 non-Grenfell Tower households are currently 2.1 without access to their homes. Most are making their 22 own arrangements. They are looking at when police and 23 fire can reduce the size of the cordon.
- 2.4 Grenfell Tower residents have been complaining 2.5 for a long time ...'

71

We will come on to that in a moment, but did you consider that there was a discrepancy here?

- 3 A. Yes. Well, I thought there were several discrepancies,
- and so after the conversation with Nicholas Holgate,
- 5 Melanie and I discussed whether it would be appropriate
- 6 for me to visit, given my knowledge of local government
- 7 and having been involved in these situations. So
- 8 although I wasn't part of the direct response, having
- 9 spoken to him and not having -- you know, having 10
- a number of things that seemed to be of concern or
- 11 discrepancies, following this we discussed whether
- 12 I should actually visit the site.
- 13 I mean, there had been visits by other people, 14 obviously, and they had been co-ordinated by the
- 15 ministerial group, and it's important -- I mean, it
- 16 wouldn't be appropriate for me to just turn up, but we
- 17 both felt that there might be value, given this
- 18 conversation.
- 19 Q. You've mentioned that that's one discrepancy.
- 2.0 A. Yeah.

1

2.

- 2.1 Q. Can you tell us what the other discrepancies were?
- 2.2 So -- and there are a couple of things. So I'm not --

- 23 I think the note might go on to the next page, so
- 2.4 I might ask you to -- but it may not. Could ...
- 25 Q. It goes over on to page 2.

1 A. Okay, so maybe we'll come on to page 2 in a minute, but others from DCLG and ministerial visits were taking 2 if I just cover anything on this page first of all. 2 place, whether there would be value in me, as a former 3 So, first of all, the comments on housing weren't 3 chief executive, going to see Nicholas Holgate, but also 4 exactly aligned with other comments that we'd been 4 given my role as director general for local government, 5 hearing through the ministerial groups. 5 whether that would be useful. You mentioned the number of people that had been Q. You say that you offered support twice during that call . 6 6 7 displaced, but the reassurance that hotels had been 7 Did he accept it? I assume not, given what you said. A. No, no, he was very confident that they didn't $\,--\,$ that 8 8 found for everybody with need. 9 I was a bit worried about -- I didn't really 9 they had all the support they needed. Yeah. 10 understand -- tried to probe but didn't really 10 Q. Was he hostile to the idea of central government 11 understand the comments about people making things worse 11 support? 12 12 No, he didn't seem hostile, just seemed very confident than it is, and I think my biggest concern is probably 13 on page 2 {CLG00008140/2} where --13 that it wasn't needed. It seemed to me that he was 14 14 Q. Well, let's go to that, shall we? trying to provide reassurance that they had everything that they needed. 15 A. Yeah, where he's saying: 15 "There is plenty of support from DCLG and others." 16 16 Q. Did you press him on this on the call? 17 Well, I knew DCLG was offering support on housing; 17 A. Yes, I asked him twice. Yes, I asked him twice, because 18 I wasn't entirely sure that all that support had been 18 I was surprised, so I asked him twice, and that's why we 19 19 also thought that a visit might then be useful. 2.0 I specifically asked him about London Resilience, 2.0 It's quite hard to really delve into things in 21 which I didn't note on here, and he said that there was 21 a telephone call where somebody is rushed and obviously 22 support from London Resilience. But actually, you know, 22 dealing with a really big emergency, so I thought it I'm not sure that there was. And I question the word 2.3 23 would be good to just see him. 2.4 "support", because it became clear to me in this call 2.4 Did you consider encouraging him to take that offer of 25 that Nicholas Holgate was holding the Gold role for 2.5 support that you were offering in the call? 75 the council. There didn't seem to be the normal London 1 1 A. I believe I did. I believe I'd said, you know, "We're 2 Resilience rotation that you would normally see. 2 happy to support in any way that you want". 3 Q. There's a lot of information there. If I could just 3 Q. Did you specify the nature of the support that central 4 break it down. government could offer? 5 A. Yeah, of course. Sorry. 5 A. I'm not sure I did, no. 6 Q. You say support; did you offer support? 6 Q. I'd like to take you to Mr Holgate's evidence, that's 7 7 A. Mm, twice during that conversation. So earlier on {Day273/157:13}, I believe. So it's Day 273, I asked him if he needed any support, he said no, and 8 page 154(sic), line 13. 8 9 9 then right at the end I came back to it and asked him So Mr Holgate is asked here: 10 again if he needed support, and he very confidently told 10 "Question: Now, according to Jo Farrar, who was the 11 $\mbox{me} \ --$ and these were his words -- "There is plenty of 11 director general for local government and public 12 support from DCLG and others". 12 services at DCLG at the time --13 "Answer: Yeah " 13 Q. What was your reaction to that? A. Well. I was surprised —— SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I'm sorry, I don't seem to have that 14 14 15 on my screen. Do you have it on yours? 15 Q. Why were you surprised? 16 A. — which is why I came back to it the second time. 16 A. No, I have a different page. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, I thought so. 17 Well, to be honest, I would have thought that 17 18 even -- you know, we raised the funding issue. There 18 MS MALHOTRA: 157 should be the page, forgive me. Thank 19 would have been a need for emergency funding, so ... 19 2.0 2.0 Now, Nicholas seemed — I mean, he was obviously A. Thank you. 21 21 managing the situation, he didn't have a long time for Q. Can you see there at line 13 it starts?

2.2

23

2.4

25

A. Yes. I can.

bottom of page 157:

there could be some value in me visiting, even though $$74$\,$

the call, so there was some concerns for me and

I reflected on them afterwards, discussed this with

Melanie, and wondered if we should ... whether I --

76

paragraph 44 of your statement, and I'll pick up at the

Q. He is asked about your statement and what you say at

2.2

2.3

24

1 "Question: Right. She goes on to say: "'I twice raised the issue of whether RBKC needed 2 3 support, but he was firm in his assurances that no further support was needed.'? "Answer: Well, yes. 5 6 "Question: Is that right? 7 "Answer: I suspect that I did not have a specific 8 requirement of her for further support." 9 He then goes on, and I'll pick it up at line 14 10 {Day273/159:14}. The question is:

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

frankly.

"Question: Would you say that, from the conversation, that was an unreasonable impression and she'd got the wrong end of the stick?

"Answer: Well, I think that it is true, given the offers of support from other boroughs and volunteers and the Red Cross and suchlike, that we did have plenty of support. We did not have, one can say with certainty with hindsight, sufficient support. But I think it was as much about the [bridging] of the support that we had as opposed to the quantum."

78

A. Well, actually I'm surprised that he didn't make a note of the conversation, actually, as I did. I think if you're a Gold Commander, as part of your training, there's an expectation that you will keep a log, so I would have thought that he would have a log of the conversation.

But — and, you know, I mean, he was in the middle, obviously, of a really difficult situation for anyone to manage, but in my recollection — and it was a telephone call, so I didn't have the benefit of body language, but my recollection was that he was very confident, and very confident that he didn't need support from DCLG or others.

Q. Let's go to {CLG00008230}, please. It's the first email on the page at 8.40. We can see there, it's your office emailing the office of Ms Dawes:

"I'm due to speak to the [chief executive] today and will ask him about extra support. He was quite clear yesterday that he did not feel the need for this, but I will try again and I think if we can persuade the Housing Director to have some housing support, that could be helpful. Katherine, I am not sure if we could ask the Home Office if we should extend the victim support unit to help the Council. Could you look at this."

So is it fair to say that the following morning you send an email confirming that you had spoken to him, offered him support, which he had declined --

79

1 A. Yes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

2 Q. -- and said he did not feel the need for it?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Why was there a need to persuade the housing director to 5 take on housing support?

A. I think I'd had an email -- so, I mean, going back to earlier comments that I made, to put this in context, there were concerns from the housing side of the department that they weren't taking the offers of support that they needed, and there was more that central government and, by this time it was becoming clear, that there was more that other councils might be able to do to help them. I think the offer from the department was we could embed some permanent housing support in their housing team, which would seem eminently sensible to me, because if there was need for additional funding from central government, for example, or anything else on housing support, if you had someone embedded in the team, then they would be able to report back in a different way to RED through the SCG. They would have a more detailed understanding of housing and what was needed and what could be drawn on. So that would seem to me to be eminently sensible.

And I think there was an email from Helen MacNamara saying we would need to look at it, but asking if

g,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3

- 1 I could follow up because they hadn't accepted the offer 2 of support, and I know that she asked me, if I could, to 3 also go to the meeting that Alok Sharma was having with 4 the housing director and the lead member for housing and perhaps offer that support. 5
 - Q. Could we just go back to the email of the note of your conversation with Mr Holgate. That's {CLG00008140}. Before we do move on in time, I'd just like to pick up one or two further things from this read-out of yours, please.

We've already been to it, it's the third bullet point up from the bottom:

"Grenfell Tower residents have been complaining for a long time about the council. They have a trail of letters written to the council."

In what context was he giving you this information?

- 17 A. He said that related to the housing and to 18 Grenfell Tower itself, from my recollection.
- 19 Q. Did you form any opinion about why he was saying that to 20
- 2.1 A. No, absolutely -- no, in fact, no, I thought it was 2.2 an odd thing to mention in the call.
- 2.3 Q. The next bullet point said:

2.4 "Nick said several people could make this worse than 25 it is and the council is worried that they might need

- 1 assistance from the police.'
- 2. A. Yes

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 3 Q. What was the context to that?
- A. So I think he felt that -- I mean, his opinion were that 5 there were people that were agitating the situation. 6 I mean, personally I felt that people would be agitated
- 7 if there had been an incident of this size, and actually 8 the job of the council is to provide reassurance to the 9 community and to be visible to the community and to be 10 really helping people who have been displaced, and 11 I think sometimes in these incidents, you know, people 12 are not going to be happy and they're going to -- and 13 people will be, well, more than unhappy, and as 14

a council it's your responsibility to help to manage

15 that, and help to make sure that people are supported. 16

So I found that a bit surprising.

- 17 Q. You say you found it a bit surprising; did you form any 18 impression of his attitude?
- 19 A. Not particularly. As I say, it was really hard. It was 2.0 a very -- it was a short telephone conversation. 21 I wasn't able to see his body language. He was clearly 2.2 worried about the safety of his staff. But, actually, 2.3 you know, I haven't experienced -- I have never led
- 2.4 a situation as big as this, but I have led some fairly 25 critical situations, and my experience is that,

82

1 actually, what the community wants are people that they 2 can talk to who can help them, and that is what is 3 really needed in these situations.

Day 284

Q. We can see if we go over to page 2 {CLG00008140/2}, please, the final paragraph before you go on to do a read—out of a conversation with the LGA, it says:

"Regarding a Secretary of State visit: he said that councillors have been really good, and it would be great if Secretary of State could recognise them (Nicholas Paget Brown and cabinet members for regeneration). He suggested we give the leader the option of visiting with the Secretary of State.'

Did you consider this to be an appropriate request at this time?

15 A. I thought -- actually, I thought it was an odd request, 16 because I thought if a Secretary of State is visiting. 17 actually it's very natural for the leader of the council

18 to meet the Secretary of State and do the visit with the

19 Secretary of State. I mean, that would be usual. It 2.0 seemed a bit odd to me at the time that he was asking if

21 the leader could visit with the Secretary of State.

22 But -- I would have expected the leader to be there to 23 see the Secretary of State, and in fact, you know, when

2.4 we went the next day, the leader was there and ... ves.

25 and I think himself had expected to be there.

83

- 1 Q. What about his comment or what your note says here, that
- 2 they should be recognised, councillors should be
 - recognised? What was that about?
- A. Well, I felt that was a bit early to make that
- 5 judgement, really. I mean, the response was still being
- 6 dealt with, clearly, you know, there were a lot of
- 7 people who had been displaced. It was a bit early to 8
- judge the performance of the council.
- 9 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Did this give you any kind of indication about where 10 Mr Holgate's priorities were at that time?
- 11 A. So not really. It would be unfair to say it gave me
- 12 an indication of his priorities . I mean, you know, I'm 13 sure he was very concerned with doing the right thing.
- 14 I just think this is a big situation for anyone to
- 15 manage. But things that started to become clear at that
- 16 point were kind of the lack of support he was taking,
- 17 and particularly from London Resilience, because in
- 18 previous incidents that have been managed in London,
- 19 there's a very visible presence of London Resilience. 2.0 So --
- 21 Q. Well, let's just, on that point, on London Resilience. 2.2 look at your second witness statement, please, at
- 23 {CLG00030781/4}, paragraph 14. You say this
- 2.4 "As at 14 June ... it was my expectation that the
- 25 LLAG resolution would be activated if it had not already

- 1 been. During my telephone call with Nicholas Holgate at 2 12.30 on 15 July ..."
- 3 Do you mean 15 June?
- 4 A. Sorry

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Q. "... I asked him if the London Resilience partnership 5 was involved. He confirmed it was. I did not ask, and 6 7 he did not say, whether this was following formal activation of the LLAG resolution, though it was $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ 8 9 assumption that it was."

10 You then go on to say the note that you circulated. 11 You didn't ask him about the LLAG arrangements; is 12 that right?

A. So I asked him if London Resilience Partnership was involved. It was my assumption that they would be involved, yes. So -- and he confirmed that it was. But he said, "We have plenty" -- he talked about support from London Resilience, rather than jointly managing the incident

With hindsight, I probably should have probed further on this. It was definitely a reflection that I had afterwards, and one of the reasons that led Melanie and I to discuss whether it would be appropriate to visit and try and glean more information, particularly on the management of the local council arrangements.

85

I mean, as I say earlier , my primary concern was -from my area of the business was to make sure that if the council was leading the response phase, they did this in a good way, and so really my concern was that they would need the involvement of London Resilience to help them with those Gold arrangements.

Q. Let's go back to the email note that we were looking at. That's $\{CLG00008140/2\}$, just to cover the conversation that you had with the LGA.

Firstly I should ask: what was the need for you to speak with the LGA on 15 June?

- A. So I think I'd go back to my earlier comments, that I was thinking very much about the response phase, about whether RBKC had organised them in a way that they were able to lead the response, wanted to check the LGA knowledge of what was happening on the ground, and actually I was probably —— I was the lead person with the relationship for the Local Government Association in the department, so it seemed appropriate for me to speak to them.
- Q. We can see there on the fourth bullet point down:
 - They have offered comms support to RBKC.
 - ". They said that wider comms should highlight how we are working closely together. Could we copy them into our press releases in advance."

86

Do you know if RBKC accepted their offer of comms 2 support?

Day 284

- 3 A. So the next day, it seemed to me that they were -- yes, 4 they were still trying to work out what comms support
- 5 they needed. That was from a conversation with the 6
- leader.
- 7 Q. I'd like to move on to a conversation at 1.30 on 8 15 June, then, that you had with Ms Dawes. It was 9
- a conversation following your telephone call with 10 Mr Holgate and Mark Lloyd; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes. Yes, so -- well, the LGA, yes.
- 12 Q. What decision did you resolve to make in that
- 13 conversation with Ms Dawes? I think you have already 14 answered this
- 15 A. So this was to visit, that I should accompany the
- 16 Secretary of State on his visit the next day to the
- 17 Westway Centre and then go and see the chief executive 18 in person.
- 19 Q. It may be obvious, but why did you decide to visit?
- 20 A. Because there were a number -- as you said, actually,
- 21 there were a number of discrepancies or areas that
- 22 I felt we needed to probe further, and Melanie agreed
- 23 with that, so felt it would be useful, given my previous
- 2.4 experience and my role in DCLG for me to do that.
- 2.5 Q. Would you normally visit the scene of an emergency?

- 1 A. No, not normally, no, and, as I say, I wasn't part --
- 2 the response was being organised differently in central
- 3 government and visits were being co-ordinated in that
- way. So I wouldn't have just chosen to have gone
- 5 without kind of the appropriate sign-off, because,
- 6 you know, visits from central government do need to be
- 7 managed and can be -- can not always help.
- 8 Q. Did you consider, because of your previous professional
- 9 experience as a chief executive, you had the ability to
- 10 assess whether the response effort by RBKC was being
- 11 well managed?
- 12 A. Yes, yes, absolutely, but I wasn't -- I think what
- I realised the next day -- and maybe we'll discuss this 13
- 14 later -- I also felt that other people would see the
- 15 things that I had seen. With hindsight, I've really
- 16 reflected on the value of experience. But I definitely
- 17 felt it could help.

20

- 18 Q. Could we go to {CLG00030483}, please. 14.49, it should
- 19 be the second email in the chain.

(Pause)

- 21 Thank you. We can see that now: 15 June, at 2.49 in
- 2.2 the afternoon, "Actions from the 13:30 update meeting".
- 23 Was this your meeting with Melanie Dawes, or was it 2.4 an earlier meeting?

88

25 A. I'm not -- I don't think this was my meeting with

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

1 Melanie Dawes so there may have been another Secretary of State 2 Q. Who was present in your meeting with Melanie Dawes? Was 2 meeting. There were regular Secretary of State meetings 3 it just the two of you? 3 during the day. 4 A. I think it was just Melanie and I had met afterwards so 4 Q. I don't think that the time that you can see there at 5 that I could feed back on the conversation with 5 the top is necessarily the time that these messages were Nicholas Holgate. I may have been at this meeting, but 6 6 sent. 7 I think this was a -- but I'm not sure if I was or not. 7 A. Okay. So I'm not sure, then, in that case. 8 I may have been. 8 Q. In green you say this: 9 Q. Later that day, on 15 June, at 3.30, there was 9 "I've left a message for NH." 10 10 Is that Nicholas Holgate? a ministerial meeting. You didn't attend that meeting, 11 11 did vou? A. Yes 12 Q. "He hasn't come back yet. Anything particular you need 12 A. No. no 13 Q. But Katherine Richardson, the deputy director, did; is 13 me to cover? I've put him in touch with our housing 14 14 that right? team about the rehousing. Jo." 15 A. That's right. 15 Ms Richards responds: Q. As did Helen MacNamara, director general for housing and 16 "No10 are concerned about his grip of the situation. 16 17 17 We might want to get him to ask us for people to help. planning, who you have already referred to; is that 18 right? 18 Hopefully won't be long in this [meeting] then I'll 19 19 A. Yes. ves. Q. I'd like to take you to your first witness statement, 2.0 Did you then have a telephone conversation with 20 2.1 please, at page 11 {CLG00030414/11}, paragraph 49. You 2.1 Ms Richardson? say here: 22 22 A. I believe I did, yes. Q. What did you discuss in that conversation? 2.3 "A further Ministerial meeting took place at 2.3 2.4 5.30 2.4 So this is where it was becoming clear that there were Could I just clarify, is this a different 2.5 concerns about Nicholas Holgate personally, and this is 89 1 1 where it was becoming clearer that he was the sole Gold 2 chaired by Nicholas Hurd? 2 for local authority, so wasn't drawing in other Golds 3 A. Yes, because I didn't attend those meetings. This would 3 for $\,--\,$ from other places, and that there were concerns have been an internal departmental ministerial meeting. that were wider than housing. As you can see in my I think I was at a different meeting at the time, 5 5 message above, I had a number of things to cover with I can't -- but yes, it says, "I was not able to attend 6 Nicholas Holgate, but wanted to be sure that I was 6 7 7 this meeting" covering everything that government needed me to cover. 8 Q. But it goes on to say: 8 Q. You say that it then became clear at this stage that he 9 "During the course of the meeting, 9 was the sole Local Authority Gold at that stage: what 10 Katherine Richardson sent me a text message to inform me 10 would you say about your situational awareness and the 11 that 'No.10 are concerned about [Nicholas Holgate's] 11 department's situational awareness at the time? 12 grip of the situation. We might want to get him to ask 12 So I'm not -- I mean, it's hard for me to comment on the us for people to help.'" 13 13 department's situational awareness because, as I've 14 14 said. I wasn't involved in the ministerial meetings We can see that text message if we go to 15 15 {CLG00030628}. where all of this information comes together, so 16 A. Yes. 16 I wouldn't want to make assumptions. I think Q. Just to navigate this, the messages in grey, I believe, 17 17 information was coming through that was conflicting with 18 are Katherine Richardson, and yours are in green; is 18 other information that we had been given, such as, 19 that right? 19 you know, the support from London Resilience, and it was 2.0 2.0 A. Yes. becoming a bit more obvious that, actually, London 21 21 Q. If we start at the top, it says: Resilience were less involved.

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

90

Thanks Jo - sure. Let's chat after the

A. I'm not sure, because it's quite early in the morning,

[Secretary of State meeting]."

Is this the 5.30 meeting?

92

We're now on day three of the incident. Some

arrangements were at the time?

considerable days had passed. Would you not have

expected that you or RED would be aware of what the

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

1 A. Yes, and I think a reflection is that we -- you know, we shouldn't make assumptions, and we shouldn't -- and 2 3 I think actually, you know, we weren't. I mean, so when 4 you're told something, it's really important to make 5 sure that you check that out, and I think this was the reason for me trying to see Nicholas Holgate, so that 6 7 I could make sure. And, as I say, I was primarily 8 concerned with the recovery phase. At the moment, it 9 was -- the council was a little bit protected in terms 10 of the arrangements because the response stage was being 11 led by the emergency services, so, you know, that was 12 the -- kind of the local arrangements that were in 13 place, where different actions were agreed and pulled 14 together. If you think, it would be quite -- that's 15 quite a big task. The council then taking that on 16 themselves, that's a -- for the response phase, where, 17 you know, there are many displaced people and, you know, 18 vulnerable people and bereaved people, you need to make 19 sure that those arrangements are solid so that they can 2.0 respond appropriately to the recovery phase following 21 the fire . So that's what I was primarily concerned 22 with. And, you know, one of the reassurances we would 2.3 have is if London Resilience were very involved in that 2.4 recovery phase, given the fact that they can call on 25 mutual aid very easily and their experience of managing

- 1 other situations, of which there have been several in 2
- 3 Q. You mentioned the word "reflection"; do you have any 4 reflection about this, your own situational awareness at 5
- A. Actually, I mean, that's quite difficult, really, 6 7 because, you know, in emergencies, a lot is happening, 8 and it's really important that people have clear roles 9 and responsibilities, and I believe my clear role and 10 responsibility was to make sure that the council was 11 able to run the recovery phase. The government had put 12 in place a structure to manage the incident. There were 13 very competent people involved in that structure. 14 I think it's -- you know, as we've seen, I think, with 15 the management of this incident in Kensington and 16 Chelsea, it's really important that you don't think you 17 can do all of this on your own. So, therefore, not 18 everybody can be aware of everything. It's just really 19 important it comes together in a place, and that place 2.0 was the ministerial group, and that's why if there are 21 actions from the ministerial group that I could 2.2 undertake while focusing on the council and whether it 23 was ready to lead the recovery, I felt that I should be 2.4 able to do that.

Do I feel it was necessary for me to know everything

94

1 about the housing situation? I think that would have 2 just weakened my focus. So it was really important for 3 me to be doing the things that would help to manage this 4 situation going forward, particularly once it was a local authority response. 5

- Q. You say in your statement and you've said in your 6 7 evidence that this was really the first time that you became aware of issues with Mr Holgate personally. Are 8 9 you saying that because Mr Holgate was not personally 10 named with regards to any concerns at the local 11 authority, you didn't consider that there might have 12 been an issue with his leadership of the response?
- 13 No. so it's different -- so there's -- the council is a big entity and, actually, you know, there is the 14 15 official leadership reporting in to council leadership, 16 there are a number of people involved, there is the 17 housing department that was obviously leading the 18 housing effort, and as the chief executive, you know. 19 you're running 900 different services, potentially, for 2.0 the people of your area. You know, you have to put the 21 structure in place to make sure the response is being 22 led effectively . And so this was the first time that 2.3 I was receiving messages about the way Nicholas Holgate 2.4

and whether he was personally gripping it as he should 95

had put the structure in place to manage the situation

- 1 be as a chief executive, particularly if he was the sole 2 Gold person in this response for the council.
- 3 Q. What about your conversation earlier that day with 4 Mr Holgate directly? There were some unusual aspects.
- 5 You found him surprising in certain aspects.

25

17

18

- 6 A. Yes, which is why I thought it was important to, 7 you know, go and see him and make -- and test this in 8 a bit more detail. It's very difficult, when someone's 9 in the middle of an emergency situation, dealing with 10 a lot of things, who doesn't have a lot of time to speak 11 to you, to get to the bottom of everything, so it felt 12 really important to have a follow-up conversation and to do this face—to—face. 13
- Q. Just looking at this message that we have in front of 14 15 us. Ms Richardson savs: 16

"We might want to get him to ask us for people to help."

What did you understand that to mean?

19 A. Well, I mean, councils are directly elected independent 2.0 bodies and have responsibilities for running services in 21 their area. Actually, there aren't really quick 2.2 mechanisms for local government to intervene and take 23 over local councils. This can take quite a while to do 2.4 this. And we have done it, but it doesn't happen 2.5 overnight. I mean, this is obviously a fast-moving

96

situation. I mean, the best thing for the council to do is to open themselves up and show where they need help, and then we can all work together as a team to make sure that that's provided. And in fact that -- from my experience of local councils, that's normally how the situation works. So there's -- I've never really seen a reluctance to ask for help from central government if it's needed. I mean, that's not saying there isn't. Just in my experience, if help is needed from central government, it usually is . And we've seen this in, for example, several incidents of flooding across the UK or in, you know, really difficult incidents that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.3 2.4

25

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q. Could I take you to {CLG00003203/2}, please. It's the second email on the page. 15 June, 15.44. It's from a member of DCLG to your office:

"Hi Jo - we've had a re-think. [Please] could you contact the [chief exec] of K&C [Kensington and Chelsea] with support from Fiona and Kerry. As we talked about we should do this fairly swiftly."

Can you give us the context to this?

2.2 A. I'm trying to remember. So this was in the afternoon of the 15th. I was going on the 16th, I think. I'd been asked to raise this with Nicholas Holgate. They were asking me to do this more quickly. I think I had

97

1 difficulty getting hold of him. I may have had a text exchange with him where I was encouraging this. In the 2 3 end, I actually raised it with the leader and agreed support through that route the next day.

Q. I'd like to take you to the following document at $\{CLG00030628\}$, and we can see here, let's follow the -forgive me.

(Pause)

Sorry, could we go to {CLG00030414/11}. That should be your first witness statement, paragraph 48 on page 11. You say here:

 $^{\prime\prime}\text{At}$ 5.02pm, I again telephoned Nicholas Holgate and left a message with him."

Can you help us with whether that was a voicemail message or a message with his office?

A. No, I left a voicemail, and I also asked my office to get in touch with his office to find a time for us to meet the next day, and I confirmed with him that I would be visiting the next day. Yes, I'm not -- it was difficult, from my memory, to confirm a meeting.

Q. You also say there in the next two lines down that:

... Fiona Darby (Deputy Director for homelessness within MHCLG) had agreed to work with the RBKC housing team "

Do you know if she was then deployed that day? 98

1 A. So I saw her at RBKC the next day with the director of Day 284

housing, and I think it was one of her team that we had 2

3 wanted to embed or that the housing team had wanted to

embed in with the RBKC housing team to make sure there 4

5 was a link back to central government. This was being

agreed outside of my area, but because I was visiting 6

7 and in contact with the chief executive, I was also

8 asked to raise this. But I believe — and actually I'm

9 sure $\,--\,$ that the offer of support had been raised

10 separately with RBKC.

13

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

11 Q. You also say in this paragraph, further down, that

12 Lizzie Clifford from the housing and planning group in

MHCLG was also subsequently embedded at RBKC housing

14 team and provided her expertise in support of the

15 rehousing effort; is that right?

A. Yeah, and she -- yes, and she was very helpful. 16

17 I mean -- so is it appropriate at this time for me to

18 talk about how -- when I raised the points about having

19 housing support and how it came to -- how we came to

20 have Lizzie embedded in the team? Would that be 21 helpful?

22 So when I visited on the 16th --

2.3 Q. If you're going to talk about the visit, could we just

2.4 come to that?

25 Yeah, sure. But it was through the visit on the 16th

99

1 and the meeting with Alok Sharma that we managed to 2 embed Lizzie, and we might want to come back to that. 3

I think it's important.

Q. Right. We will come back to that, but if we could just 5 move to the correspondence on the evening of 15 June, 6

We can see here an email that you received at {CLG00003120}, at 7.21, and I'd like to just draw your attention to the final paragraph of that message:

"Alex Powell popped up to try and find you/Helen (but neither of you were about, Helen with [Secretary of State]. Apparently No.10 had asked whether we should intervene in K&C due to poor management of housing (this had come to him via RED). We said 'intervene' sounded wrong (there was already strong government response (e.g. public inquiry, Bellwin scheme, and support to find rehousing); and we were working closely with the local authority. Ellie also pointed out that there are a number of similar tower blocks in various parts of the country). But it sounds like there might be an appetite for us at least working very closely with the local authority and their housing department.'

Just pausing there, what did you understand "intervene in K&C" to mean?

2.5 A. Well, at that point I think I was -- it was difficult to

5

6

7

8

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

1

2

3

4

17

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

tell what we meant. "Intervene" could have meant a number of different things. So in the past we have intervened in councils in quite a light-touch way, by putting people in to the council to work with the leadership of the team -- a leadership team in the council. In other areas we have -- central government has taken over the running of the council, putting in commissioners to run the council directly. These things take a number of weeks to put in place, actually, sometimes longer. It's a big decision to take away the responsibilities of a local government organisation who are directly elected.

May 25, 2022

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

There were examples of us doing more, in particular, in one area of the council. So in this instance, Alex is raising the management of housing. So it absolutely did seem, as it says at the end of this email, that we should be more closely involved with the housing department and, you know, as I'd picked up earlier in the email from I believe it was Sally, that there seemed to be a keenness to -- for them to have more support from Fiona Darby and her team and, in fact, have someone embedded within the housing department.

So that's what I understood that to mean, as someone looking at this from just an email -- from just the

101

- 1 Q. Could commissioners have been sent in?
- A. So, yes, this is something Melanie and I discussed, but 3 actually it would have been hard to do that very quickly. So -- because you need to undertake a process, 5 and actually RBKC are managing a number of services to 6 the whole of the borough, so it's -- so the question is, 7 you know: how are they managing it and what's the best 8 way to manage this situation?

You know, as it happened, RBKC themselves recognised that they needed -- or decided to change their leadership. I think in these situations it's really important to have the right leadership of the council. But the council actually has access to a lot of support and resources, as a directly elected public body, that central government might not be able to access in the same way.

So wherever possible, it's better that the local directly elected council is competent and able to manage its services with residents. That's the way government is structured in this country. But ...

So my concern really at the time, as I've said, was with the response phase and making sure that there was — that the leadership of the response was effective, because it seemed to me that that was going to be the critical thing going forward, making sure

there was a strong local response for the people of Kensington and Chelsea, and people -- particularly Day 284

3 people in the area of Grenfell Tower and surrounding 4 houses

Q. I'd just like to pick up one point on this email. It says here that the information had been fed through RED. Had this information been fed through RED to you?

9 A. So I think this is probably referring to the same 10 information that Katherine Richardson, who was head of 11 RED, was feeding to me about the support that RBKC 12 needed and, you know, as we've seen from the text, there 13 was keenness to offer housing support, but also 14 questions about the chief executive of the local 15 authority as well.

Q. Could we go to much later in the evening on the 15th, 16 17 then, to 10.25, {CLG00008222}. It's the second email on 18 the page. It's an email from Helen MacNamara to your 19 office. The bottom of the first paragraph, she says:

> "77 households are in hotels and there are 30 people who slept in the respite centre. This is going to require funding. But the clear view from the Ministers meeting this afternoon was that we have to step up and funding should not constrain us. I think this sits best as part of the recovery and response work rather than

> > 103

the other housing issues so if you are ok to do so it would be great if you can help to cover this aspect."

What did you understand Ms MacNamara was asking you to be involved in at this point?

5 A. So I understood it was help with the funding, and as

6 I $\,--\,$ as the local government finance team sat under my

7 remit. So it was particularly the funding issues.

8 There was then the next paragraph, which talks about the 9

housing issues, which were under Helen's remit, where

10 Fiona was going to go with Alok Sharma to meet the

11 housing director. She wasn't able to attend, so she

12 asked me to go in her place. That was my understanding.

13 Q. I'd like to move on to 16 June, then, and communications 14 that morning.

15 Firstly, a communication received by you at 7.41. 16 That's at {CLG00003272}. If we can scroll down to the email at 7.41. It's an email from Lorna Gratton at 18 Number 10 to the office of the Secretary of State, 19 forgive me, not to you, but to the office of the 2.0 Secretary of State, subject, "CLG support to RBKC":

"I spoke to Helen Mac yesterday after the cross government meeting. There are still concerns here about how well organised the response effort is for those in receipt of it on the ground. Would it be helpful for

them to have more support from CLG (e.g a small team of people seconded similar to the victim support unit?)? Could you let me know what you think."

Then if we go up in the chain, we can see that that email then filtered to Ms Dawes' office and to yours.

Moving on, then, to a discussion that you had with Ms Richardson, that's at {CLG00008227}. It should be the second email on the page at 8.02. We can see here an email from Katherine Richardson, 8.02 on 16 June, to Robert Mason of the resilience and emergencies division and to your office. The subject is, "CLG support to RBKC"

May 25, 2022

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.3

2.4

25

"Thanks Helen - yes, Jo and I discussed. Ideally we can encourage Nick H to ask us for the specific help we're offering. Copying Alex P who should be kept sighted on this."

Just pausing there, what did you understand encouraging Nick Holgate "to ask us for the specific help we're offering" to mean?

- 2.1 A. So in conversations in between those emails, I was 22 asked -- I was told that specific help had been made 2.3 from Helen and Fiona Darby's team to RBKC to --
- 2.4 Q. What was that specific -
- A. On housing, on housing, and that wasn't being accepted.

105

- 1 So I was asked to follow that up when I visited the next 2 day.
- 3 Q. At 8.14, if we --
- A. I think importantly on that email, actually, if we just 5 paused on it, there were $--\ \mbox{Nick}\ \mbox{Hurd}$ was also then starting to raise concerns. He may have been raising 6 them earlier, but in this email it's very clear he's 8 raising concerns about the response on the ground and 9 getting information to people affected. So this is part 10 of the wider concerns that I then became aware of and 11 were actually really helpful to have in advance of 12 visiting RBKC.
 - Q. We've seen those earlier, those emails from Mr Hurd's office, where concerns were being raised by him or specific issues were being raised by him, and the Inquiry has heard evidence that those emails started on the morning of 15 June.

But we can see here that the second paragraph you're referring to says:

"I've also just taken a call from the housing minister's office. Nick Hurd is continuing to raise concerns about how the response on the ground is working eg getting information to people affected. Some of this is for the police rather than K+C but we should consider a stronger offer of support."

106

Then go up to the next email at 8.23 at the top of 2 the page 3 "I mentioned to Melanie yesterday." 4

This is Helen MacNamara saying:

"We need to really get on this. Actual PM is 5 concerned and this will run away from us if we haven't 6 7 done something concrete today.

8 "Don't think politely waiting for Nick H to ask is 9 right tactic - we are basically being told to grip."

1.0 Was that the attitude, to wait for Nick Holgate to

11 ask for help before stepping in? 12 A. No. I'm — no. absolutely not. I mean, you know. I was

13 asked to ask him to ask for specific help, and that's

14 the way that it was being phrased, but I don't think 15

anyone was politely waiting for him. I know Helen's team were certainly in touch with RBKC, and I'd had the 16

17 conversation with him the day before. So, yes. I mean,

18 I obviously wasn't copied in to this email, although

19 I do believe I may have seen it.

20 MS MALHOTRA: If we could go to {CLG00008230}, please --

2.1 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, before we do, could I just ask

22 this: I mean, what options did you have?

2.3 A. What options did we have?

2.4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes

A. So, I mean, they would have been limited. I mean, RBKC

107

1 was a directly elected public body, we -- the powers of

2 central government to intervene are, as I say, limited.

3 They -- I have never seen them enacted in days, so the

best thing to do is to make sure that the council has

5 the right leadership in place. I mean, I think,

6 you know, we saw within a very short time, actually,

7 that there was a different council leadership in place.

8 If you have the right leadership in a council and the

9 right resources, then, you know, they can often manage

10 situations more effectively than central government.

11 Central government isn't a local government service

12 provider.

14

2.0

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, I understand that, but looking 13

at what Helen MacNamara is suggesting, she is suggesting

15 that you shouldn't wait for Mr Holgate to ask for

16 assistance, and I was just wondering what powers you had

17 to do anything --

18 A. Yeah, so --

19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I mean, putting in commissioners is

pretty much the last option, isn't it, and would take

21 a little time?

2.2 Yes, absolutely. I mean, and that -- but that is the --

23 I mean, that's the way to take over a council

2.4 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And does that involve taking over

25 all the functions of the council? It does, doesn't it?

1	A. Usually, generally, it does involve taking over all the	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE—BICK: All right? Thank you very much
2	functions of the council. I believe you may have been	2	Would you go with the usher, then, please.
3	able to have a different arrangement, but that would	3	(Pause)
4	have needed to have been worked through, because	4	Thank you very much, 2 o'clock, then, please.
5	obviously, you know, housing for one part of the	5	(1.01 pm)
6	borough, obviously $$ and social care, obviously it's	6	(A short break)
7	hard to separate one bit from the council, because	7	(2.00 pm)
8	they're providing support to a large number of people.	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE—BICK: Right, Dr Farrar, all ready to go
9	But, yeah, I'm not sure what Helen was referring to	9	on, I hope?
10	there, really, because actually, as I said, there was	10	THE WITNESS: Thank you, yes.
11	a command structure. I think we saw from the 16th that,	11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
12	actually, if central government can help to persuade	12	Yes, Ms Malhotra.
13	councils to act in the right way, we have a lot of soft	13	MS MALHOTRA: Dr Farrar, we were talking about 16 June.
14	power.	14	I would like to move on to when you first attended RBKC
15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, you can bring some persuasion	15	on 16 June.
16	to bear, but that was probably about it, wasn't it?	16	Was it the position that you attended with the
17	A. Absolutely, yeah.	17	Secretary of State, the housing minister, Alok Sharma,
18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you.	18	and Fiona Darby, and you arrived at 9.00 am?
19	MS MALHOTRA: If I can just take you to {CLG00008230},	19	A. So I attended with the Secretary of State to start with.
20	please. It's an email at 8.40. It's a document that we	20	Alok Sharma and Fiona Darby went, I believe, directly to
21	have seen before. It's the first email on the page.	21	the council to have a meeting with the housing director.
22	We've been to it before. I just want to ask you about	22	Q. You state that you were met by Nicholas Paget-Brown in
23	the final sentence here. It says:	23	your statement and two councillors; is that right?
24	" I am not sure if we could ask the Home Office	24	A. Yes. Yes, at the Westway Centre.
25	if we should extend the victim support unit to help	25	Q. Is that the first place that you went?
	109		111
1	the Council."	1	A. Yes.
2	Can you just explain what it was that is being asked	2	Q. You say in your statement $\{CLG00030414/14\}$ — we don't
3	here from your office?	3	need to go to it unless you'd like me to take you to
4	A. So we had set up victim support units in other	4	it $$ that you were surprised to find that they were
5	incidents, and so I think I was asking Katherine ——	5	unsupported by any senior officers of RBKC; is that
6	I mean, I haven't phrased that particularly well, and	6	correct?
7	apologies for that, but I am saying, "Katherine, could	7	A. Yes, I was surprised.
8	we ask the Home Office if we could extend the victim	8	Q. Why were you surprised?
9	support unit, is that appropriate?", because it seemed	9	A. Well, in my experience, and as a former chief executive
10	to me that that might be a positive thing to do.	10	of — in local government, I would have expected the
11	I believe others raised that as well. But the victim	11	leader to be supported by at least a senior official , if
12	support unit in other incidents had proved to be very	12	not the chief executive. I personally would have seen
13	useful.	13	a visit by the Secretary of State and a director general
14		14	from government as significant. I would want to make
15	of this kind in response to the Grenfell Tower fire?	15	sure that I was able to answer any questions that they
16	A. So Jillian Kay led on the setting up of that unit.	16	may have, and there are different roles for politicians
17	Yeah.	17	and officers, so I would have felt it important to have
18	MS MALHOTRA: Thank you.	18	both represented.
19	Mr Chairman, I think that's a convenient moment.	19	·
20	SIR MARTIN MOORE—BICK: Is that a good point? Yes.	20	Q. The day before, when arrangements were being made for your attendance, did you request senior officers of RBKC
	•		
21	I think it's time we stopped now and had a break for	21	to attend?
22	some lunch, so we'll stop there. We'll resume, please,	22	A. No, I didn't. I assumed they would be there.

110

at 2 o'clock. As I said to you earlier, please don't

talk to anyone about your evidence over the break.

 $25\,$ THE WITNESS: No, I won't do. Thank you.

112

Q. Did you request for Mr Holgate to attend?

 $24\,$ $\,$ A. I requested a meeting with Mr Holgate.

 $25\,$ $\,$ Q. What about any other RBKC officers at the

23

3

- 1 Westway Centre, did you observe any there?
- 2 A. I didn't. I didn't observe any. There were a number of
- 3 people there, but RBKC officers weren't visible as RBKC officers if they were there. 4
- 5 Q. Would you have expected them to be there?
- A. Yes, and I would have expected them to be visible. So 6
- 7 when running rest centres myself previously, my staff 8 would have clearly been marked as council staff and
- 9 there to support.
- 10 Q. How would they be marked as council support?
- 11 A. So they would have either had a lanyard or a jacket with
- 12 some kind of indication that they were council staff. 13
- but it would have been clear that they worked for 14
- 15 Q. Whilst you were there, you say that you spoke to the councillors. Were you reassured by your 16 17 conversation with them?
- 18 A. No, I was not reassured at all, actually, by my 19 conversation with the councillors.
- 20 Q. What did they ask you?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

- 2.1 A. So they asked me questions that I felt should have
- 2.2 been -- I felt if they had had the support of senior
- 2.3 officers, these were quite straightforward questions
- 2.4 that should have been answered. So not particularly
- things that the councillors themselves would have known,

113

but they were asking me questions about housing and availability of housing in the area, about schools and about education, and I felt that these were services that the council themselves would provide and officers could give useful answers to these questions. So that worried me a bit.

And I -- so, for example, I found myself in a conversation with the leader, who asked me, you know, how we communicate with schools, and, you know, particularly if schools were academies, but, you know, I said: well, actually, that would normally naturally happen through the education department in the council, who would have contact details, who would contact schools and that would be the route.

They were also asking me about communication and what kind of support that they needed and, you know, in $\,--\,$ and were thinking of bringing in PR support, but my feeling was actually, you know, no, they needed proper communications support to help communicate with residents. I felt that officers could have given that kind of -- that reassurance and information about where and how to receive support.

So I felt that the councillors there at the time were struggling to gain relevant information, but really were -- seemed very genuine in terms of, you know, their

114

- real wish to help residents.
- 2 Q. So you've mentioned there the visibility of officers,
- 3 the questions by the councillors.
- 4 I would like to ask you about what you observed at 5 the Westway, please.
- A. So I observed a number of things: so, first of all, the 6 7 absence of senior officers, or any officers; the
- 8 questions that I was asked by councillors, which I felt
 - the council would have been able to answer; I noticed
- 10 the absence of proper bedding, which seemed significant
- 11 to me, just in terms of the way the rest centre was set
- 12 up; and I noticed that, actually, there were people
- 13 there who seemed to still be staying in the
- 14 Westway Centre and in fact were not in hotels
- 15 Q. So you observed people sleeping at the Westway?
- A. Well, I think -- they seemed to me to have been sleeping 16 17 at the Westway. Obviously they weren't asleep at the 18 time
- Q. Can I take you to $\{CLG00030477/2\},$ please. It's the 19
- 20 second bullet point. We can see here at the top,
- 21 15 June, 8.45, it's an email that you've been shown
- 22 earlier today. At the third bullet point it says:
- 23 "There have also been a couple of concerns raised 2.4 with him about the quality of the sleeping materials 2.5 that were available for people last night and asked if

115

1 there was anything [Her Majesty's Government] could do 2 to help the Council upgrade?"

Did you consider it acceptable that these issues that had been raised on the morning of the 15th had

4 5 still not been resolved by the time of your visit? 6 A. So I think as we discussed earlier , I hadn't -- at the

7 time of my visit, I wasn't aware that those issues had 8 been raised earlier, so at the time of my visit, no.

9 I think what I -- so from my own experience of setting 10 up rest centres, actually it's done in partnership with

11 the Red Cross or with other providers. In my own

12 experience, actually, there is -- bedding is supplied,

13 so there is actual bedding for people to sleep on.

I mean, it's, you know, some kind of mattress or 14

15 something, which didn't seem to be there. The bedding 16 provided, in the experience I've had before, is the

same. So it's provided -- this seemed to be -- the 17

18 bedding there was all very different, so it looked to me

19 as if it had come from donations. I mean, I may not be

2.0 right about that, but actually it didn't seem to be the

21 kind of regimented rest centre that I was -- that

2.2 I would have been used to setting up. In fact, I only

23 a few years before had set up rest centres in Bath in

2.4 response to an incident.

25 Q. Could I just ask you about that: you mentioned the

116

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

2

3

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

- British Red Cross and having an agreement in place for bedding; in your experience, how would that be facilitated?
- 4 A. So in my experience -- and it may not be the British 5 Red Cross, but in my experience as a chief executive, my emergency planning department had arrangements with 6 7 another provider, or in fact sometimes had items in 8 stock, so that when we had to set up a rest centre, it 9 would happen very quickly, and it would -- there would 10 be mattresses and there would be bedding and it would 11 all look very similar, and there would be, therefore, 12 places for people to sleep.
- Q. You've already said that the bedding was of a different nature. Was it your assumption that then this had been provided by donations, as opposed to a pre—arranged agreement between RBKC and another supplier?
- 17 A. It seemed like that to me, but, I mean, I have no
 18 evidence of that, but it seemed like that to me, and in
 19 fact there was a table of donations in the
 20 Westway Centre which some —— where there was clothing
 21 and I believe some bedding.
- Q. Did you raise this with anybody whilst you were there?
 A. No, I didn't, actually, because I was raising a number of other issues, so this was just one of my

 $25 \hspace{1cm} \text{observations, which led to my assumption that, actually,} \\$

117

- there needed to be a proper or a more organised Gold response from the council.
- 3 Q. You say that there were other issues that you were 4 raising; what other issues?
- 5 A. So the ones that I have mentioned primarily.
- 6 Q. Can you help us with how many people there were at the 7 Westway Centre?
- 8 A. So there were a number -- no, there were a number of 9 people there. They mostly to me seemed to be from the 10 voluntary sector. In fact, people we were introduced to 11 or who introduced themselves to us were from the 12 voluntary sector. So some were offering counselling 13 support, for example. So there were a number of people 14 there and a number of tables with people at them. It 15 was the lack of sort of a council contact point that 16 concerned me.
- 17 Q. Did you meet with any volunteers at the Westway Centre?
- 18 A. Not properly, but they were introduced to the
- Secretary of State, I believe, and briefly met one or two volunteers, but didn't have any lengthy conversation with them.
- 22 Q. I'd like to move on to a meeting at 9.40, then. This is still on 16 June.
- There was a meeting between the Secretary of State and Nicholas Paget—Brown, the leader, at the

Westway Centre at approximately 9.40, you say in your statement.

Day 284

What was discussed at that meeting?

4 A. So a number of things were discussed. They -- people who remained at the Westway Centre were discussed. 5 I mean, I specifically remember a conversation about --6 7 instigated by the leader, actually, about education, the 8 one I mentioned earlier about how to ensure that schools 9 were engaged and informed, which seemed strange to raise 10 at a meeting with the Secretary of State. I also 11 offered at that meeting the leader the housing support 12 that had been offered from the department, and I have to 13 say the leader seemed delighted to take up that offer of 14 support. He also discussed communications support. As 15 I say, I think he was looking for the wrong type of 16 communications support, so I was able to talk to him 17 about that, and so was the Secretary of State, and 18 I believe, actually, we re-offered -- we said that the 19 LGA had offered communications support; again, he seemed 2.0 really grateful to accept that.

So I was slightly worried that the offers of support hadn't been given to the leader. I may be wrong, but he didn't seem to know about all of the offers of support and, as I say, was really grateful to receive the offer of housing support.

119

Q. Can I just pause you there for one moment.

You said "wrong type of communication", he was asking for the wrong type; what did you mean by that?

A. So he was wondering if he needed some support to help with the media, and there were a lot of media there, and felt inexperienced to deal with that. I seem to remember that's the gist of the conversation, and obviously that is something that, as a leader, you would think about, because they would want to — media obviously want to speak to you. But he was asking, you know, what type of communications support could he have, and actually the LGA were offering a wide range of communications support, and including, you know, support for residents.

I felt , having been through some incidents myself —— so, for example, the incident I mentioned in Bridgend, where there was an international media presence and interest in that —— actually, we drew in some support from central government, which was really helpful, because it meant that we could separate off the communications support from the actual response and have different teams who could answer media questions, but, more importantly, have a team who could support residents.

So that kind of knowledge and support and people who

118

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

1 are used to dealing with communications in emergency 1 A. Yes. So, as you know, I'd been -- I'd spoken to 2 situations, I felt that would be useful for him. As 2 Nicholas Holgate about support --3 a leader, if you haven't been through this type of 3 Q. Was that the conversation with Nicholas Holgate the day 4 situation before, you wouldn't necessarily know that 4 hefore? 5 that was available. But -- so felt that we could put 5 A. The day before, and having seen the Westway Centre and, him in touch with the Local Government Association, who you know, putting that together with the confidence of 6 6 7 were offering support in that area. 7 the chief executive, I felt that his confidence was 8 probably misplaced, because to me it seemed that, having 8 Q. Your answers have probably dealt with my next question, 9 but was this visit and was this conversation with the 9 experienced and having managed these situations, could 10 10 leader reassuring? be really helpful, and that really came from the 11 A. No. So it was pivotal, actually, in terms of my worry 11 questions from councillors, because they were the type 12 12 about the council and whether they really were managing of questions that are actually common in emergency 13 the situation as they should, and I think a particular 13 situations. So having a reassuring presence who had led 14 14 worry were residents who were still at the these types of situations I felt would be really 15 Westway Centre, and I think there were questions by the 15 helpful, and I also felt that the specialist communications support, as I've said -- I mean, I learnt 16 16 leader and councillors about, you know, the support 17 17 central government might give in order to provide an awful lot of lessons from managing that incident in 18 funding, and actually we had seen that, and the 18 Bridgend and the communications support needed and, as 19 Secretary of State had said, and was very reassuring, 19 I say, it has to be really wide-ranging, and I'm 2.0 that that support was available. The leader didn't seem 2.0 absolutely sure that the council hadn't recognised that 21 to know about that support and asked directly, you know, 21 or wasn't aware of that. 22 22 how he could make sure that residents were receiving the So really $\ \ \text{felt} \ \ --\ \ \text{so, for me, the two things they}$ housing that they needed, and I was asked to raise this 23 2.3 needed was someone who was experienced operating their 2.4 2.4 at the meeting with the housing -- the director of Gold arrangements, and someone helping them with 25 housing, who -- you know, to find out what the plan was 2.5 communication, you know, particularly to residents. 121 123

- 1 for residents who still had not been housed. So I said 2 that I would do that when I went to the meeting with 3 Alok Sharma.
- Q. I'd like to take you to a text message that you sent to Ms Richardson, $\{CLG00030627\}$. You mentioned there the 5 6 leader accepting support from housing colleagues, and we 7 can see here:

"Council Leader has agreed help from housing colleagues in terms of people. Could you link in with housing to arrange who so that Alok can let them know at his meeting. Thanks."

Is this what you're referring to there, the offer of support to the housing team at RBKC?

- A. Yes, and the leader, who was the leader of the council, accepted this. So I felt that that -- as one of the most senior people in the council, I felt that, you know, this should be relayed to that meeting, because he obviously was very happy to have support.
- 19 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ We can see that that message was sent at 10.13. 2.0

You then go on to send a message:

"I think they need a specialist emergency manager to help with this as well as specialist comms support. Not sure they want this, but I think it is essential.

Can you give us the background to that message that you sent?

1 Q. I'd like to go next to {CLG00030638}. These are text 2 messages between you and Ms Dawes, and I believe your messages are in grey and hers are in blue; is that

3 right?

5 A. Yes. There's also a typo on one of mine. It should be 6 "comms".

Q. We will come to that. 7

It says:

'Great. Will report back. Personally worried that Council are out of their depth. Have said we will give some housing officers. Will also sort out [comms] support with LGA. Jo."

13 A Yes

8

9

10

11

12

Q. Ms Dawes responds: 14

15 "Feel free to make big judgment call if we need to 16 make massive Whitehall offer or demand Iga one. Part of 17 what we need to do is keep leaping ahead of the 18 immediate situation."

19 Did you feel able to make a judgement call about 2.0 what the response needed?

21 A. Yes, I did, and -- ves, veah, I did, and I think if we 2.2 talk about what happened later, I think I did make a big

23 judgement call. I also had conversations --2.4

a conversation with Melanie after these text messages,

25 so I felt that I had the support of the Permanent

122 124

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

1 Secretary. The Secretary of State had also given me 2 support. He had similar concerns, obviously, having 3 visited the Westway Centre, so I felt I had the support 4 to be able to make an offer or use some sort of soft 5 power to help make sure that the situation was being 6 managed. 7 Q. Just the final message I'd like to take you to, please, {CLG00030647}. We can see here in blue: 8 9 "Jus[t] spoke to no 10 - u free to [speak]? 10 "Yes. Just back with S. Will call in a mo." 11 And then there's a message at 1.25, which we can 12 come to in due course. 13 Was this sequence of events that we can see here, 14

"Jus[t] [speaking] to no 10", is that Ms Dawes telling you that she had spoken to number 10?

A. Yes, yes. 16

15

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

17 Q. Was this exchange after you had attended the meeting 18 with Laura Johnson and spoken with Mr Holgate and 19

20 A. What time was the message?

2.1 Q. Unfortunately we don't have the time. All we see that 2.2 the later message, "All good here", is at 1.25.

2.3 A. So, I mean, we had several conversations, so it's just 2.4 hard to pin that down. So we had one after I left the 25 Westway Centre on the way to the meeting with

125

Alok Sharma, and we had, I believe, another one after 1 2 I'd met with Nicholas Holgate, and we had a further 3 conversation before the ministerial recovery group. So we had two or three conversations. I'm not entirely 5 sure which this one was.

I think because there's not too much of a gap, and it says "manager taking over", I presume this was earlier, when I was talking about they need an experienced person to take over.

Q. I want to move on to 11 o'clock that same day. RBKC's housing director, Laura Johnson, Rock Feilding-Mellen, Alok Sharma, the Minister for Housing and Planning, and Fiona Darby, the deputy

director for homelessness, had a meeting; is that right? A. That's right, and in fact I had suggested the day before that -- because the meeting was going to be with Laura Johnson, as the director for housing, I had suggested to the department that the lead member for housing should also be there. I thought that was appropriate because of the different responsibilities of members and officers, and the leadership role of members as well as officers, and given that Alok Sharma was attending along with officials from government, I felt that would be appropriate.

So, I mean, that was another thing that -- something

126

2 an awful lot of deference in Kensington and Chelsea from 3 members to officers, so people were addressed by their 4 surname, so Mr Holgate, Ms Johnson. For me, that seemed

that stuck in my mind, really, was there seemed to be

Day 284

5 unusual. So one of the things that I was questioning was the amount of challenge that you have between 6

7 members and officers. So in the councils that I had been chief executive of, actually I was used to and 8

welcomed scrutiny and challenge from members. It 10 ensures that, you know, you have the best results.

11 Now, in an emergency situation, there is 12 a responsibility, as the category 1 responders, for 13 officers to take more of a role, so not everything would 14 be done in the same way as in normal council business, 15 but the way the council was run -- and really I'm acting 16 on very thin evidence, the fact that people called each 17 other by their surname, but it just raised an issue for 18 me in terms of: what was that relationship like in terms 19 of their other business? That was something that 20 I spotted on the Friday.

2.1 Q. So this meeting had started and you attended after the 22 meeting started; is that right?

2.3 A. I attended towards the end of the meeting.

2.4 Q. So you would say you weren't present for the whole of 2.5

127

1 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}.$ Not for the whole meeting. I was there for part of the 2. meeting, so I heard part of the discussion, but not

3 all ——

9

Q. Can you tell us what you heard?

5 A. So we -- so at this point, they had -- they were 6 finishing talking about how -- the council's plans for 7 rehousing. There was a conversation which I also

8 relayed from the leader about MHCLG sending someone to

be embedded within their council team. I have to say 10 that wasn't entirely welcomed.

11 Q. Who was it not welcomed by?

12 A. By the director of housing.

13 Q. Is that Laura Johnson?

14 A. Yes. So, I mean, to me, Laura Johnson — it was quite 15 clear that she was working really hard and had been

16 working very long hours, and maybe this is something 17 that I'll come back to, but had been working really hard

18 to make sure people were housed, but I feel, as the

19 director of housing, you can't do all of this on your

2.0 own, so -- but she said to me that she knew Lizzie from 2.1

previously, that Lizzie was very nice but didn't --

2.2 wasn't entirely sure they needed that support at the

23 moment. But, nevertheless, I said the leader had agreed

2.4 to it, so Lizzie would be coming, and that's great.

2.5 Q. And we know that is indeed what happened.

14

15

16

2.3

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2

7

A. And that is indeed what happened. Q. I'd like to show you an email next at {CLG00030515}. 3 It's an email sent at 2.34 that afternoon on Friday, 4 16 June. The first part of it, please: 5 "The meeting with housing was managed well, despite 6 it being understandably fraught." 7 Why was it understandably fraught? A. Well, I mean, I felt that the -- Laura Johnson was ... 8 9 well, seemed tired to me. You know, I'm surmising, 10 but -- so just from my impression was that she was tired 11 and perhaps a bit defensive, but I felt that Alok and 12 housing colleagues were very calm and very clear in

their messages and kept reiterating the need for

the meeting without that offer of support being

accepted, I felt that they pushed for that and for

support. So I felt that rather than walking away from

answers to questions.Q. We can see that here, it says:

"Alok and housing colleagues were very professional and it is great that we have been able to organise for someone from the housing team to work with the housing directorate at the council."

I'd like to just take you to Alok Sharma's witness statement. That's {CLG10009731/9}, paragraph 34. He says this:

129

"The meeting was the real turning point for me. Though I had concerns following the previous day's cross—Whitehall meeting, this was the first time I experienced for myself the way in which RBKC was handling the situation. My overall impression was that the two individuals I spoke with were simply failing to understand the magnitude of the Grenfell Tower incident and the required urgency in the response. From the manner of the conversation it was clear that the Housing Director felt irritated I and my colleagues were there. We were informed that the local rehousing commitment was a distraction."

Pausing there, was that the commitment to rehouse people locally within three weeks?

- A. I presume so, but I wasn't involved in that decision or
 commitment, but I presume that was what was being talked
 about.
- 18 Q. "It was also intimated that DCLG was a 'distraction' for 19 them. The Housing Director stated that she did not mind 20 having housing support from DCLG embedded with the 21 council, but said she had not had time to respond to our 22 offers of help."

Would you agree with that explanation given by Alok Sharma?

5 A. It -- yes, it -- that was a very similar impression that

130

I gained from the meeting.

Q. So after these two meetings that you had had, one with

 $3 \qquad \quad \text{the leader, one with Laura Johnson, what was your} \\$

4 impression of RBKC and its leadership?

 $5\,$ $\,$ A. Well, I was concerned, so I was concerned that there

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{6}}$ — wasn't alignment between the -- there wasn't

an alignment between the political and the official

8 leadership. I was concerned that the housing director

9 had been trying to manage this on her own, and actually

10 it seemed to me that she was -- she genuinely seemed

concerned to me and wanting to do the right thing, but

she appeared tired. She mentioned that she had been

working for a number of days. I feel that if they had

14 asked for support, particularly from London Resilience,

15 that there would have been a rota which I believe

that there would have been a rota which, I believe,

would have allowed the housing director to just look at the situation maybe more strategically, or at least have

support, so would have been able to have time to think

19 about other offers of help and support, because there

20 would have been support for her in terms of managing the

21 would have been support for her in terms of managing the

immediate housing need. And, personally, I think it would be, in an incident of this magnitude, really

23 difficult for one person to be leading the housing

24 response, when so many people had lost their homes.

25 Q. You have mentioned London Resilience and the London

131

1 Resilience Partnership a number of times. Could you

2 just clarify what exactly you're referring to when you

say London Resilience would be stepping in?

4 A. So I think you -- in -- is it --

Q. London Local Authority Gold, is that what you'rereferring to?

7 A. Yes. Is it referred to, LL --

8 Q. LLAG.

3

9 A. LLAG is what I'm referring to when I talk about London 10 Resilience.

11 Q. And just to be clear, that's the London Local Authority 12 Gold?

13 A. Yes

18

2.4

2.5

Q. I'd like to go back, please, to the text message we were looking at before, {CLG00030627}. We can see there, at the second message, that you think a specialist

17 emergency manager was required.

"Melanie agrees — I'm working on this now."

This is messages between you and

20 Katherine Richardson, and then you say:

21 "An experienced ex [chief exec] who has dealt with 22 things like this or someone similar would just grip this 23 and would be good. Jo."

Was it the case that you, Ms Richardson and Ms Dawes agreed that a specialist emergency planner was

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

1 necessary?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

A. Well, someone who had experience of managing emergency situations, it seemed to me, needed to be leading Local Authority Gold.

I actually think that had Kensington and Chelsea invoked the London Resilience arrangements, London Gold arrangements, at the time, then actually that would have been — brought in a rota, so — I think it's probably similar for Nicholas Holgate as it was for the director of housing. It's very difficult for someone to manage this intensity of situation as the Gold without other experienced people helping. Had he drawn on that earlier , it might have been that the situation — you know, they would have managed the situation differently and would have had stronger arrangements moving forward. I think it had reached a point, though, in my mind, where, actually, they just really needed to draw on someone who was experienced and they just needed clarity of leadership.

- Q. Can we take it from this exchange that you were unaware
 that Mr Holgate had been in discussion with Mr Barradell
 the day before?
- A. Yes, I was unaware, and in fact there was no suggestion from my conversation with Nicholas Holgate that he was going to ask Mr Barradell to give additional help. In

133

- 1 fact, he had said to me that they were already helping. 2 So hence at this point I'm questioning whether that 3 arrangement is effective and whether they need additional support, if this was how the London 5 Resilience arrangements were working. But I was 6 questioning in my mind whether the London Resilience had 7 actually been pulled in or not. So that's something 8 that I was starting to ask, and in fact saw wasn't 9 happening later on.
- 10 Q. Just on that point, do you consider that there was any 11 issue with RED's situational awareness then?
 - A. So, as I say, I think so the role of RED is really to act as that liaison point between the strategic command group. I mean, we're now talking about the local authority response, so they would have been sitting on the strategic command group. That I mean, the way it should have worked is that information was either being fed through that strategic command group. I mean, that's the way it should work, and partners will be spotting if things aren't working appropriately and will be feeding that will be being fed into that meeting, captured in minutes and fed up to central government, that's the and that's where RED would capture it.

There's also $\,--\,$ given our relationship in the department with local government, there's also the

134

opportunity for people to feed in concerns direct. So
I would have expected concerns to be coming in from the
GA or from other London councils or from London Gold,
and, in fact, some messages, I believe, had started to

5 be fed in to the department later on that Thursday.

6 Q. So do you consider that this is something that RED 7 should have been aware of?

8 A. Well, RED weren't -- I mean, I think there's 9 a reflection by the department and we see in the 10 department's statement about the role of RED and whether 11 they should be resourced to do things differently. 12 I think the way that they were resourced at the time was 13 really to be a liaison point and, therefore, attend SCG 14 meetings and feed that information back to government. 15 I mean, they didn't operate in a way that they had any inspection functions of local government response. 16 17

So when you look at other organisations, such as the Electoral Commission, for example, also very familiar to local government chief executives, not only will they oversee the election process, but they also have an inspection function that's allowed to —— that goes to different elections to make sure that things are operating properly. I mean, RED certainly weren't resourced in that way, to have that inspection function.

Q. Let's move on, please, and you'll have to help us with

135

the sequence of events here.

Is it the case that you then, after exchanging these messages, had a meeting with Nicholas Holgate?

A. So I thought that we had arranged to have a meeting with Nicholas Holgate at that time, and certainly that had been the liaison with his office. When I arrived at the council. I found that he wasn't available to see me. So once I'd finished the meeting with Alok Sharma, I went to the meeting with Nicholas Holgate and found that Nicholas Holgate wasn't available to see me, so I spent some time with the member for housing, who happened to be there, and we spent some time with him, talked a bit about the council and how it operated normally. I asked him about the deference and calling people by their surnames. Mr Holgate, Ms Johnson, He said that had always been very normal in the council. So, again, it gave me the impression that officers had a strong role in the council and there was a lot of trust from members in officers and it wasn't operating in the same way as other councils.

I don't blame the members for that, actually. I believe that's a -- the culture of that council. It seemed unusual to me.

 $24\,$ $\,$ Q. You're speaking quite fast.

25 **A. Sorry**.

1 Q. Could I just ask you to take it slightly slower. with -- at that point it became clear that he hadn't had 2 2 the rota arrangement, the help of other chief A. Of course. 3 Q. Was there a time when you then had a meeting with 3 executives, and it wasn't clear, actually, that -- to 4 Mr Holgate? 4 me, that he had requested help from John Barradell or A. Yes, so -- yes, so --5 5 that John was taking over as Local Authority Gold. It Q. Can you tell us what time roughly that was? seemed to me that my impression was -- and maybe I'm not 6 6 A. So ... I'm ... so it would have been before 7 clear on this point, but my impression was that 8 8 John Barradell took over, so there was confirmation of Nicholas Holgate was retaining Local Authority Gold and 9 that at 1.30, so maybe 12.30 to 1 o'clock. I thought 9 John Barradell was there to support. 10 10 I was seeing him about 11.30, I thought I'd arranged to Q. What did you think should happen? 11 see him, so it was after that time. 11 A. So I thought, as I say, that they should have a --12 12 Q. When you did eventually then meet with Mr Holgate, what someone, such as John Barradell, with experience should 13 did you discuss with him? 13 be taking over as Local Authority Gold, with the 14 agreement of the council. I thought that would have 14 A. Well, I mean, it was a strange meeting, in my view. 15 So -- because people were coming in and out of the 15 seemed sensible. As I said, if London Resilience 16 office. I found it hard to gain his attention. 16 arrangements were invoked a few days before and there Q. Was he in his office or in the BECC? 17 17 had been a rota system, actually that may have worked. 18 A. He was in his office, which seemed to have been set up 18 But at this time you have a chief executive who's been 19 as a sort of Gold Command. There seemed to be a link to 19 managing the situation and is now tired after 20 another office. John Barradell was in and out, 2.0 three days. Things aren't happening as they should be. 21 I noticed, which ... so that was happening. So there 21 It felt to me that it would be really beneficial to have 22 were then conversations going on with Nicholas Holgate 22 a fresh pair of eyes and some experience to run the and John Barradell and others, while I'm trying to have 2.3 23 recovery bit of the operation, which is obviously, given 2.4 2.4 my role, the part that I was particularly concerned to this meeting. So it was very hard to kind of ascertain 25 what support was needed. But it did show me that, 25 look at. 137 139 1 actually, they just needed some organisation and 1 Q. So what did you do? A. So I had a conversation with John Barradell --2 experience and somebody overseeing the Gold arrangements 2. 3 in RBKC 3 Q. Was that with him alone? 4 Q. You've mentioned Mr Barradell was coming in and out and A. With him alone, outside of Nicholas Holgate's office. 5 speaking to Mr Holgate; was he introduced to you? 5 So we had a conversation, and John agreed with me, A. Yes. 6 6 actually, that it would be sensible for someone like him 7 7 Q. How was he introduced to you? to be the Gold Commander. He then mentioned that if he 8 took over, there would be a rota system. I questioned 8 A. As John Barradell from London Gold. 9 9 that and said, "Wouldn't it be better to have one person Q. Was any official title given to him? 10 A. No 10 in the lead and then the rota as support, so that 11 Q. Did you know why he was there? 11 there's one point of contact, given the magnitude of the 12 A. So I was told that he was there looking at the 12 situation, and consistency?" He agreed with that. He

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

to Nicholas Holgate as the council lead. 15 Q. Was that Mr Holgate that told you that?

13

14

16 A. I think it was John Barradell, actually. It was in the 17 middle of these conversations.

arrangements and with the purpose of giving some support

- 18 Q. So Mr Barradell told you that he was there to support; 19 what did you think of that?
- 2.0 A. Well, I thought that -- actually, I thought they really 21 needed an experienced specialist emergency manager who
- 2.2 was going to be able to run the Council Gold
- 2.3 arrangements in a way that I would normally have 2.4
- expected it to be run. I mean, Nicholas Holgate had

138

25 clearly been working really hard for a number of days, calls. When I went back into the room, the situation

So I rang John O'Brien --

Q. Who is John O'Brien?

140

Katherine Richardson, possibly, but I know I had phone

said that would need to be agreed with London Councils.

a conversation about this, and he also agreed. In fact,

he said that London Councils and London Resilience had

John Barradell coming to support Nicholas Holgate, and

he agreed that it would be good to have one point of

contact to steer the operation. I left it at that.

I think at that point I phoned Melanie and

spotted that things weren't as they should be, hence

A. The chief executive of London Councils, and we had

2

- had changed and John Barradell was then leading, taking
 over as Gold Commander. I felt very relieved about
 that. I thought that was the right thing in the
 situation.
 - Q. Just going back ever so slightly.

5

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

2.0

25

You mentioned that you've had this conversation with
Mr Barradell and Mr John O'Brien over the phone. How
had you left it with Mr O'Brien and Mr Barradell? What
was your expectation?

- A. So Mr Barradell said that I would need to speak to
 John O'Brien, and John O'Brien said, "Leave it with me",
 which I did for half an hour, even less, and, as I say,
 when I came back into the room, things were different,
 and that was really good news, as far as I was
- 16 Q. How was it different and who told you it was different?
- A. So at that point, then, John Barradell, with
 Nicholas Holgate in the room, I believe it was
 John Barradell or it might have been
 Nicholas Holgate, actually, that said, "John Barradell is taking over as Local Authority Gold", and that
- Nicholas was going to then help him -- support him, so
- $23 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{the roles had changed around, so was going to support} \\$
- him, and by that time then we heard that there was goingto be a Prime Minister—chaired meeting at 2 o'clock and

that John would take the lead for Local Authority Gold at that meeting.

So all of this I found very reassuring, and in fact I also saw John speaking to someone and talking about the type of support he needed to bring in. So, again, also very reassuring.

- Q. Was this operating within the LLAG arrangements as you knew them to be, or was this something outside?
- 9 A. Well, no, they were -- this looked more normal, in terms 10 of the LLAG arrangements. So, you know, whether 11 councils -- I presume councils are usually more 12 proactive in asking for support, but very early on in 13 an incident, it seems to me that the LLAG arrangements 14 in London are operating more on this footing, albeit 15 with a rota basis, not always with a permanent lead 16 chief executive.
 - Q. I would like to take you, then —— we've been to it before —— to a text message at {CLG00030647}. We can see there at 1.25 you sent a message, I believe this is to Melanie Dawes:

"All good here now with JB leading Council gold.
I am reassured and am currently sorting out his
appointment with London Councils. Jo."

What did you have to arrange with London Councils?

A. So that was the bit about: are they happy -- which

I think was -- well, I'm sure was in their remit: are

they happy for one Gold Commander, albeit with a rota

- 3 system of support, that that was outside of their usual
- 4 arrangements? So, you know, there would have to be some
- $\,\,$ $\,$ agreement to that, probably with RBKC. But that -- so
- 6 that's what I was talking to John O'Brien about, and he
- seemed to think that that wasn't an issue. So I believe
 I had two conversations with John O'Brien.
- 9 Q. You say that you were sorting out his appointment and
- you've explained that you spoke to Mr O'Brien, that
- there had to be an agreement; was that your understanding?
- 13 A. Yes, that was my understanding, and John Barradell
- 14 certainly told me that in order for that type of
- arrangement to happen, there had to be an agreement, and
- 16 the appropriate person to speak to would be
- John O'Brien, so that's what I did.
- 18 Q. After these exchanges and after you had heard what the
- 19 arrangement was going to be, did your involvement cease
- 20 that day?

5

7

10

11

12

- 21 A. So I stayed for some of the Gold meeting, and then
- I believe I had a phone call, so I -- and I can't
- 23 remember who the phone call was with. I know I spoke to
- Alok Sharma again that day, but that may have been
- earlier, and I know I spoke several times to Melanie,

143

 $1\,$ but she would have been in that Gold meeting. But I had

another phone call about the situation, and then that

 $3 \hspace{1.5cm} \mbox{was the end of my involvement} \hspace{.1cm} -- \hspace{.1cm} \mbox{that was the end of my}$

4 involvement at the council. There were other things

that day later on, but ...

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{G}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Just to finish off with the conversations about

Mr Barradell, {CLG00030626}. We can see here a message

8 between you and Katherine Richardson where you say:
9 "John just said the Red contact so your usual leve

"John just said the Red contact so your usual level.

Let M know we have spoken and you're arranging. It will
be the person on the SCG I guess."

What was that about?

- 13 A. So John Barradell, really usefully, I think, and not 14 generally RED's role, but asked if he could have
- a permanent RED contact embedded in his team. That
- a permanent RED contact embedded in his team. That wasn't something that we usually did, but I agreed that
- and he said that that —— later on he said that had been
- and he said that that later on he said that had been
- $18\,$ exceptionally helpful, and I believe the department has
- 19 reflected on that.
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ Q. You mentioned earlier, indeed, Ms Dawes sent you
- $21\,$ a message saying, "Feel free to make a massive Whitehall
- $22\,$ offer"; what was the massive Whitehall offer that you
- 23 made?
- $24\,$ $\,$ A. No, so I didn't make a massive Whitehall offer. I think
- 25 my -- what I felt that Melanie and, in fact, Sajid Javid

142

144

1 as well were saying is that, you know, "Feel free to "Reducing, controlling or mitigating the effects of 2 make a call, a judgement call", and I felt that, for me, 2 an emergency ... 3 making sure the right leadership was in place and that 3 Was any consideration given to the minister's power 4 they then would be very happy to ask what was needed of 4 under this section? 5 Whitehall, that was -- that seemed to be the most 5 A. So there may have been. As I say, there was a structure sensible thing for me to do, and that's what I did. In in place in central government and it's quite possible 6 6 7 fact. John then did assess the level of support that 7 that that was discussed. I mean, my -- and at that point, the local -- I think at that point, the SCG was 8 they needed from Whitehall, starting off with the RED 8 9 contact, so I agreed that, and then there were several 9 still being -- it was still in the response phase, so 10 10 contacts after that with Melanie and others where the was being managed by the police. I think it would have 11 support of central government was drawn in. 11 been unusual for a Minister of the Crown to take over 12 12 So I think that this change in leadership was really that part of the response. I think -- and there may 13 13 have been conversations about the council. I know the 14 Q. You mentioned the term "soft power" earlier; what did 14 concerns about the council were increasing. My concern 15 you mean by that? 15 was to make sure that they had the right leadership in 16 16 A. So it's -- so I had no formal power, and I don't -place, which I think then reduced the need for this to 17 I really believe I didn't overstep the mark or do 17 take place. 18 anything outside of, you know, normal governance, but 18 I think if the change had not been made, then we --19 19 I feel I had the right conversations and it encouraged I can -- I imagine that government would have looked or people to do the right thing, and it was probably the 2.0 20 considered taking different action in --21 quickest way of making sure the right leadership was in 2.1 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, that might have been quite 22 place. As we spoke about earlier, it's not always quick 22 a long-winded way of doing anything, mightn't it? 2.3 23 to bring in commissioners to take over a council, but Because I think I'm right in saying that an order under 2.4 2.4 section 5 has to be made by a statutory instrument, the most important thing is you have strong leadership 25 and that you have really clear governance arrangements 2.5 subject to the affirmative resolution procedure. 145 147 1 when you're overseeing this problem, and -- these $\,$ 1 A. No, that's absolutely right, and so it may have taken, 2 incidents, and that's something I've learnt, both as 2 even at its -- even if it was prioritised and done 3 a chief executive and in central government. 3 really quickly, it wouldn't have happened in hours. So So, for me, it was absolutely critical to have those it seemed to me that the most -SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, it wouldn't have happened in 5 things in place, and that's why I was so reassured when 5 6 days, would it, under the affirmative resolution 6 the arrangements changed. 7 7 Q. You mentioned there the commissioners, and there was procedure? 8 a discussion earlier about it. Did you at any point 8 A. I think you're absolutely right, Mr Chairman, and so for 9 9 me, making sure the right leadership was in place as give consideration to the powers under section 5 of the 10 Civil Contingencies Act? Is that something that was 10 quickly as possible seemed to be the most important 11 considered, to your knowledge? 11 thing, and therefore it seemed important to intervene in 12 A. Can you remind me, can I see what that is in --12 the way that I did. MS MALHOTRA: Can you recall if parliament was sitting at 13 13 Q Yes A. So that I don't refer to the wrong thing. Thank you. 14 14 this time? 15 15 (Pause) A. I can't recall, actually, 16 Q. Let me take you to $\{CAB00004616/7\}$. If we could go to 16 Q. I'd like to move on, please, and take you to your 17 witness statement. It's the penultimate paragraph, so section 5. Section 5(1): 17 18 "A Minister of the Crown may by order require 18 {CLG00003436}. Forgive me, an email. If you could 19 a person or body listed in Part 1 of Schedule 1 to 19 scroll down to the next page, it should be on page 2 2.0 2.0 perform a function of that person or body for the {CLG00003436/2}: 21 21 "The meeting with housing was managed well, despite purpose of ...'

2.2

23

2.4

25

146

"(c) taking other action in connection with

And in this context it would be:

an emergency."

Or subsection (b):

This is the message in respect of the meeting with Laura Johnson. $\mbox{You then go on in the next two paragraphs:} \hfill $148$$

it being understandably fraught."

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

1 "We are putting a person from RED in the response 2 team to support John and act as a link back to central 3 government." 4 Is this the role that Ms McManus then subsequently went to fill? 5 A. Yes. Yes, I believe so, yes. 6 7 Q. Then right at the very end of that email, you say: 8 "Having been quite concerned this morning about the 9 Council's ability to manage a situation of such 10 magnitude. I am much more reassured now that they have 11 the support of John B [Barradell] - who has experience 12 of managing emergency situations. You could see that 13 a proper structure was being put in place.' 14 Would you agree that after 16 June, your involvement 15 then subsided? A. Yes, to a large extent. There were other things that 16 17 I did after 16 June, but this was my main involvement in 18 the -- in that week. 19 Q. You say in your first witness statement. 20 {CLG00030414/22}, paragraph 106: 2.1 "In my opinion, RBKC's response to the fire was 22 inadequate.' 2.3 Do you still stand by that assessment? 2.4 A Yes

149

Q. What was inadequate about it?

25

2.0

21

2.2

1 A. So this was -- is now in the benefit of all the 2 information I now have, but I believe not drawing in the 3 support of others, particularly the London Gold arrangements, meant that the council struggled to 5 provide the response that it needed. It didn't have other experienced people to help and, therefore, was 6 unable to respond, particularly in the first few days, 8 to the size of the incident. 9 Q. Do you think that DCLG RED operated effectively in the response to the fire?

10 11 A. So I believe that RED was resourced and -- to act in

12 a certain way, and I personally saw the efforts of RED, 13 and particularly Katherine Richardson and her team, and 14 I know how hard they worked during that period. 15 I believe they carried out the functions that they were 16 required to do, and I believe they also went above that. 17 But I think the department's reflected in its final 18 19

statement on, you know, whether the role of RED should be different to that. But at that point, they were resourced to be a liaison point between the SCG and central government, and I believe that that's what they

150

23 Q. Do you consider, given the refusals of support by 2.4 Mr Holgate on more than one occasion, that intervention 25 by DCLG could have happened sooner?

1 A. Well, I mean, I think as we've discussed, intervention

2 is actually quite hard. I mean, we were just talking

3 about intervention under the Civil Contingencies Act --4 Q. Intervention in the sense of the action that you then

5 subsequently took; do you think that could have happened

sooner? 6

7 A. So the action that I personally took?

8 Q. Yes.

11

9 A. Possibly, if I -- it's difficult to say, actually.

10 I mean, I'm really pleased that I went there on the

Friday and was able to see those things, on the -- would

12 I -- I mean. I was therefore able to meet with the

13 leader, other councillors. A number of the judgements

14 I made were based on the things that happened on the

15 Friday. In the middle of the incident on the Wednesday

16 or the Thursday, would I have seen those things so

17 clearly? I mean, that's something Lask myself. I do

18 think that the value -- I think the department has

19 learnt something about the value of experience. I think

2.0 even I, with the experience, hadn't realised how

21 significant that could be, and I think that's something

22 that, you know, we have all reflected on.

23 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$ MALHOTRA: Well, thank you, Dr Farrar. Those are the

2.4 questions I have prepared for you.

25 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right. So we need to have a break

151

1 at this point.

2 Dr Farrar, just so that you understand, when counsel 3 gets to the end of her questions, we have to have a short break, first to let her check that she hasn't 5 left anything out, but also to allow other people who 6 are following the proceedings from elsewhere to suggest 7

questions that perhaps we ought to put to you.

8 So we'll stop now. I think we'll combine it with

9 the afternoon break, shall we?

10 MS MALHOTRA: Yes.

11 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And take a little longer than we 12 might otherwise do for this. We'll come back at 3.15,

13 and at that point we'll see if there are any more

14 questions for you.

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

16 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right?

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would you go with the usher, then,

19

20 (Pause)

21 Thank you very much. 3.15, then, please,

Thank you. 2.2

23 (3.02 pm)

2.4 (A short break)

2.5 (3.15 pm)

152

Official Court Reporters

Opus 2

May 25, 2022

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

helped me to give my evidence in what I feel has been a very positive wav.

The second thing, which I wasn't able to mention at the beginning, is just my admiration of the bereaved and survivors of the Grenfell Tower fire. I met a number of them since the incident happened. I've always been so impressed by their bravery and by their commitment to find answers. I've always found them to be very positive and an inspirational group of people to work

I think my three lessons. First of all, we've talked about this, but is the importance of experience, and I'm not sure I realised how important that was until that Friday. I'm glad I have been able to talk about that, and I know the department has reflected on that in terms of its evidence and about building that in to its

I think the other thing that I would like to reflect on, the second thing, is the importance of being really clear about roles and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{responsibilities}}$, so being clear who is the Local Authority Gold, being clear about when and how to bring in support, and being clear through that strategic command group of asking for support when it's necessary

I think the last point I would reflect on is the

absolute essential important nature of having a visible presence for people who are impacted by incidents such 3 as this. It's so important for the community to know 4 who to go to and to be able to ask for help and 5 information. I think that will greatly help to reduce distress in what is a really, really difficult 6 7 situation.

8 So thank you very much.

9 MS MALHOTRA: Thank you very much for coming to give your 10 evidence today and for assisting us with our 11 investigation.

12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: And I would like to add my thanks to 13 you on behalf of the panel. It's been very useful to

14 15 hear what you have to tell us. We've learned quite

16 a lot about the events of those few days after the fire 17

which I think we were unclear about, so you have really 18 added to our store of knowledge, and it's been very

19 interesting to hear what you have to tell us generally.

2.0 So thank you very much indeed for coming and giving us 2.1 vour team.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you all very much. 22

SIR MARTIN MOORE—BICK: You're now free to go, of course. 2.3

2.4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness withdrew)

155

1 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well. Ms Malhotra, we don't have 2 another witness today; is that right?

3 MS MALHOTRA: No, we don't, but we do tomorrow.

SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: We have one tomorrow morning. So we 5 will stop at that point for today and we'll resume at

6 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.

7 Thank you very much. 10 o'clock tomorrow morning, 8 then, please.

9 (3.22 pm)

10 (The hearing adjourned until 10 am 11 on Thursday, 26 May 2022)

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19 2.0

21 2.2

23

24 25

156

154

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

```
1
                       INDEX
 2
                                         PAGE
    DR JO FARRAR (sworn)
 3
                        .....1
 4
         Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY .......1
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                          157
                          158
```

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

ability (5) 34:5 35:7 42:3 88:9 149:9 able (50) 6:11 13:17 16:18 22:9 25:18,19 27:6,15 29:4 33:17 37:13,14 40:9 41:2 42.5 47.22 51.19 56.16 57:25 61:14 62:8 63:5 64:2 65:1 66:11 69:22 80:13,19 82:21 86:15 90:6 94:11,24 102:15,18 104:11 109:3 112:15 115:9 119:16 124:19 125:4 129:20 131:18 138:22 151:11,12 154:3,14 155:4 above (2) 92:5 150:16 absence (3) 32:8 115:7,10 absolute (2) 51:14 155:1 absolutely (22) 23:14 25:3 26:8 28:21 29:11 42:22 55:13 61:12 66:22 67:23 68:22,24 81:21 88:12 101:15 107:12 108:22 109:17 123:20 146:4 148:1.8 academies (1) 114:10 accept (5) 34:1 56:21 75:7 77:10 119:20 acceptable (1) 116:3 accepted (5) 81:1 87:1 105:25 122:15 129:16 accepting (1) 122:6 access (12) 11:23 19:22.23 62:25 63:4.18 64:2 65:1 66:11 71:21 102:13,15 accessing (2) 65:21 66:14 accommodation (22) 41:15,17 42:9 50:4.12.15.25.51:1.16 52:6.18 53:1 57:16,23 58:10 59:4 70:21 71:3.5.7.13.15 accompany (1) 87:15 accord (1) 21:1 according (1) 76:10 account (1) 77:11 accurate (4) 22:3,4 51:5,12 across (4) 25:12 30:3 65:16 97:11 acting (1) 127:15 action (14) 6:19 23:9 42:20 50:8,9,13,17 57:11,17 59:5 146:23 147:20 151:4,7 actions (13) 3:7,8 23:3,11 24:6,10 49:24,25 61:19 65:14 88:22 93:13 94:21 activated (1) 84:25 activation (1) 85:8 activity (2) 46:24 47:24 actual (3) 107:5 116:13 120:21 actually (101) 9:16 10:25 12:15,23 15:1 25:3 26:21,22 28:1 30:23 31:5 32:2 33:4.9.12.24 36:4.9.10.17.37:16.17 39:10 43:9,15 46:3,25 48:15 50:20 53:24 55:21 56:3,20 58:17 61:5,22 65:19 66:9 67:3 68:19 69:1 72:12 73:22 78:23.24 82:7.22 83:1.15.17 86:17 87:20 92:20 93:3 94:6 95:14 96:21 98:3 99:8 101:10 102:3,5,13 106:4,11 108:6 109:10,12 113:18 114:11,18 115:12 116:10,12,20 117:23,25 119:7.18 120:12.18 121-11 18 123-12 127-8 131:9 133:5.7.17 134:7 136:21 138:1,16,20

140:17 142:4,6 150:16 add (3) 57:20 153:19 155:13 152:5 153:16 added (1) 155:18 alternative (2) 64:3 65:2 additional (3) 80:17 133:25 although (5) 5:9 48:16 62:4 72:8 107:18 address (1) 67:17 always (8) 21:17 67:15 88:7 addressed (1) 127:3 136:16 142:15 145:22 adequate (3) 10:1 50:23,24 154:6,8 ambit (1) 23:12 adjourned (1) 156:10 admiration (1) 154:4 amiss (1) 68:21 amongst (2) 19:13 77:4 advance (2) 86:25 106:11 amount (1) 127:6 adviser (2) 5:23 58:4 andor (1) 61:21 affected (4) 20:7 51:14 another (21) 8:10 12:21,23 13:16,18 24:18 41:19 affirmative (2) 147:25 148:6 42:1,24 53:7 63:14 64:21 after (23) 3:8 7:12 49:19 71:17 91:1 117:7,16 52:11 53:7 72:4 90:22 126:1.25 137:20 144:2 104-22 124-24 125-17 24 156-2 126:1 127:21 131:2 136:2 answer (9) 76:13 77:10.20 137:11 139:19 143:18,18 78:5,7,14 112:15 115:9 145:10 149:14,17 155:16 120:22 answered (2) 87:14 113:24 aftermath (3) 44:11 46:18 answers (4) 114:5 121:8 afternoon (12) 30:14 32:18 129:17 154:8 39:4 44:19 46:2,3 59:18 nticipate (2) 6:23 7:3 anybody (1) 117:22 88-22 97-22 103-23 129-3 anyone (6) 54:14 70:21 79:5 afternoons (1) 57:6 84:14 107:15 110:24 afterwards (4) 49:23 74:23 anything (19) 17:14 18:19 21:18 29:12 30:17 35:6 again (11) 28:7 49:2 57:16 40:17 54:14 55:19 63:12 67:11 74:10 79:17 98:12 73:2 80:18 91:12 108:17 119:19 136:17 142:5 116:1 145:18 147:22 152:5 153-18 agitated (1) 82:6 apologetic (1) 67:24 agitating (1) 82:5 apologies (1) 110:7 agree (9) 5:24 24:9 33:6 apparently (1) 100:12 44:20 60:16.19.21 130:23 appdatabase (1) 30:19 appear (1) 2:9 agreed (16) 27:13 87:22 appeared (1) 131:12 93:13 98:3,23 99:6 122:8 appetite (1) 100:20 appointed (1) 4:19 140:5.12.13.17.21 144:16 appointment (2) 142:23 143:9 agreement (8) 31:1,4 appreciate (1) 56:15 117:1,16 139:14 appropriate (11) 72:5,16 83:13 85:22 86:19 88:5 agrees (1) 132:18 99:17 110:9 126:20,24 143:16 ahead (1) 124:17 appropriately (2) 93:20 aid (6) 20:11.25 22:9.11 77:6 134:20 approximately (1) 119:1 albeit (2) 142:14 143:2 area (24) 11:24 12:13 18:10,11 24:18 26:15 alex (6) 28:15,21 32:4 36:19,23 37:14 41:17 100:10 101:14 105:16 46:14,25 47:24,25 54:2 aligned (3) 26:6,8 73:4 57:24 86:2 95:20 96:21 alignment (2) 131:6,7 99:6 101:14 103:3 114:2 allow (2) 19:23 152:5 121:7 areas (5) 4:25 57:8 62:2 allowed (2) 131:16 135:21 allowing (1) 153:24 87:21 101:6 arent (7) 24:6 30:16 48:11 almost (2) 8:16 14:7 alok (17) 48:25 81:3 100:1 52:23 96:21 134:20 139:20 104:10 111:17,20 122:3,10 rising (2) 24:6 49:24 126:1,12,22 129:11.19.23 around (6) 13:8,14 14:1 130:24 136:8 143:24 35:22 63:3 141:23 alone (2) 140:3,4 arrange (4) 27:19 28:8 along (2) 57:20 126:23 122:10 142:24 alongside (1) 65:4 arranged (2) 136:4 137:10 already (17) 5:22 16:3 17:11 arrangement (8) 11:25 41:2 31:1 34:6 51:21 62:17 64:3 45:20 109:3 134:3 139:2 65:2.19 81:11 84:25 87:13 143:15.19 arrangements (34) 7:7 89:17 100:15 117:13 134:1 also (59) 1:24 7:4 8:8 18:6 11:12,15 13:4 41:1 57:16 19:21 20:24 23:6 25:22 64:4 65:2 71:22 85:11.25 28:2 29:7 32:7.17 35:5.13 86:6 92:25 93:10.12.19 36:6 46:14.20 48:8 53:5 112:19 117:6 123:24 57:18 59:24 63:1,9 67:16 133:6,7,15 134:5 75:3,19 81:3 88:14 138:2,13,23 139:16

65-12

134:4

advised (1) 5:10

106:9,23

152-9

85:21 89:4

143-24

128:23 132:25

145:9

143:5,11,15

ah (1) 22:24

93:25

alerted (1) 6:6

98:16,21 99:7,11,13

100:18 103:13 106:5,20

114:15 115:23 119:10.14

123:15 124:5.11.23 125:1

126:19 128:7 130:18

134:24,25 135:18,20

142:7,10,13 143:4 145:25

146:6 150:4

aside (1) 43:16

arranging (1) 144:10

arrived (2) 111:18 136:6

ascertain (2) 9:23 137:24

ask (36) 1:23 4:9 10:12 43:16 54:13 72:24 79:15.20 85:6.11 86:10 90:12 91:17 96:16 97:7 105:15.19 107:8,11,13,13,21 108:15 109:22.24 110:8 113:20 115:4 116:25 133:25 134:8 137:1 145:4 151:17 153:2 155-4 asked (46) 21:16,17,22,24 28:2 35:12.14 41:5 43:12 45:4 47:7 50:24 59:13 61:7 63:11 65:20 71:2 73:20 74:8,9 75:17,17,18 76:9,23 81:2 85:5,13 97:24 98:16 99:8 100:12 104:12 105:22 106:1 107:13 110:2 113:21 114-8 115-8 25 121-21 23 131:14 136:14 144:14 asking (23) 9:11 24:21 26:10 27:8 32:4 38:20 42:16 44:23 60:21.22 62:13 66:10 80:25 83:20 97:25 104:3 110:5 114:1,15 120:3,10 142:12 154:23 asks (1) 57:2 asleep (1) 115:17 aspect (1) 104:2 aspects (2) 96:4,5 assess (3) 34:9 88:10 145:7 sed (1) 34:19 assessment (3) 34:5 70:7 149:23 ssist (11) 10:19 13:17 16:7 19:16 24:20 26:19 28:20 42:10 44:18 49:17 62:14 assistance (7) 16:15 20:8 22:5 23:23 77:14 82:1 assisting (1) 155:10 associated (1) 5:9 association (3) 35:5 86:18 121:6 assume (3) 22:3 59:12 75:7 assumed (4) 8:21 9:4,17 112:22 assumption (6) 10:17 60:25 85:9,14 117:14,25 assumptions (2) 92:16 93:2 assurances (2) 60:8 78:3 assuring (1) 47:21 attached (1) 31:15 attempt (1) 28:7 attend (9) 21:10 89:10 90:3,6 104:11 112:21,23 135:13 153:14 attendance (1) 112:20 attended (14) 18:5 19:7 20:21 35:17.21 49:3 54:3 66:24 111:14.16.19 125:17 127:21.23 attending (4) 1:20 16:11 58:14 126:23 attention (3) 66:6 100:9 137-16 attitude (2) 82:18 107:10 august (1) 4:13 authorities (16) 10:24 19:23 22:10 23:24 28:16.24 29:1,16,21,23,25 33:13,16 34:9 36:11 77:16 authority (66) 6:22 7:4,5,14 8:3.16 9:8 11:8.12.21.23 12:12,20,21,22,23,24,25 13:1.6 16:18.22 19:22 20:9 24:9.11.29:9.19.30:1 32:3.14 34:18 35:12 40:22,25 41:22,24 42:3,17 44:10 46:12,17 47:22 48:2,8 55:11,17 56:10 58:9 92:2.9 95:5.11 100:18.22 103:15 132:5.11 133:4 134:15 139:5,8,13 141:21 142:1 154:21 authorityled (5) 8:20,22

9:5.19 14:19 availability (1) 114:2 available (11) 11:18 26:25 40:7 63:11 71:8 77:4 115:25 121:5.20 136:7.10 averted (1) 6:20 awarded (1) 57:10 aware (22) 22:5 25:10 27:11 30:25 44:4,5,16 46:1 48:20 53:19 55:16 62:5 63:7 64:21 66:1 92:24 94:18 95:8 106:10 116:7 123:21 135:7 awareness (11) 47:10,12,13,17,18,19 92:10,11,13 94:4 134:11 away (3) 101:11 107:6 129:14 awful (2) 123:17 127:2 b (3) 60:12 146:25 149:11 back (44) 16:12 21:12 22:1 37:17 40:8 41:5 43:12 50:20 51:3.5 52:10 53:6.10.25 54:1.12 64:8.10 65:16 66:15 67:11 71:12 74:9,16 80:6,20 81:6 86:7.12 89:5 91:12 99:5 100:2,4 124:9 125:10 128:17 132:14 135:14 140:25 141:5,13 149:2 152:12 background (8) 3:3 23:4 28:16 29:9.14 31:11 33:6 122:24 barradell (26) 125:19 133:21,25 137:8,20,23 138:4,8,16,18 139:4,9,12 140:2.20 141:1,7,8,10,17,19,20 143:13 144:7.13 149:11 based (3) 49:15 51:23 151:14 basic (5) 31:11 33:6,7,8,12 basically (1) 107:9 basis (1) 142:15 bath (2) 4:12 116:23 bear (1) 109:16 bearing (1) 40:22 became (5) 73:24 92:8 95:8 106:10 139:1 becc (1) 137:17 become (3) 3:17 36:16 84:15 becoming (5) 44:5 80:11 91:24 92:1.20 bedding (14) 66:19,21,22 67:1.22 115:10 116:12.13.15.18 117:2.10.13.21 before (25) 4:10,11,15 52:17 68:18 81:8 83:5 107:11,17,21 109:21,22 112:19 116:16.23 121:4 123:4.5 126:3.15 132:15 133:22 137:7 139:16 142:18 beginning (1) 154:4 behalf (2) 27:8 155:14 being (60) 4:20 11:24 14:23 17:24 24:16,17 30:1 38:23 39:3 40:1,3 41:9,19 42:23 43:7 46:20 47:4.16 48:21 52-2 53-12 15 21 59-23 24 61:7.10 62:12.16 64:8.10 84:5 88:2,3,10 93:10 95:21 99:5 105:25 106:14,15 107:9.14 110:2 112:19 125:5 129:6,15 130:16 134:17,21 147:9,10 148:22 149:13 153:9 154:19.20.21.22 heis (1) 63:2 believe (72) 4:18 6:10,13 16:11 18:6,15 19:3 20:1 22:12.17 24:24 26:8

35:12.13 38:1 39:20 42:14 43:14.14 45:3.3.4.24.24 46:13 49:4 52:19.24 57:1 58:7 64:14.17 67:18 69:25 76:1.1.7 90:17 91:22 94:9 99:8 101:19 107:19 109:2 110:11 111:20 117:21 118:19 119:18 124:2 126:1 131:15 135:4 136:22 141:18 142:19 143:7.22 144:18 145:17 149:6 150:2.11.15.16.21 believed (1) 19:7 believes (1) 13:15 bellwin (6) 19:25 20:1 23:5,22 26:20 100:16 belongings (1) 25:17 below (1) 39:22 beneficial (1) 139:21 benefit (2) 79:7 150:1 bereaved (2) 93:18 154:4 best (9) 2:24 6:5 45:10,12 97:1 102:7 103:24 108:4 better (2) 102:17 140:9 between (22) 4:13,16 5:25 7:10 24 13:20 46:11 47:17 55:23 63:6 69:14 105:21 117:16 118:24 124:2 127:6 131:6,7 132:19 134:13 144:8 150:20 big (13) 14:23 48:20 55:21 66:15 67:2 75:22 82:24 84:14 93:15 95:14 101:10 124-15 22 bigger (1) 13:5 biggest (1) 73:12 bit (16) 38:4 73:9 82:16,17 83:20 84:4.7 92:20 93:9 96:8 109:7 114:6 129:11 136:13 139:23 142:25 blame (1) 136:21 block (1) 6:21 blocks (1) 100:19 blue (4) 32:21 38:15 124:3 125:8 bodies (1) 96:20 body (6) 79:7 82:21 102:14 108:1 146:19,20 borough (9) 4:16 20:10,11 29:3,24 50:6 51:11 102:6 109:6 boroughs (1) 78:15 both (12) 14:10 15:22 25:11 39:10 44:24 49:20 58:6,8 68:16 72:17 112:18 146:2 bottom (10) 22:25 30:7 38:11 56:22 65:6 70:13 76:25 81:12 96:11 103:19 bravery (1) 154:7 break (12) 2:4 54:10.12.22 74:4 110:21.24 111:6 151:25 152:4,9,24 breakdown (2) 30:25 31:3 bridgend (6) 4:15,18 12:16.18 120:16 123:18 bridging (1) 78:19 brief (2) 8:9 69:9 briefing (13) 16:3 20:19 22:17 23:4 26:5 48:24 49:1,18,21,22 57:5 60:10 62:6 briefly (2) 21:5 118:19 bring (6) 21:7 22:9 109:15 142:5 145:23 154:22 bringing (2) 11:10 114:17 british (2) 117:1.4 broad (2) 5:6 77:13 brought (3) 12:16 28:23 133:8 brown (1) 83:10 building (3) 7:10,16 154:16 bullet (8) 62:23 63:8 70:20 81:11,23 86:21 115:20,22

busy (2) 68:25 69:10

28:2.8.11 33:3.4 34:8

c (2) 60:13 146:23 cab000046167 (1) 146:16 cabinet (3) 35:3,4 83:10 call (27) 1:11 38:4 45:20 60:7 70:14 73:24 74:22 85:1 87:9 91:19 93:24 106:20 124:15,19,23 125:10 143:22,23 144:2 145:2,2 called (2) 63:20 127:16 calling (1) 136:14 calls (2) 58:8 140:25 calm (1) 129:12 55:9 59:19 74:9.16 99:19,19 123:10 141:13 cant (8) 26:22 45:14,25 148:15 capture (1) 134:23 captured (1) 134:22 care (1) 109:6 carried (1) 150:15 carry (1) 54:24 catastrophic (1) 25:23 127:12 cause (1) 6:17 caused (3) 6:21 7:2 33:14 ccd (1) 63:16 cease (1) 143:19 central (56) 6:1 7:24 10:7 24:7 25:13 27:24 35:25 46:11 50:23 51:6 55:15 61:11 67:8 69:2.4 75:10 76:3 80:11.17 88:2.6 121:17 134:22 145:11 centre (22) 57:25 66:25 67:3.5.7 87:17 103:21 111:24 113:1 115:11.14 119:1.5 121:15 123:5 125:3,25 116:10,23 ceo (4) 63:19,22,25 64:23 certain (2) 96:5 150:12 certainty (1) 78:17 105:4 chaired (2) 21:8 90:2 chairing (1) 55:22 chairman (4) 1:9 54:5 110:19 148:8 challenge (2) 127:6.9 challenging (1) 41:25 change (3) 102:10 145:12 147:18 changed (5) 3:17,19 141:1,23 146:6 chat (1) 90:22 152:4 chelsea (16) 13:7 20:12 22:14 27:9 29:3,24 30:9 79:14 87:17 88:9 95:18 96:1 97:18 99:7 103:14 112:9,12 117:5 123:7 127:8 132:21 135:19 139:2.18 140:16 142:16

75:6.16.21.25 79:7 81:22 came (11) 6:1 26:16 52:24 62:16 90:6 128:19 143:22 cash (3) 62:25 63:18 64:24 category (4) 51:7 55:13,17 14:7 15:10 17:10,15,19,21 18:13 19:14,18,20 21:18 36:11,15 37:6,9,13,20 38:6 97:7,9 99:5 101:6 102:15 108:2,10,11 109:12 120:19 146:3 147:6 149:2 150:21 116:21 117:8.20 118:7.17 centres (5) 55:18,19 113:7 chain (8) 46:22 56:14 58:2 63:15 64:18 67:12 88:19 check (5) 29:2,8 86:15 93:5 31:12.16 32:2 35:12 94:16 97:18 103:2 127:2 133:5 chief (38) 4:6,12,15,20,23,25 11:21 15:23 18:14 21:14 27:9 35:14 68:14 69:5 75:3 business (3) 86:2 127:14.19

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

139:3,17 140:6 141:20

ad (5) 18:1 43:24 54:2,3

148:15 151:2,9

146:3 153:8.9.11 choice (1) 9:16 chosen (2) 11:15 88:4 chronologically (1) 6:5 circulated (2) 40:2 85:10 circulating (2) 7:1,11 circumstances (2) 10:9 11:5 civil (10) 5:7,7,20 36:21 44:21 46:7 50:20 146:10 151:3 153:11 clarify (6) 55:5,10 57:11 59:16 89:25 132:2 clarifying (1) 56:12 clarity (1) 133:19 clear (29) 14:3,6 16:14 55:23 56:6 57:9 73:24 79:15 80:12 84:15 91:24 92:8 94:8.9 103:22 106:7 113-13 128-15 129-12 130:9 132:11 139:1.3.7 145:25 154:20,20,21,22 clearer (1) 92:1 clearly (8) 1:24 60:12 71:6 82:21 84:6 113:8 138:25 151:17 clg (4) 44:13 104:20 105:1.11 cle00002859 (1) 8:6 clg00002873 (1) 17:2 clg00002877 (1) 38:8 clg00002915 (2) 22:18,20 clg000029151 (1) 23:1 clg000029152 (2) 22:23 23:2 clg00002952 (1) 31:8 clg00002954 (1) 30:6 clg00003099 (1) 56:13 clg000030991 (1) 58:3 clg000030992 (1) 57:15 clg00003102 (1) 52:1 clg00003112 (1) 60:2 clg00003120 (1) 100:8 clg00003125 (1) 49:25 clg000032032 (1) 97:14 clg00003272 (1) 104:16 clg00003436 (1) 148:18 clg000034362 (1) 148:20 clg00008048 (1) 39:17 clg000080482 (1) 40:11 clg00008140 (2) 70:1 81:7 clg000081402 (3) 73:13 83:4 86:8 clg00008222 (1) 103:17 clg00008227 (1) 105:7 clg00008230 (3) 79:11 107:20 109:19 clg00030410 (1) 20:17 clg00030414 (1) 2:11 clg0003041410 (1) 68:5 clg0003041411 (2) 89:21 98:9 clg0003041414 (1) 112:2 clg000304142 (1) 5:4 clg0003041422 (1) 149:20 clg000304145 (2) 13:13 15:15 clg000304146 (4) 10:4 19:11 27:17 28:18 clg000304147 (1) 35:19 clg000304148 (1) 49:10 clg000304149 (1) 65:23 clg000304304 (1) 20:4 clg00030446 (1) 6:10 clg00030460 (1) 27:6 clg00030477 (1) 67:12 clg000304771 (1) 63:15 clg000304772 (2) 62:18 115:19 clg00030483 (1) 88:18 clg00030515 (1) 129:2 clg00030626 (1) 144:7 clg00030627 (2) 122:5 132-15 clg00030628 (2) 90:15 98:6 clg00030638 (1) 124:1

clg000306538 (2) 13:15,24 clg00030781 (1) 2:16 clg000307814 (1) 84:23 clg00036412 (1) 33:22 clg100097282 (1) 25:8 clg100097319 (1) 129:24 clg10009750 (1) 44:8 clifford (1) 99:12 close (1) 22:14 closely (6) 29:17 35:4 86:24 100:17.21 101:17 clothing (1) 117:20 collating (1) 28:15 colleague (1) 3:6 colleagues (7) 20:13 77:16 122:6,9 129:12,19 130:10 collect (1) 29:16 college (1) 153:15 combine (1) 152:8 come (24) 7:7 12:10 16:12 22:12 33:20 46:3,5 54:12 65:14 67:9 69:12 71:12 72:1 73:1 91:12 99:24 100:2,4,14 116:19 124:7 125:12 128:17 152:12 comes (2) 92:15 94:19 comfortable (1) 1:14 coming (10) 37:24,24 92:17 128:24 135:2 137:15 138:4 140:20 155:9,20 command (17) 17:21 43:13 46:16.20 48:10 51:4 55:14 56:9 59:25 64:11 69:11 109:11 134:13,16,18 137-19 154-23 commander (6) 56:8.9 78:25 140:7 141:2 143:2 comment (4) 50:14,17 84:1 comments (6) 73:3,4,11 80:7 86:12 153:21 commission (1) 135:18 commissioners (5) 101:8 102:1 108:19 145:23 146:7 commitment (4) 130:11,13,16 154:7 common (1) 123:12 comms (7) 86:22,23 87:1,4 122:22 124:6,11 communicate (2) 114:9,19 communication (4) 104:15 114:15 120:2 123:25 communications (11) 104:13 114:19 119:14,16,19 120:11,13,21 121:1 communities (6) 1:6 3:13,18,21 14:20 18:4 community (6) 9:4 12:18 82:9.9 83:1 155:3 competent (4) 48:22 53:22 94:13 102:18 complaining (2) 71:24 81:13 completely (1) 57:9 completeness (1) 33:22 concern (22) 30:1 33:15 41:18 42:4 43:2 44:10,24 48:3 51:15 54:4 61:14.22 62:11 66:19.22 71:17 72:10 73:12 86:1.4 102:21 concerned (29) 9:7 29:21 32:10,19 33:8 38:23 40:3,19,25 41:20 45:1 46:23 61:4.13 62:9 84:13 90:11 91:16 93:8.21 107:6 118:16 131:5.5.8.11 139:24 141:15 149:8 concerns (44) 29:2

123:16,18

147:14

42:2,8,10,12,20

43:17,19,20 44:23 45:18

46:8 48:1.20 53:19 58:13

59:17.19.22 61:18 63:9

64:8 66:3.4.12.15 71:1

74:22 80:8 91:25 92:3

95:10 104:23

ordinated (9)

72:14 88:3

66:13

60:9,12,19,23 61:1,6 65:17

coordinating (3) 25:12 52:12

106:6.8.10.14.22 115:23 125:2 130:2 135:1.2 147:14 concrete (1) 107:7 conducted (1) 49:2 conduit (3) 5:25 6:3 46:10 confidence (4) 32:13 58:9 123:6,7 confident (6) 70:25 75:8,12 77:22 79:8.9 confidently (1) 74:10 confirm (3) 2:24 58:6 98:20 confirmation (2) 30:15 137:8 confirmed (5) 32:21 58:8 85:6,15 98:18 confirming (2) 60:11 79:24 conflict (1) 7:10 conflicting (1) 92:17 confuse (1) 36:16 confusion (1) 67:10 connection (1) 146:23 conscious (1) 38:25 consider (20) 6:23 7:9,12 26:4 39:24 41:21 52:15 66:18 68:15,20 72:2 75:24 83:13 88:8 95:11 106:24 116-3 134-10 135-6 150-23 considerable (1) 92:23 consideration (6) 7:20 10:16 24:12,15 146:9 147:3 considerations (1) 7:18 considered (3) 40:15 146:11 147:20 considering (1) 66:7 consistency (1) 140:12 constrain (1) 103:24 contact (35) 15:6,19 16:1 18:14,18,23 22:8 27:4.14.16.20 28:1.2.7 38:21 45:10 46:12 52:9 53:4,6 68:12,13 69:2,7,15 97:18 99:7 114:13,13 118:15 140:11,22 144:9,15 145:9 contacted (7) 27:12 28:8,14 35:5,23 38:19 77:2 contacting (1) 44:21 contacts (1) 145:10 context (9) 38:10 49:11 56:17 70:3 80:7 81:16 82:3 97:21 146:22 contingencies (7) 5:7.8 36:21 50:21 146:10 151:3 153:12 contingency (1) 5:8 continue (1) 71:15 continues (1) 12:2 continuing (1) 106:21 contraindications (1) 77:23 control (4) 35:25 48:10 60:18.22 controlling (1) 147:1 convenient (2) 54:6 110:19 conversation (51) 13:11,18,19,20,22 33:10 35:11 36:6 39:11 45:6 46:4 68:3 69:24 70:25 72:4,18 74:7 78:12,24 79:3 81:7 82:20 83:6 86:8 87:5.7.9.13 89:5 91:20.23 96:3,12 107:17 113:17,19 114:8 118:20 119:6 120:7 121:9 123:3 124:24 126:3 128:7 130:9 133:24 140:2,5,17 141:6 conversations (14) 24:16.19 51:20 66:1 105:21 124:23 125:23 126:4 137:22 138:17 143:8 144:6 145:19 147:13 coordinate (1) 14:7

coordination (5) 16:5,8 23:7 24:24 66:5 cope (2) 33:17 34:6 copied (3) 17:5 56:16 107:18 copy (3) 48:6 59:5 86:24 copying (1) 105:16 cordon (1) 71:23 cordoned (1) 37:14 corner (1) 63:3 correct (2) 4:11 112:6 correspondence (1) 100:5 costs (1) 23:24 couldnt (1) 45:9 council (90) 4:13,16,21 7:10 22:8 25:22 32:9 61:14 62:8 63:6,13,21 64:1,7,25 65:3 66:10,13 74:1 77:17 79:21 81:14.15.25 82:8.14 83:17 84-8 85-24 86-3 93-9 15 94:10.22 95:13.15 96:2 97:1 101:4,6,7,8,14 102:12,13,18 108:4,7,8,23,25 109:2,7 110:1 111:21 113:8,10,12,14 114:4,12 115:9 116:2 118:2,15 121-12 122-8 14 16 123-20 124-10 127-14 15 128-9 129:22 130:21 136:7,13,16,18,22 138:14.22 139:14 142:21 144:4 145:23 147:13.14 150:4 councillors (12) 83:8 84:2 111-23 113-16 19 25 114:23 115:3.8 121:16 123:11 151:13 councils (19) 30:20 80:12 96:19,23 97:5 101:3 109:13 127:7 128:6 135:3 136:20 140:13,16,18 142:11,11,23,24 149:9 counsel (3) 1:18 152:2 157:5 counselling (2) 77:7 118:12 country (3) 11:24 100:20 102:20 county (1) 4:16 couple (4) 57:8 63:9 72:22 115:23 course (8) 3:22 7:8 46:5 74:5 90:9 125:12 137:2 155:23 cover (6) 73:2 86:8 91:13 92:5.7 104:2 covered (2) 23:20 35:1 covering (1) 92:7 critical (3) 82:25 102:25 146:4 cross (5) 78:16 104:22 116:11 117:1,5 crosswhitehall (1) 130:3 crown (2) 146:18 147:11 crystallised (2) 42:11.13 culture (1) 136:22 currently (3) 57:12 71:20 142:22 D

damaging (1) 36:20 darby (5) 98:22 101:21 111:18,20 126:13 darbys (1) 105:23 date (1) 37:2 dated (2) 2:12,16 dawes (35) 4:2 13:11.14.23 15:17 16:25 17:3 27:12 31:10 33:1 35:17,22 36:3 37:22 38:12.18 49:1.15 51:22 52:3 68:9 70:11 79:13 87:8,13 88:23 89:1,2 105:5 124:2.14 125:14 132-24 142-20 144-20 day (35) 40:18 43:21 44:17 53:5 57:1 68:18 71:6,7,13 76:7 77:3 83:24 87:3,16 88:13 89:9 91:3 92:22 96:3

98:4.18.19.25 99:1 106:2

107-17 112-19 123-3 5 126:10.15 133:22 143:20.24 144:5 day27315713 (1) 76:7 day27315914 (1) 78:10 days (13) 3:8 27:1 36:12 92:23 108:3 130:2 131:13 138:25 139:16,20 148:6 150:7 155:16 dclg (41) 3:8,17,21 4:3,10,11 7:15.16 17:11 18:7 20:21 44:22 47:18.23 49:1 53:3 59:1.6.8.12 60:8,11,18,21,22 62:15 63:16 70:12,12 73:16,17 74:12 75:1 76:12 79:9 87:24 97:16 130:18,20 150:9.25 dclgs (4) 3:24 5:15 60:14,25 deal (4) 16:18 29:4 35:7 120:6 dealing (8) 17:12 23:13 42:1,6 43:4 75:22 96:9 121:1 dealt (10) 23:18 24:17 41:19 42:23 48:21 53:21 59:23 84-6 121-8 132-21 dear (1) 17:6 deaths (1) 12:15 decades (1) 4:20 decide (1) 87:19 decided (1) 102:10 decision (5) 13:2 15:10 87:12 101:10 130:15 decisions (1) 36:18 declined (1) 79:25 defensive (1) 129:11 deference (2) 127:2 136:14 definitely (3) 45:15 85:20 88:16 delighted (1) 119:13 deliver (1) 25:20 delve (1) 75:20 demand (1) 124:16 department (73) 1:6 3:13,17,20 7:18 9:17,20,21,22,25 14:3,6,10,13,20,22,25 15:5 17:25 18:3,4 20:14 21:13 23:13,19 24:8,8 26:12,14 27:20 28:23.24 31:18 32:23 33:14 34:7 41:20 42:1.4.24 43:1.24 46:23.25 47:4,15,19 48:13,22 53:3,23 59:24 62:5 65:12 69:15 77:15 80:9,14 86:19 95:17 100:22 101:18,22 114:12 117:6 119:12 126:18 134:25 135:5.9 144:18 151:18 154:15 document (2) 98:5 109:20 documented (1) 58:14 documents (1) 30:4 31:12 47:19 61:25 77:13 92:11,13 135:10 150:17 89:13 98:22 126:13 96:24 107:7 116:10 127:14 148-2

departmental (1) 90:4 departments (10) 15:4 26:4 deployed (1) 98:25 depth (1) 124:10 deputy (6) 16:4 28:21 69:2 despite (2) 129:5 148:21 detail (1) 96:8 detailed (3) 34:14,18 80:21 details (2) 28:2 114:13 development (1) 30:24 didnt (41) 7:3 28:4 38:1 39:2,9 43:14 55:11 66:18.20.21 67:15.21.23 73:9.10.21 74:1.21 75:8.12 78:23 79:7.9 85:11 89:10 90:3 95:11 112:22 113:2,2 116:15,20 117:23 118:20 119:23 121:20 128:21 135:15 144:24 145:17 150.5 difference (1) 47:17 different (39) 11:24 12:24

13:3,4 17:21 22:10 23:19

29:17.23 44:25 46:9 48:22 53:3 58:16 59:23 61:16 65:15 76:16 80:20 89:25 90:5 93:13 95:13.19 101:2 108:7 109:3 112:16 116:18 117:13 120:22 126:20 135:22 141:13.16.16 147:20 150:19 153:16 differently (3) 88:2 133:15 135-11

difficult (11) 61:23 79:5 94:6 96:8 97:12 98:20 100:25 131:23 133:10 151:9 155:6 difficulty (1) 98:1 direct (7) 22:15 25:11 38:24 63:20 67:16 72:8 135:1 directions (1) 36:18 directly (13) 20:9 37:25 45:21 68:24 96:4.19

101:8.12 102:14.18 108:1 111:20 121:21 director (38) 3:12 5:13,18,19 9:6 16:4 24:18 28:21 52:9 53:4 54:1 69:3,3,3,16 75:4 76:11 79:18 80:4 81:4 89:13,16 98:22 99:1 104-11 111-21 112-13 121-24 126-11 14 17 128:12,19 130:10,19

131:8,16 133:9 directorate (1) 129:22 disagree (1) 27:2 disaster (2) 25:14 35:8 discrepancies (4) 72:3,11,21 87-21

discrepancy (2) 72:2.19 discuss (7) 14:2,10 16:8 85:22 88:13 91:23 137:13 discussed (27) 14:12 16:10 19:13,17 36:7 38:2 39:14 49:5,8 52:13 68:23 69:20,21 71:4 72:5,11 74:23 77:5 102:2 105:14 116:6 119:3.4.5.14 147:7 151:1 discussing (4) 15:21 31:19

40:20 77:9 discussion (9) 16:17,21 20:12 50:8 70:9 105:6 128:2 133:21 146:8 displaced (12) 24:14,16 50:4.12.15 51:17 57:10 59:9 73:7 82:10 84:7 93:17 distraction (2) 130:12,18 distress (1) 155:6 dividing (2) 55:23,25 division (4) 3:5 5:16 56:24 105:10 dluhc (1) 3:21

does (8) 14:25 21:1 30:22 54:7 62:8 108:24,25 109:1 doesnt (5) 33:16 34:5 96:10.24 108:25 doing (7) 15:2 24:7,8 84:13 95:3 101:13 147:22 donations (3) 116:19 117:15,19 done (13) 10:21 18:20 43:14 45:8,24 60:11 61:10 66:20

dont (33) 2:1 21:4,24 27:17 28:6 30:17 33:24 34:14 36:11 40:3 45:3.8.24 49:7 64:5 67:10 68:5 69:21 71:9 76:14 88:25 91:4 94:16 107:8,14 110:23 112:2 125:21 136:21 145:16 146:14 156:1.3 dowdican (1) 58:3

down (19) 1:14 6:15.16 13:25 23:2 41:12 45:17 53:11 56:22 69:23 70:4 71-19 74-4 86-21 98-21 99:11 104:16 125:24 148:19

Day 284

dr (14) 1:11.12.19 3:2 47:9 54:10.24 55:5 111:8.13 151:23 152:2 153:1 157:3

draft (1) 23:4 drafting (1) 10:14 draw (2) 100:8 133:18 drawing (4) 29:6 32:11 92:2 150.2

drawn (4) 66:6 80:22 133:12 145:11

drew (1) 120:18 due (6) 7:8 8:9 46:5 79:14 100:13 125:12 during (11) 3:22 15:18 54:10

60:6 69:24 74:7 75:6 85:1 90-9 91-3 150-14 duty (1) 6:7

earlier (31) 14:17 22:16 28:12 32:25 37:19 51:3 52:17 55:6 70:5 74:7 80:7 86:1.12 88:24 96:3 101:18 106:7,13 110:23 115:22 116:6,8 119:8 126:8 133:13 143:25 144:20 145:14,22 146:8 153:7 early (12) 6:23 7:19,22 36:17 37:7 40:21 41:6 68:9 84:4,7 90:25 142:12 easily (1) 93:25 east (1) 4:12 edge (1) 77:20 education (3) 114:3,12 119:7 effect (1) 26:21

effective (4) 37:2 61:5 102:24 134:3 effectively (5) 15:13 24:4

95:22 108:10 150:9 effects (1) 147:1 effort (18) 10:7,11,19 11:7,10 12:2,13 15:13

47:21,23 48:19 66:4 68:18 77:5 88:10 95:18 99:15 104:24 efforts (2) 45:2 150:12

eg (3) 100:16 105:1 106:23 either (5) 10:9 11:2 21:12 113:11 134:17 elected (5) 96:19 101:12

102:14,18 108:1 election (1) 135:20 elections (1) 135:22 electoral (1) 135:18

element (2) 25:16 56:3 ellie (1) 100:18

else (4) 17:14 51:10 80:18 153:18

elsewhere (3) 33:2 47:16 152:6

email (82) 6:6,14 8:6,13 9:14 10:15 17:3.16 22:19 27:7 31:9 33:5 38:9.11 39:17 41:8 42:13.14.21 44:7,16,25 47:2 48:6,18 49:5 56:14,18 57:2 58:2 60:3,4 62:19,20 63:14,15 64:18,21 65:5 66:8,21,25 67:11.12.16.17.25 68:9 70:5.11 79:11.24 80:6.24 81:6 86:7 88:19 97:15 100:7 101:16,19,24,25

103:5,17,18 104:17,17 105:5,8,9 106:4,7 107:1,18 109:20,21 115:21 129:2,3 148:18 149:7 emailed (3) 8:5 32:20 52:2 emailing (1) 79:13

emails (9) 56:8,15 61:15 67:17,19,20 105:21 106:13,16 embed (4) 80:14 99:3,4 100:2

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

clg00030647 (2) 125:8

142:18

embedded (7) 80:19 99:13.20 101:22 128:9 130:20 144:15 emergencies (11) 3:5 5:16 6:2 7:6 19:24 53:10 55:8 56:24 94:7 105:10 153:10 emergency (37) 8:23 14:4,17 23:23,25 26:18 34:6,9 40:13,24 41:9 43:4 48:9 63:18 64:24 66:5 67:2 70:3 74-19 75-22 77-6 87-25 93:11 96:9 117:6 121:1 122:21 123:12 127:11 132:17,25 133:2 138:21 146:24 147:2 149:12 153:14 emerging (1) 59:17 eminently (2) 80:16,23 emotional (1) 25:16 employees (1) 58:7 enacted (1) 108:3 encourage (1) 105:15 encouraged (1) 145:19 encouraging (3) 75:24 98:2 105:19 end (12) 12:10 31:25 45:2 74-9 78-13 98-3 101-16 127-23 144-3 3 149-7 152:3 energy (1) 25:11 engage (1) 63:23 engaged (1) 119:9 enough (4) 50:3,15,25 51:1 enquiries (4) 28:12 42-19 23 23 ensure (7) 25:25 39:2 60:9,12 61:1 64:25 119:8 ensures (1) 127:10 ensuring (2) 60:18,22 entail (1) 14:16 entirely (4) 73:18 126:4 128:10,22 entity (1) 95:14 envisaged (1) 11:16 epc (1) 153:14 equipped (1) 9:10 essential (2) 122:23 155:1 essentially (3) 15:12 18:11.17 etc (1) 44:11 even (6) 74:18,25 141:12 148:2.2 151:20 evening (6) 39:15 40:2,4 42:20 100:5 103:16 event (1) 25:23 events (4) 6:4 125:13 136:1 155:16 eventuality (1) 33:17 eventually (2) 13:6 137:12 ever (1) 141:5 every (3) 33:17 67:16 68:18 everybody (6) 21:10 51:13 71:5,14 73:8 94:18 everyone (2) 1:3 57:22 everything (6) 75:14 92:7 94:18.25 96:11 127:13 evidence (19) 1:21 3:16 5:22 54:13.14 55:6 59:18 67:21 76:6 95:7 106:16 110:24 117:18 127:16 153:19.24 154:1,16 155:10 evident (1) 37:15 ex (1) 132:21 exactly (3) 45:25 73:4 132:2 example (16) 11:21 12:7,14 16:15 18:22 24:13 26:11 37:14 55:18 80:17 97:11 114:7 118:13 120:16 135:18 153:14 examples (2) 29:22 101:13 133:20

executive (33) 4:6.12.15.21.23.25 11:22 15:23 21:14 27:9 35:14 68:14 69:5 75:3 79:14 87:17 88:9 95:18 96:1 99:7 103:14 112:9,12 117:5 123:7 127:8 139:18 140:16 142:16 146:3 153:8,9,11 executives (3) 18:14 135:19 139:3 exercising (1) 34:12 existing (1) 15:25 expand (2) 12:5 21:5 expect (7) 26:9 57:6 58:13,15,17,20 67:6 expectation (5) 10:9 21:21 79:1 84:24 141:9 expectations (1) 25:19 expected (16) 7:19 11:2,8 22:7 43:6 59:7.11.14 83:22,25 92:24 112:10 113:5,6 135:2 138:24 experience (29) 4:20 11:1 21:17 40:7 67:8 69:1 82:25 87:24 88:9,16 93:25 97:5,9 112:9 116:9,12,16 117-2 4 5 133-2 138-2 139-12 22 149-11 151:19,20 153:8 154:12 experienced (10) 82:23 123:9,23 126:9 130:4 132:21 133:12.18 138:21 150:6 expertise (1) 99:14 explain (5) 5:17 9:14 36:9 70:3 110:2 explained (1) 143:10 explaining (1) 17:22 explanation (1) 130:23 express (1) 17:7 extend (3) 79:20 109:25 110:8 extent (1) 149:16 extra (1) 79:15

eyes (1) 139:22 facetoface (1) 96:13 facilitate (3) 63:4,5 64:5 facilitated (1) 117:3 factual (3) 52:22 53:9.14 failing (1) 130:6 fair (9) 23:12,14 33:23 42:18 44:12 47:9 60:24 66:18 79:23 fairly (2) 82:24 97:20 falls (1) 55:19 falsely (1) 77:24 familiar (2) 36:23 135:18 families (4) 31:6 41:14,16 follow (4) 47:7 81:1 98:6 106:1 following (15) 3:3 25:14 far (2) 32:19 141:14 40:18 52:16 53:24 58:5 farrar (18) 1:11,12,19 3:2 59:2 72:11 79:23 85:7 87:9 14:2 17:11 47:9 54:10.24 93-20 98-5 130-2 152-6 55:5 70:14 76:10 111:8.13 follows (1) 64:25 151:23 152:2 153:1 157:3 followup (3) 38:3 39:11 fast (1) 136:24 96:12 fastmoving (1) 96:25 footing (1) 142:14 faulty (1) 6:20 fore (1) 59:19 fed (18) 21:12 43:12,25 forgive (4) 76:18 98:7 104:19 46:15.19.20.22 47:3 59:24 148:18 64:8.10 66:15 103:6.7 form (3) 61:8 81:19 82:17 134:18.21.22 135:5 formal (3) 45:20 85:7 145:16 feed (5) 21:10 48:12 89:5 formation (1) 40:14 135:1,14 formed (1) 10:13 feedback (2) 47:2 55:15 former (4) 3:6 62:25 75:2 feeding (4) 50:23 51:5 112:9 103:11 134:21

80:2 94:25 124:15.19

145:1,19 153:25 154:1

forum (1) 22:13

forward (4) 67:19 95:4

forwarded (3) 67:14,14,15

82:16.17 96:5 114:7

136:7,9 137:16 142:3

found (12) 70:21 71:13 73:8

102:25 133:16

25:22 26:14 29:6.7 32:11 39:1 43:10 45:10.12 69:22 70:4 72:17 82:4.6 84:4 87:22.23 88:14.17 94:23 96:11 112:17 113:21,22 114:3,20,23 115:8 120:6,15 121:2,5 122:15,16 123:7,14,15,22 124:25 125:3 126:23 129:8.11.14.16 130:10 139:21 141:2 144:25 145:2 few (8) 1:22 27:1 36:12.13 116:23 139:16 150:7 155:16 fifth (1) 6:16 figures (1) 30:21 fill (1) 149:5 filtered (1) 105:5 final (7) 63:8 83:5 100:9 109:23 125:7 150:17 153:18 finally (1) 3:7 finance (4) 26:24 33:23,24 104:6 financial (2) 23:23 77:6 find (8) 50:18 62:10 98:17 100:10 17 112:4 121:25 154:8 finding (1) 52:7 fine (1) 2:7 finish (1) 144:6 finished (2) 54:5 136:8 finishing (1) 128:6 fiona (8) 97:19 98:22 101:21 104:10 105:23 111:18.20 126:13 fire (26) 1:5 3:4,9,11,24 6:6,18,20 7:2,16 9:21 17:8 19:6 20:7 23:16,18 24:14,17 62:21 71:23 93:21 110:15 149:21 150:10 154:5 155:16 firm (2) 45:5 78:3 first (45) 2:10 3:10 5:2 6:6 10:3 13:12 15:5 19:5,7,10 20:3 25:7 36:12,13 38:10 40:15 49:9 54:12 60:3 65:22,24 66:23 68:15 70:20 71:3 73:2,3 77:3 79:11 89:20 95:7.22 98:10 103:19 109:21 111:14.25 115:6 129:4 130:3 149:19 150:7 152:4 153:23 154:11 firstly (3) 3:3 86:10 104:15 flagged (1) 29:25 flooding (1) 97:11 focus (2) 3:2 95:2 focused (2) 7:13 43:7 focusing (1) 94:22

gain (2) 114:24 137:16 gained (1) 131:1 gap (1) 126:6 gather (1) 33:12 gathered (1) 29:18 gathering (1) 53:17 60:8 84:11 136:17 general (18) 3:12 5:13,18 155:19 genuine (1) 114:25 genuinely (1) 131:10 90:12 91:17 96:11,16 98:17 107:5 gets (1) 152:3 getting (3) 98:1 106:9.23 gill (4) 3:7 6:7 8:8 10:16 gist (1) 120:7 give (21) 1:21 25:11,25 26:17 28:1 36:22 49:11 58:12 77:24 83:11 84:9 124:10 133:25 146:9 153:24 154:1 155:9 26:1 41:14 42:5 43:3 126:22 130:23 134:24 150:23 giving (3) 81:16 138:13 155:20 glad (1) 154:14 glean (1) 85:23 glo (3) 57:1 58:13.18 goes (14) 25:21 30:18 90:8 135:21 going (30) 1:4 3:21 22:16 53:6 61:23 75:3 80:6 126:16 133:25 137:22 138:22 141:5,22,23,24

56:8 69:13 73:25 78:25 86:6 92:1.9 96:2 118:1 133:4.6.11 135:3 137:19 138:2,8,22 139:5,8,13 140:7 141:2,21 142:1,21 143:2.21 144:1 150:3 good (21) 1:3,9,9,19 4:23 15:22 25:1,3 31:18 32:24 53:11 68:23 75:23 83:8 86:4 110:20 125:22 132:23 140:21 141:14 142:21 governance (2) 145:18,25 3:12,14,19 5:14 6:1 7:25 17:11,15,20,22,25 18:5,13 21-4 12 13 18 22-2 24-7 25:13 14 18 20 24 26:24 27:14,24 28:22 29:22 33:2 37:6,9,13,21 38:6 41:1 46:11 48:13.17 50:23 51:6 63:12,25 64:23 65:16 67:7 8 69:2 4 72:6 75:4 10 76:4.11 80:11.17 86:18 88:3,6 92:7 94:11 96:22 104:6,23 108:2,10,11,11 109:12 112:10,14 116:1 120:19 121:6,17 126:23 134:22.25 135:14.16.19 145:11 146:3 147:6.19 governments (1) 15:19 great (5) 83:8 104:2 124:9 grenfell (13) 6:19 10:8 17:8 81:13,18 103:3 110:15 grip (5) 58:1 90:12 91:16 gripping (6) 44:11.14.23 ground (12) 15:8 37:12 62:14 15 86:16 104:25 group (36) 6:19 15:5 18:2,3 23:7 24:24 40:15 43:14 46:16.20 48:13 52:12.14 53:8 54:3 55:14 56:9 58:7 59:6 61:19 65:13,13,15,17 69:12.13 72:15 94:20.21 99:12 126:3 134:14,16,18 31:9 32:15 33:5 37:23 38:6 39:17 41:7 44:10 50:10

hamish (1) 58:6 hammersmith (5) 20:10,24 22:11.13 31:24 hand (3) 12:11 41:6 43:15 handed (1) 56:2 handing (1) 56:10 handling (3) 66:4,16 130:5 handover (4) 40:16,18 56:7 61:20 hands (1) 31:21 handwritten (2) 70:17,19 happen (5) 96:24 114:12 117:9 139:10 143:15 happened (13) 24:23 49:21 102:9 124:22 128:25 129:1 136:12 148:3,5 150:25 151:5,14 154:6 happening (9) 37:12 48:14 61:9 62:3 86:16 94:7 134:9 137:21 139:20 happens (1) 65:11 happily (1) 2:3 happy (9) 24:25 64:5 76:2 77:10 82:12 122:18 142:25 143:2 145:4 hard (14) 60:9 75:20 82:19 92:12 102:3 109:7 125:24 128-15 17 137-16 24 138:25 150:14 151:2 hasnt (3) 33:14 91:12 152:4 havent (5) 54:5 82:23 107:6 110:6 121:3 having (22) 11:23 32:14 33:10 39:14 45:13,16 72-7 8 9 9 81-3 99-18 117:1 120:15 123:5.8.9.13 125:2 130:20 149:8 155:1 head (5) 6:7 44:21 46:6 58:4 103:10 health (2) 6:18 12:16 hear (5) 1:4,24 17:8 155:15,19 heard (13) 3:6,16 5:22 10:22 11:13 46:7 68:8 106:16 128:2.4 141:24 143:18 153:7 hearing (4) 1:4 32:8 73:5 156:10 heavy (1) 11:3 hed (1) 19:7 helen (13) 18:6 23:20 42:25 80:24 89:16 100:11 103:18 104:22 105:14.23 107:4 108:14 109:9 helens (3) 18:9 104:9 107:15 hello (1) 104:21 help (65) 14:16 17:10 18:13 20:14 21:5,10,11,18 25:12 26:2,10,15 30:5 37:9 44:13 51:19 56:16 57:8 59:3 63:13 66:10 79:21 80:13 82:14.15 83:2 86:6 88:7.17 90:13 91:17 95:3 96:17 97:2,7,9 98:14 104:2,5 105:15,20,22 107:11,13 109:12 25 114:19 115:1 116:2 118:6 120:4 122:8.22 125:5 130:22 131:19 133:25 135:25 139:2.4 141:22 150:6 153:10 155:4,5 helped (1) 154:1 helpful (15) 17:14 24:25 34:23.24 38:3 39:2 79:19 99:16,21 104:25 106:11 120:19 123:10.15 144:18 helping (4) 82:10 123:24 133:12 134:1 helps (1) 1:24 hence (6) 32:3 33:9,17 39:13 134:2 140:19 here (49) 5:4 7:15,17 9:12 13:24 22:25 24:6 27:6.7

142:21 144:7 hers (1) 124:3 hf (1) 20:24 hi (1) 97:17 highest (1) 61:8 himself (1) 83:25 88-15 hmg (1) 63:12 65:13 35:15,23 37:25 133:9,21,24 137:4.12.22 90:11 140:4 98:22 126:14 71:21 131:24 honest (1) 74:17 71:4,7,13,15 103:20 115:14 hour (1) 141:12 houses (1) 103:4 79:18.18 111:17.21 114:1.2

feeds (1) 37:10 exceptionally (1) 144:18 feel (14) 2:4 53:10 79:16 exchange (3) 98:2 125:17 128:18 131:13 144:21 exchanges (2) 66:8 143:18 exchanging (1) 136:2 feeling (1) 114:18 exec (2) 97:18 132:21 feildingmellen (1) 126:12 Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

felt (53) 14:21 15:22.24 fourth (1) 86:21 frankly (1) 77:25 fraught (3) 129:6,7 148:22 free (5) 124:15 125:9 144:21 fulham (5) 20:11,24 full (1) 43:1 function (5) 5:21,24

154:8

145:1 155:23

fresh (1) 139:22

front (2) 2:9 96:14

22:11.14 31:24

135:21,24 146:20

functioning (1) 29:20

functions (5) 5:25 108:25

109:2 135:16 150:15

funding (20) 19:21,22,23,25

friday (7) 66:24 67:5 127:20

129:3 151:11,15 154:14

gold (47)

154-21

golds (1) 92:2

gone (2) 46:21 88:4

government (122) 1:7

9:6,17,20,22

15:4,6,11,24

14:7.21.22.23.25

19:14,18,20 20:8,22

35:1,5,25 36:11,15

55:15 59:25 61:11

97:7,10 99:5 100:15

101:7.11 102:15.19

149:3 150:21

119:20,24

gratton (1) 104:17

128:24 129:20

greater (1) 16:19

greatly (1) 155:5

130:7 154:5

107:9 132:22

grey (2) 90:17 124:3

46:18 48:2 95:25

51:9,10 60:13 61:2

106:8.22

154:9.23

groups (2) 51:4 73:5

guess (1) 144:11

guidance (1) 5:9

green (2) 90:18 91:8

63:1,19 64:24 71:24

grateful (4) 23:6 63:5

11:8.12.15.20.20.23.25

123:24 132:5,12

12:6.11.12.16.13:1.6.42:5

20:1 23:5 26:11.16.18.20 30:21 37:18 74:18,19 80:17 103:22,24 104:5,7 121:18 further (13) 6:15 13:9 23:2 58:12 71:19 78:4,8 81:9 85:20 87:22 89:23 99:11 126.2 gave (6) 16:12 24:23 50:8 9:6 24:18 30:3,13,20 31:20 35:11 54:2 69:3,16 75:4 76:11 77:17 89:16 112:13 generally (3) 109:1 144:14 get (16) 2:1 27:25 37:8,15 45:9,12,16 51:9 65:7,10 97:21 114:5 121:17 122:24 given (41) 6:25 8:22 9:2 10:7 11:4,4,16,17 18:15 24:15 45:3.18 49:16 50:17 51:24 53:15 54:3 57:17 72:6.17 75:4.7 78:14 87:23 92:18 93:24 114:20 119:22 125:1 138:9 139:23 140:11 147:3 31:14,17 32:16 50:7 57:13 58:11 72:25 77:12 78:1.9 26:6,23 32:17 46:24 50:18 82:12.12 95:4 97:23 99:23 102:24,25 103:21 104:10

h (2) 105:15 107:8 hadnt (11) 11:16 42:19 43:19 45:22 46:1 81:1 116:6 119:22 123:20 139:1 151:20 half (1) 141:12

64:8.11 72:2 73:21 76:9 84:1 89:22 98:6.11 100:7 103:6 104:23 105:8 106:18 109:23 110:3 115:20 122:7 125:8.13.22 129:18 136:1 hes (3) 63:1 73:15 106:7 heywood (3) 44:8 46:21 49:5 heywoods (1) 48:18 high (2) 25:19 47:20 highlight (1) 86:23 hindsight (3) 78:18 85:19 hm (3) 4:6 15:18 17:1 hmt (2) 32:23 33:4 hoc (5) 18:1 43:24 54:2,3 hold (5) 28:1 30:17 45:9,13 holding (2) 52:10 73:25 holgate (84) 15:18 16:24 17:4 18:16 27:4 10 28:7 32:6,8,19 33:10,18 38:4,12,19,24 39:9 41:4 45:2 46:4 48:7 63:22 65:7,10,19 68:4,24 69:8 70:8,14,24 72:4 73:25 75:3 76:9 77:2 78:21 81:7 85:1 87:10 89:6 91:10.25 92:6 93:6 95:8,9,23 96:4 97:24 98:12 105:19 107:10 108:15 112:23.24 123:2.3 125:18 126:2 127:4 136:3,5,9,10,15 138:5.14.15.24 139:8 140:20 141:18.20 150:24 holgates (4) 76:6 84:10 home (11) 9:1,25 17:25 18:3 43:13,23 57:25 64:21 79:20 109:24 110:8 homelessness (3) 57:19 homes (5) 25:17 52:8 53:2 hope (3) 54:25 56:21 111:9 hopefully (2) 42:15 91:18 hostile (2) 75:10,12 hotel (6) 41:15 70:21 hotels (5) 71:10.16 73:7 hours (3) 36:13 128:16 148:3 housed (2) 122:1 128:18 households (2) 71:20 103:20 housing (101) 3:18,20 18:8 19:19 20:13 23:17 30:21 42:3,23 43:5 46:13,18 47:3,20,22 48:19,25 49:7 52:10 53:4,20 54:4 55:19 66:16 71:1,2 73:3,17 80:4,5,8,14,15,18,21 81:4.4.17 89:16 91:13 92:4 95:1.17.18 98:23 99:2.3.4.12.13.19 100:13,22 101:15,17,22 103:13 104:1,9,11 105:25,25 106:20 109:5

119:11.25 121:23.24.25

126:11.13.17.19 128:12.19

122:6.8.10.13 124:11

129:5,12,19,21,21

52:1 53:16 59:8 62:7,11,19

130:9.19.20 131:8.16.21.23 133:10 136:11 148:21 however (2) 17:10 39:1 huge (1) 67:20 humanitarian (2) 16:15,19 hurd (5) 62:20 64:22 90:2 106:5,21 hurds (1) 106:13

id (50) 2:15 4:9 5:2 6:4 10:3 13:8.23 19:10 25:6 27:4 28:12 31:8 35:16 44:7 48:23 51:20 52:22 67:24 68:3 71:1 76:1,6 77:21 80.6 81.8 86.12 87.7 89.20 97:23 98:5 100:8 101:18 103:5 104:13 107:16 118:22 122:4 123:1,1 124:1 125:7 126:2 129:2,23 132:14 136:8 137:10 148:16 153:21,23 idea (1) 75:10 ideally (1) 105:14 ideas (1) 31:19 identified (1) 26:11 identify (2) 22:18 58:22 ii (2) 64:1.25 ill (7) 35:18 47:13 57:2 76:24 78:9 91:18 128:17 im (60) 3:21 16:21,22 22:3,16 26:12,13 30:23,25 31:6.6 33:3 35:10.10 36:4.4 37:19 38:21.22 40:5 48:15 53:20 56:20 61:12,15,20 68:1 69:8 72:22 73:23 76:5,14 78:23 79:14 84:12 88:25 89:7 90:25 91:7 92:12 97:22 98:19 99:8 107:12 109:9 123:19 126:4 127:15 129:9 132:9.18 134:2 137:7.23 139:6 143:1 147:23 151:10 154:13,14 imagine (4) 46:20 47:2 50:24 147:19 immediate (6) 14:4 17:13 50:1,10 124:18 131:21

impact (2) 7:20 9:3 impacted (1) 155:2 importance (2) 154:12.19 important (30) 29:8 36:13,14 37:6,9 48:9 51:4.11.18 58:18 69:23 70:4 72:15 93:4 94:8.16.19 95:2 96:6.12 100:3 102:12 112:17 145:24 148:10.11 153:25 154:13 155:1.3 importantly (2) 106:4 120:23 impressed (1) 154:7 impression (9) 78:12 82:18 129:10 130:5.25 131:4 136:17 139:6.7

involve (3) 10:1 108:24 109:1 inadequate (2) 149:22,25 involved (28) 4:10 8:8 9:13 incident (28) 7:20 8:21.23.24 12:15 14:3,11 15:11 17:18 9:3 14:9.14 18:1 23:16 21:14 23:16 25:22 34:13 26:9 34:24 39:1 60:10 61:4 36:16 55:9 62:6 72:7 82:7 85:18 92:22 94:12,15 85:6.14.15 92:14.21 93:23 116:24 120:16 123:17 94:13 95:16 97:13 101:17 130:7 131:22 142:13 150:8 104:4 130:15 151:15 154:6 involvement (10) 11:4 37:7 incidents (16) 10:25 11:1.22 77:5 86:5 110:14 143:19 21:15 36:10 37:4 69:10 144:3.4 149:14.17 82:11 84:18 97:11,12 110:5,12 120:15 146:2 involves (1) 12:14 irritated (1) 130:10 155:2 isnt (8) 7:17 12:22 51:7.8 include (2) 5:15 57:5 55:23 97:8 108:11,20 included (1) 16:4 issues (33) 7:3,15 8:9 9:13 including (5) 25:24 46:4 50:5 16:12 18:8 19:13 23:13,17 77:15 120:13 26:14 37:20 42:6 44:5 increasing (1) 147:14 46:1.2.16 53:24 58:15 incurred (1) 23:25 61:18.18 62:5.5 64:10 95:8 independent (1) 96:19 index (1) 157:1 104:1.7.9 106:15 116:3.7 117:24 118:3,4 indicated (2) 15:17 77:17

indication (4) 41:21 84:9,12 113-12 indicator (1) 68:20 individual (1) 34:18 individuals (2) 9:3 130:6 inevitable (1) 10:6 inexperienced (1) 120:6 info (1) 30:20 inform (1) 90:10 information (62) 17:19 28:16,17,23 29:9,14,16,18 30:1.8.11 31:15 32:4 33:13.25 34:2.4.11.22 35:9 37:22,24 38:18 39:3,5,13,25 40:7 43:22,25 46:15 47:14 48:6 49:16 51:5,12,19,23 52:15,16,21 53:11.15.18 59:8 74:3 81-16 85-23 92-15 17 18 103:6.7.10 106:9.23 114:21,24 134:17 135:14 150:2 155:5 informed (4) 35:23,24 119:9 130:11 inquiry (10) 1:18,21 2:8 3:16 5:22 11:13 100:16 106:16

153-23 157-5

inquirys (1) 153:20

inspection (4) 29:17

135:16,21,24

interested (1) 35:3

internal (1) 90:4

interrupt (1) 29:13

intervals (1) 69:13

intervene (7) 96:22

148:11

151:1,3,4

141:13

138:5,7

155:11

invite (1) 20:3

inviting (1) 153:24

101:14

inspirational (1) 154:9 instance (3) 9:9 21:25 instigated (1) 119:7 iavid (1) 144:25 instrument (1) 147:24 ib (1) 142:21 intelligence (1) 57:20 intensity (1) 133:11 interest (2) 48:16 120:18 interesting (1) 155:19 international (1) 120:17 100:13,14,24 101:1 108:2 intervened (2) 29:23 101:3 intervention (4) 150:24 intimated (1) 130:18 into (11) 8:24 18:1 26:21 28:12 38:10 54:1 75:20 86:25 134:21 140:25 introduced (5) 118:10,11,18 introduction (1) 1:22 investigation (2) 153:20 invoked (2) 133:6 139:16

items (1) 117:7 its (98) 2:12.16 3:17.19 6:14 8:6 9:18 10:8.15 13:21.25 15:15 20:17 22:17 25:7 28:4 33:8 34:1 35:7 36:12,13 39:18 41:24 46:9 48:9.11 49:25 50:23 51:3 53:10 56:14,21 60:21,22 61:25 63:15 65:24 67:15 69:25 70:11 72:15 75:20 76:7 79:11.12 81:11 82:14 83:17 90:25 92:12 93:4 94:8.14.16.18 95:13 96:8 97:8,14,15 100:3 101:10 102:6,11,17,19 103:17,18 104:17 106:7 109:6,20,20,21 110:21 115:19.21 116:10.14.17 125:23 129:3 131:4 133:8.10 145:16.22 147:6 148:2,17 150:17 151:9 154:16,16,24 155:3,14,18 itself (3) 29:9 66:6 81:18 ive (15) 22:21 51:17 62:17 88:15 91:9,13 92:13 97:6 102:21 106:20 116:16 123-16 146-2 154-6 8 iacket (1) 113:11 james (4) 56:21 57:1 63:1 64:13 january (1) 4:18

jenny (2) 56:18,23 jeremy (4) 44:8 46:21 48:18 jillian (4) 5:18 19:1 20:3 110:16 jo (20) 1:11,12 8:15 14:2 17:11 30:8 31:1 32:20 52:3 65:7 70:14 76:10 90:22 91:14 97:17 105:14 124:12 132:23 142:23 157:3 job (2) 25:13 82:8 john (32) 137:8,20,23 138:8,16 139:4,5,9,12 140:2.5.14.15.20 141:1,7,11,11,17,19,20 142:1.4 143:6.8.13.17 144:9.13 145:7 149:2.11 johnson (10) 125:18 126:11,17 127:4 128:13,14 129:8 131:3 136:15 148:24 joining (1) 57:4 jointly (1) 85:17 jot (2) 53:11 69:23 judge (1) 84:8 iudgement (4) 84:5 124:19,23 145:2 judgements (2) 52:23 151:13 judgment (1) 124:15 july (1) 85:2 june (47) 6:4,8 13:10 16:2 20:18 23:1 26:3 27:5,8 28:7.14 35:17 39:4.16.18 44:6 45:19 46:7 48:23 49:25 51:21 56:18 58:23 62:19 64:9,18 68:6 70:11,15 84:24 85:3 86:11 87:8 88:21 89:9 97:15 100:5 104:13 105:9 106:17 111:13.15 115:21 118:23 129:4 149:14.17

katherine (27) 5:19 16:3 18:25 23:3.10.14 24:22 40.20 42.14 22 43.12 49:2.23 53:16 63:16 79:19 89:13 90:10,18 103:10 105:9 110:5,7 132:20 140:24 144:8 150:13 kay (3) 5:18 19:1 110:16

kays (1) 20:3 kc (5) 30:16 97:18 100:13,24 106:24 keen (3) 59:3 63:24 64:22 keenness (2) 101:20 103:13 keep (5) 1:23 8:18 53:20 79:1 124:17 kensington (16) 13:7 20:12 22:14 27:9 29:3,24 30:9 31:12.15 32:2 35:11 94:15 97:18 103:2 127:2 133:5 kent (2) 105:16 129:13 kerry (1) 97:19 kiddell (1) 49:14 kind (13) 61:10 84:9,16 88:5 93:12 110:15 113:12 114:16,21 116:14,21 120-25 137-24 knew (16) 7:4 15:17.23 27:13 31:7 32:3 33:1.3.4 38:5 48:21 59:21 71:1 73:17 128:20 142:8

know (107) 2:5 17:13.22 21:5,24 29:3 33:8 34:11 35:6 37:23 38:21,25 39:8 41:20,25 46:23 47:14 48:5 53:21 54:10 57:4 58:19 65.9 68.25 69.7 11 21 71.9 72:9 73:22 74:18 76:1 77:22 79:4 81:2 82:11,23 83:23 84:6,12 87:1 88:6 92:19 93:1.3.11.17.17.22 94:7,14,25 95:14,18,20 96:7 97:12 98:25 101:18 102:7 9 103:12 105:3 107:12.15 108:6.9 109:5 114:8,9,10,16,18,25 116:14 119:23 120:11,13 121:4.16.21.21.25 122:10,17 123:1,6,25 127:10 128:25 129:9 133:14 138:11 140:24 142:10 143:4.23.25 144:10 145:1.18 147:13 150:14.18 151:22 154:15 155:3 knowledge (8) 2:25 4:24 32:13 72:6 86:16 120:25 146:11 155:18 known (5) 3:14,18 5:16 48:4 113:25

la (1) 44:10

lack (2) 84:16 118:15

lanyard (1) 113:11

large (2) 109:8 149:16

language (2) 79:7 82:21

last (7) 15:15 52:6 63:11 65:6 108:20 115:25 154:25 lasted (1) 40:6 lastly (1) 2:4 later (21) 20:14 26:1 27:1 32:18 36:20 44:17.18.19 47:5 48:6 55:9 64:19 88:14 89:9 103:16 124:22 125:22 134:9 135:5 144:5.17 latest (1) 39:22 laura (8) 125:18 126:11,17 128:13,14 129:8 131:3 lead (39) 9:20,22 10:10 11:7.9 14:21.25 15:4 17:24 18-2 7 14 23 21-13 41-3 42:5.12 43:3.24 47:22 48:13,17 51:9 56:4 61:15 62:8 65:12,12 68:12,13 81:4 86:15.17 94:23 126:18 138:14 140:10 142:1,15 leader (30) 31:17 32:16 63:21 83:11.17.21.22.24 87:6 98:3 112:11 114:8 118:25 119:7,11,13,22 120:8 121:3,10,16,20 122:6.8.14.14 128:8.23

likely (1) 14:19

76:8.21 78:9

137:19 149:2

listed (1) 146:19

108:21 152:11

128:20,21,24

list (1) 50:5

108:2

limited (3) 48:16 107:25

line (10) 13:25 20:19 39:21

55:23.25 57:12 67:13

lines (3) 57:13.21 98:21

little (5) 13:16 30:8 93:9

lizzie (6) 99:12.20 100:2

link (6) 63:6 64:1 99:5 122:9

lynne (1) 58:3

m (1) 144:10

mac (1) 104:22

107:4 108:14

macnamara (8) 18:6 23:20

80:24 89:16 103:18 104:3

leadership (24) 3:25 16:5,8 95-12 15 15 101-5 5 102:11.12.23 108:5.7.8 126:21 131:4.8 133:19 145:3.12.21.24 147:15 148:9 leading (24) 6:24 10:19,25 11:1 12:13 16:14 17:11,15 40:23 43:10 47:18,20 51:8.10 55:22 65:18 68:10 12 86:3 95:17 131:23 133:3 141:1 142:21 leads (1) 9:17 leaping (1) 124:17 learned (2) 47:5 155:15 learnt (4) 51:17 123:16 146:2 151:19 least (4) 100:21 112:11 131-17 153-17 leave (1) 141:11 led (17) 8:17,25 11:22 12:2,16,25 14:5 43:7 47:16 82:23.24 85:21 93:11 95:22 110:16 117:25 123:13 left (7) 91:9 98:13,16 125:24 140-22 141-8 152-5 legislation (1) 6:19 lengthy (1) 118:20 lens (2) 61:16 62:7 less (2) 92:21 141:12 lessons (3) 123:17 153:22 154:11 let (9) 2:5 17:13 22:18 36:14 105:3 122:10 144:10 146:16 152:4 lets (13) 15:14 30:4 33:21 44:6 49:9 70:10 73:14 79:11 84:21 86:7 90:22 98:6 135:25 letters (1) 81:15 level (4) 61:8 69:3 144:9 145:7 levelling (1) 3:20 levels (1) 58:16 Ifb00119323 (1) 58:21 Ifb001193234 (1) 58:24 lga (11) 29:17 83:6 86:9,11,15 87:11 119:19 120:12 124:12,16 135:3 liaise (1) 57:17 liaison (8) 6:3 7:24.25 23:15 134:13 135:13 136:6 150:20 light (2) 32:22 38:15 lighttouch (1) 101:3 like (61) 2:15 4:9 5:2 6:4,15 8:21 10:3 13:8,23 17:2 19:10 20:17 25:6.14.17 26:9 27:4 28:13 29:4 30:8 31:8 35:16 39:15 44:7 48:23 51:20 57:12 60:10 63:14 68:3 76:6 81:8 87:7 89:20 98:5 100:8,20 103:5 104:13 111:14 112:3 115:4 117-17 18 118-22 122-4 124:1 125:7 127:18 129:2,23 132:14,22 140:6 142:17 148:16 153:19.21.23 154:18 155:13

II (1) 132:7 llag (11) 11:13,20 12:6 84:25 85:8.11 132:8.9 142:7.10.13 llovd (1) 87:10 local (139) 1:6 3:12,14,18 4:21 5:13 6:1,21 7:4,4,6,14,24 8:3,16,20,22 9:4,6,8,18 10:18,24 11:8.12.21.23 12:12.20.21.22.24 13:1.5 14:5.19.20.23 16:5.18.22 18:4 19:13.17.21.23 20:9 21:7 23:23 24:9,11 26:10,24 28:16,22,24,25 29:9,16,19,20,22,23 32:3,14 33:13,15 34:9,18 35:5 36:10.14.19.22.23 40.22 25 41.1 22 24 42:3.17 44:10 46:12.17 47:21 48:1,8,16 50:19,21 51:12 52:21 55:11,17 56:10 58:9 63:2.6 67:7 72:6 75:4 76:11 77:16 85:24 86:18 92:2,9 93:12 95:5,10 96:22,23 97:5 100:18 21 101:11 102:17 103:1 14 104:6 108:11 112:10 121:6 130:11 132:5,11 133:3 134:14,25 135:16,19 139:5,8,13 141:21 142:1 147:8 154:21 locally (3) 8:25 14:6 130:14 location (1) 10:8 log (2) 79:1.2 london (67) 7:5 10:10.22.23 11:2,3,12,18 13:3,4 20:10 22:7,9,13 29:7 32:12.13.14.17 34:16 35:13 36:25 37:1,2 57:18 58:7 59:5 61:21 73:20,22 74:1 77:6 84:17,18,19,21 85:5.13.17 86:5 92:19.20 93:23 94:2 131:14.25.25 132:3.5.9.11 133:6.6 134:4,6 135:3,3 138:8 139:15 140:13,16,18,18 142:14,23,24 150:3 long (9) 40:5 61:23 70:22 71:15,25 74:21 81:14 91:18 128:16 longer (2) 101:10 152:11 longerterm (1) 9:2 longwinded (1) 147:22 look (15) 20:3 25:18 26:22 28:13 33:21 42:25 48:18 49:9 79:21 80:25 84:22 117:11 131:16 135:17 139:25 looked (4) 28:25 116:18 142:9 147:19 looking (15) 17:19 26:16.19 29:14 61:15,17 62:7 71:22 86:7 96:14 101:24 108:13 119:15 132:15 138:12 looks (2) 9:2 34:18 lorna (1) 104:17 lost (2) 25:16 131:24 lot (17) 40:24 41:9 43:25 46:24 47:24 74:3 84:6 94:7 96:10,10 102:13 109:13 120:5 123:17 127:2 136:18 155:16 lots (3) 32:21 44:10,22 loved (1) 25:16 lrg (1) 59:5 Irp (1) 57:18 lunch (1) 110:22

116:1 148-21 139:6

macnamaras (1) 42:25 magnitude (4) 130:7 131:22 140:11 149:10 main (5) 42:4 53:19 54:4 69:23 149:17 majestys (4) 63:12,25 64:22 major (2) 6:20 10:25 making (14) 10:1 45:1 50:22 51:16 53:24 61:14.22 71:21 73:11 102:22.25 145:3.21 148:9 malhotra (26) 1:8.9.17.19 22:23,25 29:12 30:4 54:5,8 55:3,4 76:18 107:20 109:19 110:18 111:12,13 148:13 151:23 152:10 153:5.6 155:9 156:1.3 manage (12) 79:6 82:14 84:15 94:12 95:3.24 102:8,18 108:9 131:9 133:10 149:9 managed (15) 41:10 48:14 61:24 62:16 67:2 84:18 88:7,11 100:1 123:9 125:6 129:5 133:14 147:10 management (5) 14:14 85:24 94:15 100:13 101:15 manager (4) 122:21 126:7 132:17 138:21 managing (17) 39:1 68:25 69:1,10,12 74:21 77:18 85:17 93:25 102:5,7 121-12 123-17 131-20 133:2 139:19 149:12 manner (1) 130:9 many (9) 7:6 38:15 50:5 60:13 62:13,14 93:17 118:6 131:24 march (3) 2:12 4:13,17 margot (1) 63:1 mark (2) 87:10 145:17 marked (2) 113:8.10 martin (36) 1:3.13.17 22:21,24 29:13 54:7,9,17,24 55:2 76:14,17 107:21,24 108:13,19,24 109:15.18 110:20 111:1.8.11 147:21 148:5 151:25 152:11.16.18 153:1.5 155:13.23 156:1.4 mason (1) 105:10 massive (4) 124:16 144:21,22,24 materials (2) 63:10 115:24 matter (2) 44:20 55:5 matters (2) 6:5 77:9 mattress (1) 116:14 mattresses (1) 117:10 maybe (8) 66:20 68:20 73:1 88:13 128:16 131:17 137:9 mcmanus (6) 3:7 5:23 6:7,14 8:5 149:4 mean (89) 9:15 11:14 14:25 15:1,7 21:3,4 26:8 33:12,16 34:3,19 35:10 36:9.10 38:25 39:7.8 40:6 41:24 46:16 50:13.19 53:9 55:25 59:10 61:25 62:8 65:11 71:8 72:13,15 74:20 79:4 80:6 82:4.6 83:19 84:5.12 85:3 86:1 92:12 93:3 94:6 96:18 19:25 97:1.8 99:17 100:24 101:23 105:20 107:12.17.22.25.25 108:5,19,22,23 110:6 116:14,19 117:17 119:6 120:3 123:16 125:23 126:25 128:14 129:8 134:14.16.18 135:8.15.23 137:14 138:24 145:15

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters means (1) 15:3

147:7 151:1.2.10.12.17

meant (4) 101:1,1 120:20 150:4 mechanics (1) 24:4 mechanism (2) 11:6 37:10 mechanisms (1) 96:22 media (8) 6:17 7:1,11 120:5,5,9,17,22 meet (7) 23:24 83:18 98:18 104:10 118:17 137:12 151:12 neeting (92) 19:3,5,7 20:2.16 21:2.11.11 23:7 24:23 26:23 32:7 35:16.21 36:2 39:25 40:4,5 43:22 49:6,8,12 50:1 52:10,24 53:5 57:11 58:23 59:2,14 81:3 88:22,23,24,25 89:2.6.10.10.23 90-1 4 5 7 9 23 24 91-2 18 98:20 100:1 103:23 104:23 111:21 112:24 118:22,24 119:3,10,11 121:24 122:2.11.17 125:17.25 126:14,16 127:21,22,23 128:1,2 129:5,15 130:1,3 131:1 134:21 136:3,4,8,9 137-3 14 24 141-25 142-2 143:21 144:1 148:21 23 meetings (14) 16:11,13 18:5 25:5 43:25 44:2,3 54:1 66:2 90:3 91:2 92:14 131:2 melanie (41) 4:2 13:11 15:17,22 17:17 18:5,15,24 27:12 31:10 32:20 33:3 35:17.22 36:3 38:2 39:8.14 44:24 49:1,15 51:22 68:9,22 72:5 74:24 85:22 87:22 88:23 89:1.2.4 102:2 107:3 124:24 132:18 140:23 142:20 143:25 144:25 145:10 member (6) 56:19,23 81:4 97:16 126:18 136:11 members (9) 1:10 83:10 126:21,21 127:3,7,9 136:19,21 nemory (4) 13:21 16:10 19:19 98:20 mention (3) 14:15 81:22 154:3 mentioned (30) 11:19 16:4.24.25 22:16 23:22 28:12 32:25 37:18 43:16 55:7 62:17 72:19 73:6 94:3 107:3 115:2 116:25 118:5 119:8 120:16 122:5 131:12,25 138:4 140:7 141:6 144:20 145:14 146:7 message (29) 27:11,18 28:5 45:14.22 90:10.14 91:9 92:5 96:14 98:13.15.15 100:9 122:4,19,20,24 125:7,11,20,22 132:14,16 142:18,19 144:7,21 148:23 essages (10) 90:17 91:5 95:23 124:2,3,24 129:13 132:19 135:4 136:3 messaging (1) 14:1 met (7) 19:1 51:15 89:4 111:22 118:19 126:2 154:5 mhclg (5) 3:19 53:2 98:23 99:13 128:8 middle (6) 38:9,12 79:4 96:9 138:17 151:15 might (24) 17:22 19:20 36:17.19 56:16 72:17.23.24 75:19 80:12 81:25 90:12 91:17 95:11 96:16 100:2,20 102:15 110:10 121:17 133:13 141:19 147:21 152:12 mightnt (1) 147:22 mind (6) 40:22 67:3 127:1 130:19 133:17 134:6 mine (2) 42:25 124:5

minister (18) 24:21,24 32:17 48:25 60:7.17 61:8 62:13.20.24 63:2.20.24 64:15 111:17 126:12 146:18 147:11 ministerchaired (1) 141:25 ministerial (28) 15:5 18:1,2,5 30:19 43:21,24 48:13 54:1,2,3 57:6 59:2 61:18,19 65:13,13 72:15 73:5 75:1 89:10.23 90:1.4 92:14 94:20.21 126:3 ministers (5) 44:14 59:3 103:22 106:21 147:3 ministry (2) 3:18 4:6 minute (4) 20:2 36:2,4 73:1 minuted (1) 59:15 minutes (9) 24:21 44:3 58:14,16,16,18,22 59:10 134:22 misplaced (1) 123:8 mitigating (1) 147:1 mm (1) 74:7 mo (1) 125:10 mobile (3) 27:8,15 45:7 moment (8) 54:6 60:15 71:18 72:1 93:8 110:19 120-1 128-23 money (5) 24:4 63:4 64:2 65:1,21 moorebick (36) 1:3,13,17 22:21.24 29:13 54:7,9,17,24 55:2 76:14,17 107:21,24 108:13,19,24 109-15 18 110-20 111:1.8.11 147:21 148:5 151:25 152:11,16,18 153:1,5 155:13,23 156:1,4 more (34) 12:7 25:14 29:21 30:8 32:5 33:9,19 38:5 43:9 52:7 80:10,12,21 82:13 85:23 92:20 96:8 97:25 101:13,17,20 105:1 108:10 118:1 120:23 127:13 131:17 142:9.11.14 149:10 150:24 152:13 153:2 morning (27) 1:3,9,9,19 8:10,15 17:9 23:8 26:2 27:5 39:5 45:18 46:7 54:11 58:8 59:21 60:8 64:9 79:23 90:25 104:14 106:17 116:4 149:8 156:4.6.7 most (8) 30:24 43:22 71:21 122:16 145:5,24 148:4,10 mostly (3) 19:19 34:16 118:9 move (20) 6:4 9:24 13:8 27:4 35:16 39:15 40:21,21 42:16 44:6 48:23 81:8 87:7 100:5 104:13 111:14 118:22 126:10 135:25 148:16 moved (2) 14:18 71:16 moving (4) 16:2 40:17 105:6 133:16 mp (1) 63:1 ms (58) 1:8,9,17,19 5:23 6:14 8:5 13:14.23 16:25 17:3 22:23.25 29:12 30:4 33:1 37:22 38:12.18 52:3 54:5,8 55:3,4 70:11 76:18 79:13 87:8,13 91:15,21 96:15 104:3 105:5.7 107:20 109:19 110:18 111:12,13 122:5 124:2,14 125:14 127:4 132:24.24 136:15 144:20 148:13 149:4 151:23 152:10 153:5,6 155:9 156:1,3 much (23) 1:13,20 7:13 12:17 13:9 41:10 54:17 78:19 86:13 103:16 108:20 111:1.4.11 126:6 149:10 152:15.21 155:8.9.20.22

93-25 myself (7) 32:9 47:21 113:7 114:7 120:15 130:4 151:17 name (2) 3:17,20 named (1) 95:10 natural (1) 83:17 naturally (1) 114:11 nature (8) 8:23 9:3,23 11:4 34:22 76:3 117:14 155:1 navigate (1) 90:17 necessarily (6) 15:9 18:19 33:16 44:4 91:5 121:4 necessary (6) 11:11 12:1 15:25 94:25 133:1 154:24 need (52) 2:4 14:3 20:14 25:24 27:18.23 35:25 36:8,11 37:6,16,18 38:1 39:2,10 42:8 51:6 56:5 58:10 68:5 70:22 71:14 73:8 74:19 79:9,16 80:2,4,16,25 81:25 86:5,10 88:6 91:12 93:18 97:2 102:4 107:5 112:3 122:21 124:15.17 126:8 129:13 131:21 134:3 140:13 141:10 147:16 151:25 153:2 needed (54) 9:23 14:8 16:19,22 21:18 26:18 35:6 37:9,16 38:7 39:11 45:5 47:14 66:14 70:23 71:5,16 74:8.10 75:9.13.15 78:2.4 80:10.22 83:3 87:5.22 92:7 97:8,9 102:10 103:12 109:4 114:16,18 118:1

120:4 121:23 123:18,23 124:20 128:22 133:3,17,18 137:25 138:1,21 142:5 145:4.8 150:5 needs (2) 48:12 51:15 neglect (2) 6:18 7:2 neither (1) 100:11 never (3) 82:23 97:6 108:3 nevertheless (1) 128:23 news (1) 141:14 next (29) 31:8 35:16 43:20 52:20 53:5 62:3,18 63:15 71:6.6 72:23 81:23 83:24 87:3.16 88:13 98:4,18,19,21 99:1 104:8 106:1 107:1 121:8 124:1 129:2 148:19,25 nh (1) 91:9 nice (1) 128:21 nicholas (59) 15:18 18:16 32:6.8 33:10.18 35:15.23 38:4.12 39:9 41:4 45:2 46:4 48:7 62:20 63:22 65:19 68:24 69:8 70:8,24 72:4 73:25 74:20 75:3 77:2 83:10 85:1 89:6 90:2.11 91:10,25 92:6 93:6 95:23 97:24 98:12 111:22 118:25 123:2.3 126:2 133:9.24 136:3.5.9.10 137:22 138:14,24 139:8 140:4,20 141:18,20,22 nick (12) 17:6 64:22 65:7,10 70:14 81:24 105:15,19 106:5.21 107:8.10 night (7) 6:8 40:16 41:7 52:6.17 63:11 115:25 no10 (4) 60:6 90:11 91:16 100:12 nod (1) 1:24 nods (1) 1:25 nongrenfell (1) 71:20 nor (1) 34:8 normal (6) 61:25 74:1 127:14 136:16 142:9 145:18 normally (10) 15:9 25:15 67:6 74:2 87:25 88:1 97:5 114:11 136:14 138:23 north (1) 4:12

note (20) 20:16 24:25 25:4 30:12.25 52:22 53:14 59:7 69:21 70:4.16.17.19 72:23 73:21 78:23 81:6 84:1 85:10 86:7 noted (4) 20:7,10,13 59:11 notes (1) 26:22 nothing (1) 25:2 noticed (4) 66:24 115:9,12 137-21 notification (1) 6:11 noting (1) 20:6 november (1) 2:17 nuanced (1) 12:7 number (41) 18:8 23:11,17 24:13 27:8,15 37:19,20 45:7 46:2 50:11 57:2,10 65:14 72:10 73:6 87:20.21 92:5 95:16 100:19 101:2 9 102:5 104:18 109:8 113:2 115:6 117:23 118:8,8,13,14 119:4 125:15 131:13 132:1 138:25 151:13 153:13 154:5 numbers (1) 59:8 obrien (10) 140:14.15 141:7,8,11,11 143:6,8,10,17 observations (1) 117:25 observe (2) 113:1,2

observed (3) 115:4.6.15 obvious (3) 46:17 87:19 92:20 obviously (20) 11:14 17:12 23:16 67:20 72:14 74:20 75:21 79:5 95:17 96:25 107:18 109:5.6.6 115:17 120:8,10 122:18 125:2 139:23 occasion (2) 150:24 153:16 occasions (2) 153:13,17 oclock (13) 13:19,20,21 16:2 53:7 58:23 110:23 111:4 126:10 137:9 141:25 156:6,7 october (2) 4:16,19 odd (3) 81:22 83:15,20 odds (1) 52:15 offer (29) 15:19 18:21 19:15,18,20 22:15 27:24 38:6 63:20 74:6 75:24 76:4 77:13 80:13 81:1.5 87:1 99:9 103:13 106:25 119:13.24 122:12 124:16 125:4 129:15 144:22.22.24 offered (12) 20:11 26:2 47:4,6 50:5 63:3 75:6 79:25 86:22 119:11,12,19 offering (9) 22:11 27:20 73:17 75:25 105:16.20 118:12 120:12 121:7 offers (8) 22:5 66:9 78:15 80:9 119:21.23 130:22 131:19 office (65) 9:1,25 17:3,4,25 18:3 19:9 27:7 28:2,3,8,8 31:10 35:3,4 38:12 43:13,23 45:3,4,5,11 52:3 60:4 62:21,22 63:2,6,19,23 64-1 14 20 21 23 67:14.15.16.17.18 70:11,17 79:12,13,20 97:16 98:15,16,17 103:19 104:18.19 105:5.11 106:14,21 109:24 110:3,8 136:6 137:16,17,18,20 140:4 officer (1) 1:6 officers (20) 112:5,17,20,25 113:3,4,23 114:4,20 115:2,7,7 124:11

126:21.22 127:3.7.13

136:17.19

offices (1) 70:18 official (4) 95:15 112:11 131:7 138:9 officially (1) 31:25 officials (2) 31:20 126:23 often (3) 36:16 37:5 108:9 oh (1) 55:13 ok (1) 104:1 okay (7) 2:6 3:22 64:16 65:3 73:1 91:7 153:22 once (2) 95:4 136:8 ones (2) 25:16 118:5 open (3) 31:19 45:19 97:2 operate (3) 12:6 21:6 135:15 operated (2) 136:13 150:9 operating (6) 15:13 123:23 135:23 136:19 142:7,14 operation (4) 7:5 37:1 139-23 140-22 operational (1) 15:7 operationally (1) 15:11 opinion (3) 81:19 82:4 149:21 opportunity (3) 2:21 21:9 135:1 opposed (2) 78:20 117:15 optimistic (1) 77:24 option (3) 11:14 83:12 108:20 options (3) 11:19 107:22,23 order (4) 121:17 143:14 146:18 147:23 ordinarily (1) 21:21 organisation (2) 101:12 138-1 organisational (1) 61:11 organisations (1) 135:17 organise (1) 129:20 organised (6) 21:9 50:19 86:14 88:2 104:24 118:1 others (13) 19:3 38:25 49:1 70:12 73:16 74:12 75:1 77:15 79:10 110:11 137:23 145:10 150:3 otherwise (1) 152:12 ought (1) 152:7 outcome (1) 65:18 outlines (1) 60:11 outside (6) 43:17 99:6 140:4 142:8 143:3 145:18 over (33) 9:8 12:6.9.11.21.23.24 13:2 41:6 43:15 56:2.10 57:15 70:22 72:25 83:4 96:23 101:7 108:23,24 109:1 110:24 126:7,9 137:8 139:5,13 140:8 141:2,7,21 145:23 147:11 overall (1) 130:5 overnight (2) 41:15 96:25 oversee (2) 10:2 135:20 overseeing (3) 62:2 138:2 146:1 oversight (3) 3:4 5:15 34:10 overstep (1) 145:17 overwhelmed (1) 25:23 own (9) 11:15 69:1 71:22 94:4,17 116:9,11 128:20 131:9 owned (1) 6:21

p (1) 105:16 paget (1) 83:10 pagetbrown (2) 111:22 118:25 pair (2) 31:21 139:22 panel (2) 1:10 155:14 panlondon (1) 34:12 paragraph (32) 5:3 6:16 10:4,5 13:13,24 15:15 19:10 20:5 25:8 28:19 35:19 41:12 49:9.11.13 58:5 65:23.25 76:24 83:5

owner (1) 6:25

owning (1) 7:10

84:23 89:21 98:10 99:11 100:9 103:19 104:8 106:18 129:24 148:17 149:20 paragraphs (1) 148:25 parallel (1) 40:14 parliament (1) 148:13 part (38) 3:24 5:13 7:5 14:13 23:19 28:22,24 31:23 41:19 42:1,4,24 46:22,24 47:3 48:15.22 53:3 55:15 21 56:10 58:19 59:23 61:20 65:24 72:8 78:25 88:1 103:25 106:9 109:5 124:16 128:1,2 129:4 139:24 146:19 particular (7) 43:2,4 47:25 59:11 91:12 101:13 121:13 particularly (23) 37:7 46:13.18 59:4 65:20 69:16 71:2 82:19 84:17 85:24 95:4 96:1 103:2 104:7 110:6 113:24 114:10

123:25 131:14 139:24 150:3,7,13 partners (5) 11:10 12:1,4,14 134-19 partnership (11) 10:10.18.24 11:3 12:18 57:18 77:6 85:5,13 116:10 132:1 parts (3) 3:3 26:12 100:19 pass (1) 23:6 passed (1) 92:23 passports (1) 24:12 past (3) 23:5 33:15 101:2 paula (1) 63:20

pause (9) 1:16 54:19 71:18 88:20 98:8 111:3 120:1 146:15 152:20 paused (1) 106:5 pausing (6) 19:16 60:15 77:8 100:23 105:18 130:13 penultimate (1) 148:17 people (94) 24:13.16 25:16 37:16 41:3.14 43:5 44:13 46:12,14 48:10,22 50:4,12,16 51:14,17 53:1,22 56:4 60:13 61:12 62:1,13,14 63:7,11 65:16 68:25 69:17 71:7,9,16 72:13 73:6.11 81:24 82:5.6.10.11.13.15 83:1 84:7 88:14 90:13 91:17 93:17,18,18 94:8,13 95:16,20 96:16 101:4 103:1,2,3,20 105:2 106:9,23 109:8 113:3 115:12,15,25 116:13 117:12 118:6,9,10,13,14 119:4 120:25 122:9.16 127:3.16 128:18 130:14 131:24 133:12 135:1 136:15 137:15 145:20 150:6 152:5 154:9 155:2 perform (1) 146:20 performance (3) 28:25 30:3

period (2) 7:14 150:14 permanent (12) 4:3,5 13:12 44:22 52:8 53:2 69:8,17 80:14 124:25 142:15 144:15 person (14) 21:11 47:18 63:22 86:17 87:18 96:2 126:9 131:23 140:9 143:16 144:11 146:19,20 149:1 personal (1) 47:12 personally (16) 7:13 40:19 43:19 47:7 69:5,14 82:6 91:25 95:8.9.25 112:12 124:9 131:21 150:12 151:7 persuade (3) 79:17 80:4 109:12

84:8

performing (1) 70:7

129:11 152:7

perhaps (5) 41:21 67:11 81:5

persuasion (1) 109:15 phase (30) 8:24 9:1,9,22,24 12:9,10,25 13:2 41:6,11 43:3 55:8,9,12 56:1,1,2,11 61:15.21 86:3.13 93:8,16,20,24 94:11 102:22 147:9 phases (1) 55:7 philip (2) 56:21 57:1 phone (7) 45:23 60:7 140:24 141:7 143:22.23 144:2 phoned (1) 140:23 phrased (2) 107:14 110:6 pick (8) 65:3,20 67:15,17 76:24 78:9 81:8 103:5 picked (6) 2:1 45:23 66:25 67:25 68:2 101:18 picking (1) 46:15 picture (1) 77:24 piece (1) 39:13 pin (1) 125:24 pivotal (1) 121:11 place (35) 10:2 17:21 23:8 34:17 36:24 48:24 60:1 61:12 64:4 65:3 68:6 69:11 75:2 89:23 90:1 93:13 94-12 19 19 95-21 24 101-9 104-12 108-5 7 111:25 117:1 145:3,22 146:5 147:6,16,17 148:9 149:13 places (9) 37:15 50:4,5,12,15,25 51:2 92:3 117:12 plan (1) 121:25 planner (1) 132:25 planning (7) 5:8 48:25 89:17 99:12 117:6 126:13 153:14 plans (6) 34:9,12,19 36:24 37:2 128:6 play (1) 10:25 played (1) 13:5 please (59) 1:11,13,23 2:2.5.10 5:3 6:16 10:4 13:23 15:15 17:2 19:11 23:4 25:6 30:6 31:8 33:22 38:8 39:22 40:11 44:6,8 49:10 51:20 54:12,13,18,20 56:14 58:21 60:2 65:23 67:12 79:11 81:10 83:5 84:22 88:18 89:21 97:14.17 107:20 109:20 110:22.23 111:2,4 115:5,19 125:7 129:4 132:14 135:25 148:16 152:19,21 153:6 156:8 pleased (1) 151:10 77:14 78:16 85:16 pm (8) 35:21 60:10 107:5

plenty (6) 38:15 73:16 74:11 111:5.7 152:23.25 156:9 pointed (1) 100:18 points (9) 44:25 65:4,4,20

69:23 70:5,6,8 99:18 police (9) 9:9 12:10,17 13:1 43:8 71:22 82:1 106:24 147:10 policing (1) 62:21

policy (1) 7:16 politely (2) 107:8,15 political (1) 131:7 politicians (1) 112:16 poor (1) 100:13 popped (1) 100:10 position (3) 25:25 27:24

111:16 positive (3) 110:10 154:2.9 possible (7) 51:19 59:3 64:3 65:1 102:17 147:6 148:10 possibly (6) 19:8 58:15 59:10

71:8 140:24 151:9 post (7) 31:22 63:2,6,19,23 64:1.23 potential (1) 40:16

potentially (2) 10:19 95:19

156:7

mutual (5) 20:11,25 22:9,11

5:2.13.21 6:4.10.14 7:7.15

11:6.12.19 12:4.20 13:8.23

16:2.14.17.24 18:19.25

24:2,4,6,12,20 25:2,6

26:19 27:1,4,17,23

31:8 32:15 33:5.20

19:5,10,25 20:3 21:21,24

28:4,7,10,12 29:10 30:24

34:1.5.10.22 35:5.16 37:22

38:8 39:3.15.21.24 40:10

41:12,21 42:10,18 43:16

44:6,18,20 45:7,18 46:5

50:10,17 51:20 53:14

55:16.23 56:12.21 57:1

58:21 59:16 21 60:2 24

61:7 62:11.18 64:14.17

65:22 66:18 67:9,21

68:3,8,15,20 69:19,25

47:9 48:1,23 49:5,9,21,24

8:2.5 9:12 10:3.18

14:15.25 15:7.14

22:5.16 23:22

powell (4) 28:15,21 30:15 100:10 ower (6) 6:21 109:14 125:5 145:14.16 147:3 powers (3) 108:1.16 146:9 pr (1) 114:17 practice (3) 25:1,4 53:11 prearranged (1) 117:15 preexisting (1) 16:24 prepared (2) 32:7 151:24 preparing (1) 18:21 presence (5) 21:15 84:19 120:17 123:13 155:2 present (3) 38:16 89:2 127:24 press (2) 75:16 86:25 presume (7) 8:8 9:12 24:22 126:7 130:15.16 142:11 pretty (1) 108:20 previous (6) 4:19 77:3 84:18 87:23 88:8 130:2 previously (5) 32:14,23 33:1 113:7 128:21 primarily (3) 93:7,21 118:5 primary (3) 51:15 61:13 86:1 prime (6) 60:7,17 61:8,18 62:13 141:25 priorities (2) 84:10.12 prioritised (1) 148:2 priority (2) 41:15 43:6 prisons (1) 4:6 private (5) 14:1 19:8 49:15 51:23 64:14 proactive (1) 142:12 proactively (3) 26:17 53:22 62:2 probably (17) 13:21 14:21 22:15 27:3 31:5 47:20 73:12 85:19 86:17 103:9 109:16 121:8 123:8 133:8 143:5 145:20 153:21 probation (1) 4:7 probe (4) 38:4 57:9 73:10 87:22 probed (1) 85:19 problem (1) 146:1 problems (1) 6:24 procedure (3) 29:10 147:25 148:7 procedures (2) 4:24 64:6 proceedings (1) 152:6 process (3) 11:6 102:4 135:20 professional (2) 88:8 129:19 proper (4) 114:19 115:10 118:1 149:13 properly (3) 61:24 118:18 135:23 protected (1) 93:9 prove (1) 36:19 proved (1) 110:12 provide (10) 30:20 34:5 35:8 37:21 59:3 75:14 82:8 114:4 121:17 150:5 provided (11) 34:2,4 36:5 49:14 52:2 59:1 97:4 99:14 116:16,17 117:15 provider (2) 108:12 117:7 providers (2) 116:11 153:17 providing (4) 52:5,25 62:6 109:8 provision (1) 64:24 public (7) 3:13 5:14 9:7 76:11 100:16 102:14 108:1 pull (3) 12:1,4 15:3 pulled (2) 93:13 134:7 pulling (1) 17:18 purpose (2) 138:13 146:21 pushed (2) 41:5 129:16 puts (1) 77:1 putting (5) 101:4,8 108:19 123:6 149:1 Ω

70:10.20 71:11.18 72:19,21,25 73:14 74:3,6,13,15 75:6,10,16,24 76:3,6,21,23 79:11 80:2,4 81-6 19 23 82-3 17 83-4 84-1 9 21 85-5 86-7 21 87:7,12,19,25 88:8,18 89:2,9,13,16,20 90:8,17,21 91:4.8.12.23 92:8.22 94:3 95:6 96:3.14 97:14 98:5.21 99:11,23 100:4 102:1 103:5,16 104:13 105:24 106:3 13 110:14 111:22 25 112:2.8.19.23.25 113:5,10,15,20 115:2,15,19 116:25 117:13.22 118:3.6.17.22 120:1 121:8 122:4,19 123:3 124:1,7,14 125:7,17,21 126:10 127:21,24 128:4,11,13,25 129:2.18 130:18 131:2.25 132:5.8.11.14 133:20 134:10 135:6,25 136:24 137:1,3,6,12,17 138:4,7,9,11,15,18 139:10 140:1,3,15 141:5,16 142:7,17 143:9,18 144:6.20 145:14 146:7.13.16 148:16 149:7.19.25 150:9.23 151:4,8 153:14,18 qualified (1) 26:12 quality (2) 63:10 115:24 quantum (1) 78:20 question (21) 2:3 9:11,24 19:21 21:16 34:25 50:24 53:15 63:18 67:3 73:23 76:10 77:1.12 78:1.6.10.11 102:6 121:8 153:18 questioned (1) 140:8 questioning (3) 127:5 134:2,6 questions (26) 1:18 2:2 3:2,22 21:17 61:7 103:14 112:15 113:21.23 114:1.5 115:3.8 120:22 121:15 123:11.12 129:17 151:24 152:3,7,14 153:2,6 157:5 quick (5) 52:5,22,25 96:21 145:22 quickest (1) 145:21 quickly (7) 8:12 69:7 97:25 102:4 117:9 148:3.10 quite (25) 36:16,19 37:5 40:24 41:8 61:23.25 69:7 75:20 77:22 79:15 90:25 93:14,15 94:6 96:23 101:3 113:23 128:14 136:24 147:6,21 149:8 151:2 155:15 r (1) 23:3

radar (2) 30:10,16 raise (8) 71:2 97:24 99:8 106:6,21 117:22 119:9 121:23 raised (20) 43:11 44:2 58:13 61:19 63:9 66:12 67:2 70:5 74:18 78:2 98:3 99:9.18 106:14,15 110:11 115:23 116:4,8 127:17 raising (5) 101:15 106:6,8 117:23 118:4 randalls (1) 23:21 rang (1) 140:14 range (2) 33:25 120:12 rather (6) 26:1 45:19 85:17 103:25 106:24 129:14 rbkc (59) 6:24 10:6,9 11:2.14.19 15:23 17:16 18:22 20:12 22:6 27:20 28:12.17 34:13 35:6.24 47:8 52:3 57:17,19 58:1 61:21 63:21 66:3,16 68:10 77:14 78:2 86:14.22 87:1 88:10 98:23 99:1,4,10,13 102:5,9 103:11 104:20 105:12,23 106:12 107:16 25 111:14 112-5 20 25 113-3 3 117:16 122:13 130:4 131:4 138:3 143:5 rbkcs (3) 43:18 126:11 149:21 re (1) 20:24 reach (2) 15:24 18:17 reached (2) 39:8 133:16 reaction (1) 74:13 read (6) 2:21 23:6 42:13,21 57:3,12 readout (11) 22:16,17 23:1 24:23 39:19 40:10 43:17,18 57:5 81:9 83:6 ready (8) 17:10 26:17 42:12 43:2 54:24 56:4 94:23 111:8 real (3) 46:1 115:1 130:1 realised (3) 88:13 151:20 154:13 really (89) 7:23 23:15 26:12 28:25 29:1,8,19 31:11 33:6 34:8 35:10 36:12,14,17 37:8 46:10 48:9.19.21 51:5.18 59:19 62:9 63:5 68:1.17 69:22 73:9.10 75:20,22 79:5 82:10,19 83:3,8 84:5,11 86:4 88:15 93:4 94:6,8,16,18 95:2,7 96:12,21 97:6,12 102:11,21 106:11 107:5 109:10 114:24 119:20,24 120:19 121:12 123:10.10.14.19.22 127:1,15 128:15,17 131:22 133:17 134:12 135:13 138:20,25 139:21 141:14 144:13 145:12.17.25 148:3 151:10 153:25 154:19 155:6,6,17 reason (3) 24:20 30:10 93:6 reasons (2) 68:22 85:21 reassurance (10) 34:16 37:1 58:12 60:17 62:12 71:12 73:7 75:14 82:8 114:21 reassurances (1) 93:22 reassure (1) 32:9 reassured (5) 113:16,18 142:22 146:5 149:10 reassuring (6) 51:1 121:10.19 123:13 142:3.6 recall (6) 14:1 27:19 35:22 77:19 148:13,15 receipt (1) 104:25 receive (8) 28:4 43:20 48:6 51:12.18 53:11 114:22 119:24

received (15) 6:11 16:2 22:6

32:21 34:11 43:17,19

releases (1) 86:25

45:13 49:18.19 52:16 100:7 104:15 153:8.10 receives (1) 30:15 receiving (4) 28:6 39:25 95:23 121:22 recent (1) 30:24 recently (1) 2:22 recognise (1) 83:9 recognised (4) 84:2,3 102:9 123-20 recollection (4) 21:1 79:6,8 81:18 record (2) 36:5 53:13 recorded (2) 23:11 58:18 records (2) 25:1 42:16 recovery (54) 6:25 7:14 8:9,17,19,22 9:1.2.5.9.13.17.19.24 10:11 19 11:7 10 12:2 9 13 13:2 14:5.8.13.15.18.20 15:1,12 40:14,22,23 41:6 42:5,13,17 43:3,11,15 48:17 55:7.9.24 56:2.11 93:8,20,24 94:11,23 103:25 126:3 139:23 red (63) 3:5 5:5.10.16.20.21.24 7:21.23 8-2 12 16-4 11 18-12 21:10,15 22:1 23:15 34:10,11,25 35:4 40:8,21 44:1 46:8,9,9,10 49:16 51:24 52:20 53:8 56:19 58:4 63:16 64:9,10,11 78:16 80:20 92:24 100:14 103-7 7 11 116-11 117-1 5 134:12.23 135:6.8.10.23 144:9,15 145:8 149:1 150:9,11,12,18 reds (3) 5:25 134:11 144:14 reduce (2) 71:23 155:5 reduced (1) 147:16 reducing (1) 147:1 refed (1) 65:16 refer (6) 3:21 10:18 28:19 35:18 61:3 146:14 reference (5) 7:16,17 32:25 67:22.24 referred (4) 51:21 56:7 89:17 132:7 referring (12) 17:17 18:16,24 38:22 48:19 103:9 106:19 109:9 122:12 132:2.6.9 refers (1) 20:16 reflect (2) 154:18,25 reflected (6) 74:23 88:16 144:19 150:17 151:22 154:15 reflection (6) 10:13 85:20 93:1 94:3,4 135:9 refusals (1) 150:23 regarding (3) 19:6.17 83:7 regards (2) 59:4 95:10 regeneration (1) 83:11 regimented (1) 116:21 regular (1) 91:2 regulations (2) 5:9 7:17 rehouse (1) 130:13 rehousing (17) 18:9 20:15 44:11 46:23 47:5.6.21.22 48:19 59:22 66:4 77:5 91:14 99:15 100:17 128:7 130:11 reiterated (1) 77:14 reiterating (1) 129:13 related (4) 18:8 23:17 53:20 81:17 relates (1) 31:5 relating (2) 54:14 66:21 relation (3) 16:15 66:3,5 relationship (11) 15:25 16:25 30:9 31:12,18 32:24 33:14 64:7 86:18 127:18 134:24 relatively (1) 34:1 relayed (3) 39:4 122:17 128:8

relevant (5) 25:5 35:7,8 114:24 153:19 relied (1) 39:12 relief (1) 10:7 relieved (1) 141:2 reluctance (1) 97:7 relying (2) 38:18 39:4 remained (1) 119:5 remember (23) 15:21 24:16 26:23 28:6 35:10 36:6,6 38:2.23 40:3.20 41:4 45:8.9.14.25 49:7 77:8.9 97:22 119:6 120:7 143:23 remind (2) 50:9 146:12 remit (4) 26:16 104:7,9 143:1 reoffered (1) 119:18 rephrase (1) 2:3 replacement (1) 24:12 reply (3) 28:4,6 45:13 report (6) 16:12 52:10 53:6,9 80:19 124:9 reported (3) 4:2 22:1 40:8 reporting (6) 8:25 37:17 44:1 53:25,25 95:15 reports (3) 7:1,11 29:18 represented (1) 112:18 request (11) 20:8 21:11 24:11 28:16,20 30:5,13 83:13,15 112:20,23 requested (3) 62:7 112:24 139:4 requests (8) 18:12 20:22 21:4,10 22:2 59:6,12,13 require (3) 25:10 103:22 146:18 required (4) 5:6 130:8 132:17 150:16 requirement (2) 36:24 78:8 residents (21) 10:8 57:10 62:25 63:4,19 64:2,24 65:1 66:11,16 71:24 81:13 102:19 114:20 115:1 120:14.24 121:14.22 122:1 123:25 resilience (57) 3:5 4:24 5:16,23 6:7 7:5,7 10:10,18,23 11:3 13:5 22:8,9,13 29:7 32:12,13 34:12,16 35:13 37:1,3 41:1.1.56:23.57:18 58:4.4.7 59:5 61:21 73:20.22 74:2 77:6 84:17,19,21 85:5,13,17 86:5 92:19,21 93:23 105:10 131:14,25 132:1,3,10 133:6 134:5,6 139:15 140:18 resolution (4) 84:25 85:8 147:25 148:6 resolve (1) 87:12 resolved (1) 116:5 resource (1) 38:15 resourced (9) 7:25 34:8,20 46:10 135:11,12,24 150:11 20 resources (2) 102:14 108:9 resourcing (2) 7:18,21 respect (1) 148:23 respected (1) 31:19 respite (1) 103:21 respond (4) 36:14 93:20 130:21 150:7 responded (2) 7:6 45:22 responder (3) 51:8 55:13,18 responders (10) 6:1 14:5 21:8 26:10 36:14.22 50:21 51:13 52:21 127:12 responding (7) 6:2 8:12 23:25 37:3 55:19 64:12 responds (3) 8:13 91:15 124-14 response (106) 3:8 7:24

8:3.24 9:21 11:8

12:7,10,11,25 14:4,18

16:5.9.14.19 17:13.20.24 19:14.17 25:12 26:4 38:13 40:13 41:11 42:7 43:1.7.18.20 45:16.25 47:15 48:14 50:2.11.22 51:8,10,22 52:5,25 55:7,8,12,21,23 56:1,1,5 60:9,12,19,22 61:1,3,5,9,15,21,23 62:9 66:5.13 67:2 68:25 69:9.12 72:8 84:5 86:3.13.15 88:2.10 93:10.16 95:5.12.21 96:2 100:15 102:22,23 103:1,25 104:24 106:8,22 110:15 116:24 118:2 120:21 124:20 130:8 131:24 134:15 135:16 147:9.12 149:1.21 150:5.10 154:17 responses (2) 50:19 69:1 responsibilities (10) 5:15 7:23 55:11,17 62:1 94:9 96:20 101:11 126:20 154:20 responsibility (12) 5:5 18:10,11 36:22 47:1,23 48:12 55:20 60:25 82:14 94-10 127-12 responsible (6) 5:20 8:2 12:12 14:23 28:15 50:22 rest (14) 41:8 53:23 55:18,19 57:25 67:3.5.7 113:7 115:11 116:10,21,23 117:8 restated (1) 77:13 result (3) 5:5 24:14 153:9 results (1) 127:10 resume (2) 110:22 156:5 retaining (1) 139:8 rethink (1) 97:17 return (1) 57:25 review (1) 61:9 richards (1) 91:15 richardson (28) 5:19 16:3 18:25 23:10.14 24:22 40:20 42:14.22 43:12 49:2,23 53:16 63:16 89:13 90:10,18 91:21 96:15 103:10 105:7,9 122:5 132:20,24 140:24 144:8 150:13 richardsonred (1) 23:3 righthand (1) 2:11 ring (3) 45:9.15.23 ringing (1) 14:2 rise (2) 50:8,17 robert (1) 105:10 rock (1) 126:12 role (36) 3:4,10 4:11 5:13 8:2 9:18 10:7 11:1 13:5 14:13,15,23 15:10,12 18:15 34:8.10.20.25 46:9 47:20 48:15 60:14 73:25 75:4 87:24 94:9 126:21 127:13 134:12 135:10 136:18 139:24 144:14 149-4 150-18 roles (6) 4:9,23 94:8 112:16 141:23 154:20 roll (1) 70:22 room (4) 54:15 140:25 141:13,18 rota (8) 131:15 133:8 139:2,17 140:8,10 142:15 143:2 rotation (1) 74:2 roughly (1) 137:6 route (7) 18:13 44:1.1 45:10.17 98:4 114:14 routine (1) 67:18 royal (3) 20:11 29:2,23 run (8) 55:24 94:11 101:8 107:6 127:15 138:22,24 139-22 running (5) 56:3 95:19 96:20 101:7 113:7 runs (1) 31:21

rushed (1) 75:21 s (1) 125:10 sad (1) 17:7 safe (1) 31:21 safety (2) 6:18 82:22 saiid (1) 144:25 sally (2) 23:21 101:19 same (8) 10:6 11:24 102:16 103:9 116:17 126:10 127:14 136:20 sat (1) 104:6 satisfactory (1) 68:15 satisfied (2) 57:22 58:1 saw (10) 13:6 44:25 52:17 68:8 99:1 108:6 109:11 134:8 142:4 150:12 saying (20) 8:14 27:19 37:17 38:3.14 48:15 50:14 51:5 53:21 73:15 77:19 80:25 81:19 95:9 97:8 107:4 110:7 144:21 145:1 147:23 scale (1) 14:9 scene (1) 87:25 scg (39) 8:25 16:10,11,12 18:12 20:9,21 21:4 23:15 24:21 37:5.10 39:16.19.25 40:4 43:18 46:11 50:3.11.14 51:1 52:17,20,24 53:7,19 57:4 58:12,14,14,18,19,22 80:20 135:13 144:11 147:8 150:20 scgs (2) 21:6,7 schedule (1) 146:19 scheme (4) 19:22 23:22 26:20 100:16 schools (5) 114:2,9,10,14 119:8 screen (3) 2:9 22:21 76:15 scroll (2) 104:16 148:19 scrutiny (1) 127:9 second (22) 2:15 4:5 8:6 9:13 11:20 19:12 44:13 56:14 58:5 62:23 67:12 74:16 84:22 88:19 97:15 103:17 105:8 106:18 115:20 132:16 154:3.19 seconded (1) 105:2 secondly (1) 3:4 secretary (49) 4:3,5 8:10 13:12 14:1 19:1,5 20:19 25:7 26:7 28:14 32:15 44:22 48:24 49:15 50:1 51:23 60:4.6.18 62:22 64:14 69:8.17 83:7.9.12.16.18.19.21.23 87:16 90:23 91:1,2 100:11 104:18,20 111:17,19 112:13 118:19,24 119:10.17 121:19 125:1.1 section (5) 146:9,17,17 147:4.24 sector (2) 118:10.12 see (102) 2:11.16 6:11.14 7:15 9:12 10:5,15 13:24 15:16 17:4 19:12 20:18 22:25 23:3,9,10 24:6 27:6,7 28:17 30:4,6,14 31:9.10 32:15 33:5 35:6 37:13.23 38:10.13 39:17.20.21.22 40:10 41:7,12 43:1 49:24 52:1 56:23 58:2,24 60:3 62:11.19 64:8.11.19 65:5 66:21 67:5,19,21,23 69:22 70:20 71:19 74:2 75:3,23 76:21 79:12 82:21 83:4.23 86-21 87-17 88-14 21 90:14 91:4 92:4 93:6 96:7

98:6 100:7 105:4,8 106:18

3:2.16.24 4:2.5.9.15.20.23

g (297) 2:8.15.21.24

115:20 122:7,19

125:8,13,21 129:18 132:15

135:9 136:7.10 137:11

142-19 144-7 146-12 149:12 151:11 152:13 153:2 seeing (1) 137:10 seeking (1) 60:17 seem (11) 74:1 75:12 76:14 80:15,23 101:16 116:15,20 119:23 120:6 121:20 seemed (42) 40:21,23 41:10 43:5 72:10 74:20 75:12.13 83:20 86:19 87:3 101:19 102:24 110:9 114:25 115:10.13.16.116:17 117:17,18 118:9 119:9.13.19 123:8 127:1.4 129:9 131:10,10 133:3 136:23 137:18,19 139:6,15 143:7 145:5 148:4.10.11 seems (6) 33:22,25 42:7 60:15 67:25 142:13 seen (20) 29:22 33:15 66:8 67:24 68:1 69:16 78:21 88:15 94:14 97:6.10 103:12 106:13 107:19 108:3 109:21 112:12 121:18 123:5 151:16 send (3) 27:11 79:24 122:20 sending (1) 128:8 senior (8) 1:5 5:20 112:5,11,20 113:22 115:7 122:16 sense (1) 151:4 sensible (6) 29:1 80:16,23 139:15 140:6 145:6 sent (22) 17:3.16 27:7.18 30:13 31:9 38:11 39:5.18 44:7 45:21 64:19 68:9 90:10 91:6 102:1 122:4.19.25 129:3 142:19 144:20 sentence (4) 15:16 19:12 65:6 109:23 separate (4) 49:19 56:2 109:7 120:20 separately (3) 19:8 27:12 99:10 sequence (2) 125:13 136:1 seriously (1) 69:6 servant (1) 5:20 service (5) 4:7 44:21 46:7 62:21 108:11 services (14) 3:13 5:14 9:7 14:4 30:3 40:24 55:8 76:12 93:11 95:19 96:20 102:5,19 114:3 set (20) 11:9,15,23,25 18:2 28:3 36:22 43:23 55:18 56:6 57:13 59:25.25 67:6.7 110:4 115:11 116:23 117:8 137:18 sets (1) 60:14 setting (4) 110:14.16 116:9,22 several (8) 44:5 72:3 81:24 94:1 97:11 125:23 143:25 145.9 shake (1) 1:25 shakes (1) 2:1 shall (3) 73:14 152:9 153:3 sharma (13) 48:25 81:3 100:1 104:10 111:17,20 122:3 126:1,12,22 130:24 136:8 143:24 sharmas (1) 129:23 shed (2) 38:21 78:13 sheet (3) 31:14 33:21,23 shellens (2) 56:18,23 ship (1) 31:21 shocked (1) 17:7 short (8) 5:24 54:22 64:19 82:20 108:6 111:6 152:4.24 shortfall (2) 41:17 52:18 shortly (1) 17:25 should (63) 5:17 6:10 15:11 17:20 18:18 25:18,19 27:6

33:12 36:7.9.25 38:8.24 44:13 46:15.19 48:3 51:13 55:14 56:6 60:3 62:18 66:20 72:12 74:24 76:18 79:20 84:2.2 85:19 86:10,23 87:15 88:18 94:23 95:25 97:20 98:9 100:12 101:17 103:24 105:7,16 106:24 109:25 113:21.24 121:13 122:17 124:5 126:19 134:17.19 135:7.11 139:10.11.12.20 140:19 148:19 150:18 shouldnt (3) 93:2,2 108:15 show (5) 25:6 44:7 97:2 129:2 137:25 shown (1) 115:21 shows (1) 67:13 sic (1) 58:9 side (3) 2:11 46:13 80:8 sighted (3) 31:2,3 105:17 signature (3) 2:13,18,19 significance (1) 44:20 significant (6) 25:15 68:1 112:14 115:10 145:13 151:21 signoff (1) 88:5 silver (1) 69:11 similar (7) 100:19 105:2 117:11 125:2 130:25 132:22 133:9 since (4) 10:14 31:22 51:17 154:6 sir (37) 1:3,13,17 22:21,24 29:13 44:8 54:7 9 17 24 55:2 76:14.17 107:21.24 108:13,19,24 109:15,18 110:20 111:1,8,11 147:21 148:5 151:25 152:11,16,18 153:1,5 155:13,23 156:1,4 sit (1) 1:14 site (1) 72:12 sits (1) 103:24 sitting (2) 134:15 148:13 situation (41) 35:24 36:15,16 37:8 41:9,25 42:7 43:4 49:7 70:4 74:21 79:5 82:5,24 84:14 90:12 91:16 95:1,4,24 96:9 97:1,6 102:8 121:4,13 124:18 125:5 127:11 130:5 131:17 133:11.13.14 139:19 140:12.25 141:4 144:2 149:9 155:7 situational (8) 47:10,12,17 92:10,11,13 94:4 134:11 situations (15) 8:23 48:9 65:11 72:7 82:25 83:3 94:1 102:11 108:10 121:2 123:9.13.14 133:3 149:12 size (3) 71:23 82:7 150:8 sleep (2) 116:13 117:12 sleeping (4) 63:10 115:15,16,24 slept (1) 103:21 slightly (3) 119:21 137:1 141:5 slower (1) 137:1 small (1) 105:1 social (1) 109:6 soft (3) 109:13 125:4 145:14 sole (3) 92:1,9 96:1 solid (1) 93:19 somebody (4) 18:17 51:10 75:21 138:2 someone (17) 63:24 64:22 67:1 80:18 101:21.23 123:23.24 128:8 129:21 132:22 133:2,10,18 139:12 140:6 142:4 someones (1) 96:8 somerset (1) 4:12 something (24) 7:9,12 29:4

134:7 135:6 142:8 144:16 steer (1) 140:22 146-2 10 151-17 19 21 step (2) 20:14 103:23 sometimes (7) 12:13 36:10 stepping (2) 107:11 132:3 51:9 58:19 82:11 101:10 stewardship (1) 28:22 stick (1) 78:13 117.7 soon (7) 25:23 26:2 40:8 still (27) 14:22 40:13,23 51:19 57:7 64:2 65:1 41:3,8,9,10,17 42:7,8 sooner (3) 26:1 150:25 151:6 sort (7) 29:14 42:9 77:24 84:5 87:4 104:23 115:13 118:15 124:11 125:4 137-19 147-9 9 149-23 sorting (2) 142:22 143:9 stock (1) 117:8 sos (1) 20:18 stop (4) 54:11 110:22 152:8 sought (1) 62:12 156:5 sounded (1) 100:14 stopped (1) 110:21 sounds (1) 100:20 store (1) 155:18 straightforward (1) 113:23 spaces (1) 69:13 strange (2) 119:9 137:14 speak (31) 26:13 27:10,19 28:3,9,10 32:5,17 33:9,18 strategic (13) 23:7 24:24 36:7 38:24 39:13 41:4 43:13 46:16.19 51:4 52:12 55:14 56:8 134:13,16,18 45:11 68:17,18,23 69:4,6,18,19 77:4 79:14 154:23 86:11.19 96:10 120:10 strategically (1) 131:17 125:9 141:10 143:16 strength (2) 11:16,17 speaking (8) 20:24 48:7 strong (4) 100:15 103:1 65:19 68:16 125:14 136:24 136:18 145:24 stronger (2) 106:25 133:15 138-5 142-4 speaks (2) 63:25 64:23 structure (15) 5:17 17:21 specialist (6) 122:21,22 43:23 48:10 59:25 61:11 123:15 132:16,25 138:21 64:11 69:11 94:12,13 specialists (1) 5:10 95:21,24 109:11 147:5 specific (14) 18:20 20:22 149:13 21:3,9 22:2 45:11 64:10 structured (2) 21:8 102:20 78:7 105:15,19,22,24 structures (4) 10:2 34:17 106:15 107:13 56:6 62:17 specifically (5) 16:7 19:17 struggled (1) 150:4 22:7 73:20 119:6 struggling (3) 21:19 35:10 specifics (1) 37:18 114:24 stuck (1) 127:1 specify (1) 76:3 spent (2) 136:11,12 subject (6) 39:21 42:15 split (2) 8:24 62:1 67:13 104:20 105:11 spoke (15) 13:14 28:11 147:25 42:13.21 49:22 58:6 77:2 subsection (1) 146:25 104:22 113:15 125:9 130:6 subsequently (4) 3:19 99:13 143:10.23.25 145:22 149:4 151:5 subsided (1) 149:15 spoken (9) 13:15 32:16 38:19 72:9 79:24 123:1 suchlike (1) 78:16 125:15,18 144:10 sufficient (1) 78:18 spotted (2) 127:20 140:19 suggest (2) 27:1 152:6 spotting (1) 134:20 suggested (5) 6:19 63:21 staff (5) 52:13 82:22 83:11 126:15.18 113:7.8.12 suggesting (5) 7:2 55:10 56:9 108:14.14 stage (16) 6:23 7:19.22 16:17 42:19 59:21 61:4,5 suggestion (1) 133:23 62:3,15 66:6 68:10 69:19 suggestions (1) 6:17 92:8,9 93:10 suits (1) 54:7 stages (1) 37:7 summarised (2) 58:20 59:10 stand (3) 30:22 66:7 149:23 summary (1) 33:23 standard (1) 29:10 superficial (1) 34:1 start (4) 1:20 25:10 90:21 supplied (1) 116:12 111:19 supplier (1) 117:16 started (9) 4:18 40:1 43:20 support (175) 11:17.24 46:3 84:15 106:16 12:18 14:8,8 15:19,24 127:21,22 135:4 16:20,22 17:15 18:21 starting (3) 106:6 134:8 19:14,18,20 24:9,11 25:24.24.25 26:18.20.25 145-8 starts (1) 76:21 27:21,23 29:7 30:19 stated (1) 130:19 32:12.22 36:1.8.11 statement (41) 2:10.15 5:2 37:16.18.21 38:2.5.20 10:3.14 13:12.14.23 15:14 39:2.10 47:4.6 51:6 63:21 16:6 18:7 19:11 20:4 66:11 68:10,12 25:7,8 26:6,7 27:17 28:18 73:16,17,18,22,24 35:18 37:23 45:4 49:10 74:6,6,8,10,12 51:24 65:22 66:7 68:4 75:6.9.11.25 76:2.3 76:23.24 84:22 89:20 95:6 77:7.15 98:10 111:23 112:2 119:2 78:3.4.8.15.17.18.19 129:24 135:10 148:17 79:9.15.18.21.25 149:19 150:18 85:16 86:22 87:2,4 92:19 statements (5) 2:8,22 17:23 23:21 42:25 97:19 98:4 99:9,14,19 states (3) 25:7 60:7,12 100:16 101:20 102:13 stating (1) 44:22 103:11.13 104:20 statutory (1) 147:24 105:1.2.11 106:25 stayed (1) 143:21 109:8,25 110:4,9,12 staying (1) 115:13 113:9.10.22 114:16,17,19,22 118:13 steady (2) 31:21 40:14

121:7.16.20.21 122:6.13.18.22 123:2.16.18 124:12.25 130:20 131:14.18.19.20 43:3,7 45:1 51:7 66:7 71:9 134:4 137:25 138:13,18 116:5 118:23 121:14 122:1 149:2.11 150:3.23 154:22.23 supported (4) 10:24 51:15 82:15 112:11 supporting (1) 8:2 suppose (1) 42:24 sure (85) 8:11 9:8 10:1 12:2 15:12.22 16:21.22 22:3 25:4 26:13 17 24 27:15 33:3 36:4,4 37:19 38:5,5,21,22 39:11 40:5 76:5 79:19 82:15 84:13 86-2 89-7 90-22 25 91-7 108:4 109:9,24 112:15 121:22 122:23 123:20 125:5 126:5 128:18.22 135:22 143:1 145:3,21 147:15 148:9 154:13 surges (1) 6:21 surmising (1) 129:9 surname (2) 127:4,17 surnames (1) 136:15 surprise (1) 39:3 surprised (12) 39:7 67:4,5 78:23 112:4,7,8 surprising (3) 82:16,17 96:5 surrounding (3) 41:16 57:24 103:3 survivors (1) 154:5 suspect (2) 31:1 78:7 swiftly (1) 97:20 sworn (2) 1:12 157:3 system (6) 29:19 31:23 table (1) 117:19 tables (1) 118:14 tactic (1) 107:9 taken (8) 47:7 52:20 65:15 69:5 73:19 101:7 106:20 148:1 taking (16) 2:10 6:5 9:8 75:1 109:1 126:7 139:5,13 141-1 21 146-23 147-20 talk (14) 7:7 31:17 45:2 50:7 110:24 119:16 124:22 132:9 154:14 talked (5) 85:16 97:19 130:16 136:13 154:12 talking (10) 49:12 55:6 talks (1) 104:8 tandem (2) 55:24 56:4 task (2) 52:7 93:15 team (33) 3:25 5:10 26:24 35:4 52:9 53:2 57:19,19 80:5.10.15.18 81:2.5 84:16 104:6 105:1.23 107:16 120-23 122-13 128-9 129:21 144:15 149:2 150:13 155:21 teams (2) 63:5 120:22 telephone (7) 45:21 75:21 79:6 82:20 85:1 87:9 91:20

telephoned (1) 98:12 119:11.14.14.16.19.21.23.25 120:4.11.13.13.18.21.23.25 telling (4) 14:16 18:17 37:22 temporary (5) 52:6,25 57:16 125:2,3 128:22 129:14,15 tended (2) 9:19 10:25 tends (1) 69:2 139:9 140:10,20 141:22,23 142:5.12 143:3 145:7.11 29:4.19 30:23 31:6.6 32:6 47:4 50:22 51:14.16 53:24 56:5,20 61:12,14,22 62:1 65:17 68:1 69:14 73:18,23 92-6 93-5 7 19 94-10 95-21 97:3 99:4,9,25 102:22,25 69:9 74:14,15 75:18 77:21 61:17 139:17 140:8 143:3 80:9 84:16 93:15 108:24 54:14 71:6 83:2 99:18.23 57:19 111:13 126:8 128:6 134:14 142:4 143:6 151:2 80:15,19 91:14 97:3 98:24 99:2,3,4,14,20 101:5,5,21

term (1) 145:14 terms (26) 5:6 9:16 10:24 13:5 18:7 25:11.12 30:2.2 37:3 47:5.6 52:5.25 53:18 77:17 93:9 114:25 115:11 121:11 122:9 127:18.18 131:20 142:9 154:16 terrible (1) 17:8 terror (1) 97:13 test (2) 38:24 96:7 tested (1) 37:3 text (13) 27:18 32:20 45:13.22 90:10.14 98:1 103:12 122:4 124:1,24 132:14 142:18 tf (2) 30:21 31:5 thank (39) 1:13,15 3:23 39:20 54:17,20,20 55:2,4 56:12 64:16,16 76:18,20 88-21 109-18 110-18 25 111-1 4 10 11 146-14 151:23 152:15,17,21,22 153:4,23 155:8,9,12,12,20,22,22,24 156:7 thanking (1) 1:20 thanks (9) 2:7 8:8,12 38:15 56:25 90:22 105:14 122:11 155:13 thats (88) 2:7,10,16 3:15 4:1,4,8,19,22 5:12 6:9 9:10.13 13:24 15:21 16:6 18:15,24 19:11 20:1,4 21:3,21 22:21 23:14,23 27:22 30:13,24 31:15 33:6 34:2.19 45:16 49:10 50:13 56:2 59:20 62:4.9 64:14 65:23 66:23 70:2.24 72:19 75:18 76:6 77:1 81:7 86:8 89:15 93:14,16,21 94:6,20 97:4,5,8 101:23 102:19 104:16 105:7 107:13 108:23 110:19 120:7 126:15 128:24 129:24 132:11 134:7.19.23.23 135:21 136:22 143:6.17 145:6 146:2,5 148:1 150:21 151:17,21 153:22 themselves (6) 93:16 97:2 102:9 113:25 114:4 118:11 thered (1) 46:13 therefore (8) 30:16 51:8 94:17 117:11 135:13 148:11 150:6 151:12 therell (1) 9:1 theres (24) 2:18 13:16 21:9 23:1 36:23 37:10 42:8 47:16 55:25 61:17 67:20 69-10 74-3 79-1 84-19 95:13 97:6 124:5 125:11 126:6 134:24,25 135:8 140:11 thevd (1) 71:13 theyll (1) 56:5 theyre (10) 21:8 33:17 42:6 55:13 58:19 66:9,10 70:6 82-12 109-8 theyve (1) 23:24 thin (1) 127:16 thing (20) 40:15 41:6 51:11 81:22 84:13 97:1 102:25 108:4 110:10 126:25 131:11 141:3 145:6,20,24 146:14 148:11 154:3,18,19 thinking (6) 53:2 61:20 62:2 77:21 86:13 114:17 thinks (2) 70:22 71:14 third (5) 13:25 41:12 60:3 81:11 115:22

125:14

58:10 71:3

thirdly (1) 3:7 though (6) 13:9 15:1 74:25 85:8 130:2 133:16 thought (23) 24:25 29:1 39:10 72:3 74:17 75:19.22 76:17 79:2 81:21 83:15.15.16 96:6 126:19 136:4 137:9,10 138:20,20 139:11,14 141:3 three (7) 3:3 92:22 126:4 130:14 139:20 153:21 154:11 through (34) 18:13 22:12 29:25 34:16 37:1 43:13,25 46:8,16,19,22 47:3 48:12 59:24 61:10,16,19 62:7,16 64:10 70:10 73:5 80:20 92-17 98-4 99-25 103-7 7 109:4 114:12 120:15 121:3 134:18 154:22 thursday (4) 66:1 135:5 151:16 156:11 tight (1) 31:21 tightly (1) 44:15 time (100) 1:5 2:4 3:4,10,24 4:10 5:14 7:1,3,11 9:21 10.6 13 16 17 12:19 13:8 14:24 15:18 16:2 17:16,18,24 22:6 25:17 26:5,5 27:19 28:3,9 29:6 31:7 32:4,11 34:7,20 35:14.22 37:23 39:15 42:16 43:8,9,10 45:11 51:7 61:9 63:16 64:19 68:8,16 71-25 74-16 21 76-12 77:1.3.21 80:11 81:8.14 83:14,20 84:10 90:5 91:4,5 92:11,25 94:5 95:7,22 96:10 98:17 99:17 102:21 108:6,21 110:21 114:23 115:18 116:5,7,8 125:20,21 130:3,21 131:18 133:7 135:12 136:5.11.12 137:3.6.11 139:18 141:24 148:14 times (2) 132:1 143:25 timing (1) 39:25 tired (4) 129:9,10 131:12 139:19 title (1) 138:9 tmo (1) 18:9 toby (1) 58:6 today (17) 1:4.21 3:2 17:12 32:16,20 50:2 52:7 53:1 57:4 65:4 79:14 107:7 115:22 155:10 156:2,5 todays (1) 1:4 toesupset (1) 64:6 together (16) 15:4 17:18 18:25 21:7 28:23 29:18 43:1 46:3 51:13 69:13 86:24 92:15 93:14 94:19 97:3 123:6 told (18) 38:1,18 52:22,23 53:12 62:24 63:1 70:8 71:4 74-10 93-4 105-22 107-9 138:12,15,18 141:16 143:14 tomorrow (9) 40:15.16 41:7 52:7 53:1 156:3,4,6,7 too (2) 13:9 126:6 took (9) 23:7 48:24 68:6 89:23 90:1 137:8 140:8 151-5 7 touch (8) 45:16 60:6 65:7,10 91:13 98:17 107:16 121:6 towards (3) 40:13.16 127:23 tower (17) 6:25 10:8 17:8 41:16 63:1,3,19 64:24 71:20,24 81:13,18 100:19 103:3 110:15 130:7 154:5 tragic (1) 12:15 trail (1) 81:14 trained (1) 36:25 training (4) 34:12 78:25

34:19 35:2 48:3 68:21 93:4

102:2 107:7 116:15 120:8

126:25 127:19 128:16

153:11,16

transcript (2) 2:1 70:18 trauma (1) 50:7 tread (1) 64:6 treasury (3) 15:18 17:1 33:4 triborough (3) 31:1.3.23 tried (5) 37:3 45:8,15,23 73:10 tries (1) 51:9 troubled (1) 31:6 true (2) 2:24 78:14 trust (2) 53:23 136:19 try (3) 79:17 85:23 100:10 trying (13) 27:10.25 33:9 39:13 45:16 48:11 65:9 75:14 87:4 93:6 97:22 131:9 137:23 turn (3) 51:20 68:3 72:16 turning (3) 3:10 5:21 130:1 twice (6) 74:7 75:6,17,17,18 78:2 type (11) 8:19 47:2 48:7 119:15 120:2,3,11 121:3 123:11 142:5 143:14 typed (1) 70:17 types (1) 123:14 typo (1) 124:5

u (1) 125:9 uk (1) 97:11 unable (1) 150:7 unaware (2) 133:20,23 unclear (2) 2:2 155:17 underneath (3) 5:18 20:20 69:12 understand (14) 11:6 32:5 33:18 41:23,24 73:10,11 96:18 100:23 104:3 105:18 108:13 130:7 152:2 understandably (3) 129:6,7 148:22 understanding (17) 5:6 10:20 12:5.20.22 14:18

32:1 34:15 36:18 38:17 50:3,10,11 80:21 104:12 143:12.13 understands (1) 51:11 understood (3) 20:6 101:23 104:5 undertake (2) 94:22 102:4 unfair (1) 84:11 unfortunately (1) 125:21 unhappy (1) 82:13 uninsurable (1) 23:24 unit (8) 30:19 79:21 105:2 109:25 110:9,12,14,16 units (1) 110:4 unless (4) 15:10 64:3 65:2 112:3 unreasonable (1) 78:12 unsupported (1) 112:5 until (4) 44:17 46:2 154:13

96:4 127:5 136:23 147:11 undate (17) 39:21.22 49:14.16.18.19.21.22.50:1 51:22,25 52:2,3,20 57:18 59:1 88:22 updated (3) 8:11,18 19:8 upgrade (2) 63:13 116:2 upon (1) 12:5 urgency (1) 130:8 urgent (1) 62:24

used (5) 11:9 23:5 116:22

121:1 127:8

unusual (7) 22:10 36:8 40:17

156:10

useful (9) 30:11 57:20 75:5,19 87:23 110:13 114:5 121:2 155:14 usefully (1) 144:13 usher (3) 54:18 111:2 152:18

using (1) 11:7 usual (4) 25:2 83:19 143:3 144:9 usually (5) 22:12 97:10

109:1 142:11 144:16

value (6) 72:17 74:25 75:2 88:16 151:18,19 various (2) 31:19 100:19 vennells (1) 63:20 verbal (5) 49:16,17,21,22 51:25 via (2) 63:1 100:14

victim (6) 79:20 105:2 109:25 110:4,8,11 vince (1) 49:14 visibility (1) 115:2 visible (6) 21:15 82:9 84:19

113:3.6 155:1 visit (20) 68:23 71:6 72:6,12 75:19 83:7,18,21 85:23 87:15.16.19.25 99:23.25 112:13 116:5,7,8 121:9 visited (4) 47:8 99:22 106:1

125:3 visiting (7) 48:8 74:25 83:12.16 98:19 99:6 106:12

visits (5) 46:14 72:13 75:1 88:3,6 voice (1) 1:23 voicemail (2) 98:14,16 volume (1) 67:20

voluntary (2) 118:10,12 volunteers (4) 77:16 78:15 118:17.20 vulnerable (3) 41:14 43:5

93:18

wait (2) 107:10 108:15 raiting (3) 45:20 107:8,15 walking (1) 129:14 anting (4) 33:18 41:4 57:7

w

131:11 wants (2) 57:22 83:1 wasnt (41) 18:19 34:7 38:20 40:7 43:21 44:1 46:17,25 47:24 50:13 51:18 61:20 67:6,18 72:8 73:18 75:13 82:21 88:1,12 92:2,14 104:11 105:25 107:18 109:16 116:7 123:21 128:10.22 130:15 131:6.6 134:8 136:7.10.19 139:3

143:7 144:16 154:3 way (43) 1:22 7:22 15:7 21:9 36:21 37:8 43:22 45:12 50:19 51:9 66:3,16 67:6 76:2 80:20 86:4.14 88:4 95:23 101:3 102:8.16.19 107:14 108:23 109:13 115:11 125:25 127:14,15 130:4 134:16,19 135:12,15,24 136:20 138:23 145:21 147:22

148:12 150:12 154:2 weakened (1) 95:2 wed (5) 26:10 27:12 29:18 69:22 73:4 wednesday (3) 1:1 35:21 151:15

week (1) 149:18 weeks (2) 101:9 130:14 welcome (1) 1:3 welcomed (3) 127:9 128:10.11

went (12) 7:9,12 45:17 83:24 111:20,25 122:2 136:9 140:25 149:5 150:16 werent (15) 9:22 29:24 44:3 55:22,22 66:11 73:3 80:9

93:3 113:3 115:17 127:24 135:8.23 140:19 westminster (1) 31:24 westway (17) 66:25 87:17 111:24 113:1

115:5.14.15.17 117:20

118:7.17 119:1.5 121:15 123:5 125:3.25 veve (16) 16:24 23:22 51:21 57:17 81:11 94:14 97:10.17 103:12 106:13 109:22 142:17 151:1 153:7 154:11 155:15

whats (3) 43:16 62:3 102:7 wherever (2) 59:3 102:17 whilst (4) 10:14 17:1 113:15 117-22

whitehall (6) 124:16 144:21.22.24 145:5.8 whoever (1) 12:11 whole (6) 35:1 48:14 61:17 102:6 127:24 128:1 vhos (2) 67:1 139:18 wide (2) 23:12 120:12

wider (5) 14:7 66:4 86:23 92:4 106:10 wideranging (1) 123:19 wiring (1) 6:20 wish (1) 115:1 withdrew (1) 155:25

witness (30) 1:5,15 5:2 10:3 13:12 15:14 17:23 20:4 25.7 8 49.9 54.16 55.1 65:22 84:22 89:20 98:10 110:25 111:10 129:23 148:17 149:19 152:15,17 153:4 155:12,22,24,25 156:2

witnesses (1) 10:23 wonder (3) 56:13,16 59:16 vondered (3) 43:8.9 74:24 wondering (2) 108:16 120:4 wont (6) 42:18 54:16 62:8 71:16 91:18 110:25 work (14) 26:23 33:1 36:10 40:24 50:7 70:10 87:4 97:3 98:23 101:4 103:25 129:21 134:19 154:9

orked (8) 32:14,23 35:4 109:4 113:13 134:17 139:17 150:14 working (20) 4:24 12:17 17:1 29:17 40:13,15 51:13 60:8 86:24 100:17,21 106:22 128:15,16,17 131:13

132:18 134:5,20 138:25 vorks (1) 97:6 worried (6) 73:9 81:25 82:22

114:6 119:21 124:9 worry (2) 121:11,14 worse (2) 73:11 81:24 worth (2) 4:20 17:22 wouldnt (14) 34:17 37:14 39:7,12 44:3 60:21 72:16 77:23 88:4 92:16 121:4 140:9 148:3.5

written (1) 81:15 wrong (7) 78:13 100:15 119:15,22 120:2,3 146:14 wrote (1) 70:17

yeah (16) 23:14 24:3 34:4 70:24 72:20 73:15 74:5 75:9 76:13 99:16,25 108:18 109:9,17 110:17 124:21 years (1) 116:23

esterday (6) 3:6,16 5:23 79:16 104:22 107:3 yesterdays (1) 57:11 yet (2) 46:8 91:12 youd (2) 51:23 112:3 youhelen (1) 100:10 youll (2) 29:22 135:25 oure (18) 49:12 53:12 54:15 55:10 69:9 78:25 93:4 95:19 99:23 106:18 122:12 132:2,5 136:24 144:10 146:1 148:8 155:23

yours (5) 2:13 76:15 81:9

90:18 105:5

yourself (1) 1:14 youve (12) 10:14 16:3 34:6 66:8 72:19 95:6 115:2,21 117:13 138:4 141:6 143:10

0715 (1) 14:2

1 (10) 22:25 51:7 55:13,17 127:12 137:9 146:19,19 157:3,5

10 (7) 104:18 125:9,14,15 156:6,7,10

100 (5) 31:6 50:4,12,15 51:16 1000 (1) 1:2

101 (1) 111:5 **1013 (1)** 122:19 1020 (2) 56:14,18 **1025 (1)** 103:17

1028 (1) 58:3 1036 (2) 51:21 52:4 1036am (1) 49:14

1045 (2) 60:2 63:15 **1054 (2)** 64:18 67:13 **106 (1)** 149:20

11 (6) 53:7 57:4 58:23 89:21 98:11 126:10 1100 (1) 53:8

1102 (1) 65:5 1120 (1) 54:21 1130 (1) 137:10

1135 (3) 54:12,20,23 **1154 (1)** 23:1 11am (2) 52:11,12 1230 (4) 68:6 70:14 85:2

137:9 1230pm (1) 77:1 125 (3) 125:11.22 142:19 13 (2) 76:8,21 **130 (2)** 87:7 137:9

1330 (1) 88:22 14 (20) 2:12 6:4,8 13:10 16:2 20:18 23:1 26:3 27:5,8 28:7.14 35:17 39:4.16.18 59-5 78-9 84-23 24

142 (1) 28:14 143 (1) 30:6 1449 (1) 88:18 14th (3) 28:10 39:6 68:9

15 (25) 20:5 44:6 45:19 46:7 48:23 49:25 51:21 56:18 58:23 62:19 64:9,18 68:6 70:11 15 85:2 3 86:11 87:8

88:21 89:9 97:15 100:5 106:17 115:21 1544 (1) 97:15 154sic (1) 76:8

157 (2) 76:18,25 15th (9) 28:11 33:11 44:4 59:18,21 62:4 97:23 103:16 116:4

16 (8) 104:13 105:9 111:13.15 118:23 129:4 149:14,17

16th (4) 97:23 99:22,25

2 (16) 2:17 5:3 22:23 23:2 40:10.11 56:22 57:15 72:25 73:1,13 83:4 110:23 111:4 141:25 148:19 20 (3) 13:13.24 15:15 200 (1) 111:7 2004 (2) 5:7,8 2005 (1) 5:9 2006 (1) 4:16 2012 (2) 4:13,17 2013 (2) 6:20 31:22 2016 (1) 4:13 2017 (1) 70:11

2018 (2) 3:17 31:25

2019 (1) 2:12 2020 (1) 2:17 2022 (2) 1:1 156:11 205 (1) 30:14 2128 (1) 39:18 23 (1) 2:13 **234 (1)** 129:3 **24 (2)** 10:4,5 249 (1) 88:21 **25 (2)** 1:1 41:16 **26 (1)** 156:11

273 (1) 76:7

28 (1) 19:10

39 (1) 49:11

30 (2) 28:19 103:20 302 (1) 152:23 315 (3) 152:12,21,25 322 (1) 156:9 33 (1) 35:19 330 (4) 35:17,21 89:9 90:1 **34 (1)** 129:24

4 (1) 58:24 **40 (2)** 49:9,13 42 (1) 65:23 426 (1) 70:12 44 (2) 41:16 76:24 **48 (1)** 98:10 **49 (1)** 89:21

5 (5) 13:13 15:14 146:9,17 147:24 502pm (1) 98:12 51 (1) 146:17 530 (2) 89:24 90:24 555 (2) 6:8,14

6 (2) 10:4 19:11

7 (5) 2:18 13:19,20 25:8 35:19 **705 (2)** 8:7 9:14 707 (1) 8:14 715 (3) 13:9,16,18 721 (1) 100:8 **730 (5)** 39:16,19 40:1,4 741 (2) 104:15,17 **745 (2)** 13:14,18

77 (1) 103:20

8 (2) 13:21 49:10 802 (2) 105:8,9 814 (1) 106:3 823 (1) 107:1 840 (2) 79:12 109:20 **845 (4)** 62:19,19 71:20 115:21

9 (3) 5:3 16:2 65:23 900 (2) 95:19 111:18 907 (2) 17:3 38:11 909 (2) 38:13 39:5 912 (1) 44:8 927 (1) 27:7 928 (2) 39:18 40:2 930 (6) 18:25 26:5 48:23 49:12.18.25 940 (2) 118:22 119:1 9am (1) 20:18

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters transcripts@opus2.com 020 4515 2252