1	Tuesday, 17 July 2018	1	Q. Have you discussed your statement or your evidence that
2	(10.00 am)	2	you're going to give to the inquiry with anybody before
3	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to	3	coming here today?
4	another day's hearing.	4	A. No, I haven't.
5	Mr Millett, we are going to hear from another	5	Q. Okay.
6	witness, I think.	6	Now, we also have a note, which is MET00005290,
7	MR MILLETT: We are, Mr Chairman, yes. I'd like to invite	7	which says that it was compiled by you on 14 June, which
8	DAC Adrian Fenton to come now to give evidence.	8	is a document we now have on the screen.
9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, thank you.	9	It's a fairly long document, I'm not going to show
10	ADRIAN FENTON (sworn)	10	it all to you, but you say, I think, that you compiled
11	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed.	11	this from contemporaneous notes you made on
12	Good morning, Mr Fenton.	12	17 June 2017.
13	THE WITNESS: Good morning.	13	A. Yes, I did.
14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Sit down, make yourself comfortable.	14	Q. That's right, is it?
15	There's water on the table if you would like it.	15	A. Yes.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	16	Q. Yes. And the records were made by your loggist, Watch
17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Millett will be asking you	17	Manager Lee Small, on 14 June; yes?
18	questions. If at any stage you feel that you need	18	A. Yes.
19	a break, just indicate and we'll take action. All	19	Q. Okay.
20	right?	20	I think there's also a voice record made by yourself
21	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.	21	by mobile on 18 June 2017.
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Millett.	22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	Questions by COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY	23	Q. Have you had a chance to review this note recently?
24	MR MILLETT: Good morning, Mr Fenton.	24	A. Yes, I have.
25	Could you please give the inquiry your full name?	25	Q. You have. Okay.
			· ·
	Page 1	_	Page 3
1	A. Adrian Patrick Fenton.	1	Now, we also have some documents. I wouldn't call
2	Q. Thank you.	2	them formal exhibits to your statement, but they are
3	Can I just say, first of all, thank you very much	3	documents which have two separate Relativity numbers.
4	for coming along to attend today and help us with our	4	Let me go to those straight away. These are MET00017094
5	inquiries. We do appreciate it.	5	and MET00017390, and each of those is a separate
6	I'm going to be asking you quite a few questions.	6	clutch of documents which I want to ask you about.
7	If you don't understand any of them or would like me to	7	Let me ask you about them now, not to get them out
8	repeat them, I'm very happy to do so, or to put the	8	of the way completely, but at least to be able to
9	question in a different way.	9	identify them.
10	Similarly, if at any stage you need a break, we can	10	Let's start with the first of those, MET00017094.
11	take a break. It's not a problem.	11	That's the first page, which is the police evidence
12	A. Thank you.	12	identifier.
13	Q. Now, you've provided the inquiry or, rather, you've	13	If we can turn to the next page, it looks like the
14	provided the police with a statement dated	14	outside of an envelope.
15	4 January 2018, and it's Relativity reference is	15	A. Yes, that's my writing on that envelope, yes.
16	MET000080569, which should now be up there on the	16	Q. And it's entitled "FSG details from control collected by
17	screen.	17	DAC Fenton, OIC in control/BCC on the night of
18	We have two screens at the back of the room and	18	Grenfell Tower".
19	a screen on your desk which you're looking at, so best	19	A. Yes, so OIC would be in the BCC, but not the OIC of
20	to use that.	20	control; that would have been Jo Smith.
21	Have you read it recently?	21	Q. Yes.
22	A. Yes, I have, yes.	22	If you then go to the next page and the pages that
23	Q. Can you please confirm that its contents are true and	23	follow, can you just identify for us in outline terms
24	accurate to the best of your belief?	24	what these documents are? There's the first page, which
25	A. Yes, they are.	25	is page 3. There's details of flats and people and
	D 6		D 4
	Page 2	1	Page A
	1 age 2		Page 4

1	floors.	1	up?
2	Do you know what these documents are?	2	A. My recollection is that there was a mixture of pieces of
3	A. These were the documents that control staff were taking	3	paper that were by the dry whiteboards that I picked up.
4	the FSG information on, and then this was passed over to	4	So a range of A4 sheets to pieces that were ripped off
5	Jason Oliff, who was in the corner writing the	5	to half sheets, really. So a complete variation.
6	information up on the dry whiteboards.	6	Q. Okay. You have a clear recollection of that, do you?
7	Q. Right.	7	A. Sorry?
8	A. On the day or in the morning there was a pile of	8	Q. Do you have a clear recollection of that?
9	documents and I just picked the whole pile up, so	9	A. Yes. That's what was on all the papers that I've put
10	I couldn't go through it individually and say each	10	in that envelope were all the papers that were on the
11	individual one was they was just on a pile next to	11	floor by the dry whiteboards.
12	the dry whiteboard, so I just put the whole lot in one	12	Q. Right. We'll come to look at the details of those then
13	envelope.	13	later on.
14	Q. I see. And they were already piled up, were they?	14	I said I'd come back to the second page of this
15	A. Yes.	15	second group of documents. If we can go back to that,
16	Q. You just picked them up and put them in an envelope?	16	MET00017390, page 2. This is a list of flats, floors,
17	A. Yes.	17	adults, children, entitled "Fire Survival Guidance
18	Q. What time did you do that, do you remember?	18	14/06/17" with the incident number on the right.
19	A. I would say that's probably around between 0600 and 0700	19	Can you identify the writing at the top?
20	on the morning.	20	A. Yes, "Current 07.20", my signature, and I wrote that.
21	Q. Okay. And were there two piles or one pile?	21	So I asked Jason Oliff to collate that information off
22	A. I couldn't give you they were just on the floor by	22	the dry whiteboards and then I wanted to sign it so that
23	the dry whiteboards.	23	there was currency at what time that was signed and
24	Q. Right. Okay.	24	detailed.
25	We'll look through these in due course. Can I just	25	Q. Right. So just to summarise what you've just said to
23	Wen look anough these in due course. Can I just	23	Q. Right. 50 just to summarise what you've just said to
	Page 5		Page 7
1	show you the other I wouldn't call it exhibit, but	1	us, that is Jason Oliff's summary of the fire survival
2	the other group of documents, which is MET00017390. It	2	guidance in terms of flat, floor, adults and children
3	looks like a continuation, or a continuation in kind, of	3	that he took from the whiteboards at the end of the
4	these documents.	4	night?
5	Just flipping through the pages, Mr Documents	5	A. Yes, that's correct.
6	Director I'll come back to that document in a moment,	6	Q. Then you signed it off.
7	but moving forwards page 2, moving forwards, again,	7	When you signed it off, did you verify that written
8	looking at that page, and then the next page that's	8	list against the content of the FSG whiteboards?
9	page 4, page 5, that's on its side again, what are	9	A. No, I didn't. I took Jason's word that he'd done that.
10	these documents?	10	He's a competent officer and I shouldn't have to check
11	A. So I'm not familiar with the content; however, they are	11	that.
12	the pieces of paper they look very familiar to all	12	Q. We'll come back in due course to these documents, but
13	the pieces of paper that were utilised on the night that	13	thank you very much for identifying them.
14	I picked up at the end of the shift in the morning.	14	Can I go back to the beginning, as it were.
15	Q. In terms of the size of these pieces of paper, were they	15	You are a deputy assistant commissioner with nearly
16	all A4 or were they smaller pieces of	16	24 years' experience, now 25 years' experience, I think.
17	A. They were completely varied.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. They were completed varied?	18	Q. I think you were made a DAC in March 2016; is that
19	A. Yes.	19	right?
			A. That's correct.
20	Q. Mr Oliff yesterday came to give evidence and I showed	20	
21	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of	21	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at
21 22	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they	21 22	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and
21 22 23	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they weren't generally or weren't A4 pieces of paper.	21 22 23	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and I'm sorry, these statements don't have paragraph numbers
21 22 23 24	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they weren't generally or weren't A4 pieces of paper. What's your recollection about the actual physical	21 22 23 24	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and I'm sorry, these statements don't have paragraph numbers and often quite a lot of block text, so we have to
21 22 23	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they weren't generally or weren't A4 pieces of paper.	21 22 23	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and I'm sorry, these statements don't have paragraph numbers
21 22 23 24	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they weren't generally or weren't A4 pieces of paper. What's your recollection about the actual physical shape and size of the pieces of paper that you picked	21 22 23 24	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and I'm sorry, these statements don't have paragraph numbers and often quite a lot of block text, so we have to navigate our way through it. What we'll do is throw the
21 22 23 24	him these pieces of paper, and he said the pieces of paper he was getting were smaller pieces of paper; they weren't generally or weren't A4 pieces of paper. What's your recollection about the actual physical	21 22 23 24	Q. Now, on page 2 of your witness statement, if you look at it, please, three-quarters of the way down page 2 and I'm sorry, these statements don't have paragraph numbers and often quite a lot of block text, so we have to

1	statement up on the screen and use a yellow highlight to	1	documents bundle [HOM00001124], which is the LFB's
2	focus on the passage.	2	official report, main report, into the role and actions
3	It says:	3	of LFB control at the Lakanal House fire
4	"Throughout my career I have trained in dealing with	4	dated November 2012.
5	Fire Survival Guidance (FSG) as an operational officer."	5	There is also at tab 53, for completeness, a lengthy
6	Just pausing there, did your training include	6	set of annexes. Annex A is about Lakanal and annex B is
7	lessons learnt from the Lakanal House fire?	7	a comparison between national guidance and LFB policy
8	A. So I'd done the Lakanal House fire online development	8	and training. I don't know if they help terribly much.
9	programme that was put forward for all staff.	9	What I want to show you is the conclusions about the
10	Q. Right. Pausing there, an online development programme	10	handling of the the fire at Lakanal on page 50. I just
11	put forward for all staff. When was that put forward?	11	want to ask you to look at paragraphs 293 to 296 of
12	A. I can't remember the exact date, it was quite a while	12	that.
13	ago, but I think it would be in my training records.	13	Before I do that, can I ask you, are you familiar
14	Q. How does an online development programme work?	14	with this report at all?
15	A. It's an online system that staff go on to, I think it's	15	A. I'm aware of that report but I haven't read it.
16	within something called Big Learning, and it's like	16	Q. Right. Okay. Let's see how far we get, then.
17	a CBT, computer-based training.	17	If you look at paragraphs 293 to 296 on page 50,
18	Q. How often did you do that after it came out?	18	they're there. Can I start just by asking you about
19	A. It was allocated to all staff to complete. I couldn't	19	293, "Expectations that callers would be rescued and
20	give you a date when that was, but that was it was	20	'stay put' advice". In the middle of the paragraph it
21	actually for all operational staff.	21	says well, I will start the paragraph:
22	Q. Right. All operational staff?	22	"COs had a clear expectation that fire crews would
23	A. As far as I'm aware, so	23	reach the callers quickly. Their experience was that
24	Q. Right, so from front-line firefighters to the	24	fire appliances arrive quickly and that people are
25	commissioner?	25	rescued by the Brigade."
	Page 9		Page 11
1	A. I couldn't be 100 per cent sure, so I don't know. I'm	1	Just pausing there, in your experience up to the
2	not part of the training department who would've	2	time of the Grenfell Tower in June 2017, that
3	allocated it out, so	3	expectation, was that something that persisted, do you
4	Q. In terms of big messages and it's difficult to ask	4	think? That control room operators continued to expect
5	you questions without that online programme in front of	5	that fire crews would reach callers quickly?
6	us what do you remember were the big take-away	6	A. I would say that would be an expectation, but with the
7	messages from that online Lakanal House training?	7	caveat that that would be probably a single fire
8	A. I couldn't give you those answers; that training was	8	survival call.
9	done several years ago.	9	Q. Right, okay.
10	Q. I see, several years ago. Was it repeated after the	10	Similarly, "Their experience was that fire
11	first time you did it?	11	appliances arrive quickly and people are rescued by the
12	A. No, I haven't repeated it, no.	12	Brigade", was that a continuing or persisting
13	Q. How soon before the Grenfell Tower fire did you do your	13	expectation up to the time of the Grenfell Tower?
14	online Lakanal House training, do you remember?	14	A. Yes, I would say so, yes.
15	A. No, I can't give you those dates.	15	Q. Then it goes on in the middle of the paragraph:
16	Q. Did that training specifically include communications	16	"As rescues by crews were not immediate there is a
17	between the control room and the incident ground in	17	question whether the CO and/or callers, could have
18	relation to FSG calls?	18	assessed the risk of attempting to escape from the flat
19	A. So with the training package, unfortunately, as I've	19	and whether the risk of moving closer to the fire (but
20	mentioned, it was done several years ago. I don't know	20	escaping) was less than staying put and awaiting rescue.
21	the date of when I completed that and I couldn't	21	COs relied on advising callers to 'stay put' expecting
22	recollect what the content was to give you details of	22	that this would keep callers safe from the fire."
23	what that was.	23	That sharing of assessment of risk between CO and
24	Q. Let's see if we can get at this in a slightly different	24	callers, or CO or callers, is that something that
25	way. Can I ask you to look, please, at tab 52 of our	25	persisted up until the night of the Grenfell Tower fire,
		1	D 12
	Page 10		Page 12

		Т	
1	in your experience?	1	career you've trained in dealing with fire survival
2	A. Yes, I would suggest that a control operator would be	2	guidance as an operational officer
3	comfortable that stay put would be the safest place with	3	A. Operational officer, so on the operations side, so
4	compartmentation in place.	4	that's being on the incident ground, not in control.
5	Q. Right.	5	Q. I follow.
6	Do you know whether the lessons learnt from Lakanal	6	A. So they are two completely different aspects.
7	House led to an improvement or change in the way in	7	Q. Oh, I see. So you're dealing with fire survival
8	which control room operators assessed or assisted the	8	guidance on the incident ground, not in the control
9	caller to assess the safety of escape?	9	room?
10	A. I couldn't give you that answer because I don't know how	10	A. On the incident ground, not in control.
11	control operators have been trained or how their	11	Q. Bear with me, then, we may be able to take this much
12	training has been changed. I'm not involved in the	12	more shortly, but just looking at appendix 3 so we're
13	control side.	13	familiar with the four principles you're talking about
14	Q. Okay. Okay.	14	and we know what they are, that's appendix 3, page 16 of
15	If you go, then, to 294, you may say the same thing	15	23, and the four principles, am I right in thinking, are
16	but let me just put it to you:	16	set out there at the bottom of the page? So,
17	"Escape/alternative escape routes: Many callers	17	essentially, escape or identify a safe alternative
18	mentioned that there was smoke outside their flat or	18	escape, then if that's not possible, assess by asking
19	that there was smoke in the corridor preventing escape.	19	questions, and then begin to protect by providing
20	This may have caused COs to move straight into the	20	current fire safety advice, reassure the caller and
21	'protect' phase of FSG and not explore alternative	21	reassess the caller's situation.
22	escape routes with the callers."	22	Are those the four principles?
23	First of all, can you help us, in your experience	23	A. So I'm aware of those four principles that are in
24	and knowledge, having had the training you say you've	24	policy 790.
25	had, what does moving straight into the protect phase of	25	Q. Right.
	Page 13		Page 15
1	FSG mean?	1	Now you're aware of those but you say from
1			Now, you're aware of those, but you say from
2 3	A. Yet again, that is a call operator's role and that wouldn't have been part of my training, but my	2 3	an operational not a control room point of view? A. Yes.
4		4	Q. Going back, if we can, then, please, to paragraph 294 of
5	understanding of the protect phase would be the four principles that control operators would utilise.	5	the Lakanal House report, in your experience from the
6	Q. Those four principles are set out in the policies, are	6	operational point of view, was there a change after this
7	they?	7	report in the way in which control room operators
8	A. Yes.	8	explored alternative escape routes with callers? Is
9	Q. Right. Those are the basics of fire survival guidance,	9	there a change to the culture or the policy or the
10	are they?	10	training, do you know?
11	A. That's the principles that control operators would use.	11	A. I couldn't recollect, going back to that period of time,
12	Q. Right.	12	what the change was, and, like I say, not being involved
13	Just to be clear what we're talking about, I'll just	13	in control operators' training or in their roles,
14	follow this up by reference to a policy.	14	I wouldn't be able to really give you that answer.
15	Can I ask you to look at policy 539 "Emergency call	15	Q. Right. Just a couple more questions on this and I fear
16	management", tab 3 of the policies bundle, and look,	16	I'm going to get the same answer, but I think I need to
17	please, at appendix 3.	17	just try it with you.
18	First of all, my question is: are you familiar with	18	In the last sentence of 294, it says:
19	this LFB policy?	19	"There is a real risk in attempting a
20	A. I'm aware of that policy but, yet again, it's a control	20	self-evacuation from a building on fire that the
21	policy so it wouldn't be one that I would be I would	21	occupant will move themselves into a position of greater
22	have read.	22	harm rather than waiting in a safe location for rescue."
23	Q. Would you not have had training on this?	23	That risk, did that risk change, or the assessment
24	A. No.	24	of that risk change, to your knowledge, after Lakanal
25	Q. Because when you say in your statement throughout your	25	House?
	e. = 1.1.ase men jou say in jour suitement unoughout your		
	Page 14		Page 16

1 A. So not sure if that changed from Lakanal House, but as 2 for ourselves, we would always look that 3 compartmentation of the building will keep members – or 4 people safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade 5 can get there to rescue them. 6 Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 10 that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 11 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive 12 answer on that because it's not something that I've been 13 involved with, with control. 14 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 15 On 196 16 A. 296? 16 Wester communication between Control and 19 incident command: There is evidence of information 19 incident command: There is evidence of information 19 passing from Control to the incident ground and only one 20 occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 21 occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 22 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 23 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress of 3 firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 4 if COs had been given information about the progress of 3 firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 4 if COs had been given information about progress that it 5 would have influenced the advice given to callers. 2 My question is, having seen that: do you know 4 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 2 having from Comittol. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy 3 the first page of this first of all. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Soy you were trained on this one; is that right? 4 A. Yes. 5 A. Yes. I'm familiar with that policy thad 5 Training in certain aspects of that policy. 6 A. Yes. 10 A. Sea: 9 Now, t	for ourselves, we would always look that compartmentation of the building will keep members — or people safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade can get there to rescue them. Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 — A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
compartmentation of the building will keep members – or people safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade can get there to rescue them. Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. A. 296? Q. Okay. Now, this one, as you can see from this front page, was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was as far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within the progress of that policy. Q. Okay. Let me just try one more question on 196. A. 296? G. Okay. In the reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within the progress of the control in the free in the details of a flat with people trapped with the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 There was much less information about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not	compartmentation of the building will keep members — or people safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade can get there to rescue them. Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 — A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
4 People safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade 5 can get there to rescue them. 6 Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and 7 a caller says. "There's smoke in my corridor and outside 8 my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had 9 to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which 10 that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 11 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive 12 answer on that because it's not something that I've been 13 involved with, with control. 14 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 15 On 196 16 A. 296? 16 A. 296? 17 Q. Sorry, 296: 18 "Effective communication between Control and incident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one 20 given to FSG callers." 20 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 21 cocasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 22 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 23 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." 1 d. A. Yes, I'm familiar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'ma finalizar with that policy, and I've	people safe within their premises until the Fire Brigade can get there to rescue them. Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
5 can get there to rescue them. 6 Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and 7 a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside 8 my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had 9 to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which 10 that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 11 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive 12 answer on that because it's not something that I've been 13 involved with, with control. 14 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 15 On 196 - 16 A. 296? 16 A. 296? 17 Q. Sorry, 296: 18 "Effective communication between Control and incident command." There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 20 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 22 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress 23 with the incident particularly in relation to callers 25 being given FSG. In line with practice at the time 25 my question is, having seen that: do you know 47 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 40 So you were trained on this one; is that right! A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I'm amiliar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that this was policy;	can get there to rescue them. Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
6 Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 10 that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 11 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive 12 answer on that because it's not something that I've been 13 involved with, with control. 14 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 15 On 196 — 16 A. 296? 16 Yespect of the creation of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fact that control is kept informed of the micident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one cocasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." A. Yes, I'm familiar with that policy, and I've had training in certain aspects of that policy. Now, this one, as you can see from this front page, was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within that policy. LEB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower fire, sere we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within that is relevant — paragraph 9, "Communication with Control". Para 9.1. It says: "It is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fact hat control is ware of the actions being carried out on the incident ground will greatly enhance th	 Q. Right. But at a point where the building is on fire and a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "It is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fac that control is aware of the actions being carried out incident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training training in certain aspects of that policy. Q. Okay. Now, this one, as you can see from this front his front page, was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was a far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within the as far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within the incident est of the Grenfell Tower fire. LFB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower fire. I just want to show you — there's bots of it that is relevant—paragraph 9, "Communication with is relevant—paragraph 9, "Page 1.1! Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that wa	a caller says, "There's smoke in my corridor and outside my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "It is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fac that control is aware of the actions being carried out on the incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to FSG callers." Now, this one, as you can see from this from tpage, was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this w as far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within t LiFB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower fire. I just want to show you there's lots of it that is relevant paragraph 9, "Communication with Control". Para 9.1. It says: "It is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fac that control is aware of the actions being carried out on the incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to FSG callers." Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the Design given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that incident command the training there was much less information bout progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower this was policy? however, I would just like to say, on the night of Grenfell Tower - this relates very much to a singl	my flat", so that the risk of an unsafe evacuation had to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 14	to be assessed, was there a change in the way in which that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? 1 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. 1 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. 1 On 196 1 On 196 1 On Sorry, 296: 1 Control!'. Para 9.1. It says: 1 Effective communication between Control and 1 incident command: There is evidence of information 2 passing from Control to the incident ground and only one 2 occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 2 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 2 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress 3 with the incident ground to Control about the progress of 3 firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 4 if COs had been given information about progress that it 4 would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know 4 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 10 was reviewed as current on 17 April 2014. So this was afar as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within to as far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within to as far as we know, the up-to-date FSG policy within to LEFB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower fire. 1 LFB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower rive been in just want to show you — there's lots of it that 1 is relevant — paragraph 9, "Communication with Control!" 1 is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being that it is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being that he relevance on the incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to FSG callers." Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy — A. Could you say that first bit of the question again. sorry? Just on the — Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower — this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on: that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that p	that risk was assessed as a result of Lakanal House? A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and incident command: There is evidence of information and only one passing from Control to the incident ground and only one cocasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident ground to Control spatial that control is an at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy regularly tried to obtain information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know for whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full control is and the time of the Grenfell Tower fire. LEB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower fire. LEB as at the time of the Grenfell Tower that is relevant — paragraph 9, "Communication with is relevant — paragraph 9, "Communication with of the actions being that control is kept informed of the actions being that control is kept informed of the actions being that control is avare of the actions being that control is avare of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions of the actions being taken to resolve actions being taken to resolve each FSG cal	 A. I'm sorry, I can't give you the full, comprehensive answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. It is relevant — paragraph 9, "Communication with it hat control is aware of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The factions being taken to resolve each FSG call. Th	 answer on that because it's not something that I've been involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
involved with, with control. 13	 involved with, with control. Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 15	 Q. Right. Let me just try one more question on 196. On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
15 On 196 16 A. 296? 17 Q. Sorry, 296: 18 "Effective communication between Control and incident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time 19 Page 17 10 A. 296? 11 it is vital that control is kept informed of the actions being taken to resolve each FSG call. The fact that control is aware of the actions being carried out on the incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to FSG callers." 20 passing from Control to the incident ground and only one were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers awith the incident particularly in relation to callers 21 A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the 22 given to FSG callers." 23 A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the 25 Deing given FSG. In line with practice at the time aware that this was policy? 26 Page 19 27 A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire fighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the night of Grenfell Tower - this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	On 196 A. 296? Q. Sorry, 296: "Effective communication between Control and
16 A. 296? 17 Q. Sorry, 296: 18 "Effective communication between Control and incident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower the incident ground to Control about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 1 incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to caller actions being given to FSG callers." Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy 22 fire, were you aware that that was a policy 23 A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the 25 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you Page 19 1 aware that this was policy? A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the incident ground to Control about progress that it the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	A. 296?Q. Sorry, 296:"Effective communication between Control and
17 Q. Sorry, 296: 18 "Effective communication between Control and incident command: There is evidence of information passing from Control to the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." 1	Q. Sorry, 296:"Effective communication between Control and
### 18 ### 18 #### 18 #################	"Effective communication between Control and
19 incident command: There is evidence of information 20 passing from Control to the incident ground and only one 21 occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped 22 were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors 23 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress 24 with the incident particularly in relation to callers 25 being given FSG. In line with practice at the time 26 page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the 27 incident ground to Control about the progress of 3 firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 4 if COs had been given information about progress that it 5 would have influenced the advice given to callers." 29 On the incident ground will greatly enhance the advice given to FSG callers." 20 given to FSG callers." 21 Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower 22 fire, were you aware that that was a policy 23 as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you 24 Page 19 25 Page 19 26 A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower 27 this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on 28 the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to 29 as at the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to 29 as a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; 20 the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to 21 as a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; 22 the question as a result of Lakanal House and the training 20 tries and the advice given to callers." 21 A. Could you say that first bit of the Question again. 22 Sorry? Just on the 23 A. Could you say that first bit of the Question again. 24 Sorry? Just on the 25 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower	
passing from Control to the incident ground and only one occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 There was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." 20 given to FSG callers." 21 Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy	in ald and a command of the control of the control of
occasion when the details of a flat with people trapped were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." Now, on or as at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that that was a policy 22	
were not passed in a timely way. Control supervisors regularly tried to obtain information about the progress with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know My destroin is, having seen that: do you know Whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training Page 19 A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the— Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you Page 19 aware that this was policy? A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the night of Grenfell Tower—this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	
23 regularly tried to obtain information about the progress 24 with the incident particularly in relation to callers 25 being given FSG. In line with practice at the time 26 Page 17 27 Page 19 1 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." 28 A. Could you say that first bit of the question again, sorry? Just on the — 29 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that this was policy? 20 A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the influenced the advice given to callers." 3 the night of Grenfell Tower — this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	
with the incident particularly in relation to callers being given FSG. In line with practice at the time 24 sorry? Just on the — 25 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you Page 17 1 there was much less information being passed from the 2 incident ground to Control about the progress of 3 firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 4 if COs had been given information about progress that it 5 would have influenced the advice given to callers." 6 My question is, having seen that: do you know 7 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 24 sorry? Just on the — 25 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower 4 this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on 4 the night of Grenfell Tower — this relates very much to 6 a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; 6 that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the 7 information coming in can change how that policy	
being given FSG. In line with practice at the time Page 17 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 25 Q. As at the night of the Grenfell Tower fire, were you aware that this was policy? A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	
Page 17 Page 19 there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of 2 A. Yes, I was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that 3 this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on if COs had been given information about progress that it 4 the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to would have influenced the advice given to callers." 5 a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; My question is, having seen that: do you know 6 that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 7 information coming in can change how that policy	
there was much less information being passed from the incident ground to Control about the progress of 2	being given FSG. In line with practice at the time
incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that	Page 17
incident ground to Control about the progress of firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that was aware as at the night of the Grenfell Tower firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that firef	there was much less information being passed from the
firefighting and rescue efforts. It is not clear that if COs had been given information about progress that it would have influenced the advice given to callers." My question is, having seen that: do you know whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training this was policy; however, I would just like to say, on the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	
4 if COs had been given information about progress that it 5 would have influenced the advice given to callers." 6 My question is, having seen that: do you know 7 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 7 the night of Grenfell Tower this relates very much to a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the information coming in can change how that policy	
5 would have influenced the advice given to callers." 5 a single or maybe two fire survival guidance going on; 6 My question is, having seen that: do you know 6 that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the 7 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 7 information coming in can change how that policy	
6 My question is, having seen that: do you know 6 that night was unprecedented. The numbers and the 7 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 7 information coming in can change how that policy	
7 whether, as a result of Lakanal House and the training 7 information coming in can change how that policy	
8 and experiences of it, there was a change to the 8 could've worked on the night.	
9 practice of how much information came back from the 9 Q. Right. You say a single or	practice of how much information came back from the
incident ground to control about the progress of 10 A. It's very unusual to have more than one or two, maybe	incident ground to control about the progress of
firefighting and rescue efforts, particularly in 11 tops three, fire survival guidance calls in one go. Not	firefighting and rescue efforts, particularly in
relation to FSG callers? 12 the amount that we had on that night.	
13 A. So as mentioned before, going back to Lakanal, I can't 13 Q. Do you know how many fire survival guidance calls there	A. So as mentioned before, going back to Lakanal, I can't
exactly pinpoint for you when or how that change came 14 were at Lakanal House?	, ,
into place as in my own memories; however, as 15 A. Not off the top of my head, no.	v 1 1 v
an operational officer, I know that the information back 16 Q. It was more than one, though, wasn't it?	. , , , ,
from the incident ground is something that an officer 17 A. Yes, it was multiple, yes, but not on the scale of	,
will try and do to keep control informed of progress. 18 Grenfell.	•
19 Q. Right. Okay. 19 Q. No, I understand that. Okay.	
We'll come, I think, to a policy that may cover that 20 So are you saying that this policy is fit for, in	
21 in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into 21 your experience, one or two	we in come, i mink, to a policy that may cover that
23 In fact, I'll do it now, actually. 23 fit for multiple numbers of the night that we had at	in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into
Could you look at policy 790, which you'll find in 24 Grenfell Tower. That was unprecedented, and the numb	in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into a policy context.
25 the policies bundle at tab 5. I'd like just to show you 25 coming in to cope with that amount of information	in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into a policy context. In fact, I'll do it now, actually.
D 40	in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into a policy context. In fact, I'll do it now, actually. Could you look at policy 790, which you'll find in
Page 18 Page 20	in a moment, to be fair to you, to put that into a policy context. In fact, I'll do it now, actually. Could you look at policy 790, which you'll find in the policies bundle at tab 5. I'd like just to show you

1	coming in was not something that is easy to resource or	1	or discretion that a call operator had, but you are
2	manage.	2	saying not, are you?
3	Q. Right. Then looking at 9.2:	3	A. I mean, like I say, why it's in capitals and emphasised
4	"Informative messages from the incident ground	4	to that point, I'm really not sure why it's written like
5	should also contain an update on progress relating to	5	that in my statement, but there is always a choice.
6	those specific FSG calls by both the flat/house number	6	Stay-put policy is something that we look for
7	to avoid confusion."	7	compartmentation; however, it depends on what the fire
8	Again, were you aware that this was policy as at the	8	is, what the incident is. If you're talking about
9	night of the Grenfell Tower fire?	9	a fire survival guidance within, say, a house, for
10	A. Yes, I was aware, and, again, I relate back to my last	10	example, the call operator may ask that they can lower
11	answer, without repeating it. It relates to exactly the	11	themselves out the first-floor window. So that advice
12	same thing.	12	isn't set in stone in that aspect.
13	Q. And 9.3:	13	Q. I understand.
14	"The outcome of every FSG call must be communicated	14	Then you go on to say:
15	to control."	15	"A fire should be contained within a compartment by
16	Again, that's clearly	16	fire doors and the construction of the building i.e.
17	A. It's exactly the same, yes.	17	Concrete floors which will assist in preventing fire
18	Q. All right. Okay. Well, we'll examine this in a bit	18	spread. It is usually safer for people to stay where
19	more detail, but I think the answer is would it be	19	they are to prevent a mass exodus and panic. I don't
20	fair to summarise your evidence this way you were	20	know how long the 'stay put' policy has been in place,
21	aware that this was policy	21	but it is well known to be national policy."
22	A. Yes, but to put it into practice	22	Now, just on that, do you know what your
23	Q but to put it into practice was are you saying not	23	understanding of stay put, as you've expressed it there,
24	possible because of the volume of	24	is based on?
25	A. Practical.	25	A. As in could you rephrase that?
	Page 21		Page 23
1	Q. Not practical.	1	Q. What is your understanding that you've expressed there
2	A. Like you say, we can explore that, can't we?	2	based on? Is it based on a document or training or
3	Q. Okay.	3	experience?
4	Now, putting policy away for the moment, I just want	4	A. So if you are saying about a fire should be contained in
5	to ask you one more general thing on the same subject.	5	a compartment, that's from training. All residential
6	In your statement, at page 3, you say at the top of	6	or all flats, we as the fire service would expect the
7	the page, three lines down:	7	building to react to compartmentation and that the fire
8	"With regards to the stay put policy, it is advice	8	would remain in that compartment, allowing the fire
9	that MAY be given to an individual who is trapped by	9	service the time to attend to the building, gain entry
10	fire. The Call Operators MAY tell a caller to remain in	10	to the building, put the fire out, and rescue the
11	a flat and await rescue from crews."	11	persons involved.
12	Now, this reflects your understanding, does it, of	12	Q. Yes.
13	the stay-put policy and its implementation?	13	A. So that is what I mean by compartmentation within the
14	A. So I'm not sure why "may" is in capital letters. It's	14	building.
15	not something I've no recollection why that is	15	Q. Yes.
16	I emphasised at that point.	16	Where a building's compartmentation might fail,
17	Q. Right. Okay.	17	would you expect the building or the building owners to
18	I mean, would you correct the sentence or these two	18	have any contingency plans or training for what to do in
19	sentences in any way, then?	19	order to effect a partial or total evacuation?
20	A. Yes, I would say that with regards to stay-put policy,	20	A. Why would you have an expectation that it would fail?
21	it's advice that would be given to an individual who is	21	Q. That's a rhetorical question. I can't answer a
22	trapped by fire. The operator would tell a caller to	22	rhetorical question, the same as you can't.
23	remain in the flat and await rescue from crews.	23	A. But you've asked me the same question. You said if it
24	Q. Right. I was going to ask you, because the use of the	24	was to fail, why
25	word "may" would indicate there was some kind of choice	25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: A better question possibly might be
	D 22		D 24
	Page 22		Page 24

1	whether anyone should foresee the possibility and, if	1	13 July 2016. In fact, that's a wrong date; it was
2	that was foreseen as a possibility, have any plans for	2	eventually produced in October 2016, after the Shepherds
3	dealing with it.	3	Court fire in the August of that year.
4	A. If that was a possibility then the building should be	4	My first and perhaps only question is: have you ever
5	built to suit full evacuation with a PA system and	5	seen this slideshow before?
6	systems in place to allow the residents or the occupiers	6	A. It does seem familiar, but I couldn't recite its
7	of the building to know that a full evacuation is	7	content.
8	needed. It would have to have a fire alarm, it would	8	Q. Right.
9	have to have many other fire safety points in place to	9	If we can just flip through the pages, the first two
10	support that within the building.	10	and three pages, just see if this is familiar to you.
11	MR MILLETT: Right.	11	If you go to page 2, you can see three photographs
12	In your experience, just following that up, if you	12	of tall buildings with exteriors on fire: Groxny, The
13	take a high-rise building where all you know about it is	13	Address, Dubai and Tamweel Tower in Dubai.
14	that a stay-put policy is in place that's all you	14	First of all, are you familiar with those fires?
15	know about it would you expect there to be in place,	15	A. I'm not aware of them, no, not I mean, I've seen
16	also, a contingency plan for total or partial	16	those pictures before but I couldn't tell you the detail
17	evacuation?	17	of the fires, no.
18	A. No, not necessarily, because if the building has	18	Q. You say you've seen those pictures before
19	compartmentation, the fire should not be spreading out	19	A. The slide looks familiar, so I would suggest I'd seen
20	of the compartmentation, so there wouldn't be	20	this, but I couldn't recite or give you the exact
21	an expectation for a full or phased evacuation within	21	detail.
22	that building, because it wouldn't be required. Because	22	Q. So the slide looks familiar. Okay, let's see if we can
23	the fire should not be spreading outside of the	23	just push a bit further and see how familiar.
24	compartment.	24	The next page is page 3. Same sort of thing,
25	Q. In your experience, have you ever, in your many years of	25	exterior fires in Shanghai and Baku in Azerbaijan in
	Page 25		Page 27
	<u></u>		<u> </u>
1	front-line firefighting, encountered fire spreading out	1	2015. Looks like 15 December 2015.
1 2	front-line firefighting, encountered fire spreading out of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat?	1 2	2015. Looks like 15 December 2015.Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB
2	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat?	2	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB
2 3	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and	2 3	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide?
2 3 4	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come	2 3 4	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no.
2 3 4 5	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go	2 3 4 5	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide
2 3 4 5 6	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're familiar with it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we can take this quite quickly if you go to page 25,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're familiar with it. Can I ask you to look at the master documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we can take this quite quickly if you go to page 25, "General Conclusion", I'll just show you this and see if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're familiar with it. Can I ask you to look at the master documents bundle, tab 10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we can take this quite quickly if you go to page 25, "General Conclusion", I'll just show you this and see if it rings a bell. That's the general conclusion in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're familiar with it. Can I ask you to look at the master documents bundle, tab 10. Now, this is a slideshow which runs to some 38-odd pages. It's dated, or appears to be dated,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we can take this quite quickly if you go to page 25, "General Conclusion", I'll just show you this and see if it rings a bell. That's the general conclusion in the slide. I just want to see if this triggers a recollection:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the compartment of origin, or smoke or heat? A. Only from possibly one floor to the next floor, and that's what we call the coanda effect, where it may come out of a window and hug the side of the building and go back inside a window in the next floor. But not as it behaved on the night of the 14th, no. Q. Right. Were you aware generally or from training or intelligence within the LFB, being quite senior, of a fire at Shepherds Court in August 2016? A. So I was aware of that fire, but I did not attend that fire. Q. No. Were you aware of any learning or education internally within the LFB about the lessons learnt from that fire? A. Not that I could recite sitting here without looking into it. Q. Let me just show you a document to see if you're familiar with it. Can I ask you to look at the master documents bundle, tab 10. Now, this is a slideshow which runs to some 38-odd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Again, are these photographs familiar from that LFB slide? A. Not necessarily, no. Q. Then running through it, there's one other slide with photographs of fires at Basingstoke and Garnock Court. Then page 5, if I can show you that, that is a slide entitled "External Fire Spread", with a diagram which shows a primary fire and upwards spread to secondary fires up the building, rapid fire spread in the left-hand box, and the point of the slide is in bold on the bottom right-hand side, where it says: "It is important that the facade does not contribute significantly to the fire spread." Do you remember seeing a slide like this within a slideshow? A. Sitting here, no, I'm not familiar necessarily with that particular slide. Q. Right. Okay. Just looking at the conclusions I'll see if we can take this quite quickly if you go to page 25, "General Conclusion", I'll just show you this and see if it rings a bell. That's the general conclusion in the slide. I just want to see if this triggers

"As a general principle the external envelop of the building should not committee to the fire spread along the facade. "New construction material and method of contribution are height good the facade. "There is a need to understand: "There is a need appropriately and meet the release a slide-how or the conclusions of a slide-how created by the LFB in, we think, "The is a need appropriately and meet the publiding, no, in vould not be an expectation in a slightly different way, as at the night of the fire, would yea have expected from in fire fighters to be aware that fire twas a role in pilot of the fire, was a slide-how or the conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within a slightly different was a role in pilot of the fire, would be a new to a slightly different was a role in pilot of the fire, in was a role in the slightly of the fire, in was a role in the slightly of the fire, in your experience, he fire that is				
the financle. 4 "New construction material and method of construction material and method of lamined understanding of their fire construction are being used in financials and vital individuals. The service of a high-rise? 5 "There is a need to understanding. 6 "What products are being used in the financial experiment. 9 "What products are being used in the financial experiment. 10 and their fire behaviour/performance. 11 "What products are being used in the financial experiment. 12 "If they are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance. 13 These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. 14 in a building. 15 Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of a slideshow created by the LFD in, we think, a fire. 16 a slideshow created by the LFD in, we think, a fire. 17 October 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court fire. 18 fire. 19 Do you remember these general conclusions or anything fike them being trained on, discussed, within the LFPS in we fire. 19 anything fike them being trained on, discussed, within the LFPS in we fire. 19 A So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 2 Q. Now, you asy you're aware of the conclusions there, do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 2 A. So I would be aware as a fire night of the principles. The external of the enrichops should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building, we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone part a cadding on the outside of the building in the building, and, in principle, why would someone part a cadding on the outside of the building in the position of the fire, in you contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's	1	"As a general principle the external envelop of the	1	it.
the fleade Nove construction material and method of construction are being used in facades and with a limited undestanding of their fire behaviour/performance. There is a need to undestand: There is a need to undestand: They are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance. They are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance. These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, Cotaber 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court fire. Do you remember these general conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, and you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I that still selvance. A Concretil to fire spread. I think that's general expectation when the substituting is the throught of the envelopes should not contribute to fire spread? I think that's general expectation would remain in the building, and, in principles. The external of the envelopes should not contribute to fire spread? I think that's general expectation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would summone put a cladding on the outside of the building if the put a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a cont	2		2	Q. In more general terms, as at the night of the
4 "New construction material and method of 5 construction are being used in flacades and with a 1 limited understanding of their fire 5 behaviourperformance. 7 Can be a surface of the risk of fire spread up 5 behaviourperformance. 7 Can behaviourperformance. 7 Can behaviourperformance. 7 Can behaviourperformance. 7 Can behaviourperformance. 8 "There is a need to understand: 8 "There is a need to understand: 9 "*What products are being used in the floade system and their fire behaviour. And 11 and 11 "*If they are used appropriately and meet the 11 relevant guidance 12 relevant guidance 13 These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building." 1 In the specific of a slideshow or the conclusions of 2 a slideshow created by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 1 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in, we think, 2 Can be provided by the LPH in th	3		3	
5 construction are being used in flandeds and with a limited understanding of their fire behaviour/performance. 8 "There is a need to understand: 9 "What products are being used in the ficade system and their fire behaviour, And And their fire behaviour and their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour and their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour, And their fire behaviour and their fire fire fire fire fire fire fire f	4	"New construction material and method of	4	
6 Imitted understanding of their fire 7 behaviour/performance. 8 "There is a need to understand: 9 "What products are being used in the facade system and their fire behaviour, And and their fire behaviour fire behaviour fire behaviour, And and their fire behaviour fire behaviour for the event around the building, and, in would not be an expectation. 10 Photocovers the custom and speed, but just in terms of the risk in the abstract, just to repeat that their. But in the rapid spread that that fire went around the building, and the fire, would you have expected from-line firefighters to be austract, and the fire, would you have expected from-line firefighters to be an expectation. 10 Photocovers the custom and speed, but just in terms of the risk in the abstract, just to repeat that that fire went around the behaviour firefighters to would within the struct, and the risk in the abstract, just to repeat as a the night of the fire, would you have expected from-line firefighters to would the behaviour as a the night of the fire, would you have exherten to a structure and t	5	construction are being used in facades and with a	5	the exterior, an igniting exterior of a high-rise?
7 Dehaviour/performance. 8 "There is a need to understand: 9 "What products are being used in the fixede system and their fire behaviour, And and their fire behaviour fire and the fire and say five seen and their fire behaviour fire behaviour fire fire fire fire fire fire fire fir	6		6	A. Not to the magnitude that it happened on the night, no.
"What products are being used in the fixeade system and their fire behaviour, And 10 "He was an adde proportisely and meet the relevant guidance." 11 "If they are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance." 12 "These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building. A not swarp the state and peech, but just in terms of the risk in the rapid spread that that fire are used using in a building. A not work, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of 15 a slideshow created by the LTB in, we think, 16 Coctober 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court 17 fire. 19 Do you remember these general conclusions or 19 A. No I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm 20 most familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 21 the LTB? 20 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm 21 most familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 22 the LTB? 21 that slideshow. 22 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there, but I'm 22 that slideshow. 22 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there, do 3 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 23 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a chadding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sense— 14 Colony, you mention cladding, as at the night of the 15 A. Okay, cladding or feaule. 15 Colony, you mention cladding, as at the night of the 16 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that facility to rever the special on the would be an expectation. On the visit to the repair that the the individual contribute; to fire spread? I think that's probably 24 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably	7		7	
and their fire behaviour, And "If they are used appropriately and meet the "If they are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance. These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building." Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, Coctober 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court fire. Do you remember these general conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and the million or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Q. Right. A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 That slideshow. Page 20 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 20 A. That would be aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelopes should not contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably in the building, no, town were the operational put a clading on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-fise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, no, town were the operational put a clading on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 10 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 11 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 12 A. Ther is always as risk that you could be aware that you know whore the operational packs on duty, apart from you? A. Ther would be avered that some that is single-fise principles. The external of the envelopes should not contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 10 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 11 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 12 A. Ther	8	"There is a need to understand:	8	A. No, not to that extent, not to travel from the fourth
"If they are used appropriately and meet the relevant guidance relevant guidance relevant guidance and the process of the conclusions of a slideshow or the conclusions of a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think a slideshow created by the createst in the createst and speck, but the texterior could ignite? I that slideshow. 2 Q. Right. 2 Q. Now, you say you're sware of the conclusions there, but I'm originate, do you think? 4 Oy Now, you say you're sware of the conclusions there, do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense— 1 Q. Okay, boy un mention cladding, as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact t	9	"• What products are being used in the facade system	9	floor to the 24th floor in, I mean and I'll pick
These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread These could affect the way fire develop and spread The slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, The advance of the conclusions or The the LFB? The app Not a separation of the the fire, The app Not and the aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and the the aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The throughout the whole building, no. The throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and throughout the whole building, no. The app Cap The aware of the conclusions there, but I'm aware that there are a specific to the duty the conclusions there, but I'm and the aware that there are a treated the whole building, no the building about the building in general a	10	and their fire behaviour; And	10	a timeline 30 minutes I've not got that detail.
These could affect the way fire develop and spread in a building." Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, October 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court 17 as a slightly different way, as at the night of the fire, would you have expected front-line firefighters to be aware that there was a risk in any high-rise building that would you have expected front-line firefighters to be aware that the exterior could ignite? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm and familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Page 29 That slideshow. Page 29 That slideshow where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding or the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sease - 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades – cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 18 like, as a type of fireade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 19 building, wash take to exterior of the 19 building, wash take to exterior of the 19 building, wash take to exterior of the 19 building, and in principle, why would someone 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 19 building, wash take the exterior of the 19 bu	11	"• If they are used appropriately and meet the	11	But in the rapid spread that that fire went around the
in a building." Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of a slightly different way, as at the night of the fire, would you have expected from-line firefighters to be aware that there was a risk in may high-rise building that would you have expected from-line firefighters to be aware that way. as at the night of the fire, would you have expected from-line firefighters to be aware that there was a risk in may high-rise building that would go have expected from one floor to another, but not the throughout the whole building, no. 20 anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? 21 the LFB? 22 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 23 Q. Right. 24 Q. Right. 25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do or one that avareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 4 O, Right, do not think that's general experience, looking at general in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding or the custrol of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding or the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense - 12 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades – cladding, if you building, was that fact known or that risk known to contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the publishing, was that fact known or that risk known to contributing out of the principle, why would be aware that soulding, was that fact known or that risk known to 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC toweld deal with the issue, or if felt necessary	12	relevant guidance.	12	building, no, it would not be an expectation.
15 Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of 16 a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, 17 October 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court 18 fire. 19 Do you remember these general conclusions or 20 anything like them being trained on, discussed, within 21 the LFB? 22 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm 23 not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 24 Q. Right. 25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen 26 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there, do 27 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it 28 originate, do you think? 29 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general 29 puilding, and, in principle, why would someone 20 put a cladding on the outside of the building; and, in principle, why would someone 20 put a cladding on the outside of the building; as at the night of the 21 fire - 22 a common-sense - 23 pour look as at the night of the fire, in your 24 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 25 a common-sense - 26 Q. Now, you say uptice aware of the conclusions there, do 27 remains the building, and, in principle, why would someone 28 put a chadding on the outside of the building that would 39 in the building, and, in principle, why would someone 30 put a chadding on the outside of the building that would 31 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 32 a common-sense - 33 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 34 fire - 35 A. Or and I recall DAC on duty? 36 A. Or and I recall DAC on duty? 37 A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and 38 Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so 39 it's a dual role. 30 Principles. The external of the cander, a cladding, if you 31 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 32 fire remains the fire fire fire contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 39 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 40 principle were receive, the fact that facades - cladding, if you 41 fire remains the fire fire fire fire	13	These could affect the way fire develop and spread	13	Q. That covers the extent and speed, but just in terms of
a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think, October 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court fig. fig. Do you remember these general conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? A. To a certain extent, as in like a coanda effect or a gentle spread from one floor to another, but not throughout the whole building, no. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. Page 29 Page 31 that slideshow. Q. Right. Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Olay, cladding or facade. C. Olay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades – cladding, if you like, as a type of facade – were at risk of building, was that fack known or that risk known to building, was that fack known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was anout the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was anout the building in ge	14	in a building."	14	the risk in the abstract, just to repeat the question in
17 A. That would great a free the Shepherds Court 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18	15	Now, that was a slideshow or the conclusions of	15	a slightly different way, as at the night of the fire,
18 fire. 19 Do you remember these general conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? 21 the LFB? 22 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm 22 not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 23 not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 24 Q. Right. 25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen 26 Page 29 27 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 28 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you thinhit? 29 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 29 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 20 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 21 d. Q. Now, you mention cladding if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of the contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building and, in the high of the fire, in your the contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building and that fact known or that risk known to firon-lime firefighters? 20 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around the contribution. I was a round in the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around the contribute, because of the contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not a general experience, to fact that facalces are ladding, and in the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not a that was around the exterior could be aware that sown or that risk known to first	16	a slideshow created by the LFB in, we think,	16	would you have expected front-line firefighters to be
Do you remember these general conclusions or anything like them being trained on, discussed, within the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Registry of the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Registry of the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Registry of the statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. Page 29 Page 31 You say on page 2, a third of the way down: "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) whist on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational DAC's and 1 recall DAC" Do you know who were the operational DAC's on duty, apart from one floor to another, but not throughout the whole building, no. Right. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The throughout the whole building, no. Right. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The throughout the whole building, no. Right. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The throughout the whole building, no. Right. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The throughout the whole building, no. Ratement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The throughout the whole building, no. Ratement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. A I think that's general experience, looking at general properational processes and and you are all page and the very the way apart from one floor to author who was and and you show who were the operational page and the suiting and in principle, why would some of the suiting and in principle, why would some on the building was also the duty DAC to douty? A. That would have been Lee Draw	17	October 2016, at any rate after the Shepherds Court	17	aware that there was a risk in any high-rise building
a gentle spread from one floor to another, but not the LFB? 2 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 2 Q. Right. 2 Q. Right. 2 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 That slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense— 3 Q. Now, you may not be aware that sown or that risk for the fire— 4 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 4 Q. A. Okay, But as at the night of the fire in your experience, the fact that facades—cladding, if you like, as a type of facade—were at risk of the fire in pour think fire fire in contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building was a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around a gentle spread from one floor to another, that throughout the whole building, no. Q. Right. Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. Page 31 You say on page 2, a third of the way down: "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) whilst on a 24 hr duty, then duty a 25 and 1 recall DAC" Do you know who were the operational DACs on duty, apart from you? A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC to cover the operational role of the building was a state in hight of the intervention of the building was that fact known or that risk of a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the p	18	fire.	18	that the exterior could ignite?
the LFB? A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. Regist. A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 Page 31 that slideshow. Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's genral experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around Throughout the whole building, no. Q. Right. 24 a. I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. The trole of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational DAC's and I recall DAC	19	Do you remember these general conclusions or	19	A. To a certain extent, as in like a coanda effect or
22 A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 24 Q. Right. 25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 4 originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 10 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 11 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 12 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to building was a type of facade — were at risk of front-line firefighters? 2 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute, however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 2 Q. Right. 2 Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. 2 Can I then alse, you about who was on duty. 2 Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. 2 Can I then alse, you about who was on duty. 2 Can then ask you about who was on duty. 2 Can then ask you about who was on duty. 2 Can then ask you about who was on duty. 2 Can then ask you about who was: 2 Can then ask you about who w	20	anything like them being trained on, discussed, within	20	a gentle spread from one floor to another, but not
23 not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide. 24 Q. Right. 25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 11 caromon-sense -	21	the LFB?	21	throughout the whole building, no.
24 statement. I just want to ask you about who was on duty. Page 29 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense— 10 put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense— 11 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire— 12 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 13 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade — were at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk of like, as a type of facade where at risk	22	A. So I would be aware of the conclusions there, but I'm	22	Q. Right.
25 A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen Page 29 Page 31 1 that slideshow. 2 Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do 3 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it 4 originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general 5 principles. The external of the envelope should not 6 contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise 8 building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain 10 in the building, and, in principle, why would someone 10 put a cladding on the outside of the building that would 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sense — 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 14 fire — 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contribute to fire spread as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 10 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 25 duty. Page 31 You say on page 2, a third of the way down: 2 "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) 3 whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational 2 The role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner. 1 You say on page 2, a third of the way down: 2 "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) 3 whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational DACs on duty, apart from you? 4 A. Yes, there as and Andy O'Loughlin, and 4 Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC to duty? 4 A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. 4 A. Os a shall arole. 5 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? 6 A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. 6 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? 7 A. So should we be in	23	not familiar or don't remember seeing that actual slide.	23	Can I then ask you to look at page 2 of your
that slideshow. 1 that slideshow. 2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do 3 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it 4 originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general 6 principles. The external of the envelope should not 7 contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise 8 building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain 9 in the building, and, in principle, why would someone 10 put a cladding on the outside of the building that would 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sense - 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 14 fire - 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades - cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade - were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around	24	Q. Right.	24	statement. I just want to ask you about who was on
that slideshow. Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to live front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around I you say on page 2, a third of the way down: "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational back and I recall DAC" Do you know who were the operational DAC's on duty, apart from you? A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so it's a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would de	25	A. So I couldn't 100 per cent sit here and say I've seen	25	duty.
that slideshow. Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to live front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around I you say on page 2, a third of the way down: "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational back and I recall DAC" Do you know who were the operational DAC's on duty, apart from you? A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so it's a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would de		70 40		70 44
2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do 3 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it 4 originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general 6 principles. The external of the envelope should not 7 contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise 8 building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain 9 in the building, and, in principle, why would someone 10 put a cladding on the outside of the building that would 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sense — 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 14 fire — 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay, But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 10 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 2 "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) 3 whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational 4 DAC's and I recall DAC" 5 Do you know whow were the operational DACs on duty, apart from you? 6 A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so it's a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would de		Page 29		Page 31
2 Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do 3 you know where that awareness comes from? When did it 4 originate, do you think? 5 A. I think that's general experience, looking at general 6 principles. The external of the envelope should not 7 contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise 8 building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain 9 in the building, and, in principle, why would someone 10 put a cladding on the outside of the building that would 11 contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably 12 a common-sense — 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 14 fire — 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay, But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 10 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 2 "In the role of Deputy Assistant Commissioner (DAC) 3 whilst on a 24 hr duty, there would be 2 operational 4 DAC's and I recall DAC" 5 Do you know whow were the operational DACs on duty, apart from you? 6 A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so it's a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would de	1	that slideshow.	1	You say on page 2, a third of the way down:
you know where that awareness comes from? When did it originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around you know who were the operational DACs on duty, apart from you? A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC to duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would back been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. Data value of the building that would contribute to fire in the outy of the building that back and the fere in the outy of the building that would c	2	Q. Now, you say you're aware of the conclusions there; do	2	
originate, do you think? A. I think that's general experience, looking at general principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around A. A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? A. Assistant commissioner.	3		3	
principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 12 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? 10	4	originate, do you think?	4	
principles. The external of the envelope should not contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 12 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? 10	5	A. I think that's general experience, looking at general	5	Do you know who were the operational DACs on duty,
building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 12 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: Ilike, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC in duty? A. That would and role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should beth DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if fell necessary, escalate it to the duty ansient explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? A. Assistant commissioner. A. Assistant commissioner.	6	principles. The external of the envelope should not	6	apart from you?
in the building, and, in principle, why would someone put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 12 Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 14 Or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 16 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 25 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 19 it's a dual role. Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty? A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 16 ve would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 17 Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the sissue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 22 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? A. Assistant commissioner.	7	contribute to fire spread. As we say, with a high-rise	7	A. Yes, there was me and Andy O'Loughlin, and
put a cladding on the outside of the building that would contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense 2	8	building we'd expect that compartmentation would remain	8	Andy O'Loughlin was also the duty DAC that night, so
contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably a common-sense — 12 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — 13 A. So should we be in the position that something escalates 14 fire — 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 25 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 10 C. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 11 A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge. 12 Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 13 A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should bere have been Lee Drawbridge. 14 Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 15 A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should bere have been Lee Drawbridge. 16 Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 18 A. So should we be in the position that something escalates or should bere have been Lee Drawbridge. 18 O. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 18 O. Why is there a recall DAC on duty? 18 Or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 19 Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: 10 U. Just a little bit further down, you say: 11 We would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 12 Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: 13 We would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 14 O. Just a little bit further down, you say: 18 "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via	9	in the building, and, in principle, why would someone	9	it's a dual role.
12 a common-sense — 13 Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the 14 fire — 15 A. Okay, cladding or facade. 16 Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your 17 experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you 18 like, as a type of facade — were at risk of 19 contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the 20 building, was that fact known or that risk known to 21 front-line firefighters? 22 A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that 23 something could contribute; however, if we're talking 24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 25 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 26	10	put a cladding on the outside of the building that would	10	Q. Who was the recall DAC on duty?
Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the fire — A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something escalates or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 22 an [sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? 24 A. Assistant commissioner.	11	contribute to fire spread? I think that's probably	11	A. That would have been Lee Drawbridge.
fire — 14 or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a separate of the fire, in your 16 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a separate of facade and separate of the 17 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a separate of facade and separate of the 18 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a separate of facade and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 19 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 or to give further cover, should it be required. Occupied a support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 or to give further cover, should be a	12	a common-sense	12	Q. Why is there a recall DAC on duty?
A. Okay, cladding or facade. Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades – cladding, if you like, as a type of facade – were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around building we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational rota or to give further cover, should it be required. C. Just a little bit further down, you say: "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? A. Assistant commissioner.	13	Q. Now, you mention cladding; as at the night of the	13	A. So should we be in the position that something escalates
Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your experience, the fact that facades – cladding, if you like, as a type of facade – were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 16 rota or to give further cover, should it be required. 17 Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: 18 "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and 19 support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the 21 issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and 24 issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	14	fire	14	or should both DACs be called out to two incidents, then
experience, the fact that facades — cladding, if you like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 17 Q. Just a little bit further down, you say: 18 "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the 21 issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and 24 issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	15	A. Okay, cladding or facade.	15	we would recall the duty DAC to cover the operational
like, as a type of facade — were at risk of contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 18 "The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and support with issues that arise via Control and Resource Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? A. Assistant commissioner.	16	Q. Okay. But as at the night of the fire, in your	16	rota or to give further cover, should it be required.
contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 19 support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and 24 issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	17	experience, the fact that facades cladding, if you	17	Q. Just a little bit further down, you say:
contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the building, was that fact known or that risk known to front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 19 support with issues that arise via Control and Resource 20 Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and 24 issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	18	like, as a type of facade were at risk of	18	"The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and
front-line firefighters? A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 21 issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	19	contributing to fire spread up the exterior of the	19	support with issues that arise via Control and Resource
A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	20	building, was that fact known or that risk known to	20	Management Centre. As a Duty DAC I would deal with the
A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that something could contribute; however, if we're talking about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 22 an[sic] Assistant Commissioner." 23 Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and issue to? 25 A. Assistant commissioner.	21	front-line firefighters?	21	issue, or if felt necessary, escalate it to the duty
24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 25 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 26 a. Assistant commissioner.	22	A. There is always a risk that you could be aware that	22	
24 about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was 25 not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around 26 a. Assistant commissioner.	23	something could contribute; however, if we're talking	23	Can you just explain that, who do you escalate and
	24	about the building in general as in Grenfell, no, I was	24	issue to?
Page 30 Page 32	25	not aware what Grenfell was clad in or what was around	25	A. Assistant commissioner.
Page 30 Page 32		D 20		D 22
		Page 30		Page 32

1	Q. Right, okay.	1	Duty DAC Andy O'LOUGHLIN. He informed me that he was
2	You say that:	2	attending an 8 pump high rise incident."
3	"The Duty DAC provides strategic direction and	3	Was that the first you heard about the fire at
4	support with issues that arise via Control and [RMC]."	4	Grenfell Tower?
5	Can you just explain a bit more about what that	5	A. Yes.
6	means? What are issues that arise via control and the	6	Q. As one of the DACs on duty, would you expect to be
7	resource management centre?	7	notified of a fire at eight pumps or sooner than eight
8	A. So that would be predominantly looking at short-term and	8	pumps?
9	long-term planning. The resource management centre	9	A. I'd be notified at eight pumps because a DAC would then
10	would look at how we distribute our firefighters across	10	attend and they would be attending in the role of
11	pan-London to ensure that we maintain our core strategic	11	monitoring the group manager. So whether that came
12	aims and objectives, which is providing a front-line	12	via normally we internally as the DACs would phone
13	service.	13	each other and speak to each other and communicate to
14		14	ensure that we're all aware where each other are.
15	Sometimes we don't have the right officers in the	15	
16	right place. Sometimes we will need to move officers	16	Q. You say:"The DAC will go to an 8 pump fire in a monitoring
17	and firefighters around the organisation to ensure that	17	
18	we get the appliances on the run. And sometimes the	18	officer's role for the Group Manager who will be in charge at that point."
18	officer of the day, control or resource management would		
	require further direction on choices and which direction	19	So just taking it in stages.
20	to take this, and this is basically to ensure that we	20	First of all, at eight pumps, is it right that
21	maintain and maximise our front-line service during that	21	a group manager is or should be the incident commander?
22	shift.	22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Right.	23	Q. Right.
24	You need software, I think, to do that, don't you?	24	What does it mean to take on a monitoring officer's
25	You need a computer program to be able to smooth out	25	role?
	Page 33		Page 35
1	peaks and troughs.	1	A. So the DAC would attend to monitor and help advise and
2	A. Not necessarily what, as in could you confirm? As	2	assist the group manager in the role of incident
3	in the duty DAC or as in an RMC officer or	3	commander, but also, should the incident escalate, then
4	Q. The process of making sure that you have the right	4	we've already got the next tier of officer en route and
5	resources in the right part of London	5	at the incident ready to take charge, and then should
6	A. Yes.	6	the DAC take charge, then an assistant commissioner will
7	Q would require a software program.	7	turns up and monitor, and that continues all the way
8	A. So there is a software programme called the Standby	8	through the incident command chain.
9	Module which is utilised by the RMC, the resource	9	Q. At the moment when you were mobilised, who was the group
10	management centre, and that is a module that links to	10	manager that you were going to be monitoring as
11	StARS and a lot of our other systems to ensure that we	11	monitoring officer?
12	have the right skills, the right firefighter in the	12	A. No, so you're incorrect there. So the DAC was
13	right place, to ensure that we provide the service.	13	mobilised, as in Andy O'Loughlin, and he was the DAC
14	Q. Do you remember whether that was working efficiently on	14	that attended the incident and he was the one that would
15	the night of the fire?	15	be monitoring the group manager, not myself. So
16	A. So I wasn't the duty DAC at the beginning of the night,	16	Andy O'Loughlin just informed me to say that he was
17	so Andy O'Loughlin was within that role. I had not been	17	going to the incident and could he pass the duty DAC
18	told that there was any issues with the SBN, so, no,	18	role over to me so that I could then take that role from
19	I wasn't aware.	19	him because he was now going to be attending the
20	Q. Right. Okay.	20	incident.
21	Now, on page 4 of your statement, if I can just turn	21	Q. I follow.
22	to the topic of your role on the night, you say there	22	At that point, though, who was the group manager in
23	that you were called at 01.25 on the Wednesday evening,	23	charge, do you know?
24	and you say:	24	A. At the time, I didn't know, but I do now; it was
	" I was in had when I received a call form 4.	25	Dishard Wolsh Ca I Imary by the time I !
25	" I was in bed when I received a call from the	25	Richard Welch. So I knew by the time I was in my car
	" I was in bed when I received a call from the Page 34	25	Richard Welch. So I knew by the time I was in my car Page 36

		1	7
1	and en route to Stratford, I heard that it was	1	A. I could hear fire survival guidance calls going over the
2	Richard Welch then, but at that period of time, no,	2	radio at that time and I've taken that assumption.
3	I wasn't aware who it was.	3	That's why I've written it at that period of time.
4	Q. Right. We'll come to that in a moment.	4	Q. Okay.
5	So at the time when you got this first call from the	5	Can you give me an example of a kind of fire
6	duty DAC, Andy O'Loughlin, at 01.25, did you know who	6	survival guidance call you heard in the way you've just
7	the incident commander was?	7	explained it?
8	A. No, I didn't.	8	A. It would've been control contacting the incident ground
9	Q. Did you ask?	9	to say that they were receiving a call with the detail
10	A. No, because I wouldn't ask because I wouldn't be	10	of the call.
11	attending the incident. That would be down to that	11	Q. Details being what? Can you
12	would be Andy O'Loughlin's role as the DAC attending the	12	A. I couldn't recite what I heard on the night because
13	incident ground.	13	Q. No, but the kind of thing.
14	Q. You then say, in the next paragraph, again about a third	14	A. It would've been from control to say the flat, the floor
15	of the way down the page, on page 4 of your statement:	15	and the number of the persons, and why and how they're
16	"I also turned my airwave radio on to listen to what	16	trapped.
17	was happening. At 01:31 hours the incident went to a 25	17	Q. Right.
18	pump fire with multiple Fire Survival Guidance (FSG)	18	A. Or what the reason is for the call, as in whether it's
19	calls."	19	heat, smoke
20	Where were you at that point?	20	Q. Do you know who in the control room was sending those
21	A. I was still at my camp-out location.	21	messages to the incident ground?
22	Q. Right.	22	A. No. No, I wouldn't have any I wouldn't know who the
23	How did you discover that, at that time, the	23	control operators are.
24	incident had become a 25-pump fire?	24	Q. Okay.
25	A. I can't be 100 per cent sure whether that would have	25	Did you know to whom they were being sent? Could
	D 27		D 20
	Page 37		Page 39
1	been via the Airwave radio or whether I was paged.	1	you hear who was receiving those at the other end?
2	Unfortunately I can't remember how I was informed. But	2	A. I can't remember where they would have been transmitted.
3	I would have been paged at some stage by control to say	3	They would have either gone to an ICP or to a command
4	it had gone to a 25-pump fire, but I may have already	4	unit, but I couldn't be specific at that time.
5	gleaned that information prior to control getting the	5	Q. Do you remember hearing again, in general terms
6	opportunity to page me by turning on my Airwave radio	6	whether the ICP or the CU receiving the messages by
7	and listening to the incident.	7	radio responded saying "Confirmed" or
8	Q. Right. You go on to say:	8	A. No, because by this time I'll be getting myself ready to
9	" with multiple Fire Survival Guidance	9	actually leave my premises and get into my car and
10	calls."	10	attend. So the radio would be on for me to listen as in
11	Again, how did that message get to you, that there	11	background to try and gather information going forward,
12	were multiple fire survival guidance calls?	12	but at that time I was probably getting ready to leave
13	A. I would have heard them on the radio. I would've taken	13	my house.
14	the assumption from when I wrote that that I picked them	14	Q. Right.
15	up on the Airwave radio.	15	Being the listening post and hearing these numerous
16	So I had the opportunity to turn my radio on and	16	FSG calls being radioed from the control room to the
17	listen to Airwave traffic between control, the incident	17	incident ground, what were your thoughts about the kind
18	ground and the incident commanders, and I would be able	18	of incident that you were going to?
19	to pick up information that would have been transmitted.	19	A. For it to go from my recollection from an eight, and
20	So it's almost like a listening post.	20	I didn't hear in between, to a 25, it's obviously
21	Q. Yes, I see.	21	an escalating incident of some form. This is the very
22	Being like a listening post, did you hear somebody	22	early stages for me, as in me being informed, so at that
23	say, "There are multiple fire survival guidance calls",	23	stage I would be looking to try and gather information.
24	or did you actually work out that there were multiple	24	But my information was limited at that stage, because
25	fire survival guidance calls from the traffic?	25	I've only just been remotely informed and I'm about to
	Page 38		Page 40

1	call control as well. So	1	A. So I've received a pager message as an operational
2	Q. Yes. And you did call control, as you say:	2	officer during the day to inform me that control, who
3	"I phoned our control room and told them that	3	are normally located at the lock at Merton, had
4	I would attend control to setup a BCC — Brigade	4	relocated to our fallback control at Stratford and that
5	Coordination Centre, Control informed me they would show	5	they were located there.
6	me as status 7 and attached to this incident."	6	My decision to not go to Merton and not go to HQ was
7	First of all, just clear this one out of the way,	7	based on rationale that control would be at Stratford.
8	what is status 7?	8	Having worked at Stratford over numerous roles and
9	A. Status 7 is a status that an officer can use that takes	9	positions over the years, I was fully aware that
10	them out of the operational cadre, as in control can no	10	Stratford had the facilities to facilitate a BCC, and so
11	longer use them to send them to an operational incident.	11	I made the decision that it was best to be at the same
12	So Vision or our mobilising system wouldn't	12	location as control. It will be the floor below, and
13	automatically then pick me up and send me to the	13	the room below, because we don't necessarily set the BCC
14	incident. So it's a way of attaching me to control and	14	up with control because it doesn't have to be allocated
15	the incident, but ensuring if it escalates and asks for	15	with control because, as I say, it can be for an event
16	another DAC, it wouldn't send myself because I already	16	or a pandemic, and that was my experience and
17	had been assigned a role.	17	operational decision on the night.
18	Q. Right. Okay.	18	Q. Right. It sounds as if you had a choice to set it up at
19	A Brigade co-ordination centre, what is that?	19	Merton but decided not to. I thought Merton was closed.
20	A. So a Brigade co-ordination centre can be set up it	20	Was that not so?
21	would normally be set up at headquarters or at Merton,	21	A. Well, Merton I don't know what was happening at
22	where control normally are, but it can be set up	22	Merton, so whether they were having maintenance that
23	• •	23	night or it was a general normal fallback position, I'm
24	wherever deemed necessary.	24	not aware. But Merton can facilitate the BCC.
25	A Brigade co-ordination centre is set up to put	25	
23	extra management and governance in place for a large	23	Q. Now, that's very helpful, but partly my question wasn't
	Page 41		Page 43
1	incident or event, internal event, or a pandemic, and	1	as good as it should've been.
2	it's to ensure that whilst the event or incident is	2	My question should've been: what led you to set up
3	running, the Brigade can maintain its strategic aims and	3	a BCC at all?
4	objectives, which is to basically, in simple terms, make	4	A. Because at that stage it was at 25 pumps, and so I knew
5	sure that we provide our core business, front line,	5	we would need a relief plan, we would need to look at
6	whilst the incident is running and going. So it would	6	how we were going to do short and long-term planning for
7	be looking at long and short-term planning for reliefs,	7	the future to ensure once you take 25 pumps out of
8	communications, not on the incident ground but	8	service delivery, out of the front line, we'd need to
9	externally with media.	9	make sure that we still have strategic cover across the
10	We'd set up potentially four cells pending what is	10	Brigade and that we maintain the resources.
11	required and depending on the incident or the event or	11	And also the incident ground would ask and this
12	the pandemic or the reason. It could be for business	12	would come via control, not to the BCC but via
13	continuity reasons.	13	control whether there was resources or things that we
14	Q. Is there a particular pump or make-up number that would	14	could assist with on the incident ground.
15	trigger the establishment of a BCC?	15	So we wouldn't get involved in the operations on the
16	A. So a BCC can be set up for it doesn't have to be	16	actual fire ground but we would look at how we could
17	an incident, so it can be an internal business	17	support the incident and ensure, as I say, that we
18	continuity reason. So the BCC is at the discretion of	18	maintained our core business and strategic aims across
19	the commissioner's group and the duty AC, assistant	19	the Brigade.
20	commissioner; however, as a duty DAC I could see that	20	Q. Yes, I see.
21	that's the direction of travel and it needed to be set	21	Later on in your statement, page 12, just below the
22	up, and confirm that with the duty AC on the night.	22	top of page 12, three lines down, you say:
23	· ·	23	"The BCC was still in a raw format. It was not how
23	Q. Was it your decision to set up the BCC at Stratford?	23	
25	A. Yes. Q. Okay. What led you to that decision?	25	I would have liked it, but we did not have the staff needed to set it up fully."
23	Q. Okay. What icu you to mat decision?	23	needed to set it up tuny.
L	Page 42		Page 44

1	Can I just ask you: what didn't you have when you	1	to the last paragraph. You say there:
2	set the BCC up that you would have liked to have had?	2	"At the same time, another colleague of mine, Steve
3	A. So the BCC would be run by myself. I would normally be	3	LEADER, heard my radio noise and came in to speak to
4	down in the BCC to run the Brigade co-ordination centre,	4	me."
5	and then I would have officers in place to support me	5	You say you gave him a quick brief, told him there
6	and to run the cells within the BCC that were required.	6	was a 25-pump fire. Then you say:
7	On the night, I had to reprioritise my role and my	7	"I asked Steve to open my laptop and look at our
8	position, and could see that I needed to remain in	8	BOSS system."
9	control, which isn't a position I would normally be in.	9	Now, did you ask him to communicate the information
10	And, also, at numerous times I had officers that were	10	from the BOSS system to you?
11	facilitating the cells in the BCC, but I had to script	11	A. No. So the BOSS system is a remote part of Vision, so
12	them out to prioritise what they were doing and get them	12	Vision is our mobilising system, and BOSS is a system
13	to do some other roles that were required.	13	that senior officers and other members of staff can
14	The BCC would more come into play further down into	14	remotely access. It is a view point only, so you can't
15	the incident, as in supporting, going forward, as we	15	change it, alter it or put any information into it; it's
16	went in we had 40 pumps there at that stage. We	16	purely for data for us to look at and we can gather.
17	would have gone in to putting 20 further pumps in. We	17	So if you was a remote monitoring officer, you could
18	would have had to look at 9.30 the next morning, the	18	gather information of how the incident was progressing,
19	change of shift, where were the staff? Did staff turn	19	what size the incident was, location. It's purely for
20	up? They had no fire engine then because it was now at	20	data only.
21	the incident ground. We have something we called	21	Q. Now, at the time you asked Steve Leader to open your
22	standbys, direct and indirect standbys, which is part of	22	laptop, where were you?
23	RMC, moving staff around.	23	A. I was still at my camp-out house, getting ready to
24	So without drilling really into the detail of what	24	leave.
25	the BCC would do, it's looking at the logistics, the	25	Q. Right, I see. I was going to ask you: why couldn't you
	Page 45		Page 47
1	resources and what is needed to maintain our business	1	open your laptop and use BOSS to look at what was on the
2	during the incident, but also supporting the incident	2	system?
3	with the resources that they needed without stripping	3	A. Because I was getting ready to leave the premises to
4	out the fire service.	4	attend Stratford and I was utilising Steve, as he was
5	Q. Now, you've mentioned a few times the idea of cells.	5	there, to gain as much information as I could without
6	You said there were four cells and one of the things you	6	delaying my departure from my camp-out base.
7	said was that you didn't have support officers to run	7	Q. So he opens the laptop, looks at the BOSS system, and
8	the cells within BCC.	8	that would've enabled you to look at what is on Vision;
9	What are cells?	9	is that right?
10	A. So they are four cells. They have four functions. You	10	A. Yes, to a certain extent, but in that circumstance, to
11	don't have to set them all up; you set up what is	11	be honest, I'd left the premises before I'd gained
12	required on the night. So you would have	12	because I needed to get away. I was just trying to
13	a communication cell, a resources and logistics cell,	13	utilise every avenue to gain as much information as
14	a operational cell and a planning cell.	14	possible.
15	Q. I see. Okay.	15	Q. Yes. Okay.
16	A. These four cells will support the Brigade depending on	16	You go on to say, at the bottom of the page:
17	what the requirements are, because every BCC would be	17	"This is to get an overview of the incident and
18	different because it depends what the requirement is and	18	I can look at this system remotely from my laptop which
19	what it's been set up for.	19	is what I did."
20	Q. Would any of those cells, for example communication or	20	Just to be clear, did you look at your laptop or did
21	operational, assist the communication between the	21	Steve look at your laptop?
22	control room and the fire ground?	22	A. No, it was my laptop and Steve was opening my laptop to
23	A. No.	23	get the information for me whilst I was getting ready to
24	Q. Right.	24	leave the premises. By the time he had logged onto the
25	Looking at page 4 of your statement, can I take you	25	system, I hadn't gained much more information and I'd
	Page 46		Page 48
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

1	left the premises by then.	1	scene. I didn't know who the group manager was at that
2 Q	So when you say "I can look at this system remotely from	2	stage.
3	my laptop which is what I did", did you?	3	Q. Right.
4 A	. No. So, as I said, I went to open the laptop, turn it	4	You say at the bottom of page 4:
5	on, put the system in place, Steve Leader went to open	5	" I called Assistant Commissioner Andy Roe to let
6	it. By the time we'd got the system up and running, I'd	6	him know what I was doing (to attend Control and setup a
7	not had the time or did not sit there and look at the	7	BCC)."
8	information. It would've been more for him to open it	8	Why did you call him?
9	up and relay it to me as I was leaving the premise, but	9	A. So I have now been given the role of duty DAC and he was
10	no, no further information.	10	AC1, so knowing the size of the incident AC1 is
11 Q	Did he relay information to you as you were leaving?	11	assistant commissioner 1 he would've been going to
12 A	No.	12	the incident, so I was just informing him that I would
	. He didn't, okay.	13	be setting up a BCC to support the Brigade throughout
14	So at what point did you leave, do you think? Let	14	the duration of the incident.
15	me ask it a different way.	15	Q. Again, did you call him on your mobile?
16	How soon after being told that there was a 25-pump	16	A. I would suggest so, yes.
17	fire in progress did you leave your camp-out?	17	Q. You don't remember precisely?
	. I couldn't give you a specific timescale. There	18	A. No, I would suggest at that time I'm at my camp-out, so
19 A	would've been a phone call to control, a phone call to	19	it would either have been via my mobile or
20	Andy Roe, to inform him of my decision to do the BCC and	20	Steve Leader's, so I suggest it would be mine, or
21	to do it at Stratford and head to Stratford. He told me	21	Andy Roe could've called me.
22	he was attending. I would've got dressed, grabbed my	22	Q. You then say at the top of page 5:
23	Airwave radio, keys and bits and left the premises.	23	"A short while later I left my camp out base and
	P. Right. Okay.	24	whilst en-route, AC2 Richard MILLS called me."
25	What communication device did you use to call	25	Who is he?
23	what communication device did you use to can	23	who is he?
	Page 49		Page 51
1	control?	1	A. So as with the DAC system, we have two operational DACs
	. It would've been my mobile phone.	2	and, as mentioned, one is duty DAC and then also we have
	Your Brigade mobile?	3	a recall. The same with the assistant commissioners.
`	. I would suggest so, unless I asked Steve to phone them	4	So they will have AC1, who is you could say in simpler
5	on his mobile, I really couldn't confirm. Because he	5	terms like the duty AC, but would also attend the
6	was assisting me, as he was there, to leave the	6	incident, and AC2 can be stood up should AC1 attend
7	premises.	7	an incident and then they stand in to the role of the
	. That call would've gone through to what communication	8	AC1.
`	line or device within the control room?	9	Q. Did he call you on your mobile or did he speak to you
		10	over the Airwave radio?
	. It would have I would've either phoned one of	11	
11 12	three it would've either been the paging, which is an admin line, officer of the watch, which is an admin	12	A. No, we wouldn't have conversation over the Airwave radio because that is for the operational incident, and we
		13	•
13 14	line, or gone to control itself. Sometimes I will phone the officer of the worth as duty AC because the normal	13	would take up Airwave traffic which would be needed to
	the officer of the watch as duty AC because the normal		be prioritised by the incident ground.
15	control and paging lines are normally busy with them	15	Q. Was your Airwave on?
16	dealing with other officers trying to book in.	16	A. Sorry?
_	. Right.	17	Q. Was your Airwave radio on and receiving messages?
18	-	10	A I would say it was one harmonia if the continue the colored
10	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew	18	A. I would say it was on; however, if I'm on the phone then
19	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was?	19	I would've turned it down to do the phone call.
20 A.	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been	19 20	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines
20 A . 21	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire,	19 20 21	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say:
20 A. 21 22	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire, which means Andy O'Loughlin would've taken over when he	19 20 21 22	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say: "During the journey to Stratford I had my radio on
20 A. 21 22 23	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire, which means Andy O'Loughlin would've taken over when he got there; however, at a 25-pump fire, then Andy Roe	19 20 21 22 23	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say: "During the journey to Stratford I had my radio on and could hear the incident escalating."
20 A. 21 22 23 24	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire, which means Andy O'Loughlin would've taken over when he got there; however, at a 25-pump fire, then Andy Roe would've taken over when he got there. So at that	19 20 21 22 23 24	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say: "During the journey to Stratford I had my radio on and could hear the incident escalating." What radio was that? Was that your Airwave or
20 A. 21 22 23 24	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire, which means Andy O'Loughlin would've taken over when he got there; however, at a 25-pump fire, then Andy Roe	19 20 21 22 23	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say: "During the journey to Stratford I had my radio on and could hear the incident escalating."
20 A. 21 22 23 24	At the moment you left, do you know whether you knew who the incident commander was? I would have known Andy O'Loughlin would've been en route. I'd have known it had gone to a 25-pump fire, which means Andy O'Loughlin would've taken over when he got there; however, at a 25-pump fire, then Andy Roe would've taken over when he got there. So at that	19 20 21 22 23 24	I would've turned it down to do the phone call. Q. In the first sentence of the next paragraph, five lines down from the top of page 5, you say: "During the journey to Stratford I had my radio on and could hear the incident escalating." What radio was that? Was that your Airwave or

1	is a handheld radio about so size with an aerial, so	1	my journey in general from my camp-out base to arriving
2	it's portable so that you can listen to it whilst	2	at Stratford. So that's over probably a 20/30-minute
3	mobile.	3	period.
4	Q. You say, "I could hear the incident escalating". What	4	MR MILLETT: We'll come to that after the break.
5	could you hear?	5	Mr Chairman, if I could just ask one question
6	A. I could just hear a lot of radio traffic to and fro.	6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: You can go a bit longer if you want
7	I couldn't be specific what was coming back, but you	7	to.
8	could generally pick up that an incident is escalating	8	MR MILLETT: All right, thank you.
9	by radio traffic to and fro between control and the	9	Do you mind if we go a little bit longer?
10	incident ground.	10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: So long as we have a break in about
11	Q. Could you hear that traffic between the control room and	11	10 minutes.
12	the incident ground on your Airwave radio?	12	Are you comfortable?
13	A. Yes.	13	THE WITNESS: I'm more than happy to carry on. Yes, I'm
14	Q. So that's the same listening post you described earlier?	14	happy to carry on.
15	A. Yes. So my Airwave radio is what I described as	15	MR MILLETT: Let's continue, thank you.
16	a listening post at my camp-out base, yes.	16	Yes. So you left just to try to get a time frame
17	Q. Would that include messages being sent by control to the	17	on this
18	incident ground on RT4, channel 4?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes, that would've been RT4, so that's the Airwave,	19	Q after it had become a 25-pump fire, which we know was
20	that's the listening that's what I was listening to.	20	at 01.31.
21	Q. If you wanted to speak to control on RT4, could you?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. I could do, but it wouldn't be appropriate because RT4	22	Q. It took you, you say, 20 to 25 minutes to get to
23	can only you can only have one conversation at a	23	Stratford.
24	time, and the priority has got to be the incident ground	24	A. So I wouldn't say I walked straight out the house at
25	and the appliances that are attending and dealing with	25	01.31 at that time period of time. So I left the
	Page 53		Page 55
1	the incident. So for me to do an admin call or a call	1	premises at whatever time it took me to get ready and
2	on RT4 would not be appropriate.	2	walk out and leave.
3	Q. Okay. So you say you can only do one call at a time;	3	Q. Right. And then it took you 20 to 25 minutes to get to
4	that's true, is it, of RT4?	4	Stratford, so that
5	A. Sorry, could you say that again?	5	A. That is an estimated time, so I couldn't be
6	Q. You said in your last answer that you could only have	6	100 per cent. And like I said, there were a few phone
7	one conversation at a time on RT4.	7	calls that I made with control, with Andy Roe, prior and
8	A. Yes.	8	as I was leaving.
9	Q. Is that the same for channel 1 as well?	9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Yes.	10	Do you remember hearing that Group Manager Welch was
11	Q. I see.	11	now incident commander?
12	Now, when you say "I could hear the incident	12	A. So en route, I did hear make pumps 40 and that did come
13	escalating"	13	from Group Manager Welch, yes. So I heard that probably
14	A. Do you mean so FLONOPS channel 1?	14	in between trying to make phone calls on the way whilst
15	Q. Yes, I think it is FLONOPS.	15	the radio was on.
16	A. On the Airwave.	16	Q. How close do you remember you were to Stratford when you
17	Q. Okay.	17	heard make pumps 40 and Welch being IC?
18	You say, "I could hear the incident escalating";	18	A. I would suggest probably on the A13, and that's just
19	what could you hear about the incident that was	19	a guess. That's just an estimate in my mind.
20	escalating?	20	Q. Okay, on the A13, I'm not sure that quite does it for
21	A. It's just general radio traffic. So at that time in the	21	me. Can you give us
22	morning and it's just I really can't be specific	22	A. Not specific enough.
23	because I can't remember what the traffic was at that	23	Q. No, it isn't. How long before you arrived do you think
24	time, but there was a lot of radio traffic going on.	24	you heard the message make pumps 40 and
25	And that's when I say escalating, I'm talking about	25	Group Manager Welch now IC?
Ì	Page 54		Page 56
ı	1 age 3 r		1 age 50

1	A. So I would suggest that's quite early on to me leaving,	1	Q. First of all, would this be the sort of information that
2	getting in my car and going up the road. Probably	2	you would have been able to see if your laptop had fired
3	5/10 minutes.	3	up in time and you could use the BOSS to look at the
4	Q. Okay.	4	Vision system?
5	A. And I've got to be completely honest, that is purely	5	A. Yes, it would've been that sort of information, but
6	a guesstimate. I really can't pinpoint and I wouldn't	6	obviously that would've meant I was remaining at my
7	want to pinpoint times for you because I can't be	7	premises and not making myself mobile and attending
8	specific on that.	8	where I was ordered to attend.
9	Q. I understand. We have in the short incident log a time	9	Q. Yes. But then looking at that page and then turning to
10	mark for those messages of 02.03.41 for pumps being made	10	the next page, page 20, running from 01.46 to 01.55 and
11	40 and 02.04.20 for Group Manager Welch being	11	so on on to page 21, again loads of messages.
12	A. Sorry, what times were they?	12	Are these the kinds of messages that you remember
13	Q. 02.03 and 02.04, roughly.	13	hearing even in the background on your Airwave radio
14	A. Right. Okay.	14	en route to the incident?
15	Q. So before 02.05 in the morning.	15	A. Yes, I would surmise that I would've heard some of those
16	Now, before that did you hear, while you were	16	messages, but as I've said, you know, making the calls
17	en route, fire survival guidance messages going from	17	and speaking to officers and staff en route, I was
18	control to the incident ground?	18	prioritising what I needed to do for my role, which was
19	A. Whilst en route I was making several phone calls at the	19	to set up the BCC.
20	time, so I was heading to the BCC and I was trying to	20	Q. Yes.
21	mobilise other officers and other staff to ensure that	21	A. And that is the role that I'd been mobilised for and
22	I had them there as early as possible to assist. So my	22	tasked to set up and do.
23	radio at some stages was probably turned down while	23	Q. Right.
24	I was doing these calls.	24	A. So that was my immediate priority at the time.
25	Q. Right. And you were making calls on what device?	25	Q. Were you aware at that time or during that journey that
	D 57		D 50
	Page 57	-	Page 59
1	A. So a hands-free device.	1	the Metropolitan Police had called a major incident?
2	Q. Okay. All right. So your radio was turned down. So	2	A. No.
3	even though it was turned down, you say in your	3	Q. Okay.
4	statement you could hear the incident escalating?	4	Now, in your witness statement on page 5 you say
5	A. Yes, and that's as I've said, so I would've heard a fire	5	a quarter of the way down:
6	survival guidance or some as I was going, I would've	6	"On my way to Stratford after mobilising myself I
7	heard make pumps 40, there was a couple of bits that you	7	took the decision to self mobilise Steve LEADER, asking
8	can pick up as you're making calls in between. However,	8	him to perform the role of setting up the BCC."
9	my radio was turned down at that time because I was	9	What does self-mobilise mean?
10	trying to mobilise other staff to get to the BCC so that	10	A. Yeah, probably not the right terminology, self-mobilise,
11	I could get things up and running as quick as possible	11	but so all officers or all staff that are mobilised
12	to support.	12	to an incident are all done via control. So our
13	Q. Okay.	13	control so it's all done in one place and one person
14	Can I ask you to look at the short incident log at	14	or one as in control has that overview of where all
	•	1	
15	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19.	15	our operational officers are.
16	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages,	15 16	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see.
16 17	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the	15 16 17	our operational officers are.
16 17 18	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said	15 16 17 18	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent
16 17 18 19	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are	15 16 17 18 19	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew
16 17 18 19 20	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the	15 16 17 18 19 20	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned
16 17 18 19 20 21	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the page, you can see numerous messages passing from the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned him up, knowing his location to the incident, that it
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the page, you can see numerous messages passing from the control room to the incident ground, and we see that on	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned him up, knowing his location to the incident, that it was unlikely that he was to be mobilised by control,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the page, you can see numerous messages passing from the control room to the incident ground, and we see that on this document as service requests created and completed.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned him up, knowing his location to the incident, that it was unlikely that he was to be mobilised by control, I phoned him up, told him to mobilise to Stratford, to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	tab 23 of the documents bundle and turn to page 19. I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the page, you can see numerous messages passing from the control room to the incident ground, and we see that on this document as service requests created and completed. Do you see that?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned him up, knowing his location to the incident, that it was unlikely that he was to be mobilised by control, I phoned him up, told him to mobilise to Stratford, to assist in setting the BCC up, told him that he could
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I am not going to take you to any specific messages, but we can see throughout page 19, which starts at the top at 01.35.36, so after pumps made 25 which, as I said before, was 01.31. So either you've left or you are getting ready to leave. If you scroll right down the page, you can see numerous messages passing from the control room to the incident ground, and we see that on this document as service requests created and completed.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	our operational officers are. Q. Oh, I see. A. I could see that I needed to get staff there as quick as possible to set up the BCC. Steve Leader is a competent group manager, I've known him quite a while. I knew that he could facilitate and set up a BCC. So I phoned him up, knowing his location to the incident, that it was unlikely that he was to be mobilised by control, I phoned him up, told him to mobilise to Stratford, to

Page 60

Page 58

1	do that.	1	Steve Leader now to set up the BCC".
2	However, should control page him during his journey	2	A. Like I say, it was the number of FSGs coming in, the
3	to Stratford, he was to prioritise the call from control	3	escalation, the rapid escalation of the incident going
4	and go to the incident, but to let me know once he had	4	up to 40 pumps, and also it was just in my own way of
5	prioritised that with control and then I would	5	working, I wanted to be efficient, as in getting the
6	reallocate that role to someone else.	6	officers there as quick as possible.
7	Now, knowing how busy control were with the make-ups	7	Q. And
8	and the FSGs, I was trying to take some of the work away	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Millett, I'm wondering if we're
9	from them and also to set up the BCC at the quickest and	9	getting to a good point, are we?
10	most expedient way.	10	MR MILLETT: Not really, but we can stop now. Yes, I think
11	Q. Now, you say in your statement that you took that	11	is probably the diplomatic answer.
12	decision to mobilise/self-mobilise Steve Leader on the	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we will all benefit from
13	way to Stratford?	13	a short break.
14	A. Yes.	14	Mr Fenton, we're going to have a break now. Please
15	Q. Had anything changed between your being paged initially	15	don't talk about your evidence or anything related to it
16	and leaving the house or the camp-out base and being on	16	while you are out of the room.
17	the way to Stratford that meant that you now thought	17	If you would like to go with the usher and we'll
18	that you needed Steve Leader in the role of setting up	18	resume at 11.40, please.
19	the BCC?	19	(The witness withdrew)
20	A. Nothing specific apart from, as I say, the general	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: 11.40 then, please
21	escalation that is the points that we was speaking	21	(11.25 am)
22	about. So I don't think there was any particular	22	(A short break)
23	trigger, just in my own mind I wanted to get the	23	(11.40 am)
24	resources there as quick as possible, and I knew control	24	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Fenton? Ready to
25	would be busy and so I decided to do that off my own	25	carry on?
	Page 61		Page 63
1	heal and authority	1	THE WITNESS: Vos thank you
1	back and authority.	1	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.
2	Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader	2	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back.
2 3	Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made	2 3	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you
2 3 4	Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps?	2 3 4	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about
2 3 4 5	Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps?A. I couldn't confirm.	2 3 4 5	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that 	2 3 4 5 6	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that — the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation — or you say there wouldn't be an expectation — A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit. Are you saying, first of all, that it is a general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. A. Yes. Q. It didn't go 40 until 02.04 or 02.03 while you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit. Are you saying, first of all, that it is a general assumption by incident commanders that because high-rise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. A. Yes. Q. It didn't go 40 until 02.04 or 02.03 while you were still en route, and I wonder what it was about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that — the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation — or you say there wouldn't be an expectation — A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit. Are you saying, first of all, that it is a general assumption by incident commanders that because high-rise buildings are built on the basis that any fire would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. A. Yes. Q. It didn't go 40 until 02.04 or 02.03 while you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation or you say there wouldn't be an expectation A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit. Are you saying, first of all, that it is a general assumption by incident commanders that because high-rise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did you make that decision to mobilise Steve Leader before or after you'd heard that the fire had been made up to 40 pumps? A. I couldn't confirm. Q. Okay. What about before or after hearing that Group Manager Welch had become incident commander? A. I only knew that Group Manager Welch was in charge when I heard make pumps 40. That was the point that I knew he was in charge. Q. What I am trying to get a feel for is what was it about the escalation that led you to decide that you needed Steve Leader to set up the BCC? A. So the incident was rapidly made up, there were multiple fire survival guidance calls, and I could just see that it was an escalating incident. I had no detail of the incident, but I just could see that there was going to be a need for the BCC to support the incident going forward. Q. Because you left your camp-out base at a time when it was already 25. A. Yes. Q. It didn't go 40 until 02.04 or 02.03 while you were still en route, and I wonder what it was about the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, thank you for coming back. Can I just ask you about an earlier answer that you gave to the inquiry when I was asking you about compartmentation and the contingency plan or a contingency plan for full or partial evacuation. Do you remember we had an exchange this morning about a rhetorical question? A. Yes. Q. It's page 25, lines 6 to 12 of the current transcript. I just want to re-visit your answer, where you say that — the gist of it is that if the building has compartmentation then fire shouldn't be spreading and you therefore wouldn't have an expectation — or you say there wouldn't be an expectation — A. I haven't got it up at the moment. Q. No, you haven't and I'm not sure it's possible for me to display parts of the transcript at the same time, but take it from me that you said that there wouldn't be an expectation for a full or phased evacuation. I just want to be able to explore that a little bit. Are you saying, first of all, that it is a general assumption by incident commanders that because high-rise buildings are built on the basis that any fire would be

1	out of it, an incident commander would not expect to	1	Depending on the size of the high-rise, depending on
2	have to undertake full or partial evacuation?	2	the size of the fire, depending on the situation, then,
3	A. That is correct, because, first of all, how would the	3	yes, a full evacuation, if possible, could be
4	incident commander communicate that information to every	4	implemented. But it would depend on the building, the
5	person or occupant within the building to actually	5	size, the size of the fire, all the considerations and
6	inform them that we were doing a full or phased	6	all the factors that the incident commander would have
7	evacuation?	7	to put into play.
8	Secondly, is the building suitably built to	8	So I was looking at the situation with the actual
9	facilitate that? And if the building is built to	9	incident on the 14th, and how we would've communicated
10	facilitate that, I would suggest that it would have	10	that to all residents at the time, I'm not sure. But
11	an intercom or it would have a fire alarm and it would	11	that would have been, as we say, a consideration for the
12	have the facilities there to actually be able to	12	incident commander, who has all that information at the
13	facilitate a full or phased evacuation.	13	time.
14	Q. Well, those are valid questions that arise, but in terms	14	Q. So I think the answer you're actually giving me is that
15	of general expectation, you ask the questions, I think,	15	actually an incident commander would have to be alert to
16	rhetorically, in answering my question, negatively; in	16	the need to undertake either a full or a partial
17	other words, you would not expect there to be a full or	17	evacuation?
18	phased evacuation because otherwise you have these	18	A. It could be a consideration, but as I did say and I will
19	difficulties.	19	say again, it depends on the situation, the
20	A. Yes.	20	circumstances, the size of the building, number of
21	Q. Can I take you to policy 633, which is in the policy	21	floors, where the fire is and what the situation is at
22	bundle at tab 4. I just want to show you one part of	22	the time.
23	this. I'll just show you the front page, if I can, to	23	Q. Would your expectation be that any high-rise building
24	start with.	24	would have an evacuation plan devised as part of the
25	This is "High rise firefighting", policy 633, and	25	occupier's fire risk assessment?
	Page 65		Page 67
1	this was summed as at 1 Iums 2015, as summed as at the	1	A That is a massibility. I wouldn't know what arow
1	this was current as at 1 June 2015, so current as at the	1	A. That is a possibility. I wouldn't know what every
2	night of the Grenfell Tower fire.	2	building or what their risk assessments are.
2	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this	2 3	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which
2 3 4	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy?	2 3 4	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the
2 3 4 5	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am.	2 3 4 5	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as
2 3 4 5 6	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45	2 3 4 5 6	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this
2 3 4 5 6 7	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy	2 3 4 5 6 7	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can — yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation? A. I would say partial evacuation, depending on what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it would be implemented in every building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation? A. I would say partial evacuation, depending on what we call partial evacuation, depending if we are talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can — yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it would be implemented in every building. Q. So in the case of a building where there may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation? A. I would say partial evacuation, depending on what we call partial evacuation, depending if we are talking a floor or we're talking two floors, that's probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it would be implemented in every building. Q. So in the case of a building where there may be difficulties in undertaking a full evacuation, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation? A. I would say partial evacuation, depending on what we call partial evacuation, depending if we are talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't — I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can — yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it would be implemented in every building. Q. So in the case of a building where there may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	night of the Grenfell Tower fire. First of all, can I ask: are you familiar with this policy? A. Yes, I am. Q. Can I take you to page 14 internally and paragraphs 7.45 and 7.46 under the rubric "Evacuation". The policy says: "7.45. The IC should consider following the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment, unless the fire situation dictates otherwise. "7.46. It may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation in a residential building where a 'Stay put' policy is normally in place." Now, this policy indicates that that is something that an incident commander should be considering. In the light of that policy, is it really right to say that there wouldn't be an expectation on the part of an incident commander to have to undertake full or partial evacuation? A. I would say partial evacuation, depending on what we call partial evacuation, depending if we are talking a floor or we're talking two floors, that's probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	building or what their risk assessments are. Q. In terms of your understanding of policy, 7.45, which refers to "the evacuation plan devised as part of the occupier's fire risk assessment", in your experience as a DAC and having had the training and knowing about this policy, would it be your expectation that a high-rise building such as Grenfell Tower would actually have an evacuation plan? A. Not for the entire tower block, no. Q. Right. A. Because I don't I mean, in my understanding, I don't know how you would communicate a full evacuation to 24 floors of the tower block. Q. But 7.46 says that it may be necessary to undertake a partial or full evacuation. A. And as I mentioned previously, it would depend on the size of the building, the height, what facilities are also there, is there an intercom, are there twin staircases. Every building would have and can yes, there can be for a building, but not necessarily how it would be implemented in every building. Q. So in the case of a building where there may be difficulties in undertaking a full evacuation, what

1	consider?	1	is in there.
2	A. If an incident commander was to consider full evacuation	2	Q. As a matter of practice and in your experience as at the
3	of a building, they would have to consider the escape	3	night of the fire, would it be your expectation that
4	routes, what routes, how would we get the personnel out	4	a high-rise building and the plans for it and the ORD
5	of the fire, where is the fire, would we be putting	5	information for it would contain that information so as
6	people into a more dangerous environment? Where is the	6	to be able to equip an incident commander with the
7	smoke travel? Where's the heat? Every situation would	7	relevant information?
8	be slightly different. Is there a single staircase? Is	8	A. There would be information in there, yes.
9	there a dual staircase? The firefighters, would they be	9	Q. Would be or should be?
10	actually in the escape route or not the escape route,	10	A. Should be yeah, there would be information within
11	wrong word would they be in the staircase actually	11	the both.
12	doing firefighting operations?	12	Q. While we're on this page, can I just ask you to look at
13	So all these would have to become part of the	13	paragraph 7.47, second sentence:
14	incident commander's plan to put that into a considered	14	"Evacuation can be made more resource intensive if
15	option.	15	the occupants have an impaired ability to make their own
16	Q. Yes. And in order to form part of the incident	16	way to safety; for example, disabled persons or those
17 18	commander's plan, would you expect all the answers to	17 18	under the affect of alcohol or drugs may need greater assistance."
19	the questions you've just listed to be immediately	19	
20	available to the incident commander on the fire ground?	20	Again, would you expect, as at the time of the fire, an incident commander easily to be able to put his or
21	A. It's a possibility, yes. I mean, it depends yet again where they are and what the premises are, so there	21	her hands on information that would assist them in
22	are that information can be gathered by the incident	22	evacuating the building partially or fully if that were
23	commander.	23	needed?
24	Q. You say it's a possibility, but would you not then	24	A. Are you saying if there's impaired ability to make their
25	expect that an incident commander would immediately have	25	own way out to safety?
23	expect that an incident commander would ininectately have	23	own way out to safety:
	Page 69		Page 71
1	all the answers to those questions at his or her	1	Q. Yes.
2	fingertips in order to be able to consider alternatives	2	A. Yes. So the information should be available to the
3	to firefighting?	3	incident commander in that situation, should they need
4	A. Yes, they would need them at their disposal to make	4	to consider an evacuation.
5	those decisions.	5	Q. Now, can I just go back to something else that you
6	Q. Where would you expect an incident commander to get that	6	referred to in the earlier part of your evidence this
7	information to answer all those questions from?	7	morning. That was computer-based training post-Lakanal
8	A. So they could look at the operational risk database,	8	House.
9	depending what information is on there; they could look	9	Can I ask you to be shown LFB00003751.
10	at a premise information box on the side of the	10	I think this is actually the monitoring and action
11	building, there could be information on there; and also	11	plan that came in as a result of Lakanal House.
12	potentially from the if there was local building	12	Can I just ask you, first of all: are you familiar
13	management in place, they would be able to give further	13	with this document or anything like it?
14	information. So it's all about information-gathering.	14	A. No, I'm not.
15	Q. So are you saying that the operational risk database, or	15	Q. Okay.
16	premises information box, or both, would or should	16	I'll take this very briefly. Can I just ask you to
17	normally provide information which would answer all the	17	look at paragraph 3, which is on, I think, page 3.
18	questions you listed for us a moment ago?	18	Right. This is about incident command training, and
19	A. It could do.	19	if we just enlarge it, if you look at paragraph 3.3
20	Q. When you say it could do	20	paragraph 3 starts:
21	A. It could do. I can't be specific because I don't know	21	"The Brigade review its policies and procedures
22	what's on every single ORD or every premise information	22	concerning incident command, having regard to whether it
23	box. So, yes, it should be some form of information	23	is effective for the choice of the IC to be tied closely
24	will be in there, but I can't give you the details of	24	to the number or types of appliances attending an
25	every single premise across London and what information	25	incident and training to be given to ICs to enhance
	D = 70		D 72
	Page 70		Page 72

1	their training in relation to the following"	1	Q. What was he looking at to give you that?
2	Then if you look at 3:	2	A. His mobile phone. I've either got it off my mobile
3	"3. To anticipate that a fire might behave in	3	phone or from Lee Drawbridge saying that's the time
4	a manner inconsistent with the compartmentation	4	I called him.
5	principle."	5	Q. Have you provided your mobile phone records to the
6	Now, you can then see across the page that there's	6	police?
7	some text quite a lot of text about how that was	7	A. Yes.
8	going to be implemented by Babcock with management	8	Q. You did. Okay.
9	training, and in the last paragraph of the first main	9	Now, you say:
10	column, underneath the yellow, it says:	10	"I couldn't get through at first and when he called
11	"The Lakanal House training package, that	11	me back, the incident was made up to 40 pumps with many
12	specifically address the recommendations is formally	12	FSG calls in progress."
13	managed by the Learning & Development Team directly with	13	Looking at the short incident log, as we have, and
14	Babcock."	14	we saw 40 pumps was at 02.03, or thereabouts, that would
15	First of all, Babcock. Can you tell us about their	15	mean, would it, that this discussion you had with
16	involvement in LFB training?	16	Lee Drawbridge was after that? So we can time that
17	A. Babcock are an independent provider of our training. So	17	discussion to after 02.03, can we?
18	they're an independent company that we pay to provide	18	A. Yes.
19	our training.	19	Q. Yes.
20	Q. Did their training teams comprise members or ex-members	20	You asked him, you say, to log on and see if he
21	of the LFB?	21	could contact the operational resilience support
22	A. Yes, they can do, yes.	22	officer, ORSO, and mobilise them to the BCC.
23	Q. They can do, but do they?	23	What is an ORSO?
24	A. Well, certain teams have ex-members of LFB. It's quite	24	A. So an operational resilience support officer are watch
25	a big department or team, so, yes.	25	managers who are trained in setting up the BCC, Brigade
	Page 73		Page 75
1	Q. Does this prompt a recollection of getting	1	as ardination centure and they would be in charge of
2	computer-based training from Babcock on the subject of	2	co-ordination centre, and they would be in charge of particular cells, as mentioned before, or they would
3	what would happen if compartmentation fails?	3	assist in whatever role was required in the BCC. So
4	A. No, that document doesn't I'm not familiar with that	4	they're should I say, they're very good officers to
5	document.	5	get there early because they will assist in setting
6	Q. Okay. You're not familiar with the document, okay.	6	a BCC up, and knowing I was doing that at Stratford,
7	Right, well, I won't pursue that. It's a long and	7	which isn't a location normally I wanted to get them
8	complicated document and not an easy read, but we may	8	there as quickly as possible.
9	look at that with others.	9	Q. Were there any particular people with current
10	Can I then turn back to where we had got to just	10	operational fire ground experience?
11	before the break, which is your arrival at the Brigade	11	A. They're operational watch managers; however, they are
12	control centre.	12	not working currently at a fire station, so they
13	Now, in your statement you say, just below halfway	13	wouldn't be attending incidents at the moment, but they
14	down page 5, where the paragraph starts:	14	would work in a department up at headquarters. But they
15	"At 0149 hours, I called the recall DAC -	15	are qualified to the role of a watch manager.
16	Lee DRAWBRIDGE [and told him] that he was to stand	16	Q. Did you succeed in mobilising an ORSO to BCC?
17	up as the recall DAC."	17	A. Lee would have mobilised those ORSOs for me, yes.
18	Now, you say 01.49 hours; how can you be so precise	18	Q. Who were they, do you know?
19	about the timing of that call, do you know?	19	A. There was four that came all together, but two to start
20	A. Because Lee Drawbridge informed me afterwards that he	20	with. They are on my list in my statement. So to be
		21	honest, I can't remember which two came first.
	had had missed calls on his nhone and that was the time		noncon a can a remember which the talle first.
21	had had missed calls on his phone and that was the time	1	O Right Vou say you've referred to them in your
21 22	that I called him.	22	Q. Right. You say you've referred to them in your statement
21 22 23	that I called him. Q. So when you did your statement, where did you get that	22 23	statement.
21 22 23 24	that I called him. Q. So when you did your statement, where did you get that very precise information from, do you know?	22 23 24	statement. A. Yes, so in my statement it says the staff that I had in
21 22 23	that I called him. Q. So when you did your statement, where did you get that	22 23	statement.
21 22 23 24	that I called him. Q. So when you did your statement, where did you get that very precise information from, do you know?	22 23 24	statement. A. Yes, so in my statement it says the staff that I had in

1	Q. Okay.	1	support her staff."
2	Now, you then go on to say that you arrived if	2	That's what you saw, is it, when you first went into
3	you go over to page 3 of your statement, that's what	3	the control room?
4	I was looking for, there's a little list.	4	A. For those two particular officers, yes.
5	A. That's the list of names, yes.	5	Q. Do you remember who was officer of the watch?
6	Q. We have four watch managers, Wilson, Small, Malone and	6	A. Yes, Alex Norman.
7		7	Q. Alex Norman?
	Rex, and they were the four, were they?	8	
8	A. Yes, they were the four. So Steve Leader would've		A. Yes.
9	arrived first. Lee Drawbridge would've mobilised the	9	Q. And what, do you remember, was her role when you
10	two that were on recall that night, so there was only	10	arrived?
11	two on recall, and then later on at some stage that	11	A. I can't remember where she was or what she was doing at
12	night, I authorised for the two who were not on recall	12	the time. So I would always go to the most senior
13	to be contacted at home, off duty, and ask if they were	13	person in the room to start with and that's how
14	willing to step up and come in off duty, which they did.	14	I remember looking round, looking for Joanne and seeing
15	Q. Yes. Then you go on to say, two-thirds of the way down	15	where she was. And also Jason would have been the only
16	page 5:	16	other operational officer in the room other than the
17	"The journey to Stratford from my camp out base is	17	control officers, so that's probably why I pinpointed
18	only 20-25 minutes depending on traffic. Between	18	those two officers to start with.
19	0200-0220 hours I arrived at Stratford Fire Station."	19	Q. Now, at the top of page 6 of your statement, you say
20	We've discussed that before.	20	this:
21	A. Yes.	21	"After introducing myself I told them that I was
22	Q. On the basis of what I've shown you, do you still think	22	going to set up the BCC downstairs on the ground floor."
23	that's correct? It looks like it was after 02.04	23	You say you introduced yourself; did you know
24	though.	24	Jo Smith?
25	A. Yes. So I arrived after Joanne Smith, and unfortunately	25	A. Yes, I know Jo Smith and Jason Oliff, so I know them
	Page 77		Page 79
1	I can't ninnaint my arrival at Stratford to a specific	1	both So I've worked with In previously
1	I can't pinpoint my arrival at Stratford to a specific	1 2	both. So I've worked with Jo previously.
2	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at	2	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs.
2 3	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time.	2 3	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say:
2 3 4	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived?	2 3 4	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs.But you then go on to say:"My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my
2 3 4 5	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of
2 3 4 5 6	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to
2 3 4 5 6 7	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room — so Stratford control is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay. Now, you say at the bottom of the page:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room so Stratford control is a smaller room than Merton. The footprint is a smaller
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay. Now, you say at the bottom of the page: "In the middle of the room was the Officer of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room so Stratford control is a smaller room than Merton. The footprint is a smaller room. And the noise, the noise level you could see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay. Now, you say at the bottom of the page: "In the middle of the room was the Officer of the Day — Jason OLIFF who was on the phone, and the Senior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room — so Stratford control is a smaller room than Merton. The footprint is a smaller room. And the noise, the noise level — you could see that every single operator was fully engaged in — the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay. Now, you say at the bottom of the page: "In the middle of the room was the Officer of the Day — Jason OLIFF who was on the phone, and the Senior Operations Manager Joanne SMITH who was going around the room speaking to call operators trying to assist and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room — so Stratford control is a smaller room than Merton. The footprint is a smaller room. And the noise, the noise level — you could see that every single operator was fully engaged in — the time when I first walked in I didn't realise were FSGs, but was fully engaged on the phone, on the radio, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	time because obviously I wasn't looking at my watch at the time. Q. So Joanne Smith was there when you arrived? A. Yes. Q. She says that she had arrived about 2.15 or so, so would that help you be more accurate as to your timing? A. So it would've been after 2.15, then, because Jo was already there and up in control and in the room when I arrived. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether Jo had been there for long or she'd just arrived or what? A. I couldn't be very specific, but I think she'd probably been there 10/15 minutes. Q. What was she doing when you arrived, do you remember? A. She was talking to her control officers, she was working the room, going around the room and speaking to her control officers at the time. Q. Okay. Now, you say at the bottom of the page: "In the middle of the room was the Officer of the Day — Jason OLIFF who was on the phone, and the Senior Operations Manager Joanne SMITH who was going around the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And you say you were going to set up the BCC downstairs. But you then go on to say: "My role was to set up the BCC but I could see my priority now was going to be assist with coordination of FSG calls in control, and that the priority was to record all the Fire Survival Guidance information without it being lost or duplicated." Why did you see that as your priority? A. So if I set a bit of a scene of when I've arrived, I've arrived at Stratford — so Stratford control was on the first floor, and the BCC, or as it — it's not a specific BCC, it's the north-east area team offices, but it can facilitate a BCC should you require it. So, first of all, I've gone straight upstairs to inform Jo, just as common courtesy, to say I'm here and I'm going to go downstairs and I'm going to start getting up the Brigade co-ordination centre, as was my role and what I was paged and mobilised to do. When I entered the room — so Stratford control is a smaller room than Merton. The footprint is a smaller room. And the noise, the noise level — you could see that every single operator was fully engaged in — the time when I first walked in I didn't realise were FSGs,

1	everyone was fully engaged and working and there was	1	experience because they are the experienced control
2	quite a lot of noise in the room controlled, but it	2	staff and they were happy with that decision, then we
3	was a noisy you could see it was an active room and	3	moved that forward.
4	there was a massive amount of information coming in.	4	Q. How was the FSG information being recorded at the time
5	And seeing that, once I gained the information that	5	you arrived?
6	they were looking they were dealing with a vast	6	A. Normal protocols.
7	number of FSG calls, and my concern was to look at	7	Q. Which is what?
8	a system and assist Jo in her role and look at a system	8	A. Which would've been from a control operator passing it
9	of how we could record and get the information across to	9	to a radio operator who would put it on to the system
10	the fire ground as the most expedient way.	10	and spoken to the fire ground.
11	Q. Right.	11	Q. Just pause there and let's just break that up and look
12	In coming to that conclusion, that FSG information	12	at it a bit more closely.
13	and its recording accurately was your priority, were you	13	So when you arrive, normal system in operation.
14	drawing on any prior experience?	14	What was the control room operator doing him or herself
15	A. So I've had no prior experience of working in control	15	to record the information that they were getting?
16	and dealing with FSGs, but I could just see the pure	16	A. Which control room operator?
17	amount of information coming in. Normal protocol would	17	Q. Well, in general, all of them.
18	be for this information to go over the Airwave radio.	18	A. So at the time they were writing on pieces of paper.
19	I can probably looking at the amount of airtime	19	Q. Right. Was that a standard form of pad or was it just
20	traffic that would've taken for all that information to	20	a bit of paper by the phone?
21	be passed over the Airwave, and that would release	21	A. No, they were the pieces of paper that you showed me, or
22	an operator to do another role, rather than	22	part of the pieces of paper that you showed me earlier
23	concentrating on sending that over the Airwave to the	23	on.
24	fire ground, and also it would give everyone a visual	24	Q. So they wrote that down during the call or after the
25	picture in the room, having it up on the whiteboard,	25	call was concluded, do you know?
	Page 81		Page 83
1	that everybody could see the information on the	1	A. I couldn't give you that detail.
2	whiteboard and the number of calls we was receiving.	2	Q. On what you could see, what were the control room
3	Q. Now, by 2 am or so, the number of FSG calls that had	3	operators doing with those pieces of paper?
4	been received by the control room was over 115. Did you	4	A. At that time, I don't know.
5	know that?	5	Q. Okay. Did you see them getting up from their desks and
6	A. No, I didn't.	6	taking the pieces of paper to somebody?
7	Q. Does that surprise you, that number?	7	A. No.
8	A. Yes, that's a hell of a lot, yes.	8	Q. Do you know how those pieces of paper were being
9	Q. And when you arrived in the control room and you could	9	collected, at the time of your arrival, from the control
10	see all the CROs busy on the phone, did you form	10	room operators?
11	an impression as to whether those were active FSG calls	11	A. Not at my time of arrival, no.
12	properly so-called or whether they were just what	12	Q. How, at the time of your arrival, was the information
13	I might call ordinary 999 calls?	13	which was being gathered by the CROs from callers being
14	A. No, I would say they were active FSG calls.	14	communicated to the radio operator?
15	Q. How did you know that?	15	A. Unfortunately I can't give you that information because
16	A. Just the information I gathered straight away from Jo	16	that's a control that's a role within control and I'm
17	and looking round the room and seeing. So I went	17	not sure how control or their systems how they would
18	straight to Jo first of all and had so I didn't walk	18	do that, so —
19	into the room and just make that decision; there was	19	Q. Do you know whether it was by typing it into the system
20	some information-gathering. I spoke to Jo first. She	20	and sending it to the radio operator?
21	told me what was happening, where we were, and looking	21	A. I would suggest so, yes.
22	at the situation at the time, that was a decision that	22	Q. But do you know that?
23	I made.	23	A. No, not 100 per cent, because I don't work with the
24	But having spoken to Jo and at that time Alex Norman	24	control operators and I don't have a complex
25	on my view of what we could do, gleaning on their	25	understanding of how a control operator would work.
	v		
	Page 82		Page 84

1	The colors of the Arthur Arthur	,	
1	I have a basic understanding, but I'm not trained to	1 2	survival guidance would be recorded on a system that
2	or I've not gone through the control operator's role.		they use.
3	Q. At the point of arrival, before the whiteboard system	3	Q. Okay.
4	started, how was each FSG message being communicated to	4	At the point of your arrival, did you have access
5	the fire ground?	5	either downstairs or in the control room to the
6	A. I would suggest that was being done by the Airwave	6	Vision system?
7	radio.	7 8	A. I would never have access to Vision because Vision is
8	Q. Right. You say you would suggest it.		control's and I wouldn't have access to that. Should
9	A. Yes.	9	I have wanted to leave the control room and go and find
10 11	Q. Did you see it?	10 11	a remote computer somewhere to log on and then log on and have a look, yes, I could've looked on to BOSS if
12	A. Everyone the room so if I set you the scene,	12	
13	you've got the room, every single operator is on either	13	that was what I needed to do. Q. Given that you had decided that your priority was to
	a headset with a phone call or a headset for the radio.	14	assist with co-ordination of FSG calls in control and to
14 15	I wouldn't be able to tell you: that's the radio	15	record the FSG information, was it not important to you
16	operator, that's an FSG operator, that I don't know	16	to log on to Vision and see what messages had already
17	their roles and where they sit and how they work. So	17	been sent and recorded in that system so as to get
18	you're drilling for my level probably too far down into the detail for me to give you a confirmed answer,	18	a picture of the flow of information and the detail?
19		19	A. So I was aware that FSGs were going on, I was aware that
20	probably. Q. Fair enough, okay.	20	control were recording them however they would normally
21	Was anybody making sure at the point of your arrival	21	record them, and I offered an alternative system, due to
22	that FSG information wasn't being lost or duplicated?	22	the multitude and number that was happening, to try and
23	A. Yes, so I would definitely say so and you would need to	23	relieve the pressure in the room and to make sure that
24	speak to a control operator to confirm that.	24	it was more streamlined for the number and the amount
25	Q. Right.	25	coming through.
23	Q. Right.	23	coming through.
	Page 85		Page 87
1	Were you aware of any calls coming in from other	1	I wouldn't have the understanding, like I've said
1 2	Were you aware of any calls coming in from other control rooms?	1 2	I wouldn't have the understanding, like I've said previously, of how or where or what control do because
			<u> </u>
2	control rooms?	2	previously, of how or where or what control do because
2 3	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no.	2 3	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform.
2 3 4	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you	2 3 4	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for
2 3 4 5	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware?	2 3 4 5	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to
2 3 4 5 6	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that	2 3 4 5 6	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander
2 3 4 5 6 7	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us.	2 3 4 5 6 7	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No. Q. Did you know whether it was being recorded?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No. Q. Did you know whether it was being recorded? A. I would suggest that it would've gone on to our system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question is really: was there a problem to which this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No. Q. Did you know whether it was being recorded? A. I would suggest that it would've gone on to our system as in normal protocol. How control work, as I've explained previously, I'm not trained in that arena and I wouldn't have that understanding of how they would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question is really: was there a problem to which this was a solution?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No. Q. Did you know whether it was being recorded? A. I would suggest that it would've gone on to our system as in normal protocol. How control work, as I've explained previously, I'm not trained in that arena and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question is really: was there a problem to which this was a solution? A. No, not that I'm aware, that there was a problem, apart
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 control rooms? A. Not at that period of time, no. Q. Did there come a point later in the evening when you became aware? A. Yes, later on in the evening, Jo Smith informed me that other control rooms were supporting us. Q. Did she tell you how many? A. No. Q. Did she tell you which? A. No. But, like I say, that wouldn't have come under my remit or under my role; Jo was just giving me the overview so I had an understanding of what was happening. Q. When a message was sent from the control room to the incident ground at the point you arrived, do you know how the fact that that message was being sent was being recorded? A. No. Q. Did you know whether it was being recorded? A. I would suggest that it would've gone on to our system as in normal protocol. How control work, as I've explained previously, I'm not trained in that arena and I wouldn't have that understanding of how they would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	previously, of how or where or what control do because it's not a role I perform. Q. Did anybody say to you Jo Smith or Jason Oliff, for example "The system we're using at the the moment to record information being sent to the incident commander is not working"? A. No, no one said at any time it wasn't working. Q. So the solution that you'd come up with to use whiteboards, was that a solution to a problem that you were facing or not? A. It was just a solution I offered up to the room as the way we could deal with the vast number that was coming in and to try and assist with keeping that data going through that one channel. So Jason was on the mobile phone at the time, and that is when I came up with the idea of him standing with these whiteboards and to write all the information on the whiteboards as he was relaying it to the incident ground. Q. Right. You came up with the solution, but my question is really: was there a problem to which this was a solution? A. No, not that I'm aware, that there was a problem, apart from the vast amount and number that was coming in, and

1	a potential for control staff to become overwhelmed with	1	conversation; I'm just saying that is how I would take
2	the number to come in. It was a solution that I put	2	the assumption I got that information.
3	forward and it was a solution that was agreed between	3	Q. Right.
4	me, Jo and Alex, and in their experience as control	4	Just help me with something. Stay put, you have
5	operators, if they're happy with that, I will work on	5	explained what that was in your statement and you told
6	their experience and I was happy to proceed it.	6	us earlier this morning, but if someone is trapped in
7	Q. Do you know whether Jo Smith or Alex Norman or	7	their flat and is getting FSG, fire survival guidance,
8	Jason Oliff, for that matter, informed the control room	8	they haven't got a choice, have they? They have to stay
9	staff generally that the new whiteboard system was going	9	put because they can't get out.
10	to be used to record FSG information being sent to the	10	A. Depending on the circumstances. If they are trapped in
11	fire ground?	11	their flat by but it depends on what calls and so
12	A. Yes. So that would've been something that Jo and Alex	12	there was numerous calls that went on that night and,
13	would have informed her staff, that that's the process	13	like I said, I didn't get involved in the detail of what
14	we were starting to do.	14	control operators — or what advice they were giving at
15	Q. Do you know whether the radio operator was specifically	15	that stage. So I wouldn't know the detail of any of the
16	told to stop sending messages to the fire ground?	16	calls. I've not listened to any of the calls.
17	A. I couldn't give you I wouldn't know the detail of who	17	Q. Did Jo Smith tell you about the general flavour of the
18	or what individual they spoke to at the time.	18	advice that was being given
19	Q. Okay.	19	A. I would say
20	A. So I couldn't drill into that depth of detail for you.	20	Q as part of the stay-put advice?
21	Q. At that early stage, after you'd arrived, seen the	21	A. I would say not at that stage because we're talking at
22	control room, talked to Jo Smith and Jason Oliff, did	22	the very early stages of me probably walking through the
23	you know or get a feel for the kind of advice that was	23	door.
24	being given by control room operators to callers?	24	Q. Can you just help with something, then, in general from
25	A. I was aware at that time the advice was to stay put.	25	your experience and training. We have stay put as
	Page 89		Page 91
1	Q. Right.	1	a concept and we have FSG as a concept.
2	A. But I was I didn't listen in to a call or stand with	2	At what point in the development of a fire or
3	an operator at that stage and listen to the call they	3	a caller's experience of a fire does the advice become
4	were giving.	4	fire survival guidance advice?
5	Q. When you say you were aware that the advice was to stay	5	A. So stay-put advice fire survival guidance is given
6	put, but didn't listen to a call or stand with	6	when an individual is trapped within their premise,
7	an operator, how were you aware that that was the nature	7	their flat, their house, their wherever they are,
8	of the advice?	8	through smoke, heat or fire.
9	A. I couldn't give you a specific who told me, but I would	9	Q. Right. So would it mean that as a matter of logic, at
10	take an assumption that it would've been Joanne who told	10	least, in your experience, you wouldn't be giving or
11	me at the time.	11	need to give stay-put advice to someone who was trapped
12	Q. I was going to ask you: was it an assumption you made or	12	because they were put anyway?
13	was it something you think Jo Smith told you?	13	A. No, stay put and fire survival are different.
14	A. No, that would've been part of our discussion and	14	Q. Yes. Yes. So fire survival guidance is not given to
15	a discussion that we would've had.	15	someone who needs to be told to stay put; it's given to
16	Q. Okay. Part of the discussion. And in part of that	16	someone who can't escape even if they wanted to?
17	discussion which discussed stay put, what was the actual	17	A. Yes, yes.
18	nature of the advice that Jo Smith told you was being	18	Q. Am I right?
19	imparted? Was it stay put at all costs or stay put if	19	A. Yes.
20	you can?	20	Q. So-
21	A. No, there was no detail. She wouldn't have given me the	21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Or is it a case of someone who says
22	detail of the advice. That's control's role and her	22	he can't escape?
23	role. She just told me that she was keeping stay put	23	A. Yes, it depends.
24	the advice as it was.	24	MR MILLETT: Right. So just working this through a bit more
25	As I say, I'm taking I can't remember that actual	25	and drawing on your experience, if we may, when a fire
	Page 90		Page 92

1	survival guidance call is in process, is some or all of	1	A. Yes.
2	that an attempt by the operator to work out whether or	2	Q. Am I right in thinking, from what you've just told us,
3	not there is a safe means of escape, or is it simply	3	that from your discussions with Jo Smith and from what
4	advice as to how to survive in circumstances where there	4	you understood, the advice was still: "You are going to
5	is no escape?	5	be rescued"?
6	A. So you're talking of specific training for a call	6	A. Yes.
7	operator and a call operator's advice and what they	7	Q. Right.
8	give. I have no input or had no training in what a call	8	A. At that stage.
9	operator or what advice they would give. That's	9	Q. At that stage.
10	a control role.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Going back, then, to the discussion you had with	11	Q. Okay.
12	Jo Smith, and you got the impression from her, at least,	12	So can we take it then and this may be fair or
13	that she was saying that the advice was stay put, I am	13	unfair that the expression "stay-put advice" is
14	just trying to work out what that meant to you.	14	shorthand for "You are going to be rescued"?
15	A. In what context?	15	A. You're safer to stay in your compartment, and if and
16	Q. Well, in the context of your arrival, lots of FSG calls	16	when, if necessary, the fire service will come to you.
17	in progress, your concern that you needed to prioritise	17	However, every circumstance is different and, as
18	them and your discussion with Jo Smith. In that	18	I said so in a normal flat, high-rise situation, the
19	context	19	fire wouldn't be leaving the compartment as it did on
20	A. So, as I said, I can't remember a specific conversation	20	the night, and it wouldn't be spreading round the
21	or discussion, as I've previously said, with Jo. I'm	21	envelope of the building as it did on the night, and so
22	taking the assumption that that's where I gained that	22	normal stay-put advice would be the advice to be given.
23	information. So there is a lot happening within a short	23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Would it be perhaps fairer to say
24	period of time, the control room is very, very busy,	24	that the stay-put advice is an answer to the question by
25	there was a lot of conversations and a lot of	25	the person who is calling: "Should I stay where I am or
			,
	Page 93		Page 95
1	:C	١,	-hld I 4 4449!!
1	information flying around, and I'm just saying that	1	should I try to get out?"
2	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still	2	A. Yes.
2 3	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put	2 3	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in
2 3 4	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice.	2 3 4	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area.
2 3 4 5	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each
2 3 4 5 6	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said,
2 3 4 5 6 7	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning. I couldn't give you a specific time, but not at that stage, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay. A. But you're asking me to give details of something that probably I'm not privy to and I wasn't aware of that detail on the night.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning. I couldn't give you a specific time, but not at that stage, no. Q. I can. If you go, please, to the short incident log,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay. A. But you're asking me to give details of something that probably I'm not privy to and I wasn't aware of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning. I couldn't give you a specific time, but not at that stage, no. Q. I can. If you go, please, to the short incident log, tab 23, and go, please, to page 22, at 02.17.36 you see,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay. A. But you're asking me to give details of something that probably I'm not privy to and I wasn't aware of that detail on the night.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning. I couldn't give you a specific time, but not at that stage, no. Q. I can. If you go, please, to the short incident log,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somewhere or somehow, I was aware that we were still giving or we were still following the stay-put advice. Q. Right. You were still following the stay-put advice. I'm sorry to keep A. Or control were. Q. What did that mean in practice, still following the stay-put advice? A. So if this, again, is an assumption, because I don't know what control would say or how they would speak to staff because I'm not a control officer and it's not an environment I would normally work in. So I'm taking the assumption that if this was someone who was in their flat and they were phoning up to say that they could see there was smoke outside their window or something, control would still be in the position of saying, "Stay where you are, the fire service will come to you". Q. Right. Okay. A. But you're asking me to give details of something that probably I'm not privy to and I wasn't aware of that detail on the night. Q. No, but I am asking you about your actual understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Having described the conditions in the immediate area. A. Yes. But, as I say, I can't give specifics on each individual conversation or each call. As you said, there were absolutely numerous calls on that night and I wasn't aware of the detail of each conversation or any of the conversations held. MR MILLETT: You made that very clear. It's just I think we're seeking to get an understanding of what you understood at that moment of what stay put meant, and you have answered the chairman's question. We'll come back to it in due course. Can I just ask you this: were you aware, on or around the time of your arrival at the Brigade control centre, that the incident ground had asked for a dangerous structure engineer to be called? A. I was made aware — I think it is logged and minuted quite a bit further down through the following morning. I couldn't give you a specific time, but not at that stage, no. Q. I can. If you go, please, to the short incident log, tab 23, and go, please, to page 22, at 02.17.36 you see,

1	" EMG CU8 REQUEST DANGEROUS STRUCTURE ENGINEER	1	Q. It is a hypothetical question, but what I'm trying to
2	GAS AND ELECTRICITY BOARD AND LOCAL AUTHORITY LIAISON	2	gauge is, using your experience, what that information
3	OFFICER."	3	would tell the control room about the seriousness of the
4	Leave aside gas and electricity and the LALO.	4	fire and the consequences for the people still in the
5	Does this log entry prompt a recollection?	5	building.
6	A. So I wouldn't, as the DAC for the Brigade co-ordination	6	A. So it would tell control, as limited as that is just
7	centre, that is not information that would be given to	7	a line of information they've been given, it would tell
8	me and it's not information that I would be expected to	8	control that there's potential concerns with the
9	know. That is for the operational staff or officers on	9	structure of the building. But in what levels or to
10	the fire ground, and that would be a request that comes	10	what stage, I don't think a control operator would
11	to control for control to utilise the request and make	11	perceive. And also a control operator would be there to
12	sure that they got a dangerous structure engineer to the	12	facilitate the request. So it's a request to our admin
13	incident ground.	13	control operator asking for a dangerous structure
14	So it's not information that I would have been	14	engineer. So then they would have gone on to the system
15	given. It's not within my role.	15	and ordered or found a dangerous structure engineer to
16	Q. Okay.	16	attend the incident.
17	Taking it in stages, first of all, why would	17	Q. You say you didn't know about that message, but would
18	an incident commander request a dangerous structure	18	that have been useful information to you in recording
19	engineer?	19	and taking charge of fire survival guidance?
20	A. You'd have to ask the incident commander that.	20	A. It's a piece of information that I could have known
21	Q. You've been an incident commander, I am assuming, in	21	about, but like I say, the role of the night
22	your long career at the LFB.	22	I wouldn't have said I took charge of the fire survival
23	A. Yes, but I can't answer what their rationale was, what	23	guidance; I said we put a system in place jointly, me
24	their thinking was and why they as an individual	24	and Jo, on how the fire survival guidance could be
25	requested that; you would have to ask the individual who	25	recorded. The fire survival guidance would have
	Page 97		Page 99
1	requested it.	1	remained in control; we were just looking at a system of
2	Q. Well, my question was: why would an incident commander	2	how we can make sure it got to the fire ground the
3	request a dangerous structure engineer? So perhaps	3	quickest way and recorded efficiently on the board.
4	I could put it slightly differently.	4	Q. Now, on page 8 of your statement, a third of the way
5	In any given circumstances, at any given fire, why	5	down, it says:
6	would any given incident commander in the LFB request	6	"At 0320 hours Control received an informative from
7	a dangerous structure engineer?	7	the incident ground requesting a Dangerous Structure
8	A. Because they would have concerns over the structure of	8	Engineer as soon as possible."
9	the building.	9	Was that the first time you learnt that the incident
10	Q. Right.	10	ground requested a dangerous structure engineer?
11	A. So that's why they would request one.	11	A. Yes, that was, and if I remember rightly, the reason
12	Q. Okay.	12	I was informed of it was: was I happy for the dangerous
13	A. Potentially.	13	structure engineer to be blue-lighted? So the decision
14	Q. What would the fact that the incident commander had	14	that came to me as the BCC was: was I happy to authorise
15	concerns over the structure of the building tell you	15	them to be blue-lighted to the incident ground?
16	about the seriousness of the fire and the consequences	16	Q. When you learnt that a dangerous structure engineer had
17	for the people still in the building?	17	been requested, did that affect your thinking as to the
18	A. So as I've said earlier on, I wasn't aware of this	18	seriousness of the fire and the consequences for the
19	information and I wouldn't have been given that	19	occupants of the building at that time?
20	information in the role that I was performing. It	20	A. At 03.20 and I'm taking the assumption that's the
21	wouldn't have come to me.	21	time on there the seriousness of the fire was
22	Q. No, that doesn't answer my question, I'm afraid,	22	already I was already aware of the development of the
23	Mr Fenton.	23	situation by then.
24	A. So was this a hypothetical question rather than specific	24	Q. Yes.
25	to the night?	25	I am going slightly backwards in this. You say at
	D 00		D 100
	Page 98		Page 100
			25 (Pages 97 to 100)

	2.20 control received an informative from the incident	1	record hair a the information to him. He record the arrange
1 2	3.20 control received an informative from the incident ground. What is that?	1 2	would bring the information to him. He would then write the information on the boards and tell the Command Unit
3	A. It's a request. So it's an information message,	3	at the same time."
4	basically. It's a request from the incident to control.	4	Just pausing there, was that the totality of your
5	So anything that the incident ground requests, they will	5	instruction to Jason Oliff?
6	send a message into control as soon as possible. So I'm	6	
7	taking the assumption they were already asked for	7	A. No, that's me writing it I gave him a brief of what
8	a dangerous structure engineer, and that's where they've	8	he was to do, where he was to sit, how and the reason why we were doing it, and to keep an open line at all
9	asked for it to be blue-lighted to the incident ground,	9	times and that he was to be the sole person that the
0	which I authorised.	10	information was to go through.
1	Q. To be fair to you, if you go to page 24 of the SIL,	11	Q. Okay. It looks from the way you've phrased this that he
2	short incident log, tab 23 of the documents bundle, and	12	was already using the phone system to speak to the
3		13	command unit before the system of whiteboard recording
4	turn to page 24 and look at the time mark for 03.15.32,	14	was established. Is that right?
+ 5	you can see that there the radio operator creates a key:	15	A. Jason Oliff was on the phone at the time. I'm not
5	"EMG CU8 REQUEST URGENT ATTENDANCE OF DANGEROUS STRUCTURE ENGINEER AND STRESS THIS IS A MATTER OF	16	100 per cent sure what information or what that
	URGENCY AND PRIORITY."	17	conversation was, but that is when I said to keep that
7		18	open line with the command unit.
8 9	Is that the informative message you're referring to	19	Q. Right. But my question was really: is it the case that,
	in your statement?	20	from what you could see, he was already using the phone
0 1	A. I can't remember where and exactly time or what message. My memory of that night was that I was asked was I happy.	21	system
2	My memory of that night was that I was asked was I happy	22	A. I can't confirm that.
	for a dangerous structure engineer, as I've said, to be	23	Q to speak to the command unit before the whiteboard
3	blue-lighted to the incident, which I authorised	24	system
4	immediately.	25	A. He was speaking to the command unit
5	Q. Do you know maybe you don't why you would've	23	7. He was speaking to the command unit —
	Page 101		Page 103
1	learnt about the need for a dangerous structure engineer	1	Q was established?
2	at 3.20 as per that message at 3.15, but not the one	2	A. Sorry, I overspoke, sorry.
3	at	3	Q. I'll put the question again.
4	A. Because as I've said, my role, as being in charge of the	4	From what you could see, was he already using
5	BCC, it wasn't I wouldn't have been informed of every	5	a phone to speak to the command unit and pass on
6	message and messages coming from the fire ground.	6	messages to the command unit before the whiteboard
7	Q. So did somebody at 3.20 tell you that the incident	7	recording system was established?
8	ground wanted a dangerous structure engineer or were you	8	A. So, yes, he was on the phone, I can confirm that.
9	looking at the radio?	9	I can't confirm what his conversation was with the
0	A. No, someone requested. So someone physically came up to	10	command unit and what he was passing over to them, no,
	me whether I was in control on the DCC and physically	11	I can't.
1	me, whether I was in control or the BCC, and physically		
	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous	12	Q. And your reference to "phone", what kind of phone was
2		12 13	Q. And your reference to "phone", what kind of phone was that?
12	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous		
12 13 14	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident	13	that?
2 3 4 5	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes.	13 14	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile.
2 .3 .4 .5	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your	13 14 15	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right.
.2 .3 .4 .5 .6	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that	13 14 15 16	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that — and this is four lines down — that you identified two whiteboards. You say:	13 14 15 16 17	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke	13 14 15 16 17 18	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke to Jason DUFF"	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard instruction, as it were, was given, before you told him
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke to Jason DUFF" That should be Oliff, I presume?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard instruction, as it were, was given, before you told him to start using the whiteboards?
112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke to Jason DUFF" That should be Oliff, I presume? A. Yes, that's a typo.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard instruction, as it were, was given, before you told him to start using the whiteboards? A. No, the room was, like I said, so busy, every single
112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke to Jason DUFF" That should be Oliff, I presume? A. Yes, that's a typo. Q. " who was on the phone to a Command Unit at the time.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard instruction, as it were, was given, before you told him to start using the whiteboards? A. No, the room was, like I said, so busy, every single person was on a different phone or headset. I wasn't
111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	requested was I happy to authorise for the dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to the incident ground, which, as I said, I authorised and said yes. Q. You then say, if you go back to page 6 of your statement, that and this is four lines down that you identified two whiteboards. You say: "I dragged them to the corner of the room and spoke to Jason DUFF" That should be Oliff, I presume? A. Yes, that's a typo. Q. " who was on the phone to a Command Unit at the time. Once off the phone I briefed him to keep a phone line	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that? A. That would be his Brigade mobile. Q. Right. Do you know how long maybe you don't but could you see or tell or know how long he had been using his mobile to speak to the fire ground before the whiteboard instruction, as it were, was given, before you told him to start using the whiteboards? A. No, the room was, like I said, so busy, every single person was on a different phone or headset. I wasn't standing next to Jason to and that was far from my

1	Q. Right. Do you know which command unit he was speaking	1	you say in your statement at page 6, fifth line down.
2	to?	2	You say you spoke to Jason Oliff and he was on the phone
3	A. At that time, no.	3	to a command unit. You told us it was a mobile.
4	Q. No. Did you know	4	My question is: did you have any thoughts about
5	A. CU7. So at a later date, he confirmed with me that he	5	whether his calls would be recorded?
6	was speaking to CU7. That's when the dry whiteboard or	6	A. Not at that time because his conversation I don't
7	the whiteboard was set up and that system was in play.	7	know what his conversation it wouldn't be necessarily
8	Q. Right. Did you know whether he ever spoke to anybody on	8	a recordable conversation.
9	CU8?	9	Q. No. Did you know that Brigade mobile telephone calls
10	A. No, I can't confirm who he had spoken to or who he	10	are not recorded?
11	didn't, no.	11	A. Yes, I am aware of that.
12	Q. Just going back to the short incident log at page 22, we	12	Q. Did that fact influence your decision to establish the
13	have a key message at 02.23.33. Just after the	13	whiteboard recording system?
14	dangerous structure engineer, actually. 02.23.33, and	14	A. Not at the time, no. At the time it was just purely how
15	that says:	15	can we prioritise the calls and get them over to the
16	"CU7 RUN ALL FSG CALLS VIA CU7."	16	incident ground.
17	Do you remember that message being input into the	17	Q. As you said.
18	system?	18	Do you know or do you remember whether Alex Norman
19	A. No, because I had no access, as I said, to the messages	19	was still talking to the incident ground?
20	and I wasn't looking at the messages.	20	A. I can't recall what individual officers were doing in
21	Q. Right. Did you know, even if not by looking at the	21	such a dynamic situation and a dynamic room. I wouldn't
22	system, that that message had been sent and that now FSG	22	be able to recall where she was or what she was doing.
23	calls had to go via CU7?	23	Q. Right. Can I just take you to your contemporaneous
24	A. No.	24	notes, the number of which we read to the record at the
25	Q. 02.23.33 is either at the time you arrived or shortly	25	beginning of your evidence this morning. Can I show you
	Page 105		Page 107
1	And I think the time very amirod	,	2
1	after, I think, the time you arrived.	1	page 3, second paragraph on that page.
2	Do you remember any messages going to CU8 at all?	2	You describe the instruction you gave to Jason Oliff
3 4	A. No, I wouldn't have been aware of who was talking to who.	3 4	to sit by the boards whilst keeping an open line with the CU. You say:
5	Q. Now, when you saw Jason Oliff using his mobile to speak	5	" I recall it was CU7). All FSG information was
6	to the command unit, was that normal procedure or was	6	then recorded on the wipe boards whilst JO relayed this
7	that not normal procedure?	7	information to the CU. This ensured no information was
8	A. It wouldn't be a normal procedure for an FSG. That	8	lost and went via one dedicated route ensuring no
9	would, like I said, have gone through I mean, in	0	duplication or confusion."
10	normal procedure for fire survival guidance, me and	10	Now, just to be clear, were you satisfied, having
11	Jason wouldn't even be in the room, we wouldn't even be	11	set up that system, that there was no duplication, no
12	there, so it would have solely been control who would've	12	parallel provision of information to the fire ground?
13	dealt with fire survival guidance. Due to the	13	A. At that time, no, I was not aware that it was going via
14	unprecedented number, the scale, of the incident, that's	14	any other form.
15	when the BCC was put into place and hence we were there.	15	Q. Did you subsequently become aware that it might have
16	Due to them being overwhelmed and the number coming in,	16	done?
17	that's when I decided that I would help and assist	17	A. Only after seeing the inquiry when Jo was in here
18	wherever I could at the time because that was obviously	18	recently.
19	the priority.	19	MR MILLETT: Let's just finish that little point off and
20	Q. Seeing Jason Oliff on the mobile, did that trigger	20	then maybe we can have a break, Mr Chairman.
21	a thought in your mind about whether the calls he was	21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, I should think so.
22	making would be recorded?	22	MR MILLETT: Right.
23	A. At what stage? When I first came in or when the dry	23	If you go to the short incident log, we'll pick it
24	whiteboard was set up?	24	up from 02.23.33 on page 22, which says, "CU7 RUN ALL
25	Q. When you saw him on his phone to the command unit, as	25	FSG CALLS VIA CU7".
		"	
	Page 106		Page 108
			27 (D 105 t- 100)

The recorded, such as, for example, at 02.25.2 we have recorded, such as, for example, at 02.25.2 we have service request completed for flat 183, and then at 02.27 we have service request created for flat 194, which is in once, page 3, where we were looking before at the second page 3, some we were looking before at the second page 3, some we were looking before at the second page 3, which we were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking a before at the second page 3, but here were looking a before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking at the timing of flat 183, which is a service request of the 184, which at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking to before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here were looking before at the second page 3, but here of the second page 3, but here of the second page 3, but here were looked as the second page 3, but here of the second page 3, but here of the second page 3, but here of the second page 3, but here were looked was: 11				
service request completed for flat 183, and then at 4 02.27 we have service request created for flat 94, which 5 is completed a seconds later by Sharon Darby. 6 Then going on, we have at 02.29 a service request created 6 created for flat 142, which is completed at 02.41. 8 indeed, at 02.40 II we have a service request created 9 for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41. 10 Just looking at the timings, do you think that those 11 service messages were being completed and created while 12 Jason Oilf was on the phone to the command unit? 13 A. I vould vagget the dry whiteboard was definitely set up 14 at that period of time; however, these logs on here, 15 I can't confirm control have entered them — whether 16 they've cattered them for recording purposes or — I'm 17 not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, 18 all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 18 going to the fire ground. 19 Q. Right. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fir to you, the references to CU3 in those 22 instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she 23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 26 Q. Day radio? 27 A. I warn't aware — 28 Q. Day radio? 38 A. Right. 39 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 39 a grant and the messages are being sent at that time— 40 Q. Day va know how the commander — 41 to be command unit. 41 to be command unit. 42 to the messages are being sent at that time— 43 the same and a second part and that ime— 44 the whitebourds while I same flat ime— 45 the command unit. 46 to the commander — was to be recorded on the 47 the whitebourds while I same all FSG information — as it 48 to the command unit. 49 the same and a second the commander — 40 to Day ou know how the commander — where I am. 40 Q. Did you ask Jason Oilff reliqued that miformation to the fire ground. 41 to the command unit. 42 to the commander — where I am. 43 to the incident commander, but the c	1	We still have calls being recorded or messages being	1	Now, going back, if I can, to your contemporaneous
d 0.227 we have service request created for flat 94, which 5 is completed 4 seconds later by Sharon Darby. 6 Then going on, we have at 0.229 a service request 7 created for flat 122, which is completed at 0.231, and, 8 indeed, at 0.2-0.11 we have a service request created 9 for flat 152 which is completed at 0.241, 10 Just looking at the timings, to you think that those 11 service messages were being completed and created while 12 Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? 13 A. I would suggest the dry withboard was definitely set up at that period of fine; however, these logs on here, 16 they've entered them for recording purposes or – I'm 17 not sure. So I wouldn't – so far as I was concerned, 18 all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 19 going to the fire ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those 22 instances I've shown you I saked Ms Smith about, and she 23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. Bit even having fold you that, does it surprise you to 3 go J. Care which was a swe've seen from your 4 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 5 A. I wasn't aware — 5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware mey were being duplicated and sent by 7 radio. 10 you know how the command unit would've recorded that a timermation at the other end? 11 to enterpoancous notes, that all RSG information— 12 says, all FSG information— 13 whiteboards whils Isson Oliff reloyed that information 14 to the command unit would've been down to the command end 15 that is one failed that information as the whiteboard and done the writing; however, there were occasion that the information on the incident ground. 15 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the func, no, and I would've been down to the commander— 16 that a member and the thory time the work of the surface of	2	recorded, such as, for example, at 02.25.32 we have	2	note, page 3, where we were looking before at the second
5 is completed 4 seconds later by Shrono Darby 6 Then going on, we have at 02.29 a service request 7 created for flat 142, which is completed at 02.31, and, 8 indeed, at 02.40.11 we have a service request created 9 for flat 152 which is completed at 02.31, and, 10 Just looking at the timings, do you think that those 11 service messages were being completed and cented white 12 Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? 13 A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up 14 at that period of time, thowever, these logs on here, 15 I can't confirm control have entered them — whether 16 they've entered them for recording purposes on — I'm 17 not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, 18 all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 19 going to the fire ground. 10 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those 22 mistances I've shown you I asked MS Smith about, and she 23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fiet, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified hat by reference to the records of 26 Q. Pays adio? 27 A. I wasn't aware — 28 A. Right. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 To be fair to you, the reference to the records of 25 was a far a reference point to know that that information had gone 26 are recorded that actually that was CU7 and that, in fiet, 27 the messages are being sent at that time — 28 A. Right. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 To be command unit. 21 those messages. 22 A. Right. 23 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 24 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 25 A. I wasn't aware — 26 Q. Dely vandio? 27 A. I wasn't aware — 28 year alike Ground and remote to where I am. 29 Q. Okay. 20 Q. Okay. 21 To be does not be provided that information in the other cannot not not the other cannot not not the other cannot not n	3	service request completed for flat 183, and then at	3	paragraph, just below the second paragraph you have four
The flat mumbers and the floor they were on exceed for flat 142, which is completed at 02.31, and, indeed, at 02.40.11 we have a service request created for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41. Just looking at the timings, do you think that those services messages were being completed and created while services messages were being completed and created while 11. Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? A I would suggest the dry whitchourd was definitely set up 12. Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? A I would suggest the dry whitchourd was definitely set up 13. A I would suggest the dry whitchourd was definitely set up 14. I contro offirme outhed have entered them—whether they've entered them for recording purposes or — 1 m not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 19. 19. 20. Q. Right. 21. To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those 22. 22. instances Per shown you at lasked MS smith about, and she 23. 23. CUT, We verified that bloot, and she 23. 24. A Right. 25. CUT, We verified that by reference to the records of 24. Page 109 Page 109 Page 110 1 those messages are being sent at that time— A I want't aware — 1. A I want't aware — 2. A I want't aware — 2. A I want't aware — 3. A I want't aware — 3. A I would'tw — no. I would be surnising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that was done to the incident ground. 22. 23. 24. A I want't aware — 1. A I would with the so onlife relayed that information a sit to the incident ground and remote to where I am. 25. 26. 27. 28. 28. 29. 29. 20. 29. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	4	02.27 we have service request created for flat 94, which	4	bullet points:
recreated for flat 142, which is completed at 02.31, and, indeed, at 02.40.11 we have a service request created for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41. Just looking at the timings, do you think that those service messages were being completed and created while services on the propose of the command unit? Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? A. I wan't aware — Page 109 "The proposes and updates from calls coming in "Advice that was given by the control staft." **A Adulchid* "Adulchid* "Advice that was given by the control staft." "Advice that was given by the control staft." "Avon, when yous "Information that was recorded one the actual information that was recorded one the staft a reference to that twas was recorded or the system. Description of the fire ground. A. I wan't aware — Page 109 A. So the information went across to the fire ground. C. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in the mester documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69. Leave them up now on a daplicate screen here. The section of the records of the section of the records of the section of the records of the section of the records on the section of the section of the records on the records	5	is completed 4 seconds later by Sharon Darby.	5	"Information recorded was:
se indeed, at 02.40.11 we have a service request created for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41. Jast looking at the timings, do you think that those service messages were being completed and created while Jast and offit was on the pinne to the command unit? A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up at that period of fime; however, these logs on here, they've cutered them for recording purposes or – Tun not sure. So I wouldn't – so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. Q. Q. Right. To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those instances I've shown you lasked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually have accelled that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were CUT. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 To hose messages. A. Right. Q. Daty was in a was every seeing duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Okay. A. I wan't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Okay. Now, then you say "Information recorded was," is that a reference to what was intended by the system; you stablished? A. So the information meat cross to the fire ground. A. So the information meat cross to the fire ground. Q. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in the messages are being sent at that time – Page 110 those messages. A. Right. Q. Daty anals A was a we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it so whiteboards whilst Jasons offit relayed that information to the time, on, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am, Q. Dad you aknow how the command unit would've recorded that information was being recorded in the command unit? Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information was being recorded in the command unit? Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information was being recorded in the command unit? Do you know how the command unit would've reco	6	Then going on, we have at 02.29 a service request	6	"• The flat numbers and the floor they were on
9 for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41. 10 Just looking at the timings, do you think that those 11 service messages were being completed and created while 12 Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? 13 A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up 14 at that period of time; however, these logs on here. 15 I can't confirm control have entered them — whether 16 they've entered them for recording purposes or — I'm 17 not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, 18 all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 19 going to the fire ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those 22 instances I've shown you I asked MS Smith about, and she 23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 26 Q. Py radio? 27 A. I wasn't aware — 28 Page 109 29 Lust to orientate you in terms of your recollection, 29 Q. Oxay. 30 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 31 see that these messages are being sent at that time— 4 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 4 radio. 9 Q. Oxay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all PSG information — as it 12 says, all PSG information — as it 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Olff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit wouldve recorded that 16 that information as be recorded on the 17 A. I would've — no. I would've hear down here also and the minimum to the fire ground. 28 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 29 Q. Bejab. 20 Right. 21 We will be a supplied and sent by 22 radio. 23 Contemporaneous notes, that all PSG information — as it 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 29 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 29 information was being recorded in the commander — whonever 29 was in charge at that part on the incident	7	created for flat 142, which is completed at 02.31, and,	7	"• The amount of people that were inside the flat -
In service messages were being completed and created while service messages are being son here. It is a service of complete service services when whether they've entered them e-whether and they statished? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Do ki is succeed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention of the system. D. Did tisuceed? A. That was the intention	8	indeed, at 02.40.11 we have a service request created	8	Adult/child
service messages were being completed and created while Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up at that period of time; however, these logs on here, I can't confirm control have entered them—whether I can't confirm control have entered them for recording purposes or—I'm not sure. So I wouldn't—so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. Q. Right. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CUT and that, in fact, the messages that have been sent by Sharun Darby were CUT. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 Page 109 1 those messages. 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 1 those messages are being sent at that time— 5 A. I wasn't aware— 6 Q.—by radio? A. I wasn't aware— 6 Q.—by radio? A. I wasn't aware were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information—as it 2 says, all FSG information—was to lere conded on the 3 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 25 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? A. I would've—no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 26 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 17 that 's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 18 plone, and the one commander—how the commander—however 29 you know how t	9	for flat 152 which is completed at 02.41.	9	"• The progress and updates from calls coming in
Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit? A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up at that period of time; however, these logs on here, 15 I can't confirm control have entered them — whether they've entered them for recording purposes or — I'm not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, 18 all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and 19 going to the fire ground. 19 going to the fire ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those 22 instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she 23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 20 I is used. 21 A. So the information went across to the fire ground. 22 A. Right. 22 Q. Day was been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 these messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 that she messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware — 5 A. I wasn't aware being duplicated and sent by 3 a see that these messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware being duplicated and sent by 3 a reference point to know that that information bad gore across to the fire ground. 22 A was been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 a see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 that she right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that she lift was all right. 5 and one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that she lift was all right. 5 and one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that she lift was all right. 5 and one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if the whiteboards whits Jason Oliff roley of that information as it as whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff roley of that informatio	10	Just looking at the timings, do you think that those	10	"• Advice that was given by the control staff."
13 A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up at that period of time however, these logs on here, 15 Leart confirm control have centred them — whether they've entered them for recording purposes or — I'm not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CUS in those instances Pve shown you! Jased Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 23 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 110 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by a radio. 9 Q. O. Gay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 10 the contract the period of the whiteboard? 21 A. I would we — no. I would be surmising, so no. I didn'	11	service messages were being completed and created while	11	Now, when you say "Information recorded was", is
that that period of time; however, these logs on here, 15	12	Jason Oliff was on the phone to the command unit?	12	that reference to the actual information that was
15 I can't confirm control have entered them — whether they've entered them for recording purposes or — I'm not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. 19 going to the fire ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 22 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 23 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 24 A. Right. 25 A. A. Right. 26 A. I wasn't aware — 27 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. 28 Right and I would be surmissing, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that finormation was being recorded in the commandd unit? 28 A. No, because that would we been down to the commander— 29 Q. Right. 20 D. A. Twasn't aware comerced, and the board and done the writing however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason rotaty of the board and other that, and the right hand screen, where you see 13 confirmed to the tright was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so youll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 20 G. Did you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? 21 A. I would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information at the other end? 21 A. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? 22 A. No, because that would've been down to the commander— 23 not the information of the system. 24 Wash to succease. 25 A. Fight. 26 A. Fight. 27 A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board a	13	A. I would suggest the dry whiteboard was definitely set up	13	recorded or is that a reference to what was intended by
they've entered them for recording purposes or — I'm not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. Q. Right. Description on the fire ground in the series of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information went across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground in the board wasn't a reference — it was only a reference — it was only Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground. Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground in the board wasn't a reference — it was only Description of the information hand gone across to the fire ground in the most of the information hand gone across to the fir	14	at that period of time; however, these logs on here,	14	the system you established?
not sure. So I wouldn't — so far as I was concerned, all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. Q. Right. To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 1 those messages. A. Right. Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Oxay. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — as it to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information is not here incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff relayed that information is to the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff ro Jo Smith how they though the information went across to the fire ground. 50 the whiteboards whils Janon related to you in terms of your recollection, we've set them up now on a duplicate screen here. The Page 111 1 those messages. 1 one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 2 Expert and the one on the right was the	15	I can't confirm control have entered them whether	15	A. That was the intention of the system.
all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and going to the fire ground. Q. Right. To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 Page 109 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 see that these messages are being duplicated and sent by radio. 9 Q. Okay. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it surys as white Jason Oliff relayed that information at the other end? 10 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at at the time, no, and I wouldn't bave known because that's not the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff ro Jo Smith how they though the information was being recorded in the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 18 all information possibly didn't go onto the board, but the board and ference—it was only a reference—it was only and reference to the red? 1	16	they've entered them for recording purposes or I'm	16	Q. Did it succeed?
19 going to the fire ground. 19 but the board wasn't a reference—it was only a reference point to know that that information had gone are confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 24 Just to orientate you in terms of your recollection, we've set them up now an duplicate screen here. The Page 109	17	not sure. So I wouldn't so far as I was concerned,	17	A. So the information went across to the fire ground. Some
20 Q. Right. To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 2 O. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in the master documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69. 1 Just to orientate you in terms of your recollection, we've set them up now on a duplicate screen here. The Page 109 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 that's all right. 5 A. I wasn't aware — 5 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 4 that's all right. 5 A. I wasn't aware — 5 feet whiteboard? 7 A. I wasn't aware hey were being duplicated and sent by radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it swhiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. 11 says, all FSG information — as it whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. 12 says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. 12 says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboard white Jason Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it information to the fire ground. 10 Q. Right. And Jo Smith lodd us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the incident ground and remote to where I am. white part is an activated in the other end? 10 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff ro Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — in the incident ground and remote to where I am. 19 However, I do know that the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo	18	all information was coming via Jason, via the board, and	18	of the information possibly didn't go onto the board,
21 To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time - A. I wan't aware - See that these messages are being sent at that time - See Toldon. 4 A. I wan't aware were being duplicated and sent by radio. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. 8 Pook whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information as the other end? 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information it to the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? 10 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff rol o Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 21 Q. Right. 22 Q. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in the master documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69. 24 Lussu to ricentate you in terms of your recollection, we've set them up now on a duplicate series here. The Page 101 1 those messages. 1 one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 2 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? 3 A. I wasn't aware e- occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember	19	going to the fire ground.	19	but the board wasn't a reference it was only
22 instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 26 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of 27 Meyers and 1909 Page 111 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? 4 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — was to be recorded on the 4 whiteboard swhilst Jason Oliff relayed that information in the other end? 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that time may not the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff rol o Smith how they thought the 1 information was being recorded in the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 20 Q. Right. 21 Right. 22 Q. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in the master documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69. 22 Just to orientate you in terms of your recorded that was done second, and the one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if 12 thus a the whiteboard? 13 A. I wasn't aware — 14 So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason re	20	Q. Right.	20	a reference point to know that that information had gone
23 confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact, 24 the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were 25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 Page 111 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time— 5 A. I wasn't aware— 6 Q. — by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information—as it 12 says, all FSG information—was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've—no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander— 22 not the incident commander, but the commander— 23 not the incident commander, but the commander— 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 25 the master documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69. 26 Just to orientate you in terms of your recorlect lith lithin is in fact the one that was done 26 second, and the one on the right was the one that was done 27 fact that's all right. 28 first of all, do you remember who held the pen for 29 that's all right. 4 that's all right. 5 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for 29 that was the one that was done 30 done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if 4 that's all right. 6 the whiteboard? 7 A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the 8 board and done the writing; however, there were 9 occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that 11 information to the fire ground. 12 yellowever, I do know that Jo and other staff did 13 participate and scribe on the board.	21	To be fair to you, the references to CU8 in those	21	across to the fire ground.
the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 Page 111 those messages. A. Right. Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — A. I wasn't aware — Q. Dy radio? A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Okay. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would've — no, I would've have hown to the command unit? A. I would've — no, I would've have hown because that the me, on, and I wouldn't have known because that formation was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident ground. Q. Right. Just to orientate you in terms of your recollection, we've set them up now on a duplicate screen here. The Page 111 one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident ground and	22	instances I've shown you I asked Ms Smith about, and she	22	Q. Can we look at the whiteboards, which you will find in
25 CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of Page 109 Page 111 1 those messages. 2 A. Right. 2 second, and the one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that sall right. 5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. 11 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? 12 A. I would we — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff rol So Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 21 Q. Right. 22 we've set them up now on a duplicate screen here. The Page 111 22 one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 24 The submit a submit a second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 25 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. Did, would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information to the fire ground. 10 Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? 2a I would not remember any	23	confirmed that actually that was CU7 and that, in fact,	23	the master documents bundle at tabs 68 and 69.
those messages. 1 one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 5 A. I wasn't aware — 5 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. 9 Q. Okay. 9 occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information at the other end? 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information as it whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. 12 Says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the to the command unit. 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. 14 was, I sat week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? 15 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident ground and remote to where I am. 10 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jos Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident ground and remote to where I am. 10 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jos Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — 22 put the process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	24	the messages that had been sent by Sharon Darby were	24	Just to orientate you in terms of your recollection,
those messages. A. Right. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time A. I wasn't aware Q by radio? A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Okay. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information as it shy whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. By oyou know how the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I would'n't have known because that would've been down to the commander not the incident ground and femote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff o I Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander not the incident commander, but the commander not the incident commander, but the commander was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Do Right. Do one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. That's all right. First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So, Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other strift, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So, Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and the men that was done from the board by a that's all right. First of all, do you call have to read right to left, if that's all right. First of all, do you call have to read right that's al	25	CU7. We verified that by reference to the records of	25	we've set them up now on a duplicate screen here. The
those messages. A. Right. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see that these messages are being sent at that time — see cond, and the one on the right was the one that was done seecond, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. I wasn't aware — see that white board for the whiteboard? A. I wasn't aware — see that white white board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason vas on the phone, put information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right vas that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right vas done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right vas done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us o				
2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time 5 A. I wasn't aware 6 Q by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information was to be recorded on the 12 says, all FSG information was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander 22 not the incident commander, but the commander 23 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 24 (P. Right. 2 second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 5 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. A. Jo Salast week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? 17 A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the wh		Page 109		Page 111
2 A. Right. 3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time 5 A. I wasn't aware 6 Q by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information as it 12 says, all FSG information was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander 22 not the incident commander, but the commander 23 not the incident commander, but the commander 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 25 Q. Right. 2 second, and the one on the right was the one that was done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 4 that's all right. 5 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? 18 I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 20 How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators	1	those messages.	1	one on the left I think is in fact the one that was done
3 Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to 4 see that these messages are being sent at that time — 5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it 12 says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander — 22 not the incident commander, but the commander — 23 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 3 done first, so you'll have to read right to left, if that's all right. 5 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? 6 A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. 10 Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? 1 A. I would not remember any specific entries because 1 I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 2 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators		-		
see that these messages are being sent at that time — A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q. — by radio? A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it 12 says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander — 22 not the incident commander, but the commander — 23 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 25 Q. Right. 26 that's all right. First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information to the fire ground. 20 Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard		Q. But even having told you that, does it surprise you to		_
5 A. I wasn't aware — 6 Q by radio? 7 A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by 8 radio. 9 Q. Okay. 10 Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your 11 contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it 12 says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the 13 whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information 14 to the command unit. 15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff of Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the commander — 22 not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever 23 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 25 Q. Right. 26 First of all, do you remember who held the pen for the whiteboard? A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	4	see that these messages are being sent at that time	4	
A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by radio. Q. Okay. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I would'n have known because information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. A. Right. A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason was on the phone, put information to the fire ground. Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	5	A. I wasn't aware	5	First of all, do you remember who held the pen for
board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it information to the fire ground. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because information was being recorded in the command unit? D. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason vas on the phone, put information up while Jason vas on the information to the fire ground. R. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	6	Q by radio?	6	the whiteboard?
board and done the writing; however, there were occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the fire ground. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that the incident ground and remote to where I am. Do Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground.	7	A. I wasn't aware they were being duplicated and sent by	7	A. So Jason Oliff would have predominantly scribed on the
Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 10 phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. 12 Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 22 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	8	radio.	8	• • •
Now, the idea was, as we've seen from your contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information — as it says, all FSG information — was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 10 phone, put information up while Jason relayed that information to the fire ground. 12 Q. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 22 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	9	Q. Okay.	9	occasions that other staff, while Jason was on the
contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information as it says, all FSG information was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. O. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander not the incident commander, but the commander was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Information to the fire ground. R. Right. And Jo Smith told us on Thursday, I think it was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	10		10	
says, all FSG information was to be recorded on the whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Do you know how the commander on the incident ground. Do you know how the commander information at the other end? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	11	contemporaneous notes, that all FSG information as it		
whiteboards whilst Jason Oliff relayed that information to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've — no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Was, last week, that on the right-hand screen, where you see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard	12	•		Ü
to the command unit. Do you know how the command unit would've recorded that information at the other end? A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 14 see 193, 22nd floor, 10 people, in green, she put that up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				· ·
15 Do you know how the command unit would've recorded 16 that information at the other end? 17 A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't 18 at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because 19 that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. 20 Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the 21 information was being recorded in the command unit? 22 A. No, because that would've been down to the commander 23 not the incident commander, but the commander whoever 24 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 25 Q. Right. 26 up there. She was very definitive about that. Do you 27 remember that? 28 A. I would not remember any specific entries because 29 I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. 20 However, I do know that Jo and other staff did 21 participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 22 participate. 23 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, 24 in terms of the information coming from the control room 25 operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard		Ţ		
that information at the other end? A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. 16 remember that? A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				
A. I would've no, I would be surmising, so no. I didn't at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. A. I would not remember any specific entries because I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard		•		
at the time, no, and I wouldn't have known because that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander — not the incident commander, but the commander — whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. I wasn't standing next to the board to put them up. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				
that's on the incident ground and remote to where I am. Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. However, I do know that Jo and other staff did participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				• •
Q. Did you ask Jason Oliff or Jo Smith how they thought the information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. 20 participate and scribe on the board. But I would say 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 22 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				• •
information was being recorded in the command unit? A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. 21 95 per cent was Jason Oliff; however, other staff did participate. 22 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard		<u> </u>		
A. No, because that would've been down to the commander not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. 22 participate. 23 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				
not the incident commander, but the commander whoever was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. 23 Q. How much of this process did you actually see going on, in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard		-		
 was in charge at that part on the incident ground. Q. Right. in terms of the information coming from the control room operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard 				• •
25 Q. Right. 25 operators to the whiteboard and then the whiteboard				
				-
T 110				
Page 110 Page 112		Page 110		Page 112

1	being scribed?	1	A. I saw pieces of paper coming across the room. Like
2	A. Predominantly my time was spent in control; however,	2	I say, to set the scene, the room is very dynamic, it's
3	I did go down to the BCC at times to facilitate some of	3	busy at the time, I'm receiving numerous phone calls,
4	the parts in the BCC. And I did spend a lot of my	4	I'm being asked numerous to make decisions by several
5	time I'm not sure you're aware of the layout of the	5	different people in different directions, and so my
6	room standing by the what can we call it? the	6	vision or overview of standing by the board or watching
7	officer of the watch's desk, I think is probably the	7	numerous different staff took pieces of paper across
8	best terminology, the head desk or	8	the room to Jason, but who and how I know Alex did at
9	Q. Right.	9	times, I know Joanne did at times.
10	A where the officer of the watch stands.	10	Q. Right.
11	Q. That was Alex Norman?	11	A. And to be honest, probably right at the beginning,
12	A. Sorry?	12	I probably took a couple of bits over to Jason just
13	Q. That was Alex Norman?	13	purely to get it up and running as a runner.
14	A. Yes, Alex Norman is the officer of the watch.	14	Q. Right.
15	Q. So there's a head desk which has phones on it, doesn't	15	Did you have any designated runners during the
16	it?	16	course of the night?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. There was no staff. Everyone had a role, everyone was
18	Q. I think one of them is a red phone; do you remember	18	constant everyone was on the phone dealing with the
19	that?	19	phones coming in. Like I said, every single person in
20	A. It's a critical phone, yes.	20	that room was fully engaged in a role or a job.
21	Q. Did that ring when you were there?	21	Q. Do you remember whether any control room operators
22	A. I can't loads all phones were ringing, because	22	themselves took their headsets off and ran across the
23	I don't answer the phones or I wouldn't have picked one	23	room with a piece of paper to Jason?
24	up or I wouldn't I wouldn't have known.	24	A. A control operator I saw control operators take them
25	Q. I mean, there is some evidence from Ms Gotts in her	25	across too, but whether they were what role they were
	Page 113		Page 115
1	statement who remembers that red phone rang incessantly?	1	doing at the time and who they were. I couldn't give you
1 2	statement who remembers that red phone rang incessantly?	1 2	doing at the time and who they were, I couldn't give you
2	A. Ms who, sorry?	2	that detail.
2 3	A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts.	2 3	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it
2 3 4	A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts.	2 3 4	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is
2 3 4 5	A. Ms who, sorry?Q. Gotts.A. Gotts.Q. Does the name not mean anything to you?	2 3 4 5	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to
2 3 4 5 6	A. Ms who, sorry?Q. Gotts.A. Gotts.Q. Does the name not mean anything to you?A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that — I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. Q. Right. So did you have a good view from where you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's continuously doing that as he's writing the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. Q. Right. So did you have a good view from where you were standing by the head table of those pieces of paper 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's continuously doing that as he's writing the information up on the board. Or as I said, on occasions, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. Q. Right. So did you have a good view from where you were standing by the head table of those pieces of paper being carried across the room to Jason Oliff by the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's continuously doing that as he's writing the information up on the board. Or as I said, on occasions, when someone came over, they would highlight the piece of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. Q. Right. So did you have a good view from where you were standing by the head table of those pieces of paper 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's continuously doing that as he's writing the information up on the board. Or as I said, on occasions, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Ms who, sorry? Q. Gotts. A. Gotts. Q. Does the name not mean anything to you? A. No. Q. Right. Okay. All right, never mind that. Do you remember the red phone ringing incessantly? A. Like I say, I wasn't standing next to where the red phone was and there were numerous phones and a lot of noise in the room and I wouldn't have picked up on any one phone ringing more than any other. Q. Now, you mentioned earlier about the process by which the information comes to Jason Oliff or perhaps Jo Smith or other staff writing on the whiteboard, and you said it was through these pieces of paper which we looked at right at the beginning of your evidence. A. Yes. Q. Did those pieces of paper come to you at all? A. No. Q. Right. So did you have a good view from where you were standing by the head table of those pieces of paper being carried across the room to Jason Oliff by the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that detail. Q. Now, when the whiteboard system was started, was it started in blank with the first entry, which I think is 82, 11th floor, being written up in response to a specific piece of paper, or was it started in response to a batch of information which was sitting there and recorded as a list? A. Yet again, I couldn't give you that I wasn't standing with Jason directly when he started. So I detailed him to set the board up and left him to perform that role, and Jo and Alex would tell the control room staff that that's the process and what we're doing. Q. Can you just help me maybe again you can't with the order of things. The information comes on a piece of paper A. Yes. Q to Jason Oliff. He then writes it on the whiteboard. A. Yes. Q. He then communicates it by mobile phone? A. So he had a open line, an open phone and he's continuously doing that as he's writing the information up on the board. Or as I said, on occasions, when someone came over, they would highlight the piece of

1	the board for him. That's just purely as a scribe.	1	Mr Chairman, is that a convenient moment?
2	Q. What about repeat calls? What if there was a second or	2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, I think it is. Thank you very
3	third or fourth call to the control room where there had	3	much.
4	been a development of the fire or heat or smoke in the	4	We are going to have another break now, Mr Fenton.
5	flat or a change in the advice? Would that get recorded	5	I will have to ask you again not to talk to anyone about
6	on the whiteboard?	6	your evidence or anything related to it over the break,
7	A. So wouldn't have been made aware of repeat calls, as	7	and we'll resume at 2 o'clock, please.
8	I say, and I'm sorry, sometimes as my role,	8	(1.00 pm)
9	I would've been standing back and overseeing what was	9	(The short adjournment)
10	going on and dealing with the BCC. So I wouldn't have	10	(2.00 pm)
11	known if there were repeat calls and how they would've	11	MR MILLETT: Mr Chairman, we can now have Mr Fenton back.
12	put them on the board, so if someone had if a control	12	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, here he is.
13	operator went over to Jason and said, "This is a repeat	13	MR MILLETT: Here he is.
14	call", if there was an upgrade on that call, then he	14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Fenton, ready to carry
15	would've passed that information over.	15	on?
16	Q. Okay.	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	For example, can I just put one example to you. We	17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good.
18	can go to this, MET00017390, page 12, we have a message	18	MR MILLETT: Mr Fenton, can I take you to your witness
19	which says "Flat 204 23rd flr one person". Just note	19	statement again and go back to page 6. I want to just
20	the expression "one person".	20	take you to the middle of page 6, which sits in a part
21	Then when you go back to the whiteboard, the	21	of your statement after the first part of page 6 which
22	left-hand whiteboard, it says 204 four up from the	22	deals with your brief to Jason Oliff.
23	bottom	23	You can see in the first part of that page that you
24	A. Sorry, which board are you looking at?	24	cover your brief to him, whiteboards, et cetera, and
25	Q. Left-hand whiteboard.	25	then you describe the procedure.
	Page 117		Page 119
	0		0
1	A. Yes.	1	Then you come in the middle of that page to say
1 2	A. Yes.Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled	1 2	Then you come in the middle of that page to say this:
	Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has		this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not
2	Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male".	2 3 4	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the
2 3	Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or	2 3 4 5	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower."
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that	2 3 4 5 6	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example?	2 3 4 5 6 7	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. A. I mean, it says one disabled male and the previous said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right. Now, you then say in the next sentence:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. A. I mean, it says one disabled male and the previous said one adult male, so I would take the assumption that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right. Now, you then say in the next sentence: "There is a SMALL television in the control room.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. A. I mean, it says one disabled male and the previous said one adult male, so I would take the assumption that that's been changed and updated. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right. Now, you then say in the next sentence:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. A. I mean, it says one disabled male and the previous said one adult male, so I would take the assumption that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right. Now, you then say in the next sentence: "There is a SMALL television in the control room. Joanne Smith said she didn't want it on due to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Four up from the bottom, "204 23 flr 1 disabled male". So it looks as if the message, "one person", has evolved into something more detailed, "1 disabled male". Can you help with how those messages evolved, or that message might have evolved in that way, using that as an example? A. So as I said earlier, and that's making an assumption that a control operator has now come or a piece of paper's come back over to Jason on the board, and the information has now been updated from the previous time, and so he's updated the board and would have passed that information over to the command unit. Q. Right. This is a question I suppose I should've asked him, but do you remember whether he deleted information or rubbed it off the board and replaced it with fresh information as it came in to him? A. I couldn't answer what he actually done. Q. Do you remember whether that happened? A. No, I don't. Q. Okay. A. I mean, it says one disabled male and the previous said one adult male, so I would take the assumption that that's been changed and updated. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this: "I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not sure which) that crews were struggling to get beyond the 15th floor of the tower." Now, can I just ask you when you think you were told that by control or Jason Oliff? A. Quite early in the time in the morning that I was there, so quite shortly after my arrival. Q. Quite shortly after your arrival, okay. A. Yes. Sorry, I probably didn't phrase that the easiest way. Q. That's okay. I just want to get a time frame for that. Are you sure that it was the 15th floor? A. Yes, I'm pretty comfortable it was the 15th floor. Q. Right. Joanne Smith says she thinks it might have been the 18th floor but accepts that it could've been the 15th floor. Do you have a recollection? A. I mean, from memory, I would say it's the 15th floor, but I could be wrong. Q. Right. Now, you then say in the next sentence: "There is a SMALL television in the control room. Joanne Smith said she didn't want it on due to the

		1	
1	distracting her staff. I went with that decision.	1	that what Joanne Smith told you was her view?
2	There was no necessity for the Control Room Officers to	2	A. No, that was the view of what Jo was saying for the
3	see what was going on. At the same time, I knew there	3	control officers.
4	would be a television downstairs in the BCC for me to	4	Q. Right.
5	get a visual picture as the Deputy Assistant	5	A. So that's obviously their protocol.
6	Commissioner."	6	Q. Now, can I go back to this question of the whiteboard
7	Now, what would the television in the control room	7	and what was logged and what wasn't logged which we
8	usually be used for?	8	looked at shortly before the lunch break.
9	A. I've been to control at Merton, and there's two,	9	In your statement on page 6, just go back above the
10	I think, two large screens, top of my memory and that	10	paragraph which we looked at a minute ago. You say
11	is from memory and they would just be on in the	11	a quarter of the way down:
12	background. So one I think one of the TV screens	12	"I told him [that's Jason Oliff] to sit by the
13		13	boards and we would bring the information to him. He
14	normally has an update, says that strategic resource has	14	would then write the information on the boards and tell
15	been released or, you know, information of what's	15	the Command Unit at the same time."
16	happening with RMC and the day-to-day running and resources. And sometimes I've been down there and the	16	
17	other television's been on and it's had news in the	17	Then you say: "The information included flat numbers, floors
18	background and other times it hasn't been on at all.	18	numbers, amount of people inside the flat including
19	Q. Would the television being on be useful to control room	19	numbers of adults/children, and any progress that came
20	officers and control room supervising officers and	20	from calls coming in."
21	managers in the carrying out of their functions?	21	Just taking that list in order, was it important for
22	A. As in what aspect?	22	the information being recorded on the whiteboard to
23	Q. Well, would seeing what is on the television, on the	23	record flat numbers, particularly?
24	news feed part of the television, be useful to assist	24	A. So the information — the priority, the most important
25	control room officers or supervisors to carry out their	25	part, is that the right information goes to the incident
23	control room officers of supervisors to earry out their	23	part, is that the right information goes to the incluent
	Page 121		Page 123
1	jobs?	1	ground. But, yes, it is beneficial to ensure that the
2	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly	2	information on the whiteboard is correct.
2 3	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that	2 3	information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would
2 3 4	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't	2 3 4	information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know?
2 3 4 5	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have	2 3 4 5	information on the whiteboard is correct.Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what	2 3 4 5 6	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs	2 3 4 5 6 7	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation. Q. Okay. When you say in your statement:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation. Q. Okay. When you say in your statement: "There was no necessity for the Control Room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information. Q. Right. Going to a policy you said you were familiar with,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation. Q. Okay. When you say in your statement: "There was no necessity for the Control Room Officers to see what was going on."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information. Q. Right. Going to a policy you said you were familiar with, if I can just show you policy 790 again, which is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation. Q. Okay. When you say in your statement: "There was no necessity for the Control Room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information. Q. Right. Going to a policy you said you were familiar with,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. So it wouldn't be for me to say, not knowing exactly their jobs and their roles; however, I had that conversation with Joanne. Joanne said that it wasn't necessary. She didn't want the control staff to have the television on and further distress them with what they were doing. That's her environment and she runs the control, so I went with her decision. Q. Okay. A. Now, myself being an operational officer, I'm not used to being remote from an incident and personally I wanted to give myself a pictorial view, and that's why I wanted to have a look at the television downstairs. Q. Right. Did Jo Smith tell you that the TV was broken? A. No, no. Q. All right. Did you get the impression that it wasn't broken but that she just decided that it shouldn't be on? A. There was no question whether the TV was broken or not broken. No one — that was not raised or mentioned in any conversation. Q. Okay. When you say in your statement: "There was no necessity for the Control Room Officers to see what was going on."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 information on the whiteboard is correct. Q. And also the amount of people inside the flat, would that be important for the incident ground to know? A. Yes. Q. Yes. Would that reflect the fire survival guidance information-gathering that you would expect a control operator to gather from the caller? A. It is my perception that, yes, that is part of the information-gathering that a control operator will do, yes. Q. You did tell us earlier that you're not familiar with policy 539, so I won't put it to you, but would you know from your training or experience that relevant information to be passed to the incident ground should include should include number of persons involved, condition of the location, so smoke, heat, fire, et cetera, and proximity to the fire, location of caller within the premises and the latest FSG advice, things like that? A. Yes, that is relevant information. Q. Right. Going to a policy you said you were familiar with, if I can just show you policy 790 again, which is in

		1	1
1	that. We looked at it earlier, Mr Fenton. I just want	1	bundle there they are when you looked at the
2	to go back to this, and this time look at paragraph 5.4	2	information as it was going down onto the whiteboard,
3	and 5.5 on page 3.	3	were you satisfied that the control room operators had
4	Now 5.4 says:	4	gathered all that information required by policy and
5	"Control will contact the Initial Command Pump (ICP)	5	passed it to Jason Oliff?
6	and pass the information below by appliance radio for	6	A. So I was satisfied that the information was going
7	each separate FSG call. When passing this information,	7	across. However, like I stated previously, it's not my
8	control will reference the information using the	8	role to get involved with the control operators or to
9	relevant flat/house number."	9	speak to the control operators. So this was
10	Now, never mind by radio for the moment, we've	10	an additional system that we put in place to cover on
11	covered that. Just looking at the list of information	11	the night. So as far as I was aware on the night, the
12	in 5.5 that must be passed to the ICP by control, it	12	right information was going across by Jason Oliff.
13	says this:	13	Q. Now, just taking it in stages
14	"Control will attempt tempt to gather all the	14	A. Yes.
15	information on the Control Information Form (see	15	Q what steps did you take to ensure that the control
16	Appendix 2) and relay this information to the incident	16	room operators gathered all those items of information
17	as and when it becomes available."	17	that policy requires: number of flat, persons involved,
18	Then you see a list:	18	et cetera?
19	"• number of flat/house;	19	A. I didn't make those steps because, as I said, it's not
20	"• number of persons involved;	20	my role to I wouldn't have checked with the control
21	"• location of caller within premises and access	21	operators to check what information they're gathering.
22	point;	22	So, normally, I wouldn't be working in control.
23	"• condition of their location e.g. heavy smoke,	23	This was over and above and outside my normal role. So
24	slight smoke;	24	I was there assisting and it wouldn't be my role to
25	"• proximity to fire if known;	25	normally go round the control operators.
	Page 125	-	Page 127
1	"• latest advice given by control;	1	Q. Whose role would it be?
2	"• time of FSG call	2	A. It would be down to Joanne Smith in charge of control.
3	"• time updated."	3	Q. Next question, then: did you get a chance during your
4	On the night, were you aware, was it in your mind,	4	observations to check to see what the information was
5	that control had to gather that information from the	5	being recorded by control room operators on the pieces
6	callers if they could?	6	of paper that you saw being carried to the whiteboards?
7	A. Yes, that is the information that's in the policy and	7	A. So, no, because, like if I can set the scene again
8	that's the information you'd expect control to gather.	8	and wind you back to the position that I was in at that
9	Q. And would you expect them to use the control information	9	time and on the night, there was a multitude of phone
10	form at appendix 2 we can look at the appendix in	10	calls, people requesting information from myself, asking
11	doing that?	11	me for updates on resources, the BCC, all different
12	A. I don't know the protocol which control would normally	12	parts of information.
13	utilise or do. So, unfortunately, no, I can't answer	13	I tasked the job to be done by a station manager and
14	that.	14	that's the task I expected to be performed, so
15	Q. When you tasked Jason Oliff with the whiteboard job, if	15	Q. Did you sorry.
16	I can use a shorthand, did you remind him of the need to	16	A. No, fine.
17	ensure that all those items of information listed in	17	Q. So sorry.
18	paragraph 5.5 were gathered by the control room	18	Did you oversee the way in which he was carrying out
19	operators and recorded on the whiteboard?	19	that task?
20	A. So I gave Jason Oliff, as we said, a brief of what was	20	A. At times I would've come over and confirmed and say, "Is
21	needed. I can't recollect word-for-word exactly what	21	the information going across? Is the right information
22	the brief was and what I told him, but I would say that	22	going across?" That's as far as that probably went at
23	it was along that lines, yes.	23	that time because, as I said, it was very dynamic and
24	Q. Right. If we can just now have the two whiteboards up	24	everyone was completely full on with a task, with
25	on the screen, so tabs 68 and 69 of the documents	25	numerous calls and information coming in non-stop.
	P		T
	Page 126		Page 128
_			

1	Q. Looking at these whiteboards let's just take the very	1	A. As I mentioned previously, I gave Jason Oliff the task
2	first entry we see on the very first of the whiteboards,	2	of passing the fire survival guidance information to the
3	82, 11th floor.	3	fire ground and recording the information on the board
4	Now, I tried with Jason Oliff yesterday to read	4	that control was passing over to him.
5	this. It looks like "Bed front door" or "Bad front	5	Q. Right.
6	door", I'm not sure; can you help with that?	6	Let me work this through by means of an example, and
7	A. No.	7	it is just an example, if I can.
8	Q. "2 adults, 1 child outside [something]."	8	Flat 194. If you take the clutch of documents that
9	If that was the totality of the information that	9	you produce we looked at this morning the bits of
10	Jason Oliff was given, did that reflect control's	10	paper you gathered up at the end of the night and you
11	attempt to gather all the information that policy	11	put in the envelope and you then gave to the police,
12	requires?	12	I just want to show you two of those.
13	A. So I would say that the information on the board is not	13	MET00017390, page 10, and that says next to 194,
14	necessarily the information that was passed over on the	14	"22nd heavy s", which must be smoke. Okay?
15	mobile phone. So I spoke to Jason and he confirmed that	15	Then there's another document like this referring to
16	everything all the information that needed to go	16	flat 194, at page 19, if we can just go to that. Same
17	across was going across via phone. Whether the boards	17	Relativity document, page 19:
18	have changed through the night, so whether some	18	"Flat 194 - 22nd floor. No reply from friend."
19	information has been updated, changed or removed through	19	Now, in fact, there were three calls made in respect
20	the course of the incident, I really couldn't give you	20	of that flat to control.
21	that information.	21	Then when you look at the whiteboard for that flat
22	Q. Right.	22	on the left-hand whiteboard, 194, you get:
23	It's clear from this that, although we get the floor	23	"22nd flr Heavy smoke. 1 adult."
24	and the flat, we don't get location of caller within	24	It looks as if well, it's hard to tell where one
25	premises and access point, which is one of the items of	25	adult comes from because we haven't seen the reference
	Page 129		Dago 121
	rage 129		Page 131
1	information to be gathered and sent to the incident.	1	to one adult in the pieces of paper.
2	That's right, isn't it? Just looking at the	2	The first question I suppose I have to ask you
3	whiteboard, you don't get that.	3	is: do you think the pieces of paper were complete when
4	A. If you were to take what's written on the board, yes.	4	you swept them up and put them in the envelope?
5	Q. Nor do we get proximity to fire, if known.	5	A. No, I would certainly say that I didn't gather that
6	A. If that's the information that control would normally	6	was just the pieces of paper that I managed to locate by
7	gather.	7	the board, so I couldn't confirm that that was all the
8	Q. Right. Nor indeed the latest advice given by control or	8	pieces. Definitely not.
9	the time of the FSG call or the update?	9	Q. So can you help us with where Jason Oliff got the one
10	A. Sorry, could you repeat that?	10	adult from?
11	Q. Nor the latest advice given by control. You don't get	11	A. No, I can't I can't.
12	that.	12	Q. Okay.
13	A. I still sorry.	13	On the piece of paper on the right of the screen you
14	Q. So we don't see from the whiteboard either that we get	14	see: "No reply from friend". That looks like some new
15	the latest advice given to the caller by control?	15	information. It doesn't look like it's recorded on the
16	A. Yes. Like I say, I can't tell you why or what advice or	16	whiteboard against that flat.
17	what was written on the board. The information came	17	A. So
18	across on the pieces of paper and then the board was	18	Q. Can you help with that about why that might be?
19	used as a recording process to say what information had	19	A. I mean, I wasn't privy to seeing all these pieces of
20	gone or that the flat had actually been sent across.	20	paper. I wasn't standing by the board and putting the
21	Q. What instruction did you give to Jason Oliff to record	21	information on the board. And so the board was
22	the detail of any given call, such as fire coming	22	continuously updated, information was coming across, and
23	through the window, thickness of smoke, occupants unable	23	as I said, I had other roles and other jobs that I was
24	to breathe? What general instruction did you give him	24	dealing with at the time. Jason Oliff being sort of
25	to record that?	25	allocated that role, he's a station manager, he's
	D 420		D 422
	Page 130		Page 132
			22 (D 120 t- 122)

1	a competent station manager, and I would've expected him	1	came from the fire ground, apart from where I mention i
2	to perform that role.	2	the 15th floor.
3	Q. If that was the totality of the information about	3	Q. Yes.
4	flat 194 and it is only an example by Jason Oliff,	4	A. That crews were struggling to get past the 15th floor.
5	based on the two pieces of paper we've seen, is it the	5	Q. That you say came from control or Jason Oliff.
6	case that control was not gathering all of the	6	A. Yes, via the incident ground.
7	information and relaying it through Jason Oliff to the	7	Q. Right. Do you know who it was on the incident ground
8	command unit?	8	who sent that information into the control room?
9	A. I can't answer that question for you, can I?	9	A. It would've come I take the assumption from the
10	Q. Do you think that the gathering of information let me	10	command unit, so all information or all correspondence
11	ask it this way: what instruction did you give to	11	will go via command unit to control.
12	Jason Oliff to ensure that all the information in	12	9
			Q. Did you take steps to ask Jason Oliff, or anybody else
13	accordance with policy should be gathered and recorded?	13	in the control room, to press the incident ground for
14	A. So as I mentioned previously, I gave Jason a brief,	14	what was happening operationally at the incident ground?
15	asked him to gather the information that control passed	15	A. Not at the time. So I would have I was waiting or
16	across to put onto the dry whiteboard and to make sure	16	an informative message is the way that we give updates
17	all the information went across to the fire ground	17	on the incident ground, so I would have expected
18	directly.	18	an informative message to come back from the incident
19	Now, the board could've changed, the information	19	ground to give us an update.
20	could've changed through the night, there could've been	20	Q. Would an informative message system be useful or
21	additional pieces of paper. I was in multiple locations	21	appropriate given the volume of fire survival guidance
22	with multiple roles and multiple decisions to make, and	22	calls and the number of people in the building needing
23	I did not stand by the board for the duration of that	23	assistance?
24	night	24	A. So an informative on the night, I would suggest that
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Can I ask you this: when you gave	25	everyone was fully engaged in operations, and to have
	Page 133		Page 135
	0		0
1	the instructions to Mr Oliff	1	time to formulate or get that message back and I am
2	A. Yes.	2	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it
	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: - did you tell him to check that	2 3	
2		2 3 4	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it
2	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: - did you tell him to check that	2 3	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in
2 3 4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators	2 3 4	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back.
2 3 4 5	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy	2 3 4 5	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of
2 3 4 5 6	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included?	2 3 4 5 6	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of	2 3 4 5 6 7	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground — it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground — it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information coming or going to the incident ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground — it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR." Now, as a summary of everything, someone like you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information coming or going to the incident ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground — it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR." Now, as a summary of everything, someone like you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information coming or going to the incident ground. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground — it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR." Now, as a summary of everything, someone like you would be able to interpret that, how useful would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: — did you tell him to check that the information he got from the control room operators covered all the heads of information that the policy suggests they should have included? A. So the control operators weren't bringing the pieces of paper — SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: No, my question is: did you give him instructions to check up on the control operators — A. No. No, I didn't. I wouldn't have asked Jason to double check with control because my expectation is that the right information would have been coming across, otherwise that would have caused a further delay with another double-checking the information — so you would have the information going one way and then you'll be checking back going the other way before you're relaying the information across. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, thank you. MR MILLETT: Now, this is the system to record information coming or going to the incident ground. A. Yes. Q. Do you know what, if any, information was coming from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	surmising because I wasn't on the incident ground it took time before the incident commander was in a position to send that back. Q. We have in the short incident log an example of an informative message. I'll just show it to you? A. Yes. Q. It's tab 23, page 23, of the short incident log. This is, I think, the third informative message we have on the night, the second one being about Group Manager Welch. But at 02.42.03, "Informative", and there you can see it, it's a list of I suppose events or facts. "SUP CUB FROM DAC OLOUGHLIN A RESIDENTIAL BLOCK OF FLATS 27 FLOORS 25M X 25M FIRE ON ALL FLOORS FROM 2ND TO 27TH FLOOR LARGE NUMBER OF PERSONS INVOLVED FSG CALL BEING DEALT WITH MAJOR INCIDENT DECLARED HIGH RISE PROCEDURE IMPLEMENTED TL ALP EDBA MAIN CONTROL FSG GROUND MONITOR 5 JETS SAFETY CORDEN[sic] IN PLACE TACTICAL MODE OSCAR." Now, as a summary of everything, someone like you would be able to interpret that, how useful would an informative message like that as a system of

1	respect of each fire survival guidance call?	1	of communication and saying, "Get me the incident
2	A. For the number of calls that we had on the night,	2	commander, I want to know what's happening with the
3	I think that would've been I don't think that that	3	crews"?
4	would have been feasible for them. The fire survival	4	A. So it wouldn't be appropriate for me in my role to
5	guidance calls were coming in so thick and fast that	5	contact the incident commander on the incident ground.
6	I don't think there would be an opportunity for them to	6	So there is a commander chain, and how the command chain
7	give us an update. And like I say, I wasn't on the	7	works: the incident deals with the incident; my role,
8	incident ground, so I am only making surmising what	8	where I am remote in the BCC and in control, it's not
9	position they would've been in on the incident ground.	9	for me to contact the incident commander direct.
10	Q. Putting yourself in the shoes and using your experience	10	An incident commander has many spans of control,
11	of being an operational officer on the incident ground,	11	loads of information coming in. If remote officers
12	did you have any thoughts on the night as to what sort	12	start phoning the incident commander, that's going to
13	of information you as an incident commander would have	13	detract him from what he's trying to deal with on the
14	wanted control to know about fire survival guidance in	14	incident ground.
15	progress?	15	And I wouldn't use the Airwave radio to contact the
16	A. To be honest, at the time and on the night, that wasn't	16	incident commander because that would block the Airwave
17	a thought we was so busy just dealing with the	17	for further traffic going on.
18	incoming calls and the number of calls coming in that	18	So control have, I believe, an alert that comes up
19	I just don't think anyone had time to even step back and	19	on their system, which would then tell them to ask the
20	think of anything else apart from dealing with what was	20	incident ground for an informative or an update.
21	coming in to us. The amount of information and the	21	Q. Did you not ask yourself how it was that control room
22	amount of calls coming in to control was just	22	operators could accurately advise callers without
23	relentless.	23	actually knowing what was going on on the incident
24	Q. Certainly.	24	ground at the time?
25	My question really is this: you have operational	25	A. So at the time, we were dealing with the information
			, G
	Page 137		Page 139
1	experience, and you told us a number of times not really	1	that had come in and like I say it wasn't for me to
1	experience, and you told us a number of times not really	1	that had come in and, like I say, it wasn't for me to
2	control room experience, but from an operational point	2	start prompting the incident ground for an update on
2 3	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you	2 3	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were,
2 3 4	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's	2 3 4	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in,
2 3 4 5	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in	2 3 4 5	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that.
2 3 4 5 6	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"?	2 3 4 5 6	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for?
2 3 4 5 6 7	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was	2 3 4 5 6 7	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was trying to gather a visual picture myself to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do that. MR MILLETT: Instead of waiting to receive informative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was trying to gather a visual picture myself to get an understanding of what was happening on the incident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do that. MR MILLETT: Instead of waiting to receive informative messages such as that, what was to stop you thinking in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was trying to gather a visual picture myself to get an understanding of what was happening on the incident ground.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do that. MR MILLETT: Instead of waiting to receive informative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was trying to gather a visual picture myself to get an understanding of what was happening on the incident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	control room experience, but from an operational point of view, being in the control room, did it occur to you at any time to think to yourself, "I need to know what's going on on the ground so that I can tell the staff in the control room to tell the callers what's happening"? A. So, yes, I was after a visual picture of what was happening on the incident ground, so that's why I went down to look at the monitor screen in the BCC to get that visual overview of what was happening on the incident ground. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's not really your question, is it, Mr Millett? You are really asking Mr Fenton to imagine that you are the incident commander, imagine you were on the ground, what information you would like the control room to have to help them do their job properly. A. So in an ideal world, I would've, as an incident commander yes, I would've liked control to have an update on where all the fire survival guidance calls were. I can't say how the incident ground or where the incident ground was to be able to do that or not do that. MR MILLETT: Instead of waiting to receive informative messages such as that, what was to stop you thinking in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	start prompting the incident ground for an update on where we were on the incident. At the time, we were, like I said, just inundated with information coming in, so we were dealing with that. Q. You say it wasn't for you; who was it for? A. That would be for the monitoring officer or via control. Q. Who was the monitoring officer? Do you mean the monitoring officer on the incident ground? A. On the fire ground, so to ensure that the right information is coming back. Q. So was your way of thinking to leave it to them to volunteer the information to you? A. No, because it wasn't something that crossed my mind at the time, as I said, because we were so busy dealing with what we were dealing with at the time. So it's not a consideration that crossed my mind at the time. Q. You were very busy sending information to the fire ground; did you not want to know what was happening to it? A. Yes, and that is — I went down and, like I said, I was trying to gather a visual picture myself to get an understanding of what was happening on the incident ground.

1 television downstairs in the control room. 1 flat on the same floor or on a different floor. Suppose 2 2 that had happened. Was there a system in place by which 3 3 Q. But that would have given you a visual picture of, what, the control room could be updated as to the physical 4 the outside of the building? 4 location of that person? 5 5 A. So yet again you're asking me a question -- I wasn't 6 Q. What would it have told you about the smoke, fire and 6 involved in that process. So, yes, if the fire ground 7 7 heat conditions within the building? came back or the control got told that there was 8 8 A. No, it wouldn't have given me that information. a change of the advice -- change of the location, 9 9 Q. That information could only, couldn't it, have come from I would've expected that to go from the control operator 10 the incident ground? 10 to Jason Oliff to put up on the board and relay that 11 11 A. So, yeah, I didn't ask the incident ground and we didn't information back to the fire ground. 12 12 ask the incident ground for that information. Q. You would've expected that, but did you give Jason Oliff 13 13 instructions to tell the incident ground that that is Q. Really the question I think I have is: why, given that 14 you were interested to know what the building looked 14 what they should be telling him? 15 like from the outside, weren't you interested to pursue 15 A. So I wouldn't expect to tell Jason Oliff. He's 16 detailed information from the incident ground as to what 16 a qualified station manager and I'd expect him to 17 was happening on the inside? 17 perform that role. So he would've gone to the -- he 18 18 A. As I said, at the time, I can only say that we were would've given that information across. 19 tasked-orientated with what was happening and that 19 20 20 wasn't something that crossed my mind at that time. Was there a system -- I'm talking generically -- in 21 Q. Having been told that the crews couldn't access the 21 the control room with the whiteboard 22 22 building above the 15th floor, did you seek to find out information-recording to record movements of individual 23 23 why that was? occupants from one place in the building to another? 24 A. So we were told that crews couldn't get above the 15th 24 A. So as I said, if the information came to Jason, and it 25 floor, and that is the actual time and period that me 25 was changed, I would expect Jason to have updated or Page 141 Page 143 1 and Jo had that discussion and we discussed changing the 1 changed the board and relayed that information back to 2 2 the incident ground. 3 3 Q. Yes. My question was: did you seek to find out why Q. Right. 4 crews couldn't access the building above the 15th floor? 4 Can I then ask you to look at page 7 of your 5 5 A. So I took the assumption they couldn't access any statement. A third of the way down, you say: 6 further because of the fire itself. 6 "Whilst I was in the BCC when Steve was setting it 7 Q. You assumed that, but did you not take steps actually to 7 up, I saw that the television was switched on. It was 8 ask the question of those who were present on the 8 the first time that I had a visual picture of the tower 9 incident ground? q from Sky News. One side of the tower was fully engulfed 10 A. No. 10 in fire, with flames coming outside the windows. It had 11 Q. Why is that? 11 escalated from a flat fire to a building fire very 12 A. It didn't come across -- it didn't come to me to ask 12 quickly." 13 that question at the time. 13 The television was switched on; was it on when you 14 14 Q. Assuming that it was the fire, as you call it, would 15 that mean -- just thinking about it -- fire in the 15 A. No, it wasn't on when I arrived, but when I came down to stairwell itself, in the means of escape? 16 the BCC to check on Steve to see how he was getting on 16 17 A. So we were told that they couldn't get through above the 17 setting up, that's when he had Sky News on. 18 15th floor because of the heat and smoke. That's as far 18 Q. Did he put it on, do you think? 19 as the information I had come back from the incident 19 A. I take the assumption he put it on. I don't know who 20 ground at the time. 20 actually turned it on down there. 21 Q. You didn't seek to interrogate it? 21 22 22 A. I didn't pursue it, no. Now, just to get a time point on that, Steve -- this Q. Can I just ask you a specific question. 23 23 is Steve Leader --24 Supposing a resident had called from a particular 24 A. Yes. 25 flat but then had been moved by fire crews into another 25 Q. -- I'm assuming Steve Leader, he I think arrived at Page 142 Page 144

		1	
1	control do you know when he arrived?	1	information that Jo was giving me with her side of the
2	A. I've put a time in my statement. I couldn't be specific	2	amount of fire survival guidance coming in, and she also
3	where that time came from, so	3	mentioned the length of call.
4	Q. Yes. No need to go back to it, but at the bottom of	4	Q. Right.
5	page 6, you say:	5	Now, you go on in your statement:
6	"At 0230 hours Steve LEADER arrived at	6	"At that time there had not been an informative
7	Stratford"	7	message from the incident ground."
8	So I'm assuming that that's right.	8	Just going back to the short incident log, we can
9	Did you look at the television only after he	9	see the informative we looked at it a minute ago on
10	arrived, ie only after 02.30?	10	page 23 coming in at 02.42.03.
11	A. It would've been after it would've been when Steve	11	So can we pinpoint this discussion with Jo Smith you
12	was down there, yes.	12	had about stay put at between 02.30, which is when
13	Q. Right. Okay.	13	Steve Leader arrives, you say in your statement, and
14	So we can pinpoint reasonably well the time at which	14	02.42?
15	you saw what you saw on the television?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Pretty much.	16	Q. That 12-minute period?
17	Q. Yes.	17	A. I would suggest so, yes.
18	Now, you say it was fully engulfed in fire and had	18	Q. That's very helpful.
19	escalated from a flat fire to a building fire very	19	So you then go on to say:
20	quickly.	20	"Joanne is experienced in FSG calls and has
21	When you saw the television, is that the first time	21	experience from the Lakanal fire, so I asked for her
22	you were aware of those things?	22	advice and views."
23	A. I was very surprised to see the external envelope of the	23	That's how it was, was it, you said to her
24	building, the amount that was alight. I've never in my	24	A. Joanne's a very experienced control operator, has worked
25	career seen a fire travel or move that fast around the	25	in control a long time. I know she had involvement in
	Page 145		Page 147
1	external envelope of a building.	1	the Lakanal fire and had involvement with fire survival
2	Q. You say very surprised; do you mean you were surprised	2	guidance calls at that time. With it being her
3	at the do you mean that this was, as it were,	3	environment, I was after her experience and her
4	a career first, experience first, or were you surprised	4	understanding, coupled with the information that I was
5	that you'd not been told before that of the	5	also bringing.
6	conditions	6	Q. Okay.
7	A. No, it was a career first for me to see at this stage	7	You go on to say:
8	I probably I think I have only been probably there	8	"Following a discussion around what I saw on the TV,
9	5 minutes or just recently arrived.	9	the information on the crews unable to get above the
10	Q. You then go on to say in the next paragraph:	10	15th and the large number of FSG calls being taken by
11	"I went back up to the control room and told Joanne	11	control, we both agreed that the advice needed to be
12	Smith what I had seen on the television, with it showing	12	changed. It was at that point the advice changed."
		12	changed. It was at that point the daylee changed.
13	the tower fully engulfed in fire. We also had the	13	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients,
13 14	the tower fully engulfed in fire. We also had the information that the crews were unable to go above the	1	
		13	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients,
14	information that the crews were unable to go above the	13 14	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on
14 15	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor."	13 14 15	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large
14 15 16	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in	13 14 15 16	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as
14 15 16 17	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the	13 14 15 16 17	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order.
14 15 16 17 18	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice.	13 14 15 16 17 18	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of
14 15 16 17 18 19	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to change the advice?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance calls.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to change the advice? A. It was a combination. So it was that crews couldn't get	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance calls. Q. So you already knew that.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to change the advice? A. It was a combination. So it was that crews couldn't get past the 15th floor; it was my pictorial view and	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance calls. Q. So you already knew that. You told us earlier that the information on the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to change the advice? A. It was a combination. So it was that crews couldn't get past the 15th floor; it was my pictorial view and understanding of what was actually happening on the incident ground, being remote; and also with the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance calls. Q. So you already knew that. You told us earlier that the information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th floor was something that you learnt pretty soon after arrival, if
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	information that the crews were unable to go above the 15th floor." You then go on to say and we'll come to it in some more detail in a minute that you changed the stay-put advice. Is it what you saw on the television that effectively operated on your mind to agree with her to change the advice? A. It was a combination. So it was that crews couldn't get past the 15th floor; it was my pictorial view and understanding of what was actually happening on the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Now, just in terms of those three ingredients, discussion around what you saw on the TV, information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th and large number of FSG calls, let's just take each of those, as it were, in reverse order. Is it right that you knew about the large number of FSG calls when you arrived? A. So I knew, yes, that there was fire survival guidance calls. Q. So you already knew that. You told us earlier that the information on the crews being unable to get above the 15th floor was

1	not on arrival; is that right?	1	"It was at that point the advice changed. On making
2	A. Yes, it's pretty close to my arrival.	2	the decision, Joanne went around the control room and
3	Q. Pretty close to arrival.	3	told all the Control Room Officers of the change in
4	So would it follow, then, that it was what you saw	4	advice, and to tell people to get out of the tower."
5	on the TV that tipped you over the edge, as it were, to	5	First of all, is that sentence describing the change
6	go to Jo Smith and say, "What is your advice on stay	6	in advice?
7	put?"	7	A. No, that's probably how I've written that. What advice
8	A. So it was the catalogue of all of these informations	8	Jo told her control operators I don't know the exact
9	coming together, and the final part was the pictorial	9	advice what she's told them. So she went round and
10	view of the incident, and that's when I went straight	10	dealt with and I think Alex Norman was with us or
11	upstairs, back from the BCC, to speak to Jo in control	11	involved at that time as well, so I think between Jo and
12	and that's where we had that discussion.	12	Alex the control operators are their staff, so they
13	Q. My question really is: was seeing what you saw on the TV	13	would've gone round the room and spoken to their staff.
14	the influencing factor that led you to go and seek her	14	Q. Right.
15	advice?	15	Do you remember calling the incident ground about
16	A. For me, as an operational officer, that pictorial view,	16	whether or not to change the advice?
17	yes.	17	A. So I know on the night I made absolute numerous phone
18	Q. So without seeing the television view of the tower on	18	calls, coming in and going out, and I do have a memory
19	fire, would you have gone back to Jo Smith and said,	19	of calling the fire ground and asking the fire ground
20	"I think we need to change the advice" or "What's your	20	how they felt about changing the advice, and they
21	advice about the stay-put advice?"	21	agreed. And when I say "they", unfortunately I honestly
22	A. I don't know. I can't answer that question. I mean,	22	can't remember who I called and who I spoke to, but
23	that's the events that happened on the night.	23	I would suggest it would have been CU8, which is the
24	Q. Really I am asking you the same question a different	24	command unit on that night.
25	way: is seeing what you saw on the television something	25	Q. CU8, not CU7?
	Page 149		Page 151
	1 age 149		1 age 131
1	that made all the difference?	1	A. No. So CU7 would've been dealing with the FSGs. That
2	A. Yes, for me, to have that pictorial view, yes.	2	information was still relayed to CU7; however, to start
3	Q. Now, Joanne Smith gave evidence and she says that it was	3	with, it would've been a discussion I would want to have
4	she who made the decision to change the policy and	4	with the incident commander.
5	change the stay-put advice. Do you remember that?	5	Whether I spoke to the incident commander direct
6	A. So we had a discussion, and we mutually discussed the	6	I would suggest not, but I may be told different by
7	information that we both had together and we both agreed	7	phone records, I'm not sure. But my memory is, and what
8	that the advice needs to be changed. I suppose you	8	protocol would be is for us to speak to the command
9	could say in her role in charge of control, that's her	9	unit, who the incident commander is on, so that it keeps
10	role and decision to be made.	10	the spans of control and the phones not ringing of the
11	Q. Right.	11	incident commander, so the command unit would pass that
12	A. I helped come to that conclusion. I would suggest that	12	information straight to the incident commander.
13	my information and advice helped come to the final	13	Otherwise the incident commander could be inundated with
14	decision.	14	phone calls from numerous external staff asking for
15	Q. Right. What advice did you give her?	15	information.
16	A. As we've just said, about the 15th floor, about having	16	Q. Right.
17	the view of my overall view. So that was the	17	Now, there is some evidence that the inquiry has
18	information we just discussed.	18	received which we haven't yet looked at
19	Q. Did you know whether Jo Smith knew or didn't know about	19	A. Yes.
20	the 15th floor?	20	Q from a Group Manager Stephen West.
21	A. I can't honestly remember. I mean, I mentioned it to	21	First of all, do you recall the involvement or any
	her. Whether she was aware of that or not aware of that	22	involvement of Group Manager West on the night of the
22 23		22 23	
	at that time, I can't remember the conversation.		fire? A. I don't nomember encelving to Stave West. I do know
24	Q. Right. Okay.	24	A. I don't remember speaking to Steve West. I do know Steve West but, as I say I can only apologise. The
25	Vou then cov		SIEVE VVESI DID. AS I SAV == 1 CAD ODIV ADOLOGISE - I DE
25	You then say:	25	Steve West but, as I say I can only apologist. The

amount of phone calls I had that night, I can't sit here 1 1 incident ground. I told Jason Oliff to ensure that that 2 2 and recollect and go through every single conversation. went across to the CU that he was dealing with. 3 3 Q. Right. It must have been in the hundreds, the amount of phone 4 calls I received. 4 A. And also I spoke to AC Richard Mills, who was in the 5 Q. No, I understand, and this is not a memory test. 5 commissioner's group -- which is not on the incident 6 6 ground, by the way, is at headquarters -- and to make 7 7 Q. But let me see if I can just jog your memory a little sure that he was aware so he could inform the 8 8 commissioner's group that that is the decision that had 9 9 A. Okay. been taken. 10 Q. Group Manager West recalls, or says he recalls -- we'll 10 Q. Right. 11 obviously have to explore this with him when he comes to 11 A. Sorry, a lot of information there, but just that's my 12 give evidence, if he comes -- that he took a call from 12 recollection of ... 13 you seeking permission from the commissioner -- the 13 Q. So you had your own call, did you, with Richard Mills? 14 actual commissioner, Dany Cotton -- to change the 14 A. So I spoke to Richard Mills. Richard Mills and I spoke 15 15 stay-put advice. That's what he remembers. Do you continuously throughout the night, he was ringing me, 16 remember that? 16 I was ringing him. Whether it just happened that he 17 A. I don't remember that call, but I'm not saying that call 17 rang me at that time and I said, "By the way, I was 18 18 didn't happen, I just unfortunately can't bring that about to ring you, this is what's happening", or it was 19 back to memory. 19 a specific call that I rang him -- like I say, I think 20 20 Q. Right. He says -- again, this may trigger something, or I had over 100 phone calls coming in and out that night, 21 maybe not -- he was in a meeting with the commissioner, 21 and to recall individual ones, unfortunately, before 22 22 Assistant Commissioner Roe and some other people when he 3 o'clock, where I started to have a loggist, is 23 23 took that call. Does that help you remember? difficult. 24 A. Like I say, there's just so many numerous calls on the 24 Q. Where was Richard Mills based throughout the night when 25 25 you were speaking to him? night and I'm pretty sure that did happen, but Page 153 Page 155 1 unfortunately there are parts of the evening or morning A. He was at headquarters, in the commissioner's group. 1 2 that I just can't remember, the amount of calls coming 2 Q. Okay. How did you speak to him? What mechanism? 3 3 in and going out. A. Mobile phone. His mobile and my mobile phone. 4 Q. All right. 4 Q. Brigade mobiles? 5 5 Well, let's see, we may be able to use your A. Yes. 6 statement for this. If you go back to page 7 of your 6 Q. Those calls, would they be recorded? 7 statement and look at the paragraph you were looking at 7 A. No. 8 before, two-thirds of the way down the page this time. 8 Q. So you spoke to him. 9 After your reference to Joanne going around the control You say, at the bottom of the page -- and I am going 10 room, you say: 10 to come back to the paragraph above it in a moment: 11 "At the same time, I asked a control operator to 11 "The information was then relayed onto Assistant 12 speak to the incident ground via radio to inform them 12 Commissioner Andy Roe by the Command Unit. I have found 13 that the advice from control and Duty DAC [that's you] 13 out since that Andy Roe made the same decision at a 14 14 was that we need to change the stay put advice." similar time, but that information did not come to me on 15 Now, that's what you've put in your statement. 15 the night of the fire. The decision to change advice between myself, Joanne and Andy must have been within 16 16 17 Q. So you do have a recollection about that communication, 17 minutes of each other." 18 at least, do you? 18 Just on relaying it, how was the information relayed 19 A. So, yes, when me and Joanne came to that decision, there 19 to Assistant Commissioner Roe? 20 were -- I know I spoke to the incident ground, but 20 A. So, as I mentioned before, I would suggest -- and 21 I can't confirm that it was Steve West and I can't 21 I believe it's through the -- it would've been through 22 confirm who that was. I did ask a radio operator -- and 22 the conversation I had with Charlie Uniform 8 and 23 I think it was Peter May, but I can't be 100 per cent 23 through speaking to the operator. Whether it was 24 because I was standing quite close to him at the time --24 Steve West, who was a group manager and was on the 25 to make sure that information went across to the 25 command unit, or whether it had been the command unit Page 154 Page 156

1	operative, I couldn't confirm.	1	advice."
2	Q. Apart from speaking to Richard Mills about the change in	2	Now, it looks from that that in fact the decision to
3	stay-put advice, is there anybody else outside the	3	change the advice is one made by the incident commander,
4	control room you spoke to about that change?	4	but that it should be changed through liaison with the
5	A. No, apart from the control operator to send the	5	officer in charge in control.
6	information, I spoke to CU8, the command unit, I spoke	6	A. So, yes, when we communicated, we said the advice from
7	to Jason Oliff for it to go to CU7, and Richard Mills.	7	control is that we're going to we want to change the
8	So I would suggest at that point they were the four.	8	stay-put policy and, as I say, the conversation I had
9	Q. So when you say, "The information was then relayed onto	9	with the command unit, the OIC at the time was
10	Assistant Commissioner Andy Roe by the Command Unit", do	10	comfortable with us doing that.
11	you have first-hand knowledge of that or is that	11	Q. Right.
12	an assumption?	12	A. So that was part of that conversation. That may be the
13	A. No, that's an assumption, and once you get the	13	conversation you mention with Steve West, but, like
14	• • •	14	•
15	information to the command unit, the command unit would		I say, I really can't confirm who I had that
	relay that straight on to the incident commander.	15	conversation with.
16	Q. Right.	16	Q. Right.
17	Before the actual final decision was taken to change	17	So in the normal course of things, if you were
18	the stay-put advice, was there any discussion with	18	following policy, is this right: that actually it would
19	either Assistant Commissioner Roe or the commissioner's	19	be for the incident commander to decide to change the
20	group about whether the advice should be changed?	20	stay-put advice
21	A. Not a conversation I had, no.	21	A. It says in exceptional circumstances.
22	Q. Was there a conversation that you knew about to that	22	Q. Yes, yes, in normal exceptional circumstances
23	effect?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. No.	24	Q if you see what I mean. In other words, exceptional
25	Q. In a similar vein, did you or anybody else, to your	25	circumstances contemplated by the policy, it would be
	Page 157		Page 159
1	knowledge, have any discussions with Assistant	1	for the incident commander to tell control that the
2	Commissioner Roe or anybody else on the incident ground	2	advice should change, but that would then be done in
3	about how the change in advice would be communicated to	3	liaison with control?
4	_	4	A. Yes.
5	people still in the building?	5	Q. Yes. It wouldn't be for control to make that decision
	A. No, not that I'm aware of. Apart from the information came from us in control	6	themselves.
6 7	•	7	
8	and that the advice I believe the message was that we will be the advice from control is that we will be	8	A. No, but, like I say, we spoke to the incident commander and they were happy with the advice that we were giving,
		9	
9	telling residents, if they can, to leave the building.	1	that that was the decision to be made.
10	Q. Did you know as a matter of policy whose ultimate	10	Q. Right.
11	decision it would be to change advice to FSG callers?	11	Now, just finalising this particular run of
12	A. I believe in 790 that's control's decision; however,	12	questions, if you look at the bottom of page 7, you say:
13	an incident commander can make that decision in	13	"I have found out since that Andy Roe made the same
14	correspondence with control.	14	decision at a similar time, but that information did not
15	Q. If you look at 790, if we can, just for a moment, see if	15	come to me on the night of the fire."
16	this assists, it's at tab 5 of the policy bundle.	16	Can you tell us what you're referring to about
17	I just want to look at paragraph 8 with you which you'll	17	Andy Roe's separate but identical decision?
18	find on page 5. Looking at 8.7 it says:	18	A. So after the incident, so this is several weeks after,
19	"In exceptional circumstances an IC may consider	19	after having a discussion with Andy Roe himself, that
20	informing control that their advice to FSG callers	20	that decision was logged on the command unit and that he
21	should be altered e.g. to attempt to leave their	21	had already made he had made that decision himself as
22	property. The IC should remember that this advice may	22	well. So that was information that I'd received after
23	be contrary to National Policy for control staff on FSGs	23	speaking to him direct.
24	and liaison with the officer in charge at control will	24	Q. Why have you concluded that it was the same decision at
25	be required for agreement to change the prescriptive	25	a similar time as opposed to him simply logging the
	Page 158		Page 160

1	decision that he made based on your input?	1	Looking at the short incident log at page 23, we can
2	A. I have no specific timelines, I've no specific log for	2	see that at 02.44.18 there's an informative message from
3	that period of time, and I just looked at what	3	CU8 that Assistant Commissioner Roe is now IC, but we
4	Andy Roe's done, what we done in control, and they seem	4	don't see any message at 02.47 or indeed at all
5	at similar times. I'm not saying they were done exactly	5	about changing the FSG advice and departing from stay
6	at the same time; I'm saying they were done at similar	6	put.
7	times.	7	Do you know why that might be?
8	Whether when I made that phone call to CU8 and CU8	8	A. No, I don't.
9	had spoken to Andy Roe, then Andy Roe's agreed with that	9	Q. Do you know why the change would be logged or might be
10	and said, "I'm in agreement" and made the same decision	10	logged on CU8 by the personal loggist of AC Roe but not
11	and it was logged but like I say, on the night, at	11	make its way onto the incident log?
12	the time, that this is information I received at	12	A. No. I mean, I wouldn't have been party to that
13	a later date.	13	conversation, so I'm not sure whether that information
14	Q. Right. You.	14	came back to control or whether that was logged on the
15	Say seven lines up from the bottom of page 7:	15	command unit or whether that was how that information
16	"This change in advice [which was the change in	16	came across.
17	advice that you had taken in the control room] was	17	Q. Now going back to your statement
18	logged on our command unit at 0247 hours."	18	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Are we going to another topic?
19	First of all, what do you mean by "our command	19	MR MILLETT: We're finishing off this one.
20	unit"?	20	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right.
21	A. Ours as in London Fire Brigade. Not correct probably	21	MR MILLETT: If that's all right, Mr Chairman. Can I just
22	good terminology. So CU, I would say I mean CU8.	22	finish this off and we can take a short break, if that's
23	Q. So you are saying CU8. Right.	23	all right.
24	How do you know that the change in advice was logged	24	You say in the last sentence of that paragraph we
25	on at CU8 at 02.47?	25	were looking at on page 7 of your statement:
	Page 161	_	Page 163
1	A. Because that was a conversation that I'd had after the	1	"I do feel that the actual decision in control was
2	incident before making my statement.	2	made earlier [than 02.47] but there was a delay in
3	Q. Where did you get the time, 02.47, from, do you know?	3	recording this decision due to communications with the
4	A. No. I can't remember exactly where that	4	Command Unit (radio traffic and logging)."
5	Q. Did somebody tell you that?	5	Do you remember there being a delay between your
6	A. Sorry?	6	discussion and decision as between you and Jo Smith and
7	Q. Did somebody tell you?	7	speaking to the command unit about stay put and changing
8	A. Yes, somebody's told me that it was logged on the	8	it?
9	command unit at 02.47. So whether I'm not sure where	9	A. No. So the discussion I had with Joanne Smith I know
10	or what time who gave me that information.	10	was quite shortly after I arrived at control. So 02.47
11	Q. Where would it be logged on the command unit?	11	is in my memory far too late in the timings of where
12	A. I would suggest by Andy Roe's personal loggist, if he	12	I came. Where I say a delay in recording this decision
13	had a loggist on the	13	due to communications, it's just purely by the time me
14	Q. Did you know about a personal loggist?	14	and Jo have had this conversation, then I've spoken to
15	A. I knew he had a loggist. Obviously, speaking to	15	the control operator, then or spoken to the command
16	officers, I know some of the roles that some of the	16	unit, by the time the information has gone through the
17	officers played or took part in after the incident.	17	relevant that's the delay in the communications,
18	Q. Did you see the log created by his loggist?	18	I mean
19	A. No, no.	19	Q. Right.
20	Q. Did you know the name of his loggist?	20	We put the conversation earlier when you and I were
21	A. No, I don't.	21	discussing this between 02.30 and you seeing
22	Q. Does the name Jackie McConochie ring any bells?	22	Steve Leader and the television being on downstairs, and
23	A. I know a Jackie McConochie, but I wouldn't know if she	23	02.42, which was the informative message, so that
24	was the loggist or not on the night.	24	12-minute period, which is then when you had the
25	Q. You didn't, right, okay.	25	discussion.
	×		
	Page 162		Page 164
	-	-	-

1	If the decision really was logged at 02.47 on CU8 as		
	if the decision really was logged at 02.47 on CO8 as	1	So was your thinking that the stay-put advice needed
2	you say, then the delay would've been no more than	2	to be changed, you needed the permission of the
3	5 minutes.	3	commissioner, but only the incident commander could seek
4	A. It's possible.	4	it?
5	Q. Right. Okay.	5	A. No, I didn't say that I needed the permission of the
6	So we have the decision really down to, in terms of	6	commissioner; I said it would've been to seeked[sic] or
7	timing, sometime between 02.30 and 02.47?	7	done in conjunction with the incident commander.
8	A. I would say, yes.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Q. As the outer limits.	9	So any decision to involve the commissioner in that
10	A. That's the outer limits. I would say that's fairly	10	decision, who would have made that decision?
11	accurate.	11	A. If
12	MR MILLETT: Okay.	12	Q. That's a bad question, can I just rephrase the question.
13	Mr Chairman, is that a convenient moment?	13	A. Yes.
14	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, if it suits you.	14	Q. Who would've been involved in any decision to involve
15	MR MILLETT: Yes.	15	the commissioner in the ultimate decision to change the
16	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think we'll have a short break	16	stay-put advice?
17	now, Mr Fenton. We'll return at 3.20. You have just	17	A. If it was to be escalated to the commissioner, it would
18	over 10 minutes.	18	have been the incident commander, who would have been
19	Please don't talk to anyone about your evidence.	19	AC Roe, so they would've had that discussion. But
20	All right?	20	I don't remember having the conversation with the
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	21	commissioner regarding the stay-put advice.
22	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: If you would like to go with the	22	Q. Do you remember any delay in being told, yes, the stay
23	usher.	23	put has now changed, because of having to wait for an
24	(The witness withdrew)	24	update from the incident ground?
25	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you.	25	A. No, my recollection is that I had that conversation with
	Page 165		Page 167
1	3.20, then, please	1	CU8 and, as I say, whoever that was on there, and the
2	(3.10 pm)	2	conversation was that the incident ground agreed with
3	(A short break)	3	our decision.
4	(3.20 pm)	4	Q. Right.
5	MR MILLETT: Mr Chairman.	5	Going back to your statement and about the 15th
6	(The witness returned)	6	floor, on page 6 of your statement, in the middle of the
7	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: All right, Mr Fenton?	7	page, you say:
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	"I was advised by control or Jason OLIFF (I am not
9 10	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Very good, thank you.	9	sure which) that crews were"
	Mr Millett, when you're ready.	10	Then you use the word "struggling" to get beyond the
11	MR MILLETT: Yes, thank you, Mr Chairman.	11	15th floor of the tower.
12	Mr Fenton, can I just ask you one or two little	12	On the next page, on page 7, you say in the middle
13	detailed questions.	13	of the page that the crews were unable to get above the
14	First of all, on the subject we were on a minute	14	15th floor.
15	ago, do you remember seeking the commissioner's	15	I think some people are interested in whether
16	permission or agreement to change the stay-put advice?	16	there's a difference: were they struggling but could or
17	A. No, I don't.	17	were they completely unable to?
18	Q. You don't.	18	A. I think that's just the words I've used in the
19	Do you remember thinking that you might need to seek	19	statement. I've used two different words for the same
20	the commissioner's permission to change the stay-put	20	sentence.
21	advice?	21	Q. To express what idea?
22	A. No, that would have gone through the incident commander.	22	A. Well, as far as I can remember, the information that
23	Q. Right. I see. Which was who, Andy Roe?	23	I received back was that crews were struggling to get
24	A. Yes, if the timings are right, yes.	24	past the 15th floor. So where I've put "unable",
25	Q. Right.	25	I think that should be "struggling".
4	Page 166		Page 168

Q. Assuming that the gist of the new advice post-change

be important or at least helpful to the control room

operators giving that new advice to know what the

conditions were in the building -- lobbies and stairs

particularly -- to be able to assist callers to get out?

was, "Get out of the tower", did you think that it would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. I would say they were probably getting that advice by the phone call -- not advice, they were getting that information from the people on the other end of the phone. I mean, yes, if we could -- to be able to give a control operator the information of every single floor, area, flat, how dense, where the smoke is, how --I think it's a virtual impossibility. You're talking 24 floors, X amount of flats -- I don't think anyone's got that information to pass that information back. Q. So far as you were aware, were CROs being instructed to ask the callers to describe the conditions they were encountering once they left their flats? A. You're asking me questions that -- I'm sorry, I can't give you those answers because I'm not -- I wasn't party to that part of control. I was not with the call operators. Q. Right. Were you able to get information from the incident ground as to how crews were going to be able to assist

5 mentioned previously, Joanne Smith and Alex Norman 6 would've gone round to their control operators, spoken 7 to their control operators, given them the -- that we 8 had changed the stay put, and they would've given them Q the advice on how to speak to the individuals on the end of the phones. I wouldn't have been party to that. 10 11 Q. No. To your understanding, though, the gist of the 12 advice was: get out of the tower? 13 A. If you can get out of the tower, get out of the tower. 14 Q. Well, if you can't get out -- well, that sounds as if 15 your understanding was that callers were being given 16 17 A. My understanding -- I can't give you the information 18 that was given across by control or how that was working 19 in control. So my understanding was that we had changed 20 the stay-put advice so those that could leave the tower 21 were told to leave the tower. If there was further 22 information or further discussions with the FSG 23 information, that would've taken part between the 24 control operators and the individuals. I wouldn't have 25 been party to that information.

Page 170

- 1 FSG callers get out of the tower pursuant to the new 2 advice?
- 3 A. That information -- that would've been worked out on the 4 incident ground by the command unit and the incident 5 commander. That's the strategy that they would've done 6 on the incident ground.

Page 171

- Q. Having arrived at the strategy on the incident ground, did you get any information from the incident ground about what BA wearers -- now EDBA wearers -- were going to be doing by way of assisting people to get out?
- Q. Did you not think that information was important to get out from the incident ground?
 - A. It wasn't information that was coming back to us, so it wouldn't be -- as a duty DAC and the role and the position I played, it's not a role that I would be asking the incident ground for updates and information. Like I say, my role was to run the BCC. I was working additionally to assist in the position of the fire survival guidance, but it's not a role that I would be asking the incident ground for updates on the positions and where they are. It's not a role that the duty DAC would play.
- 24 Q. All right.
- 25 But you were there in the control room on the night

Page 172

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

1	and supervising Mr Oliff's communication with the	1	not sure of the timing. Someone in control requested
2	incident ground. Did you not think that it would be	2	that was I happy, in the role of the duty DAC and BCC,
3	important for him, or somebody in the control room, to	3	for a dangerous structure engineer to be blue-lighted to
4	get information from the incident ground as to what the	4	the incident ground, which is what I agreed to be done.
5	EDBA wearers were doing so that, in relation to	5	Q. Right.
6	particular calls, that advice could be passed on to	6	A. So that would sorry to cut across that would
7	assist people get out?	7	meaning either police to run them to the incident ground
8	A. So that information could've been coming back to	8	or for us to find an officer to take them to the
9	control, it could've been coming back, but I wasn't	9	incident ground, I'm not sure which one was finally
10	privy to that information at the time because, like	10	agreed, but in principle I agreed for it to be done.
11	I say, it's not a position I would've dealt with.	11	Q. There's another message at 03.31.04, if we could just
12	Q. Right.	12	look at that, this says:
13	Now, in terms of communications systems, have you	13	"Service Request Completed: AG EMG CUB REQUEST
14	ever heard of a CSS, a command support system?	14	DANGEROUS STRUCTURE ENGINEER"
15	A. Yes.	15	Do you remember that request?
16	Q. Did you know that on the night a command support system	16	A. The only request regarding the dangerous structure
17	on one of the CUs failed?	17	engineer that came to me is the one via a verbal
18	A. No.	18	conversation down in the BCC from a member of control to
19	Q. You didn't know that?	19	blue light someone to the incident ground.
20	A. No.	20	Unfortunately that is my only dealing with the dangerous
21	As I've mentioned before, in my role in the BCC,	21	structure engineer.
22	that information would not be coming back to me. It's	22	Q. I'm sorry to labour this a bit, but look at the next
23	not protocol for that information, operational	23	page, page 25, it says 03.37.32:
24	information from the incident ground, to come back to	24	"Key
25	the duty DAC. It wouldn't flow back to me.	25	"EMG CU8 REFERENCE DANGEROUS STRUCTURE ENGINEER CAN
	•		
	Page 173		Page 175
1	Q. Right.	1	WE HAVE AN ETA AND A CONTACT NUMBER TO SPEAK WITH THEM
2	Would the command support system be useful in	2	DIRECTLY AND TO LET US KNOW IF THEY NEED BLUE LIGHT
3	assisting the fire ground to communicate with the	3	ASSISTANCE TO GET TO THE INCIDENT AS THEY ARE A
4	control room, if it was working?	4	PRIORITY."
5	A. No, I don't think so, because communications would've	5	Did you see that message?
6	come across the Airwave.	6	A. No.
7	Q. Right.	7	Q. Is that the the time, does that help is that the
8	Can I then go back to a different topic but one we	8	time, do you think, that you were first asked?
9	looked at a little bit earlier, which was the dangerous	9	A. Potentially. I don't know what time I've put is
10	structure engineer.	10	that I think if you refer back to my statement, I had
11	Now, we looked at the short incident log earlier, if	11	a loggist at that time, and I believe so if my
12	I can just ask you to go back to that. It's tab 23 of	12	loggist had put that in at that time, then that would be
13	the documents bundle. I would ask you to look at	13	the period or the time that I authorised it.
14	page 23, please.	14	However, once it's come to me to authorise, then
15	Now, we looked at the message earlier I think at	15	I wouldn't be following it up after I'd authorised it;
16	02.38 for the dangerous structure engineer, and I think	16	I would expect someone to action it and for it to take
17	you said you didn't know about that message.	17	place.
18	A. Not at that time, no.	18	Q. When you were asked to authorise the blue-lighting of
19	Q. No. Then there's another one at 03.15.32, which you see	19	a dangerous structure engineer to Grenfell Tower, were
20	on page 24. 03.15.32:	20	you made aware that the request for a dangerous
21	" CU8 REQUEST URGENT ATTENDANCE OF DANGEROUS	21	structure engineer had been outstanding for some time?
22	STRUCTURE ENGINEER AND STRESS THIS IS A MATTER OF	22	A. No.
23	URGENCY AND PRIORITY."	23	Q. Okay. If you go to page 26, there is another message at
24	Did you see that message, do you think?	24	04.01.27:
25	A. No, I didn't see that message, but as I mentioned, I'm	25	"LRG RESPONDED AND INFORMED TO CONTACT KENSINGTON
		I	
	Page 174		Page 176

1	AND CHELSEA RE BOROUGH SURVEYOR / DANGEROUS STRUCTURE	1	"CU8: So you said that's Floor 22 what was that?
2	ENGINEER."	2	"OPERATOR: That's a pensioner, heavy smoke and it's
3	Now, this is just after 4 am. Do you know anything	3	Flat 194."
4	about that?	4	Now, I put flat 194 to you based on the pieces of
5	A. No.	5	paper.
6	Q. Did you know that someone had said that Kensington and	6	A. So when you say operator, sorry, if I can ask the
7	Chelsea needed to be contacted in order to get	7	question, do you mean a control operator?
8	a dangerous structure engineer there?	8	Q. Yes.
9	A. So as I previously said, you're asking me a lot of	9	A. Okay.
10	information via the incident log; however, unless it	10	Q. Yes, I do. I have a couple of questions about that.
11	comes to me in my role as the Brigade co-ordinating	11	I just want to make sure I've put fairly to you the
12	manager in the BCC, I wouldn't be aware of the	12	evidence we have on flat 194, although I used it as
13	operational or some of the operational decisions because	13	an example.
14		14	If you go to the whiteboard and look at what we were
15	I would be running the BCC. If that helps in -	15	using as the left-hand side, which I think is the second
	Q. Yes.	16	
16	You say in your statement on page 8, a third of the	17	one to be filled in, which is MET00016912, page 1, you can see flat 194, information, "194 22nd flr Heavy
17	way down, that you authorised the engineer to be	18	
18	blue-lighted to the scene.	19	smoke. 1 adult". Nothing on the board there about a pensioner, but it does look from the admin call that
19	A. And has that got a time?	20	was made to CU8 that the identification of that
20	Q. Well, you say it's in effect in response to the	20	
21	informative message at 3.20.	22	individual as a pensioner did get through. Now, having seen that, given that there doesn't seem
22	So my question is, having shown you the message at	23	, , ,
23	04.01, did you follow up what happened to the dangerous	24	to be that information on the pieces of paper that we've
24	structure engineer?	25	seen, nothing about a pensioner in 194 on a piece of
25	A. No, it wouldn't be a role for me so I'm there to help	23	paper or on the whiteboard, can you help in explaining
	Page 177		Page 179
	<u> </u>		
1	resource the incident, and if the incident asks for	1	how that piece of information about the occupant being
2	a resource or asks for people to be blue-lighted, I'm	2	a pensioner got through?
3	there to help provide the resources, not to make	3	A. I mean, that was taken before I was even in control, the
4	operational decisions or not to follow up decisions on	4	original information. You say it was at 2 o'clock in
5	the incident ground.	5	the morning.
6	Q. I see, okay.	6	Q. Now, in terms of the system that you came to when you
7	A. So being, as we say, remote, the decisions and the	7	arrived
8	operational decisions are made by the incident commander	8	A. Yes.
9	and the command chain on the incident ground.	9	Q we can see this particular call. It looks as if it's
10	Q. Now, there's one point of clarification I have been	10	an operator speaking to CU8
11	asked to just put to you about a particular piece of	11	A. Yes.
12	information which I asked you about earlier in relation	12	Q over something that is recording their conversation
13	to a particular flat, which was flat 194.	13	but is not a radio. Can you help with what that system
14	To be fair to you, it is right that you be shown the	14	was?
15	full story about it.	15	A. No. Sorry, I don't know what control would use.
16	If you go to INQ00000195, this is an admin call	16	I mean, if it was over the RT which is Airwave
17	timed at 02.00.34 relating to a series of flats, one of	17	channel 4, I believe that's recordable, that's put on to
18	which is 194.	18	the system. If it wasn't that, unfortunately, no,
19	If you scroll down the pages to page 5, this is	19	I don't know what system.
20	an admin call recording of somebody speaking to CU8 at	20	Q. What about the Brigade landlines? The telephone lines
21	just after 2 am, and there's flat 194:	21	that are used
22	"OPERATOR: Flat 194.	22	A. Some of those may be recordable in control but
23	"CU8: Can you do that mate? Right.	23	I wouldn't have the I wouldn't know. Like I say, not
24	"OPERATOR: And that's a pensioner with heavy smoke	24	being my environment and where I work, I wouldn't have
25	there.	25	that detail.
	Dagg 170		Da 190
	Page 178		Page 180
			45 (Dames 177 to 190)

MR MILLETT: Right, okay.	1	MR MILLETT: We'll take Mr Keane first at 10 o'clock and
Well, thank you very much.	2	you'll have my colleague, Mr Kinnier, taking Mr Keane
Mr Oliff, you'll be relieved to hear that I have no	3	through his evidence.
further questions for you.	4	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good. Otherwise, that's it for
THE WITNESS: Mr Fenton, I hope, not Mr Oliff!	5	today?
MR MILLETT: Yes, quite right, Mr Fenton. Of course, I'm	6	MR MILLETT: Yes, Mr Chairman, that's the business for
	7	today.
•	8	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.
	1	Well, we're going to break now, then. We'll resume
		at 10 o'clock tomorrow when we
	1	MR MILLETT: Mr Chairman, before we do, I'm sorry, there's
• •	1	a signal from the floor, which, in my usual way, I will
· · ·	1	entertain. (Pause)
	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: That's it, is it?
	1	MR MILLETT: That is now it.
	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Right.
•	1	•
	1	Well, as I said, then, we shall break now and we'll
• •	1	resume at 10 o'clock tomorrow, when we shall hear from
	1	Mr Keane.
-		MR MILLETT: Yes, thank you.
•	1	SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Good. Thank you very much.
	1	(3.45 pm)
	1	(The hearing adjourned until Wednesday, 18 July 2018 at
	1	10.00 am)
Now, then, we did have, as people I think know,	25	
Page 181		Page 183
Angie Gotts, a control room operator, scheduled to give	1	INDEX
	1	ADRIAN FENTON (sworn)1
	-	Questions by COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY1
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
•	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
•	20	
Ms Smith, Mr Oliff and Mr Fenton.	21	
We are also going to hear from Mr V cano tomorrow	22	
We are also going to hear from Mr Keane tomorrow		
live.	23	
live. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Shall we take Mr Keane first at	23 24	
live.	23	
	MR MILLETT: Right, okay. Well, thank you very much. Mr Oliff, you'll be relieved to hear that I have no further questions for you. THE WITNESS: Mr Fenton, I hope, not Mr Oliff! MR MILLETT: Yes, quite right, Mr Fenton. Of course, I'm sorry about that. Nonetheless, I'm still very grateful to you for enduring my questions and enduring getting your name wrong at the very last moment. Thank you very much for your assistance. It has been extremely helpful. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Fenton, it just remains for me to thank you very much as well for coming along. I don't suppose it's been an entirely pleasant experience. THE WITNESS: No, but I hope it's helped. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It certainly has, and we're very grateful to you for coming along. So thank you very much indeed. Thank you very much. If you would like to go, you can follow the usher, she'll see you out. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness was released) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Now, Mr Millett. MR MILLETT: Yes, Mr Chairman. Now, then, we did have, as people I think know, Page 181 Angie Gotts, a control room operator, scheduled to give evidence tomorrow. Unfortunately we've discovered that she is unwell this week and cannot attend. We hope to be able to have her come along at some stage or to give her evidence in some way, and that will obviously have to be discussed and the schedule revised. In order to be able to use the day productively, Mr Chairman, we are going to propose that a number of statements get read into the record, five of them, namely Alexandra Norman, Debbie Real, Peter May, Peter Duddy and Sarah Russell. I should just make one point clear, and that is that by reading these statements into the record, that doesn't preclude the witness from giving evidence in due course. Indeed, the inquiry is actively considering this question at the moment. The statements are being read into the record tomorrow so as to provide the necessary context for that evidence from other control room personnel who are going to come later, and the	Well, thank you very much. Mr Oliff, you'll be relieved to hear that I have no further questions for you. THE WITNESS: Mr Fenton, I hope, not Mr Oliff! MR MILLETT: Yes, quite right, Mr Fenton. Of course, I'm sorry about that. Nonetheless, I'm still very grateful to you for enduring my questions and enduring getting your name wrong at the very last moment. Thank you very much for your assistance. It has been extremely helpful. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Mr Fenton, it just remains for me to thank you very much as well for coming along. I don't suppose it's been an entirely pleasant experience. THE WITNESS: No, but I hope it's helped. SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: It certainly has, and we're very grateful to you for coming along. So thank you very much indeed. Thank you very much. If you would like to go, you can follow the usher, she'll see you out. THE WITNESS: Thank you. (The witness was released) SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Now, Mr Millett. MR MILLETT: Yes, Mr Chairman. Now, then, we did have, as people I think know, Page 181 Angie Gotts, a control room operator, scheduled to give evidence tomorrow. Unfortunately we've discovered that she is unwell this week and cannot attend. We hope to be able to have her come along at some stage or to give her evidence in some way, and that will obviously have to be discussed and the schedule revised. In order to be able to use the day productively, Mr Chairman, we are going to propose that a number of statements get read into the record, five of them, namely Alexandra Norman, Debbie Real, Peter May, Peter Duddy and Sarah Russell. I should just make one point clear, and that is that by reading these statements into the record, that doesn't preclude the witness from giving evidence in due course. Indeed, the inquiry is actively considering this question at the moment. The statements are being read into the record tomorrow so as to provide the necessary context for that evidence from other control room personnel who are going to come later, and the evidence that has been he

A	additionally 172:19	170:12,20 171:1,4	43:14 132:25	88:4 105:8 135:12
A13 56:18,20	address 27:13	170.12,20 171.1,4	allow 25:6	157:3,25 158:2
A4 6:16,23 7:4	73:12	173:6	allowing 24:8	anyone's 171:14
ability 71:15,24	adjourned 183:23	advise 36:1 139:22	ALP 136:18	anyway 92:12
able 4:8 15:11	adjournment 119:9	advised 120:3	alter 47:15	apart 32:6 61:20
16:14 33:25 38:18	admin 50:12,12	168:8	altered 158:21	88:23 135:1
59:2 64:21 65:12	54:1 99:12 178:16	advising 12:21	alternative 13:21	137:20 157:2,5
70:2,13 71:6,20	178:20 179:19	aerial 53:1	15:17 16:8 87:21	158:6
85:14 107:22	Adrian 1:8,10 2:1	affect 29:13 71:17	alternatives 70:2	apologise 152:25
136:22 138:21	184:2	100:17	amount 20:12,25	appears 26:25
154:5 171:6,10,24	adult 118:23	afraid 98:22	81:4,17,19 87:24	appendix 14:17
171:25 182:4,7	131:23,25 132:1	AG 175:13	88:24 111:7	15:12,14 125:16
absolute 151:17	132:10 179:18	ago 9:13 10:9,10,20	123:18 124:3	126:10,10
absolutely 96:7	Adult/child 111:8	70:18 123:10	137:21,22 145:24	appliance 125:6
abstract 31:14	adults 7:17 8:2	147:9 166:15	147:2 153:1,3	appliances 11:24
AC 42:19,22 50:14	129:8	agree 146:20	154:2 171:14	12:11 33:17 53:25
52:5 155:4 163:10	adults/children	agreed 89:3 148:11	an[sic 32:22	72:24
167:19	123:19	150:7 151:21	and/or 12:17	appreciate 2:5
AC1 51:10,10 52:4	advice 11:20 15:20	161:9 168:2 175:4	Andy 32:7,8 34:17	appropriate 53:22
52:6,8	18:5 19:19 22:8	175:10,10	35:1 36:13,16	54:2 135:21 139:4
AC2 51:24 52:6	22:21 23:11 89:23	agreement 158:25	37:6,12 49:20	appropriately
accepts 120:17	89:25 90:5,8,18	161:10 166:16	50:20,22,23 51:5	29:11
access 47:14 87:4,7	90:22,24 91:14,18	aims 33:12 42:3	51:21 56:7 156:12	April 19:10
87:8 105:19	91:20 92:3,4,5,11	44:18	156:13,16 157:10	area 80:13 96:4
125:21 129:25	93:4,7,9,13 94:4,6	airtime 81:19	160:13,17,19	171:12 arena 86:23
141:21 142:4,5	94:10 95:4,13,22 95:22,24 111:10	airwave 37:16 38:1	161:4,9,9 162:12 166:23	arena 80.23 arrival 74:11 78:1
accurate 2:24 78:7	117:5 124:19	38:6,15,17 49:23 52:10,11,13,15,17	Angie 182:1	84:9,11,12 85:3
165:11	126:1 130:8,11,15	52:24,25,25 53:12	annex 11:6,6	85:21 87:4 93:16
accurately 81:13	130:16 143:8	53:15,19 54:16	annexes 11:6	96:16 120:9,10
139:22	146:18,21 147:22	59:13 81:18,21,23	answer 13:10 16:14	148:25 149:1,2,3
action 1:19 72:10	148:11,12 149:6	85:6 138:25	16:16 17:12 21:11	arrive 11:24 12:11
176:16 actions 11:2 19:17	149:15,20,21,21	139:15,16 174:6	21:19 24:21 54:6	83:13
19:18	150:5,8,13,15	180:16	63:11 64:3,11	arrived 56:23 77:2
active 81:3 82:11	151:1,4,6,7,9,16	alarm 25:8 65:11	67:14 70:7,17	77:9,19,25 78:4,6
82:14	151:20 153:15	alcohol 71:17	85:18 95:24 97:23	78:10,13,16 79:10
actively 182:15	154:13,14 156:15	alert 67:15 139:18	98:22 113:23	80:10,11 82:9
actual 6:24 29:23	157:3,18,20 158:3	Alex 79:6,7 82:24	118:18 126:13	83:5 86:16 89:21
44:16 67:8 90:17	158:7,8,11,20,22	89:4,7,12 107:18	133:9 149:22	105:25 106:1
90:25 94:24,25	159:1,3,6,20	113:11,13,14	answered 96:13	144:14,15,25
111:12 141:25	160:2,8 161:16,17	115:8 116:12	answering 65:16	145:1,6,10 146:9
153:14 157:17	161:24 163:5	151:10,12 170:5	answers 10:8 69:17	148:19 164:10
164:1	166:16,21 167:1	Alexandra 182:10	70:1 171:20	172:7 180:7
additional 127:10	167:16,21 169:16	alight 145:24	anticipate 73:3	arrives 147:13
133:21	169:16,24 170:9	allocated 9:19 10:3	anybody 3:2 85:21	arriving 55:1

				Tage 100
aside 97:4	156:11,19 157:10	automatically	105:12 111:1	76:3,6,16,25
asked 7:21 24:23	157:19 158:1	41:13	117:9,21 118:10	79:22 80:2,4,12
47:7,21 50:4	163:3	available 69:19	119:11,19 123:6,9	80:13,14 100:14
75:20 96:17 101:7	assisted 13:8	72:2 125:17	125:2 128:8	102:5,11 106:15
101:9,21 109:22	assisted 15.6	avenue 48:13	134:17 135:18	113:3,4 117:10
115:4 118:14	127:24 172:10	avoid 21:7	136:1,4 137:19	121:4 128:11
133:15 134:11	174:3	await 22:11,23	140:11 142:19	138:9 139:8 144:6
147:21 154:11	assists 158:16	awaiting 12:20	143:7,11 144:1	144:16 149:11
169:10 176:8,18	assumed 142:7	aware 9:23 11:15	145:4 146:11	169:21 172:18
178:11,12	assuming 97:21	14:20 15:23 16:1	147:8 149:11,19	173:21 175:2,18
asking 1:17 2:6	142:14 144:25	19:18,22 20:1,2	153:19 154:6	177:12,14
11:18 15:18 60:7	145:8 171:1	21:8,10,21 26:9	156:10 163:14,17	Bear 15:11
64:4 94:21,24	assumption 38:14	26:12,15 27:15	168:5,23 171:15	bed 34:25 129:5
99:13 128:10	39:2 64:23 90:10	29:22 30:2,22,25	172:14 173:8,9,22	beginning 8:14
138:13 143:5	90:12 91:2 93:22	31:4,17 34:19	172.14 173.8,9,22	34:16 107:25
138.13 143.3	94:11,15 100:20	35:14 37:3 43:9	173.24,23 174.8	114:18 115:11
152:14 171:19	101:7 118:8,23	43:24 59:25 86:1	background 40:11	behave 73:3
172:17,21 177:9	135:9 142:5	86:5 87:19,19	59:13 121:12,18	behaved 26:7
asks 41:15 178:1,2	144:19 157:12,13	88:23 89:25 90:5	backwards 100:25	behaviour 29:10
asks 41.13 1/8.1,2 aspect 23:12	attached 41:6	90:7 94:2,22 96:8	bad 129:5 167:12	
121:22			Baku 27:25	behaviour/perfor 29:7
aspects 15:6 19:7	attaching 41:14 attempt 93:2	96:15,19 98:18 100:22 106:3	base 48:6 51:23	belief 2:24
aspects 13:0 19:7 assess 13:9 15:18	125:14 129:11		53:16 55:1 61:16	believe 139:18
assess 13:9 15:18 assessed 12:18 13:8	158:21	107:11 108:13,15	62:20 77:17	
		110:5,7 113:5 117:7 126:4		156:21 158:7,12
17:9,10 assessment 12:23	attempting 12:18 16:19	127:11 134:25	based 23:24 24:2,2 43:7 133:5 155:24	176:11 180:17 bell 28:23
16:23 66:11 67:25	attend 2:4 24:9	145:22 150:22,22	161:1 179:4	bells 162:22
68:5	26:12 35:10 36:1	155:7 158:5	basic 85:1	beneficial 124:1
assessments 68:2	40:10 41:4 48:4	169:12 171:16	basically 33:20	benefit 63:12
assigned 41:17	51:6 52:5,6 59:8	176:20 177:12	42:4 101:4	best 2:19,24 43:11
assist 23:17 36:2	99:16 182:3	awareness 30:3	basics 14:9	113:8 hottom 24:25
44:14 46:21 57:22	ATTENDANCE	Azerbaijan 27:25	Basingstoke 28:6	better 24:25
60:24 71:21 76:3	101:15 174:21	B	basis 64:24 77:22	beyond 120:4
76:5 78:25 80:5	attended 36:14	B 11:6	batch 116:7	168:10
81:8 87:14 88:14	attending 35:2,10	BA 172:9	BCC 4:19 41:4	big 9:16 10:4,6
106:17 121:24	36:19 37:11,12	Babcock 73:8,14	42:15,16,18,23	73:25
171:6,25 172:19	49:22 53:25 59:7	73:15,17 74:2	43:10,13,24 44:3	bit 19:23 21:18
173:7	72:24 76:13	back 2:18 6:6 7:14	44:12,23 45:2,3,4	27:23 32:17 33:5
assistance 71:18	August 26:11 27:3	7:15 8:12,14 16:4	45:6,11,14,25	55:6,9 64:21
135:23 169:7	authorise 100:14	16:11 18:9,13,16	46:8,17 49:20	80:10 83:12,20
176:3 181:11	102:12 176:14,18	21:10 26:6 53:7	51:7,13 57:20	92:24 96:20 153:8
assistant 8:15 32:2	authorised 77:12		58:10 59:19 60:8	169:21 174:9
32:22,25 36:6	101:10,23 102:14	62:1 64:2 72:5	60:18,20,24 61:9	175:22
42:19 51:5,11	176:13,15 177:17	74:10 75:11 93:11	61:19 62:13,18	bits 49:23 58:7
52:3 121:5 153:22	authority 62:1 97:2	96:14 102:15	63:1 75:22,25	115:12 131:9

				Ī
blank 116:4	brief 47:5 103:6	65:8,9	caller 13:9 15:20	61:16 62:20
block 8:24 68:10,14	119:22,24 126:20	bullet 111:4	17:7 22:10,22	capital 22:14
136:14 139:16	126:22 133:14	bundle 11:1 14:16	124:8,18 125:21	capitals 23:3
blue 60:25 175:19	briefed 102:23	18:25 26:23 58:15	129:24 130:15	car 36:25 40:9 57:2
176:2	briefly 72:16	65:22 101:12	caller's 15:21 92:3	career 9:4 15:1
blue-lighted 100:13	Brigade 11:25	111:23 124:25	callers 11:19,23	97:22 145:25
100:15 101:9,23	12:12 17:4 41:4	127:1 158:16	12:5,17,21,22,24	146:4,7
102:13 175:3	41:19,20,24 42:3	174:13	12:24 13:17,22	carried 19:18
177:18 178:2	44:10,19 45:4	business 42:5,12,17	16:8 17:24 18:5	114:24 128:6
blue-lighting	46:16 50:3 51:13	44:18 46:1 183:6	18:12 19:20 84:13	carry 55:13,14
176:18	72:21 74:11 75:25	busy 50:15 61:7,25	89:24 126:6 138:6	63:25 119:14
board 97:2 100:3	80:18 96:16 97:6	82:10 93:24	139:22 158:11,20	121:25
109:18 111:18,19	104:14 107:9	104:21 115:3	170:15 171:6,17	carrying 121:21
112:8,18,20 115:6	156:4 161:21	137:17 140:15,18	172:1	128:18
116:11,23 117:1	177:11 180:20		calling 95:25	case 68:23 92:21
117:12,24 118:10	bring 103:1 123:13	C	151:15,19	103:19 133:6
118:12,16 129:13	153:18	cadre 41:10	calls 10:18 20:11	catalogue 149:8
130:4,17,18 131:3	bringing 134:7	call 4:1 6:1 12:8	20:13 21:6 37:19	caused 13:20
132:7,20,21,21	148:5	14:2,15 19:17	38:10,12,23,25	134:14
133:19,23 143:10	broken 122:14,17	21:14 22:10 23:1	39:1 40:16 56:7	caveat 12:7
144:1 179:18	122:19,20	23:10 26:4 34:25	56:14 57:19,24,25	CBT 9:17
boards 102:25	building 16:20 17:3	37:5 39:6,9,10,18	58:8 59:16 62:15	cell 46:13,13,14,14
103:2 108:3,6	17:6 23:16 24:7,9	41:1,2 49:19,19	74:21 75:12 80:6	cells 42:10 45:6,11
123:13,14 129:17	24:10,14,17,17	49:25 50:8 51:8	81:7 82:2,3,11,13	46:5,6,8,9,10,16
bold 28:11	25:4,7,10,13,18	51:15 52:9,19	82:14 86:1 87:14	46:20 76:2
book 50:16	25:22 26:5 28:10	54:1,1,3 61:3	91:11,12,16,16	cent 10:1 29:25
BOROUGH 177:1	29:2,14 30:8,9,10	66:23 74:19 78:25	93:16 96:7 102:25	37:25 56:6 84:23
BOSS 47:8,10,11	30:20,24 31:12,17	82:13 83:24,25	105:16,23 106:21	103:16 112:21
47:12 48:1,7 59:3	31:21 64:12 65:5	85:13 90:2,3,6	107:5,9,15 108:25	154:23
87:11	65:8,9 66:14 67:4	93:1,6,7,8 96:6	109:1 111:9 115:3	centre 32:20 33:7,9
bottom 15:16 28:12	67:20,23 68:2,8	113:6 117:3,14,14	117:2,7,11 120:25	34:10 41:5,19,20
48:16 51:4 78:21	68:18,20,21,22,23	125:7 126:2 130:9	123:20 128:10,25	41:24 45:4 74:12
117:23 118:2	69:3 70:11,12	130:22 137:1	131:19 135:22	76:1 80:18 96:17
145:4 156:9	71:4,22 95:21	142:14 147:3	136:16 137:2,5,18	97:7
160:12 161:15	98:9,15,17 99:5,9	153:12,17,17,23	137:18,22 138:19	certain 19:7 31:19
box 28:11 70:10,16	100:19 135:22	155:13,19 161:8	147:20 148:2,10	48:10 73:24
70:23	141:4,7,14,22	171:8,21 178:16	148:16,19,21	certainly 132:5
break 1:19 2:10,11	142:4 143:23	178:20 179:19	151:18 152:14	137:24 181:16
55:4,10 63:13,14	144:11 145:19,24	180:9	153:1,4,24 154:2	cetera 119:24
63:22 74:11 83:11	146:1 158:4,9	called 9:16 32:14	155:20 156:6	124:18 127:18
108:20 119:4,6	171:5	34:8,23 45:21	169:13 173:6	chain 36:8 139:6,6
123:8 163:22	building's 24:16	51:5,21,24 60:1	camp 51:23 77:17	178:9
165:16 166:3	buildings 27:12	74:15,22 75:4,10	camp-out 37:21	Chairman 1:7 55:5
183:9,17	64:24	96:18 142:24	47:23 48:6 49:17	108:20 119:1,11
breathe 130:24	built 25:5 64:24	151:22 169:6	51:18 53:16 55:1	163:21 165:13
L				

				rage 100
166:5,11 181:24	Chelsea 177:1,7	146:22	72:22 102:22,24	156:12,19 157:10
182:8 183:6,11	child 129:8 169:6	come 1:8 6:6 7:12	103:2,13,18,23,25	157:19 158:2
chairman's 96:13	children 7:17 8:2	7:14 8:12 18:20	103.2,13,16,23,23	163:3 167:3,6,9
chance 3:23 128:3	169:13	26:4 37:4 44:12	104.5,0,10 103.1	167:15,17,21
change 13:7 16:6,9	choice 22:25 23:5	45:14 55:4 56:12	100.0,23 107.3	commissioner's
16:12,23,24 17:9	43:18 72:23 91:8	60:25 77:14 86:4	110:21 118:13	42:19 155:5,8
18:8,14 20:7	170:16	86:11 88:9 89:2	123:15 125:5	156:1 157:19
45:19 47:15 117:5	choices 33:19	94:19 95:16 96:14	133:8 135:10,11	166:15,20
143:8,8 146:21	circumstance 48:10	98:21 114:20	139:6 151:24	commissioners
149:20 150:4,5	95:17	118:9,10 120:1	152:8,11 156:12	52:3
151:3,5,16 153:14	circumstances	128:20 135:9,18	156:25,25 157:6	common 80:16
154:14 156:15	67:20 91:10 93:4	140:1 141:9	157:10,14,14	common-sense
157:2,4,17 158:3	98:5 158:19	140:1141.9	159:9 160:20	30:12
158:11,25 159:3,7	159:21,22,25	146:16 150:12,13	161:18,19 162:9	communicate
159:19 160:2	clad 30:25	156:10,14 160:15	162:11 163:15	35:13 47:9 65:4
161:16,16,24	cladding 30:10,13	169:22 173:24	164:4,7,15 172:4	68:13 174:3
163:9 166:16,20	30:15,17	174:6 176:14	173:14,16 174:2	communicated
167:15 169:15	clarification	182:4,19	178:9	21:14 67:9 84:14
changed 13:12 17:1	178:10	comes 30:3 97:10	commander 35:21	85:4 158:3 159:6
61:15 118:24	clear 7:6,8 11:22	114:15 116:15	36:3 37:7 50:19	communicates
129:18,19 133:19	14:13 18:3 41:7	131:25 139:18	56:11 62:7 65:1,4	116:20
133:20 143:25	48:20 96:10	153:11,12 177:11	66:17,20 67:6,12	communication
144:1 146:17	108:10 129:23	comfortable 1:14	67:15 68:25 69:2	17:18 19:14 46:13
148:12,12 150:8	182:12	13:3 55:12 120:15	69:19,23,25 70:6	46:20,21 49:25
151:1 157:20	clearly 21:16	15.5 55.12 120.15	71:6,20 72:3 88:6	50:8 136:24 139:1
159:4 167:2,23	close 56:16 149:2,3	coming 2:4 3:3	97:18,20,21 98:2	154:17 173:1
170:8,19	154:24	20:7,25 21:1 53:7	98:6,14 110:22,23	communications
changing 142:1	closed 43:19	63:2 64:2 81:4,12	110:23 136:3	10:16 42:8 164:3
151:20 163:5	closely 72:23 83:12	81:17 86:1 87:25	137:13 138:14,18	164:13,17 173:13
164:7 169:23	169:20	88:13,24 102:6	139:2,5,6,9,10,12	174:5
channel 53:18 54:9	closer 12:19	106:16 109:18	139:16 152:4,5,9	company 73:18
54:14 88:15	clutch 4:6 131:8	111:9 112:24	152:11,12,13	company 73.18
180:17	co-ordinating	115:1,19 123:20	157:15 158:13	comparison 11.7
charge 35:18 36:5	177:11	128:25 130:22	159:3,19 160:1,7	23:15 24:5,8
36:6,23 62:8,10	co-ordination	132:22 134:13,21	166:22 167:3,7,18	25:24 26:2 64:25
76:1 99:19,22	41:19,20,24 45:4	134:23 137:5,18	172:5 178:8	95:15,19
102:4 110:24	76:1 80:18 87:14	137:21,22 139:11	commander's	compartmentation
128:2 150:9	97:6	140:4,11 144:10	69:14,17	13:4 17:3 23:7
158:24 159:5	coanda 26:4 31:19	147:2,10 149:9	commanders 38:18	24:7,13,16 25:19
Charlie 156:22	collate 7:21	151:18 154:2	64:23	25:20 30:8 64:5
check 8:10 127:21	colleague 47:2	151.18 134.2	commissioner 8:15	64:13 73:4 74:3
128:4 134:3,10,12	183:2	173:8,9,22 181:13	9:25 32:2,22,25	competent 8:10
144:16	collected 4:16 84:9	181:17	36:6 42:20 51:5	60:18 133:1
checked 127:20	column 73:10	command 17:19	51:11 121:6	compiled 3:7,10
checking 134:17	combination	36:8 40:3 72:18	153:13,14,21,22	complete 7:5 9:19
checking 154.17	Comomation	JU.U TU.J /2.10	133.13,17,41,44	Compice 1.3 3.13
				l

132:3	154:21,22 157:1	continuation 6:3,3	99:3,6,8,10,11,13	controlled 81:2
completed 6:18	159:14	continue 55:15	100:1,6 101:1,4,6	convenient 119:1
10:21 58:23 109:3	confirmed 40:7	continued 12:4	102:11 106:12	165:13
109:5,7,9,11	85:18 105:5	continues 36:7	109:15 111:10	conversation 52:11
175:13	109:23 128:20	continuing 12:12	112:24 113:2	53:23 54:7 91:1
completely 4:8 6:17	129:15	continuity 42:13,18	115:21,24,24	93:20 96:6,8
15:6 57:5 128:24	confusion 21:7	continuously	116:12 117:3,12	103:17 104:9
168:17	108:9	116:22 132:22	118:9 120:3,7,23	107:6,7,8 122:4
completeness 11:5	conjunction 167:7	155:15	121:2,7,9,19,20	122:21 150:23
complex 84:24	consequences	contrary 158:23	121:25 122:5,8,23	153:2 156:22
complicated 74:8	98:16 99:4 100:18	contribute 28:13	123:3 124:7,10	157:21,22 159:8
comprehensive	consider 66:9 69:1	29:2 30:7,11,23	125:5,8,12,14,15	159:12,13,15
17:11	69:2,3 70:2 72:4	contributing 30:19	126:1,5,8,9,12,18	162:1 163:13
comprise 73:20	158:19	control 4:16,20 5:3	127:3,8,9,15,20	164:14,20 167:20
computer 33:25	consideration	10:17 11:3 12:4	127:22,25 128:2,5	167:25 168:2
87:10	67:11,18 140:17	13:2,8,11,13 14:5	130:6,8,11,15	175:18 180:12
computer-based	considerations	14:11,20 15:4,8	131:4,20 133:6,15	conversations
9:17 72:7 74:2	67:5	15:10 16:2,7,13	134:4,7,10,12	93:25 96:9
concentrating	considered 69:14	17:13,18,20,22	135:5,8,11,13	coordination 41:5
81:23	considering 66:17	18:2,10,18 19:15	136:18,25 137:14	80:5
concept 92:1,1	182:15	19:16,18 21:15	137:22 138:2,3,6	cope 20:25
concern 81:7 93:17	constant 115:18	32:19 33:4,6,18	138:16,18 139:8	CORDEN[sic
concerned 109:17	construction 23:16	38:3,5,17 39:8,14	139:10,18,21	136:19
concerning 72:22	29:4,5	39:20,23 40:16	140:7 141:1 143:3	core 33:11 42:5
concerns 98:8,15	contact 75:21 125:5	41:1,2,3,4,5,10,14	143:7,9,21 145:1	44:18
99:8	139:5,9,15 176:1	41:22 43:2,4,7,12	146:11 147:24,25	corner 5:5 102:18
concluded 83:25	176:25	43:14,15 44:12,13	148:11 149:11	correct 3:22 8:5,20
160:24	contacted 77:13	45:9 46:22 49:19	150:9 151:2,3,8	22:18 35:22 65:3
conclusion 28:22	177:7	50:1,9,13,15 51:6	151:12 152:10	77:23 124:2
28:23 81:12	contacting 39:8	53:9,11,17,21	154:9,11,13 157:4	161:21
150:12	contain 21:5 71:5	56:7 57:18 58:22	157:5 158:6,8,14	correspondence
conclusions 11:9	contained 23:15	60:12,13,14,22	158:20,23,24	135:10 158:14
28:20 29:15,19,22	24:4 64:25	61:2,3,5,7,24	159:5,7 160:1,3,5	corridor 13:19 17:7
30:2	contemplated	74:12 78:9,17,19	161:4,17 163:14	COs 11:22 12:21
Concrete 23:17	159:25	79:3,17 80:6,11	164:1,10,15 168:8	13:20 18:4
condition 124:17	contemporaneous	80:20 81:15 82:4	170:6,7,18,19,24	costs 90:19
125:23	3:11 107:23	82:9 83:1,8,14,16	171:3,11,21	Cotton 153:14
conditions 96:3	110:11 111:1	84:2,9,16,16,17	172:25 173:3,9	could've 20:8 51:21
141:7 146:6	content 6:11 8:8	84:24,25 85:2,24	174:4 175:1,18	87:11 120:17
169:17 171:5,17	10:22 27:7	86:2,7,15,22 87:5	179:7 180:3,15,22	133:19,20,20
confirm 2:23 34:2	contents 2:23	87:9,14,20 88:2	182:1,18	173:8,9
42:22 50:5 62:5	context 18:22 93:15	89:1,4,8,22,24	control's 87:8	COUNSEL 1:23
85:24 103:22	93:16,19 182:18	91:14 93:10,24	90:22 129:10	184:2
104:8,9 105:10	contingency 24:18	94:8,12,13,18	158:12	couple 16:15 58:7
109:15 132:7	25:16 64:5,6	96:16 97:11,11	control/BCC 4:17	115:12 179:10
	<u> </u>	ĺ		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

acumled 149:4	174:21 175:25	dated 2:14 11:4	177.12 179.4 4 7	01.12 15 04.22
coupled 148:4	174:21 175:25		177:13 178:4,4,7	91:13,15 94:23 96:8 116:2 130:22
course 5:25 8:12	178:20,23 179:1	26:25,25	178:8	
96:14 115:16	179:20 180:10	dates 10:15	DECLARED	146:17 180:25
129:20 159:17	CUB 136:14 175:13	day 5:8 33:18 43:2	136:17	detailed 7:24
181:6 182:15	culture 16:9	78:23 182:7	dedicated 108:8	116:10 118:4
Court 26:11 27:3	currency 7:23	day's 1:4	deemed 41:23	141:16 166:13
28:6 29:17	current 7:20 15:20	day-to-day 121:15	definitely 85:23	details 4:16,25 7:12
courtesy 80:16	19:10 64:10 66:1	days 182:20	109:13 132:8	10:22 17:21 39:11
cover 18:20 32:15	66:1 76:9	deal 32:20 88:13	definitive 112:15	70:24 94:21
32:16 44:9 119:24	currently 76:12	139:13	delay 134:14 164:2	detract 139:13
127:10	CUs 173:17	dealing 9:4 15:1,7	164:5,12,17 165:2	develop 29:13
covered 125:11	cut 175:6	25:3 50:16 53:25	167:22	development 9:8
134:5		81:6,16 115:18	delaying 48:6	9:10,14 73:13
covers 31:13	D	117:10 132:24	deleted 118:15	92:2 100:22 117:4
created 29:16	DAC 1:8 4:17 8:18	137:17,20 139:25	delivery 44:8	device 49:25 50:9
58:23 109:4,7,8	32:2,4,8,10,12,15	140:5,15,16 152:1	dense 171:12	57:25 58:1
109:11 162:18	32:18,20 33:3	155:2 175:20	departing 163:5	devised 66:10
creates 101:14	34:3,16 35:1,9,16	deals 119:22 139:7	department 10:2	67:24 68:4
crews 11:22 12:5	36:1,6,12,13,17	dealt 106:13 136:17	73:25 76:14	diagram 28:8
12:16 22:11,23	37:6,12 41:16	151:10 173:11	departure 48:6	dictates 66:11
120:4 135:4 139:3	42:20 51:9 52:1,2	Debbie 182:10	depend 67:4 68:17	difference 150:1
141:21,24 142:4	68:6 74:15,17	December 28:1	depending 42:11	168:16
142:25 146:14,22	97:6 136:14	decide 62:12	46:16 66:22,23	different 2:9 10:24
148:9,15,24 168:9	154:13 172:15,22	159:19	67:1,1,2 70:9	15:6 31:15 46:18
168:13,23 171:25	173:25 175:2	decided 43:19	77:18 91:10	49:15 69:8 92:13
critical 113:20	DAC's 32:4	61:25 87:13	depends 23:7 46:18	95:17 104:22
CROs 82:10 84:13	DACs 32:5,14 35:6	106:17 122:17	67:19 69:20 91:11	115:5,5,7 128:11
171:16	35:12 52:1	decision 42:23,25	92:23	143:1 149:24
crossed 140:14,17	dangerous 69:6	43:6,11,17 49:20		152:6 168:19
	96:18 97:1,12,18	60:7 61:12 62:2	depth 89:20	174:8
141:20	98:3,7 99:13,15		deputy 8:15 32:2	
CSS 173:14	100:7,10,12,16	82:19,22 83:2	121:5	differently 98:4
CU 40:6 108:4,7	101:8,15,22 102:1	100:13 107:12	describe 108:2	difficult 10:4
155:2 161:22	101.8,13,22 102.1	121:1 122:8,25	119:25 171:17	155:23
CU7 105:5,6,16,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	150:4,10,14 151:2	described 53:14,15	difficulties 65:19
105:23 108:5,24	174:9,16,21 175:3	154:19 155:8	96:3	68:24
108:25 109:23,25	175:14,16,20,25	156:13,15 157:17	describing 151:5	diplomatic 63:11
151:25 152:1,2	176:19,20 177:1,8	158:11,12,13	designated 115:15	direct 45:22 139:9
157:7	177:23	159:2 160:5,9,14	desk 2:19 113:7,8	152:5 160:23
CU8 97:1 101:15	Dany 153:14	160:17,20,21,24	113:15	direction 32:18
105:9 106:2	Darby 109:5,24	161:1,10 164:1,3	desks 84:5	33:3,19,19 42:21
109:21 151:23,25	data 47:16,20	164:6,12 165:1,6	detail 21:19 27:16	directions 115:5
157:6 161:8,8,22	88:14	167:9,10,10,14,15	27:21 31:10 39:9	directly 73:13
161:23,25 163:3	database 70:8,15	168:3 169:15	45:24 62:16 84:1	116:10 133:18
163:10 165:1	date 9:12,20 10:21	decisions 70:5	85:18 87:18 89:17	169:19 176:2
168:1 169:20	27:1 105:5 161:13	115:4 133:22	89:20 90:21,22	Director 6:6
			ĺ	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				. Tage 191
disabled 71:16	doors 23:16	77:14 154:13	Emergency 14:15	entertain 183:13
118:2,4,22	double 134:12	172:15,22 173:25	EMG 97:1 101:15	entire 68:10
discover 37:23	double-checking	175:2	175:13,25	entirely 181:14
discovered 182:2	134:15	dynamic 107:21,21	emphasised 22:16	entitled 4:16 7:17
discretion 23:1	downstairs 79:22	115:2 128:23	23:3	28:8
42:18	80:2,17 87:5		en 36:4 37:1 50:21	entries 112:17
discussed 3:1 29:20	121:4 122:13	E	56:12 57:17,19	entry 24:9 97:5
77:20 90:17 142:1	141:1 164:22	e.g 125:23 158:21	59:14,17 62:24	116:4 129:2
150:6,18 182:6	dragged 102:18	earlier 53:14 64:3	en-route 51:24	envelop 29:1
discussing 164:21	Drawbridge 32:11	72:6 83:22 91:6	enabled 48:8	envelope 4:14,15
discussion 75:15,17	74:16,20,25 75:3	98:18 114:14	encountered 26:1	5:13,16 7:10 30:6
90:14,15,16,17	75:16 77:9	118:8 124:12	encountering	95:21 131:11
93:11,18,21 142:1	drawing 81:14	125:1 148:23	171:18	132:4 145:23
147:11 148:8,14	92:25	164:2,20 174:9,11	enduring 181:9,9	146:1
149:12 150:6	dressed 49:22	174:15 178:12	engaged 80:23,25	environment 69:6
152:3 157:18	drill 89:20	early 40:22 57:1,22	81:1 115:20	94:14 122:7 148:3
160:19 164:6,9,25	drilling 45:24	76:5 89:21 91:22	135:25	180:24
167:19	85:17	120:8	engine 45:20	equip 71:6
discussions 95:3	drugs 71:17	easiest 120:11	engineer 96:18	escalate 32:21,23
158:1 170:22	dry 5:6,12,23 7:3	easily 71:20	97:1,12,19 98:3,7	36:3
display 64:18	7:11,22 105:6	easy 21:1 74:8	99:14,15 100:8,10	escalated 144:11
disposal 70:4	106:23 109:13	EDBA 136:18	100:13,16 101:8	145:19 167:17
distracting 121:1	133:16	172:9 173:5	101:16,22 102:1,8	escalates 32:13
distress 122:6	dual 32:9 69:9	edge 149:5	102:13 105:14	41:15
distribute 33:10	Dubai 27:13,13	education 26:15	174:10,16,22	escalating 40:21
document 3:8,9 6:6	Duddy 182:11	effect 24:19 26:4	175:3,14,17,21,25	52:23 53:4,8
24:2 26:20 58:23	due 5:25 8:12 87:21	31:19 157:23	176:19,21 177:2,8	54:13,18,20,25
72:13 74:4,5,6,8	96:14 106:13,16	169:23 177:20	177:17,24	58:4 62:16
131:15,17	120:24 164:3,13	effective 17:18	engulfed 144:9	escalation 61:21
documents 4:1,3,6	182:14	72:23	145:18 146:13	62:12 63:3,3
4:24 5:2,3,9 6:2,4	DUFF 102:19	effectively 146:20	enhance 19:19	escape 12:18 13:9
6:5,10 7:15 8:12	duplicate 111:25	169:25	72:25	13:17,19,22 15:17
11:1 26:22 58:15	duplicated 80:8	efficient 63:5	enlarge 72:19	15:18 16:8 69:3
101:12 111:23	85:22 110:7	efficiently 34:14	ensure 33:11,16,20	69:10,10 92:16,22
126:25 131:8	duplication 108:9	100:3	34:11,13 35:14	93:3,5 142:16
174:13	108:11	efforts 18:3,11	42:2 44:7,17	Escape/alternative
doing 45:12 51:6	duration 51:14	eight 35:7,7,9,20	57:21 124:1	13:17
57:24 65:6 69:12	133:23	40:19	126:17 127:15	escaping 12:20
76:6 78:16 79:11	duty 31:25 32:3,5,8	either 40:3 50:10	133:12 140:10	essentially 15:17
83:14 84:3 103:8	32:10,12,15,18,20	50:11 51:19 58:19	155:1	establish 107:12
107:20,22 116:1	32:21 33:3 34:3	67:16 75:2 85:12	ensured 108:7	established 103:14
116:13,22 122:7	34:16 35:1,6	87:5 105:25	ensuring 41:15	104:1,7 111:14
126:11 159:10	36:17 37:6 42:19	130:14 157:19	108:8	establishment
172:10 173:5	42:20,22 50:14	175:7	entered 80:20	42:15
door 91:23 129:5,6	51:9 52:2,5 77:13	electricity 97:2,4	109:15,16	estimate 56:19
	l		l	ļ

estimated 56:5	179:13	86:23 91:5	fair 18:21 21:20	find 18:24 87:9
et 119:24 124:18	exceptional 158:19	explaining 179:25	85:20 95:12	111:22 141:22
127:18	159:21,22,24	explore 13:21 22:2	101:11 109:21	142:3 158:18
ETA 176:1	exchange 64:7	64:21 153:11	178:14	175:8
evacuating 71:22	exhibit 6:1	explored 16:8	fairer 95:23	fine 128:16
evacuation 17:8	exhibits 4:2	express 168:21	fairly 3:9 165:10	fingertips 70:2
24:19 25:5,7,17	exodus 23:19	expressed 23:23	179:11	finish 108:19
25:21 64:6,20	expect 12:4 24:6,17	24:1	fallback 43:4,23	163:22
65:2,7,13,18 66:7	25:15 30:8 35:6	expression 95:13	familiar 6:11,12	finishing 163:19
66:10,14,21,22,23	65:1,17 68:25	117:20	11:13 14:18 15:13	fire 7:17 8:1 9:5,7,8
67:3,17,24 68:4,9	69:17,25 70:6	extent 31:8,13,19	19:3,6 26:21 27:6	10:13 11:3,10,22
68:13,16,24 69:2	71:19 124:7 126:8	48:10	27:10,14,19,22,23	11:24 12:5,7,10
71:14 72:4	126:9 143:15,16	exterior 27:25	28:2,17 29:23	12:19,22,25 14:9
evening 34:23 86:4	143:25 176:16	30:19 31:5,5,18	66:3 72:12 74:4,6	15:1,7,20 16:20
86:6 154:1	expectation 11:22	exteriors 27:12	124:12,23	17:4,6 19:12,22
event 42:1,1,2,11	12:3,6,13 24:20	external 28:8 29:1	far 9:23 11:16	19:25 20:5,11,13
43:15	25:21 31:12 64:14	30:6 145:23 146:1	19:11 85:17	21:9 22:10,22
events 136:13	64:15,20 65:15	152:14	104:23 109:17	23:7,9,15,16,17
149:23	66:19 67:23 68:7	externally 42:9	127:11 128:22	24:4,6,7,8,10 25:8
eventually 27:2	71:3 134:12	extra 41:25	142:18 164:11	25:9,19,23 26:1
everybody 82:1	Expectations 11:19	extremely 181:11	168:22 171:16	26:11,12,13,17
evidence 1:8 3:1	expected 31:3,16		fast 137:5 145:25	27:3,12 28:8,9,10
4:11 6:20 17:19	97:8 128:14 133:1	F	fear 16:15	28:14 29:2,6,10
21:20 63:15 72:6	135:17 143:9,12	facade 28:13 29:3,9	feasibility 66:25	29:13,18 30:7,11
107:25 113:25	expecting 12:21	30:15,18	feasible 137:4	30:14,16,19 31:3
114:18 119:6	expedient 61:10	facades 29:5 30:17	feed 121:24	31:4,11,15 34:15
150:3 152:17	81:10	facilitate 43:10,24	feel 1:18 62:11	35:3,7,16 37:18
153:12 165:19	experience 8:16,16	60:20 65:9,10,13	89:23 164:1	37:18,24 38:4,9
179:12 182:2,5,14	11:23 12:1,10	80:14 99:12 113:3	felt 32:21 151:20	38:12,23,25 39:1
182:18,20 183:3	13:1,23 16:5	facilitating 45:11	Fenton 1:8,10,12	39:5 44:16 45:20
evolved 118:4,5,6	20:21 24:3 25:12	facilities 43:10	1:24 2:1 4:17	46:4,22 47:6
ex-members 73:20	25:25 30:5,17	65:12 68:18	63:14,24 64:2	49:17 50:21,23
73:24	43:16 68:5 71:2	facing 88:11	96:25 98:23 119:4	55:19 57:17 58:5
exact 9:12 20:22	76:10 81:14,15	fact 18:23 19:17	119:11,14,18	62:3,15 64:13,24
27:20 151:8	83:1 89:4,6 91:25	27:1 30:17,20	125:1 138:13	64:25 65:11 66:2
exactly 18:14 21:11	92:3,10,25 99:2	86:17 98:14	165:17 166:7,12	66:10,11 67:2,5
21:17 101:20	124:14 137:10	107:12 109:23	181:5,6,12 182:21	67:21,25 68:5
122:2 126:21	138:1,2 146:4	112:1 131:19	184:2	69:5,5,19 71:3,19
161:5 162:4	147:21 148:3	159:2	fifth 107:1	73:3 76:10,12
examine 21:18	181:14	factor 149:14	figure 20:22	77:19 80:7 81:10
example 23:10 39:5	experienced 83:1	factors 67:6	filled 179:16	81:24 83:10 85:5
46:20 71:16 88:5	147:20,24	facts 136:13	final 149:9 150:13	86:25 89:11,16
109:2 117:17,17	experiences 18:8	fail 24:16,20,24	157:17	91:7 92:2,3,4,5,8
118:7 131:6,7	explain 32:23 33:5	failed 173:17	finalising 160:11	92:13,14,25 94:19
133:4 136:5	explained 39:7	fails 74:3	finally 175:9	95:16,19 97:10

				1490 133
98:5,16 99:4,19	116:4 119:21,23	131:18 135:2,4	76:19 77:6,7,8	71:22 80:23,25
99:22,24,25 100:2	129:2,2 132:2	136:16 141:22,25	102:16 111:3	81:1 115:20
100:18,21 102:6	144:8 145:21	142:4,18 143:1,1	117:22 118:2	135:25 136:25
102:24 104:18	146:4,4,7 151:5	146:15,23 148:24	157:8	144:9 145:18
106:10,13 108:12	152:21 161:19	150:16,20 168:6	fourth 31:8 117:3	146:13
109:19 111:17,21	166:14 176:8	168:11,14,24	frame 55:16 120:13	functions 46:10
112:11 117:4	182:24 183:1	171:12 179:1	fresh 118:16	121:21
124:6,17,18	first-floor 23:11	183:12	friend 131:18	further 27:23 32:16
125:25 130:5,22	first-hand 157:11	floors 5:1 7:16	132:14	32:17 33:19 45:14
131:2,3 133:17	fit 20:20,23	23:17 66:24 67:21	fro 53:6,9	45:17 49:10 70:13
135:1,21 136:15	five 52:20 182:9	68:14 123:17	front 10:5 19:9	96:20 122:6
137:1,4,14 138:19	flames 144:10	136:15,15 171:14	42:5 44:8 65:23	134:14 139:17
140:10,18 141:6	flat 8:2 12:18 13:18	flow 87:18 173:25	129:5,5	142:6 169:3
142:6,14,15,25	17:8,21 22:11,23	flr 117:19 118:2	front-line 9:24 26:1	170:21,22 181:4
143:6,11 144:10	39:14 91:7,11	131:23 179:17	30:21 31:3,16	future 44:7
144:11,11 145:18	92:7 94:16 95:18	flying 94:1	33:12,21	144.7
145:19,19,25	109:3,4,7,9 111:6	focus 9:2	FSG 4:16 5:4 8:8	G
145.19,19,25	111:7 117:5,19	follow 4:23 14:14	9:5 10:18 13:21	gain 24:9 48:5,13
148:1,1,20 149:19	123:17,18,23	15:5 36:21 149:4	14:1 17:25 18:12	gained 48:11,25
151:19,19 152:23	123.17,18,23	177:23 178:4	19:11,17,20 21:6	81:5 93:22
156:15 160:15	124.3 127.17 129:24 130:20	181:19	21:14 37:18 40:16	Garnock 28:6
161:21 172:19		following 25:12	75:12 80:6 81:7	gas 97:2,4
174:3	131:8,16,18,20,21	<u> </u>		gather 40:11,23
fired 59:2	132:16 133:4	66:9 73:1 94:3,6,9 96:20 148:8	81:12 82:3,11,14	47:16,18 124:8
	142:25 143:1		83:4 85:4,15,22	125:14 126:5,8
firefighter 34:12	144:11 145:19	159:18 176:15	87:14,15 89:10	129:11 130:7
firefighters 9:24	171:12 178:13,13	footprint 80:21	91:7 92:1 93:16	132:5 133:15
30:21 31:4,16	178:21,22 179:3,4	foresee 25:1	105:16,22 106:8	140:22
33:10,16 69:9	179:12,17	foreseen 25:2	108:5,25 110:11	gathered 69:22
firefighting 18:3,11	flat/house 21:6	form 40:21 69:16	110:12 120:25	82:16 84:13
26:1 65:25 69:12	125:9,19	70:23 82:10 83:19	124:19 125:7	126:18 127:4,16
70:3	flats 4:25 7:16 24:6	108:14 125:15	126:2 130:9	130:1 131:10
fires 27:14,17,25	136:15 171:14,18	126:10	136:16,18 147:20	133:13
28:6,10	178:17	formal 4:2	148:10,16,19	gathering 127:21
first 2:3 4:10,11,24	flavour 91:17	formally 73:12	158:11,20 163:5	133:6,10
10:11 13:23 14:18	flip 27:9	format 44:23	170:22 172:1	gauge 99:2
19:1,1,23 27:4,9	flipping 6:5	formulate 136:1	FSGs 61:8 63:2	gauge 99.2 general 22:5 28:22
27:14 35:3,20	FLONOPS 54:14	forward 9:9,11,11	80:24 81:16 87:19	28:23 29:1,19
37:5 41:7 52:20	54:15	40:11 45:15 62:19	152:1 158:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
59:1 64:22 65:3	floor 5:22 7:11 8:2	83:3 89:3	full 1:25 17:11 25:5	30:5,5,24 31:2
66:3 72:12 73:9	26:3,3,6 31:9,9,20	forwards 6:7,7	25:7,21 64:6,20	40:5 43:23 54:21
73:15 75:10 76:21	39:14 43:12 66:24	found 99:15 156:12	65:2,6,13,17	55:1 61:20 64:22
77:9 79:2 80:12	79:22 80:12 111:6	160:13	66:14,20 67:3,16	65:15 83:17 91:17
80:15,24 82:18,20	112:14 116:5	four 14:4,6 15:13	68:13,16,24 69:2	91:24 130:24
97:17 100:9	120:5,14,15,17,18	15:15,22,23 42:10	128:24 178:15	generally 6:23 26:9
106:23 112:3,5	120:19 129:3,23	46:6,10,10,16	fully 43:9 44:25	53:8 89:9
L				

generically 143:20	gleaned 38:5	111:1 112:23	89:16 96:17 97:10	38:9,12,23,25
gentle 31:20	gleaning 82:25	117:10 119:4	97:13 100:2,7,10	39:1,6 57:17 58:6
getting 6:22 38:5	go 4:4,22 5:10 7:15	121:3 122:24	100:15 101:2,5,9	62:15 80:7 87:1
40:8,12 47:23	8:14 9:15 13:15	124:23 127:2,6,12	102:6,8,14 104:18	91:7 92:4,5,14
48:3,23 57:2	20:11 23:14 26:5	128:21,22 129:17	107:16,19 108:12	93:1 99:19,23,24
58:20 63:5,9 74:1	27:11 28:21 35:16	134:16,17,21	109:19 110:19,24	99:25 102:25
80:18 83:15 84:5	38:8 40:19 43:6,6	138:5 139:12,17	111:17,21 112:11	106:10,13 124:6
91:7 144:16 171:7	48:16 55:6,9 61:4	139:23 147:8	124:1,4,15 131:3	131:2 135:21
171:8 181:9	62:23 63:17 72:5	151:18 154:3,9	133:17 134:21,24	137:1,5,14 138:19
gist 64:12 170:11	77:2,3,15 79:12	156:9 159:7	135:1,6,7,13,14	147:2 148:2,20
171:1	80:3,17 81:18	163:17,18 168:5	135:17,19 136:2	172:20
give 1:8,25 3:2 5:22	87:9 96:23,24	171:25 172:9	136:19,24 137:8,9	
6:20 9:20 10:8,15	101:11 102:15	182:8,19,22 183:9	137:11 138:5,8,11	H
10:22 13:10 16:14	103:10 105:23	good 1:3,12,13,24	138:15,20,21	half 7:5
17:11 27:20 32:16	108:23 111:18	44:1 63:9 76:4	139:5,14,20,24	halfway 74:13
39:5 49:18 56:21	113:3 117:18,21	114:22 119:17	140:2,9,10,19,24	handheld 53:1
70:13,24 75:1	119:19 123:6,9	161:22 166:9	141:10,11,12,16	handling 11:10
81:24 84:1,15	125:2 127:25	183:4,21	142:9,20 143:6,11	hands 71:21
85:18 89:17 90:9	129:16 131:16	Gotts 113:25 114:3	143:13 144:2	hands-free 58:1
92:11 93:8,9	135:11 143:9	114:4 182:1	146:25 147:7	happen 74:3
94:21 96:5,21	145:4 146:10,14	governance 41:25	151:15,19,19	153:18,25 169:6
116:1,9 122:12	146:16 147:5,19	grabbed 49:22	154:12,20 155:1,6	happened 31:6
129:20 130:21,24	148:7 149:6,14	grateful 181:8,17	158:2 167:24	118:19 143:2
133:11 134:9	153:2 154:6 157:7	greater 16:21 71:17	168:2 169:17	149:23 155:16
135:16,19 137:7	165:22 169:21	greatly 19:19	171:25 172:4,6,7	177:23
143:12 150:15	174:8,12 176:23	green 112:14	172:8,13,17,21	happening 37:17
153:12 170:17	178:16 179:14	Grenfell 4:18 10:13	173:2,4,24 174:3	43:21 82:21 86:14
171:10,20 182:1,4	181:19	12:2,13,25 19:12	175:4,7,9,19	87:22 93:23
given 17:25 18:4,5	goes 12:15 123:25	19:21,25 20:2,4	178:5,9	121:15 135:14
19:20 22:9,21	going 1:5 2:6 3:2,9	20:18,24 21:9	group 6:2 7:15	136:25 138:6,8,10
51:9 60:25 72:25	16:4,11,16 18:13	30:24,25 31:3	35:11,17,21 36:2	139:2 140:19,23
87:13 89:24 90:21	20:5 22:24 36:10	35:4 66:2 68:8	36:9,15,22 42:19	141:17,19 146:24
91:18 92:5,14,15	36:17,19 39:1	176:19	51:1 56:10,13,25	155:18
95:22 97:7,15	40:11,18 42:6	ground 10:17 15:4	57:11 60:19 62:7	happy 2:8 55:13,14
98:5,5,6,19 99:7	44:6 45:15 47:25	15:8,10 17:20	62:8 136:11	83:2 89:5,6
104:19 111:10	51:11 54:24 57:2	18:2,10,17 19:19	152:20,22 153:10	100:12,14 101:21
126:1 129:10	57:17 58:6,16	21:4 37:13 38:18	155:5,8 156:1,24	102:12 160:8
130:8,11,15,22	62:17,18 63:3,14	39:8,21 40:17	157:20	175:2
135:21 141:3,8,13	73:8 78:18,24	42:8 44:11,14,16	Groxny 27:12	hard 131:24
143:18 170:7,8,15	79:22 80:2,5,17	45:21 46:22 52:14	guess 56:19	harm 16:22
170:18 179:22	80:17 87:19 88:14	53:10,12,18,24	guesstimate 57:6	head 20:15 49:21
giving 67:14 86:12	89:9 90:12 93:11	57:18 58:22 69:19	guidance 7:17 8:2	113:8,15 114:23
90:4 91:14 92:10	95:4,14 100:25	76:10 79:22 81:10	9:5 11:7 14:9	heading 57:20
94:3 147:1 160:8	105:12 106:2	81:24 83:10 85:5	15:2,8 20:5,11,13	headquarters
171:4 182:14	108:13 109:6,19	86:16 88:19 89:11	23:9 29:12 37:18	41:21 76:14 155:6

156:1	136:17	identical 160:17	50:19 51:10,12,14	157:15 158:2,13
heads 134:5	high-rise 25:13	identification	52:6,7,12,14,23	159:3,19 160:1,7
headset 85:13,13	30:7 31:5,17	179:20	53:4,8,10,12,18	160:18 162:2,17
104:22	64:23 67:1,23	identified 102:17	53:24 54:1,12,18	163:1,11 166:22
headsets 115:22	68:7 71:4 95:18	identifier 4:12	54:19 56:11 57:9	167:3,7,18,24
hear 1:5 38:22 39:1	highlight 9:1	identify 4:9,23 7:19	57:18 58:4,14,22	168:2 169:17
40:1,20 52:23	116:24	15:17	59:14 60:1,12,21	171:24 172:4,4,6
53:4,5,6,11 54:12	HOM00001124	identifying 8:13	61:4 62:7,14,16	172:7,8,13,17,21
54:18,19 56:12	11:1	ignite 31:18	62:17,18,25 63:3	173:2,4,24 174:11
57:16 58:4 181:3	home 77:13	igniting 31:5	64:23 65:1,4	175:4,7,9,19
182:22 183:18	honest 48:11 57:5	imagine 138:14,14	66:17,20 67:6,9	176:3 177:10
heard 35:3 37:1	76:21 115:11	immediate 12:16	67:12,15 68:25	178:1,1,5,8,9
38:13 39:6,12	137:16	59:24 96:4	69:2,14,16,19,22	incidents 32:14
47:3 56:13,17,24	honestly 150:21	immediately 69:18	69:25 70:6 71:6	76:13
58:5,7 59:15 62:3	151:21	69:25 101:24	71:20 72:3,18,22	include 9:6 10:16
62:9 173:14	hope 181:5,15	impaired 71:15,24	72:25 75:11,13	53:17 124:16,16
182:20	182:3	imparted 90:19	86:16 88:6,19	included 123:17
hearing 1:4 40:5,15	hours 37:17 74:15	implementation	96:17,23 97:13,18	134:6
56:10 59:13 62:6	74:18 77:19 100:6	22:13	97:20,21 98:2,6	including 123:18
183:23	145:6 161:18	implemented 67:4	98:14 99:16 100:7	incoming 137:18
heat 26:2 39:19	house 9:7,8 10:7,14	68:22 73:8 136:18	100:9,15 101:1,4	inconsistent 73:4
69:7 92:8 117:4	11:3 13:7 16:5,25	important 28:13	101:5,9,12,23	incorrect 36:12
124:17 141:7	17:1,10 18:7	87:15 123:21,24	102:7,13 105:12	independent 73:17
142:18	20:14 23:9 40:13	124:4 171:3	106:14 107:16,19	73:18
heavy 125:23	47:23 55:24 61:16	172:12 173:3	108:23 110:19,23	INDEX 184:1
131:14,23 178:24	72:8,11 73:11 92:7	impossibility 171:13	110:24 122:11	indicate 1:19 22:25
179:2,17 height 68:18	HQ 43:6	impression 82:11	123:25 124:4,15 125:16 129:20	indicates 66:16 indirect 45:22
held 96:9 112:5	hr 32:3	93:12 122:16	130:1 134:21,24	individual 5:11
hell 82:8	hug 26:5	improvement 13:7	135:6,7,13,14,17	22:9,21 89:18
help 2:4 11:8 13:23	hundreds 153:3	incessantly 114:1,9	135:18 136:2,3,5	92:6 96:6 97:24
36:1 78:7 91:4,24	hypothetical 98:24	incident 7:18 10:17	136:8,17,24 137:8	97:25 107:20
106:17 116:14	99:1	15:4,8,10 17:19	137:9,11,13 138:8	143:22 155:21
118:5 129:6 132:9		17:20,24 18:2,10	138:11,14,17,20	179:21
132:18 138:16	I	18:17 19:19 21:4	138:21 139:1,5,5	individually 5:10
153:23 176:7	i.e 23:16	23:8 35:2,21 36:2	139:7,7,9,10,12	individuals 170:9
177:25 178:3	IC 56:17,25 66:9	36:3,5,8,14,17,20	139:14,16,20,23	170:24
179:25 180:13	72:23 158:19,22	37:7,11,13,17,24	140:2,3,9,23	influence 107:12
helped 150:12,13	163:3	38:7,17,18 39:8	141:10,11,12,16	influenced 18:5
181:15	ICP 40:3,6 125:5	39:21 40:17,18,21	142:9,19 143:13	influencing 149:14
helpful 43:25	125:12	41:6,11,14,15	144:2 146:25	inform 43:2 49:20
147:18 171:3	ICs 72:25	42:1,2,6,8,11,17	147:7,8 149:10	65:6 80:16 154:12
181:11	idea 46:5 88:17	44:11,14,17 45:15	151:15 152:4,5,9	155:7
helps 177:14	110:10 168:21	45:21 46:2,2	152:11,12,13	information 5:4,6
high 35:2 65:25	ideal 138:17	47:18,19 48:17	154:12,20 155:1,5	7:21 17:19,23

				Page 196
10 1 4 0 16 20 7	124 20 22 25	150.20	44 15 01 12	02.24.06.6.12
18:1,4,9,16 20:7	134:20,23,25	158:20	44:15 91:13	82:24 86:6,12
20:25 38:5,19	135:8,10 137:13	ingredients 148:13	124:16 125:20	88:4 89:4,7,12,22
40:11,23,24 47:9	137:21 138:15	Initial 125:5	127:8,17 136:16	90:13,18 91:17
47:15,18 48:5,13	139:11,25 140:4	initially 61:15	143:6 151:11	93:12,18,21 95:3
48:23,25 49:8,10	140:11,13,18	input 93:8 105:17	167:14	99:24 108:6,17
49:11 59:1,5 65:4	141:8,9,12,16	161:1	involvement 73:16	110:20 112:12,19
67:12 69:22 70:7	142:19 143:11,18	INQ00000195	147:25 148:1	114:15 116:12
70:9,10,11,14,16	143:24 144:1	178:16	152:21,22	122:14 123:2
70:17,22,23,25	146:14 147:1	inquiries 2:5	issue 32:21,24	142:1 147:1,11
71:5,5,7,8,10,21	148:4,9,14,23	inquiry 1:23,25	issues 32:19 33:4,6	149:6,11,19
72:2 74:24 80:7	150:7,13,18 152:2	2:13 3:2 64:4	34:18	150:19 151:8,11
81:4,5,9,12,17,18	152:12,15 154:25	108:17 152:17	items 126:17	164:6,14
81:20 82:1,16	155:11 156:11,14	182:15 184:2	127:16 129:25	Joanne 77:25 78:4
83:4,15 84:12,15	156:18 157:6,9,14	inside 26:6 111:7	J	78:24 79:14 90:10
85:22 87:15,18	158:6 160:14,22	123:18 124:3		115:9 120:16,24
88:6,18 89:10	161:12 162:10	141:17	Jackie 162:22,23	122:4,4 123:1
91:2 93:23 94:1	163:13,15 164:16	instances 109:22	January 2:15 Jason 5:5 7:21 8:1	128:2 146:11
97:7,8,14 98:19	168:22 169:3,5,20	institute 169:11		147:20 150:3
98:20 99:2,7,18	170:17,22,23,25	instructed 171:16	78:23 79:15,25	151:2 154:9,19
99:20 101:3 103:1	171:9,11,15,15,24	instruction 103:5	88:4,15 89:8,22 102:19 103:5,15	156:16 164:9
103:2,10,16 108:5	172:3,8,12,14,17	104:19 108:2	102.19 103.5,15	170:5
108:7,7,12 109:18	173:4,8,10,22,23	130:21,24 133:11	104.23 100.3,11	Joanne's 147:24
110:11,12,13,16	173:24 177:10	instructions 134:1	108:2 109:12,18	job 115:20 126:15
110:21 111:5,11	178:12 179:17,23	134:10 143:13	110:13,20 112:7,9	128:13 138:16
111:12,17,18,20	180:1,4	intelligence 26:10	110:13,20 112:7,9	jobs 122:1,3 132:23
112:10,11,24	information-gath	intended 111:13	114:24 115:8,12	jog 153:7
114:15 116:7,15	70:14 82:20 124:7	intensive 71:14	115:23 116:10,18	jointly 99:23
116:22,25 117:15	124:10	intention 111:15	117:13 118:10	journey 52:22 55:1
118:11,13,15,17	information-reco	intercom 65:11	119:22 120:3,7	59:25 61:2 77:17
121:14 123:13,14	143:22	68:19	123:12 126:15,20	July 1:1 27:1
123:17,22,24,25	informations 149:8	interested 141:14	127:5,12 120:13,20	183:23
124:2,15,21 125:6	informative 21:4	141:15 168:15	127.3,12 129.4,10	June 3:7,12,17,21
125:7,8,11,15,15	100:6 101:1,18	internal 42:1,17	131:1 132:9,24	12:2 66:1
125:16 126:5,7,8	135:16,18,20,24	internally 26:16	131.1 132.9,24	K
126:9,17 127:2,4	136:6,9,12,23	35:12 66:6	134:11 135:5,12	Keane 182:22,24
127:6,12,16,21	138:23 139:20	interpret 136:22	143:10,12,15,24	183:1,2,19
128:4,10,12,21,21	147:6,9 163:2	interrogate 142:21	143:10,12,13,24	keep 12:22 17:3
128:25 129:9,11	164:23 177:21	introduced 79:23	157:7 168:8	18:18 94:7 102:23
129:13,14,16,19	informed 18:18	introducing 79:21	169:10	103:8,17
129:21 130:1,6,17	19:16 35:1 36:16	inundated 140:4	Jason's 8:9	keeping 88:14
130:19 131:2,3	38:2 40:22,25	152:13	JETS 136:19	90:23 108:3
132:15,21,22	41:5 74:20 86:6	invite 1:7	Jo 4:20 78:8,12	keeps 152:9
133:3,7,10,12,15	89:8,13 100:12	involve 167:9,14	79:24,25 80:1,16	Keeps 132.9 Kensington 176:25
133:17,19 134:4,5	102:5 176:25	involved 13:12	81:8 82:16,18,20	177:6
134:13,15,16,18	informing 51:12	16:12 17:13 24:11	01.0 02.10,10,20	1//.0

	I		I	I
kept 19:16	151:8,17 152:24	61:12,18 62:2,13	19:12 26:10,16	lobbies 169:17
key 101:14 105:13	154:20 158:10	63:1 77:8 144:23	28:2 29:16,21	171:5
175:24	161:24 162:3,14	144:25 145:6	73:16,21,24 97:22	local 70:12 97:2
keys 49:23	162:16,20,23,23	147:13 164:22	98:6	locate 132:6
kind 6:3 22:25 39:5	163:7,9 164:9	Leader's 51:20	LFB's 11:1	located 43:3,5
39:13 40:17 89:23	169:5 171:4	learning 9:16 26:15	LFB00003751 72:9	location 16:22
104:12	173:16,19 174:17	73:13	liaison 97:2 158:24	37:21 43:12 47:19
kinds 59:12	176:2,9 177:3,6	learnt 9:7 13:6	159:4 160:3	60:21 76:7 124:17
Kinnier 183:2	180:15,19,23	26:16 100:9,16	light 60:25 66:18	124:18 125:21,23
knew 36:25 44:4	181:25	102:1 148:25	175:19 176:2	129:24 143:4,8
50:18 60:19 61:24	knowing 51:10	leave 40:9,12 47:24	liked 44:24 45:2	locations 133:21
62:8,9 121:3	60:21 61:7 68:6	48:3,24 49:14,17	138:18	lock 43:3
148:18,20,22	76:6 122:2 139:23	50:6 56:2 58:20	limited 29:6 40:24	log 57:9 58:14
150:19 157:22	knowledge 13:24	87:9 97:4 140:12	99:6	75:13,20 87:10,10
162:15	16:24 157:11	158:9,21 170:20	limits 165:9,10	87:16 96:23 97:5
know 5:2 10:1,20	158:1	170:21	line 17:25 42:5 44:8	101:12 105:12
11:8 13:6,10	known 23:21 30:20	leaving 49:9,11	50:9,12,13 99:7	108:23 136:5,8
15:14 16:10 18:6	30:20 50:20,21	56:8 57:1 61:16	102:23 103:8,18	147:8 161:2
18:16 19:11 20:13	60:19 99:20	95:19	107:1 108:3	162:18 163:1,11
23:20,22 25:7,13	110:18 113:24	led 13:7 42:25 44:2	116:21	174:11 177:10
25:15 30:3 32:5	117:11 125:25	62:12,25 149:14	lines 22:7 44:22	logged 48:24 96:19
36:23,24 37:6	130:5	Lee 3:17 32:11	50:15 52:20 64:10	123:7,7 160:20
39:20,22,25 43:21		74:16,20,25 75:3	102:16 126:23	161:11,18,24
50:18,25 51:1,6	<u>L</u>	75:16 76:17 77:9	161:15 180:20	162:8,11 163:9,10
55:19 59:16 61:4	labour 175:22	left 48:11 49:1,23	links 34:10	163:14 165:1
68:1,13 70:21	Lakanal 9:7,8 10:7	50:18 51:23 55:16	list 7:16 8:8 76:20	logging 160:25
74:19,24 76:18	10:14 11:3,6,10	55:25 58:19 62:20	77:4,5 116:8	164:4
79:23,25,25 82:5	13:6 16:5,24 17:1	112:1,3 116:11	123:21 125:11,18	loggist 3:16 155:22
82:15 83:25 84:4	17:10 18:7,13	171:18	136:13	162:12,13,14,15
84:8,19,22 85:15	20:14 72:11 73:11	left-hand 28:11	listed 69:18 70:18	162:18,20,24
86:16,20,25 89:7	147:21 148:1	117:22,25 131:22	126:17	163:10 176:11,12
89:15,17,23 91:15	LALO 97:4	179:15	listen 37:16 38:17	logic 92:9
94:12 97:9 99:17	landlines 180:20	length 147:3	40:10 53:2 90:2,3	logistics 45:25
101:25 104:16,17	laptop 47:7,22 48:1	lengthy 11:5	90:6	46:13
105:1,4,8,21	48:7,18,20,21,22	lessons 9:7 13:6	listened 91:16	logs 109:14
107:7,9,18 110:15	48:22 49:3,4 59:2	26:16	listening 38:7,20,22	London 34:5 70:25
111:20 112:19	large 41:25 121:10	let's 4:10 10:24	40:15 53:14,16,20	161:21
115:8,9 121:14	136:16 148:10,15	11:16 27:22 55:15	53:20	long 3:9 23:20 42:7
124:4,13 126:12	148:18	83:11 108:19	little 32:17 55:9	55:10 56:23 74:7
134:23 135:7	late 164:11	129:1 148:16	64:21 77:4 108:19	78:12 97:22
137:14 138:4	latest 124:19 126:1	154:5	153:7 166:12	104:16,17,24
139:2 140:19	130:8,11,15	letters 22:14	174:9	147:25
141:14 144:19	layout 113:5	level 80:22 85:17	live 182:23	long-term 33:9
145:1 147:25	Leader 47:3,21	levels 99:9	loads 59:11 113:22	44:6
149:22 150:19,19	49:5 60:7,18	LFB 11:3,7 14:19	139:11	longer 41:11 55:6,9

				_
look 5:25 6:12 7:12	lost 80:8 85:22	128:13 132:25	161:19,22 163:12	164:23 174:15,17
8:21 10:25 11:11	108:8	133:1 136:11	164:18 169:1,2	174:24,25 175:11
11:17 14:15,16	lot 5:12 8:24 34:11	143:16 152:20,22	171:10 179:7	176:5,23 177:21
17:2 18:24 23:6	53:6 54:24 73:7	153:10 156:24	180:3,16	177:22
26:22 31:23 33:10	81:2 82:8 93:23	177:12	meaning 175:7	messages 10:4,7
44:5,16 45:18	93:25,25 113:4	managers 75:25	means 33:6 50:22	21:4 39:21 40:6
47:7,16 48:1,8,18	114:11 155:11	76:11 77:6 121:21	93:3 131:6 138:25	52:17 53:17 57:10
48:20,21 49:2,7	177:9	manner 73:4	142:16	57:17 58:16,21
58:14 59:3 70:8,9	lots 19:13 93:16	March 8:18	meant 59:6 61:17	59:11,12,16 87:16
71:12 72:17,19	lower 23:10	mark 57:10 101:13	93:14 96:12	89:16 102:6 104:6
73:2 74:9 81:7,8	LRG 176:25	MARTIN 1:3,9,11	mechanism 156:2	105:19,20 106:2
83:11 87:11	lunch 123:8	1:14,17,22 24:25	media 42:9	109:1,11,24 110:1
101:13 111:22		55:6,10 63:8,12	meet 29:11	110:4 118:5
122:13 125:2	M	63:20,24 92:21	meeting 153:21	138:24
126:10 131:21	magnitude 31:6	95:23 96:3 108:21	member 175:18	MET00005290 3:6
132:15 138:9	main 11:2 73:9	119:2,12,14,17	members 17:3	MET000080569
144:4 145:9 154:7	136:18	133:25 134:3,9,19	47:13 73:20	2:16
158:15,17 160:12	maintain 33:11,21	138:12 163:18,20	memories 18:15	MET00016912
174:13 175:12,22	42:3 44:10 46:1	165:14,16,22,25	memory 101:21	179:16
179:14,19	maintained 44:18	166:7,9 181:12,16	120:19 121:10,11	MET00017094 4:4
looked 87:11	maintenance 43:22	181:23 182:24	151:18 152:7	4:10
114:17 123:8,10	major 60:1 136:17	183:4,8,14,16,21	153:5,7,19 164:11	MET00017390 4:5
125:1 127:1 131:9	make-up 42:14	mass 23:19	mention 30:13	6:2 7:16 117:18
140:25 141:14	make-ups 61:7	massive 81:4	135:1 159:13	131:13
147:9 152:18	making 34:4 57:19	master 26:22	mentioned 10:20	method 29:4
161:3 174:9,11,15	57:25 58:8 59:7	111:23	13:18 18:13 46:5	Metropolitan 60:1
looking 2:19 6:8	59:16 85:21	mate 178:23	52:2 68:17 76:2	middle 11:20 12:15
15:12 21:3 26:18	106:22 118:8	material 29:4	114:14 122:20	78:22 119:20
28:20 30:5 33:8	137:8 151:1 162:2	matter 71:2 89:8	131:1 133:14	120:1 168:6,12
40:23 42:7 45:25	169:13	92:9 101:16	147:3 150:21	Millett 1:5,7,17,22
46:25 59:9 67:8	male 118:3,4,22,23	158:10 174:22	156:20 170:5	1:24 25:11 55:4,8
75:1,13 77:4 78:2	Malone 77:6	maximise 33:21	173:21 174:25	55:15 63:8,10
79:14,14 81:6,19	manage 21:2	McConochie	Merton 41:21 43:3	64:2 92:24 96:10
82:17,21 100:1	managed 73:13	162:22,23	43:6,19,19,21,22	108:19,22 118:25
102:9 105:20,21	132:6	mean 14:1 22:18	43:24 80:21 121:9	119:11,13,18
109:10 111:2	management 14:16	23:3 24:13 27:15	message 38:11 43:1	134:20 138:13,23
117:24 125:11	32:20 33:7,9,18	31:9 35:24 54:14	56:24 85:4 86:15	163:19,21 165:12
129:1 130:2 154:7	34:10 41:25 70:13	60:9 68:12 69:20	86:17 99:17 101:3	165:15 166:5,10
158:18 163:1,25	73:8	75:15 92:9 94:9	101:6,18,20 102:2	166:11 181:1,6,23
looks 4:13 6:3	manager 3:17	106:9 113:25	102:6 105:13,17	181:24 183:1,6,11
27:19,22 28:1	35:11,17,21 36:2	114:5 118:22	105:22 117:18	183:15,20
48:7 77:23 103:11	36:10,15,22 51:1	120:19 132:19	118:3,6 135:16,18	Mills 51:24 155:4
118:3 129:5	56:10,13,25 57:11	140:8 142:15	135:20 136:1,6,9	155:13,14,14,24
131:24 132:14	60:19 62:7,8	146:2,3 149:22	136:23 147:7	157:2,7
159:2 180:9	76:15 78:24	150:21 159:24	158:7 163:2,4	mind 55:9 56:19
	=			

61.02 106.01	monitor 26:1.7	150.22	21.0 26.7 20.12	117:10
61:23 106:21	monitor 36:1,7	158:23	21:9 26:7 30:13	117:19
114:8 125:10	136:19 138:9	nature 90:7,18	30:16 31:2,6,15	notes 3:11 107:24
126:4 140:14,17	monitoring 35:11	navigate 8:25	32:8 34:15,16,22	110:11
141:20 146:20	35:16,24 36:10,11	nearly 8:15	39:12 42:22 43:17	notified 35:7,9
mine 47:2 51:20	36:15 47:17 72:10	necessarily 25:18	43:23 45:7 46:12	November 11:4
minute 123:10	140:7,8,9	28:4,17 34:2	66:2 71:3 77:10	number 7:18 21:6
146:17 147:9	MOORE-BICK	43:13 68:21 107:7	77:12 91:12 94:23	39:15 42:14 63:2
166:14	1:3,9,11,14,17,22	129:14	94:25 95:20,21	67:20 72:24 81:7
minuted 96:19	24:25 55:6,10	necessary 32:21	96:7 98:25 99:21	82:2,3,7 87:22,24
minutes 31:10	63:8,12,20,24	41:23 66:13 68:15	101:21 115:16	88:13,24 89:2
55:11,22 56:3	92:21 95:23 96:3	95:16 122:5	126:4 127:11,11	106:14,16 107:24
57:3 77:18 78:15	108:21 119:2,12	182:18	128:9 129:18	124:16 125:9,19
146:9 156:17	119:14,17 133:25	necessity 121:2	131:10 133:20,24	125:20 127:17
165:3,18	134:3,9,19 138:12	122:23	135:24 136:10	135:22 136:16
missed 74:21	163:18,20 165:14	need 1:18 2:10	137:2,12,16	137:2,18 138:1
mixture 7:2	165:16,22,25	16:16 29:8 33:15	149:23 151:17,24	148:10,16,18
mobile 3:21 50:2,3	166:7,9 181:12,16	33:24,25 44:5,5,8	152:22 153:1,25	176:1 182:8
50:5 51:15,19	181:23 182:24	62:18,25 67:16	155:15,20,24	numbers 4:3 8:23
52:9 53:3 59:7	183:4,8,14,16,21	70:4 71:17 72:3	156:15 160:15	20:6,23,24 111:6
75:2,2,5 88:15	morning 1:3,12,13	85:23 92:11 102:1	161:11 162:24	123:17,18,19,23
104:14,18 106:5	1:24 5:8,20 6:14	126:16 138:4	169:12 172:25	numerous 40:15
106:20 107:3,9	45:18 54:22 57:15	145:4 149:20	173:16	43:8 45:10 58:21
116:20 129:15	64:7 72:7 91:6	154:14 166:19	noise 47:3 80:22,22	91:12 96:7 114:11
156:3,3,3	96:20 107:25	176:2	81:2 114:12	115:3,4,7 128:25
mobiles 156:4	120:8 131:9 154:1	needed 25:8 42:21	noisy 81:3	151:17 152:14
mobilise 57:21	180:5	44:25 45:8 46:1,3	non-stop 128:25	153:24
58:10 60:7,23	move 13:20 16:21	48:12 52:13 59:18	normal 43:23 50:14	
62:2 75:22	33:15 145:25	60:17 61:18 62:12	81:17 83:6,13	0
mobilise/self-mo	moved 83:3 142:25	71:23 87:12 93:17	86:22 95:18,22	o'clock 119:7
61:12	movements 143:22	126:21 129:16	106:6,7,8,10	155:22 180:4
mobilised 36:9,13	moving 6:7,7 12:19	148:11 167:1,2,5	127:23 159:17,22	182:25 183:1,10
59:21 60:11,22	13:25 45:23	177:7	normally 35:12	183:18
76:17 77:9 80:19	multiple 20:17,23	needing 135:22	41:21,22 43:3	O'Loughlin 32:7,8
mobilising 41:12	37:18 38:9,12,23	needs 92:15 150:8	45:3,9 50:15	34:17 35:1 36:13
47:12 60:6 76:16	38:24 62:14	negatively 65:16	66:15 70:17 76:7	36:16 37:6 50:20
MODE 136:20	133:21,22,22	never 87:7 114:8	86:25 87:20 94:14	50:22
module 34:9,10	multitude 87:22	125:10 145:24	121:13 126:12	O'Loughlin's 37:12
moment 6:6 18:21	128:9	new 29:4 89:9	127:22,25 130:6	objectives 33:12
22:4 36:9 37:4	mutually 150:6	132:14 171:1,4	Norman 79:6,7	42:4
50:18 64:16 70:18	TAT	172:1	82:24 89:7 107:18	observations 128:4
76:13 88:5 96:12	<u>N</u>	news 121:17,24	113:11,13,14	obtain 17:23
119:1 125:10	name 1:25 114:5	144:9,17	151:10 170:5	obviously 40:20
156:10 158:15	162:20,22 181:9	night 4:17 6:13 8:4	182:10	59:6 78:2 106:18
165:13 181:10	names 77:5	12:25 19:21,25	north-east 80:13	123:5 153:11
182:16	national 11:7 23:21	20:2,4,6,8,12,23	note 3:6,23 111:2	162:15 182:5
	•	•	•	•

	<u> </u>	1	1	
occasion 17:21	5:21,24 7:6 11:16	169:10 181:3,5	117:13 118:9	outer 165:9,10
occasions 112:9	12:9 13:14,14	182:21	124:8,10 143:9	outline 4:23
116:23	18:19 19:8 20:19	Oliff's 8:1 173:1	147:24 154:11,22	outside 4:14 13:18
occupant 16:21	21:18 22:3,17	OLOUGHLIN	156:23 157:5	17:7 25:23 30:10
65:5 180:1	27:22 28:19 30:15	136:14	164:15 171:11	94:17 127:23
occupants 71:15	30:16 33:1 34:20	once 44:7 61:4 81:5	178:22,24 179:2,6	129:8 141:4,15
100:19 130:23	39:4,24 41:18	102:23 157:13	179:7 180:10	144:10 157:3
143:23	42:25 46:15 48:15	171:18 176:14	182:1	outstanding 176:21
occupier's 66:10	49:13,24 54:3,17	ones 155:21	operator's 14:2	overall 150:17
67:25 68:5	56:9,20 57:4,14	online 9:8,10,14,15	85:2 93:7	oversee 128:18
occupiers 25:6	58:2,13 60:3 62:6	10:5,7,14	operators 12:4 13:8	overseeing 117:9
occur 138:3	72:15 74:6,6 75:8	open 47:7,21 48:1	13:11 14:5,11	overspoke 104:2
October 27:2 29:17	77:1 78:11,20	49:4,5,8 102:24	16:7 22:10 39:23	overview 48:17
offered 87:21 88:12	84:5 85:20 87:3	103:8,18 108:3	78:25 84:3,10,24	60:14 86:13 115:6
officer 8:10 9:5	89:19 90:16 94:20	116:21,21	89:5,24 91:14	138:10
15:2,3 18:16,17	95:11 97:16 98:12	opening 48:22	112:25 115:21,24	overwhelmed 89:1
33:18 34:3 36:4	103:11 110:9	opens 48:7	126:19 127:3,8,9	106:16
36:11 41:9 43:2	114:7 117:16	operated 146:20	127:16,21,25	owners 24:17
47:17 50:12,14	118:21,25 120:10	operation 83:13	128:5 134:4,7,10	
75:22,24 78:22	120:13 122:9,22	operational 9:5,21	139:22 151:8,12	P
79:5,16 94:13	131:14 132:12	9:22 15:2,3 16:2,6	170:6,7,24 171:4	PA 25:5
97:3 113:7,10,14	144:21 145:13	18:16 32:3,5,15	171:22	package 10:19
122:10 137:11	148:6 150:24	41:10,11 43:1,17	operators' 16:13	73:11
140:7,8,9 149:16	153:9 156:2	46:14,21 52:1,12	opportunity 38:6	pad 83:19
158:24 159:5	162:25 165:5,12	60:15 70:8,15	38:16 137:6	page 4:11,13,22,24
175:8	167:8 169:14	75:21,24 76:10,11	opposed 160:25	4:25 6:7,8,8,9,9
officer's 35:17,24	176:23 178:6	79:16 97:9 122:10	option 69:15	7:14,16 8:21,22
52:25	179:9 181:1	137:11,25 138:2	ORD 70:22 71:4	11:10,17 15:14,16
officers 33:14,15	Oliff 5:5 6:20 7:21	149:16 173:23	order 24:19 69:16	19:1,9 22:6,7
45:5,10 46:7	78:23 79:25 88:4	177:13,13 178:4,8	70:2 116:15	27:11,24,24 28:7
47:13 50:16 57:21	89:8,22 102:20	operationally	123:21 136:24	28:21 31:23 32:1
59:17 60:11,15	103:5,15 106:5,20	135:14	148:17 177:7	34:21 37:15,15
63:6 76:4 78:17	107:2 108:2	operations 15:3	182:7	38:6 44:21,22
78:19 79:4,17,18	109:12 110:13,20	44:15 69:12 78:24	ordered 59:8 99:15	46:25 48:16 51:4
97:9 107:20 121:2	112:7,21 114:15	135:25	ordinary 82:13	51:22 52:21 58:15
121:20,20,25	114:24 116:18	operative 157:1	organisation 33:16	58:17,21 59:9,10
122:24 123:3	119:22 120:3,7	operator 13:2	orientate 111:24	59:10,11 60:4
139:11 151:3	123:12 126:15,20	22:22 23:1,10	origin 26:2	61:2 64:10 65:23
162:16,17	127:5,12 129:4,10	80:23 81:22 83:8	original 180:4	66:6 71:12 72:17
offices 80:13	130:21 131:1	83:9,14,16 84:14	originate 30:4	73:6 74:14 77:3
official 11:2	132:9,24 133:4,7	84:20,25 85:12,15	ORSO 75:22,23	77:16 78:21 79:19
Oh 15:7 60:16	133:12 134:1	85:15,24 89:15	76:16	96:24 100:4
OIC 4:17,19,19	135:5,12 143:10	90:3,7 93:2,7,9	ORSOs 76:17	101:11,13 102:15
159:9	143:12,15 155:1	99:10,11,13	OSCAR 136:20	105:12 107:1
okay 1:21 3:5,19,25	157:7 168:8	101:14 115:24	outcome 21:14	108:1,1,24 111:2

117:18 119:19,20	111:3 123:10	18:1 81:21 117:15	153:13 166:16,20	60:20,23
119:21,23 120:1	125:2 126:18	118:12 124:15	167:2,5	phones 113:15,22
123:9 125:3	146:10 154:7	125:12 127:5	persisted 12:3,25	113:23 114:11
131:13,16,17	156:10 158:17	129:14 133:15	persisting 12:12	115:19 152:10
136:8 144:4 145:5	163:24	169:20 173:6	person 60:13 65:5	169:13 170:10
147:10 154:6,8	paragraphs 11:11	passing 17:20	79:13 95:25 103:9	phoning 94:16
156:9 158:18	11:17 66:6	58:21 83:8 104:10	104:22 115:19	139:12
160:12 161:15	parallel 108:12	125:7 131:2,4	117:19,20 118:3	photographs 27:11
163:1,25 168:6,7	part 10:2 14:3 34:5	Patrick 2:1	143:4	28:2,6
168:12,12,13	45:22 47:11 65:22	pause 83:11 183:13	personal 162:12,14	phrase 120:11
170:1 174:14,20	66:10,19 67:24	pausing 9:6,10 12:1	163:10	phrased 103:11
175:23,23 176:23	68:4 69:13,16	103:4	personally 122:11	physical 6:24 143:3
177:16 178:19	72:6 83:22 90:14	pay 73:18	personnel 69:4	physically 102:10
179:16	90:16,16 91:20	pay 73.18 peaks 34:1	182:19	102:11
paged 38:1,3 61:15	110:24 119:20,21	pen 112:5		
80:19	110.24 119.20,21	_ _	persons 24:11 39:15 71:16	pick 31:9 38:19 41:13 53:8 58:8
		pending 42:10		
pager 43:1	123:25 124:9	pensioner 178:24	124:16 125:20	108:23
pages 4:22 6:5	149:9 159:12	179:2,19,21,24	127:17 136:16	picked 5:9,16 6:14
26:25 27:9,10	162:17 170:23	180:2	Peter 154:23	6:25 7:3 38:14
178:19	171:21	people 4:25 11:24	182:10,11	113:23 114:12
paging 50:11,15	partial 24:19 25:16	12:11 17:4,21	phase 13:21,25	pictorial 122:12
pan-London 33:11	64:6 65:2 66:13	23:18 69:6 76:9	14:4	146:23 149:9,16
pandemic 42:1,12	66:21,22,23 67:16	98:17 99:4 111:7	phased 25:21 64:20	150:2
43:16	68:16	112:14 115:5	65:6,13,18	picture 81:25 87:18
panic 23:19	partially 71:22	123:18 124:3	phone 35:12 49:19	121:5 138:7
paper 6:12,13,15	participate 112:20	128:10 135:22	49:19 50:2,4,13	140:22,25 141:3
6:21,22,22,23,25	112:22	151:4 153:22	52:18,19 56:6,14	144:8
7:3 83:18,20,21	particular 28:18	158:4 168:15	57:19 74:21 75:2	pictures 27:16,18
83:22 84:3,6,8	42:14 61:22 76:2	169:24 171:9	75:3,5 78:23	piece 99:20 115:23
114:17,20,23	76:9 79:4 142:24	172:10 173:7	80:25 82:10 83:20	116:6,15,24 118:9
115:1,7,23 116:6	160:11 173:6	178:2 181:25	85:13 88:16	132:13 178:11
116:16,25 128:6	178:11,13 180:9	perceive 99:11	102:22,23,23	179:24 180:1
130:18 131:10	particularly 17:24	perception 124:9	103:12,15,20	pieces 6:12,13,15
132:1,3,6,13,20	18:11 123:23	perform 60:8 88:3	104:5,8,12,12,22	6:16,21,21,22,23
133:5,21 134:8	171:6	116:11 133:2	104:24,25 106:25	6:25 7:2,4 83:18
179:5,23,25	partly 43:25	143:17	107:2 109:12	83:21,22 84:3,6,8
paper's 118:10	parts 64:18 113:4	performed 128:14	112:10 113:18,20	114:17,20,23
papers 7:9,10	128:12 154:1	performing 98:20	114:1,9,11,13	115:1,7 128:5
Para 19:15	party 163:12	period 16:11 37:2	115:3,18 116:20	130:18 132:1,3,6
paragraph 8:23	170:10,25 171:20	39:3 55:3,25 86:3	116:21 128:9	132:8,19 133:5,21
11:20,21 12:15	pass 36:17 104:5	93:24 109:14	129:15,17 151:17	134:7 179:4,23
16:4 19:14 37:14	125:6 152:11	141:25 147:16	152:7,14 153:1,3	pile 5:8,9,11,21
47:1 52:20 71:13	171:15	161:3 164:24	155:20 156:3,3	piled 5:14
72:17,19,20 73:9	passage 9:2	176:13	161:8 171:8,10	piles 5:21
74:14 108:1 111:3	passed 5:4 17:22	permission 60:25	phoned 41:3 50:10	pinpoint 18:14

57:6,7 78:1	points 25:9 61:21	post-change 171:1	14:11 15:13,15,22	34:7
145:14 147:11	111:4	post-Lakanal 72:7	15:23 30:6	programme 9:9,10
pinpointed 79:17	police 2:14 4:11	potential 89:1 99:8	prior 38:5 56:7	9:14 10:5 34:8
place 13:3,4 18:15	60:1 75:6 131:11	potentially 42:10	81:14,15	progress 17:23
23:20 25:6,9,14	175:7	70:12 88:25 98:13	priorities 104:24	18:2,4,10,18 21:5
25:15 33:15 34:13	policies 14:6,16	176:9	prioritise 45:12	49:17 75:12 93:17
41:25 45:5 49:5	18:25 72:21	practical 21:25	61:3 93:17 107:15	111:9 123:19
60:13 66:15 70:13	124:25	22:1	prioritised 52:14	137:15
99:23 106:15	policy 11:7 14:14	practice 17:25 18:9	61:5	progressing 47:18
127:10 136:19	14:15,19,20,21	21:22,23 71:2	prioritising 59:18	prompt 74:1 97:5
143:2,23 169:6	15:24 16:9 18:20	94:9	priority 53:24	prompting 140:2
176:17	18:22,24 19:2,6,7	precise 74:18,24	59:24 80:5,6,9	properly 82:12
plan 25:16 44:5	19:11,22 20:1,3,7	precisely 51:17	81:13 87:13	136:25 138:16
64:5,6 66:10	20:20 21:8,21	preclude 182:14	101:17 106:19	property 158:22
67:24 68:4,9	22:4,8,13,20 23:6	predominantly	123:24 174:23	propose 182:8
69:14,17 72:11	23:20,21 25:14	33:8 112:7 113:2	176:4	protect 13:25 14:4
planning 33:9 42:7	65:21,21,25 66:4	premise 49:9 70:10	privy 94:22 132:19	15:19
44:6 46:14	66:7,15,16,18	70:22,25 92:6	173:10	protect' 13:21
plans 24:18 25:2	68:3,7 124:13,23	premises 17:4 40:9	probably 5:19 12:7	protocol 81:17
71:4	124:24 126:7	48:3,11,24 49:1	30:11 40:12 55:2	86:22 123:5
play 45:14 67:7	127:4,17 129:11	49:23 50:7 56:1	56:13,18 57:2,23	126:12 152:8
105:7 172:23	133:13 134:5	59:7 69:21 70:16	60:10 63:11 66:24	173:23
played 162:17	150:4 158:10,16	124:19 125:21	78:14 79:17 81:19	protocols 83:6
172:16	158:23 159:8,18	129:25	85:17,19 91:22	provide 34:13 42:5
pleasant 181:14	159:25	prescriptive 158:25	94:22 113:7	70:17 73:18 178:3
please 1:25 2:23	portable 53:2	present 142:8	115:11,12 120:11	182:17
8:22 10:25 14:17	position 16:21	press 135:13	128:22 146:8,8	provided 2:13,14
16:4 63:14,18,20	32:13 43:23 45:8	pressure 87:23	151:7 161:21	75:5
96:23,24 119:7	45:9 94:18 128:8	presume 102:20	169:21 171:7	provider 73:17
165:19 166:1	136:4 137:9	pretty 120:15	problem 2:11 88:10	provides 32:18
174:14	172:16,19 173:11	145:16 148:25	88:21,23	33:3
pm 119:8,10 166:2	positions 43:9	149:2,3 153:25	procedure 106:6,7	providing 15:19
166:4 183:22	172:21	prevent 23:19	106:8,10 119:25	33:12
point 16:2,6 17:6	possibility 25:1,2,4	preventing 13:19	136:18	provision 108:12
22:16 23:4 28:11	68:1 69:20,24	23:17	procedures 72:21	proximity 124:18
35:18 36:22 37:20	possible 15:18	previous 118:11,22	proceed 89:6	125:25 130:5
47:14 49:14 62:9	21:24 48:14 57:22	previously 68:17	process 34:4 89:13	pump 35:2,16
63:9 85:3,21 86:4	58:11 60:18 61:24	80:1 86:23 88:2	93:1 112:23	37:18 42:14 125:5
86:16 87:4 92:2	63:6 64:17 67:3	93:21 127:7 131:1	114:14 116:13	pumps 35:7,8,9,20
108:19 111:20	76:8 100:8 101:6	133:14 170:5	130:19 143:6	44:4,7 45:16,17
125:22 129:25	165:4	177:9	produce 131:9	56:12,17,24 57:10
138:2 144:22	possibly 24:25 26:3	primary 28:9	produced 27:2	58:7,18 62:4,9
148:12 151:1	111:18	principle 29:1 30:9	productively 182:7	63:4 75:11,14
157:8 178:10	post 38:20,22 40:15	73:5 175:10	products 29:9	pure 81:16
182:12	53:14,16	principles 14:5,6	program 33:25	purely 47:16,19
		,		,
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	ı

				_
57:5 107:14	question 2:9 12:17	52:22,24 53:1,6,9	138:13 141:13	182:17
115:13 117:1	14:18 17:14 18:6	53:12,15 54:21,24	149:13,24 159:14	recordable 107:8
164:13	19:23 24:21,22,23	56:15 57:23 58:2	165:1,6	180:17,22
purposes 109:16	24:25 27:4 31:14	58:9 59:13 80:25	reason 39:18 42:12	recorded 83:4
pursuant 172:1	43:25 44:2 55:5	81:18 83:9 84:14	42:18 100:11	86:18,20 87:1,17
pursue 74:7 141:15	64:8 65:16 88:20	84:20 85:7,13,14	103:7	99:25 100:3
142:22	95:24 96:13 98:2	89:15 101:14	reasonably 145:14	106:22 107:5,10
push 27:23	98:22,24 99:1	102:9 110:6,8	reasons 42:13	108:6 109:1,2
put 2:8 5:12,16 7:9	103:19 104:3	125:6,10 138:25	reassess 15:21	110:12,15,21
9:9,11,11 12:20	107:4 118:14	139:15 154:12,22	reassure 15:20	111:5,11,13 116:8
13:3,16 18:21	122:19 123:6	164:4 180:13	recall 32:4,10,12,15	117:5 123:22
20:22 21:22,23	128:3 132:2 133:9	radioed 40:16	52:3 74:15,17	126:19 128:5
22:8 23:23 24:10	134:9 137:25	raised 122:20	77:10,11,12	132:15 133:13
30:10 41:24 47:15	138:12 141:13	ran 115:22	107:20,22 108:5	156:6 169:7
49:5 67:7 69:14	142:3,8,13,23	rang 114:1 155:17	152:21 155:21	recording 81:13
71:20 83:9 89:2	143:5 149:13,22	155:19	recalls 153:10,10	87:20 99:18
89:25 90:6,17,19	149:24 167:12,12	range 7:4	receive 138:23	103:13 104:7
90:19,23 91:4,9	177:22 179:7	rapid 28:10 31:11	received 34:25 43:1	107:13 109:16
91:25 92:12,13,15	182:16	63:3	82:4 100:6 101:1	130:19 131:3
93:13 96:12 98:4	questions 1:18,23	rapidly 62:14	152:18 153:4	164:3,12 169:4
99:23 104:3	2:6 10:5 15:19	rate 29:17	160:22 161:12	178:20 180:12
106:15 112:10,14	16:15 65:14,15	rationale 43:7	168:23	records 3:16 9:13
112:18 117:12,17	69:18 70:1,7,18	97:23	receiving 39:9 40:1	75:5 109:25 152:7
124:13 127:10	160:12 166:13	raw 44:23	40:6 52:17 82:2	red 113:18 114:1,8
131:11 132:4	171:19 179:10	re-visit 64:11	115:3	114:10
133:16 142:2	181:4,9 184:2	reach 11:23 12:5	recite 26:18 27:6,20	refer 176:10
143:10 144:18,19	quick 47:5 58:11	react 24:7	39:12	reference 2:15
145:2 147:12	60:17 61:24 63:6	read 2:21 11:15	recollect 10:22	14:14 104:12
149:7 154:14,15	quickest 61:9 100:3	14:22 74:8 107:24	16:11 126:21	109:25 111:12,13
163:6 164:7,20	quickly 11:23,24	112:3 129:4 182:9	153:2	111:19,20 125:8
167:23 168:24	12:5,11 28:21	182:17	recollection 6:24	131:25 154:9
170:4,8 176:9,12	76:8 144:12	reading 182:13	7:2,6,8 22:15	175:25
178:11 179:4,11	145:20	ready 36:5 40:8,12	28:25 40:19 74:1	references 109:21
180:17	quite 2:6 8:24 9:12	47:23 48:3,23	97:5 111:24	referred 72:6 76:22
put' 11:20 12:21	26:10 28:21 56:20	56:1 58:20 63:24	120:18 154:17	referring 101:18
23:20 66:15	57:1 60:19 73:7	119:14 166:10	155:12 167:25	131:15 160:16
putting 22:4 45:17	73:24 81:2 96:20	real 16:19 182:10	recommendations	refers 68:4
69:5 132:20	120:8,9,10 154:24	realise 80:24	73:12	reflect 124:6
137:10	164:10 181:6	reallocate 61:6	record 3:20 80:7	129:10
		really 7:5 16:14	81:9 83:15 86:25	reflects 22:12
Q	<u>R</u>	23:4 45:24 50:5	87:15,21 88:6	regard 72:22
qualified 76:15	radio 37:16 38:1,6	54:22 57:6 63:10	89:10 107:24	regarding 102:24
143:16	38:13,15,16 39:2	66:18 88:21	123:23 130:21,25	167:21 175:16
quarter 60:5	40:7,10 47:3	103:19 129:20	134:20 143:22	regards 22:8,20
123:11	49:23 52:10,11,17	137:25 138:1,12	169:11 182:9,13	regularly 17:23

				1490 201
relate 21:10	76:21 78:12,16	109:4,6,8 174:21	responded 40:7	88:20 90:1 91:3
related 63:15 119:6	79:5,9,11,14	175:13,13,15,16	176:25	92:9,18,24 94:5
relates 20:4 21:11	90:25 93:20	176:20	response 116:5,6	94:20 95:2,7
relating 21:5	100:11 101:20	requested 97:25	177:20	98:10 103:14,19
178:17	105:17 106:2	98:1 100:10,17	result 17:10 18:7	104:15 105:1,8,21
relation 10:18	107:18 112:5,16	102:10,12 175:1	72:11	107:23 108:22
17:24 18:12 73:1	112:17 113:18	5	resume 63:18 119:7	107.23 108.22
		requesting 100:7		,
173:5 178:12	114:8 115:21	128:10	183:9,18	112:2,3,4,12
Relativity 2:15 4:3	118:15,19 150:5	requests 58:23	return 165:17	113:9 114:7,8,18
131:17	150:21,23 151:15	101:5	returned 166:6	114:22 115:10,11
relay 49:9,11	151:22 152:24	require 33:19 34:7	reverse 148:17	115:14 118:14,25
125:16 143:10	153:16,17,23	80:14	review 3:23 72:21	118:25 119:14
157:15	154:2 158:22	required 25:22	reviewed 19:10	120:16,21 122:14
relayed 108:6	162:4 164:5	32:16 42:11 45:6	revised 182:6	122:16 123:4,25
110:13 112:10	166:15,19 167:20	45:13 46:12 76:3	Rex 77:7	124:22 126:24
144:1 152:2	167:22 168:22	127:4 158:25	rhetorical 24:21,22	127:12 128:21
156:11,18 157:9	175:15	requirement 46:18	64:8	129:22 130:2,8
relaying 88:19	remembers 114:1	requirements	rhetorically 65:16	131:5 132:13
133:7 134:17	153:15	46:17	Richard 36:25 37:2	134:13,19 135:7
156:18	remind 126:16	requires 127:17	50:25 51:24 155:4	140:10 143:19
release 81:21	remit 86:12	129:12	155:13,14,14,24	144:3 145:8,13
released 121:14	remote 47:11,17	rescue 12:20 16:22	157:2,7	147:4 148:18
181:22	87:10 110:19	17:5 18:3,11	right 1:20 3:14 5:7	149:1 150:11,15
relentless 137:23	122:11 139:8,11	22:11,23 24:10	5:24 7:12,18,25	150:24 151:14
relevant 19:14	146:25 178:7	rescued 11:19,25	8:19 9:10,22,24	152:16 153:20
29:12 71:7 124:14	remotely 40:25	12:11 95:5,14	11:16 12:9 13:5	154:4 155:3,10
124:21 125:9	47:14 48:18 49:2	rescues 12:16	14:9,12 15:15,25	157:16 159:11,16
164:17	removed 129:19	resident 142:24	16:15 17:6,14	159:18 160:10
relied 12:21	repeat 2:8 31:14	residential 24:5	18:19 19:5 20:9	161:14,23 162:25
relief 44:5	117:2,7,11,13	66:14 136:14	21:3,18 22:17,24	163:20,21,23
reliefs 42:7	130:10	residents 25:6	25:11 26:8 27:8	164:19 165:5,20
relieve 87:23	repeated 10:10,12	67:10 158:9	28:19 29:24 31:22	166:7,23,24,25
relieved 181:3	repeating 21:11	resilience 75:21,24	33:1,14,15,23	168:4 169:14
relocated 43:4	rephrase 23:25	resolve 19:17	34:4,5,12,12,13	170:3 171:23
remain 22:10,23	167:12	resource 21:1	34:20 35:20,23	170.3 171.23
24:8 30:8 45:8	replaced 118:16	32:19 33:7,9,18	37:4,22 38:8	172.24 173.12
remained 100:1	reply 131:18	34:9 71:14 121:13	39:17 40:14 41:18	174.1,7173.3
	132:14	178:1,2	43:18 46:24 47:25	183:16
remaining 59:6 remains 181:12		resources 34:5		
	report 11:2,2,14,15		48:9 49:24 50:17	right-hand 28:12
remember 5:18	16:5,7	44:10,13 46:1,3	51:3 55:8 56:3	112:13
9:12 10:6,14	reprioritise 45:7	46:13 61:24	57:14,25 58:2,20	rightly 100:11
28:15 29:19,23	request 96:25 97:1	121:16 128:11	59:23 60:10 63:24	ring 113:21 155:18
34:14 38:2 40:2,5	97:10,11,18 98:3	178:3	66:18 68:11 72:18	162:22
51:17 54:23 56:10	98:6,11 99:12,12	respect 131:19	74:7 76:22 81:11	ringing 113:22
56:16 59:12 64:7	101:3,4,15 109:3	137:1	83:19 85:8,25	114:9,13 152:10

Page 205

				1490 200
155:15,16	roles 16:13 43:8	57:19 59:14,17	94:1,18 123:2	54:11 58:17,21,22
rings 28:23	45:13 85:16 122:3	62:24 69:10,10	139:1 153:17	58:24 59:2 60:16
ripped 7:4	132:23 133:22	108:8	161:5,6,23	60:17 62:15,17
rise 35:2 65:25	162:16	routes 13:17,22	says 3:7 9:3 11:21	73:6 75:20 80:4,9
136:17	room 2:18 10:17	16:8 69:4,4	16:18 17:7 19:15	80:22 81:3,16
risk 12:18,19,23	12:4 13:8 15:9	RT 180:16	28:12 66:8 68:15	82:1,10 84:2,5
16:19,23,23,24	16:2,7 39:20	RT4 53:18,19,21,22	73:10 76:24 78:6	85:10 87:16 94:16
17:8,10 30:18,20	40:16 41:3 43:13	54:2,4,7	92:21 100:5	96:24 101:14
30:22 31:4,14,17	46:22 50:9 53:11	rubbed 118:16	105:15 108:24	103:20 104:4,17
66:11 67:25 68:2	58:22 63:16 78:9	rubric 66:7	110:12 117:19,22	103.20 104.4,17
68:5 70:8,15	78:18,18,22,25	run 33:17 45:3,4,6	118:22 120:16	112:14,23 119:23
RMC 33:4 34:3,9	79:3,13,16 80:20	46:7 105:16	121:13 125:4,13	121:3 122:24
45:23 121:15	80:21,22 81:2,3	108:24 160:11	131:13 150:3	
road 57:2	81:25 82:4,9,17	172:18 175:7		125:15,18 128:4 129:2 130:14
	82:19 83:14,16		153:10,20 158:18	
Roe 49:20 50:23	,	runner 115:13	159:21 175:12,23	132:14 136:13
51:5,21 56:7	84:2,10 85:11,12	runners 115:15	SBN 34:18	144:16 145:23
153:22 156:12,13	86:15 87:5,9,23	running 28:5 42:3	scale 20:17 106:14	146:7 147:9 153:7
156:19 157:10,19	88:12 89:8,22,24	42:6 49:6 58:11	scene 51:1 80:10	154:5 158:15
158:2 160:13,19	93:24 99:3 102:18	59:10 115:13	85:11 115:2 128:7 177:18	159:24 162:18
161:9 163:3,10	104:21 106:11	121:15 177:14		163:2,4 166:23
166:23 167:19	107:21 112:24	runs 26:24 122:7	schedule 182:6	174:19,24,25
Roe's 160:17 161:4	113:6 114:12,24	Russell 182:11	scheduled 182:1	176:5 178:6
161:9 162:12	115:1,2,8,20,21	S	screen 2:17,19 3:8	179:17 180:9
role 11:2 14:2 32:2	115:23 116:12	s 131:14	9:1 111:25 112:13	181:20
32:9 34:17,22	117:3 120:23	safe 12:22 15:17	126:25 132:13	seeing 28:15 29:23
35:10,17,25 36:2	121:2,7,19,20,25	16:22 17:4 93:3	138:9	79:14 81:5 82:17
36:18,18 37:12	122:23 126:18	safer 23:18 95:15	screens 2:18 121:10	106:20 108:17
41:17 45:7 51:9	127:3,16 128:5	safest 13:3	121:12	121:23 132:19
52:7 59:18,21	134:4 135:8,13	safety 13:9 15:20	scribe 112:20 117:1	149:13,18,25
60:8 61:6,18 76:3	138:2,3,6,16	25:9 71:16,25	scribed 112:7 113:1	164:21
76:15 79:9 80:4	139:21 141:1	136:19	script 45:11	seek 141:22 142:3
80:19 81:8,22	143:3,21 146:11	Sarah 182:11	scroll 58:20 178:19	142:21 149:14
84:16 85:2 86:12	151:2,3,13 154:10	satisfied 108:10	second 7:14,15	166:19 167:3
88:3 90:22,23	157:4 161:17		71:13 108:1 111:2	seeked[sic 167:6
93:10 97:15 98:20	171:3 172:25	127:3,6	111:3 112:2 117:2	seeking 96:11
99:21 102:4	173:3 174:4 182:1	saw 75:14 79:2	136:10 179:15	153:13 166:15
115:17,20,25	182:19	106:5,25 115:1,24	secondary 28:9	169:6
116:11 117:8	rooms 86:2,7	128:6 144:7	Secondly 65:8	seen 18:6 27:5,15
127:8,20,23,24	rota 32:16	145:15,15,21	seconds 109:5	27:18,19 29:25
128:1 132:25	roughly 57:13	146:19 148:8,14	see 5:14 10:10,24	89:21 110:10
133:2 139:4,7	round 79:14 82:17	149:4,13,25	11:16 15:7 19:9	131:25 133:5
143:17 150:9,10	95:20 127:25	saying 20:20 21:23	26:20 27:10,11,22	145:25 146:12
172:15,16,18,20	151:9,13 170:6	23:2 24:4 40:7	27:23 28:20,22,24	179:22,24
172:22 173:21	route 36:4 37:1	64:22 70:15 71:24	38:21 42:20 44:20	self 60:7
175:2 177:11,25	50:21 56:12 57:17	75:3 91:1 93:13	45:8 46:15 47:25	self-evacuation

16:20	setting 51:13 60:8	109:22 177:22	situation 15:21	so-called 82:12
self-mobilise 60:9	60:24 61:18 75:25	178:14	66:11 67:2,8,19	software 33:24
60:10	76:5 144:6,17	shows 28:9	67:21 69:7 72:3	34:7,8
send 41:11,13,16	setup 41:4 51:6	side 6:9 13:13 15:3	82:22 95:18	sole 103:9
101:6 136:4 157:5	seven 161:15	26:5 28:12 70:10	100:23 107:21	solely 106:12
sending 39:20	Shanghai 27:25	144:9 147:1	size 6:15,25 47:19	solution 88:9,10,12
81:23 84:20 89:16	shape 6:25	179:15	51:10 53:1 67:1,2	88:20,22 89:2,3
140:18	sharing 12:23	sign 7:22	67:5,5,20 68:18	somebody 38:22
senior 26:10 47:13	Sharon 109:5,24	signal 183:12	skills 34:12	84:6 102:7 162:5
52:25 78:23 79:12	she'd 78:13,14	signature 7:20	Sky 144:9,17	162:7 173:3
sent 39:25 53:17	she'll 181:20	signed 7:23 8:6,7	slide 27:19,22 28:3	178:20
86:15,17 87:17	sheets 7:4,5	significantly 28:14	28:5,7,11,15,18	somebody's 162:8
88:6 89:10 105:22	Shepherds 26:11	SIL 101:11	28:24 29:23	somebody \$ 102.8 soon 10:13 49:16
109:24 110:4,7	27:2 29:17	similar 156:14	slideshow 26:24	100:8 101:6
130:1,20 135:8	shift 6:14 33:22	157:25 160:14,25	27:5 28:16 29:15	148:25
sentence 16:18	45:19			sooner 35:7
		161:5,6	29:16 30:1	
22:18 52:20 71:13	shoes 137:10	Similarly 2:10	slight 125:24	sorry 7:7 8:23
120:22 151:5	short 44:6 51:23	12:10	slightly 10:24 31:15	17:11,17 19:24
163:24 168:20	57:9 58:14 63:13	simple 42:4	69:8 98:4 100:25	52:16 54:5 57:12
sentences 22:19	63:22 75:13 93:23	simpler 52:4	Small 3:17 77:6	94:7 104:2,2
separate 4:3,5	96:23 101:12	simply 93:3 160:25	120:23	113:12 114:2
125:7 160:17	105:12 108:23	single 12:7 20:5,9	smaller 6:16,22	117:8,24 120:11
series 178:17	119:9 136:5,8	69:8 70:22,25	80:21,21	128:15,17 130:10
seriousness 98:16	147:8 163:1,22	80:23 85:12	Smith 4:20 77:25	130:13 155:11
99:3 100:18,21	165:16 166:3	104:21 115:19	78:4,24 79:24,25	162:6 171:19
service 24:6,9	174:11	153:2 171:11	86:6 88:4 89:7,22	175:6,22 179:6
33:13,21 34:13	short-term 33:8	SIR 1:3,9,11,14,17	90:13,18 91:17	180:15 181:7
44:8 46:4 58:23	42:7	1:22 24:25 55:6	93:12,18 95:3	183:11
94:19 95:16 96:25	shorthand 95:14	55:10 63:8,12,20	109:22 110:20	sort 27:24 59:1,5
109:3,4,6,8,11	126:16	63:24 92:21 95:23	112:12 114:15	132:24 137:12
175:13	shortly 15:12	96:3 108:21 119:2	120:16,24 122:14	sounds 43:18
set 11:6 14:6 15:16	105:25 120:9,10	119:12,14,17	123:1 128:2	170:14
23:12 41:20,21,22	123:8 164:10	133:25 134:3,9,19	146:12 147:11	spans 139:10
41:24 42:10,16,21	should've 44:1,2	138:12 163:18,20	149:6,19 150:3,19	152:10
42:23 43:13,18	118:14	165:14,16,22,25	164:6,9 170:5	speak 35:13 47:3
44:2,25 45:2	show 3:9 6:1 11:9	166:7,9 181:12,16	182:21	52:9 53:21 85:24
46:11,11,19 59:19	18:25 19:13 26:20	181:23 182:24	smoke 13:18,19	94:12 103:12,23
59:22 60:18,20	28:7,22 41:5	183:4,8,14,16,21	17:7 26:2 39:19	104:5,18 106:5
61:9 62:13 63:1	65:22,23 107:25	sit 1:14 29:25 49:7	69:7 92:8 94:17	127:9 149:11
79:22 80:2,4,10	124:24,25 131:12	85:16 102:25	117:4 124:17	152:8 154:12
85:11 105:7	136:6	103:7 108:3	125:23,24 130:23	156:2 170:9 176:1
106:24 108:11	showed 6:20 83:21	123:12 153:1	131:14,23 141:6	speaking 59:17
109:13 111:25	83:22	sits 119:20	142:18 171:12	61:21 78:18,25
115:2 116:11	showing 146:12	sitting 26:18 28:17	178:24 179:2,18	103:25 105:1,6
128:7	showing 140.12 shown 72:9 77:22	116:7	smooth 33:25	152:24 155:25
120.7	SHOWI 12.7 11.22	110./	511100011 55.25	152.27 155.25
	l	1	l	l

				1490 207
160:23 162:15	111:10 112:9,19	74:14	166:16,20 167:1	98:8,15 99:9,13
164:7 178:20	112:21 114:16	stated 127:7	167:16,21 169:15	99:15 100:7,10,13
180:10	115:7,17 116:12	statement 2:14 3:1	169:24 170:20	100:16 101:8,16
specific 21:6 40:4	121:1 122:5 138:5	4:2 8:21 9:1	staying 12:20	101:22 102:1,8,13
49:18 53:7 54:22	151:12,13 152:14	14:25 22:6 23:5	step 77:14 137:19	105:14 174:10,16
56:22 57:8 58:16	158:23	31:24 34:21 37:15	Stephen 152:20	174:22 175:3,14
61:20 70:21 78:1	stage 1:18 2:10	44:21 46:25 58:4	steps 127:15,19	175:16,21,25
78:14 80:13 90:9	38:3 40:23,24	60:4 61:11 74:13	135:12 142:7	176:19,21 177:1,8
93:6,20 96:21	44:4 45:16 50:25	74:23 76:20,23,24	Steve 47:2,7,21	177:24
98:24 112:17	51:2 77:11 89:21	77:3 79:19 91:5	48:4,21,22 49:5	struggling 120:4
116:6 142:23	90:3 91:15,21	100:4 101:19	50:4 51:20 60:7	135:4 168:10,16
145:2 155:19	95:8,9 96:22	102:16 107:1	60:18 61:12,18	168:23,25
161:2,2	99:10 106:23	114:1 119:19,21	62:2,13 63:1 77:8	subject 22:5 74:2
specifically 10:16	146:7 182:4	122:22 123:9	144:6,16,22,23,25	166:14
73:12 89:15 169:8	stages 35:19 40:22	144:5 145:2 147:5	145:6,11 147:13	subsequently
169:11	57:23 91:22 97:17	147:13 154:6,7,15	152:24,25 154:21	108:15
specifics 96:5	127:13	162:2 163:17,25	156:24 159:13	succeed 76:16
speed 31:13	stair 169:18	168:5,6,19 169:3	164:22	111:16
speed 31.13 spend 113:4	staircase 69:8,9,11	169:23 170:3,4	stone 23:12	suggest 13:2 27:19
spent 113:4 spent 113:2 169:21	staircases 68:20	176:10 177:16	stone 23.12 stood 52:6	50:4 51:16,18,20
spoke 82:20 89:18	stairs 171:5	statements 8:23	stop 63:10 89:16	56:18 57:1 65:10
102:18 105:8	stairwell 142:16	182:9,13,16	138:24	84:21 85:6,8
107:2 129:15	stand 52:7 74:16	station 76:12 77:19	story 178:15	86:21 109:13
151:22 152:5	90:2,6 133:23	128:13 132:25	straight 4:4 13:20	135:24 147:17
154:20 155:4,14	standard 83:19	133:1 143:16	13:25 55:24 80:15	150:12 151:23
155:14 156:8				150:12 151:25
	Standby 34:8	status 41:6,8,9,9	82:16,18 149:10	
157:4,6,6 160:7 169:19	standbys 45:22,22 standing 88:17	stay 11:20 12:21 13:3 22:8 23:18	152:12 157:15	157:8 162:12
	104:23 112:18		strategic 32:18	suggests 134:6 suit 25:5
spoken 82:24 83:10 105:10 151:13	113:6 114:10,23	23:20,23 66:15 89:25 90:5,17,19	33:3,11 42:3 44:9 44:18 121:13	
161:9 164:14,15	115.6 116.9 117.9	, ,		suitably 65:8 suits 165:14
170:6	132:20 154:24	90:19,23 91:4,8 91:25 92:13,15	strategy 172:5,7 Stratford 37:1	
spread 23:18 28:8	stands 113:10	93:13 94:18 95:15	42:23 43:4,7,8,10	summarise 7:25 21:20
28:9,10,14 29:2	StARS 34:11	95:25 96:12 142:2	48:4 49:21,21	
29:13 30:7,11,19	start 4:10 11:18,21	147:12 149:6	52:22 55:2,23	summary 8:1 136:21
31:4,11,20 64:25	65:24 76:19 79:13	154:14 163:5	56:4,16 60:6,23	SUP 136:14
spreading 25:19,23	79:18 80:17	164:7 167:22	61:3,13,17 76:6	supervising 121:20
26:1 64:13 95:20	104:20 139:12	170:8	77:17,19 78:1	173:1
staff 5:3 9:9,11,15	140:2 152:2	stay-put 22:13,20	80:11,11,20 145:7	supervisors 17:22
9:19,21,22 44:24	started 85:4 116:3	23:6 25:14 91:20	streamlined 87:24	121:25
45:19,19,23 47:13	116:4,6,10 155:22	92:5,11 94:3,6,10	stress 101:16	support 25:10
57:21 58:10 59:17	169:21	95:13,22,24	120:25 174:22	32:19 33:4 44:17
60:11,17 76:24	starting 89:14	146:18 149:21	stripping 46:3	45:5 46:7,16
79:1 83:2 89:1,9	169:22	150:5 153:15	structure 96:18	51:13 58:12 62:18
89:13 94:13 97:9	starts 58:17 72:20	157:3,18 159:8,20	97:1,12,18 98:3,7	75:21,24 79:1
07.13 77.13 71.7	Starts 50.17 /2.20	157.5,10 157.0,20	77.1,12,10 70.3,7	13.21,27 17.1
	l			

	l	Ī	l	l
173:14,16 174:2	swept 132:4	91:1 95:12 107:23	television's 121:17	159:17
supporting 45:15	switched 144:7,13	115:24 118:23	tell 22:10,22 27:16	think 1:6 3:10,20
46:2 86:7	sworn 1:10 184:2	119:18,20 127:15	73:15 85:14 86:8	8:16,18 9:13,15
suppose 88:25	system 9:15 25:5	129:1 130:4 131:8	86:10 91:17 98:15	12:4 16:16 18:20
118:14 132:2	29:9 41:12 47:8	135:9,12 142:7	99:3,6,7 102:7	21:19 29:16 30:4
136:13 143:1	47:10,11,12,12	144:19 148:16	103:2 104:17	30:5,11 33:24
150:8 181:14	48:2,7,18,25 49:2	163:22 175:8	116:12 122:14	49:14 54:15 56:23
Supposing 142:24	49:5,6 52:1 59:4	176:16 182:24	123:14 124:12	61:22 63:10,12
sure 10:1 17:1	81:8,8 83:9,13	183:1	130:16 131:24	65:15 67:14 72:10
22:14 23:4 34:4	84:19 85:3 86:21	take-away 10:6	134:3 136:25	72:17 77:22 78:14
37:25 42:5 44:9	87:1,6,17,21 88:5	taken 19:17 38:13	138:5,6 139:19	90:13 96:10,19
56:20 64:17 67:10	89:9 99:14,23	39:2 50:22,24	143:13,15 151:4	99:10 106:1
84:17 85:21 87:23	100:1 103:12,13	81:20 148:10	160:1,16 162:5,7	108:21 109:10
97:12 100:2	103:21,24 104:7	155:9 157:17	telling 143:14	112:1,12 113:7,18
103:16 109:17	105:7,18,22	161:17 170:23	158:9	116:4 119:2 120:6
113:5 120:4,14	107:13 108:11	180:3	tempt 125:14	121:10,12 132:3
129:6 133:16	111:14,15 116:3	takes 41:9	terminology 60:10	133:10 136:9
152:7 153:25	127:10 134:20	talk 63:15 119:5	113:8 161:22	137:3,3,6,19,20
154:25 155:7	135:20 136:23	165:19	terms 4:23 6:15 8:2	138:4 141:13
162:9 163:13	139:19 143:2,20	talked 89:22	10:4 31:2,13 40:5	144:18,25 146:8
168:9 175:1,9	169:4,5 173:14,16	talking 14:13 15:13	42:4 52:5 65:14	149:20 151:10,11
179:11	174:2 180:6,13,18	23:8 30:23 54:25	68:3 111:24	154:23 155:19
surmise 59:15	180:19	66:23,24 78:17	112:24 148:13	165:16 168:15,18
surmising 110:17	systems 25:6 34:11	91:21 93:6 106:3	165:6 169:4	168:25 171:2,13
136:2 137:8	84:17 86:25	107:19 143:20	173:13 180:6	171:14 172:12
surprise 82:7 110:3	173:13	171:13	terribly 11:8	173:2 174:5,15,16
surprised 145:23		tall 27:12	test 153:5	174:24 176:8,10
146:2,2,4	T	Tamweel 27:13	text 8:24 73:7,7	179:15 181:25
SURVEYOR 177:1	tab 10:25 11:5	task 128:14,19,24	thank 1:9,11,16,21	thinking 15:15
survival 7:17 8:1	14:16 18:25 26:23	131:1	2:2,3,12 8:13 55:8	95:2 97:24 100:17
9:5 12:8 14:9	58:15 65:22 96:24	tasked 59:22	55:15 64:1,2	138:24 140:12
15:1,7 20:5,11,13	101:12 124:25	126:15 128:13	119:2 134:19	142:15 166:19
23:9 37:18 38:9	136:8 158:16	tasked-orientated	165:21,25 166:9	167:1
38:12,23,25 39:1	174:12	141:19	166:11 181:2,10	thinks 120:16
39:6 57:17 58:6	table 1:15 114:23	team 73:13,25	181:13,17,18,21	third 32:1 37:14
62:15 80:7 87:1	tabs 111:23 126:25	80:13	183:8,20,21	100:4 117:3 136:9
91:7 92:4,5,13,14	TACTICAL	teams 73:20,24	thereabouts 75:14	144:5 177:16
93:1 99:19,22,24	136:20	telephone 107:9	they'd 116:25	thought 43:19
99:25 102:24	take 1:19 2:11	180:20	thick 137:5	61:17 106:21
106:10,13 124:6	15:11 25:13 28:21	television 120:23	thickness 130:23	110:20 122:25
131:2 135:21	33:20 35:24 36:5	121:4,7,19,23,24	thing 13:15 21:12	137:17
137:1,4,14 138:19	36:6,18 44:7	122:6,13 141:1	22:5 27:24 39:13	thoughts 40:17
147:2 148:1,20	46:25 52:13 58:16	144:7,13 145:9,15	things 44:13 46:6	107:4 137:12
172:20	61:8 64:19 65:21	145:21 146:12,19	58:11 116:15	three 20:11 22:7
survive 93:4	66:6 72:16 90:10	149:18,25 164:22	124:19 145:22	27:10,11 44:22
	I		I	l

50:11 131:19	142:13,20 144:8	151:3,8,9 152:6	124:14	131:12 133:5
148:13	144:22 145:2,3,14	155:1 162:8	transcript 64:10,18	166:12 168:19
three-quarters	145:21 147:6,25	167:22 169:24	transmitted 38:19	two-thirds 77:15
8:22 170:1	148:2 150:23	170:21	40:2	154:8
throw 8:25	151:11 154:8,11	tomorrow 182:2,17	trapped 17:21 22:9	type 30:18
Thursday 112:12	154:24 155:17	182:22 183:10,18	22:22 39:16 91:6	types 72:24
tied 72:23	154.24 155.17	top 7:19 20:15 22:6	91:10 92:6,11	typing 84:19
tier 36:4	160:14,25 161:3,6	44:22 51:22 52:21	travel 31:8 42:21	typing 64.19
time 5:18 7:23	161:12 162:3,10	58:18 79:19	69:7 145:25	typo 102.21
10:11 12:2,13	164:13,16 169:19	121:10	tried 17:23 129:4	U
16:11 17:25 19:12	169:20 173:10	topic 34:22 163:18	trigger 42:15 61:23	ultimate 158:10
24:9 36:24,25	174:18 176:7,8,9	174:8	106:20 153:20	167:15
37:2,5,23 39:2,3		tops 20:11	triggers 28:24	unable 130:23
40:4,8,12 47:2,21	176:11,12,13,21 177:19	total 24:19 25:16	troughs 34:1	146:14 148:9,15
48:24 49:6,7	timed 178:17		true 2:23 54:4	148:24 168:13,17
51:18 53:24 54:3	timeline 31:10	totality 103:4 129:9 133:3	try 16:17 17:14	168:24
54:7,21,24 55:16	timelines 161:2	tower 4:18 10:13	•	underneath 73:10
, ,	timely 17:22		18:18 40:11,23 55:16 87:22 88:14	understand 2:7
55:25,25 56:1,5		12:2,13,25 19:12		20:19 23:13 29:8
57:9,20 58:9 59:3	times 45:10 46:5	19:21,25 20:2,4 20:24 21:9 27:13	96:1	57:9 153:5
59:24,25 62:20	57:7,12 103:9		trying 48:12 50:16	understanding
64:18 67:10,13,22	113:3 115:9,9	31:3 35:4 66:2	56:14 57:20 58:10	14:4 22:12 23:23
71:19 74:21 75:3	121:18 128:20	68:8,10,14 120:5	61:8 62:11 78:25	24:1 29:6 68:3,12
75:16 78:2,3,19	138:1 161:5,7	144:8,9 146:13	93:14 99:1 139:13	84:25 85:1 86:13
79:12 80:24 82:22	timescale 49:18	149:18 151:4	140:22	86:24 88:1 94:24
82:24 83:4,18	timing 74:19 78:7	168:11 169:25	Tuesday 1:1	96:11 140:23
84:4,9,11,12 86:3	165:7 175:1	170:12,13,13,20	turn 4:13 34:21	146:24 148:4
88:8,16 89:18,25	timings 109:10	170:21 171:2	38:16 45:19 49:4	
90:11 93:24 96:16	164:11 166:24	172:1 176:19	58:15 74:10	170:11,15,17,19
96:21 100:9,19,21	tipped 149:5	traffic 38:17,25	101:13	understood 95:4 96:12
101:13,20 102:22	TL 136:18	52:13 53:6,9,11	turned 37:16 52:19	
103:3,15 105:3,25	today 2:4 3:3 183:5	54:21,23,24 77:18	57:23 58:2,3,9	undertake 65:2
106:1,18 107:6,14	183:7	81:20 139:17	144:20	66:13,20 67:16
107:14 108:13	told 34:18 41:3	164:4	turning 38:6 59:9	68:15
109:14 110:4,18	47:5 49:16,21	trained 9:4 13:11	turns 36:7	undertaking 68:24
113:2,5 115:3	60:23,24 74:16	15:1 19:3,5 29:20	TV 121:12 122:14	unfair 95:13 170:2
116:1 118:11	79:21 82:21 89:16	75:25 85:1 86:23	122:19 148:8,14	unfortunately
120:8,13 121:3	90:9,10,13,18,23	training 9:6,13,17	149:5,13	10:19 38:2 77:25
122:25 123:15	91:5 92:15 95:2	10:2,7,8,14,16,19	twin 68:19	84:15 126:13
125:2 126:2,3	102:25 104:19	11:8 13:12,24	two 2:18 4:3 5:21	151:21 153:18
128:9,23 130:9	107:3 110:3	14:3,23 16:10,13	15:6 20:5,10,21	154:1 155:21
132:24 135:15	112:12 120:6	18:7 19:7 24:2,5	22:18 27:9 32:14	175:20 180:18
136:1,3 137:16,19	123:1,12 126:22	24:18 26:9 68:6	52:1 66:24 76:19	182:2
138:4 139:24,25	138:1 141:6,21,24	72:7,18,25 73:1,9	76:21 77:10,11,12	Uniform 156:22
140:3,15,16,17	142:17 143:7	73:11,16,17,19,20	79:4,18 102:17	unit 40:4 102:22,24
141:18,20,25	146:5,11 148:23	74:2 91:25 93:6,8	121:9,10 126:24	103:2,13,18,23,25
	<u> </u>		1	1

		İ	İ	ı
104:5,6,10 105:1	154:5 168:10	waiting 16:22	17:9,22 21:20	Welch 36:25 37:2
106:6,25 107:3	180:15 182:7	135:15 138:23	22:19 29:13 31:15	50:25 56:10,13,17
109:12 110:14,15	useful 99:18 121:19	walk 56:2 82:18	32:1 36:7 37:15	56:25 57:11 62:7
110:21 118:13	121:24 135:20	walked 55:24 80:24	39:6 41:7,14	62:8 136:11
123:15 133:8	136:22 174:2	walking 91:22	49:15 56:14 60:5	Welcome 1:3
135:10,11 151:24	usher 63:17 165:23	want 4:6 11:9,11	60:6 61:10,13,17	went 31:11 37:17
152:9,11 156:12	181:19	19:13 22:4 28:24	63:4 71:16,25	45:16 49:4,5 79:2
156:25,25 157:6	usual 183:12	31:24 55:6 57:7	77:15 81:10 88:13	82:17 91:12 108:8
157:10,14,14	usually 23:18 121:8	64:11,21 65:22	100:3,4 103:11	111:17 117:13
159:9 160:20	utilise 14:5 48:13	119:19 120:13,24	118:6 120:12	121:1 122:8
161:18,20 162:9	97:11 126:13	120:25 122:5	123:11 128:18	128:22 133:17
162:11 163:15	utilised 6:13 34:9	124:25 125:1	133:11 134:16,17	138:8 140:21
164:4,7,16 172:4	utilising 48:4	131:12 139:2	135:16 138:25	146:11 149:10
unprecedented		140:19 152:3	140:12 144:5	151:2,9 154:25
20:6,24 106:14	V	158:17 159:7	149:25 154:8	155:2
unsafe 17:8	valid 65:14	170:2 179:11	155:6,17 163:11	weren't 6:23,23
unusual 20:10	variation 7:5	wanted 7:22 53:21	170:1 172:10	134:7 141:15
unwell 182:3	varied 6:17,18	61:23 63:5 76:7	177:17 182:5	West 152:20,22,24
up-to-date 19:11	vast 81:6 88:13,24	87:9 92:16 102:8	183:12	152:25 153:10
update 21:5 121:13	vein 157:25	122:11,12 137:14	we'll 1:19 5:25 7:12	154:21 156:24
130:9 134:25	verbal 175:17	wasn't 20:16 34:16	8:12,25 18:20	159:13
135:19 137:7	verified 109:25	34:19 37:3 43:25	21:18 37:4 55:4	whilst 32:3 42:2,6
138:19 139:20	verify 8:7	78:2 85:22 88:8	63:17 96:14	48:23 51:24 53:2
140:2 167:24	view 16:2,6 47:14	94:22 96:8 98:18	108:23 119:7	56:14 57:19 108:3
169:16	82:25 114:22	102:5 104:22	146:16 153:10	108:6 110:13
updated 118:11,12	122:12 123:1,2	105:20 110:5,7	165:16,17 183:1,9	144:6
118:24 126:3	138:3 146:23	111:19 112:18	183:17	whiteboard 5:12
129:19 132:22	149:10,16,18	114:10 116:9	we're 14:13 15:12	81:25 82:2 85:3
143:3,25	150:2,17,17	122:4,16 123:7	30:23 35:14 63:8	89:9 103:13,23
updates 111:9	views 147:22	132:19,20 136:2	63:14 66:24 71:12	104:6,18 105:6,7
128:11 135:16	virtual 171:13	137:7,16 140:1,6	88:5 91:21 96:11	106:24 107:13
172:17,21	vision 41:12 47:11	140:14 141:20	116:13 159:7	109:13 112:6,25
upgrade 117:14	47:12 48:8 59:4	143:5 144:15	163:19 181:16	112:25 114:16
upstairs 80:15	87:6,7,7,16 115:6	169:12 171:20	183:9	116:3,18 117:6,21
149:11	visual 81:24 121:5	172:14 173:9	we've 36:4 77:20	117:22,25 123:6
upwards 28:9	138:7,10 140:22	180:18	110:10 111:25	123:22 124:2
URGENCY 101:17	140:25 141:3	watch 3:16 50:12	125:10 133:5	126:15,19 127:2
174:23	144:8	50:14 75:24 76:11	150:16 179:23	130:3,14 131:21
URGENT 101:15	vital 19:16	76:15 77:6 78:2	182:2	131:22 132:16
174:21	voice 3:20	79:5 113:10,14	wearers 172:9,9	133:16 143:21
use 2:20 9:1 14:11	volume 21:24	watch's 113:7	173:5	179:14,25
22:24 41:9,11	135:21	watching 115:6	Wednesday 34:23	whiteboards 5:6,23
48:1 49:25 59:3	volunteer 140:13	water 1:15	183:23	7:3,11,22 8:3,8
87:2 88:9 126:9		way 2:9 4:8 8:22,25	week 112:13 182:3	88:10,17,18
126:16 139:15	wait 167:23	10:25 13:7 16:7	weeks 160:18	102:17 104:20
	wait 107.23			

				Page 211
110:13 111:22	38:13 39:8,14	170:10,24 172:15	02.03 57:13 62:23	54:14 66:1 118:2
114:25 119:24	48:8 49:8,19,22	173:25 176:15	75:14,17	118:4 129:8
126:24 128:6	50:2,8,10,11,20	177:12,25 180:23	02.03.41 57:10	131:23 179:16,18
129:1,2 169:4	50:22,24 51:11	180:23,24	02.04 57:13 62:23	184:2,2
willing 77:14	52:19 53:19 58:5	write 88:17 103:1	77:23	1.00 119:8
Wilson 77:6	58:6 59:5,6,15	116:25 123:14	02.04.20 57:11	10 26:23 55:11
wind 128:8	67:9 77:8,9 78:8	writes 116:18	02.04.20 37.11 02.05 57:15	112:14 131:13
window 23:11 26:5	81:20 83:8 86:21	writing 4:15 5:5	02.03 37.13 02.17.36 96:24	165:18 182:25
26:6 94:17 130:23	89:12 90:10,14,15	7:19 83:18 103:6	02.17.30 90.24 02.23.33 105:13,14	183:1,10,18
windows 144:10	101:25 106:12	112:8 114:16	105:25 108:24	10.00 1:2 183:24
		112.8 114.10	02.25.32 109:2	10.00 1.2 183.24 10/15 78:15
wipe 108:6 withdrew 63:19	110:15,17,22	written 8:7 23:4		10/15 /8.13 100 10:1 29:25
	117:9,11,15		02.27 109:4	
165:24	128:20 133:1	39:3 116:5 130:4	02.29 109:6	37:25 56:6 84:23
witness 1:6,13,16	135:9 137:3,9	130:17 151:7	02.30 145:10	103:16 154:23
1:21 8:21 55:13	138:17,18 143:9	wrong 27:1 69:11	147:12 164:21	155:20
60:4 63:19 64:1	143:12,17,18	120:20 181:10	165:7	11.25 63:21
119:16,18 165:21	145:11,11 151:13	wrote 7:20 38:14	02.31 109:7	11.40 63:18,20,23
165:24 166:6,8	152:1,3 156:21	83:24	02.38 174:16	115 82:4
181:5,15,21,22	165:2 167:6,14,19	X	02.40.11 109:8	11th 116:5 129:3
182:14	170:6,8,23 172:3	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}}$ 136:15 171:14	02.41 109:9	12 44:21,22 64:10
wonder 62:24	172:5 173:11	A 130.13 1/1.14	02.42 147:14	117:18
wondering 63:8	174:5	Y	164:23	12-minute 147:16
word 8:9 22:25	wouldn't 4:1 6:1	yeah 60:10 71:10	02.42.03 136:12	164:24
69:11 168:10	14:3,21 16:14	141:11	147:10	13 27:1
word-for-word	20:22 25:20,22	year 27:3	02.44.18 163:2	14 3:7,17 66:6
126:21	37:10,10 39:22,22	years 10:9,10,20	02.47 161:25 162:3	14/06/17 7:18
words 65:17 159:24	41:12,16 44:15	25:25 43:9	162:9 163:4 164:2	142 109:7
168:18,19	52:11 53:22 55:24	years' 8:16,16	164:10 165:1,7	14th 26:7 67:9
work 9:14 38:24	57:6 64:14,15,19	yellow 9:1 73:10	0200-0220 77:19	15 28:1
61:8 76:14 84:23	66:19 68:1 76:13	yesterday 6:20	0230 145:6	152 109:9
84:25 85:16 86:22	85:14 86:11,24	129:4	0247 161:18	15th 120:5,14,15,18
89:5 93:2,14	87:8 88:1 89:17	127.4	03.15.32 101:13	120:19 135:2,4
94:14 131:6	90:21 91:15 92:10	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	174:19,20	141:22,24 142:4
180:24	95:19,20 97:6		03.20 100:20	142:18 146:15,23
worked 20:8 43:8	98:19,21 99:22	0	03.31.04 175:11	148:10,15,24
80:1 147:24 172:3	102:5 106:3,8,11	01.25 34:23 37:6	03.37.32 175:23	150:16,20 168:5
working 34:14 63:5	106:11 107:7,21	01.31 55:20,25	0320 100:6	168:11,14,24
76:12 78:17 81:1	109:17 110:18	58:19	04.01 177:23	16 15:14
81:15 88:7,8	113:23,24,24	01.35.36 58:18	04.01.27 176:24	17 1:1 3:12 19:10
92:24 127:22	114:12 117:7,10	01.46 59:10	0600 5:19	18 3:21 183:23
170:18 172:18	122:2 127:20,22	01.49 74:18	07.20 7:20	183 109:3
174:4	127:24 134:11	01.55 59:10	0700 5:19	18th 120:17
works 139:7	139:4,15 141:8	01:31 37:17	1	19 58:15,17 131:16
world 138:17	143:15 160:5	0149 74:15	-	131:17
would've 10:2	162:23 163:12	02.00.34 178:17	1 32:4 51:11 54:9	193 112:14

Page 212

194 131:8,13,16,18	25 8:16 28:21 37:17	5 6:9 18:25 28:7	9.3 21:13	
131:22 133:4	40:20 44:4,7	51:22 52:21 60:4	9.30 45:18	
178:13,18,21,22	55:22 56:3 58:18	74:14 77:16	94 109:4	
179:3,4,12,17,17	62:21 64:10	124:25 136:19	95 112:21	
179:24	175:23	146:9 158:16,18	999 82:13	
196 17:14,15	25-pump 37:24	165:3 178:19	777 02.13	
	38:4 47:6 49:16	5.4 125:2,4		
2	50:21,23 55:19	5.5 125:3,12 126:18		
2 6:7 7:16 8:21,22	25M 136:15,15	5/10 57:3		
27:11 31:23 32:1	26 176:23	50 11:10,17		
32:3 82:3 119:7	27 136:15	52 10:25		
125:16 126:10	27TH 136:16	53 11:5		
129:8 178:21	293 11:11,17,19	539 14:15 19:2		
180:4	294 13:15 16:4,18	124:13		
2.00 119:10	296 11:11,17 17:16			
2.15 78:6,8	17:17	6		
20 45:17 55:22 56:3	2ND 136:15	6 64:10 79:19		
59:10		102:15 107:1		
20-25 77:18	3	119:19,20,21		
20/30-minute 55:2	3 4:25 14:16,17	123:9 145:5 168:6		
2012 11:4	15:12,14 22:6	633 65:21,25		
2014 19:10	27:24 72:17,17,20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2015 28:1,1 66:1	73:2,3 77:3 108:1	69 111:23 126:25		
2016 8:18 26:11	111:2 125:3			
27:1,2 29:17	155:22	7		
2017 3:12,21 12:2	3.10 166:2	7 41:6,8,9 144:4		
2018 1:1 2:15	3.15 102:2	154:6 160:12		
183:23	3.20 101:1 102:2,7	161:15 163:25		
204 117:19,22	165:17 166:1,4	168:12 170:1		
118:2	177:21	7.45 66:6,9 68:3		
21 59:11	3.3 72:19	7.46 66:7,13 68:15		
22 96:24 105:12	3.45 183:22	7.47 71:13		
108:24 179:1	30 31:10	790 15:24 18:24		
22nd 112:14 131:14	38-odd 26:24	124:24 158:12,15		1
131:18,23 179:17				ļ
23 15:15 58:15	4	8		1
96:24 101:12	4 2:15 6:9 34:21	8 35:2,16 100:4		
118:2 136:8,8	37:15 46:25 51:4	156:22 158:17		
147:10 163:1	53:18 65:22 109:5	177:16		
174:12,14	177:3 180:17	8.7 158:18		
23rd 117:19	40 45:16 56:12,17	82 116:5 129:3		
24 8:16 32:3 68:13	56:24 57:11 58:7	<u> </u>		1
101:11,13 171:13	62:4,9,23 63:4	9		
174:20	75:11,14	9 19:14		
24th 31:9		9.1 19:15		
	5	9.2 21:3		
		l		1