

<p>1 Tuesday, 5 June 2018</p> <p>2 (10.00 am)</p> <p>3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Well, good morning, everyone, and</p> <p>4 welcome to today's hearings. We're going to hear</p> <p>5 opening statements from a number of the lawyers for the</p> <p>6 core participants.</p> <p>7 Before we start, can I just raise one thing.</p> <p>8 Yesterday we showed some video footage and still</p> <p>9 photographs which might well have been disturbing to</p> <p>10 some people. Can I just ask that if any of those who</p> <p>11 are going to address me today want to show similar sorts</p> <p>12 of things -- videos, stills or whatever -- which might</p> <p>13 be distressing to those in the room, could they please</p> <p>14 make sure that an adequate warning is given so that</p> <p>15 those who don't want to see them can have a chance to</p> <p>16 leave.</p> <p>17 Right, thank you very much.</p> <p>18 Now, Mr Johnson, you are going to go first.</p> <p>19 Opening statement on behalf of the Metropolitan Police</p> <p>20 Service by MR JOHNSON</p> <p>21 MR JOHNSON: Chairman, we're grateful to Mr Millett and his</p> <p>22 team for yesterday's detailed overview of Phase 1.</p> <p>23 In this very short opening for the Metropolitan</p> <p>24 Police, I'm not going to be showing any video footage or</p> <p>25 stills or anything of that nature.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 inquiry for the purpose of gathering evidence for the</p> <p>2 criminal investigation and we will not do that.</p> <p>3 Evidence for the criminal investigation is being and</p> <p>4 will continue to be gathered in accordance with the</p> <p>5 police's quite separate powers.</p> <p>6 Our aim during the inquiry is, therefore, to</p> <p>7 continue to honour the terms of the memorandum of</p> <p>8 understanding, to deal with any associated queries and</p> <p>9 to attend and to observe the proceedings in order to</p> <p>10 review the potential for the impact on the criminal</p> <p>11 investigation and any future criminal proceedings.</p> <p>12 The LFB had lead emergency responsibility on the</p> <p>13 night of the fire, and an important part of your terms</p> <p>14 of reference is to enquire into that response. There</p> <p>15 was also, of course, a police presence on the night of</p> <p>16 the fire, and we will shortly provide to the inquiry</p> <p>17 a statement to explain that police response in order to</p> <p>18 assist with the fact-finding picture of exactly what was</p> <p>19 happening in terms of the emergency response in the</p> <p>20 early hours of 14 June.</p> <p>21 Chairman, a word on the progress of the criminal</p> <p>22 investigation.</p> <p>23 The criminal investigation is progressing in</p> <p>24 accordance with the intended timescales that we have</p> <p>25 previously indicated. The on-site forensic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 The commencement of Phase 1 of your inquiry is</p> <p>2 a significant milestone in the response to the tragedy</p> <p>3 that occurred almost a year ago on 14 June and which</p> <p>4 claimed the lives of those who have been honoured over</p> <p>5 the last two weeks with such vivid and moving</p> <p>6 commemorations. The process of achieving a measure of</p> <p>7 justice for those who died and for those who suffered so</p> <p>8 grievously must, we respectfully submit, continue to be</p> <p>9 at the centre of this inquiry, as I'm sure it will.</p> <p>10 The Metropolitan Police, for its part, is committed</p> <p>11 to supporting the inquiry in its difficult and important</p> <p>12 work, whilst also conducting its own thorough criminal</p> <p>13 investigation into all potential offences arising from</p> <p>14 the devastating fire at Grenfell Tower.</p> <p>15 The police are a core participant in the inquiry</p> <p>16 principally because of their role in conducting</p> <p>17 a parallel criminal investigation, and the consequential</p> <p>18 need to ensure appropriate co-ordination and</p> <p>19 co-operation between two distinct investigations into</p> <p>20 the same events.</p> <p>21 We will continue to provide material to the inquiry</p> <p>22 during the course of the hearings in accordance with the</p> <p>23 memorandum of understanding.</p> <p>24 Chairman, we do not consider that it would be</p> <p>25 appropriate for us to ask questions in the course of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 investigation of the tower is complete. Off-site</p> <p>2 testing and reconstruction work is ongoing.</p> <p>3 It's anticipated that Grenfell Tower will be</p> <p>4 released as a crime scene in July or August of this</p> <p>5 year. The Metropolitan Police is in the process of</p> <p>6 facilitating site visits by core participants in</p> <p>7 accordance with the process that you set out in writing</p> <p>8 on 8 May.</p> <p>9 That process aims to ensure that all those who have</p> <p>10 a proper reason for attending the site are able to do so</p> <p>11 under your supervision, whilst also according proper</p> <p>12 respect to the fact that these were the homes of those</p> <p>13 who lived there.</p> <p>14 At previous hearings we've given an update on the</p> <p>15 progress of the criminal investigation. We will not,</p> <p>16 however, continue to announce publicly every development</p> <p>17 in the investigation, perhaps for obvious reasons.</p> <p>18 The police's objective is, though, to keep the</p> <p>19 victims properly informed as a priority. That will be</p> <p>20 done separately in accordance with well-established</p> <p>21 procedures.</p> <p>22 Just as they should be at the centre of your</p> <p>23 inquiry, so too, in accordance with the statutory</p> <p>24 Victims Code, they are rightly entitled to be kept</p> <p>25 properly informed as to the progress of the criminal</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 investigation.</p> <p>2 Finally, a word on the agreements between the</p> <p>3 inquiry and the Metropolitan Police.</p> <p>4 Sir, as we've repeatedly set out, it is important to</p> <p>5 manage this inquiry and the associated criminal</p> <p>6 investigation in a way that allows the inquiry fully to</p> <p>7 do its work, whilst also enabling the police to carry</p> <p>8 out an independent and fearless investigation without</p> <p>9 risk of prejudice to that investigation or subsequent</p> <p>10 criminal proceedings. The Metropolitan Police remains</p> <p>11 focused on facilitating your inquiry whilst minimising</p> <p>12 the risk of prejudice.</p> <p>13 That sometimes has been a difficult path to tread,</p> <p>14 but it continues to be the case that you have been</p> <p>15 provided with everything you have asked for from the</p> <p>16 police and nothing has been held back from core</p> <p>17 participants because of a risk of prejudice.</p> <p>18 The magnitude of the police investigation is</p> <p>19 unprecedented and extremely demanding. But as well as</p> <p>20 focusing on the important task of investigating,</p> <p>21 officers within Operation Northleigh continue to</p> <p>22 dedicate a substantial proportion of their time to</p> <p>23 providing material to the inquiry as requests arise in</p> <p>24 addition to the established programme of proactive</p> <p>25 disclosure on a weekly basis. Great effort has been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 century London, governed by a regulatory framework</p> <p>2 designed to ensure fire safety, a local authority</p> <p>3 instigated and oversaw the refurbishment of a social</p> <p>4 housing high-rise tower block in such a way as to render</p> <p>5 it a deathtrap. RBKC and the TMO did this, and they did</p> <p>6 so using public funds, paid to an array of</p> <p>7 professionals, contractors and subcontractors, none of</p> <p>8 whom have yet accepted any responsibility for their part</p> <p>9 in what happened.</p> <p>10 Residents -- some of the people commemorated last</p> <p>11 fortnight and some of the people sitting here today --</p> <p>12 told them this could happen. But they were fobbed off,</p> <p>13 certainly not treated as equals, and denied access to</p> <p>14 the information that they could have used to save</p> <p>15 themselves or to save others.</p> <p>16 72 people have died. Those who escaped owe their</p> <p>17 lives primarily to chance rather than risk assessment</p> <p>18 and contingency planning by either the council or the</p> <p>19 Fire Brigade.</p> <p>20 Sir, you have had the experience of witnessing the</p> <p>21 bereaved and some of the survivors tell their stories</p> <p>22 over the previous two weeks, and so, perhaps more than</p> <p>23 any other judge who has started an inquiry in modern</p> <p>24 times, you know that what has gone on here makes it</p> <p>25 inevitable, justifiable and reasonable that trust in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 made to be as responsive as possible to requests for</p> <p>2 material and to provide the inquiry with everything that</p> <p>3 has been asked for as soon as possible.</p> <p>4 The strictures of a criminal investigation and the</p> <p>5 necessary procedures for gathering and recording</p> <p>6 evidence mean that it is not always possible to complete</p> <p>7 investigative tasks and to provide disclosure</p> <p>8 immediately upon request, but we will continue to</p> <p>9 endeavour to act promptly and we're very mindful of the</p> <p>10 pressing need to assist the efficient running of these</p> <p>11 hearings. That's exactly why there will be</p> <p>12 a Metropolitan Police presence at the inquiry throughout</p> <p>13 the hearings.</p> <p>14 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much indeed.</p> <p>15 Now, Mr Friedman, I think you are going to speak to</p> <p>16 us next; is that right?</p> <p>17 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs (G4) by MR FRIEDMAN</p> <p>18 MR FRIEDMAN: Sir, as you know, my name is Danny Friedman</p> <p>19 and I appear with Stephanie Barwise QC on behalf of the</p> <p>20 firms Bhatt Murphy, Bindmans, Hickman &amp; Rose,</p> <p>21 Hodge, Jones &amp; Allen, and our junior counsel are</p> <p>22 Liz Davies, Jesse Nichols and Raj Desai.</p> <p>23 The bereaved and the surviving people of</p> <p>24 Grenfell Tower come to this inquiry in a calm rage. The</p> <p>25 basic facts are stark: in the second decade of 21st</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 government, industry and firefighting requires</p> <p>2 restoration.</p> <p>3 Trust can only begin to be restored through</p> <p>4 a fearless process of publicly conducted listening,</p> <p>5 learning and truth-telling that inquiries of this nature</p> <p>6 are able to achieve. The people you are coming to know</p> <p>7 have been left to build something from nothing, and one</p> <p>8 of the places for them to do so is here.</p> <p>9 This morning, myself and Ms Barwise want to address</p> <p>10 the inquiry on four matters and we'll divide them up</p> <p>11 between us.</p> <p>12 First, I'll deal with the fire itself and what it</p> <p>13 means to its victims. On that, we want to reflect on</p> <p>14 the unprecedented and unforgettable way in which this</p> <p>15 inquiry has now begun, opened really not by its</p> <p>16 chairman, its counsel or any other filter, but by the</p> <p>17 people who are most affected.</p> <p>18 Second, I will ask Ms Barwise to focus on the</p> <p>19 building and the extraordinary new dangers that were</p> <p>20 caused by the work that was done to it. This is</p> <p>21 an opening and, therefore, it is responsible for us to</p> <p>22 wait for certain evidence to be assessed. But there are</p> <p>23 also some features of the building works that were so</p> <p>24 obviously dangerous, reprehensible and contrary to</p> <p>25 regulations that it would be irresponsible not to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

<p>1 indicate where we stand now.                  2 Equally, it is time for the technical and sometimes                  3 obscure construction matters to be the subject of                  4 informed public debate, no longer the property of the                  5 experts and the vested interests, and that is one of the                  6 important things we understand to be on offer in                  7 Phase 1.                  8 Our third topic is the firefighting response and                  9 I will come back to deal with this after the short                  10 break. There are instances of deep gratitude and                  11 respect for what was done that night, but solace in the                  12 heroism of individual firefighters is not a route to                  13 learning lessons that sorely need to be learnt. The                  14 response failed to realise quickly enough that this was                  15 a fire that could not be fought and required evacuation                  16 that could not be delayed. Now is the time for                  17 courageous and fully informed examination of how things                  18 could have been done differently.                  19 Our fourth and final topic this morning will look                  20 towards Phase 2. We want you to begin to consider what                  21 was absent in our society, and in our law, that meant                  22 that people, who you and we have begun to know on a very                  23 human level, counted then for so little.                  24 Other colleagues will add to this point, but it                  25 comes down to this: ill fares the land that left these</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 begin with these type of events.                  2 But inquests into controversial deaths, let alone                  3 truth and reconciliation commissions, don't normally                  4 begin within 12 months of an atrocity. So the events                  5 last week were raw, rawer perhaps than any of us who                  6 were not there that night and who asked you to do this                  7 could ever have contemplated.                  8 Still in mourning, families nevertheless were                  9 exercising their judgment about what they wanted to say                  10 and how they wanted to say it. They were supported by                  11 the solicitors who act for them and your team, sir, but                  12 ultimately it came down to them. They took their place                  13 in this inquiry as its principal witnesses and                  14 advocates.                  15 And yet there was something more about why this                  16 event was unprecedented. That is to do with the sheer                  17 diversity of the people who came to testify. They gave                  18 you an intimate history of humanity. Not just where                  19 they came from, which was close on every continent of                  20 the world, but the diversity of their experience: their                  21 jobs, their incomes, their services to others, their                  22 religions, their sensibilities and the principles they                  23 lived by and, in many cases, died by.                  24 It is also impossible to walk away from that                  25 experience and not acknowledge the importance of social</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 people to be so exposed to such trauma and death in such                  2 a way. Why this was so and what is to be done are the                  3 questions that bring us together.                  4 Can I turn, then, to the fire and what it means to                  5 the people who experienced it. The way to do that can                  6 only now be through reflection on the work that was done                  7 by the bereaved and the survivors in the commemoration                  8 hearings over the last two weeks.                  9 All of us have been to funerals. There are public                  10 spaces, including places of worship and theatre, events                  11 of protest and courts of law, where profound things are                  12 said and powerful emotions are felt. But any one of                  13 those involves a narrower landscape than the one we                  14 looked out on over the last two weeks. This was not                  15 a memorial, still less a funeral. People came before                  16 you to work in a dignified space before a judicial                  17 investigator to put you and we on forensic notice of                  18 what has been lost and why that should concern us all.                  19 It is true that at inquests up and down the country                  20 each week, parents and family members normally start the                  21 proceedings by telling coroners who their loved ones                  22 were and something about them. Also, the long-belated                  23 new Hillsborough inquest began with families giving oral                  24 portraits about those who were killed. Truth and                  25 reconciliation commissions around the world normally</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 housing and immigration in helping to make that                  2 commonwealth of the human spirit, the people who lived                  3 in Grenfell Tower, into the very precious thing it was.                  4 So the commemorations provided a first important                  5 opportunity to listen to the bereaved. We said this                  6 would perform a positive human rights function by                  7 respecting the dignity of the people who should be at                  8 the centre of the process, but as much as this was about                  9 dignity, it was also about utility. This was not just                  10 very articulate lessons in love; they were reference                  11 points for you to connect names to floors and flats in                  12 the coming weeks.                  13 What our clients are choosing to do is to recreate                  14 for you, your experts and the public the story of the                  15 building in the last few hours of their life in it. It                  16 is primarily through the people that were in the                  17 building that one ultimately measures how the fire                  18 spread into what type of lives and to what fatal effect.                  19 If one then asks why inquiries need to function as                  20 cultural events, as public inquiries, it is because they                  21 need to filter through into politics, economics and                  22 organisational life. Your report and recommendations                  23 will perform an important public service. But for you                  24 to facilitate public empathy with what has been lost                  25 will change the psychology of everyday lives, and that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

<p>1 is where commitments to prevention really take root.                  2 That is why we say that our clients have come here                  3 to work and why it is both dignitarian and utilitarian                  4 to be asking: who were they, who lived, who died, how                  5 and why?                  6 Sir, you will be able to create a record for all to                  7 know of the movements of those who died. When we first                  8 asked you to do this, we believed it was the right thing                  9 to do. But more and more, with the collection of                  10 statements, we are reaching a practical judgment, shared                  11 with your counsel, that such a recording will be                  12 possible. Where it is not reasonably possible to                  13 discover a particular matter, we will know that we tried                  14 and you can confirm that.                  15 By way of an opening, acknowledging that we have                  16 a way to go, let me just give a first-draft effort of                  17 some of the things you now know or will be able to know                  18 about 23 floors, 129 flats and 72 dead.                  19 It's a work-in-progress. My legal team know more                  20 about some of the people in the flats than others, but                  21 we will work and are working with the different teams,                  22 the bereaved and survivors and counsel to the inquiry to                  23 fill in the gaps, correct and clarify, and make everyone                  24 wiser.                  25 So in that first-draft spirit and with a readiness</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 have saved themselves.                  2 These upper floors would fill with more people                  3 taking refuge from below. They included two friends,                  4 Debbie Lamprell and Gary Maunders visiting her, who had                  5 come up to the 23rd floor from flat 161 on the 19th                  6 floor. You would discover there were others with                  7 different reasons to move.                  8 Let me mention Jessica Urbano Ramirez, the                  9 12-year-old girl from flat 176 on the 20th floor, and                  10 Berkti Haftom, who lived with her youngest son, Biruk,                  11 from flat 155, and Hamid Kani from flat 154, all from                  12 the 18th floor. These people, as well as                  13 Debbie Lamprell, were invited into the home of Raymond                  14 "Moses" Bernard in flat 201.                  15 Finally, for flat 202, I mention a couple from                  16 Italy, Gloria Trevisan and Marco Gottardi, who sheltered                  17 Marjorie and Ernie Vital, mother and son, from flat 162                  18 on the 19th floor.                  19 You will also learn from the real-time voices inside                  20 the building of the speed with which this fire                  21 penetrated its compartments. Naomi Li from flat 195                  22 reported to the control room at 121 that she could smell                  23 smoke. And Mariem Elgwhahry, having already left flat                  24 196, reported at 1.30 that multiple families had                  25 migrated to the top floor, that the roof doors would not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 to correct, sir, you now know that Rania Ibrahim,                  2 a Muslim woman of great faith, died in flat 203 on                  3 floor 23 with her two young children, Fethia and Hania.                  4 You know that Hesham Rahman died on the same floor,                  5 flat 204, and you will hear that he made repeated 999                  6 calls which made it clear that he could not walk the                  7 stairs alone.                  8 Flat 205 was the home of the Neda family. They gave                  9 other people shelter, including Ahmed Elgwhahry's mother                  10 and sister, Eslah and Mariem from flat 196, and the two                  11 sisters from Iran, Sakineh and Fatemeh Afrasiabi, they                  12 came up from flat 151.                  13 In flat 206, Abufars Ibrahim and Isra Ibrahim stayed                  14 with their mother, Fathia Ali Ahmed Elsanosi. Sister                  15 and mother were found dead in flat 203; there will still                  16 be work to do as to how Abufars came by his death. You                  17 know that the Neda family, Farhad and his mother, Flora,                  18 survived, but that Saber Neda, their husband and father,                  19 did not.                  20 You already know that there were a number of elderly                  21 people who lived on these higher floors, often with                  22 mobility issues, which gave rise to specific legal                  23 duties to protect, who could not have escaped without                  24 assistance. Equally, you can know that many of their                  25 older children stayed with their parents when they might</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 open and that, contrary to the operator's suggestion,                  2 her own flat was already on fire. She's talking about                  3 flat 196, 18 floors up on the same east column of the                  4 building where the fire was first reported half an hour                  5 earlier. Now, at 1.30, she's telling the authorities                  6 about what is going on inside and we have seen the video                  7 yesterday of what was going on outside.                  8 You know that Sirria Choucair originally moved from                  9 flat 191 on the 22nd floor. This was despite her severe                  10 arthritis. She and her daughter Sawsan, who was not in                  11 the tower that night, made the move to the one-bedroom                  12 flat to be close to her other daughter Nadia and her                  13 son-in-law Bassem, and the three grandchildren, Mierna,                  14 Fatima and Zainab, all in flat 193.                  15 Grandmother, parents and children from the Choucair                  16 family came together in flat 193 on the night of the                  17 fire with the Kedir family. They sought refuge from                  18 their own flat at 192.                  19 Hashim Kedir and Nura Jemal. We can know quite                  20 a lot about who they were as people based on what we                  21 learned about their children, Firdaws, Yahya and Yaqub.                  22 In due course, you'll hear from Naomi Li and Lydia Liao                  23 who lived in flat 195, who took refuge in flat 193 and                  24 managed to make it out unassisted at approximately 3.21                  25 in the morning.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

<p>1 On this floor I mention Tony Disson from flat 194, 2 who did not survive. 3 The 21st floor. That is a floor you will hear 4 a great deal about because you have available to you 5 witnesses who escaped, including Marcio and 6 Andreia Gomes, whom you have already heard from, but 7 none of the five members of the El-Wahabi family from 8 flat 182 survived. Neither did Ligaya Moore in flat 181 9 or Logan Gomes from flat 183. 10 You have been the recipient of so many unforgettable 11 accounts of how people love one another: parental, 12 sibling, romantic, religious. On floor 20, Vicky King 13 lived very privately with her elder daughter, 14 Alexandra Atala. That is just one of several flats 15 where one parent was living with their child through the 16 different stages of life. 17 You will hear a great deal more about the movements 18 and fate of the other floor 20 families from my 19 colleagues and the witnesses over the coming months. 20 I just mention Mary Mendy and Khadija Saye, mother and 21 daughter, who lived in flat 173, and all but one of the 22 five members of the Belkadi family who died. 23 You know that Jessica Ramirez from flat 176, on the 24 same east column as flat 16 where the fire started, was 25 out of her flat very quickly to the higher floors, where</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 their two young sons, one of them Isaac. This was at 2 some point shortly after 2 o'clock, perhaps 2.10. 3 Before that, other friends had gone out and told Paulos 4 to do the same, but the operators told him to stay put. 5 Despite these telephone calls and the views from 6 outside to indicate that the floor was not safe and was 7 deteriorating, the firefighters also told Paulos to stay 8 in the flat, they say reflecting a judgment that they 9 could not get the people on the floor down the stairwell 10 safely. 11 Well, if that was their judgment, firefighters on 12 a search and rescue deployment, you can at least know 13 that the inquiry should investigate what should or could 14 have happened. No one went back to get them straight 15 away. Knowing that the journey was only going to become 16 more perilous later, no relay was set up from the 17 bridgehead and up the stairs to try to shepherd them 18 down. The family were thereafter again told to stay 19 where they were by the control room operators, until at 20 some junction around 3 am they were told to get out by 21 any means necessary. When the family finally made the 22 trip, you will hear that Isaac, the five-year-old son, 23 was lost in the dire conditions of the stairwell. 24 On floor 17, we know that Sabah Abdullah got 25 separated from his wife, Khadija Khalloufi, who did not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 she and Debbie Lamprell would make emergency calls from 2 the home of Moses/Raymond in flat 201 before 2 o'clock. 3 That will be difficult but important evidence to 4 indicate how quickly the top of the building had been 5 compromised by smoke. 6 On the 19th floor, just below Vicky King, another 7 mother, Marjorie Vital, was with her son Ernie in 8 flat 162, and I told you they went upstairs and were 9 found in the home of the Italian couple, Gloria and 10 Marco. 11 You will hear more evidence from Nicholas Burton to 12 see that he absolutely would have stayed to die with his 13 wife, Pily Burton. She was too unwell to walk the 14 stairs. Firefighters got to them in flat 165 sometime 15 before 2.30 and brought them down. 16 Pily was one of three people in the building that we 17 presently are aware of who suffered from some form of 18 dementia or Alzheimers. One died at the scene, Pily 19 died within six months and we know of another family who 20 have been made homeless with the added burden that one 21 of their number suffers from the disease. 22 Also from flat 19 can I mention the Tuccu family and 23 Amna Mahmud Idris, who was visiting them. 24 Firefighters got to Paulos Tekle and Genet Shawo's 25 family at 153 on the 18th floor, where they live with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 survive the fire. They lived in flat 143. 2 I also mention Vincent Chiejina, who lived in 3 flat 144, and the family of Kamru Miah and Rabeya Begum, 4 who died with their sons, Mohammed Hamid and 5 Mohammed Hanif, and their daughter, Husna Begum. All of 6 them lived in flat 142. 7 For floor 16, I mention, if I may, Joseph Daniels 8 from flat 135 and Sheila, as she wanted to be called, 9 from flat 132. There are a number of survivors who will 10 be able to describe how quickly the fire spread to that 11 floor, but, importantly, how quickly it compromised the 12 lobby. 13 We know that Steve Power died in flat 122 on the 14 15th floor, and you have already heard something from 15 his family, including on behalf of his daughter, who 16 lived with him, about how the stay-put advice dictated 17 his fate. 18 There was a similar lost opportunity to evacuate on 19 the 14th floor. Firefighters will tell you that they 20 spoke with a group of residents, including two men 21 described to be of Middle Eastern appearance in 22 flat 112. That would be Mohammad al-Haj Ali and his 23 brother Omar at around 1.55/2 o'clock. They also spoke 24 to Denis Murphy from flat 111 and the young mother from 25 Sierra Leone, Zainab Deen, and her two-year-old boy,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

<p>1 Jeremiah, who lived in flat 115. All of these people 2 were advised to congregate in one flat, flat 113, and 3 stay put rather than initiating an evacuation to get the 4 group of them down the stairs. 5 You will hear that Omar al-Haj Ali was later taken 6 out by firefighters, but that the others congregated in 7 flat 113 were not. These brothers, Omar and 8 Mohammad al-Haj Ali, who made the journey to this 9 country together from Syria, were then definitively 10 parted. 11 Omar made a desperate attempt to get back in the 12 building. Their flatmate, Mahmoud Al-Karad, has told 13 you of a final telephone call in which Mohammad told him 14 he was not prepared to leave Zainab and Jeremiah, two 15 people he did not know, who he stayed with until it was 16 too late for any of them to live. 17 You are going to hear from many of the people who 18 escaped from floors 13 downwards, most because they left 19 early, despite the stay-put advice, and told others to 20 do the same, but others because of extraordinary 21 fortune, as well as bravery on their part and on the 22 part of firefighters. 23 On floor 11, two other men died. Ali Yawar Jafari 24 lived in flat 86. His wife and two daughters were able 25 to escape but he did not. And finally Abdeslam Sebbar</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 understand more about the technical side. The science, 2 the law and the debates about both cannot be the 3 exclusive property of the experts. The Building 4 Regulations may be complex and could be simplified, but 5 they are not rocket science and some of the people who 6 are now saying otherwise are the people who should and 7 did know better. 8 On these matters, I now hand over to Ms Barwise to 9 address you. I can then return with final remarks on 10 the firefighting and the broader context after the short 11 break. 12 Thank you. 13 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Friedman. 14 Yes, Ms Barwise. 15 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs (G4) by MS BARWISE 16 MS BARWISE: I propose to address you, sir, if I may, on two 17 matters: first, the manner in which the cladding 18 compromised the safety of Grenfell Tower, and the 19 question of the obvious non-compliance of that work with 20 the Building Regulations; second, the lack of engagement 21 of the corporate core participants with the obvious 22 non-compliance issue. 23 I preface my submission with the observation that 24 listening in the last fortnight to the moving 25 testimonies of those lost, I was struck by how many of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 from flat 81. You have not yet heard from his family, 2 but they are very engaged in the process and will be 3 able to assist you with what occurred on the night in 4 terms of telephone calls that were made. 5 Sir, that is just a thumbnail sketch, 6 a work-in-progress that I know can be vastly improved, 7 but I am sure that the surviving community want it, in 8 your words, to be laid bare. Allowing the stories of 9 the fire to be told and to chronicle them yourself in 10 due course is what has begun to make this inquiry 11 a cultural event and not just a technical or legal one. 12 And that is an important junction to turn to our 13 second topic, which is: what on Earth was wrong with 14 this building? 15 Before handing over to Ms Barwise, may I briefly 16 expand on my earlier observation about it being time for 17 the knowledge to become public property and part of 18 a public debate. 19 Grenfell is also a story of disempowerment through 20 withholding knowledge, including technical knowledge, 21 and not enough people in society being included in 22 conversations about what risks this country should be 23 prepared to tolerate. 24 In order to prevent the construction disasters of 25 the future, we -- and that means all of us -- need to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 the residents of Grenfell came to London seeking safety. 2 And yet, as firefighter Ashman observed when he arrived 3 to find the fire still raging seven hours after it had 4 started, Grenfell Tower appeared to him as a war-torn 5 country, and the only other comparable which came to him 6 was the Twin Towers on 9/11. 7 Devastation on this scale arising from what started 8 as and should have remained a kitchen fire suggests 9 failures at every level of design and construction of 10 the refurbishment, albeit once the fire had taken hold 11 it became an inferno for which no one had legislated. 12 Of the six commonly recognised layers of protection 13 against fire -- namely prevention, detection, 14 evacuation, suppression, compartmentation and the 15 resistance of the structure to fire -- at 16 Grenfell Tower, five of those layers failed. That the 17 structure survived is testament to its original, solid, 18 concrete, virtually incombustible construction. The 19 failure of the other layers is the subject matter of 20 this inquiry and, in particular, in Phase 1, the inquiry 21 has agreed, if it finds itself able, to make findings of 22 any obvious non-compliance with Building Regulations. 23 As to the failure of the cladding in the fire, as we 24 have heard from counsel to the inquiry, the provisional 25 views of the relevant experts, Professor Bisby, Dr Lane</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

<p>1 and Professor Torero, tell more or less the same story.                  2 All hold the cladding as a system responsible for the                  3 incredibly rapid spread of a fire which ultimately                  4 engulfed the whole building. All recognise the                  5 complexity of such a system in terms of the way in which                  6 it will cause a fire to spread.                  7 Whilst recognising that the reports are necessarily                  8 provisional, we suggest they are already sufficient to                  9 enable consideration of the obvious non-compliance                  10 question.                  11 Dr Lane's conclusion is that the entire building                  12 envelope system could not adequately resist the spread                  13 of fire over the walls. Both Dr Lane and                  14 Professor Bisby consider the Reynobond aluminium                  15 composite panels responsible for the most rapid flame                  16 spread. These panels, according to Dr Lane, should have                  17 been to a national standard known as class 0 throughout.                  18 In fact, there has been no evidence produced to her and                  19 none that she can find that the panels were class 0.                  20 The only certification shows European class E.                  21 Whilst the national and European classifications are                  22 not directly comparable, in the European standards, A1                  23 is described in our Building Regulations as                  24 non-combustible, A2 as limited combustibility, but the                  25 class E panels used at Grenfell were the second from the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 to fire spread that counsel alluded to yesterday.                  2 The lack of test certificate for this significant                  3 product raises the question, as do so many facets of the                  4 Grenfell refurbishment, whether either designer or                  5 contractor ever thought to ask what the product's                  6 resistance to fire was or considered its implications                  7 for safety. It is these issues which lead Dr Lane to                  8 conclude that there was a culture of non-compliance.                  9 Behind these highly combustible sandwich panels was                  10 insulation which Dr Lane discovered was the lowest                  11 class, namely F, and therefore woefully below the                  12 required standard, A2 or limited combustibility, and                  13 absolutely predisposed to allow for fire spread.                  14 All three experts highlight the uPVC window                  15 surrounds, which become liquid between 75 and                  16 100 degrees, to have been the route not only by which                  17 the fire escaped into the cladding, but also a potential                  18 route for the fire to repeatedly break back into the                  19 building as it did.                  20 Dr Lane finds that the insulation behind those uPVC                  21 window surrounds was Celotex TB4000, class F. Again,                  22 woefully low and likely to facilitate rather than                  23 prevent fire spread.                  24 This leads Dr Lane to conclude that once any fire                  25 occurred near a flat window, the majority of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 lowest classification, class F.                  2 Both Dr Lane and Professor Bisby considered the                  3 geometry of the building also contributed to rapid fire                  4 spread, in particular the 14 columns around the building                  5 which, uninhibited by effective cavity barriers, became                  6 giant vertical pathways for upward flame spread to the                  7 top of the building and potential downward spread. The                  8 fact that these channels were well-ventilated, lined                  9 with combustible materials and kept hot by the                  10 insulation made them pathways for flame and smoke in                  11 which everything could ignite. Professor Bisby tells us                  12 that the channels facilitated elongation of the flame by                  13 up to five to ten times its normal length.                  14 The insulation used to line the original wall of                  15 Grenfell was predominantly the product Celotex RS5000,                  16 but, when that ran out, Kingspan Kooltherm K15 was also                  17 used. All the insulation was supposed to be of limited                  18 combustibility or class A2, but Dr Lane finds none of it                  19 was.                  20 The sandwich infill panels between windows were                  21 Aluglaze, namely the white aluminium panels with blue                  22 Styrofoam filling we saw on the screens yesterday, which                  23 ignite at 356 degrees and for which no public                  24 performance test evidence has been supplied. These                  25 panels contribute to three out of Dr Lane's six routes</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 materials around the window had no ability to resist                  2 fire.                  3 Dr Lane also considers the top and bottom and edges                  4 of the window also created routes for fire spread.                  5 Counsel explained yesterday that the gap formed at the                  6 window and column edges by new windows being smaller                  7 than the old was filled with EPDM, a rubber damp-proof                  8 course derived from crude oil in which the air is                  9 trapped in the pre-foaming process; the perfect medium                  10 for flame spread at the edge of the window and into the                  11 aluminium clad columns.                  12 Dr Lane found no effective cavity barriers around                  13 the windows and no cavity barriers between the cladding                  14 and its junctions with compartment walls and floors, nor                  15 were there cavity barriers within the cladding rails,                  16 either within the columns or window edges, nor at the                  17 top of the cladding, where it joins the crown of the                  18 tower, thereby creating further routes to fire spread.                  19 The combination of these highly combustible                  20 materials and omissions of cavity barriers amounts to                  21 a collection of catastrophic failures in construction                  22 safety.                  23 As counsel mentioned yesterday, Professor Torero                  24 believes the Celotex RS5000 insulation's ability to                  25 spread flame may have been increased by the way the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

<p>1 aluminium cladding panels burn, and in turn, the way the                  2 insulation burned then affected the rate at which the                  3 cladding panels melted, potentially causing less flame                  4 spread but greater falling molten debris, which we saw                  5 on the video yesterday and which the eye witnesses                  6 experienced with disbelief on the night.                  7 Second, Professor Torero notes the faster lateral                  8 spread at the crown and considers that as debris fell                  9 from the crown, it ignited fires by landing on ledges,                  10 resulting in rapid internal penetration on floors 20 and                  11 upwards.                  12 This explains some of the otherwise inexplicable 999                  13 calls reporting fires within flats on the upper floors                  14 very early on in the evening. So, for example,                  15 Jessica Urbano Ramirez and Debbie Lamprell, who had both                  16 migrated from lower floors to flat 201 on the 23rd                  17 floor, and called at 1.40 and 1.41 respectively                  18 reporting very heavy smoke and fire within that flat.                  19 One of the repeated themes of the last fortnight was                  20 how many bereaved and survivors have so many unanswered                  21 questions and a desperate need for answers. Although                  22 they are the ones with the greatest right to know, the                  23 general public and the construction industry also                  24 desperately need those answers.                  25 At and following the second procedural hearing, the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 non-compliant with the regulations, where previously it                  2 was, is called a material alteration. We say, based on                  3 Dr Lane's report, that the entirety of the cladding                  4 constituted a material alteration, since as it stood                  5 before the refurbishment, Grenfell was constructed of                  6 virtually incombustible concrete. It was, however,                  7 covered by the polyethylene cladding now openly                  8 described by some within the industry as petrol.                  9 Patently, in the event of a fire the cladding did                  10 not adequately resist the spread of flame over its                  11 surface; on the contrary, it promoted flame spread.                  12 Our understanding is that the ignition of the                  13 polyethylene within the cladding panels produces                  14 a flaming reaction more quickly than dropping a match                  15 into a barrel of petrol.                  16 The effect of this material alteration was that from                  17 the moment of the cladding's installation, given it was                  18 attached to every flat, it undermined the principle of                  19 compartmentation whereby each flat is a fire rated box,                  20 which underlay Grenfell Tower's defence to fire: namely                  21 stay put or defend in place.                  22 That fundamental non-compliance was then compounded                  23 by a failure by RBKC or its advisers to address the fire                  24 risk posed by the cladding, with the result that                  25 CS Stokes, which carried out the last fire risk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 inquiry indicated its willingness in principle to                  2 consider obvious non-compliance of the cladding and fire                  3 protection measures to the extent that was possible.                  4 Insofar as the cladding system is concerned, the                  5 starting point to the non-compliance question is: what                  6 were the requirements of the Building Regulations 2010                  7 which apply to the construction of new buildings or the                  8 extension of existing ones?                  9 There are three key points as to the nature of the                  10 obligation imposed by those regulations.                  11 First, the purpose of the regulations is the                  12 protection of human life, not property. The regulations                  13 produced pursuant to the Building Act 1984 are, by that                  14 Act, expressed to be for the purpose of securing the                  15 health and welfare of those who may be affected by the                  16 building.                  17 Second, the regulations require compliance with the                  18 functional requirements listed in schedule 1 of the                  19 regulations, which are simply the results or outcomes                  20 required to be achieved by the finished work.                  21 Third, the regulations provide that the works must                  22 not render the building any less compliant than it was                  23 before the works were carried out, the so-called                  24 non-worsening principle.                  25 A change to the building which renders it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 assessment before the fire, concluded there was only                  2 a risk of "slight harm" in the event of the fire.                  3 "Slight harm" was defined as "unlikely to result in                  4 serious injury or death of any occupant". This starkly                  5 contrasts with Dr Lane's analysis that the building                  6 envelope created an intolerable risk on the night of the                  7 fire, resulting in extreme harm.                  8 By way of preamble to the requirements of the                  9 Building Regulations, industry has been aware for some                  10 time that cladding panels incorporating polymeric                  11 materials such as polyethylene are highly combustible.                  12 This knowledge was derived from fires such as                  13 Knowsley Heights in 1991 and Garnock Court in 1999,                  14 which led to a House of Commons select committee report                  15 which concluded all cladding materials should either be                  16 non-combustible or be proven by large-scale testing.                  17 Further, the very specific danger of using polymeric                  18 materials such as polyethylene near materials such as                  19 uPVC window surrounds was known certainly to government,                  20 which was warned of that precise risk by BRE's report                  21 in November 1992 following the Knowsley Heights fire in                  22 1991, in which fire spread vertically up the cavity                  23 behind the cladding, melting the aluminium supports and                  24 attacking the uPVC window frames.                  25 Both Knowsley Heights and Garnock Court resulted in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>



<p>1 significant changes to the Building Regulations. More                  2 recently, the cladding fire at Lakanal House of 2009, on                  3 which the coroner reported in 2013, would have been in                  4 everyone's minds.                  5 Both Mr Stein and Mr Weatherby are going to address                  6 you further on the extent of this knowledge, but it                  7 amounts to this: since the turn of the century, both                  8 internationally and in the UK, fires involving external                  9 cladding systems have become the archetypal form of mass                  10 fire disaster. This fact put construction and fire                  11 engineering professionals on notice of the imperative to                  12 develop their risk assessment systems accordingly, and                  13 also ought to have informed London Fire Brigade                  14 contingency planning.                  15 Industry openly acknowledges that polyethylene                  16 equates to petrol. As we mention in our written                  17 submissions, one of Arconic's distributors of                  18 a different Arconic product, Reynodual, is openly                  19 referring to the Reynobond product used on Grenfell as                  20 petrol in order to advertise as safe the Reynodual                  21 product said to be non-combustible.                  22 Arconic are on notice of this advertising. We shall                  23 wait to hear whether they disavow it. But if they do                  24 not, we will ask for the advert to be admitted into                  25 inquiry evidence and, with an appropriate warning, ask</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 over the walls.                  2 Guidance as to how to achieve those outcomes is                  3 given by Approved Document B, also produced pursuant to                  4 the Building Act. The guidance does not relieve the                  5 designer or contractor of the obligation to achieve the                  6 outcomes imposed by the regulations. Document B makes                  7 clear that the designer is not required to adopt any                  8 solution in the approved document, but may instead                  9 achieve the outcomes required in some other way,                  10 including by carrying out a fire engineering study of                  11 the whole building.                  12 In inviting you to consider obvious non-compliance                  13 with the regulations within Phase 1, we're not                  14 overlooking the fact that guidance document B is                  15 a profoundly unsatisfactory document which has developed                  16 organically, in part in response to fires over the                  17 years, and requires urgent overhaul.                  18 All that being said, in the rush to reform Approved                  19 Document B, we do not wish to exonerate contractors and                  20 designers who have blatantly disregarded the outcomes                  21 clearly required by the current regulations, which we                  22 submit are clear, and those portions of the approved                  23 document which are clear and were well understood.                  24 We should also point out that we confine our                  25 allegations of non-conformity to the building</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 that what is a distressing and distasteful piece of                  2 marketing be shown up on the screens.                  3 The fuel load imposed by both the panels and the                  4 insulation at Grenfell Tower must have been                  5 considerable, leading Professor Bisby to conclude that                  6 the fire spread was self-accelerating, in that its                  7 growth rate increased exponentially. Professor Bisby's                  8 conclusion is that although the cladding materials were                  9 composites, the overall phenomena was similar to those                  10 that would be broadly expected for vertical flame spread                  11 up a solid fuel surface.                  12 He considers that such ACM products represent                  13 a clear, significant fire hazard that ought to be                  14 explicitly considered by anyone contemplating their use                  15 on buildings.                  16 I turn now to the outcomes required by schedule 1 of                  17 the Building Regulations insofar as relevant to the                  18 cladding.                  19 Regulation B3(4) requires that the building shall be                  20 designed and constructed so as to inhibit unseen spread                  21 of fire and smoke within concealed cavities. In other                  22 words, effective cavity barriers are required, including                  23 within the cavity created by the cladding.                  24 Regulation B4(1) requires that the external walls of                  25 the building shall adequately resist the spread of flame</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 regulations as they stand now rather than any                  2 aspirational standard. With that caveat, I now turn to                  3 how the designer demonstrates that he or she has                  4 achieved the outcomes required by the regulations.                  5 The guidance in Document B offers, but does not                  6 prescribe, compliance by two precisely explained routes                  7 in addition to the fire engineering option: the first                  8 route is by testing the cladding system by means of                  9 large-scale test, and the second is by achieving                  10 precisely specified criteria by reference to British or                  11 European standards, which is the prescriptive route.                  12 If the designer chooses the prescriptive route, he                  13 must, as required by appendix A of Document B, prove                  14 compliance by proving the materials have been                  15 independently certified to conform to the relevant                  16 standards.                  17 For the sake of completeness, a further possible                  18 means of compliance by way of desktop study has been                  19 suggested by the leading industry bodies. This concept                  20 and the fire engineering option for compliance                  21 contemplated by Document B are academic in this phase of                  22 the inquiry since there was no suggestion that either                  23 method was attempted.                  24 The relevant section of Document B, part B4, is                  25 headed "External wall construction" and begins with what</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 the inquiry's expert Mr Todd has called the "health 2 warning". It warns of the dangers of combustible 3 materials in cladding. Paragraph 12.5 reads: 4 "The external envelope of a building should not 5 provide a medium for fire spread if it is likely to be 6 a risk to health or safety. The use of combustible 7 materials in the cladding system may present such a risk 8 in tall buildings." 9 The paragraph then suggests compliance by either the 10 prescriptive or test route. The contractor or designer 11 is therefore faced with a choice: he or she either 12 elects the prescriptive route, or the test route, or 13 a desktop study or a holistic engineering solution. 14 Starting with the prescriptive route to compliance, 15 it is contained in paragraph 12.6 to 12.9 of Document B. 16 Paragraph 12.6 introduces the concept that on a building 17 over 18 metres tall, the outer surfaces of walls should 18 be national class 0. 19 It is universally accepted that the insulation 20 material is required by paragraph 12.7 to be of limited 21 combustibility. There appears to be a debate, which 22 will be for Phase 2, about whether paragraph 12.7 also 23 requires the filler or core of the cladding panel to be 24 of limited combustibility. The inquiry's experts are 25 split 50/50 on this issue; Mr Todd and Professor Bisby</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 limited combustibility materials may be too expensive. 2 The contractor or designer therefore considers whether 3 the test route might suit better. In doing so, the 4 designer must at least open BR 135, which is expressly 5 referred to at paragraph 12.5 of the regulations and 6 introduces the large-scale test, BS 8414, and has been 7 a central document in this part of the industry for 8 25 years. 9 Please may we now have on screen INQ0000092, thank 10 you. 11 The introduction of the version available to those 12 who worked on Grenfell Tower tells the contractual 13 designer that the large scale test was introduced 14 following the Knowsley Heights cladding fire in 1991, 15 and that following a fatal cladding fire in 16 Garnock Court, the second edition of BR 135 was 17 produced. As the designer or contractor turns on to 18 this page, section 3, mechanisms of fire spread, 19 graphically depicts the consequences of high-rise 20 cladding fires, critically the fire breaking out of and 21 then repeatedly back into the building. The narrative 22 reads: 23 "The key stages associated with fire spread on the 24 outside of a building envelope are: 25 "Initiation of the fire event.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 considering clause 12.7 requires limited combustibility 2 panels and Professor Torero and Dr Lane considering it 3 does not. 4 Dr Lane considers the panels and core must be 5 class 0 throughout and, as there were no class 0 6 certificates for these panels, considers them 7 non-compliant. 8 Mr Todd notes that industry considers that the 9 inside or core of the panels is required to be of 10 limited combustibility. He relies on a guidance note 11 produced by the Building Control Alliance, BCA, which he 12 defines as acting as the voice of building control in 13 consultation with government and other bodies. The 14 relevant BCA guidance note issued in June 2014 makes 15 clear that if the building is taller than 18 metres, all 16 elements of the cladding system should be of limited 17 combustibility. 18 Pausing there, Arconic's opening accepts that 19 Document B requires the inside or core of the panels to 20 be of limited combustibility and acknowledges that it 21 would've been obvious that its Reynobond panels were not 22 of limited combustibility. 23 Returning now to the contractual designer's options 24 for compliance. He or she may not wish to follow the 25 prescriptive route; it may not suit their design and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 "Fire breakout. 2 "Interaction with external envelope. 3 "Fire re-entry. 4 "Fire service intervention." 5 Returning to our designer, even if his or her 6 interaction with BR 135 ended there, they would be well 7 aware of the risks posed by combustible cladding and, 8 indeed, precisely the scenario which occurred at 9 Grenfell Tower. 10 If, however, the designer reads on to the next 11 page -- please go to 12, thank you -- he is told, 12 paragraph 3.3, that the fire performance of the cladding 13 system is critically important. Once flames begin to 14 impinge upon the external fabric of the building, either 15 from an internal or external source, there is the 16 potential for the cladding system to be involved. 17 Critically it reads on at paragraph 3.3.2, 18 "Cavities": 19 "If a flame becomes confined or restricted by 20 entering cavities within the external cladding system, 21 they will become elongated as they seek oxygen and fuel 22 to support the combustion process. This process can 23 lead to flame extension of five to ten times that of the 24 original flame lengths, regardless of the materials used 25 to line the cavities."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

<p>1 As I've already mentioned, that extension of five to 2 ten times the length of flame is exactly what 3 Professor Bisby considers happened in the 14 columns 4 which surrounded Grenfell Tower. 5 Returning again to our designer, armed with the 6 knowledge that if there is a fire inside a high-rise it 7 may reach the cladding, and if it does will result in 8 a catastrophic, multi-storey fire involving elongated 9 flames within the cavity, the designer turns to consider 10 his options under the prescriptive route and, thus 11 informed, should favour limited combustibility panels. 12 Even if the designers and contractors of Grenfell 13 did not trouble to open BR 135, they must have looked at 14 the insulation manufacturer Celotex's compliance 15 brochure for specifying the insulation RS5000 on 16 buildings over 18 metres. When they did, they would've 17 been confronted by the very same break-in and break-out 18 diagram as that contained in BR 135, which is replicated 19 exactly within Celotex's brochure. 20 We will submit in Phase 2 that reasonable and 21 prudent designers, if in doubt about whether 22 paragraph 12.7 required limited combustibility material 23 inside the panels, would conclude that they were, in 24 order to comply with B4, required to use limited 25 combustibility cladding panels.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 Second, the fact that, as Dr Lane concludes, the 2 cladding at Grenfell Tower did not adequately resist the 3 spread of flame and, on the contrary, promoted it, is 4 also sufficient to show non-compliance, together with 5 the absence of proof of compliance. 6 Thirdly, another way of looking at it is that 7 Dr Lane says from the moment the tower was enveloped in 8 cladding, it immediately compromised compartmentation 9 and therefore was a material alteration which left the 10 building not compliant with B4(1) of the regulations, 11 whereas it had been before the works were carried out. 12 In order to answer the non-compliance question in 13 Phase 1, it is necessary to reach an overall view on 14 what the Building Regulations and Document B currently 15 require. The non-compliance is a failure to comply with 16 the functional requirement or outcome contained within 17 the regulations themselves, namely that the external 18 wall should adequately resist the spread of fire. 19 You, sir, should not be deterred from reaching 20 a concluded view within Phase 1 as to whether there is 21 obvious non-compliance with the regulations or 22 Document B by the suggestion made in Rydon's opening 23 that the regulations can only be interpreted by how 24 a reasonable, reputable cladding designer would 25 interpret them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 Taking a purposive approach to the interpretation of 2 the Building Regulations, safety of human life is 3 paramount. Therefore, in the case of doubt there was 4 only one way to resolve this consistently with the 5 purpose of the regulations. 6 At Grenfell, given that it is clear that neither 7 designer nor contractor sought to adopt the test route, 8 by default they adopted the prescriptive route of 9 needing to prove compliance of both insulation and 10 cladding panels by reference to British or European 11 standards. Dr Lane has found that there are no relevant 12 certificates. 13 Accordingly, there are three clear ways in which 14 Grenfell can be said to be obviously non-compliant, 15 without establishing the responsibility for such 16 non-compliance. 17 First, it is clear that the cladding system is 18 non-compliant because the insulation does not comply. 19 Therefore, because the prescriptive route was adopted, 20 the entire system is non-compliant. The insulation, 21 which unarguably should have been of limited 22 combustibility, so class A2 or better, was not, and the 23 insulation between the original and new window infill 24 panels was as low as class F, as is the Celotex TB4000 25 insulation behind the uPVC window surrounds.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 Within Phase 1, that is academic for two reasons. 2 First, while the Building Regulations must be read 3 together with Approved Document B, the outcomes 4 contained within schedule 1 of the regulations are clear 5 and unambiguous as a matter of statutory interpretation, 6 and patently have not been achieved. 7 The guidance is merely guidance, and expressly makes 8 clear it need not be followed at all if the designer or 9 contractor prefers to achieve compliance with the 10 functional requirement in a different way. In any case, 11 there was no attempt here to comply with Document B. 12 Debates as to the detail of Document B are divorced 13 from the straightforward question of whether the 14 cladding system at Grenfell was compliant with the 15 regulations. It patently was not, and compliance with 16 the prescriptive route has demonstrably not been proven. 17 Second, it is not at all clear that the designers or 18 contractors in this case will seek to argue that they 19 have complied with the Building Regulations. Not one 20 core participant makes that assertion, even though that 21 had originally been Rydon's position in the media in the 22 immediate aftermath of the fire, albeit solely in 23 reliance on the regulations completion certificate 24 issued by RBKC. 25 It would have been helpful if the corporate core</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

<p>1 participants had indicated their position on the                  2 compliance question. Only Arconic has addressed it, and                  3 it concludes that the panels should have been of limited                  4 combustibility but patently were not. This is a matter                  5 that Mr Weatherby will address, and we endorse what he                  6 has to say, in particular with regard to the question of                  7 compliance with the regulations.                  8 May I then, sir, perhaps state the obvious as far as                  9 the industry is concerned.                  10 The contractors and designers were under                  11 an obligation to achieve the outcomes required in the                  12 Building Regulations and to demonstrate that their work                  13 was compliant. Rydon, the main contractor under                  14 a design and build contract, is being obtuse in arguing,                  15 as it does in its position statement, that it was not                  16 responsible for critical decisions.                  17 Rydon was retained pursuant to the preconstruction                  18 agreement to carry out preliminary facade design work,                  19 obtain planning permission and prepare a mock-up for the                  20 cladding. It was to be paid £350,000 under the                  21 agreement, of which £35,000 was expressly referable to                  22 those items. Such work was expressed by the                  23 preconstruction agreement to be carried out under the                  24 terms of the design and build contract to be entered in                  25 due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 As to Rydon's subcontractors, CEP, the subcontractor                  2 which purchased and fabricated the Reynobond panels,                  3 claims it cannot participate at all until it has had                  4 full disclosure. If CEP wishes not to incriminate                  5 itself, that is its choice, but it is disingenuous for                  6 CEP to plead inability to comment on the compliance of                  7 its own work. The same applies to Harley, as it does                  8 also to Studio E. These parties make no comment on the                  9 detailed criticisms of their work, nor do they even                  10 comment on drawings which they themselves drafted.                  11 Significantly, they do not indicate how they propose to                  12 achieve compliance, assuming, that is, that they ever                  13 applied their minds to that question.                  14 The time has come -- indeed, is overdue -- for the                  15 contractors and suppliers to clearly state their                  16 positions, and also to respond to the positions taken by                  17 others. Their stance that they need more than the                  18 documents they already have before engaging at all with                  19 any of the criticisms made of them is demonstrably                  20 untenable and disingenuous.                  21 These contractors should not be allowed, by their                  22 deliberate refusal to participate, to derail                  23 determination of the compliance issue, even though we                  24 are concerned with blatant non-compliance.                  25 Despite their words of condolence to the victims,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>
<p>1 Whether or not others had suggested or dictated the                  2 use of certain materials, Rydon would anyway have been                  3 under an obligation implied either by the design and                  4 build contract and/or the preconstruction agreement to                  5 warn RBKC if the materials were unsuitable or hazardous                  6 or non-compliant.                  7 In any event, Studio E's specification had                  8 previously required higher quality materials than were                  9 in fact used. Fire resistant Celotex, FR5000 not                  10 RS5000, was specified originally, and the rainscreen                  11 cladding proposed for the spandrel and column panels was                  12 an aluminium honeycomb core bonded between two zinc                  13 skins.                  14 Rydon was asked to submit alternative costs for                  15 Reynobond over Alucobond and zinc but, as detailed                  16 designer of the cladding, it would have been for Rydon                  17 or its subcontractors to consider the degree of                  18 resistance to fire necessary and to warn if it                  19 considered them insufficient. It is therefore                  20 disingenuous of Rydon to suggest that someone else made                  21 all the critical decisions before it became involved and                  22 it was stuck with them.                  23 No doubt others may share responsibility, but                  24 attempts such as this to reduce the significance of                  25 Rydon's role are misleading.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>	<p>1 these corporates have no desire to assist this inquiry,                  2 even though their participation could save lives in the                  3 immediate future.                  4 The inability to produce a basic account of how, if                  5 at all, they considered Grenfell Tower complied with the                  6 Building Regulations is itself indicative of the culture                  7 of non-compliance which Dr Lane has identified.                  8 The corporates' silence deprives the families of the                  9 degree of resolution and understanding to which they are                  10 entitled and has only served to increase their pain and                  11 uncertainty. It is inhumane to remain silent when so                  12 many seek understanding and answers, answers which are                  13 within the corporates' gift.                  14 Those are my submissions, sir. I wonder if this                  15 would be a convenient moment for the break before I hand                  16 the baton back to Mr Friedman.                  17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: I think it would. Thank you very                  18 much.                  19 So we'll have a break now for 15 minutes and then,                  20 when we resume, we'll hear further from Mr Friedman.                  21 Thank you very much.                  22 (11.15 am)                  23 (A short break)                  24 (11.35 am)                  25 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs (G4)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

<p>1 by MR FRIEDMAN (continued)</p> <p>2 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Friedman.</p> <p>3 MR FRIEDMAN: Thank you, sir.</p> <p>4 The firefighting response.</p> <p>5 The culture of non-compliance that you've just heard</p> <p>6 referred to predetermined the fatal risk to which the</p> <p>7 residents were exposed, but it also bore direct</p> <p>8 consequence for the experience of the firefighters on</p> <p>9 the night. The subject adds a further emotional layer</p> <p>10 to the inquiry and it is important to underscore why.</p> <p>11 If dying in a fire is the stuff of nightmares, then</p> <p>12 you are about to meet a group of witnesses who make</p> <p>13 their life's purpose to prevent that from happening.</p> <p>14 The firefighters have suffered damage to their</p> <p>15 professional and personal lives by failing to save</p> <p>16 lives, as well as life-threatening trauma themselves.</p> <p>17 Yet it is important to respect the event as</p> <p>18 an opportunity for learning and improvement, as well as</p> <p>19 ensuring that the bereaved and survivors, as the first</p> <p>20 and foremost victims, are able to ascertain if and how</p> <p>21 their bereavement and trauma might have been prevented.</p> <p>22 As you were told yesterday, we've now read more than</p> <p>23 250 firefighter statements; difficult statements to give</p> <p>24 and a vast piece of work on the part of the police to</p> <p>25 obtain them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 had substantially failed by 1.26.</p> <p>2 Both the experts and the non-experts can view the</p> <p>3 video from yesterday and reflect on the fact that this</p> <p>4 was not a fire to tell people to stay put in.</p> <p>5 Standing London Fire Brigade and national policy</p> <p>6 required consideration of withdrawing stay-put advice,</p> <p>7 although no Incident Commander appears to have done so</p> <p>8 until AC Roe shortly before 2.40. Somewhat bizarrely,</p> <p>9 DAC Fenton came to the same conclusion at roughly the</p> <p>10 same time in the control room at Stratford, without</p> <p>11 prior communication with the incident command and</p> <p>12 triggered, he will tell you, at least in part as</p> <p>13 a result of turning on the television and viewing the</p> <p>14 fire on Sky News.</p> <p>15 One of the other consequences of more than 250</p> <p>16 firefighters telling you that they have never seen</p> <p>17 anything like it is that each of them in their own way</p> <p>18 has expert knowledge to offer you about it. What we</p> <p>19 believe is going to happen once all these accounts are</p> <p>20 read and heard is that the initial instinctive judgment</p> <p>21 that nothing more could be done will be replaced by</p> <p>22 a more nuanced and constructive appreciation that all</p> <p>23 sorts of things could have been done differently, and we</p> <p>24 base that observation on what many of the firefighters</p> <p>25 of different ranks have endeavoured to confront, with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 The near universal view of those witnesses is that</p> <p>2 this was a fire utterly beyond their professional</p> <p>3 experience. But it is also clear that it was a fire</p> <p>4 beyond their training, or indeed the London Fire</p> <p>5 Brigade's operational contemplation, and we say it</p> <p>6 should not have been.</p> <p>7 In that recognition of systemic failure of</p> <p>8 foresight, the inquiry can join this and other fire</p> <p>9 brigades in remedying what is a violation of the state's</p> <p>10 responsibility to have training and policy in place that</p> <p>11 is fit for responding to foreseeable risk to life in</p> <p>12 cladding fires of this nature.</p> <p>13 When Mr Weatherby takes this up with you this</p> <p>14 afternoon, he will reflect on how the positive human</p> <p>15 rights duties to protect life have been breached by the</p> <p>16 lack of contingency planning in this case.</p> <p>17 That takes us to what we see as a fundamental</p> <p>18 question: did the LFB waste limited temporal and spatial</p> <p>19 opportunities in trying to fight a fire that could not</p> <p>20 be fought, rather than immediately prioritising the</p> <p>21 evacuation to save lives?</p> <p>22 A range of firefighter witnesses, as well as</p> <p>23 Dr Lane, have emphatically queried the logic of</p> <p>24 maintaining the stay-put advice up to 2.47, given that</p> <p>25 compartmentation had already begun to fail by 1.15 and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	<p>1 quite a few of them making clear that they bore those</p> <p>2 realisations in real time and not with the benefit of</p> <p>3 hindsight.</p> <p>4 It's from our reading of those statements that we</p> <p>5 now make the following observations about the core</p> <p>6 matters that the inquiry, listening to your counsel</p> <p>7 yesterday, will look to investigate.</p> <p>8 First, operational matters. These include:</p> <p>9 1. The failure to appreciate the futility of</p> <p>10 firefighting within that early window of opportunity for</p> <p>11 evacuation.</p> <p>12 2. The real-time appreciation by firefighters of</p> <p>13 various ranks and staff in the control room that</p> <p>14 stay-put advice was untenable.</p> <p>15 3. The haphazard extent to which the narrow</p> <p>16 staircase was crowded by firefighter deployments and</p> <p>17 equipment that obstructed effective evacuation. Policy</p> <p>18 specifically warns against congestion.</p> <p>19 4. How hoses and other pieces of equipment kept</p> <p>20 fire doors to the lobby open and so increased the spread</p> <p>21 of smoke into the stairwell.</p> <p>22 5. The acute and multiple failure of communication</p> <p>23 at various junctions between the control room, the</p> <p>24 command units, the firefighting bridgehead and the</p> <p>25 deployed and returning units of personnel that went up</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

<p>1 and down the stairs. In that first 30 minutes, adequate                  2 communication between outside command watching the                  3 uncontrollable lateral spread and the knowledge of the                  4 inside deployments that the compartment principle was                  5 collapsing on several floors above flat 16, should only                  6 have led to a focus on one objective and one objective                  7 only: enabling evacuation down that staircase.</p> <p>8 6. It seems to us -- a view shared by a number of                  9 firefighter witnesses -- that there was very rudimentary                  10 and wholly inadequate local familiarisation knowledge of                  11 the tower itself, which was in breach of section 7(2)(d)                  12 of the Fire and Rescue Services Act 2004 and                  13 a fundamental breach of standing policy, which mandated                  14 rigorous operational intelligence gathering and                  15 contingency planning in relation to at risk high-rise                  16 buildings.</p> <p>17 The second matter is equipment, and there is a range                  18 of technical and equipment issues being raised again,                  19 I emphasise, by firefighters who were there and sought                  20 to cope with this:</p> <p>21 1. Earlier availability and deployment of what they                  22 call extended duration breathing apparatus, EDDBA, and                  23 the trained fighters to use that equipment that would                  24 enable them to make longer and more effective journeys                  25 up the stairwell and onto the various floors.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p>	<p>1 the advice they were giving, but also unable to                  2 sufficiently react to the clear information that they                  3 were being given from the residents that smoke and fire                  4 had penetrated much of the building in minutes.</p> <p>5 The resulting Fire Survival Guidance was therefore                  6 confusing, dangerous and too often fatal. This despite                  7 belated efforts by control room staff in many of the                  8 later calls to implore evacuation in any way possible.                  9 Just as there was no plan B for the stay-put policy,                  10 there was no training or conception of how to advise on                  11 any type of plan B at the control room level.</p> <p>12 Finally, command, control and co-ordination.                  13 This became a 20-pump fire in 20 minutes, and                  14 a 40-pump fire in 60 minutes. 10 fire and rescue                  15 service vehicles had been called by 2.16. There was                  16 a considerable lack of organisation in that first                  17 90 minutes, which resulted in the two features of the                  18 night so fatal to the residents: the maintenance of                  19 stay-put when it should've been amended or withdrawn and                  20 the hindering of evacuation because of the                  21 overdeployment of firefighters and equipment into the                  22 stairwell.</p> <p>23 Sir, I emphasise again that the list of matters for                  24 an opening that I've just been through quickly reflects                  25 disquiet that both the BSRs and many of the firefighters</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p>
<p>1 2. Discrete provision of what they call flash                  2 masks, cheap and easy to carry in pockets and which can                  3 assist the breathing of the evacuees, the residents,                  4 which is what was needed for those coming down the                  5 staircase.</p> <p>6 3. Higher ladders and pumps.</p> <p>7 4. The feasibility or otherwise of other aerial                  8 responses, including by helicopter. The BSR wish to                  9 understand whether it could or could not have been done                  10 here.</p> <p>11 5. Electronic communication coverage and the                  12 difficulties with that in high-rise blocks, and the                  13 failures of the communication devices, particularly                  14 within the breathing apparatus masks.</p> <p>15 6. Whether the earlier deployment of a positive                  16 pressure ventilation system, equipment new to the London                  17 Fire Brigade but used by other brigades, might have made                  18 a difference to the smoke-compromised stairwell.</p> <p>19 Third matter, the control room and the answering of                  20 the emergency calls.</p> <p>21 The overriding conclusion to be derived from the 999                  22 transcripts that we have seen is that the control room                  23 was deprived of essential operational information about                  24 the drama and the scale of events unfolding on the                  25 ground, leaving the operators manifestly ignorant about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p>	<p>1 share in.</p> <p>2 We did one unprecedented thing last fortnight; we                  3 need to do another in the weeks to come as the                  4 firefighters and the residents return in their minds                  5 back to the building that night. Courageous accounting                  6 for what could've been done differently is                  7 an opportunity to save lives in the future, and a final                  8 service of not just getting the people out of the tower                  9 alive, but also giving them some peace of mind to live                  10 on.</p> <p>11 The last topic from us this morning is the broader                  12 context. You will hear from my other colleagues on                  13 this, but I would like to foreshadow just one piece of                  14 evidence that is already widely available in the public                  15 domain.</p> <p>16 On 20 November 2016, Edward Daffarn of flat 134 on                  17 the 16th floor and a previous long-term resident of the                  18 area Francis O'Connor wrote a blog in their capacity as                  19 the Grenfell Action Group. May I read from it.</p> <p>20 "Anyone who witnessed the recent tower block fire at                  21 Shepherds Court, in nearby Shepherd's Bush, will know                  22 that the advice to remain in our properties would have                  23 led to certain fatalities and we are calling on our                  24 landlord to re-consider the advice that they have so                  25 badly circulated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p>

<p>1 "The Grenfell Action Group predict that it won't be 2 long before the words of this blog come back to haunt 3 the KCTMO management, and we will do everything in our 4 power to ensure that those in authority know how long 5 and how appallingly our landlord has ignored their 6 responsibility to ensure the health and safety of their 7 tenants and leaseholders. They can't say that they 8 haven't been warned!" 9 Sir, it goes on, using the language of activists and 10 giving no quarter to deference, to quote again: 11 "It is a truly terrifying thought, but the Grenfell 12 Action Group firmly believe that only a catastrophic 13 event will expose the ineptitude and incompetence of our 14 landlord, the KCTMO, and bring an end to the dangerous 15 living conditions and neglect of health and safety 16 legislation that they inflict upon their tenants and 17 leaseholders. We believe that the KCTMO are an evil, 18 unprincipled, mini-mafia who have no business to be 19 charged with the responsibility of looking after the 20 everyday management of large-scale social housing 21 council is a recipe for a future major disaster." 22 And finally they say: 23 "Unfortunately, the Grenfell Action Group have 24 reached the conclusion that only an incident that 25 results in serious loss of life of KCTMO residents will</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p>	<p>1 But even if they could, residents would need access 2 to the information to take to the lawyers, to obtain 3 legal funding and to be granted permission for the case 4 to be heard, which is why every lawyer sitting in this 5 room right now knows that if these people came to their 6 office in the spring of 2017 wanting to challenge the 7 legality of the as-built refurbishment, they would 8 almost certainly have been told, "There is little we can 9 do", which is why these bloggers made sure that every 10 time they accused their landlords, they backed it up 11 with evidence. 12 The major obstacle in their path was that the 13 Freedom of Information Act 2000 did not apply to the 14 Kensington and Chelsea TMO, despite their exercise of 15 public functions, and refusals to disclose did not 16 therefore attract an automatic, free and speedy right of 17 appeal. 18 Without such judicial oversight, the TMO could 19 withhold important information on the basis of bold 20 assertions of commercial confidentiality, which is what 21 they did in October 2014, when the Grenfell Action Group 22 requested copies of the minutes and communications 23 between the TMO, Rydon and Studio E, at which the 24 progress of the Grenfell Tower improvement works was 25 discussed. Access denied on the above confidentiality</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p>
<p>1 allow the external scrutiny to occur that will shine 2 a light on the practices that characterise the malign 3 governance of this non-functioning organisation ... 4 "It is our conviction that a serious fire in a tower 5 block or similar high-density residential property is 6 the most likely reason that those who wield power at the 7 KCTMO will be found out and brought to justice!" 8 One of my clients is Mr Daffarn and he is here 9 today. He wasn't the only person who tried to push back 10 on how the residents were being treated; many people 11 tried in many different ways. He is lucky to be alive 12 and there were at least two firefighters who were 13 involved in saving him. 14 He does not wear his now well-known prediction as 15 a badge of honour; he carries the weight of the 16 information which caused him to reach and publish that 17 conclusion at least until he can know that you have 18 received the information and can understand the 19 incivility with which this community was treated. 20 If he and others sought to speak truth to power, 21 they did so because, as you will hear, relevant legal 22 remedies available to residents in social housing are 23 simply not effective enough in this country. They 24 cannot compel remedial works when it comes to safety 25 unless and until personal injury or death occurs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p>	<p>1 basis. 2 This inquiry is in some ways a tragic review of what 3 would have been discovered and perhaps prevented if the 4 relationship between the TMO, the council and its 5 tenants and leaseholders had been different and had been 6 conducted as a relationship of equals. 7 In the end, the Grenfell Tower fire is an example 8 writ large of how inequalities of political, legal and 9 economic power can kill people. 10 I don't now need to tell the inquiry that this was 11 a community that was wealthy in many ways. We contend 12 that you will see that the greatest poverty they 13 suffered was the poverty of how they were regarded and 14 treated, not who they really were. Their poverty in 15 this sense lay in the lack of concern by local and 16 central government, contractors predisposed against 17 regulations and red tape and too much of society as 18 a whole. 19 It is that failure of human accounting, and 20 indifference, both institutional and societal, that 21 renders more explicable this worst housing fire disaster 22 in modern British history. 23 Sir, thank you. 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Friedman. 25 Now, we are going to hear next from Mr Weatherby or</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p>

<p>1 Mr Stein; I'm not quite sure which order you plan to 2 speak in. 3 Mr Stein first. Good, thank you very much. 4 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs (G3) by MR STEIN 5 MR STEIN: Sir, on 14 June 2017, the nation looked on in 6 shock as they saw a ring of fire consuming the 7 Grenfell Tower. 8 Over the past two weeks, we've been privileged to 9 learn so much more about the individuals who survived 10 that inferno and those who did not. What we learned was 11 that this was a virtual village which was an example of 12 the very best that is Britain today: rich, diverse, 13 filled with positive aspiration, with love and 14 neighbourly concern. 15 What this inquiry will uncover is that this terrible 16 loss of life was wholly avoidable. The people of 17 Grenfell Tower and the walk were not given a chance. 18 They were not allowed to participate in the decisions 19 taken regarding a significant and substantial 20 refurbishment. They were not listened to when they 21 complained, entirely accurately, about the shoddy work 22 that they could readily see. They were slighted and 23 ignored in the aftermath of the fire by both local and 24 central government and abused online. 25 We use in this inquiry the term "corporate" to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 the 1960s, when national standards were first drafted. 2 Mr Weatherby QC will look at this policy in some 3 more detail, but let's have some understanding of the 4 viewpoint of a resident who did escape from the block 5 regarding the stay-put advice on the night of the fire. 6 This is from the statement of Marcio Gomes, 7 flat 183, 21st floor: 8 "I have nothing but praise for the ordinary 9 firefighters of the London Fire Brigade who rushed into 10 that tower and risked their lives to save people like 11 us. Two of them saved my daughter's life and the life 12 of Helen's daughter. Words cannot express my gratitude 13 to them. However, those in charge are a different issue 14 for me. Someone in charge was clearly telling the Fire 15 Brigade operators to tell us that firefighters were 16 coming to rescue us. The delay in telling us to 17 evacuate nearly killed us and it did kill my baby son. 18 I have no doubt of that." 19 What was happening was that the very fire safety 20 system which was meant to preserve life had been 21 perverted into a fire killing system. 22 Although Phase 1 of this inquiry has a limited 23 target, we ask you not to delay in identifying 24 non-compliance where evidence already makes it clear, 25 and most importantly, don't let the people who are or</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>
<p>1 identify the manufacturers, building contractors and 2 subcontractors. The term "corporate" or "corporates" 3 tends to avoid the fact that these companies are run by 4 people, and it was people who made the decisions who 5 caused the deaths and caused the injuries. 6 The fire safety system in place at the 7 Grenfell Tower was based upon ensuring that the flats 8 were safe places to stay, and ensuring that each flat 9 was a compartment into which fires should not spread. 10 This fire safety design for high-rise buildings was 11 not some newfangled and untried safety system being put 12 in place for the first time; it was the basis of fire 13 safety systems for the majority of high-rise blocks for 14 decades. The guidance issued by the local government 15 association in May 2012, described as "Fire safety in 16 purpose-built blocks of flats", states: 17 "Compartmentation requires a higher standard of fire 18 resistance than that normally considered necessary 19 simply to protect the escape routes. This is to ensure 20 that a fire should be contained within the flat of fire 21 origin. Accordingly, those in flats remote from the 22 fire are safe to stay where they are." 23 This is the essence of the stay-put principle. It 24 has underpinned, the local government association 25 described, fire safety design standards from even before</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 were in control of the local authority, the TMO and 2 companies involved delay in explaining their conduct. 3 Which is why we welcome the call yesterday from counsel 4 to the inquiry for more information and statements from 5 those companies that he set out and targeted yesterday. 6 We also need to exercise great care in dealing with 7 this phase of this inquiry that it does not ignore the 8 fact that it was the decision by the Kensington and 9 Chelsea local authority, the TMO and its contractor to 10 try to refurbish on the cheap that led to this 11 devastation and this disaster. 12 Cladding fires are not new; they have happened 13 around the world and they have been a cause of concern 14 for many years. As a result of the Garnock Court fire 15 on 11 June 1999, a disabled pensioner, William Linton, 16 died and four other people were taken to hospital 17 suffering from the effects of smoke inhalation. One 18 local resident told reporters at the time that yellow 19 cladding on the corners of the building was behind the 20 speed with which the fire spread, saying it took 21 5 minutes. Another witness said the building went up 22 like a match. It took 60 firefighters to bring the 23 blaze under control. 24 The Garnock Court block was owned by North 25 Ayrshire Council, who ordered the removal of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>



<p>1 cladding. A Scottish select committee review reported                  2 in January 2000, and this led to the mandatory                  3 regulation which came into force on 1 May 2005 in                  4 Scotland. Every building must be designed and                  5 constructed in such a way that, in the event of                  6 an outbreak of fire within the building or from                  7 an external source, the spread of fire on the external                  8 walls of the building is inhibited.</p> <p>9 After the Lakanal House high-rise social housing                  10 block fire in south London in 2009, the All-Party                  11 Parliamentary Fire Safety and Rescue Group called for                  12 a major government review of Building Regulations, as                  13 did the coroner.</p> <p>14 Has this failure to deal with dangerous cladding                  15 something to do with serial incompetence, or has                  16 industry become too close to government?</p> <p>17 Remember that the Celotex director Rob Warren made                  18 clear on the company website that they were working                  19 inside government to influence policy and regulation of                  20 the insulation industry.</p> <p>21 The BRE group had itself expressly recognised the                  22 dangers of this type of cladding for years, as has                  23 already been referred to by Ms Barwise QC, and even                  24 Celotex published its own rainscreen cladding compliance                  25 guide, which will just be briefly put up on the screen.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 what the residents of the Grenfell Tower knew about any                  2 potential dangers posed by the cladding and rainscreen.</p> <p>3 One resident states that Rydon placed a sample of                  4 the cladding outside of the tower for residents to see:                  5 "I did not believe the cladding was flammable as                  6 I did not think that the TMO or the contractors would                  7 put flammable cladding on the tower."                  8 Ms Choucair, who lost six family members in the                  9 fire, recalls that she didn't really have any concerns                  10 about fire safety as she assumed that the council would                  11 comply with all regulations and that a landlord,                  12 especially a public body, should ensure that the tower                  13 was safe.</p> <p>14 So what information did the people of the tower have                  15 about the cladding and rainscreen?                  16 Well, we know that from notes taken in August 2012                  17 they were consulted on the choice of colour of the                  18 rainscreen. The issue of fire safety was not raised,                  19 only the question of the advantages of a zinc cladding                  20 system referring to sound and thermal insulation.</p> <p>21 The Grenfell Tower residents were not told that                  22 D+B Facades had provided a quote to Leadbitter, the                  23 original proposed contractor for the refurbishment, for                  24 the overcladding of the Grenfell Tower, that they would                  25 install, if contracted to, their system, which comprises</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>
<p>1 Celotex repeated BR 135's diagram, demonstrating the way                  2 a fire can move from one floor up the cladding, through                  3 the other floors of the buildings. That guide was                  4 removed from their website shortly after the fire.</p> <p>5 The warnings about cladding were not just broadcast                  6 in this country but also around the world. In 2000, the                  7 Fire Code Reform Centre funded a research report on fire                  8 performance of exterior cladding and it presented                  9 a review of facade ignition and fire spread scenarios.</p> <p>10 A 2011 report by Exova Warringtonfire Australia,                  11 another part of Exova UK Limited, who are a core                  12 participant within this inquiry. In that report, Exova                  13 Warringtonfire Australia provided fire safety advice as                  14 regards the question of potential facade fires in the                  15 future.</p> <p>16 A report in 2014 for the National Fire Protection                  17 Association, a worldwide organisation which advises                  18 regarding fire, electricals in buildings and life safety                  19 commented that it is a difficult task to provide due                  20 credit to all previous work relating to fire performance                  21 of exterior combustible walls. This is due to the large                  22 amount of literature that has been generated in this                  23 area over past decades.</p> <p>24 Well, let's compare the state of knowledge within                  25 the building, planning and construction industry with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 only inert solid aluminium panels with mineral wall                  2 insulation and fire breaks that are non-combustible.</p> <p>3 Nor of course were the residents told that in                  4 mid-2014, good costs were required for                  5 Councillor Feilding-Mellen and the planner, and that                  6 those costs in fact led to the replacement of zinc                  7 cladding with cassette fixed aluminium cladding, leading                  8 to a saving then described as being £293,368, which                  9 subsequently increased to £376,175, that saving being                  10 from using the cheaper, more combustible cladding.</p> <p>11 So what would this group of residents have done if                  12 they had had any cause for concern? What did they think                  13 of the quality of the work and the communication between                  14 them, the contractor, Rydon, and the TMO?                  15 Well, we know they formed, as has already been                  16 described, the Grenfell Action Group following the start                  17 of the refurbishment work in the tower. The group would                  18 contact the TMO and Rydon on behalf of the residents to                  19 discuss complaints and concerns. Jose Cotelto from flat                  20 103 says:                  21 "We were not happy with all the exposed pipework."                  22 There were concerns about the lack of lagging. His                  23 impression was that the whole job was finished in a very                  24 rough and ready way and a highly unprofessional manner.                  25 In addition to the pipes, he says:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

<p>1 "We also had concerns about the way our windows were 2 installed. For example, one of the windows that was 3 installed had a broken hinge from day 1 and was faulty 4 for six months. We complained to the TMO about this on 5 several occasions, and nothing was done until we finally 6 received a message from Rydon's just in the days before 7 the fire saying that they would come round and repair it 8 on 15 June 2017."</p> <p>9 Alejandro Serrano from flat 114 states that he can 10 clearly remember that if you put your hand under the 11 outside lip of the windowsill you could feel wind and 12 cold coming in:</p> <p>13 "We never complained about the windows. In the end, 14 we were so tired of complaining and nothing happening 15 that we didn't bother. They weren't going to do 16 anything about it. No one was going to give new windows 17 so we didn't bother. I now understand that it was one 18 of the ways that the fire came into the flat."</p> <p>19 Our simple suggestion is that where there are 20 significant and substantial works taking place, the 21 voice of residents must be heard before the work starts, 22 before it's complete, and they must be allowed to take 23 active, informed and advised part in the building 24 process.</p> <p>25 It is clear that the work carried out on the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 participant, claimed in their position statement that 2 their significant involvement ended a year before Rydon 3 was appointed, and that, further, Exova was not involved 4 in discussions or asked to advise on matters such as 5 the choice of materials to be used in the project, nor 6 was it asked to certify any items for use in the project 7 or verify the quality of work carried out.</p> <p>8 Their single Grenfell Tower outline fire strategy 9 thus far disclosed states, under compliance with B4, 10 external fire spread, that it is considered that the 11 proposed changes will have no effect on the building in 12 relation to external fire spread, but that this will be 13 confirmed by an analysis in a future issue of this 14 report.</p> <p>15 It is worth asking the question: what plans was 16 Exova ever asked to report on if they did not identify 17 the exterior cladding and rainscreen?</p> <p>18 At present we have no reason offered for their 19 preliminary conclusion that the refurbishment works will 20 have no adverse effect on the building in relation to 21 external fire spread. Nor is it even identified what 22 changes are being preliminarily approved as having no 23 adverse effect.</p> <p>24 If Exova are saying that they were not told about 25 the cladding and rainscreen, we need to know. And when</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>
<p>1 Grenfell Tower was poor quality. It is clear that 2 residents used their voices and raised their concerns. 3 If they had been alerted to the possible danger posed by 4 the cladding and rainscreen, we know that they would've 5 made their presence felt. They were not, sir, given 6 that chance.</p> <p>7 In our written opening at paragraph 20 we ask this 8 question: how was such a combustible cladding system 9 ever seriously countenanced as an option with which to 10 envelop a high-rise residential tower?</p> <p>11 Rydon has said that the fire engineering advice was 12 provided by Exova Warrington, who they say provided 13 detailed advice and specialist input on fire safety 14 during the project.</p> <p>15 Studio E in their position statement identify Exova 16 Warrington as the fire consultant.</p> <p>17 The TMO state that Exova noted that the proposed 18 changes would have no effect on the building in relation 19 to external fire spread but that this was to be 20 confirmed by future analysis.</p> <p>21 The TMO goes on to say that they believe that Exova 22 conducted that further analysis and gave such 23 confirmation to Studio E, although the precise terms of 24 that confirmation are not known to the TMO.</p> <p>25 Yet Exova, who have more recently become a core</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>	<p>1 we say we need to know, we mean the residents and the 2 people from the walk we represent. They need to know.</p> <p>3 We have seen documentation which has Exova included 4 on the distribution list that includes reference to the 5 proposed cladding. But if that is not right, how is it 6 that the report they completed makes no reference to the 7 exterior cladding being part of their area for comment 8 and fire safety?</p> <p>9 If we can have the images put up on the screen.</p> <p>10 This is from the fire strategy document provided by 11 Exova. You will see that under the heading "The 12 refurbishment comprises", if we cast our eyes down, the 13 various bullet points refer to the ground storey level, 14 the reception area, nursery office accommodation and so 15 on, the mezzanine level, the walkway level, creation of 16 a boxing club and office accommodation, walkway plus one 17 level, the creation of four new residential apartments 18 and generally improvements to the building services.</p> <p>19 Thank you.</p> <p>20 We can see from that that there does not appear to 21 be a reference to the external rainscreen and the 22 cladding. Plus, we have also seen in the documents 23 provided by the inquiry minutes of a minute that took 24 place where it was agreed between the TMO and Rydon that 25 Rydon will contact Exova about continuing to use them</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

<p>1 for future fire strategy.                  2 We therefore need to see all of the communications                  3 between Exova, Studio E, Rydon and the TMO and we                  4 suggest we need to see that now.                  5 This goes beyond the question of relevance to                  6 Phase 1 and Phase 2, handy compartmentalisation. The                  7 people of the Grenfell Tower and the walk have had                  8 enough of compartmentalisation. Some material is                  9 required now. Some material can be provided now.                  10 Exova, having had the opportunity to consider what                  11 Rydon and the TMO reported about its role, have not even                  12 made an opening statement offering any response. As we                  13 have identified, it remains wholly unclear on what basis                  14 it reached its preliminary conclusion about no adverse                  15 impact on fire spread.                  16 Rydon accepts that it was employed by the TMO as                  17 principal contractor in respect of refurbishment works                  18 at Grenfell Tower, and that the retainers of the                  19 employer's architect, Studio E, and the other                  20 consultants were assigned or novated to, moved to or                  21 directly appointed by Rydon.                  22 Yet their submission to the inquiry states that key                  23 discussions about the refurbishment and materials to be                  24 used were dictated by specialists prior to Rydon's                  25 involvement. However, the specialist -- presumably the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 an interim report.                  2 Celotex's position statement says that they had no                  3 direct contractual relationship with the owner of the                  4 Grenfell Tower or with the contractor or subcontractors                  5 working on the refurbishment, but then goes on to say                  6 that their employee who was principally involved in the                  7 supply of RS5000 to the Grenfell Tower left Celotex's                  8 employment in August 2015, and then goes on to say that                  9 Celotex to date have been unable to speak to him to ask                  10 him for his recollection of relevant events and its                  11 efforts in this regard are ongoing. Well, we suggest                  12 that this individual, as yet unidentified to us, be                  13 located and be prepared to answer some questions.                  14 We finally see what Celotex are trying to say later                  15 on in their position statement when they refer to                  16 meetings at the Grenfell Tower in May 2015, which are                  17 apparently part of a marketing or relationship-building                  18 exercise. Therefore, we are forced to assume they are                  19 saying that that has nothing to do with accepting any                  20 level of responsibility for cladding this tower with                  21 lethal material.                  22 The residents, families and people from the walk                  23 need to see these communications between Celotex and                  24 they need to see the correspondence they refer to. As                  25 with the Exova questions, they have a right to know and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>
<p>1 architect, Studio E -- was engaged by Rydon following                  2 its appointment, and Rydon's responsibilities under the                  3 design and build contract included dealing with building                  4 control and ensuring compliance.                  5 The TMO, the Tenant Management Organisation, say                  6 this:                  7 "Why combustible cladding was used at Grenfell Tower                  8 is something this inquiry will have to consider."                  9 As the commercial client, it is, we suggest,                  10 extraordinary that their opening statement suggests that                  11 the TMO is waiting for the inquiry to tell it why it                  12 wrapped Grenfell Tower in combustible material. The TMO                  13 was not a small management organisation; they managed                  14 10,000 homes.                  15 The failure of corporate and public authority core                  16 participants to explain how they came to design, build                  17 and approve this highly combustible envelope highlights                  18 the inability or unwillingness to take responsibility                  19 and the problems in this industry.                  20 As we have said in our opening statement,                  21 paragraph 23, the extraordinary lack of clarity as to                  22 who was accountable for fire safety already evident in                  23 the very limited material thus far disclosed highlights                  24 the importance of the inquiry's interim recommendations                  25 following Phase 1, which is the rationale for producing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 a right to know now.                  2 We deal briefly with the Phase 1 and Phase 2 divide                  3 of this inquiry.                  4 In our written opening, we say at paragraph 24 that                  5 you, sir, have emphasised the flexibility with which the                  6 inquiry will continue to approach the question of what                  7 falls to be considered in the Phase 1 hearings and                  8 addressed in the interim report, and counsel to the                  9 inquiry confirmed that approach yesterday.                  10 Rydon, though, seeks to limit the scope of Phase 1                  11 and presumably the interim report as it says in its                  12 written opening, paragraph 17:                  13 "While Rydon appreciates that there is a need for                  14 some degree of flexibility between Phase 1 and Phase 2                  15 of the inquiry, it is concerned by the extent to which                  16 the inquiry experts have travelled outside the objects                  17 of Phase 1."                  18 Now, we know, and our clients from the tower and                  19 from the walk know, that they are going to have to                  20 accept that the whole truth and the full analysis of                  21 responsibility will not come in Phase 1, and therefore                  22 also it will not be fully set out in the interim report.                  23 However, what has been absolutely clear from the                  24 start and is in your terms of reference, sir, is that                  25 the rationale for producing an interim report part-way</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

<p>1 through your inquiry is to allow for the earliest 2 possible recommendations to prevent another such 3 disaster. 4 The rationale for the interim report was reflected 5 by the Prime Minister's statement that it is vital that 6 the inquiry conducts as expeditious an investigation as 7 possible, not only to provide answers for all those 8 affected by the tragedy who wish properly to understand 9 what went on that night, but also to identify any 10 ongoing risks that may be pertinent to other high-rise 11 buildings of a similar nature. 12 We submit that you must make urgent recommendation 13 to save people's homes from becoming or remaining 14 deathtraps, and that this is the very rationale of the 15 interim Phase 1 report, and the expert evidence is of 16 value in doing so. In your December 2017 ruling you 17 stated: 18 "It would be unwise to draw a hard and fast line 19 between Phase 1 and Phase 2, particularly in relation to 20 evidence that may be relevant to a number of different 21 questions." 22 You noted in your December ruling that it is open to 23 the inquiry to publish interim reports as and when it 24 discovered something of importance and considered that 25 it was in the public interest to do so.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 the expert reports served -- including, of course, 2 Dr Lane's report -- about what materials were used, 3 where and why is particularly concerning. It is 4 necessary to understand what was put on the tower by 5 Rydon and how it was done in order to understand the 6 fire spread. As we have already considered in our 7 opening today, our clients' witness statements for 8 Phase 1 address the appallingly shoddy way in which the 9 refurbishment was conducted by Rydon and those it 10 engaged, and, of course, the consequences of that. 11 What about the manufacturers of the combustible 12 rainscreen, Arconic? Did they watch the video 13 yesterday? Did they not see how their ACM rainscreen 14 burned? If so, are they at all embarrassed by their 15 submission to the inquiry that the ACM that they 16 provided was at most a contributory factor to that 17 inferno? 18 Our clients have some serious questions about the 19 role of the London Fire Brigade, and particularly those 20 in a command and control position. However, theirs is 21 a responsibility for not managing to rescue more people 22 from the inferno. That is not to be confused with those 23 who carry primary responsibility for creating the 24 disaster; that is the design and build contractors, the 25 suppliers of the combustible materials for high-rise</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>
<p>1 Depending upon the evidence emerging, 2 a recommendation aimed at ensuring the safety of people 3 in similar tower blocks may be appropriate at any stage, 4 including before the full interim report dealing with 5 all of the Phase 1 issues. 6 In your March ruling, sir, you stated that at least 7 some of the questions identified in section 8 of the 8 list of issues should be addressed as part of Phase 1. 9 Section 8 of the list of issues is communications with 10 residents and includes matters such as systems of 11 communication with residents and their concerns about 12 fire safety. Yet Rydon have offered no explanation 13 whatsoever, despite the TMO's position statement at 14 page 10, which states: 15 "All residents' issues arising during the 16 refurbishment works were directed to Rydon." 17 The newsletters to residents in particular 18 identified that if residents had any issues in relation 19 to the refurbishment works, these were to be directed to 20 Rydon's resident liaison officers. All issues raised by 21 residents were therefore logged, monitored and responded 22 to by Rydon. 23 It is in any event, sir, we suggest, important to 24 have an explanation by Rydon of what they did, and 25 Rydon's failure to respond to the alarming evidence in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 blocks and the council and its TMO, determined to build 2 on the cheap. 3 We suggest that any failings of the Fire Brigade 4 should not be exploited by those who created this 5 disaster in order to kick examination of their own 6 conduct into the long grass, in their hope that justice 7 delayed will be justice denied. 8 It will be a travesty if the contractors and clients 9 were able to hide behind any possible failings of the 10 Fire Brigade faced, as they were, with the largest 11 single operation of its kind in England since 12 World War II. 13 As you know, sir, we've already addressed you in 14 previous procedural hearings on the question of the 15 Hackitt Review and I turn to that now. 16 The Hackitt Review was commissioned on the basis 17 that addressing these issues were urgent, hence her own 18 interim report from Dame Judith in December 2017. 19 In laying her final report before Parliament on 20 17 May 2018, the Housing Secretary said that determining 21 how to proceed in its light was urgent. Why, when the 22 purpose of the inquiry's interim report is to make 23 urgent recommendations, would this inquiry determine 24 that it will either decline in Phase 1 or delay dealing 25 with those recommendations until mid-2019?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

<p>1 We urge you, sir, to look carefully at some of the                  2 Hackitt Review recommendations and consider those as                  3 part of Phase 1. We understand that referring to all                  4 may be difficult, but some can, we suggest, be managed.                  5 Presenting her December 2017 interim report to the                  6 housing select committee, Dame Judith was asked whether                  7 Grenfell survivors had been able to engage with the                  8 process. She replied that she had checked but could not                  9 identify any engagement from Grenfell survivors, and the                  10 committee sought reassurance that she would do so in the                  11 future.                  12 In your ruling in March this year, you said:                  13 "I understand why those who Mr Stein [myself]                  14 represents would like to have a voice in Dame Judith's                  15 review."                  16 You said you had no doubt that the inquiry would be                  17 considering Dame Judith's report and that our clients                  18 would be able to scrutinise her work and make                  19 submissions on her conclusions at that stage.                  20 Well, Dame Judith has already so stated to the                  21 housing collect committee giving evidence to that                  22 committee on 18 December of last year. She stated:                  23 "We will share all the information that we generate                  24 with the public inquiry. I would expect that                  25 information to inform their work. I am more than happy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 predominate.                  2 The membership of the Hackitt Review working groups                  3 listed at appendix G2 of the final report amply                  4 illustrates the lack of effective resident                  5 participation.                  6 Dame Judith's position, as communicated by her                  7 secretariat, that Grenfell survivors required no support                  8 to participate on an equal footing with industry, also                  9 sits uneasily with the recognition in her final report                  10 that funding would be required to support residents to                  11 engage effectively on safety issues with landlords.                  12 It is obvious and important that all material                  13 submitted to and produced by the review in their process                  14 of considering its recommendations is disclosed to this                  15 inquiry without delay and then onwards to our clients,                  16 so that the core participants we represent can have                  17 a fair opportunity to formulate the questions that they                  18 would wish Dame Judith to answer about how and why she                  19 reached her recommendations, and so that they can                  20 understand the positions taken by industry voices in the                  21 Hackitt Review working groups.                  22 Let me make our position very clear. This is                  23 an industry that cannot be trusted. The Hackitt Review                  24 cannot make up its mind about the industry and what is                  25 wrong. Perhaps the residents of the Grenfell Tower</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>
<p>1 to give evidence to them and I anticipate having to do                  2 so."                  3 Well, let me say that we welcome the opportunity to                  4 ask Dame Judith some questions.                  5 Of course, we approached the Hackitt Review --                  6 that's myself, Martin Howe, the senior partner of                  7 Howe &amp; Co, and my junior, Mark Henderson -- and we had                  8 a meeting with Mr Patterson, the director of the                  9 Independent Review of Building Regulations and Fire                  10 Safety, the Hackitt Review. And meeting with him and                  11 one of his colleagues, we were told by Mr Patterson that                  12 they would be happy to meet with residents and seek                  13 their views, and that they were seeking direct                  14 experience of residents' engagement with landlords,                  15 meetings with local authorities, but they were not                  16 prepared to provide any access to the materials provided                  17 by their working groups.                  18 In fact, our clients have for the most part received                  19 nothing from the review inviting them to participate or                  20 explaining how in practice they could participate                  21 effectively without support. The list of                  22 persons/organisations who have engaged with that review                  23 annexed to both the interim and final report does not                  24 appear to include any Grenfell bereaved, survivors or                  25 residents, whereas voices associated with industry</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>	<p>1 could have helped Dame Judith come to a better                  2 conclusion. After all, Dame Judith described the                  3 attitude she found in the industry as being                  4 indifference. The primary motivation is to do things as                  5 quickly and cheaply as possible, rather than to deliver                  6 quality homes which are safe for people to live in.                  7 When concerns are raised, Dame Judith went on to                  8 say, by others involved in the building work or by                  9 residents, they are often ignored. Some of those                  10 undertaking building work failed to prioritise safety,                  11 using the ambiguity of regulations and guidance to game                  12 the system.                  13 Also, Dame Judith refers to the lack of clarity on                  14 roles and responsibilities and inadequate regulatory                  15 oversight and enforcement tools. This has helped to                  16 create a cultural issue across the sector which can be                  17 described as a race to the bottom, caused either through                  18 ignorance, indifference or because the system does not                  19 facilitate good practice.                  20 However, she nevertheless recommended that the                  21 industry should now take ownership of the approved                  22 guidance rather than government. She stated,                  23 Dame Judith, in her words:                  24 "An outcomes-based approach to regulation and                  25 a packet of guidance that is owned by industry can</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

<p>1 facilitate innovation and reflect changes in building 2 practices, techniques and technology." 3 Dame Judith does not address the incongruity of 4 handing ownership of the guidance and regulation to 5 an industry which she describes as primarily motivated 6 by a race to the bottom, where their motivation appears 7 to be build cheaply, make profits and indifference to 8 whether the people in their buildings will be safe, the 9 very sort of conduct which turned the Grenfell Tower 10 from a basically safe vertical village into 11 a combustible deathtrap. 12 Within the Hackitt Review report, there is 13 a professed commitment given to Dame Judith by cladding 14 manufacturers and developers to mitigating the risk from 15 cladding. This is far from new. In December 1999, the 16 select committee on environment, transport and regional 17 affairs reported on the potential risk of fire spread in 18 buildings via external cladding systems following the 19 cladding fire in Irvine, Ayrshire in June 1999, and 20 referred then to the responsible attitude taken by the 21 major cladding manufacturers towards minimising the 22 risks of excessive fire spread has been impressed upon 23 us throughout this inquiry. 24 That select committee said, in words that now appear 25 sadly prophetic:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 non-combustible materials, in reality and as recognised 2 in ADB itself, only A1 is non-combustible. A2 is 3 combustible but classed as limited combustibility. 4 No reason has been given for this advice to social 5 housing providers, despite what was announced in 6 Parliament or the clear position that has been put 7 forward by such an august body as the RIBA. 8 However, Dame Judith, adding to frankly the mess 9 surrounding her final report, made clear after her 10 report that she in fact supported the ban on combustible 11 cladding and upon which the Home Secretary would 12 consult. 13 In evidence to the housing select committee on the 14 day her report was published, Dame Judith stated: 15 "I absolutely agree with the line the RIBA is 16 taking." 17 Sir, our clients want the imposition of basic 18 minimum standards now, without loopholes, to secure the 19 safety of people living in these tower blocks. They 20 need rules, not guidance that the industry can take or 21 leave, pick or mix. 22 Dame Judith told the housing select committee in May 23 of this year in the past it has been shameful the way in 24 which people living in these buildings have been ignored 25 when they have raised issues. They have not been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>
<p>1 "Notwithstanding this, we do not believe that it 2 should take a serious fire in which many people are 3 killed before all reasonable steps are taken towards 4 minimising the risk." 5 I move on now, sir, to deal with the question of 6 combustible materials. 7 There was clear and obvious widespread shock when 8 the Hackitt Review did not expressly recommend the ban 9 on combustible materials. The shock came obviously from 10 Grenfell survivors and their families, but also was 11 echoed by the Royal Institute of British Architects, the 12 RIBA, which is calling for a requirement that external 13 wall construction for existing or new buildings with 14 a storey of 18 metres or so above ground to be comprised 15 of non-combustible materials only. The RIBA submit that 16 this standard should be imposed forthwith by regulation. 17 On 17 May 2018, following the publication of the 18 Hackitt Review, the Housing Secretary announced in the 19 House of Commons that it would consult on imposing 20 a barn on combustible materials. However, it has just 21 emerged that, contrary to that indication, the Housing 22 Secretary wrote to social housing providers on 23 25 May 2018 asking them to replace the cladding with 24 materials that were either Euro class 1 or A2. 25 Although he referred to both as if they were</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>	<p>1 listened to. Had Dame Judith or her secretariat been 2 more open to enabling our clients to engage with her on 3 the same footing as the construction industry, her 4 report might have been more responsive to public concern 5 rather than industry concern. 6 It is now important that the inquiry take 7 responsibility for these matters, as was intended by the 8 Prime Minister in seeking an interim report on urgent 9 safety matters. The fair procedure and independent 10 scrutiny of the inquiry is essential in order to guard 11 against construction industry attempts to chip away at 12 firm safety proposals in consultations in which 13 residents cannot engage on an equal footing. 14 In reality, the vast majority of tower blocks that 15 have cladding are either waiting for the combustible 16 cladding to be removed or have that work started but not 17 finished. 18 Residents need assurance that the replacement 19 cladding for their blocks will not be combustible. They 20 do not wish to live in a tower block which has cladding 21 which is described as being of limited combustibility. 22 In the meantime, "stay put" is being abandoned as 23 a general policy for high-rise blocks with combustible 24 cladding. Many residents are dependent upon 25 an emergency simultaneous evacuation policy, since</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

<p>1 compartmentation has been frustrated by combustible 2 cladding. They go to sleep at night in the knowledge 3 that they may be awoken by a waking watch trying to 4 evaluate them down a single staircase not designed for 5 the evacuation of numbers down through a block. 6 We make it clear that for an unsafe tower block 7 simultaneous evacuation is the lesser of two evils, the 8 other being stay put. However, consideration of the 9 current guidance demonstrates how problematic it is in a 10 social housing single-staircase block, and ever more so 11 for people of age, with ill-health or people with 12 children and those of limited mobility. 13 The London Fire Brigade's opening statement makes 14 clear the difficulties in the current emergency interim 15 simultaneous evacuation policy. There is a pressing 16 need, they say, to address the question whether it is 17 reasonably practical in the public interest to expect 18 fire services to develop operational policy on the 19 presumption that buildings such as Grenfell Tower are 20 inherently unsafe because they have not been maintained 21 in accordance with the principles on which they are 22 originally designed and built. 23 After this disaster, our clients want to ensure that 24 other communities do not have to live in fear in their 25 homes. Residents need to know that what their high-rise</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 as regards changes to the Building Act 1984 is 2 drastically changed to include lay and preferably tower 3 block resident membership. 4 The outcome-based regulatory criteria preferred by 5 Dame Judith in her report using descriptions of terms 6 such as "adequacy" and "reasonably practical" hand power 7 to the construction industry and building owners to 8 choose their own routes to compliance and to game the 9 system, backed by tests and reports they fund themselves 10 and therefore own. 11 Regulation is about risk-management and is capable 12 of both proscribing the use of certain materials and 13 methods of construction, and of describing the 14 characteristics of what is to be avoided. 15 The onus on self-regulation by industry and 16 industry-dominated bodies and for profit organisations 17 that depend on industry funding must end. The cosy 18 industry cabal must be replaced by a modern system of 19 regulation with real independence, lay membership and 20 expert capability and which regulates in the public 21 interest. 22 It is, sir, difficult to conceive of any other 23 system of regulation of an activity so eminently and 24 utterly dangerous as building and maintaining high-rise 25 tower blocks where it will be left to the judgment of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>
<p>1 blocks are replaced with are safe. 2 Thousands of people are in the hundreds of blocks 3 which are unsafe and are looking to the recommendations 4 of this inquiry to make their homes safe again. 5 Our clients therefore urge you to fulfil the purpose 6 of the interim report by recommending that cladding 7 should not be replaced with combustible A2 or below 8 cladding in high-rise blocks. 9 As to future regulation, we already appreciate how 10 this inquiry is the only way that Grenfell survivors, on 11 behalf of the thousands of other people living in the 12 hundreds on other high-rise communities, can make their 13 case on anything approaching equal footing with 14 industry. 15 We submit that regulatory regime change is required 16 for the future so that the industry cannot start to 17 undermine the protections you recommend in the years 18 following their report. 19 People should not have to put their lives in the 20 hands of contractors like Rydon and their 21 subcontractors. 22 In the meantime, we ask you to recommend in your 23 interim report that the industry-heavy membership of the 24 Building Regulations Advisory Committee, BRAC, 25 a committee which is statutorily bound to be consulted</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>	<p>1 industry groups and private consultants and 2 professionals paid by the industry to determine such 3 fundamental questions as what is adequate. 4 In the hands of the regulatory position in the 5 future there lies the protection from the spread of fire 6 for a diverse community of men, women, children, the 7 elderly and the disabled. The facts as we are learning 8 them through this inquiry so far revealed demonstrate 9 the industry cannot be left to have those people in 10 their care. 11 Sir, those are our submissions. 12 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Stein. 13 Now, Mr Weatherby, I think you are going to address 14 us next, but since it's 12.40, you might prefer to start 15 at 2 o'clock? 16 MR WEATHERBY: I'm in your hands. 17 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Shall we give ourselves a slightly 18 longer break and we'll start at 2 o'clock. 19 Thank you very much. 20 (12.40 pm) 21 (The short adjournment) 22 (2.00 pm) 23 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs (G3) by MR WEATHERBY 24 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Weatherby. 25 MR WEATHERBY: Thank you, sir.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

<p>1 As you know, I'm instructed by Jhangir Mahmood of                  2 Bishop, Lloyd &amp; Jackson and Arfan Bhatti of Oliver                  3 Fisher, leading Fiona Murphy and working with the G3                  4 group, including the Howe &amp; Co team.                  5 I make no apology for starting where others have.                  6 Last week and the week before we heard powerful words of                  7 those who lost loved ones. Nothing anyone can say will                  8 speak with more eloquence than those who have lost so                  9 much, and the personal portraits have placed the inquiry                  10 in its true context.                  11 Those of us who were here for the seven days of                  12 personal portraits learned about how communities are                  13 formed, nurtured and destroyed. Those of us not                  14 directly affected should have come away resolved to                  15 build better communities wherever we are, to form them,                  16 to nurture them, but most importantly to prevent them                  17 from being destroyed.                  18 Apart from the poignant and moving tributes to the                  19 dead, you will not have overlooked the close and                  20 cohesive community that was Grenfell. It was a decent,                  21 tolerant place, populated by decent, tolerant people who                  22 deserved to be treated better.                  23 As well as the extraordinary memorials to those who                  24 were loved and lost, you were also called upon to                  25 deliver justice. The demands of justice are as clear as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 issue: candour and frankness by those bearing                  2 responsibility for public safety with respect to                  3 Grenfell Tower.                  4 To the public authorities, we will say: your mission                  5 is to work for the public, and a part of that mission is                  6 to tell them the truth, the whole truth.                  7 To the manufacturers, suppliers, professionals: you                  8 were employed on behalf of the public, on a public                  9 housing project, and therefore you have the same duty to                  10 the public to come clean, to tell the truth.                  11 Mr Millett indicated yesterday that the inquiry was                  12 moving to compel the public authorities and corporate                  13 CPs to produce witness statements addressing key issues                  14 by the end of September and to produce further                  15 statements for Phase 2 in 2019. We noted the                  16 acknowledgement that this was necessary because of the                  17 reluctance of those CPs to assist the inquiry so far                  18 and, in particular, through their written opening                  19 statements.                  20 The inquiry has allowed a further generous period                  21 for this to be done, no doubt because the inquiry's                  22 processes must be scrupulously fair.                  23 However, you all know the role of your authority or                  24 your company, and you've had a year to consider your                  25 position. The time for candour is now. The opportunity</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>
<p>1 they are challenging: truth, accountability, prevention.                  2 Truth requires candour. Accountability requires                  3 conclusions about acts and omissions which caused or                  4 contributed to the disaster. Prevention requires                  5 responsibility, named, defined, accounted for.                  6 In a time of high emotion, the real challenge that                  7 brings meaning to such intolerable suffering is justice                  8 and accountability on the one hand, real change to                  9 prevent future such disasters on the other. It requires                  10 clear thinking, it requires transparency and it requires                  11 that those affected by the tragedy are involved to the                  12 fullest extent.                  13 The most effective public inquiries of recent times                  14 and other inquisitorial investigations, such as inquests                  15 into violent and unnatural deaths, have been                  16 distinguished by full disclosure and a culture of fully                  17 involving the bereaved. Effective participation.                  18 The mistakes and in some cases miscarriages of                  19 justice of the past are starkly illustrated by two                  20 things also: failures in disclosure and the exclusion of                  21 those most affected by the disaster, whether by design                  22 or by paternalism, a mistaken view that experts,                  23 lawyers, judges know best. We have made those points                  24 consistently through the proceedings so far.                  25 In due course I'll return to a related</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>	<p>1 is your opening statements.                  2 You heard last week during the personal portraits --                  3 or you should have heard, if you were there -- the                  4 repeated calls for truth and justice from those who lost                  5 loved ones. Now that you know that you will be required                  6 to answer key questions in due course, we say that you                  7 should do so now through your opening statements.                  8 You should tell us all what your role was, what you                  9 did and what you should have done. What you did that                  10 contributed to the disaster; what you failed to do that                  11 would have averted the disaster. And if you did                  12 nothing wrong, help this inquisitorial process, help the                  13 inquiry and help the chair by telling us all, loud and                  14 clear, what you know, where the failures were.                  15 This is not a time for technicality. This is not                  16 a time to pretend that you don't want to usurp the                  17 chair's role or to suggest that you can't be frank                  18 because there is outstanding disclosure. This is the                  19 time to assist the chair by identifying what happened                  20 and what should have happened, a time for telling the                  21 truth and not for smoke and mirrors, not for                  22 prevarication, delay, defensiveness, silence.                  23 The inquiry is entitled to your help in focusing its                  24 inquiries going forward and, moreover, the inquiry needs                  25 information and explanations now through which it can</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>



<p>1 make interim safety recommendations that may be                  2 required. Those who lost loved ones and those who lost                  3 their homes and communities want to understand what                  4 happened now. They've already waited a year; a year of                  5 uncertainty and pain.                  6 I'll return to this theme in due course.                  7 Sir, a lot has been said regarding the refurbishment                  8 itself and the cladding system in particular, and I'm                  9 not going to add much to that. I simply want to                  10 reiterate two points before moving on.                  11 The first is that, on behalf of those who                  12 I represent, we certainly support the call for an                  13 interim recommendation that nothing less than A1 class                  14 European standards should be used on buildings over                  15 18 metres, at least until the final report of this                  16 inquiry.                  17 With remedial work about to get underway, it's vital                  18 that public safety is not left at risk and public money                  19 wasted on combustible or limited combustible materials.                  20 An interim recommendation from this inquiry is the least                  21 that those living in buildings with combustible                  22 cladding, sometimes without sprinklers and without                  23 second staircases, typically social housing tenants,                  24 deserve, and it's the least that those who have lost                  25 because of the Grenfell disaster deserve too.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 Why was there no prior contingency plan, no plan B                  2 within the stay-put strategy?                  3 Why was stay put maintained for so long?                  4 Why was no plan put in place once it was abandoned?                  5 The issue of stay put and the absence of                  6 contingencies before and on 14 June is of vital, urgent                  7 importance, and you, sir, have indicated that stay put                  8 should be under scrutiny during Phase 1.                  9 In September 2017, the London Fire Brigade issued                  10 guidance note 90, an interim policy with respect to                  11 high-rise and evacuation, recognising that stay put was                  12 compromised by modern cladding systems involving ACM                  13 rainscreen because compartmentation was likely to be                  14 breached in a fire, and that for the time being at least                  15 there should be a simultaneous evacuation policy in its                  16 place. This guidance was followed on 1 May by similar                  17 guidance from the National Fire Chiefs Council.                  18 We call on this inquiry, sir, to urgently address                  19 this pressing need through further consideration and                  20 interim recommendations based on the evidence which                  21 emerges during Phase 1 so that the limitations of                  22 stay put is apparent to all, not just those in the Fire                  23 Brigade and the Fire Chiefs Council, and to give anxious                  24 consideration to the question whether the current                  25 interim guidance goes far enough given that it relates</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>
<p>1 There does appear to be widespread political support                  2 for baseline prescriptive regulatory requirements for                  3 non-combustible cladding, and as you've heard already,                  4 support from informed sources, the RIBA, supporting                  5 baseline prescriptive regulatory requirements of A1 for                  6 new and existing buildings over 18 metres. We interpret                  7 that as encompassing both the insulation and the                  8 rainscreen.                  9 So we therefore do call for a moratorium on the use                  10 of any insulation or rainscreen products that are below                  11 A1 standard, at least until your final report.                  12 In addition, we take this opportunity to invite the                  13 other core participants, public authorities and                  14 companies both, to express their support for such                  15 a moratorium or, indeed, their opposition to it, again                  16 within their statements.                  17 Secondly, in supporting such a position, we                  18 emphasise that the use of flammable materials was but                  19 one part of the failures and the banning of these                  20 materials will not be a panacea for the raft of other                  21 failures in regulation, manufacture, design,                  22 construction and maintenance. A contribution at each                  23 stage should not, with respect, be overlooked.                  24 Emergency response and stay put.                  25 We break this down into three key parts:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 primarily to systems involving ACM rather than other                  2 flammable products. We note that any such interim                  3 consideration would be subject to further review in                  4 Phase 2, following expert evidence.                  5 In looking at the emergency response, it is first                  6 vital to draw a distinction between the decisions of the                  7 firefighters themselves and the decisions of the                  8 commanders, between the bravery of the front-line                  9 firefighters and the decisions that are made with regard                  10 to policy and training, and we must remember that, so                  11 far as the firefighters are concerned, theirs is                  12 an emergency response. The fire service did not start                  13 the fire. They were not responsible for the disastrous                  14 refurbishment. Nevertheless, we must not let the                  15 obvious heroism of many of the individual firefighters                  16 blind us to what appears to be equally obvious errors of                  17 command and policy, the failures of the brigade itself.                  18 It's important I make that distinction loud and                  19 clear. It's important also that I make it at this                  20 stage, because we're all aware that there are many                  21 people in high-rise residences in London and across the                  22 country -- indeed, across the world -- who are anxiously                  23 awaiting change to better ensure their safety. It is                  24 important also because changed policy also affects the                  25 safety of firefighters themselves.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

<p>1 I started with the post-Grenfell interim guidance.                  2 The brigade, the LFB, have accepted in their September                  3 guidance that stay put is not a safe policy in tower                  4 blocks with cladding systems comprised of flammable                  5 materials and have brought in interim immediate                  6 evacuation measures.                  7 The LFB have provided an organisational overview,                  8 which is LFB 1905, a detailed time-line of the first                  9 hour that Mr Millett referred to, LFB 1914, and indeed                  10 a written opening. Although these documents are helpful                  11 to some extent, what is completely lacking is any hint                  12 that the LFB accept that their operation on the night                  13 went wrong or that the events expose significant                  14 strategic, tactical and policy failures. Why?                  15 We fully get it that Phase 2 will deal with                  16 accountability issues, but in working out what happened,                  17 the inquiry will plainly be assisted by the LFB sharing                  18 what analysis it has brought to bear on these questions                  19 in the year since the fire.                  20 The September policy change was, of course,                  21 a reaction to this fire, and the stay-put policy was the                  22 policy of the building and its owners, but it was the                  23 LFB who failed to have its own contingency plan and it                  24 was the LFB who failed to formally abandon stay put for                  25 two hours.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 re-entering the building; some did not involve                  2 fatalities; some involved different building systems and                  3 different cladding materials; some had sprinkler systems                  4 and no doubt some had alarms and/or second staircases.                  5 However, some of these previous fires were cladding                  6 fires; some did involve fire re-entering the building or                  7 spreading through it; some did involve fatalities; and                  8 some did involve similar materials. Whatever the                  9 distinctions, these previous fires provided stark                  10 warnings of huge fires out of control on buildings                  11 containing hundreds of people; stark warnings that                  12 building methods using flammable external envelopes                  13 sometimes catch fire and with spectacular and                  14 uncontrollable effect.                  15 Whatever the arguments over regulations so far as                  16 fire safety is concerned, there has long since been                  17 a distinction between low-rise and high-rise because of                  18 the obvious difficulty or, indeed, near impossibility of                  19 fighting a fire externally if it is at height.                  20 Apart from the generality of the warnings from all                  21 of these fires from Dubai and Sharjah to Glasgow,                  22 Liverpool, Melbourne, Shanghai, there were far more                  23 specific warnings from nearer home. You, sir, have                  24 already been referred to Lakanal.                  25 Fatalities at Lakanal led to recommendations from</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>
<p>1 These LFB documents fail to address these obvious                  2 and central points, but focus only on the difficulties                  3 they faced with evacuation.                  4 No doubt hindsight is a wonderful thing, and no                  5 doubt it will be claimed that, because stay put has been                  6 largely successful for the 70-odd years that it's been                  7 standard policy, this disaster was something that could                  8 not have been foreseen. But does Grenfell really                  9 involve hindsight? Just because the firefighters                  10 involved have not seen the like of it before and,                  11 indeed, this was by far the worst such fire of modern                  12 times does not mean that an out-of-control tower block                  13 cladding fire should not have been contemplated.                  14 It's therefore pertinent to ask: what did LFB know                  15 long before June 2017?                  16 First and foremost, LFB knew that while major fires                  17 were happily rare in tall buildings, they were hardly                  18 unknown. We've attached a schedule of fires to our                  19 written submissions dating back many years and across                  20 continents. Some have involved deaths, multiple deaths                  21 in certain notable cases such as Lakanal, some in the                  22 LFB area and some in recent times.                  23 No doubt others may make an array of                  24 distinctions: some of these fires were in fact not                  25 cladding fires; some did not involve external fire</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 the coroner in March 2013, which was a flashing blue                  2 light both for the government and for the London Fire                  3 Brigade -- or it should have been. The recommendations                  4 included that the DCLG should provide national guidance                  5 on "stay put" and its interaction with "get out and stay                  6 out"; raise awareness that insecure compartmentation                  7 could spread fire and smoke and jeopardise lives;                  8 encouraged retrofitting of sprinklers; and it called for                  9 a review of ADB and B4 of the Building Regulations, with                  10 particular regard to spread of fire over cladding                  11 envelopes and to whether refurbishments might reduce                  12 fire safety.                  13 The LFB were on notice that this sort of fire                  14 happens and were on notice that they would have to deal                  15 with it.                  16 Aside from the recent fires, the G4 group have cited                  17 in their written submissions in particular the Roubaix                  18 tower fire in 2013 in France, the Lacrosse fire in                  19 Melbourne in 2014. The operational key in both of these                  20 cases and the obvious case study lesson was an almost                  21 immediate recognition of the need for total evacuation                  22 given the rapidity of external spread of the fire and                  23 obvious attendant risks for occupants.                  24 You've already been referred, sir, to the 1999 House                  25 of Commons regional affairs committee report with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

<p>1 respect to external cladding systems, which followed the 2 fatality fire in Irvine of the same year. There are 3 other parts of it I just want to point up. 4 The committee wrote to all of the directors of 5 housing in metropolitan borough councils asking for an 6 assurance that: 7 "... any cladding systems that may be used on any 8 buildings (particularly multi-storey tower blocks) in 9 your area are not in any way susceptible to the risk of 10 serious fire spread on the face of or immediately behind 11 the cladding." 12 1999. 13 In producing the report, the committee heard 14 evidence from the FBU and from a Dr Moore, the chair of 15 the technical committee of the Fire Safety Development 16 Group. Asked about the known risks with the 17 overladding system, Glyn Evans of the FBU said this: 18 "The main risk is the vertical envelopment of 19 a building in fire." 20 Going on to explain that since the 1666 Great Fire 21 of London, fire had been seen as a horizontal problem 22 and it remained the case that firefighters could not get 23 to external fires at height. 24 Dr Moore continued with the problem of composite 25 sandwich panels comprising foam insulation between metal</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 compartmentation issues. 2 In particular, there was mention of windows and 3 filler panels and a clear reference to defamation and 4 the delamination of panels -- all very familiar, 5 depressingly. 6 The alarm bells were really quite simple: there was 7 a risk of further high-risk fires which LFB knew they 8 could not control. There were risks that such fires 9 would breach compartmentation and a risk that stay put 10 would become untenable. In particular, it was 11 manifestly not enough without robust contingencies. 12 Let's move on, then, if I may, to the issue of 13 policy and robust contingencies. 14 Central to policy is the generic risk assessment 15 3.2, LFB 1255. 16 In that document it's clearly stated that 17 information-gathering and planning are key to safety. 18 This includes the visits that you've heard and know 19 about under section 7(2)(d) of the 2004 Act. It makes 20 the point that such gathered information is useless 21 unless it's communicated to those on the ground at 22 an incident, specifically noting the importance to 23 command decisions. 24 It remains to be seen what LFB had understood about 25 Grenfell Tower, and it remains to be seen exactly what</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>
<p>1 sheets. He said that class 0 rating could be achieved 2 because of the metal sheet, but that fire could still 3 destroy the plastic foam and it would then contribute to 4 the fire. 1999. 5 Fast forward to April 2017, history repeated. 6 Apparently prompted by the high-rise fire which spread 7 over external cladding at another block not far from 8 Grenfell, the one you've been referred to previously, 9 Shepherds Court, the LFB itself issued a letter to the 10 director of housing at RBKC. Indeed, they sent 11 a similar letter to all 33 of the London boroughs. 12 Now, this letter may have a variety of other 13 consequences for RBKC, possibly for the fire risk 14 assessor, CS Stokes, assuming that Mr Stokes ever saw 15 the letter, during Phase 2, but the issue here for 16 Phase 1 is not so much the recipient but the sender. 17 What this letter really speaks to here is that the 18 LFB were well aware of fire spread across cladding 19 systems on high-rise in its own area, that it was 20 a health and safety issue, that it impacted fire safety 21 and fire risk assessments, all matters referred to 22 expressly in the letter. In short, LFB are here in this 23 letter telling the councils that these cladding systems 24 raise clear fire spread issues. If they raise clear 25 fire spread issues then they raise clear</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>	<p>1 was recorded by them on the operational risk data 2 system, or indeed what was supplied to the firefighters 3 deployed on the night by their mobile data terminals. 4 But the information LFB had, or should have had, was 5 that there was one stairwell, no alarm, no automatic 6 fire suppression, flammable overladding and, more 7 generally, they knew about the potential for 8 compartmentation breach after the fires to which I've 9 already referred. 10 At page 16 of the policy document it's noted that 11 one of the pieces of information that the LFB would be 12 expected to have is the evacuation protocol, whether 13 stay put or phased or full evacuation or a combination. 14 Most crucially, perhaps, for present purposes is the 15 next page, where the document refers to the necessity 16 for contingency plans in the event that compartmentation 17 breaks down. Expressly noted is "an operational 18 evacuation plan being required in the event the stay-put 19 policy became untenable". 20 Incidentally, further specific required 21 contingencies are noted with respect to bridgeheads 22 becoming compromised, alternatives to radio 23 communications if there are blind spots and the failure 24 of fixed installations -- all eventualities that 25 materialised on 14 June. All should have had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

<p>1 predetermined contingencies.</p> <p>2 The policy document goes on to expressly mention</p> <p>3 arrangements for those unable to leave the building</p> <p>4 because of disability, mobility problems, illness or the</p> <p>5 effects of fire. It refers to the responsible person</p> <p>6 having an evacuation plan, here the chief executive of</p> <p>7 RBKC. It states that the Incident Commander should</p> <p>8 follow the evacuation plan where known unless the fire</p> <p>9 situation dictates otherwise.</p> <p>10 Absent from the current LFB responses to Grenfell</p> <p>11 are a consideration of these required</p> <p>12 contingencies: what were they? Where can we find them?</p> <p>13 Did they exist? Was the absence of contingency planning</p> <p>14 a factor in the failure to abandon stay put long after</p> <p>15 it's manifestly untenable? We ask LFB to help with all</p> <p>16 of these questions in their opening.</p> <p>17 The suggestion that the Incident Commander should</p> <p>18 follow the fire risk assessment evacuation policy where</p> <p>19 known is interesting. The 2016 FRA, LFB 66, contains</p> <p>20 the legend:</p> <p>21 "You do not have to give a copy of your risk</p> <p>22 assessment to anybody [in bold type], not even the fire</p> <p>23 authority. If you do give them a copy, this could be</p> <p>24 used against you at a future date."</p> <p>25 The very idea that this sort of statement is made in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p>	<p>1 untenable.</p> <p>2 Mr Stokes seemed to nod to this in the assessment</p> <p>3 with the evacuation plan when he continued:</p> <p>4 "The fire service or TMO employees will arrange for</p> <p>5 a general evacuation of the whole building at any time</p> <p>6 if this is appropriate to do so."</p> <p>7 One wonders whether the fire service or TMO</p> <p>8 employees knew anything of this or how it would be done</p> <p>9 or in what circumstances. We hope they will explain in</p> <p>10 their openings.</p> <p>11 The reality was that the refurbishment had seriously</p> <p>12 compromised the condition precedent for stay put</p> <p>13 compartmentation and that meant that stay put was no</p> <p>14 longer an appropriate evacuation plan. The lack of any</p> <p>15 contingency compounded that fundamental problem.</p> <p>16 How had that come to pass, given the warnings that</p> <p>17 the LFB had? How had that come to pass given the</p> <p>18 letters they'd sent in April and May? Was their conduct</p> <p>19 consistent with the responsibility to the residents of</p> <p>20 the Grenfell Estate, to their own firefighters?</p> <p>21 I'll return to when stay put became untenable in</p> <p>22 a moment, but before I do, let me deal with the</p> <p>23 vulnerable -- the young, the old, the infirm, the</p> <p>24 disabled, the mobility impaired.</p> <p>25 Mr Stokes referred to disabled residents in the FRA.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p>
<p>1 a safety document is truly shocking. The fire risk</p> <p>2 assessor is essentially advising that the evacuation</p> <p>3 plan and other matters can be kept from the fire</p> <p>4 service.</p> <p>5 We await evidence as to whether the assessment was</p> <p>6 in fact shared with the London Fire Brigade with respect</p> <p>7 to the tower.</p> <p>8 I also note that in the fire risk assessment,</p> <p>9 Mr Stokes refers to the new cladding as fire rated and</p> <p>10 approved by building control. Fire rated was</p> <p>11 an inaccurate term to describe the overcladding and</p> <p>12 would be inaccurate even against higher standards.</p> <p>13 The evacuation strategy asserts there is a stay put</p> <p>14 evacuation strategy. Accepting that stay put is</p> <p>15 referred to as an evacuation plan or protocol, that may</p> <p>16 be thought to be something of a contradiction, stay put</p> <p>17 being in fact an alternative to an immediate or phased</p> <p>18 or even zonal evacuation plan. Stay put may have worked</p> <p>19 well in respect of a building that was capable of</p> <p>20 maintaining compartmentation, but there were clear</p> <p>21 occasions where tall building fires have got seriously</p> <p>22 out of control, as we've seen, many involving cladding,</p> <p>23 and there was equally clear policy that required</p> <p>24 contingency in the event that fire spread went beyond</p> <p>25 compartmentation of the origin and stay put becoming</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p>	<p>1 According to him, the TMO had introduced a comprehensive</p> <p>2 programme to gather information on disabled residents</p> <p>3 with mobility issues and that information was to be held</p> <p>4 centrally on what he described as a "TP tracker system"</p> <p>5 for use in responding to an emergency. He goes on to</p> <p>6 refer to personal emergency evacuation plans, PEEPs. He</p> <p>7 refers to the potential for the TMO to provide early</p> <p>8 warning devices to vulnerable people, and he notes that</p> <p>9 the lifts were firefighter lifts and so could be used in</p> <p>10 evacuating the disabled.</p> <p>11 My client, Mohammed Hakim, lost his whole family in</p> <p>12 the fire. They were on the 17th floor. He's already</p> <p>13 told the inquiry during the personal portrait phase that</p> <p>14 he and his family had complained that their elderly</p> <p>15 parents were given a flat on the 17th floor, his dad</p> <p>16 immobile because of two strokes and a heart attack, his</p> <p>17 mum with mobility issues too. If they had not taken the</p> <p>18 flat, they would have lost their place on the list. His</p> <p>19 was not the only such account that you've heard, sir.</p> <p>20 Mohammed Hakim would very much like to know about</p> <p>21 this TMO central information database regarding disabled</p> <p>22 or infirm residents. He would like to know if his dad</p> <p>23 or mum were on it, if it was shared with the fire</p> <p>24 service and he'd like to know about their PEEPs or if</p> <p>25 individual plans were ever developed. He was unaware of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p>

<p>1 any of this.</p> <p>2 Of course, the inquiry knows already that the</p> <p>3 firefighting lifts did not work as such. No one came</p> <p>4 for Mohammed Hakim's family. There appears to have been</p> <p>5 no plan, no contingency, no thought for the vulnerable.</p> <p>6 Having dealt with the national policy, can I very</p> <p>7 briefly turn to local policy, policy number 633 of the</p> <p>8 LFB.</p> <p>9 The policy notes that the Incident Commander should</p> <p>10 normally follow the evacuation plan on the risk</p> <p>11 assessment unless contrarily indicated. But equally, it</p> <p>12 makes clear that evacuation may become necessary where</p> <p>13 the plan is stay put. It expressly notes that the</p> <p>14 incident commander and the bridgehead need to update</p> <p>15 each other about what's happening in real time, and</p> <p>16 there's express reference to the problem of fire spread.</p> <p>17 In an appendix, the policy notes that the</p> <p>18 familiarisation visit process should include</p> <p>19 consideration of fire spread, breach of compartmentation</p> <p>20 and evacuation, as well as considering fixed provisions</p> <p>21 such as the firefighter lifts, a 2008 policy,</p> <p>22 incidentally.</p> <p>23 National and local policies plainly required</p> <p>24 contingency plans for when stay put became untenable</p> <p>25 because of fire spread and breach of compartmentation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p>	<p>1 Taking up events from the operational review of the</p> <p>2 LFB that I've referred to already -- and we appreciate</p> <p>3 that this will have to come out through evidence --</p> <p>4 using this for present purposes, the first</p> <p>5 Incident Commander saw flames emerging from flat 16 at</p> <p>6 a very early point. By 1.08 minutes, firefighter</p> <p>7 O'Beirne indicates that the occupants of flat 26 on</p> <p>8 level 5 were reporting that their flat was on fire.</p> <p>9 1.08. An unequivocal compartmentation breach.</p> <p>10 1.12, flames licking up the side of the building,</p> <p>11 the Incident Commander noted that he saw the cladding</p> <p>12 was burning like magnesium, sparking and spitting,</p> <p>13 "making me feel uncomfortable".</p> <p>14 By 1.15, various videos have shown that the fire was</p> <p>15 well-established on the outside of the building.</p> <p>16 Compartmentation was compromised.</p> <p>17 1.15, Mr O'Beirne radioed the Incident Commander to</p> <p>18 tell him fire had spread to level 5.</p> <p>19 1.21, firefighters were tasked to put that fire out</p> <p>20 on the fifth floor.</p> <p>21 1.22, Mr O'Beirne again radioed the</p> <p>22 Incident Commander, this time to tell him the fire had</p> <p>23 spread to level 7.</p> <p>24 During this period there were 999 calls too alerting</p> <p>25 the brigade to fire or smoke higher up the building.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p>
<p>1 Well-known out-of-control facade and cladding fires in</p> <p>2 high buildings across the world and in London itself</p> <p>3 showed the clear need for such contingency planning.</p> <p>4 Post-Lakanal, the coroner had made express reference to</p> <p>5 stay put in the context of where compartmentation was</p> <p>6 compromised and people died. The 2017 April letter</p> <p>7 evidences the LFB's knowledge and concern.</p> <p>8 Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights</p> <p>9 required that the LFB had a practical and effective</p> <p>10 policy framework for known risks. The failure to have</p> <p>11 any contingency plan was a breach of this fundamental</p> <p>12 duty.</p> <p>13 Why did it take so long to abandon stay put?</p> <p>14 Question number 2.</p> <p>15 You, sir, have already heard a number of submissions</p> <p>16 regarding timing, so I'll work quickly.</p> <p>17 The first report of the fire was at 00.54.</p> <p>18 Professor Torero in his report indicates that stay put</p> <p>19 was the obvious and correct plan initially. However,</p> <p>20 objectively, he suggests in his conclusions that the</p> <p>21 basis for stay put was already beginning to be</p> <p>22 undermined by 1.05, when flat 16 was compromised and the</p> <p>23 fire was reaching the cladding. From around that point,</p> <p>24 abandoning stay put was, in his words, "an option to</p> <p>25 consider".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p>	<p>1 The compilation that we watched yesterday which was</p> <p>2 so powerful and which, sir, you commented on being so</p> <p>3 shocking, what may not have been picked up by everybody</p> <p>4 yesterday was the full extent of the audio.</p> <p>5 At a time between 1.16 and 1.20, we can clearly hear</p> <p>6 a member of the public shouting up at the tower, "Get</p> <p>7 out of the tower".</p> <p>8 At 1.22, the person filming is saying, "The fire's</p> <p>9 getting bigger and bigger. People are going to die.</p> <p>10 I am scared."</p> <p>11 Professor Torero refers to a significant number of</p> <p>12 999 calls between 1.20 and 1.30 indicating that smoke</p> <p>13 and flames were within the tower.</p> <p>14 By 1.24, the fire travelled almost to the top of the</p> <p>15 building, and again on the video we can hear people</p> <p>16 shouting, "Get out, get out".</p> <p>17 Of course, these people shouting were untrained</p> <p>18 members of the public, but it was patently clear by 1.15</p> <p>19 that the fire was out of control, spreading at</p> <p>20 a frightening speed and had gone well beyond the</p> <p>21 compartment of origin.</p> <p>22 It's clear from the policies we've referred to and</p> <p>23 expressly clear from the inquiry experts that stay put</p> <p>24 is dependent upon containing the fire within</p> <p>25 a compartment. An alternative must be pursued once that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p>

<p>1 fails.</p> <p>2 Dr Lane indicates that stay put was failing by 1.15</p> <p>3 and was untenable before 1.26.</p> <p>4 Of course, there have been fires in the past that</p> <p>5 have burnt themselves out or have not ingressed back</p> <p>6 into the building, but there are other fires, of course,</p> <p>7 where this has not been so and there have been multiple</p> <p>8 fatalities.</p> <p>9 The questions our clients want to hear answers to</p> <p>10 start with why there was apparently no consideration of</p> <p>11 abandoning stay put at this point and evacuating the</p> <p>12 block. Why not?</p> <p>13 I started with the visual appearance of the fire as</p> <p>14 it rapidly got out of hand because that's an obvious</p> <p>15 starting point because that was the view of the first</p> <p>16 Incident Commander, who gave such a graphic description</p> <p>17 of the magnesium-like fire.</p> <p>18 Did he or those who came after him do a dynamic risk</p> <p>19 assessment? If a dynamic risk assessment was</p> <p>20 undertaken, why did it not lead to the prompt</p> <p>21 realisation that stay put had become untenable? Why did</p> <p>22 the firefighting effort not shift to assisted urgent and</p> <p>23 total evacuation? Why did subsequent Incident</p> <p>24 Commanders who took over not abandon stay put until much</p> <p>25 later when it was too late?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p>	<p>1 in a position to factor these three key factors into</p> <p>2 a dynamic risk assessment and realise that there had to</p> <p>3 be a rapid evacuation. Sticking to the default position</p> <p>4 could not improve the situation and time was of the</p> <p>5 essence.</p> <p>6 If evacuation had been ordered -- question 3 -- at</p> <p>7 an early stage, would it have made a difference?</p> <p>8 According to the analysis of Dr Lane, the stairways</p> <p>9 were clear of smoke until 1.38. Although smoke began to</p> <p>10 enter the stairway from about 1.40, residents still</p> <p>11 managed to escape from floors up to and including</p> <p>12 level 23 in the period up to 02.58. Indeed, residents</p> <p>13 were still escaping from levels between 12 and 22 up</p> <p>14 until 3.55. Only after that point does it appear that</p> <p>15 no one managed to escape above level 11.</p> <p>16 The factual analysis so far known tends to suggest,</p> <p>17 we say, that if evacuation had been ordered at an early</p> <p>18 stage, rescue of many more, even all of the residents,</p> <p>19 was possible.</p> <p>20 I go on. The LFB 3 time-line points to another</p> <p>21 series of key decision points: 1.26, when the Met Police</p> <p>22 declared a major incident; 2.04, when the LFB escalated</p> <p>23 to 40 pumps; 2.06, when the LFB declared a major</p> <p>24 incident; 2.17, when the LFB called for a dangerous</p> <p>25 structure engineer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p>
<p>1 We know from an early stage residents were coming</p> <p>2 down from flats above flat 16, reporting to the</p> <p>3 bridgehead that their flats were on fire. We know that</p> <p>4 the bridgehead sent officers to investigate -- indeed,</p> <p>5 to try to put one of those fires out.</p> <p>6 The precise evidence will of course have to emerge</p> <p>7 in Phase 1, but let's just pick it up from some of the</p> <p>8 initial findings from Dr Lane. Dr Lane says that by</p> <p>9 1.18 there was smoke in the lobbies of level 5, level 6,</p> <p>10 level 15 and level 16. Compartmentation obviously gone.</p> <p>11 The key factors that ought to have driven an early</p> <p>12 decision to abandon stay put and evacuate include the</p> <p>13 fact that there was no means to fight a raging fire at</p> <p>14 height when it was out of control. Secondly, the</p> <p>15 information available to firefighters, certainly by</p> <p>16 1.20, that there was smoke and fire on multiple floors</p> <p>17 in the block, and information about the same time that</p> <p>18 999 calls were saying that compartmentation had been</p> <p>19 breached in multiple places.</p> <p>20 We do not seek to say at what time stay put should</p> <p>21 have been abandoned because we've not heard the</p> <p>22 evidence, but it's clear from what we know now that it</p> <p>23 should have been under urgent serious consideration</p> <p>24 almost from the outset.</p> <p>25 Each of the Incident Commanders ought to have been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p>	<p>1 If there were potential issues with structural</p> <p>2 integrity, surely stay put should have been well and</p> <p>3 truly abandoned.</p> <p>4 All of these points, we say, were points at which</p> <p>5 consideration should have been given if stay put hadn't</p> <p>6 been abandoned by that point.</p> <p>7 Mr Friedman opened mentioning Steve Power, who died</p> <p>8 in the fire. Sir, you heard from his family and, in</p> <p>9 particular, from Rebecca Ross last week, from whom you</p> <p>10 heard a poignant pen portrait. She had argued with her</p> <p>11 father as to whether they should stick with the policy</p> <p>12 of stay put or escape from their 15th floor flat. At</p> <p>13 about 2.50 she got out -- just -- and her father died.</p> <p>14 She would like to know why stay put was not abandoned</p> <p>15 much earlier.</p> <p>16 Mr Hamdan. His daughter, son-in-law and grandchild</p> <p>17 died on the stairwell between the 19th and 20th floor,</p> <p>18 probably at about 2.15. A second grandchild died later</p> <p>19 in hospital. A third mercifully survived, due in no</p> <p>20 small part to the heroism of firefighters. Earlier the</p> <p>21 family had been told to stay put. He too would like to</p> <p>22 know why stay put had not been abandoned much earlier,</p> <p>23 when his family might have escaped and lived.</p> <p>24 The final point on stay put is that even when it was</p> <p>25 abandoned, it appears that there was no alternative</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p>

<p>1 plan. On the available evidence, it appears that                  2 control simply told people to get out by their own means                  3 if they could. It may be a matter of common sense that,                  4 having abandoned the policy too late, there was nothing                  5 that could be done; however, that was a consideration                  6 that should have loomed large for the LFB long before                  7 14 June, particularly in relation to contingency plans.                  8 Finally, with respect to the emergency response,                  9 you, sir, have indicated that training will be a matter                  10 dealt with in Phase 1. We have as yet had no disclosure                  11 of training material. The headline questions are:                  12 What training did those involved in the                  13 familiarisation visits get, the firefighters, the                  14 Incident Commanders, the other commanders and the                  15 control room staff have on high-rise firefighting?                  16 Did it include contingencies and decision-making                  17 when there was a breach of compartmentation?                  18 Did it include evacuation from high-rise blocks?                  19 We understand there was a post-Lakanal training                  20 package; what did that contain and who was it given to?                  21 Let me spend the last few minutes -- and I'm afraid                  22 I'm going to slightly overrun -- dealing with the issue                  23 of candour.                  24 We persistently argued for candour from all core                  25 participants, but so far the inquiry has only been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 of the fire, the All-Party Parliamentary Fire Safety and                  2 Rescue Group sent a series of letters to the department.                  3 Ministers, senior officials, including then minister                  4 Eric Pickles, Stephen Williams MP, James Wharton MP,                  5 Gavin Barwell MP, all batted away attempts to persuade                  6 them of the urgency of the situation.                  7 By September 2016, then Housing Minister                  8 Gavin Barwell confirmed in Parliament that the                  9 department had not made formal plans to review the                  10 regulations but intended to do so "following the Lakanal                  11 fire". By that point, Lakanal had occurred several                  12 years previously. The coroner's report was three years                  13 old. How long did the department need?                  14 In its position statement, the MHCLG has set out the                  15 framework of the regulations as they understand them.                  16 Elsewhere, the previous ministers made clear that the                  17 subsequent testing shows -- correctly, in our view --                  18 that the cladding system on the tower did not comply                  19 with the existing regulations. But the MHCLG remains                  20 silent on its own failings in providing a clear                  21 regulatory framework to deal with fire safety. It was                  22 their obligation to do so and we call on them to rectify                  23 that lack of explanation in their opening. Tell us why                  24 you failed to rectify this known problem, even after the                  25 coroner's comments about ADB after Lakanal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>
<p>1 provided with limited position statements from most CPs                  2 and, of course, limited opening statements from some of                  3 them.                  4 This is a public search for the truth. It's not                  5 a game of cat and mouse, nor, as I said before, a matter                  6 of smoke and mirrors. The bereaved and the survivors                  7 have spent a year in pain waiting to know what had                  8 happened. We call on all of the CPs who have yet to do                  9 so to set out most fully their positions in their                  10 opening statements.                  11 Let me start, if I may, with the MHCLG, the                  12 department of state with responsibility for this area.                  13 As the DCLG, this department failed over many years                  14 to rewrite the guidance under the Building Regulations                  15 long after everyone knew that it was defective. There                  16 has been widespread reference to headline comments of                  17 the interim report of Dame Judith Hackitt that the                  18 regulations were "not fit for purpose". But the                  19 Building Regulations were criticised long ago, including                  20 following the Lakanal disaster. Why were they not                  21 drafted by 2017?                  22 We remind the current minister from open source                  23 material of the number of former officials and junior                  24 ministers who prevaricated and thought that such an                  25 exercise was not a priority. Between 2010 and the time</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>	<p>1 The bereaved and survivors need to have the                  2 acknowledgement and the candour. Tell them why you                  3 failed to regulate more generally for a robust testing                  4 regime, regulations and testing that should have stopped                  5 the Grenfell Tower disaster happening. The failure to                  6 have a practical and effective regulatory framework to                  7 ensure fire safety is a further breach of article 2.                  8 Staying for a moment with the other public                  9 authorities, RBKC and the TMO, what was their role in                  10 allowing such flammable materials to be used in the                  11 cladding system, and was their decision to change the                  12 original design intent to more flammable materials based                  13 upon cost-cutting, ignoring the obvious and increased                  14 fire risks?                  15 Can you help the bereaved and survivors by                  16 explaining the much publicised communications between                  17 the TMO and your agents, Artelia, in 2014 regarding                  18 cutting the costs of the cladding system?                  19 Did you seek expert fire safety opinion before                  20 making those changes?                  21 Are RBKC and the TMO able to explain why the                  22 firefighters were not able to command their lifts? Why                  23 the fire doors were of a substandard fire rating?                  24 Where was the database of vulnerable persons to                  25 which I've referred that was in the fire risk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

<p>1 assessment? Was it available to LFB? Indeed, was the 2 fire risk assessment available to the LFB? 3 Was there an evacuation plan involving TMO staff as 4 referred to in the FRA? 5 How did it happen that the building control signed 6 this building off as safe after the refurbishment via 7 a completion certificate in July 2016 knowing what we 8 now know, notwithstanding that the refurbishment had 9 converted a fire safe tower to one with flammable 10 cladding which would almost inevitably facilitate fire 11 to breach the buildings intended compartmentation? 12 Can they explain why there was no retrofitting of 13 sprinklers or installation of alarm systems given the 14 Lakanel inquest rule 43 report? Sprinklers may have 15 prevented death at Grenfell Tower or at least slowed the 16 progress of the fire to allow more to escape. A general 17 alarm system would have facilitated a full evacuation. 18 You know the answers to these questions. It's time 19 for transparency and candour in public. 20 RBKC note in their written opening that they've 21 signed Bishop James's candour charter and they are 22 anxious to be transparent and helpful. Bishop James was 23 particularly concerned at the failure of authorities to 24 be upfront with the victims of disasters from the outset 25 and that truth was trumped by institutional denial.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p>	<p>1 they express condolences and they're not shy to 2 implicate others, but they're all completely silent on 3 what went wrong in the areas for which they were 4 responsible. Now is the time for candour. 5 Moving away from public authorities but staying with 6 fire engineering and fire risk, others have addressed 7 you, sir, regarding Exova. We hear what they say in 8 their position statements, the now familiar mantra of 9 "not our fault", because they dropped out of the 10 equation at a relatively early point. But perhaps 11 they'd like to address which parts of their report 12 warned of the substantial risks involved with cladding 13 systems if the wrong materials are used or the wrong 14 configuration is designed or the build is substandard. 15 I've addressed you already about Mr Stokes and the 16 CS Stokes issues with the fire risk assessment. Can 17 Mr Stokes help us with the matters I've referred to with 18 disabled residents? It's our understanding that 19 Mr Stokes took up issues regarding the cladding with the 20 TMO. Can Mr Stokes help us all at this stage with what 21 response he got and why he took those issues up with 22 them? 23 I move on swiftly to deal with those involved in the 24 refurbishment itself, and I echo, support and adopt what 25 Stephanie Barwise said earlier, that the CPs involved</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p>
<p>1 It's time to live up to that charter and tell us what 2 you accept you failed to do. Was the disaster which 3 occurred in your property really all the fault of 4 others? 5 Both RBKC and the TMO have referred to defective 6 regulations, the involvement of architects, contractors 7 and others, with the implication that it's them that are 8 accountable, not RBKC as owner or TMO as manager. Is 9 that really the position, all somebody else's fault? 10 I've dealt with the position of the LFB, but it 11 would really be some comfort to the victims of Grenfell 12 if the LFB would at least acknowledge that stay put 13 should have given way to full evacuation at an early 14 stage and that it was a serious failing for there to be 15 no contingencies. 16 We reiterate that many firefighters were heroes. We 17 know that the LFB did not start the fire or put up the 18 dangerous materials on the tower. If we're wrong in our 19 assertion that stay put should have given way to full 20 evacuation and that there was a clear requirement for 21 contingency planning, then tell us. Avoiding these 22 issues a year on should not be an option. 23 There's a common theme with public authorities here, 24 we say; they provide documents and policies and 25 explanations of some of their organisational structure,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 should say now in their openings what they say about 2 compliance. The time is now to help the inquiry with 3 those matters. 4 To Arconic: we note in your opening that you say you 5 wish to do everything possible to avoid exacerbating the 6 suffering. Mr Stein has picked out the phrase that you 7 accept that, at most, the ACM that you supplied was 8 a contributing feature of the fire. But, in fact, 9 there's an even more remarkable proposition in your 10 opening when you say that the panels "did not render 11 inevitable the catastrophe that ensued". If that were 12 the test, we're all lost. 13 The reality is that Arconic are not the only 14 villains of the piece, and, yes, you are correct that 15 others bear responsibility too. But the use of your 16 panels was a key factor in the disaster. Will you 17 acknowledge that now? 18 Likewise, Celotex and likewise, Kingspan. Plainly 19 Celotex knew that their insulation was to be used on the 20 tower, as has been made clear by others. We understand 21 that in the early days of cladding, the default 22 insulation material was mineral wool. We seek answers 23 from Kingspan in particular as to how it came to pass 24 that expanded foams, flammable plastics, came to 25 challenge the much safer A1 non-combustible mineral wool</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>



<p>1 products. Yes, the new products were a little cheaper,                  2 and, yes, they were a little more efficient, but at what                  3 cost?                  4 It's obvious, isn't it, in high buildings which                  5 require compartmentation for fire safety, and in others                  6 with vulnerable occupants, such as hospitals and                  7 schools, these products were simply not suitable.                  8 And what of Artelia, quantity surveyors and the                  9 original CDM of the project, referred to as agents by                  10 the TMO? What do you say regarding the changes to the                  11 design specs? Was cost the primary factor? Was a safer                  12 consideration abandoned to cut a few percentage points                  13 from the budget? What was your role in that and the                  14 choice of contractors? Please tell us.                  15 Sir, you've been addressed extensively about                  16 Studio E, about Rydon, about Harley -- architect, main                  17 contractor, cladding specialists. I won't add to those                  18 submissions, save to say that it is a surprise that                  19 Studio E has not provided a written opening. It's not                  20 acceptable that Rydon asserts that they're not in                  21 a position to say very much because there's much                  22 disclosure to be made. These companies must make clear                  23 the lines of responsibility -- crystal clear -- to                  24 assist the inquiry.                  25 The subcontractors involved: why were the smoke</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>	<p>1 (3.16 pm)                  2 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs by MR KHAN                  3 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Yes, Mr Khan.                  4 MR KHAN: Sir, I start with a question -- perhaps, more                  5 accurately, a rhetorical question -- does the colour of                  6 a person's skin matter in this country? Does it affect                  7 your education? Does it affect whether you get stopped                  8 by the police? Does it affect whether you can get                  9 a job? In short, does it affect your life chances?                  10 For most people from black and minority ethnic                  11 communities, Britain is still either a land of denied                  12 opportunities or one in which opportunities are                  13 grudgingly extended and extremely limited. In places                  14 where white people see a lot of idealism, people from                  15 BME backgrounds see, at best, idealism mixed heavily                  16 with hypocrisy.                  17 The fact is skin colour is one of the defining facts                  18 of life in the UK. If you don't feel compelled to think                  19 about it much, chances are if you look in the mirror,                  20 you're white. If you think about it often, and often                  21 urgently, you probably fit another demographic                  22 description, a description which unerringly affects                  23 whether you get a decent education, whether you are                  24 stopped or searched by the police or, in the context of                  25 this inquiry, whether you live or die.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p>
<p>1 ventilation systems in the lifts defective?                  2 To Cadent, the gas company: can you explain why it                  3 took the digging of three holes to turn the gas supply                  4 off? Why wasn't there a simple remote valve to turn                  5 a fuel load which the fire service had to deal with for                  6 22.5 hours after the fire started?                  7 The questions that we posed are not exhaustive.                  8 They're intended to highlight but some of the important                  9 issues central to the concerns of the bereaved and the                  10 survivors.                  11 They don't want to hear meaningless condolences or,                  12 as I said at the outset, pretences that a company or                  13 authority doesn't want to usurp the role of the inquiry,                  14 or indeed they haven't had sufficient disclosure. All                  15 of those things are a smokescreen. This is not a moment                  16 for technicalities; it's a time for candour and                  17 frankness.                  18 Thank you, sir.                  19 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much, Mr Weatherby.                  20 Well, this is probably a convenient point to have                  21 a short break, so I will break until 3.15 and then I'm                  22 going to invite Mr Imran Khan to address us.                  23 Thank you.                  24 (3.00 pm)                  25 (A short break)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 And that introduction, chair, informs the basis of                  2 our submissions today.                  3 We are fully aware of the terms of reference of this                  4 inquiry and of the contents of your letter, chair, dated                  5 10 August 2017 to the Home Secretary, in which you said,                  6 and I quote:                  7 "As a result of the consultation, it has become                  8 clear that many of those who have been affected by the                  9 fire and some others feel strongly that the scope of the                  10 inquiry should be very broad and should include                  11 an examination of social housing policy."                  12 You went on to state:                  13 "I can well understand why local people considered                  14 that these are important questions which require urgent                  15 examination. I share their concerns."                  16 And then you went on to state that:                  17 "The inclusion of such broad questions within the                  18 scope of the inquiry would raise questions of a social,                  19 economic and political nature which in my view are not                  20 suitable for a judge-led inquiry."                  21 And so you concluded with stating that the inquiry's                  22 terms of reference should not extend to the broader                  23 questions to which I have referred.                  24 Whilst that letter -- you'll remember it well,                  25 sir -- did not specify that these broader questions</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p>

<p>1 might encompass the issues of race, religion and social 2 class, these appear to be some of the matters which were 3 being alluded to. 4 As a result, the terms of reference made no mention 5 of whether race, religion or social class had any 6 bearing on what led to the loss of life at 7 Grenfell Tower. Our submission today is that these 8 terms of reference require amendment for the reasons we 9 set out. 10 Of course, that is a decision which is in the gift 11 only of the Home Secretary. But in the same way that 12 you made your previous recommendation as to what the 13 terms of reference should be, we invite you, sir, to do 14 the same now. 15 We invite you to state that, having now heard 16 directly from the bereaved, as you did in the last two 17 weeks, and having read the material disclosed to the 18 inquiry as we have, particularly the witness statements 19 of the core participants, we invite you to recommend 20 a change to the terms of reference along these lines: 21 "To examine whether race, religion or social class 22 played any part in the events surrounding the fire at 23 Grenfell Tower on 14 June 2017." 24 Sir, we should make it clear that, despite the 25 obvious concerns in the immediate aftermath of the fire</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p>	<p>1 and until there was any evidence to undermine or 2 challenge it. And that ultimately led him to define 3 a racist incident as "any incident which is perceived to 4 be racist by the victim or any other person". 5 Sir, this was a sea change to the black and minority 6 ethnic communities in Britain, because from then on it 7 wasn't a matter of having the police exercise their 8 discretion as to whether an incident was racist, the 9 police were obliged to accept it. The reason we raise 10 this issue today is because, having now heard from our 11 clients, they tell us, and we unequivocally accept, as 12 Sir William did in his inquiry, what they are saying, 13 and we invite you, sir, to do the same. 14 Because if we do not, our clients will be in the 15 same position as the Lawrence family were prior to the 16 inquiry when they were saying that the reason the police 17 failed to investigate Stephen's murder was because of 18 racism, and nobody at that time believed them. 19 It would be a travesty if that were to happen again, 20 because if we do not ask the question now as to whether 21 race, religion or social class played any part in the 22 events surrounding the fire at Grenfell and answering it 23 with recommendations for the future, we will be putting 24 at risk the lives of thousands, if not hundreds of 25 thousands, of people from black and minority ethnic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p>
<p>1 that these factors might have been at play because of 2 the background of the residents of the tower and its 3 immediate environments, there had not been any intention 4 on our part to make such a submission to you when the 5 inquiry started. It has only been as a result of 6 listening to our clients and reviewing the disclosure 7 that we have been driven to the conclusion that this 8 inquiry must consider this issue, and in our view it 9 would be unconscionable not to do so. Because, chair, 10 there is grave foreboding amongst our clients that the 11 race, religion or social class of the residents may have 12 determined their destiny. 13 We hope you will agree that this is a grave issue, 14 and we consider, despite what you state in your letter 15 to the Home Secretary, it is a perfectly proper one to 16 be examined by a judge-led inquiry. Indeed, if I may 17 say so, and if I may go back, that is exactly what 18 Sir William Macpherson did in the Stephen Lawrence 19 inquiry. He examined whether race played any part in 20 the failure of the police to investigate his murder. 21 Sir William Macpherson's approach of conducting 22 an inquiry is an exemplar model and one which we have 23 adopted with our clients and invite you to do the same. 24 Sir William's starting premise was to unequivocally 25 accept what was being said by the Lawrence family unless</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 134</p>	<p>1 communities, who are overrepresented in high-rise blocks 2 throughout Britain. And that was certainly the case as 3 far as Grenfell Tower is concerned. 4 This is what one of our clients says about the 5 residents of the tower. I quote: 6 "It was a very diverse place and I definitely feel 7 like race and religion were a factor in people being 8 housed there." 9 Another client says this: 10 "Had this been Westminster or Knightsbridge, with 11 white, upper middle class people residing in the tower, 12 the fire and the measures taken beforehand would never 13 have happened." 14 Sir, according to a 2011 census, 71 per cent of the 15 population of Kensington and Chelsea were white, and it 16 had a higher proportion of high-earners than anywhere 17 else in the country, with more people working in banking 18 than anywhere else in Britain. 19 Given this, it does not take a genius to work out 20 that the poor and BAME community in Kensington and 21 Chelsea, including those in Grenfell Tower, were not the 22 core constituency of the local council. So it's perhaps 23 not surprising that it was the poor and the BAME 24 community who disproportionately ended up in the tower. 25 We might ask whether this was a product of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 136</p>

<p>1 deliberate ethnic or social cleansing or perhaps of                  2 segregation, or part of a policy of ensuring that if you                  3 were poor or from a BAME background, you would be placed                  4 in the tower. Or was it pure chance and coincidence,                  5 just pure bad luck for some of those that died? Or was                  6 there something else at play?                  7 We submit that what occurred at Grenfell Tower may                  8 be explained as a product of institutional racism, and                  9 we consider it right and proper that this should be                  10 investigated.                  11 And to remind those of you, institutional racism is                  12 defined by the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry report as                  13 follows:                  14 "The collective failure of an organisation to                  15 provide an appropriate and professional service to                  16 people because of their colour, culture or ethnic                  17 origin. It can be seen or detected in processes,                  18 attitudes and behaviour which amount to discrimination                  19 through unwitting prejudice, ignorance, thoughtlessness                  20 and racist stereotyping which disadvantages minority                  21 ethnic people."                  22 Now, chair, there is no evidence thus far disclosed                  23 which suggests that any one individual, or indeed any                  24 one group of individuals, directly set out to place                  25 a disproportionate number of individuals from poor and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p>	<p>1 the endurance of racial discrimination and disadvantage                  2 in Britain.                  3 What is more, around a quarter of BAME households                  4 live in the oldest pre-1919-built homes. One in six                  5 ethnic minority families have a home with a category 1                  6 hazard under the housing health and safety rating                  7 system, and their homes less often include safety                  8 features such as fire alarms.                  9 More generally, there is an overconcentration of                  10 BAME households in the most deprived neighbourhoods in                  11 Britain's cities, linked to poor housing conditions and                  12 lower economic status, negative impacts on health,                  13 culminating in lower life expectancy and higher                  14 morbidity.                  15 There is an underconcentration in home-ownership                  16 generally and outright home-ownership specifically.                  17 This of course affects the distribution of wealth                  18 between ethnic groups, with the BAME population having                  19 much lower levels of asset accumulation.                  20 BAME households are more likely to wait longer for                  21 a housing offer, to be offered poorer quality homes and                  22 flats rather than houses. Some housing officers are                  23 seen to be steering BAME applicants away from white                  24 neighbourhoods based on judgments about social class as                  25 well as racial grounds.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p>
<p>1 BAME communities in the tower knowing that they will                  2 suffer such a fate. However, what may have occurred and                  3 which needs consideration by this inquiry is whether --                  4 and taking the quote from institutional racism -- as                  5 a result of the unwitting actions and conduct of the                  6 individuals that made up RBKC and TMO, there was                  7 a racist outcome.                  8 In short, the question that we might want to ask and                  9 have answered is whether RBKC and TMO and its associates                  10 were guilty of institutional racism.                  11 Our clients believe that this is a proper issue to                  12 explore, and we submit that the evidence that we have                  13 seen thus far is overwhelmingly in favour of its                  14 inclusion as a term of reference.                  15 Race remains a defining characteristic in the                  16 nation's housing system. Research by the Human City                  17 Institute reveals that the level of housing stress in                  18 black and minority ethnic communities is much higher                  19 than for whites. Homelessness has grown enormously in                  20 BAME communities from 18 per cent to 36 per cent in the                  21 last two decades, double the presence of ethnic                  22 minorities in the general population. BAME households                  23 are far more likely to live in overcrowded, inadequate                  24 or fuel-poor housing than whites, which was confirmed by                  25 the government's recent race audit, which underscores</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p>	<p>1 And, sir, the Grenfell Tower disaster must be placed                  2 in the context of these concerns to determine whether                  3 race, religion or social class played any part in it.                  4 And it's useful, in our submission, to consider how                  5 we might approach such a question. Using a comparison                  6 from policing, stop-and-search is often used to operate                  7 as a litmus test to measure the institutional racism of                  8 the police. It has produced statistics, we suggest,                  9 that relative to the numbers in the general population,                  10 young black men are up to, in some police forces, eight                  11 times more likely to be stopped and searched by the                  12 police.                  13 Now, I hope everyone accepts, as I do, that in this                  14 analysis, it's not suggested that every police officer                  15 goes out of their way to deliberately target a young                  16 black man. It is the police force as a whole through                  17 its conduct and practices which leads to the                  18 disproportionate outcome.                  19 It might be just worth taking a moment and applying                  20 this process to one particular resident, one of the                  21 deceased who died, and see whether it provides any                  22 assistance to determine whether race, religion or social                  23 class played any part in the events that led to her                  24 death.                  25 Sakineh Afrasiabi was 65 years old when she died in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p>

<p>1 the tower. She was born in Iran and arrived in the UK                  2 in 1997 with her younger daughter, and she arrived as                  3 a refugee. Her first language was Farsi. She did not                  4 speak or write English particularly well and always                  5 needed her children to translate for her. For all                  6 intents and purposes, she was a proud Briton, and, as                  7 her children would attest, loved the Queen more than                  8 most.</p> <p>9 From 1998 to 2016, Sakineh lived in a two-bedroom                  10 flat in Ladbrooke Grove. Her daughter Nazanin acted as                  11 her primary carer, and, sir, you will recall that last                  12 week -- I think it was on Wednesday -- Nazanin gave                  13 a moving tribute, as did her brother Shahrokh, to her                  14 mother during the commemorations.</p> <p>15 In the year 2000, because of a deterioration in her                  16 health, she applied for a housing transfer. It took an                  17 astonishing 16 years before this was granted and it was                  18 to Grenfell Tower.</p> <p>19 The events leading up to her moving to the tower                  20 sealed her fate, because despite suffering from, and                  21 I list, incontinence, diabetes, osteoarthritis,                  22 hypertension, memory loss, asthma, depression and                  23 significant mobility issues such that she struggled to                  24 walk, and the council knowing that she required                  25 step-free accommodation, she was placed on the 18th</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 What we now know is that those conclusions                  2 probably -- probably -- led to her death on the 18th                  3 floor, because once there had been an investigation,                  4 Sakineh was suspended from the housing bid system and                  5 her right to be rehoused was withdrawn. It took many                  6 months and the loss of sight in one of Sakineh's eyes                  7 due to the stress of what happened before she was                  8 offered flat 151 on the 18th floor of Grenfell Tower.</p> <p>9 By this time, and because of the investigation, she                  10 had no choice but to take it. That, it could be said,                  11 led to her death, because the council knew she could not                  12 walk unaided. The council knew she should not have been                  13 placed in housing that had steps, let alone on the 18th                  14 floor of a tower. Indeed, her RBKC housing file records                  15 that from as early as 2003, Sakineh should not be housed                  16 in a lifted property above the fourth floor. Is it any                  17 wonder, then, that when the fire started, she couldn't                  18 get out and perished with her sister, who had been                  19 visiting her?</p> <p>20 Her son Shahrokh Aghlani says this, I quote:                  21 "I heard every last breath my mother and auntie                  22 took. She said to me, 'The floor is hot, it's hot'. My                  23 mum kept saying, 'Don't come, don't come, just call the                  24 fire people', but I said, 'Mum, I'm coming'. The smoke                  25 got them and I could hear them wheezing. My aunt said,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>
<p>1 floor of the tower.</p> <p>2 This wasn't of Sakineh's choosing. She hated                  3 Grenfell Tower. But she had no choice. She was forced                  4 to accept the accommodation because, like                  5 a disproportionate number of those from BAME                  6 communities, she and her family were put under                  7 investigation by the council.</p> <p>8 Her daughter Nazanin says that they were treated                  9 like criminals. Her mother's previous flat was raided                  10 by council officers at 7 o'clock in the morning.                  11 Sakineh was on her own and extremely distressed and                  12 disorientated. And did the investigation lead to any                  13 prosecution? Any charges? Any action? No, of course                  14 not. Neither Sakineh nor her daughter had done anything                  15 wrong, in the same way that none of the young black men                  16 who are regularly stopped and searched in our streets by                  17 the police because of the colour of their skin have done                  18 nothing wrong.</p> <p>19 In the same way that the police make assumptions                  20 that young black men are more likely to be committing                  21 a crime than white people, it appears that that was the                  22 same here. The council seemed to have made                  23 an assumption -- and by that I mean stereotyped and                  24 racially profiled Sakineh's family -- and drew the wrong                  25 conclusions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>	<p>1 'Forgive us' and I could hear her going into shock as                  2 she tried to catch her breath. She couldn't talk                  3 anymore. That was the last I heard from them. The                  4 phone went dead."</p> <p>5 We have no evidence, sir, that any one person                  6 deliberately set out to place Sakineh on the 18th floor                  7 of the tower, but we do have evidence that, as                  8 an institution, the council did this "through unwitting                  9 prejudice, ignorance, thoughtlessness and racist                  10 stereotyping", such that it ultimately led to Sakineh                  11 being placed in Grenfell Tower in the apparent knowledge                  12 that it was not safe for her to be there and she would                  13 not be able to escape in the event of a fire.</p> <p>14 What our clients want to know is how many other                  15 people that were housed at Grenfell Tower were placed                  16 there in similar circumstances. Because as Nazanin                  17 says:                  18 "I think it is striking to look at the faces of                  19 those that died. They are predominantly non-white. If                  20 my mother had been a wealthier woman with a different                  21 skin colour, she would have been alive today."                  22 Our clients want to know why it is that those that                  23 died were predominantly non-white. Did that particular                  24 fact have any part to play in their deaths?                  25 Our clients believe that, given the make-up of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

<p>1 residents of the tower, one of the first thoughts that                  2 the council should have had is: how do we ensure that                  3 everyone -- and that means every resident, taking into                  4 account their background and needs -- fully understood                  5 what to do in the event of a fire? And that meant --                  6 and, chair, this may be an uncommon understanding -- is                  7 not treating people equally; it is treating people                  8 according to their needs, taking into account their                  9 individual backgrounds.</p> <p>10 Again, using Sakineh's story as illustrative as the                  11 wider issue, this is what her daughter Nazanin says:                  12 "Before she moved into the property she was at no                  13 point given any information about fire safety. I would                  14 have known about this because I arranged all of her                  15 paperwork with the TMO and she would have asked me to                  16 translate anything official she received regarding her                  17 housing. Whilst she was living there, she also did not                  18 receive any information whatsoever about fire safety.                  19 She was given no information about how to get out of the                  20 building in the event of an emergency. My mother never                  21 told me about any fire safety checks being carried out                  22 by the London Fire Brigade."                  23 Shahrokh Aghlani, her son, puts the matter in this                  24 way:                  25 "I know for sure that my mother was given no</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p>	<p>1 to use it as I don't think Rania was ever told. So if                  2 there was a fire, how could she stop the fire until the                  3 fire Fire Brigade could come? I highly doubt that Rania                  4 was told anything about fire safety.                  5 "I never saw any fire plans. There was one sign                  6 right opposite the lift but it never had images or                  7 anything. It just said in English, 'Don't use lifts if                  8 there's a fire, use the alternative exit'. Then it said                  9 the fire exit was the stairs."                  10 Siar Naqshbandi, who arrived on the scene of the                  11 fire in the early hours and lived on the third floor of                  12 the tower, states:                  13 "I only remember one fire safety sign downstairs                  14 when I moved in. It advised to stay indoors in the                  15 event of fire and it was only written in English."                  16 Finally on this issue, 24-year-old Hin, who escaped                  17 on the 15th floor, says this:                  18 "In terms of signs, I recall there being a fire                  19 safety warning downstairs. I did not see any other                  20 leaflets or signs about fire safety in the tower. The                  21 signs were in English only, not in any other language."                  22 Again, sir, there is no evidence that we can point                  23 to that any one person or any one group of people                  24 deliberately set out to ensure that the fire safety                  25 signs could not be read or be understood by all the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p>
<p>1 information about fire safety at Grenfell Tower. No one                  2 came to her place to talk about it, nor to tell her what                  3 should be done in the event of a fire. It was simply                  4 that she collected her keys and was told to get on with                  5 it. There was no culture of talking about public                  6 safety. The culture instead was to get rid of what they                  7 saw as a problem: the migrants, those on low incomes and                  8 ethnic minorities. It was a form of ghettoisation of                  9 minorities in what is an affluent London borough. The                  10 tower was a living embodiment of the us-and-them                  11 culture. The majority of those who died are of Middle                  12 Eastern and/or African descent, and I think this is                  13 telling."                  14 Sayeda Ibrahim, who lost her sister Rania and                  15 Rania's two daughters Fethia and Hania says this:                  16 "Rania could speak basic English but she could not                  17 really read or write in English. My daughter Aiasha                  18 spoke with Rania about her concerns and what she would                  19 do if there was ever a fire. She and Rania discussed                  20 how there was only one stairwell and they would have to                  21 use the stairs if there was a fire. Rania just said,                  22 'I don't know', as in 'inshallah', 'God only knows'.                  23 "Outside Rania's flat there was a fire hose in a red                  24 box but there were no instructions on it. We knew it                  25 was there but none of us knew what exactly it was or how</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p>	<p>1 residents of the tower. But the fact that certain                  2 residents could not read them or understand them gives                  3 our clients grave cause for concern, and we submit                  4 requires investigation by this inquiry. Our clients                  5 feel -- believe -- that knowing the make-up of the                  6 residents of the tower, RBKC and the TMO appear to have                  7 failed in their duty -- and again I'm using the                  8 definition of institutional racism -- to provide                  9 an appropriate and professional service to some of the                  10 residents because of their colour, culture or ethnic                  11 origin. This, sir, appears to fit the dictionary                  12 definition of institutional racism.                  13 Whilst Dr Barbara Lane in her Phase 1 report                  14 considered the fire prevention and safety measures in                  15 place at Grenfell Tower, her findings only go so far.                  16 They don't deal with the particular difficulties faced                  17 by those from BAME communities, especially in terms of                  18 language.                  19 Given the known diversity of London's population and                  20 the probability that it is disproportionately                  21 concentrated in high-rise towers, the necessity to                  22 grapple with this issue, sir, in our submission is                  23 self-evident. Otherwise, whatever recommendations this                  24 inquiry makes, it will not have been made with the BAME                  25 community in mind. They will have been ignored. And</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 148</p>

<p>1 that will be a tragedy, if not another tragedy in the 2 making. 3 Before I move on, I should say that I certainly had 4 some measure of hope yesterday that this issue might 5 have been within the contemplation of the inquiry team 6 when Mr Millett QC made the following statement, and 7 I take these from the transcript: 8 "In terms of Fire Survival Guidance that was given 9 to residents of Grenfell Tower on the night of the fire, 10 I want to say just a little bit more at this stage. It 11 will be necessary to establish precisely what guidance 12 residents were given both before and during the fire and 13 how that was understood and how it was acted upon. We 14 have evidence of fire action notices being provided in 15 the tower, as is illustrated from this photograph ..." 16 We saw on that on the screen, the written notice 17 taken from Dr Lane's report: 18 "... the essence of the Fire Survival Guidance or 19 the stay-put policy is contained in the middle of that 20 notice. We will turn to the language of the notice in 21 due course. This particular notice was found in the 22 lift lobbies by the lifts. 23 "We want to understand how residents interpreted 24 this sign and other guidance that they were given, and 25 for those who left the tower in the early stages of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 149</p>	<p>1 Guidance in the emergency call management circular 2 54-2004 quoted by Dr Lane, so that when guidance is 3 given by the emergency services, the individual caller's 4 age, gender and mental or physical disability is 5 assessed, as well as their ethnicity. 6 On the face of it, it seems to us, ethnicity is 7 already a consideration in this inquiry, as it is 8 a factor to be assessed in surviving a fire. We invite 9 you, sir, to make it a very clear issue and not just 10 limit it to the emergency calls, but the fire service 11 itself. Because I move on to my next point. Outlines 12 the very concerns about the procedures, conduct and 13 operation of the fire service and its governing 14 authorities on the night. As Shahrokh Aghlani explains: 15 "I have grave concerns about the strategic planning 16 of the London Fire Brigade on the night of the fire. 17 This is not about the efforts of the individual 18 firefighters, but instead my focus is on the 19 institution." 20 Sayeda Ibrahim states: 21 "I did not have any communication with the 22 firefighters, but I believe the firefighters were too 23 calm and relaxed about the situation, as if they were 24 letting the tower burn. It seemed like it was only when 25 the fire got really, really serious that firefighters</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p>
<p>1 fire, why they did so, notwithstanding this advice." 2 However, it appears that the only issue actually 3 being considered by Mr Millett and the inquiry is the 4 interpretation and application of the Fire Survival 5 Guidance, not the more fundamental issue of whether it 6 could be read and understood by all of the residents, 7 which we consider to be essential as part of the 8 inquiry's investigations. 9 It was not only the inability of some residents to 10 read or understand the fire safety signs that might have 11 led to their deaths; there was also the issue of 12 communication on the night. In her report Dr Lane 13 concludes, and I quote: 14 "In my opinion, it is important to understand how 15 LFB could communicate with residents and the extent to 16 which limitations on communications affected rescue 17 operations. I recommend that the inquiry investigates 18 these issues further." 19 Communication with residents. We agree. But whilst 20 Dr Lane refers only to the stay put guidance, we 21 consider that the investigation should be wider than 22 that and include whether race or religion in particular 23 played any part in the evacuation of the tower. 24 Ethnicity is in fact, indeed, one of the features 25 which is to be taken into account in the Fire Survival</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p>	<p>1 dressed in full protective outfits started to come and 2 get people out of the tower." 3 She recalls seeing a Somali man from the local 4 mosque going into the tower stating, "I'm going in, 5 I don't care. When I come out you can arrest me." 6 She says this: 7 "He managed to go in and save his family members. 8 I strongly believe that if they let the members of 9 public go in and get people out, they would have saved 10 more people than the firefighters have." 11 Unfortunately, Sayeda's is not a lone voice. 12 Rashad Naqshbandi who escaped from the third floor said 13 when he was on the ground he: 14 "... didn't see any firefighters tackling the fire 15 with water jets. I only saw them on the floor. It 16 didn't seem like they were taking the fire seriously as 17 I hadn't heard any sirens or alarms in the building." 18 For Nadia Yousuf, her encounter with the fire 19 services on that night was one which might have cost her 20 her life and that of her fiancée's. She escaped from the 21 15th floor of the tower. She says this: 22 "When we reached the fire door exit, we ran into 23 a fire officer on the other side who told us to return 24 to our flat. I was appalled and shouted at him, 25 questioning why were we being told to go back when the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 152</p>

1 fire was coming up? The fire officer reiterated his  
 2 instructions but we refused to return to the flat and  
 3 instead ran past him down the stairs."  
 4 Shahrokh states:  
 5 "While I was at the foot of the tower, there were  
 6 insufficient numbers of firemen and equipment. At  
 7 approximately 3 am I was asked to help move heavy  
 8 equipment such as their shields to a different part of  
 9 the tower. I ran with two others towards the tower. We  
 10 picked up fire shields from one point and took them to  
 11 the firefighters."  
 12 And he concludes:  
 13 "In my opinion, 71 people died believing they would  
 14 have been rescued. Their horror was beyond  
 15 comprehension. The inquiry should expose the truth and  
 16 firefighters should tell the story of what happened on  
 17 that night. I implore them to do that."  
 18 Ibrahim Toukou, who lost his brother, his brother's  
 19 partner and their 3-year-old daughter in the tower on  
 20 the 19th floor is particularly aggrieved by the conduct  
 21 of the fire service that night. He says this:  
 22 "I feel angry with the fire service for not rescuing  
 23 my brother and his family. Even now, if I see a fire  
 24 engine I blame them and I blame the government."  
 25 And he wonders aloud:

Page 153

1 "Maybe race had something to do with it, because  
 2 most of them are foreigners and they are not rich."  
 3 Referring to the residents of the tower.  
 4 Ibrahim Toukou's statement might appear harsh, but  
 5 cannot be discounted and requires investigation, in our  
 6 submission. Did race or religion play any part in the  
 7 procedure and operation of the fire service on that  
 8 night? Did race or religion affect how the LFB could  
 9 communicate with residents? Were they viewed as  
 10 foreign, as Ibrahim Toukou suggests, in the context that  
 11 they did not belong here in the UK, in the tower?  
 12 It would be of concern, I hope, to anyone if this  
 13 word "foreigner", "foreign", was used to describe any  
 14 resident from the tower, because it might suggest, we  
 15 submit, on the face of it, that the user of that term,  
 16 having a particular outlook which connotes the other,  
 17 the outsider, a person who does not belong and, more  
 18 pejoratively, someone who is undeserving, and might it  
 19 have affected the person's abilities to communicate with  
 20 or interact with certain residents on the night?  
 21 And there is evidence, sir, to suggest that for at  
 22 least some of the firefighters who attended on that  
 23 night, the racial or religious origin of the residents  
 24 was sufficiently important to them for them to record it  
 25 in their statements. And we have now read a great many

Page 154

1 statements of those firefighters, with interest and with  
 2 some concern. We are troubled by the way in which they  
 3 have described many of the residents.  
 4 Those from BAME communities have been described not,  
 5 as one might normally expect, by reference to their  
 6 physical attributes -- their height, eye colour, hair  
 7 colour, et cetera -- but by their ethnic origin, and  
 8 a stereotypical one at that. They were common  
 9 descriptions of people of "Middle Eastern appearance" of  
 10 "Arabic descent" and even one reference to a resident  
 11 with "Tunisian appearance". I ask you  
 12 rhetorically: what exactly does a person of Tunisian  
 13 appearance look like, or one of Arabic descent?  
 14 The use of such stereotypes, including in one  
 15 instance referring to someone as "foreign", in the  
 16 statement of the firefighters on the face of it suggests  
 17 unconscious or some conscious racism. If that is the  
 18 case, we simply ask the obvious question: did it have  
 19 any impact on the way individuals were treated that  
 20 night? We suggest -- we submit -- that this must be  
 21 explored by this inquiry.  
 22 Especially since communication was an issue raised  
 23 by the firefighters themselves, because reference is  
 24 made in their statements -- the ones that we have  
 25 seen -- of firefighters saying that communication was

Page 155

1 difficult as the residents were either too traumatised  
 2 to speak or unable to understand due to the language  
 3 barrier. What is perhaps most disturbing is that some  
 4 firefighters were getting their information as to what  
 5 was happening on the night from children rather than  
 6 adults as, to use their words, their English was much  
 7 better.  
 8 So we submit that, having looked at this material,  
 9 this is a live issue and one which needs to be explored  
 10 and investigated by this inquiry.  
 11 The issue of suspicion that Sakineh encountered with  
 12 RBKC and TMO is one that reared its head again in  
 13 relation to our clients in the aftermath of the fire.  
 14 It appears to be a common thread running through the  
 15 accounts of our clients as to how they were treated in  
 16 the days, weeks and months after the fire.  
 17 In the same way that Sakineh was wrongly accused of  
 18 trying to jump the housing ladder, our clients have been  
 19 treated with contempt and distrust, that they have been  
 20 trying to, in the words of Sayeda Ibrahim, who is  
 21 recovering from cancer, to get as much money as possible  
 22 out of RBKC rather than being treated as a bereaved  
 23 person.  
 24 Sayeda and her daughter Aiasha were treated in an  
 25 appalling manner by so-called support staff from RBKC,

Page 156

1 including wrongly being presented with a bill for  
 2 accommodation, food and travel expenses. Each and  
 3 every, we submit, bereaved family member and former  
 4 resident will understand what Sayeda says, as they  
 5 themselves will have felt it. The treatment from RBKC  
 6 has been loaded with the implicit assumption that almost  
 7 everybody who sought assistance, however small, after  
 8 the fire had some ulterior, probably criminal motive.  
 9 Karim Khalloufi and his mother, brother and mother  
 10 of the deceased Khadija Khalloufi, were denied a visa to  
 11 travel to London to present their pen portrait, despite  
 12 having made the application in January 2018. They were  
 13 finally granted the visas last week, but then the  
 14 council failed to assist with accommodation, again,  
 15 despite assurances that they would do so. He was  
 16 heartbroken at having been denied the opportunity to  
 17 read the pen portrait of his sister, and he says this:  
 18 "No one seems to care about our history and  
 19 relationship to this case or to care about our pain,  
 20 heartache and desire for answers. I am now at a loss as  
 21 to what I can do to defend my family's rights in this  
 22 case and to represent my sister, who seems to have no  
 23 representation."  
 24 The indication appears to be, sir, from the way that  
 25 our clients were treated -- and we unequivocally accept

Page 157

1 what they say to us -- that RBKC had created, to use  
 2 a phrase very much now in vogue, a hostile environment.  
 3 Now is not the time to mince our words, because, of  
 4 course, what that really means is a racist environment.  
 5 The attitude is one that says your neighbour may be  
 6 in the country, but they may not be a part of it. We've  
 7 already seen that with the Windrush scandal. It's  
 8 an issue with which this inquiry we submit needs  
 9 urgently to grapple.  
 10 As Shahrokh Aghlani notes:  
 11 "Getting support from the council since the fire has  
 12 involved a lot of begging and needless waste of energy.  
 13 The help that we should have had to put back the  
 14 shattered pieces of our lives has been absent. It has  
 15 felt -- and I know this is the experience of other  
 16 bereaved family members -- that we are being accused of  
 17 lying and trying to get money from the system. It is  
 18 insulting to be treated like that. It demeans the  
 19 memory of my father."  
 20 One family in particular was treated worse than  
 21 most, the Naqshbandis. The family had left Afghanistan  
 22 in early 1999 due to the conflict there at the time.  
 23 Over the following 17 years, the family were  
 24 accommodated by Westminster Council and RBKC. They  
 25 lived at nine different addresses in Coventry and across

Page 158

1 London, always in temporary accommodation and bed and  
 2 breakfasts. On 22 July 2016, they moved into flat 8 of  
 3 the tower.  
 4 There is no doubt that theirs was a long and  
 5 complicated history of housing. They were a relatively  
 6 large family. They'd lived in various places. Some  
 7 family members had moved out and moved back in and their  
 8 story might not be out of place on a TV soap, except  
 9 that rather than seeing it for what it was -- and this  
 10 is where I get back to assumptions -- rather than seeing  
 11 it for a complicated and perhaps dysfunctional family,  
 12 the family were treated as suspects in a fraud, which  
 13 was apparently leaked to the newspapers, which published  
 14 the story with apparent relish. The family now receive  
 15 hate mail on social media and they may have to move as  
 16 a result of it.  
 17 The point is, chair, this approach of making  
 18 negative assumptions about certain sections of our  
 19 community, and my community, is not new. It is rife in  
 20 almost every institution. Those from BAME  
 21 communities -- let's be absolutely clear about it, us,  
 22 we -- are constantly viewed as fraudsters and criminals  
 23 without any basis in reality.  
 24 We don't need to go very far to remember this. Just  
 25 cast your minds back a week. Just a week ago, a report

Page 159

1 came out that showed that applications through UCAS for  
 2 university places found over a five-year period,  
 3 52 per cent of applications investigated for potential  
 4 fraudulent activity were from black candidates, even  
 5 though they made up only 9 per cent of total  
 6 applications. This was last week. In contrast, over  
 7 the same period, between 2013 and 2017, just 19 per cent  
 8 of all suspicious applications were from white students,  
 9 even though they made up 75 per cent of all  
 10 applications. Just look at that statistic. Now, if you  
 11 don't believe what I'm saying, just have a look at those  
 12 hard statistics.  
 13 Now, if you're white, you probably think I'm talking  
 14 a lot of nonsense. But if you are black, some of this  
 15 might resonate with you. The fact is, chair, in the UK  
 16 today, assumptions and inferences are made depending on  
 17 the colour of your skin. It is, as we have already  
 18 said, a constant and recurring feature of every single  
 19 day of every single year.  
 20 Indeed, chair, we consider this fact to be known and  
 21 thought by everyone who is aware of the Grenfell  
 22 disaster, but it's a fact that is barely acknowledged  
 23 and it's yet unspoken at this inquiry, despite the  
 24 make-up of the tower. This cannot be allowed to  
 25 continue.

Page 160



<p>1 We know -- I know -- that it would be difficult for  2 some to confront this issue, but we do the deceased  3 a disservice if these issues are left unexamined. As  4 Theresa May noted in 2016, because historical inquiries  5 are not archaeological excavations, they are not purely  6 excising truth and reconciliation. They do not just  7 pursue resolution. They are about ensuring justice is  8 done. That's why difficult truths, however unpalatable  9 they may be, must be confronted head on and we invite  10 you, sir, to do so.</p> <p>11 Chair, we submit in conclusion that you cannot have  12 left the Millennium Gloucester Hotel over the past two  13 weeks hearing the heartbreaking commemorations, which  14 I'm sure will be etched in your memory forever, and not  15 have considered race to have been a significant factor.  16 Just the make-up of the individuals who attended and  17 gave their pen portraits is enough to suffice.</p> <p>18 We submit, therefore, even at this late stage, that  19 it is a vital consideration to the work of this inquiry,  20 because if this issue is not considered, it will leave  21 a significant minority of our communities at risk of  22 further harm in the future simply because of their race,  23 religion or social class.</p> <p>24 Our clients firmly believe that it is absolutely  25 vital that the terms of reference are amended so that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">I N D E X</p> <p>1 Opening statement on behalf of the .....1  Metropolitan Police Service by  2 MR JOHNSON</p> <p>3 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....6  4 (G4) by MR FRIEDMAN</p> <p>5 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....23  6 (G4) by MS BARWISE</p> <p>7 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....48  8 (G4)  9 by MR FRIEDMAN (continued)</p> <p>10 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....61  11 (G3) by MR STEIN</p> <p>12 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....92  13 (G3) by MR WEATHERBY</p> <p>14 Opening statement on behalf of BSRs .....131  15 by MR KHAN</p> <p>16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p>
--	---

<p>1 the race, religion and social class are considered,  2 because whilst there will be -- and I accept rightly --  3 focus on the construction and refurbishment of the tower  4 which led to the fire, that will not be the full story.  5 That will not explain why it was that these particular  6 people -- these particular people -- were the ones that  7 died and will not explain what led them to their death.</p> <p>8 After the disaster, there was a profound sadness.  9 But also a sense of national shame. It is only if we  10 look at how and why they ended up in the tower and  11 whether their background played a part in that that  12 we'll truly understand the tragedy of what happened and  13 learn the lessons of what went wrong.</p> <p>14 Thank you, sir.</p> <p>15 SIR MARTIN MOORE-BICK: Thank you very much.</p> <p>16 Well, it's now just after 4 o'clock and I think  17 that's probably a convenient time to break for the day.  18 So we'll stop now and we'll resume at 10 o'clock  19 tomorrow morning.</p> <p>20 Thank you very much.  21 (4.02 pm)  22 (The hearing adjourned until Wednesday, 6 June 2018  23 at 10.00 am)</p> <p>24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p>	
--	--

<b>A</b>				
<b>A1</b> 25:22 87:2 97:13 98:5,11 128:25	<b>accepting</b> 75:19 110:14	13:15	<b>adequately</b> 25:12 31:10 34:25 43:2 43:18	156:13
<b>A2</b> 25:24 26:18 27:12 42:22 86:24 87:2 90:7	<b>accepts</b> 38:18 73:16 140:13	<b>ACM</b> 34:12 79:13 79:15 99:12 100:1 128:7	<b>adjoined</b> 162:22	<b>afternoon</b> 50:14
<b>abandon</b> 101:24 109:14 114:13 117:24 118:12	<b>access</b> 7:13 59:1,25 82:16	<b>act</b> 6:9 11:11 30:13 30:14 35:4 53:12 59:13 91:1 107:19	<b>adjournment</b> 92:21	<b>age</b> 89:11 151:4
<b>abandoned</b> 88:22 99:4 118:21 120:3 120:6,14,22,25 121:4 129:12	<b>accommodated</b> 158:24	<b>acted</b> 141:10 149:13	<b>admitted</b> 33:24	<b>agents</b> 124:17 129:9
<b>abandoning</b> 114:24 117:11	<b>accommodation</b> 72:14,16 141:25 142:4 157:2,14 159:1	<b>acting</b> 38:12	<b>adopt</b> 35:7 42:7 127:24	<b>aggrieved</b> 153:20
<b>Abdeslam</b> 21:25	<b>account</b> 48:4 112:19 145:4,8 150:25	<b>action</b> 56:19 57:1 57:12,23 59:21 68:16 142:13 149:14	<b>adopted</b> 42:8,19 134:23	<b>Aghlani</b> 143:20 145:23 151:14 158:10
<b>Abdullah</b> 19:24	<b>accountability</b> 94:1 94:2,8 101:16	<b>actions</b> 138:5	<b>adults</b> 156:6	<b>ago</b> 2:3 122:19 159:25
<b>abilities</b> 154:19	<b>accountable</b> 74:22 126:8	<b>active</b> 69:23	<b>advantages</b> 67:19	<b>agree</b> 87:15 134:13 150:19
<b>ability</b> 28:1,24	<b>accounted</b> 94:5	<b>activists</b> 57:9	<b>adverse</b> 71:20,23 73:14	<b>agreed</b> 24:21 72:24
<b>able</b> 4:10 8:6 13:6 13:17 20:10 21:24 22:3 24:21 49:20 80:9 81:7,18 124:21,22 144:13	<b>accounting</b> 56:5 60:19	<b>activity</b> 91:23 160:4	<b>advert</b> 33:24	<b>agreement</b> 45:18 45:21,23 46:4
<b>absence</b> 43:5 99:5 109:13	<b>accounts</b> 17:11 51:19 156:15	<b>acts</b> 94:3	<b>advertised</b> 96:11	<b>agreements</b> 5:2
<b>absent</b> 9:21 109:10 158:14	<b>accumulation</b> 139:19	<b>acute</b> 52:22	<b>advertise</b> 33:20	<b>Ahmed</b> 14:9,14
<b>absolutely</b> 18:12 27:13 76:23 87:15 159:21 161:24	<b>accounting</b> 56:5 60:19	<b>ADB</b> 87:2 104:9 123:25	<b>advertising</b> 33:22	<b>Aiasha</b> 146:17 156:24
<b>Abufars</b> 14:13,16	<b>accounts</b> 17:11 51:19 156:15	<b>add</b> 9:24 97:9 129:17	<b>advice</b> 20:16 21:19 50:24 51:6 52:14 55:1 56:22,24 63:5 66:13 70:11 70:13 87:4 150:1	<b>aim</b> 3:6
<b>abused</b> 61:24	<b>accused</b> 59:10 156:17 158:16	<b>added</b> 18:20	<b>advise</b> 55:10 71:4	<b>aimed</b> 78:2
<b>AC</b> 51:8	<b>achieve</b> 8:6 35:2,5 35:9 44:9 45:11 47:12	<b>adding</b> 87:8	<b>advised</b> 21:2 69:23 147:14	<b>aims</b> 4:9
<b>academic</b> 36:21 44:1	<b>achieving</b> 2:6 36:9	<b>addition</b> 5:24 36:7 68:25 98:12	<b>advisers</b> 31:23	<b>air</b> 28:8
<b>accept</b> 76:20 101:12 126:2 128:7 134:25 135:9,11 142:4 157:25 162:2	<b>acknowledge</b> 11:25 126:12 128:17	<b>address</b> 1:11 8:9 23:9,16 31:23 33:5 45:5 79:8 85:3 89:16 92:13 99:18 102:1 127:11 130:22	<b>advises</b> 66:17	<b>al-Haj</b> 20:22 21:5,8
<b>acceptable</b> 129:20	<b>acknowledged</b> 160:22	<b>addressed</b> 45:2 76:8 78:8 80:13 127:6,15 129:15	<b>advising</b> 110:2	<b>Al-Karad</b> 21:12
<b>accepted</b> 7:8 37:19 101:2	<b>acknowledgement</b> 95:16 124:2	<b>addresses</b> 158:25	<b>Advisory</b> 90:24	<b>alarm</b> 107:6 108:5 125:13,17
	<b>acknowledges</b> 33:15 38:20	<b>addressing</b> 80:17 95:13	<b>advocates</b> 11:14	<b>alarming</b> 78:25
	<b>acknowledging</b>	<b>adds</b> 49:9	<b>aerial</b> 54:7	<b>alarms</b> 103:4 139:8 152:17
		<b>adequacy</b> 91:6	<b>affairs</b> 85:17 104:25	<b>albeit</b> 24:10 44:22
		<b>adequate</b> 1:14 53:1 92:3	<b>affect</b> 131:6,7,8,9 154:8	<b>Alejandro</b> 69:9
			<b>affluent</b> 146:9	<b>alerted</b> 70:3
			<b>Afghanistan</b> 158:21	<b>alerting</b> 115:24
			<b>afraid</b> 121:21	<b>Alexandra</b> 17:14
			<b>Afrasiabi</b> 14:11 140:25	<b>Ali</b> 14:14 20:22 21:5,8,23
			<b>African</b> 146:12	<b>alive</b> 56:9 58:11 144:21
			<b>aftermath</b> 44:22 61:23 133:25	<b>All-Party</b> 65:10 123:1
				<b>allegations</b> 35:25
				<b>Allen</b> 6:21
				<b>Alliance</b> 38:11

<p><b>allow</b> 27:13 58:1 77:1 125:16</p> <p><b>allowed</b> 47:21 61:18 69:22 95:20 160:24</p> <p><b>allowing</b> 22:8 124:10</p> <p><b>allows</b> 5:6</p> <p><b>alluded</b> 27:1 133:3</p> <p><b>aloud</b> 153:25</p> <p><b>alteration</b> 31:2,4 31:16 43:9</p> <p><b>alternative</b> 46:14 110:17 116:25 120:25 147:8</p> <p><b>alternatives</b> 108:22</p> <p><b>Alucobond</b> 46:15</p> <p><b>Aluglaze</b> 26:21</p> <p><b>aluminium</b> 25:14 26:21 28:11 29:1 32:23 46:12 68:1 68:7</p> <p><b>Alzheimers</b> 18:18</p> <p><b>ambiguity</b> 84:11</p> <p><b>amended</b> 55:19 161:25</p> <p><b>amendment</b> 133:8</p> <p><b>Amna</b> 18:23</p> <p><b>amount</b> 66:22 137:18</p> <p><b>amounts</b> 28:20 33:7</p> <p><b>amply</b> 83:3</p> <p><b>analysis</b> 32:5 70:20 70:22 71:13 76:20 101:18 119:8,16 140:14</p> <p><b>and/or</b> 46:4 103:4 146:12</p> <p><b>Andreia</b> 17:6</p> <p><b>angry</b> 153:22</p> <p><b>annexed</b> 82:23</p> <p><b>announce</b> 4:16</p> <p><b>announced</b> 86:18 87:5</p>	<p><b>answer</b> 43:12 75:13 83:18 96:6</p> <p><b>answered</b> 138:9</p> <p><b>answering</b> 54:19 135:22</p> <p><b>answers</b> 29:21,24 48:12,12 77:7 117:9 125:18 128:22 157:20</p> <p><b>anticipate</b> 82:1</p> <p><b>anticipated</b> 4:3</p> <p><b>anxious</b> 99:23 125:22</p> <p><b>anxiously</b> 100:22</p> <p><b>anybody</b> 109:22</p> <p><b>anymore</b> 144:3</p> <p><b>anyway</b> 46:2</p> <p><b>Apart</b> 93:18 103:20</p> <p><b>apartments</b> 72:17</p> <p><b>apology</b> 93:5</p> <p><b>appalled</b> 152:24</p> <p><b>appalling</b> 156:25</p> <p><b>appallingly</b> 57:5 79:8</p> <p><b>apparatus</b> 53:22 54:14</p> <p><b>apparent</b> 99:22 144:11 159:14</p> <p><b>apparently</b> 75:17 106:6 117:10 159:13</p> <p><b>appeal</b> 59:17</p> <p><b>appear</b> 6:19 72:20 82:24 85:24 98:1 133:2 148:6 154:4</p> <p><b>appearance</b> 20:21 117:13 155:9,11 155:13</p> <p><b>appeared</b> 24:4</p> <p><b>appears</b> 37:21 51:7 85:6 100:16 113:4 119:14 120:25 121:1 142:21 148:11 150:2 156:14 157:24</p>	<p><b>appendix</b> 36:13 83:3 113:17</p> <p><b>applicants</b> 139:23</p> <p><b>application</b> 150:4 157:12</p> <p><b>applications</b> 160:1 160:3,6,8,10</p> <p><b>applied</b> 47:13 141:16</p> <p><b>applies</b> 47:7</p> <p><b>apply</b> 30:7 59:13</p> <p><b>applying</b> 140:19</p> <p><b>appointed</b> 71:3 73:21</p> <p><b>appointment</b> 74:2</p> <p><b>appreciate</b> 52:9 90:9 115:2</p> <p><b>appreciates</b> 76:13</p> <p><b>appreciation</b> 51:22 52:12</p> <p><b>approach</b> 42:1 76:6 76:9 84:24 134:21 140:5 159:17</p> <p><b>approached</b> 82:5</p> <p><b>approaching</b> 90:13</p> <p><b>appropriate</b> 2:18 2:25 33:25 78:3 111:6,14 137:15 148:9</p> <p><b>approve</b> 74:17</p> <p><b>approved</b> 35:3,8,18 35:22 44:3 71:22 84:21 110:10</p> <p><b>approximately</b> 16:24 153:7</p> <p><b>April</b> 106:5 111:18 114:6</p> <p><b>Arabic</b> 155:10,13</p> <p><b>archaeological</b> 161:5</p> <p><b>archetypal</b> 33:9</p> <p><b>architect</b> 73:19 74:1 129:16</p> <p><b>architects</b> 86:11 126:6</p>	<p><b>Arconic</b> 33:18,22 45:2 79:12 128:4 128:13</p> <p><b>Arconic's</b> 33:17 38:18</p> <p><b>area</b> 56:18 66:23 72:7,14 102:22 105:9 106:19 122:12</p> <p><b>areas</b> 127:3</p> <p><b>Arfan</b> 93:2</p> <p><b>argue</b> 44:18</p> <p><b>argued</b> 120:10 121:24</p> <p><b>arguing</b> 45:14</p> <p><b>arguments</b> 103:15</p> <p><b>arising</b> 2:13 24:7 78:15</p> <p><b>armed</b> 41:5</p> <p><b>arrange</b> 111:4</p> <p><b>arranged</b> 145:14</p> <p><b>arrangements</b> 109:3</p> <p><b>array</b> 7:6 102:23</p> <p><b>arrest</b> 152:5</p> <p><b>arrived</b> 24:2 141:1 141:2 147:10</p> <p><b>Artelia</b> 124:17 129:8</p> <p><b>arthritis</b> 16:10</p> <p><b>article</b> 114:8 124:7</p> <p><b>articulate</b> 12:10</p> <p><b>as-built</b> 59:7</p> <p><b>ascertain</b> 49:20</p> <p><b>Ashman</b> 24:2</p> <p><b>Aside</b> 104:16</p> <p><b>asked</b> 5:15 6:3 11:6 13:8 46:14 71:4,6 71:16 81:6 105:16 145:15 153:7</p> <p><b>asking</b> 13:4 71:15 86:23 105:5</p> <p><b>asks</b> 12:19</p> <p><b>aspiration</b> 61:13</p> <p><b>aspirational</b> 36:2</p>	<p><b>assertion</b> 44:20 126:19</p> <p><b>assertions</b> 59:20</p> <p><b>asserts</b> 110:13 129:20</p> <p><b>assessed</b> 8:22 151:5 151:8</p> <p><b>assessment</b> 7:17 32:1 33:12 107:14 109:18,22 110:5,8 111:2 113:11 117:19,19 119:2 125:1,2 127:16</p> <p><b>assessments</b> 106:21</p> <p><b>assessor</b> 106:14 110:2</p> <p><b>asset</b> 139:19</p> <p><b>assigned</b> 73:20</p> <p><b>assist</b> 3:18 6:10 22:3 48:1 54:3 95:17 96:19 129:24 157:14</p> <p><b>assistance</b> 14:24 140:22 157:7</p> <p><b>assisted</b> 101:17 117:22</p> <p><b>associated</b> 3:8 5:5 39:23 82:25</p> <p><b>associates</b> 138:9</p> <p><b>association</b> 62:15 62:24 66:17</p> <p><b>assume</b> 75:18</p> <p><b>assumed</b> 67:10</p> <p><b>assuming</b> 47:12 106:14</p> <p><b>assumption</b> 142:23 157:6</p> <p><b>assumptions</b> 142:19 159:10,18 160:16</p> <p><b>assurance</b> 88:18 105:6</p> <p><b>assurances</b> 157:15</p> <p><b>asthma</b> 141:22</p> <p><b>astonishing</b> 141:17</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<b>Atala</b> 17:14	<b>avoid</b> 62:3 128:5	142:5 148:17,24	22:10 50:25	<b>bigger</b> 116:9,9
<b>atrocities</b> 11:4	<b>avoidable</b> 61:16	155:4 159:20	<b>behalf</b> 1:19 6:17,19	<b>bill</b> 157:1
<b>attached</b> 31:18	<b>avoided</b> 91:14	<b>ban</b> 86:8 87:10	20:15 23:15 48:25	<b>Bindmans</b> 6:20
102:18	<b>Avoiding</b> 126:21	<b>banking</b> 136:17	61:4 68:18 90:11	<b>Biruk</b> 15:10
<b>attack</b> 112:16	<b>await</b> 110:5	<b>banning</b> 98:19	92:23 95:8 97:11	<b>Bisby</b> 24:25 25:14
<b>attacking</b> 32:24	<b>awaiting</b> 100:23	<b>Barbara</b> 148:13	131:2 163:2,4,5,7	26:2,11 34:5
<b>attempt</b> 21:11	<b>aware</b> 18:17 32:9	<b>bare</b> 22:8	163:9,10,12	37:25 41:3
44:11	40:7 100:20	<b>barely</b> 160:22	<b>behaviour</b> 137:18	<b>Bisby's</b> 34:7
<b>attempted</b> 36:23	106:18 132:3	<b>barn</b> 86:20	<b>belated</b> 55:7	<b>Bishop</b> 93:2 125:21
<b>attempts</b> 46:24	160:21	<b>barrel</b> 31:15	<b>believe</b> 51:19 57:12	125:22
88:11 123:5	<b>awareness</b> 104:6	<b>barrier</b> 156:3	57:17 67:5 70:21	<b>bit</b> 149:10
<b>attend</b> 3:9	<b>awoken</b> 89:3	<b>barriers</b> 26:5 28:12	86:1 138:11	<b>bizarrely</b> 51:8
<b>attendant</b> 104:23	<b>Ayrshire</b> 64:25	28:13,15,20 34:22	144:25 148:5	<b>black</b> 131:10 135:5
<b>attended</b> 154:22	85:19	<b>Barwell</b> 123:5,8	151:22 152:8	135:25 138:18
161:16		<b>Barwise</b> 6:19 8:9	160:11 161:24	140:10,16 142:15
<b>attending</b> 4:10	<b>B</b>	8:18 22:15 23:8	<b>believed</b> 13:8	142:20 160:4,14
<b>attest</b> 141:7	<b>B</b> 35:3,6,14,19 36:5	23:14,15,16 65:23	135:18	<b>blame</b> 153:24,24
<b>attitude</b> 84:3 85:20	36:13,21,24 37:15	127:25 163:6	<b>believes</b> 28:24	<b>blatant</b> 47:24
158:5	38:19 43:14,22	<b>base</b> 51:24	<b>believing</b> 153:13	<b>blatantly</b> 35:20
<b>attitudes</b> 137:18	44:3,11,12 55:9	<b>based</b> 16:20 31:2	<b>Belkadi</b> 17:22	<b>blaze</b> 64:23
<b>attract</b> 59:16	55:11 99:1	62:7 99:20 124:12	<b>bells</b> 107:6	<b>blind</b> 100:16
<b>attributes</b> 155:6	<b>B3(4)</b> 34:19	139:24	<b>belong</b> 154:11,17	108:23
<b>audio</b> 116:4	<b>B4</b> 36:24 41:24	<b>baseline</b> 98:2,5	<b>benefit</b> 52:2	<b>block</b> 7:4 56:20
<b>audit</b> 138:25	71:9 104:9	<b>basic</b> 6:25 48:4	<b>bereaved</b> 6:23 7:21	58:5 63:4 64:24
<b>august</b> 4:4 67:16	<b>B4(1)</b> 34:24 43:10	87:17 146:16	10:7 12:5 13:22	65:10 88:20 89:5
75:8 87:7 132:5	<b>baby</b> 63:17	<b>basically</b> 85:10	29:20 49:19 82:24	89:6,10 91:3
<b>aunt</b> 143:25	<b>back</b> 5:16 9:9 19:14	<b>basis</b> 5:25 59:19	94:17 122:6 124:1	102:12 106:7
<b>auntie</b> 143:21	21:11 27:18 39:21	60:1 62:12 73:13	124:15 130:9	117:12 118:17
<b>Australia</b> 66:10,13	48:16 56:5 57:2	80:16 114:21	133:16 156:22	<b>blocks</b> 54:12 62:13
<b>authorities</b> 16:5	58:9 102:19 117:5	132:1 159:23	157:3 158:16	62:16 78:3 80:1
82:15 95:4,12	134:17 152:25	<b>Bassem</b> 16:13	<b>bereavement</b> 49:21	87:19 88:14,19,23
98:13 124:9	158:13 159:7,10	<b>baton</b> 48:16	<b>Berkti</b> 15:10	90:1,2,8 91:25
125:23 126:23	159:25	<b>batted</b> 123:5	<b>Bernard</b> 15:14	101:4 105:8
127:5 151:14	<b>backed</b> 59:10 91:9	<b>BCA</b> 38:11,14	<b>best</b> 61:12 94:23	121:18 136:1
<b>authority</b> 7:2 57:4	<b>background</b> 134:2	<b>bear</b> 101:18 128:15	131:15	<b>blog</b> 56:18 57:2
64:1,9 74:15	137:3 145:4	<b>bearing</b> 95:1 133:6	<b>better</b> 23:7 39:3	<b>bloggers</b> 59:9
95:23 109:23	162:11	<b>becoming</b> 77:13	42:22 84:1 93:15	<b>blue</b> 26:21 104:1
130:13	<b>backgrounds</b>	108:22 110:25	93:22 100:23	<b>BME</b> 131:15
<b>automatic</b> 59:16	131:15 145:9	<b>bed</b> 159:1	156:7	<b>bodies</b> 36:19 38:13
108:5	<b>bad</b> 137:5	<b>began</b> 10:23 119:9	<b>beyond</b> 50:2,4 73:5	91:16
<b>availability</b> 53:21	<b>badge</b> 58:15	<b>begging</b> 158:12	110:24 116:20	<b>body</b> 67:12 87:7
<b>available</b> 17:4	<b>badly</b> 56:25	<b>beginning</b> 114:21	153:14	<b>bold</b> 59:19 109:22
39:11 56:14 58:22	<b>BAME</b> 136:20,23	<b>begins</b> 36:25	<b>Bhatt</b> 6:20	<b>bonded</b> 46:12
118:15 121:1	137:3 138:1,20,22	<b>Begum</b> 20:3,5	<b>Bhatti</b> 93:2	<b>bore</b> 49:7 52:1
125:1,2	139:3,10,18,20,23	<b>begun</b> 8:15 9:22	<b>bid</b> 143:4	<b>born</b> 141:1

<b>borough</b> 105:5 146:9	76:2 113:7	46:4 74:3,16	<b>burden</b> 18:20	71:7 145:21
<b>boroughs</b> 106:11	<b>brigade</b> 7:19 33:13	79:24 80:1 85:7	<b>burn</b> 29:1 151:24	<b>carries</b> 58:15
<b>bother</b> 69:15,17	51:5 54:17 63:9	93:15 127:14	<b>burned</b> 29:2 79:14	<b>carry</b> 5:7 45:18
<b>bottom</b> 28:3 84:17	63:15 79:19 80:3	<b>building</b> 8:19,23	<b>burning</b> 115:12	54:2 79:23
85:6	80:10 99:9,23	12:15,17 15:20	<b>burnt</b> 117:5	<b>carrying</b> 35:10
<b>bound</b> 90:25	100:17 101:2	16:4 18:4,16	<b>Burton</b> 18:11,13	<b>case</b> 5:14 42:3
<b>box</b> 31:19 146:24	104:3 110:6	21:12 22:14 23:3	<b>Bush</b> 56:21	44:10,18 50:16
<b>boxing</b> 72:16	115:25 145:22	23:20 24:22 25:4	<b>business</b> 57:18	59:3 90:13 104:20
<b>boy</b> 20:25	147:3 151:16	25:11,23 26:3,4,7		105:22 136:2
<b>BR</b> 39:4,16 40:6	<b>Brigade's</b> 50:5	27:19 30:6,13,16	<b>C</b>	155:18 157:19,22
41:13,18 66:1	89:13	30:22,25 32:5,9	<b>cabal</b> 91:18	<b>cases</b> 11:23 94:18
<b>BRAC</b> 90:24	<b>brigades</b> 50:9	33:1 34:17,19,25	<b>Cadent</b> 130:2	102:21 104:20
<b>bravery</b> 21:21	54:17	35:4,11,25 37:4	<b>call</b> 21:13 53:22	<b>cassette</b> 68:7
100:8	<b>bring</b> 10:3 57:14	37:16 38:11,12,15	54:1 64:3 97:12	<b>cast</b> 72:12 159:25
<b>BRE</b> 65:21	64:22	39:21,24 40:14	98:9 99:18 122:8	<b>cat</b> 122:5
<b>BRE's</b> 32:20	<b>brings</b> 94:7	42:2 43:10,14	123:22 143:23	<b>catastrophe</b> 128:11
<b>breach</b> 53:11,13	<b>Britain</b> 61:12	44:2,19 45:12	151:1	<b>catastrophic</b> 28:21
107:9 108:8	131:11 135:6	48:6 55:4 56:5	<b>called</b> 20:8 29:17	41:8 57:12
113:19,25 114:11	136:2,18 139:2	62:1 64:19,21	31:2 37:1 55:15	<b>catch</b> 103:13 144:2
115:9 121:17	<b>Britain's</b> 139:11	65:4,6,8,12 66:25	65:11 93:24 104:8	<b>category</b> 139:5
124:7 125:11	<b>British</b> 36:10 42:10	69:23 70:18 71:11	119:24	<b>cause</b> 25:6 64:13
<b>breached</b> 50:15	60:22 86:11	71:20 72:18 74:3	<b>caller's</b> 151:3	68:12 148:3
99:14 118:19	<b>Briton</b> 141:6	82:9 84:8,10 85:1	<b>calling</b> 56:23 86:12	<b>caused</b> 8:20 58:16
<b>break</b> 9:10 23:11	<b>broad</b> 132:10,17	90:24 91:1,7,24	<b>calls</b> 14:6 18:1 19:5	62:5,5 84:17 94:3
27:18 48:15,19,23	<b>broadcast</b> 66:5	101:22 103:1,2,6	22:4 29:13 54:20	<b>causing</b> 29:3
92:18 98:25	<b>broader</b> 23:10	103:12 104:9	55:8 96:4 115:24	<b>caveat</b> 36:2
130:21,21,25	56:11 132:22,25	105:19 109:3	116:12 118:18	<b>cavities</b> 34:21
162:17	<b>broadly</b> 34:10	110:10,19,21	151:10	40:18,20,25
<b>break-in</b> 41:17	<b>brochure</b> 41:15,19	111:5 115:10,15	<b>calm</b> 6:24 151:23	<b>cavity</b> 26:5 28:12
<b>break-out</b> 41:17	<b>broken</b> 69:3	115:25 116:15	<b>cancer</b> 156:21	28:13,15,20 32:22
<b>breakfasts</b> 159:2	<b>brother</b> 20:23	117:6 122:14,19	<b>candidates</b> 160:4	34:22,23 41:9
<b>breaking</b> 39:20	141:13 153:18,23	125:5,6 145:20	<b>candour</b> 94:2 95:1	<b>CDM</b> 129:9
<b>breakout</b> 40:1	157:9	152:17	95:25 121:23,24	<b>Celotex</b> 26:15
<b>breaks</b> 68:2 108:17	<b>brother's</b> 153:18	<b>buildings</b> 30:7	124:2 125:19,21	27:21 28:24 42:24
<b>breath</b> 143:21	<b>brothers</b> 21:7	34:15 37:8 41:16	127:4 130:16	46:9 65:17,24
144:2	<b>brought</b> 18:15 58:7	53:16 62:10 66:3	<b>capability</b> 91:20	66:1 75:9,14,23
<b>breathing</b> 53:22	101:5,18	66:18 77:11 85:8	<b>capable</b> 91:11	128:18,19
54:3,14	<b>BS</b> 39:6	85:18 86:13 87:24	110:19	<b>Celotex's</b> 41:14,19
<b>bridgehead</b> 19:17	<b>BSR</b> 54:8	89:19 97:14,21	<b>capacity</b> 56:18	75:2,7
52:24 113:14	<b>BSRs</b> 6:17 23:15	98:6 102:17	<b>care</b> 64:6 92:10	<b>census</b> 136:14
118:3,4	48:25 55:25 61:4	103:10 105:8	152:5 157:18,19	<b>cent</b> 136:14 138:20
<b>bridgeheads</b>	92:23 131:2 163:4	114:2 125:11	<b>carefully</b> 81:1	138:20 160:3,5,7
108:21	163:5,7,9,10,12	129:4	<b>carer</b> 141:11	160:9
<b>briefly</b> 22:15 65:25	<b>budget</b> 129:13	<b>built</b> 89:22	<b>carried</b> 30:23 31:25	<b>central</b> 39:7 60:16
	<b>build</b> 8:7 45:14,24	<b>bullet</b> 72:13	43:11 45:23 69:25	61:24 102:2

107:14 112:21 130:9 <b>centrally</b> 112:4 <b>centre</b> 2:9 4:22 12:8 66:7 <b>century</b> 7:1 33:7 <b>CEP</b> 47:1,4,6 <b>certain</b> 8:22 46:2 56:23 91:12 102:21 148:1 154:20 159:18 <b>certainly</b> 7:13 32:19 59:8 97:12 118:15 136:2 149:3 <b>certificate</b> 27:2 44:23 125:7 <b>certificates</b> 38:6 42:12 <b>certification</b> 25:20 <b>certified</b> 36:15 <b>certify</b> 71:6 <b>cetera</b> 155:7 <b>chair</b> 96:13,19 105:14 132:1,4 134:9 137:22 145:6 159:17 160:15,20 161:11 <b>chair's</b> 96:17 <b>chairman</b> 1:21 2:24 3:21 8:16 <b>challenge</b> 59:6 94:6 128:25 135:2 <b>challenging</b> 94:1 <b>chance</b> 1:15 7:17 61:17 70:6 137:4 <b>chances</b> 131:9,19 <b>change</b> 12:25 30:25 90:15 94:8 100:23 101:20 124:11 133:20 135:5 <b>changed</b> 91:2 100:24 <b>changes</b> 33:1 70:18 71:11,22 85:1	91:1 124:20 129:10 <b>channels</b> 26:8,12 <b>characterise</b> 58:2 <b>characteristic</b> 138:15 <b>characteristics</b> 91:14 <b>charge</b> 63:13,14 <b>charged</b> 57:19 <b>charges</b> 142:13 <b>charter</b> 125:21 126:1 <b>cheap</b> 54:2 64:10 80:2 <b>cheaper</b> 68:10 129:1 <b>cheaply</b> 84:5 85:7 <b>checked</b> 81:8 <b>checks</b> 145:21 <b>Chelsea</b> 59:14 64:9 136:15,21 <b>chief</b> 109:6 <b>Chiefs</b> 99:17,23 <b>Chiejina</b> 20:2 <b>child</b> 17:15 <b>children</b> 14:3,25 16:15,21 89:12 92:6 141:5,7 156:5 <b>chip</b> 88:11 <b>choice</b> 37:11 47:5 67:17 71:5 129:14 142:3 143:10 <b>choose</b> 91:8 <b>chooses</b> 36:12 <b>choosing</b> 12:13 142:2 <b>Choucair</b> 16:8,15 67:8 <b>chronicle</b> 22:9 <b>circular</b> 151:1 <b>circulated</b> 56:25 <b>circumstances</b> 111:9 144:16	<b>cited</b> 104:16 <b>cities</b> 139:11 <b>City</b> 138:16 <b>clad</b> 28:11 <b>cladding</b> 23:17 24:23 25:2 27:17 28:13,15,17 29:1 29:3 30:2,4 31:3,7 31:9,13,24 32:10 32:15,23 33:2,9 34:8,18,23 36:8 37:3,7,23 38:16 39:14,15,20 40:7 40:12,16,20 41:7 41:25 42:10,17 43:2,8,24 44:14 45:20 46:11,16 50:12 64:12,19 65:1,14,22,24 66:2,5,8 67:2,4,5 67:7,15,19 68:7,7 68:10 70:4,8 71:17,25 72:5,7 72:22 74:7 75:20 85:13,15,18,19,21 86:23 87:11 88:15 88:16,19,20,24 89:2 90:6,8 97:8 97:22 98:3 99:12 101:4 102:13,25 103:3,5 104:10 105:1,7,11 106:7 106:18,23 110:9 110:22 114:1,23 115:11 123:18 124:11,18 125:10 127:12,19 128:21 129:17 <b>cladding's</b> 31:17 <b>claimed</b> 2:4 71:1 102:5 <b>claims</b> 47:3 <b>clarify</b> 13:23 <b>clarity</b> 74:21 84:13 <b>class</b> 25:17,19,20	25:25 26:1,18 27:11,21 37:18 38:5,5 42:22,24 86:24 97:13 106:1 133:2,5,21 134:11 135:21 136:11 139:24 140:3,23 161:23 162:1 <b>classed</b> 87:3 <b>classification</b> 26:1 <b>classifications</b> 25:21 <b>clause</b> 38:1 <b>clean</b> 95:10 <b>cleansing</b> 137:1 <b>clear</b> 14:6 34:13 35:7,22,23 38:15 42:6,13,17 44:4,8 44:17 50:3 52:1 55:2 63:24 65:18 69:25 70:1 76:23 83:22 86:7 87:6,9 89:6,14 93:25 94:10 96:14 100:19 106:24,24 106:25 107:3 110:20,23 113:12 114:3 116:18,22 116:23 118:22 119:9 123:16,20 126:20 128:20 129:22,23 132:8 133:24 151:9 159:21 <b>clearly</b> 35:21 47:15 63:14 69:10 107:16 116:5 <b>client</b> 74:9 112:11 136:9 <b>clients</b> 12:13 13:2 58:8 76:18 79:18 80:8 81:17 82:18 83:15 87:17 88:2 89:23 90:5 117:9 134:6,10,23	135:11,14 136:4 138:11 144:14,22 144:25 148:3,4 156:13,15,18 157:25 161:24 <b>clients'</b> 79:7 <b>close</b> 11:19 16:12 65:16 93:19 <b>club</b> 72:16 <b>co-operation</b> 2:19 <b>co-ordination</b> 2:18 55:12 <b>Code</b> 4:24 66:7 <b>cohesive</b> 93:20 <b>coincidence</b> 137:4 <b>cold</b> 69:12 <b>collapsing</b> 53:5 <b>colleagues</b> 9:24 17:19 56:12 82:11 <b>collect</b> 81:21 <b>collected</b> 146:4 <b>collection</b> 13:9 28:21 <b>collective</b> 137:14 <b>colour</b> 67:17 131:5 131:17 137:16 142:17 144:21 148:10 155:6,7 160:17 <b>column</b> 16:3 17:24 28:6 46:11 <b>columns</b> 26:4 28:11 28:16 41:3 <b>combination</b> 28:19 108:13 <b>combustibility</b> 25:24 26:18 27:12 37:21,24 38:1,10 38:17,20,22 39:1 41:11,22,25 42:22 45:4 87:3 88:21 <b>combustible</b> 26:9 27:9 28:19 32:11 37:2,6 40:7 66:21 68:10 70:8 74:7
--	--	--	--	--

74:12,17 79:11,25 85:11 86:6,9,20 87:3,10 88:15,19 88:23 89:1 90:7 97:19,19,21 <b>combustion</b> 40:22 <b>come</b> 6:24 9:9 13:2 15:5 47:14 56:3 57:2 69:7 76:21 84:1 93:14 95:10 111:16,17 115:3 143:23,23 147:3 152:1,5 <b>comes</b> 9:25 58:24 <b>comfort</b> 126:11 <b>coming</b> 8:6 12:12 17:19 54:4 63:16 69:12 118:1 153:1 <b>coming'</b> 143:24 <b>command</b> 51:11 52:24 53:2 55:12 79:20 100:17 107:23 124:22 <b>commander</b> 51:7 109:7,17 113:9,14 115:5,11,17,22 117:16 <b>commanders</b> 100:8 117:24 118:25 121:14,14 <b>commemorated</b> 7:10 <b>commemoration</b> 10:7 <b>commemorations</b> 2:6 12:4 141:14 161:13 <b>commencement</b> 2:1 <b>comment</b> 47:6,8,10 72:7 <b>commented</b> 66:19 116:2 <b>comments</b> 122:16 123:25 <b>commercial</b> 59:20	74:9 <b>commissioned</b> 80:16 <b>commissions</b> 10:25 11:3 <b>commitment</b> 85:13 <b>commitments</b> 13:1 <b>committed</b> 2:10 <b>committee</b> 32:14 65:1 81:6,10,21 81:22 85:16,24 87:13,22 90:24,25 104:25 105:4,13 105:15 <b>committing</b> 142:20 <b>common</b> 121:3 126:23 155:8 156:14 <b>commonly</b> 24:12 <b>Commons</b> 32:14 86:19 104:25 <b>commonwealth</b> 12:2 <b>communicate</b> 150:15 154:9,19 <b>communicated</b> 83:6 107:21 <b>communication</b> 51:11 52:22 53:2 54:11,13 68:13 78:11 150:12,19 151:21 155:22,25 <b>communications</b> 59:22 73:2 75:23 78:9 108:23 124:16 150:16 <b>communities</b> 89:24 90:12 93:12,15 97:3 131:11 135:6 136:1 138:1,18,20 142:6 148:17 155:4 159:21 161:21 <b>community</b> 22:7 58:19 60:11 92:6	93:20 136:20,24 148:25 159:19,19 <b>companies</b> 62:3 64:2,5 98:14 129:22 <b>company</b> 65:18 95:24 130:2,12 <b>comparable</b> 24:5 25:22 <b>compare</b> 66:24 <b>comparison</b> 140:5 <b>compartment</b> 28:14 53:4 62:9 116:21,25 <b>compartmentalis...</b> 73:6,8 <b>compartmentation</b> 24:14 31:19 43:8 50:25 62:17 89:1 99:13 104:6 107:1 107:9 108:8,16 110:20,25 111:13 113:19,25 114:5 115:9,16 118:10 118:18 121:17 125:11 129:5 <b>compartments</b> 15:21 <b>compel</b> 58:24 95:12 <b>compelled</b> 131:18 <b>compilation</b> 116:1 <b>complained</b> 61:21 69:4,13 112:14 <b>complaining</b> 69:14 <b>complaints</b> 68:19 <b>complete</b> 4:1 6:6 69:22 <b>completed</b> 72:6 <b>completely</b> 101:11 127:2 <b>completeness</b> 36:17 <b>completion</b> 44:23 125:7 <b>complex</b> 23:4 <b>complexity</b> 25:5	<b>compliance</b> 30:17 36:6,14,18,20 37:9,14 38:24 41:14 42:9 43:5 44:9,15 45:2,7 47:6,12,23 65:24 71:9 74:4 91:8 128:2 <b>compliant</b> 30:22 43:10 44:14 45:13 <b>complicated</b> 159:5 159:11 <b>complied</b> 44:19 48:5 <b>comply</b> 41:24 42:18 43:15 44:11 67:11 123:18 <b>composite</b> 25:15 105:24 <b>composites</b> 34:9 <b>compounded</b> 31:22 111:15 <b>comprehension</b> 153:15 <b>comprehensive</b> 112:1 <b>comprised</b> 86:14 101:4 <b>comprises</b> 67:25 72:12 <b>comprising</b> 105:25 <b>compromised</b> 18:5 20:11 23:18 43:8 99:12 108:22 111:12 114:6,22 115:16 <b>concealed</b> 34:21 <b>conceive</b> 91:22 <b>concentrated</b> 148:21 <b>concept</b> 36:19 37:16 <b>conception</b> 55:10 <b>concern</b> 10:18 60:15 61:14 64:13	68:12 88:4,5 114:7 148:3 154:12 155:2 <b>concerned</b> 30:4 45:9 47:24 76:15 100:11 103:16 125:23 136:3 <b>concerning</b> 79:3 <b>concerns</b> 67:9 68:19,22 69:1 70:2 78:11 84:7 130:9 132:15 133:25 140:2 146:18 151:12,15 <b>conclude</b> 27:8,24 34:5 41:23 <b>concluded</b> 32:1,15 43:20 132:21 <b>concludes</b> 43:1 45:3 150:13 153:12 <b>conclusion</b> 25:11 34:8 51:9 54:21 57:24 58:17 71:19 73:14 84:2 134:7 161:11 <b>conclusions</b> 81:19 94:3 114:20 142:25 143:1 <b>concrete</b> 24:18 31:6 <b>condition</b> 111:12 <b>conditions</b> 19:23 57:15 139:11 <b>condolence</b> 47:25 <b>condolences</b> 127:1 130:11 <b>conduct</b> 64:2 80:6 85:9 111:18 138:5 140:17 151:12 153:20 <b>conducted</b> 8:4 60:6 70:22 79:9 <b>conducting</b> 2:12,16 134:21 <b>conducts</b> 77:6
--	---	--	--	---

<b>confidentiality</b> 59:20,25	120:5 121:5 129:12 138:3	<b>contain</b> 121:20	35:5 37:10 39:2	<b>copies</b> 59:22
<b>configuration</b> 127:14	151:7 161:19	<b>contained</b> 37:15 41:18 43:16 44:4	39:17 42:7 44:9	<b>copy</b> 109:21,23
<b>confine</b> 35:24	<b>considered</b> 26:2	62:20 149:19	45:13 64:9 67:23	<b>core</b> 1:6 2:15 4:6
<b>confined</b> 40:19	27:6 34:14 46:19	<b>containing</b> 103:11 116:24	68:14 73:17 75:4	5:16 23:21 37:23
<b>confirm</b> 13:14	48:5 62:18 71:10	<b>contains</b> 109:19	129:17	38:4,9,19 44:20
<b>confirmation</b> 70:23 70:24	76:7 77:24 79:6	<b>contemplated</b> 11:7 36:21 102:13	<b>contractors</b> 7:7	44:25 46:12 52:5
<b>confirmed</b> 70:20 71:13 76:9 123:8 138:24	132:13 148:14	<b>contemplating</b> 34:14	35:19 41:12 44:18	66:11 70:25 74:15
<b>conflict</b> 158:22	150:3 161:15,20 162:1	<b>contemplation</b> 50:5 149:5	45:10 47:15,21	83:16 98:13
<b>conform</b> 36:15	<b>considering</b> 38:1,2 81:17 83:14 113:20	<b>contempt</b> 156:19	60:16 62:1 67:6	121:24 133:19 136:22
<b>confront</b> 51:25 161:2	<b>considers</b> 28:3 29:8 34:12 38:4,6,8 39:2 41:3	<b>contend</b> 60:11	79:24 80:8 90:20	<b>corners</b> 64:19
<b>confronted</b> 41:17 161:9	<b>consistent</b> 111:19	<b>contents</b> 132:4	126:6 129:14	<b>coroner</b> 33:3 65:13 104:1 114:4
<b>confused</b> 79:22	<b>consistently</b> 42:4 94:24	<b>context</b> 23:10 56:12 93:10 114:5 131:24 140:2 154:10	<b>contractual</b> 38:23 39:12 75:3	<b>coroner's</b> 123:12 123:25
<b>confusing</b> 55:6	<b>constant</b> 160:18	<b>continent</b> 11:19	<b>contradiction</b> 110:16	<b>coroners</b> 10:21
<b>congestion</b> 52:18	<b>constantly</b> 159:22	<b>continents</b> 102:20	<b>contrarily</b> 113:11	<b>corporate</b> 23:21 44:25 61:25 62:2 74:15 95:12
<b>congregate</b> 21:2	<b>constitancy</b> 136:22	<b>contingencies</b> 99:6 107:11,13 108:21 109:1,12 121:16 126:15	<b>contrary</b> 8:24 16:1 31:11 43:3 86:21	<b>corporate</b> 48:1 62:2
<b>congregated</b> 21:6	<b>constituted</b> 31:4	<b>contingency</b> 7:18 33:14 50:16 53:15 99:1 101:23 108:16 109:13 110:24 111:15 113:5,24 114:3,11 121:7 126:21	<b>contrast</b> 160:6	<b>corporates</b> 48:1 62:2
<b>connect</b> 12:11	<b>constructed</b> 31:5 34:20 65:5	<b>continuation</b> 2:8,21 3:4 3:7 4:16 5:21 6:8 76:6 160:25	<b>contrasts</b> 32:5	<b>corporates'</b> 48:8,13
<b>connotes</b> 154:16	<b>construction</b> 9:3 22:24 24:9,18 28:21 29:23 30:7 33:10 36:25 66:25 86:13 88:3,11 91:7,13 98:22 162:3	<b>continued</b> 49:1 105:24 111:3 163:8	<b>contribute</b> 26:25 106:3	<b>correct</b> 13:23 14:1 114:19 128:14
<b>conscious</b> 155:17	<b>constructive</b> 51:22	<b>continuing</b> 72:25	<b>contributed</b> 26:3 94:4 96:10	<b>correctly</b> 123:17
<b>consequence</b> 49:8	<b>consult</b> 86:19 87:12	<b>contract</b> 45:14,24 46:4 74:3	<b>contributing</b> 128:8	<b>correspondence</b> 75:24
<b>consequences</b> 39:19 51:15 79:10 106:13	<b>consultant</b> 70:16	<b>contracted</b> 67:25	<b>contribution</b> 98:22	<b>cost</b> 129:3,11 152:19
<b>consequential</b> 2:17	<b>consultants</b> 73:20 92:1	<b>contractor</b> 27:5	<b>contributory</b> 79:16	<b>cost-cutting</b> 124:13
<b>consider</b> 2:24 9:20 25:14 30:2 35:12 41:9 46:17 73:10 74:8 81:2 95:24 114:25 134:8,14 137:9 140:4 150:7 150:21 160:20	<b>consultation</b> 38:13 132:7		<b>control</b> 15:22 19:19 38:11,12 51:10 52:13,23 54:19,22 55:7,11,12 64:1 64:23 74:4 79:20 103:10 107:8 110:10,22 116:19 118:14 121:2,15 125:5	<b>costs</b> 46:14 68:4,6 124:18
<b>considerable</b> 34:5 55:16	<b>consultations</b> 88:12		<b>control</b> 15:22 19:19 38:11,12 51:10 52:13,23 54:19,22 55:7,11,12 64:1 64:23 74:4 79:20 103:10 107:8 110:10,22 116:19 118:14 121:2,15 125:5	<b>cost-cutting</b> 124:13
<b>consideration</b> 25:9 51:6 89:8 99:19 99:24 100:3 109:11 113:19 117:10 118:23	<b>consulted</b> 67:17 90:25		<b>control</b> 15:22 19:19 38:11,12 51:10 52:13,23 54:19,22 55:7,11,12 64:1 64:23 74:4 79:20 103:10 107:8 110:10,22 116:19 118:14 121:2,15 125:5	<b>costs</b> 46:14 68:4,6 124:18



106:23 <b>counsel</b> 6:21 8:16 13:11,22 24:24 27:1 28:5,23 52:6 64:3 76:8 <b>counted</b> 9:23 <b>countenanced</b> 70:9 <b>country</b> 10:19 21:9 22:22 24:5 58:23 66:6 100:22 131:6 136:17 158:6 <b>couple</b> 15:15 18:9 <b>courageous</b> 9:17 56:5 <b>course</b> 2:22,25 3:15 16:22 22:10 28:8 45:25 68:3 79:1 79:10 82:5 94:25 96:6 97:6 101:20 113:2 116:17 117:4,6 118:6 122:2 133:10 139:17 142:13 149:21 158:4 <b>Court</b> 32:13,25 39:16 56:21 64:14 64:24 106:9 <b>courts</b> 10:11 <b>Coventry</b> 158:25 <b>coverage</b> 54:11 <b>covered</b> 31:7 <b>CPs</b> 95:13,17 122:1 122:8 127:25 <b>create</b> 13:6 84:16 <b>created</b> 28:4 32:6 34:23 80:4 158:1 <b>creating</b> 28:18 79:23 <b>creation</b> 72:15,17 <b>credit</b> 66:20 <b>crime</b> 4:4 142:21 <b>criminal</b> 2:12,17 3:2,3,10,11,21,23 4:15,25 5:5,10 6:4 157:8	<b>criminals</b> 142:9 159:22 <b>criteria</b> 36:10 91:4 <b>critical</b> 45:16 46:21 <b>critically</b> 39:20 40:13,17 <b>criticised</b> 122:19 <b>criticisms</b> 47:9,19 <b>crowded</b> 52:16 <b>crown</b> 28:17 29:8,9 <b>crucially</b> 108:14 <b>crude</b> 28:8 <b>crystal</b> 129:23 CS 31:25 106:14 127:16 <b>culminating</b> 139:13 <b>cultural</b> 12:20 22:11 84:16 <b>culture</b> 27:8 48:6 49:5 94:16 137:16 146:5,6,11 148:10 <b>current</b> 35:21 89:9 89:14 99:24 109:10 122:22 <b>currently</b> 43:14 <b>cut</b> 129:12 <b>cutting</b> 124:18	91:24 119:24 126:18 <b>dangers</b> 8:19 37:2 65:22 67:2 <b>Daniels</b> 20:7 <b>Danny</b> 6:18 <b>data</b> 108:1,3 <b>database</b> 112:21 124:24 <b>date</b> 75:9 109:24 <b>dated</b> 132:4 <b>dating</b> 102:19 <b>daughter</b> 16:10,12 17:13,21 20:5,15 63:12 120:16 141:2,10 142:8,14 145:11 146:17 153:19 156:24 <b>daughter's</b> 63:11 <b>daughters</b> 21:24 146:15 <b>Davies</b> 6:22 <b>day</b> 69:3 87:14 160:19 162:17 <b>days</b> 69:6 93:11 128:21 156:16 <b>DCLG</b> 104:4 122:13 <b>dead</b> 13:18 14:15 93:19 144:4 <b>deal</b> 3:8 8:12 9:9 17:4,17 65:14 76:2 86:5 101:15 104:14 111:22 123:21 127:23 130:5 148:16 <b>dealing</b> 64:6 74:3 78:4 80:24 121:22 <b>dealt</b> 113:6 121:10 126:10 <b>death</b> 10:1 14:16 32:4 58:25 125:15 140:24 143:2,11 162:7 <b>deaths</b> 11:2 62:5	94:15 102:20,20 144:24 150:11 <b>deathtrap</b> 7:5 85:11 <b>deathtraps</b> 77:14 <b>debate</b> 9:4 22:18 37:21 <b>debates</b> 23:2 44:12 <b>Debbie</b> 15:4,13 18:1 29:15 <b>debris</b> 29:4,8 <b>decade</b> 6:25 <b>decades</b> 62:14 66:23 138:21 <b>deceased</b> 140:21 157:10 161:2 <b>December</b> 77:16,22 80:18 81:5,22 85:15 <b>decent</b> 93:20,21 131:23 <b>decision</b> 64:8 118:12 119:21 124:11 133:10 <b>decision-making</b> 121:16 <b>decisions</b> 45:16 46:21 61:18 62:4 100:6,7,9 107:23 <b>declared</b> 119:22,23 <b>decline</b> 80:24 <b>dedicate</b> 5:22 <b>Deen</b> 20:25 <b>deep</b> 9:10 <b>defamation</b> 107:3 <b>default</b> 42:8 119:3 128:21 <b>defective</b> 122:15 126:5 130:1 <b>defence</b> 31:20 <b>defend</b> 31:21 157:21 <b>defensiveness</b> 96:22 <b>deference</b> 57:10	<b>define</b> 135:2 <b>defined</b> 32:3 94:5 137:12 <b>defines</b> 38:12 <b>defining</b> 131:17 138:15 <b>definitely</b> 136:6 <b>definition</b> 148:8,12 <b>definitively</b> 21:9 <b>degree</b> 46:17 48:9 76:14 <b>degrees</b> 26:23 27:16 <b>delamination</b> 107:4 <b>delay</b> 63:16,23 64:2 80:24 83:15 96:22 <b>delayed</b> 9:16 80:7 <b>deliberate</b> 47:22 137:1 <b>deliberately</b> 140:15 144:6 147:24 <b>deliver</b> 84:5 93:25 <b>demanding</b> 5:19 <b>demands</b> 93:25 <b>demeans</b> 158:18 <b>dementia</b> 18:18 <b>demographic</b> 131:21 <b>demonstrably</b> 44:16 47:19 <b>demonstrate</b> 45:12 92:8 <b>demonstrates</b> 36:3 89:9 <b>demonstrating</b> 66:1 <b>denial</b> 125:25 <b>denied</b> 7:13 59:25 80:7 131:11 157:10,16 <b>Denis</b> 20:24 <b>department</b> 122:12 122:13 123:2,9,13 <b>depend</b> 91:17 <b>dependent</b> 88:24
	<b>D</b>			
	<b>D</b> 163:1 <b>D+B</b> 67:22 <b>DAC</b> 51:9 <b>dad</b> 112:15,22 <b>Daffarn</b> 56:16 58:8 <b>damage</b> 49:14 <b>Dame</b> 80:18 81:6 81:14,17,20 82:4 83:6,18 84:1,2,7 84:13,23 85:3,13 87:8,14,22 88:1 91:5 122:17 <b>damp-proof</b> 28:7 <b>danger</b> 32:17 70:3 <b>dangerous</b> 8:24 55:6 57:14 65:14			

116:24 <b>depending</b> 78:1 160:16 <b>depicts</b> 39:19 <b>deployed</b> 52:25 108:3 <b>deployment</b> 19:12 53:21 54:15 <b>deployments</b> 52:16 53:4 <b>depressingly</b> 107:5 <b>depression</b> 141:22 <b>deprived</b> 54:23 139:10 <b>deprives</b> 48:8 <b>derail</b> 47:22 <b>derived</b> 28:8 32:12 54:21 <b>Desai</b> 6:22 <b>descent</b> 146:12 155:10,13 <b>describe</b> 20:10 110:11 154:13 <b>described</b> 20:21 25:23 31:8 62:15 62:25 68:8,16 84:2,17 88:21 112:4 155:3,4 <b>describes</b> 85:5 <b>describing</b> 91:13 <b>description</b> 117:16 131:22,22 <b>descriptions</b> 91:5 155:9 <b>deserve</b> 97:24,25 <b>deserved</b> 93:22 <b>design</b> 24:9 38:25 45:14,18,24 46:3 62:10,25 74:3,16 79:24 94:21 98:21 124:12 129:11 <b>designed</b> 7:2 34:20 65:4 89:4,22 127:14 <b>designer</b> 27:4 35:5	35:7 36:3,12 37:10 39:2,4,13 39:17 40:5,10 41:5,9 42:7 43:24 44:8 46:16 <b>designer's</b> 38:23 <b>designers</b> 35:20 41:12,21 44:17 45:10 <b>desire</b> 48:1 157:20 <b>desktop</b> 36:18 37:13 <b>desperate</b> 21:11 29:21 <b>desperately</b> 29:24 <b>despite</b> 16:9 19:5 21:19 47:25 55:6 59:14 78:13 87:5 133:24 134:14 141:20 157:11,15 160:23 <b>destiny</b> 134:12 <b>destroy</b> 106:3 <b>destroyed</b> 93:13,17 <b>detail</b> 44:12 63:3 <b>detailed</b> 1:22 46:15 47:9 70:13 101:8 <b>detected</b> 137:17 <b>detection</b> 24:13 <b>deteriorating</b> 19:7 <b>deterioration</b> 141:15 <b>determination</b> 47:23 <b>determine</b> 80:23 92:2 140:2,22 <b>determined</b> 80:1 134:12 <b>determining</b> 80:20 <b>deterred</b> 43:19 <b>devastating</b> 2:14 <b>devastation</b> 24:7 64:11 <b>develop</b> 33:12 89:18	<b>developed</b> 35:15 112:25 <b>developers</b> 85:14 <b>development</b> 4:16 105:15 <b>devices</b> 54:13 112:8 <b>diabetes</b> 141:21 <b>diagram</b> 41:18 66:1 <b>dictated</b> 20:16 46:1 73:24 <b>dictates</b> 109:9 <b>dictionary</b> 148:11 <b>die</b> 18:12 116:9 131:25 <b>died</b> 2:7 7:16 11:23 13:4,7 14:2,4 17:22 18:18,19 20:4,13 21:23 64:16 114:6 120:7 120:13,17,18 137:5 140:21,25 144:19,23 146:11 153:13 162:7 <b>difference</b> 54:18 119:7 <b>different</b> 13:21 15:7 17:16 33:18 44:10 51:25 58:11 60:5 63:13 77:20 103:2,3 144:20 153:8 158:25 <b>differently</b> 9:18 51:23 56:6 <b>difficult</b> 2:11 5:13 18:3 49:23 66:19 81:4 91:22 156:1 161:1,8 <b>difficulties</b> 54:12 89:14 102:2 148:16 <b>difficulty</b> 103:18 <b>digging</b> 130:3 <b>dignified</b> 10:16 <b>dignitarian</b> 13:3 <b>dignity</b> 12:7,9	<b>dire</b> 19:23 <b>direct</b> 49:7 75:3 82:13 <b>directed</b> 78:16,19 <b>directly</b> 25:22 73:21 93:14 133:16 137:24 <b>director</b> 65:17 82:8 106:10 <b>directors</b> 105:4 <b>disability</b> 109:4 151:4 <b>disabled</b> 64:15 92:7 111:24,25 112:2 112:10,21 127:18 <b>disadvantage</b> 139:1 <b>disadvantages</b> 137:20 <b>disaster</b> 33:10 57:21 60:21 64:11 77:3 79:24 80:5 89:23 94:4,21 96:10,11 97:25 102:7 122:20 124:5 126:2 128:16 140:1 160:22 162:8 <b>disasters</b> 22:24 94:9 125:24 <b>disastrous</b> 100:13 <b>disavow</b> 33:23 <b>disbelief</b> 29:6 <b>disclose</b> 59:15 <b>disclosed</b> 71:9 74:23 83:14 133:17 137:22 <b>disclosure</b> 5:25 6:7 47:4 94:16,20 96:18 121:10 129:22 130:14 134:6 <b>discounted</b> 154:5 <b>discover</b> 13:13 15:6 <b>discovered</b> 27:10 60:3 77:24	<b>Discrete</b> 54:1 <b>discretion</b> 135:8 <b>discrimination</b> 137:18 139:1 <b>discuss</b> 68:19 <b>discussed</b> 59:25 146:19 <b>discussions</b> 71:4 73:23 <b>disease</b> 18:21 <b>disempowerment</b> 22:19 <b>disingenuous</b> 46:20 47:5,20 <b>disorientated</b> 142:12 <b>disproportionate</b> 137:25 140:18 142:5 <b>disproportionately</b> 136:24 148:20 <b>disquiet</b> 55:25 <b>disregarded</b> 35:20 <b>disservice</b> 161:3 <b>Disson</b> 17:1 <b>distasteful</b> 34:1 <b>distinct</b> 2:19 <b>distinction</b> 100:6 100:18 103:17 <b>distinctions</b> 102:24 103:9 <b>distinguished</b> 94:16 <b>distressed</b> 142:11 <b>distressing</b> 1:13 34:1 <b>distribution</b> 72:4 139:17 <b>distributors</b> 33:17 <b>distrust</b> 156:19 <b>disturbing</b> 1:9 156:3 <b>diverse</b> 61:12 92:6 136:6 <b>diversity</b> 11:17,20
---	---	--	--	--

148:19	<b>draw</b> 77:18 100:6	<b>Eastern</b> 20:21	<b>Elgwahry's</b> 14:9	<b>endeavour</b> 6:9
<b>divide</b> 8:10 76:2	<b>drawings</b> 47:10	146:12 155:9	<b>elongated</b> 40:21	<b>endeavoured</b> 51:25
<b>divorced</b> 44:12	<b>dressed</b> 152:1	<b>easy</b> 54:2	41:8	<b>ended</b> 40:6 71:2
<b>document</b> 35:3,6,8	<b>drew</b> 142:24	<b>echo</b> 127:24	<b>elongation</b> 26:12	136:24 162:10
35:14,15,19,23	<b>driven</b> 118:11	<b>echoed</b> 86:11	<b>eloquence</b> 93:8	<b>endorse</b> 45:5
36:5,13,21,24	134:7	<b>economic</b> 60:9	<b>Elsanosi</b> 14:14	<b>endurance</b> 139:1
37:15 38:19 39:7	<b>dropped</b> 127:9	132:19 139:12	<b>else's</b> 126:9	<b>energy</b> 158:12
43:14,22 44:3,11	<b>dropping</b> 31:14	<b>economics</b> 12:21	<b>embarrassed</b> 79:14	<b>enforcement</b> 84:15
44:12 72:10	<b>Dubai</b> 103:21	<b>EDBA</b> 53:22	<b>embodiment</b>	<b>engage</b> 81:7 83:11
107:16 108:10,15	<b>due</b> 16:22 22:10	<b>edge</b> 28:10	146:10	88:2,13
109:2 110:1	45:25 66:19,21	<b>edges</b> 28:3,6,16	<b>emerge</b> 118:6	<b>engaged</b> 22:2 74:1
<b>documentation</b>	94:25 96:6 97:6	<b>edition</b> 39:16	<b>emerged</b> 86:21	79:10 82:22
72:3	120:19 143:7	<b>education</b> 131:7,23	<b>emergency</b> 3:12,19	<b>engagement</b> 23:20
<b>documents</b> 47:18	149:21 156:2	<b>Edward</b> 56:16	18:1 54:20 88:25	81:9 82:14
72:22 101:10	158:22	<b>effect</b> 12:18 31:16	89:14 98:24 100:5	<b>engaging</b> 47:18
102:1 126:24	<b>duration</b> 53:22	70:18 71:11,20,23	100:12 112:5,6	<b>engine</b> 153:24
<b>doing</b> 39:3 77:16	<b>duties</b> 14:23 50:15	103:14	121:8 145:20	<b>engineer</b> 119:25
<b>domain</b> 56:15	<b>duty</b> 95:9 114:12	<b>effective</b> 26:5 28:12	151:1,3,10	<b>engineering</b> 33:11
<b>door</b> 152:22	148:7	34:22 52:17 53:24	<b>emerges</b> 99:21	35:10 36:7,20
<b>doors</b> 15:25 52:20	<b>dying</b> 49:11	58:23 83:4 94:13	<b>emerging</b> 78:1	37:13 70:11 127:6
124:23	<b>dynamic</b> 117:18,19	94:17 114:9 124:6	115:5	<b>England</b> 80:11
<b>double</b> 138:21	119:2	<b>effectively</b> 82:21	<b>eminently</b> 91:23	<b>English</b> 141:4
<b>doubt</b> 41:21 42:3	<b>dysfunctional</b>	83:11	<b>emotion</b> 94:6	146:16,17 147:7
46:23 63:18 81:16	159:11	<b>effects</b> 64:17 109:5	<b>emotional</b> 49:9	147:15,21 156:6
95:21 102:4,5,23	<b>E</b>	<b>efficient</b> 6:10 129:2	<b>emotions</b> 10:12	<b>engulfed</b> 25:4
103:4 147:3 159:4	<b>E</b> 25:20,25 47:8	<b>effort</b> 5:25 13:16	<b>empathy</b> 12:24	<b>enormously</b> 138:19
<b>downstairs</b> 147:13	59:23 70:15,23	117:22	<b>emphasise</b> 53:19	<b>enquire</b> 3:14
147:19	73:3,19 74:1	<b>efforts</b> 55:7 75:11	55:23 98:18	<b>ensued</b> 128:11
<b>downward</b> 26:7	129:16,19 163:1	151:17	<b>emphasised</b> 76:5	<b>ensure</b> 2:18 4:9 7:2
<b>downwards</b> 21:18	<b>E's</b> 46:7	<b>eight</b> 140:10	<b>emphatically</b> 50:23	57:4,6 62:19
<b>Dr</b> 24:25 25:11,13	<b>earlier</b> 16:5 22:16	<b>either</b> 7:18 27:4	<b>employed</b> 73:16	67:12 89:23
25:16 26:2,18,25	53:21 54:15	28:16 32:15 36:22	95:8	100:23 124:7
27:7,10,20,24	120:15,20,22	37:9,11 40:14	<b>employee</b> 75:6	145:2 147:24
28:3,12 31:3 32:5	127:25	46:3 80:24 84:17	<b>employees</b> 111:4,8	<b>ensuring</b> 49:19
38:2,4 42:11 43:1	<b>earliest</b> 77:1	86:24 88:15	<b>employer's</b> 73:19	62:7,8 74:4 78:2
43:7 48:7 50:23	<b>early</b> 3:20 21:19	131:11 156:1	<b>employment</b> 75:8	137:2 161:7
79:2 105:14,24	29:14 52:10 112:7	<b>El-Wahabi</b> 17:7	<b>enable</b> 25:9 53:24	<b>enter</b> 119:10
117:2 118:8,8	115:6 118:1,11	<b>elder</b> 17:13	<b>enabling</b> 5:7 53:7	<b>entered</b> 45:24
119:8 148:13	119:7,17 126:13	<b>elderly</b> 14:20 92:7	88:2	<b>entering</b> 40:20
149:17 150:12,20	127:10 128:21	112:14	<b>encompass</b> 133:1	<b>entire</b> 25:11 42:20
151:2	143:15 147:11	<b>electricals</b> 66:18	<b>encompassing</b> 98:7	<b>entirely</b> 61:21
<b>drafted</b> 47:10 63:1	149:25 158:22	<b>Electronic</b> 54:11	<b>encounter</b> 152:18	<b>entirety</b> 31:3
122:21	<b>Earth</b> 22:13	<b>elects</b> 37:12	<b>encountered</b>	<b>entitled</b> 4:24 48:10
<b>drama</b> 54:24	<b>east</b> 16:3 17:24	<b>elements</b> 38:16	156:11	96:23
<b>drastically</b> 91:2		<b>Elgwahry</b> 15:23	<b>encouraged</b> 104:8	<b>envelop</b> 70:10

<p><b>envelope</b> 25:12 32:6 37:4 39:24 40:2 74:17</p> <p><b>enveloped</b> 43:7</p> <p><b>envelopes</b> 103:12 104:11</p> <p><b>envelopment</b> 105:18</p> <p><b>environment</b> 85:16 158:2,4</p> <p><b>environments</b> 134:3</p> <p><b>EPDM</b> 28:7</p> <p><b>equal</b> 83:8 88:13 90:13</p> <p><b>equally</b> 9:2 14:24 100:16 110:23 113:11 145:7</p> <p><b>equals</b> 7:13 60:6</p> <p><b>equates</b> 33:16</p> <p><b>equation</b> 127:10</p> <p><b>equipment</b> 52:17 52:19 53:17,18,23 54:16 55:21 153:6 153:8</p> <p><b>Eric</b> 123:4</p> <p><b>Ernie</b> 15:17 18:7</p> <p><b>errors</b> 100:16</p> <p><b>escalated</b> 119:22</p> <p><b>escape</b> 21:25 62:19 63:4 119:11,15 120:12 125:16 144:13</p> <p><b>escaped</b> 7:16 14:23 17:5 21:18 27:17 120:23 147:16 152:12,20</p> <p><b>escaping</b> 119:13</p> <p><b>Eslah</b> 14:10</p> <p><b>especially</b> 67:12 148:17 155:22</p> <p><b>essence</b> 62:23 119:5 149:18</p> <p><b>essential</b> 54:23 88:10 150:7</p>	<p><b>essentially</b> 110:2</p> <p><b>establish</b> 149:11</p> <p><b>established</b> 5:24</p> <p><b>establishing</b> 42:15</p> <p><b>Estate</b> 111:20</p> <p><b>et</b> 155:7</p> <p><b>etched</b> 161:14</p> <p><b>ethnic</b> 131:10 135:6 135:25 137:1,16 137:21 138:18,21 139:5,18 146:8 148:10 155:7</p> <p><b>ethnicity</b> 150:24 151:5,6</p> <p><b>Euro</b> 86:24</p> <p><b>European</b> 25:20,21 25:22 36:11 42:10 97:14 114:8</p> <p><b>evacuate</b> 20:18 63:17 118:12</p> <p><b>evacuating</b> 112:10 117:11</p> <p><b>evacuation</b> 9:15 21:3 24:14 50:21 52:11,17 53:7 55:8,20 88:25 89:5,7,15 99:11 99:15 101:6 102:3 104:21 108:12,13 108:18 109:6,8,18 110:2,13,14,15,18 111:3,5,14 112:6 113:10,12,20 117:23 119:3,6,17 121:18 125:3,17 126:13,20 150:23</p> <p><b>evacuees</b> 54:3</p> <p><b>evaluate</b> 89:4</p> <p><b>Evans</b> 105:17</p> <p><b>evening</b> 29:14</p> <p><b>event</b> 11:16 22:11 31:9 32:2 39:25 46:7 49:17 57:13 65:5 78:23 108:16 108:18 110:24</p>	<p>144:13 145:5,20 146:3 147:15</p> <p><b>events</b> 2:20 10:10 11:1,4 12:20 54:24 75:10 101:13 115:1 133:22 135:22 140:23 141:19</p> <p><b>eventualities</b> 108:24</p> <p><b>everybody</b> 116:3 157:7</p> <p><b>everyday</b> 12:25 57:20</p> <p><b>everyone's</b> 33:4</p> <p><b>evidence</b> 3:1,3 6:6 8:22 18:3,11 25:18 26:24 33:25 56:14 59:11 63:24 77:15,20 78:1,25 81:21 82:1 87:13 99:20 100:4 105:14 110:5 115:3 118:6,22 121:1 135:1 137:22 138:12 144:5,7 147:22 149:14 154:21</p> <p><b>evidences</b> 114:7</p> <p><b>evident</b> 74:22</p> <p><b>evil</b> 57:17</p> <p><b>evils</b> 89:7</p> <p><b>exacerbating</b> 128:5</p> <p><b>exactly</b> 3:18 6:11 41:2,19 107:25 134:17 146:25 155:12</p> <p><b>examination</b> 9:17 80:5 132:11,15</p> <p><b>examine</b> 133:21</p> <p><b>examined</b> 134:16 134:19</p> <p><b>example</b> 29:14 60:7 61:11 69:2</p> <p><b>excavations</b> 161:5</p>	<p><b>excessive</b> 85:22</p> <p><b>excising</b> 161:6</p> <p><b>exclusion</b> 94:20</p> <p><b>exclusive</b> 23:3</p> <p><b>executive</b> 109:6</p> <p><b>exemplar</b> 134:22</p> <p><b>exercise</b> 59:14 64:6 75:18 122:25 135:7</p> <p><b>exercising</b> 11:9</p> <p><b>exhaustive</b> 130:7</p> <p><b>exist</b> 109:13</p> <p><b>existing</b> 30:8 86:13 98:6 123:19</p> <p><b>exit</b> 147:9 152:22</p> <p><b>exit'</b> 147:8</p> <p><b>exonerate</b> 35:19</p> <p><b>Exova</b> 66:10,11,12 70:12,15,17,21,25 71:3,16,24 72:3 72:11,25 73:3,10 75:25 127:7</p> <p><b>expand</b> 22:16</p> <p><b>expanded</b> 128:24</p> <p><b>expect</b> 81:24 89:17 155:5</p> <p><b>expectancy</b> 139:13</p> <p><b>expected</b> 34:10 108:12</p> <p><b>expeditious</b> 77:6</p> <p><b>expenses</b> 157:2</p> <p><b>expensive</b> 39:1</p> <p><b>experience</b> 7:20 11:20,25 49:8 50:3 82:14 158:15</p> <p><b>experienced</b> 10:5 29:6</p> <p><b>expert</b> 37:1 51:18 77:15 79:1 91:20 100:4 124:19</p> <p><b>experts</b> 9:5 12:14 23:3 24:25 27:14 37:24 51:2 76:16 94:22 116:23</p> <p><b>explain</b> 3:17 74:16</p>	<p>105:20 111:9 124:21 125:12 130:2 162:5,7</p> <p><b>explained</b> 28:5 36:6 137:8</p> <p><b>explaining</b> 64:2 82:20 124:16</p> <p><b>explains</b> 29:12 151:14</p> <p><b>explanation</b> 78:12 78:24 123:23</p> <p><b>explanations</b> 96:25 126:25</p> <p><b>explicable</b> 60:21</p> <p><b>explicitly</b> 34:14</p> <p><b>exploited</b> 80:4</p> <p><b>explore</b> 138:12</p> <p><b>explored</b> 155:21 156:9</p> <p><b>exponentially</b> 34:7</p> <p><b>expose</b> 57:13 101:13 153:15</p> <p><b>exposed</b> 10:1 49:7 68:21</p> <p><b>express</b> 63:12 98:14 113:16 114:4 127:1</p> <p><b>expressed</b> 30:14 45:22</p> <p><b>expressly</b> 39:4 44:7 45:21 65:21 86:8 106:22 108:17 109:2 113:13 116:23</p> <p><b>extend</b> 132:22</p> <p><b>extended</b> 53:22 131:13</p> <p><b>extension</b> 30:8 40:23 41:1</p> <p><b>extensively</b> 129:15</p> <p><b>extent</b> 30:3 33:6 52:15 76:15 94:12 101:11 116:4 150:15</p> <p><b>exterior</b> 66:8,21</p>
---	--	---	--	--

71:17 72:7	102:24 110:6,17	127:8	<b>Fatima</b> 16:14	157:13
<b>external</b> 33:8 34:24	118:13 128:8	<b>familiarisation</b>	<b>fault</b> 126:3,9 127:9	<b>find</b> 24:3 25:19
36:25 37:4 40:2	131:17 144:24	53:10 113:18	<b>faulty</b> 69:3	109:12
40:14,15,20 43:17	148:1 150:24	121:13	<b>favour</b> 41:11	<b>findings</b> 24:21
58:1 65:7,7 70:19	160:15,20,22	<b>families</b> 10:23 11:8	138:13	118:8 148:15
71:10,12,21 72:21	<b>fact-finding</b> 3:18	15:24 17:18 48:8	<b>FBU</b> 105:14,17	<b>finds</b> 24:21 26:18
85:18 86:12	<b>factor</b> 79:16 109:14	75:22 86:10 139:5	<b>fear</b> 89:24	27:20
102:25 103:12	119:1 128:16	<b>family</b> 10:20 14:8	<b>fearless</b> 5:8 8:4	<b>finished</b> 30:20
104:22 105:1,23	129:11 136:7	14:17 16:16,17	<b>feasibility</b> 54:7	68:23 88:17
106:7	151:8 161:15	17:7,22 18:19,22	<b>feature</b> 128:8	<b>Fiona</b> 93:3
<b>externally</b> 103:19	<b>factors</b> 118:11	18:25 19:18,21	160:18	<b>Firdaws</b> 16:21
<b>extraordinary</b> 8:19	119:1 134:1	20:3,15 22:1 67:8	<b>features</b> 8:23 55:17	<b>fire</b> 2:14 3:13,16
21:20 74:10,21	<b>facts</b> 6:25 92:7	112:11,14 113:4	139:8 150:24	7:2,19 8:12 9:15
93:23	131:17	120:8,21,23	<b>feel</b> 69:11 115:13	10:4 12:17 15:20
<b>extreme</b> 32:7	<b>factual</b> 119:16	134:25 135:15	131:18 132:9	16:2,4,17 17:24
<b>extremely</b> 5:19	<b>fail</b> 50:25 102:1	142:6,24 152:7	136:6 148:5	20:1,10 22:9 24:3
131:13 142:11	<b>failed</b> 9:14 24:16	153:23 157:3	153:22	24:8,10,13,15,23
<b>eye</b> 29:5 155:6	51:1 84:10 96:10	158:16,20,21,23	<b>Feilding-Mellen</b>	25:3,6,13 26:3
<b>eyes</b> 72:12 143:6	101:23,24 122:13	159:6,7,11,12,14	68:5	27:1,6,13,17,18
	123:24 124:3	<b>family's</b> 157:21	<b>fell</b> 29:8	27:23,24 28:2,4
	126:2 135:17	<b>far</b> 45:8 71:9 74:23	<b>felt</b> 10:12 70:5	28:18 29:18 30:2
<b>F</b>	148:7 157:14	85:15 92:8 94:24	157:5 158:15	31:9,19,20,23,25
<b>F</b> 26:1 27:11,21	<b>failing</b> 49:15 117:2	95:17 99:25	<b>Fenton</b> 51:9	32:1,2,7,21,22
42:24	126:14	100:11 102:11	<b>Fethia</b> 14:3 146:15	33:2,10,10,13
<b>fabric</b> 40:14	<b>failings</b> 80:3,9	103:15,22 106:7	<b>fiance's</b> 152:20	34:6,13,21 35:10
<b>fabricated</b> 47:2	123:20	119:16 121:25	<b>fifth</b> 115:20	36:7,20 37:5
<b>facade</b> 45:18 66:9	<b>fails</b> 117:1	136:3 137:22	<b>fight</b> 50:19 118:13	39:14,15,18,20,23
66:14 114:1	<b>failure</b> 24:19,23	138:13,23 148:15	<b>fighters</b> 53:23	39:25 40:1,3,4,12
<b>Facades</b> 67:22	31:23 43:15 50:7	159:24	<b>fighting</b> 103:19	41:6,8 43:18
<b>face</b> 105:10 151:6	52:9,22 60:19	<b>fares</b> 9:25	<b>file</b> 143:14	44:22 46:9,18
154:15 155:16	65:14 74:15 78:25	<b>Farhad</b> 14:17	<b>fill</b> 13:23 15:2	49:11 50:2,3,4,8
<b>faced</b> 37:11 80:10	108:23 109:14	<b>Farsi</b> 141:3	<b>filled</b> 28:7 61:13	50:19 51:4,5,14
102:3 148:16	114:10 124:5	<b>fast</b> 77:18 106:5	<b>filler</b> 37:23 107:3	52:20 53:12 54:17
<b>faces</b> 144:18	125:23 134:20	<b>faster</b> 29:7	<b>filling</b> 26:22	55:3,5,13,14,14
<b>facets</b> 27:3	137:14	<b>fatal</b> 12:18 39:15	<b>filming</b> 116:8	56:20 58:4 60:7
<b>facilitate</b> 12:24	<b>failures</b> 24:9 28:21	49:6 55:6,18	<b>filter</b> 8:16 12:21	60:21 61:6,23
27:22 84:19 85:1	54:13 94:20 96:14	<b>fatalities</b> 56:23	<b>final</b> 9:19 21:13	62:6,10,12,15,17
125:10	98:19,21 100:17	103:2,7,25 117:8	23:9 56:7 80:19	62:20,20,22,25
<b>facilitated</b> 26:12	101:14	<b>fatality</b> 105:2	82:23 83:3,9 87:9	63:5,9,14,19,21
125:17	<b>fair</b> 83:17 88:9	<b>fate</b> 17:18 20:17	97:15 98:11	64:14,20 65:6,7
<b>facilitating</b> 4:6 5:11	95:22	138:2 141:20	120:24	65:10,11 66:2,4,7
<b>fact</b> 4:12 25:18	<b>faith</b> 14:2	<b>Fatemeh</b> 14:11	<b>finally</b> 5:2 15:15	66:7,9,13,16,18
26:8 33:10 35:14	<b>falling</b> 29:4	<b>father</b> 14:18 120:11	19:21 21:25 55:12	66:20 67:9,10,18
43:1 46:9 51:3	<b>falls</b> 76:7	120:13 158:19	57:22 69:5 75:14	68:2 69:7,18
62:3 64:8 68:6	<b>familiar</b> 107:4	<b>Fathia</b> 14:14	121:8 147:16	70:11,13,16,19
82:18 87:10				

71:8,10,12,21 72:8,10 73:1,15 74:22 78:12 79:6 79:19 80:3,10 82:9 85:17,19,22 86:2 89:13,18 92:5 99:9,14,17 99:22,23 100:12 100:13 101:19,21 102:11,13,25 103:6,13,16,19 104:2,7,10,12,13 104:18,18,22 105:2,10,15,19,20 105:21 106:2,4,6 106:13,18,20,21 106:24,25 108:6 109:5,8,18,22 110:1,3,6,8,9,10 110:24 111:4,7 112:12,23 113:16 113:19,25 114:17 114:23 115:8,14 115:18,19,22,25 116:14,19,24 117:13,17 118:3 118:13,16 120:8 123:1,1,11,21 124:7,14,19,23,23 124:25 125:2,9,10 125:16 126:17 127:6,6,16 128:8 129:5 130:5,6 132:9 133:22,25 135:22 136:12 139:8 143:17,24 144:13 145:5,13 145:18,21,22 146:1,3,19,21,23 147:2,2,3,3,4,5,8 147:9,11,13,15,18 147:20,24 148:14 149:8,9,12,14,18 150:1,4,10,25 151:8,10,13,16,16	151:25 152:14,16 152:18,22,23 153:1,1,10,21,22 153:23 154:7 156:13,16 157:8 158:11 162:4 <b>fire's</b> 116:8 <b>firefighter</b> 24:2 49:23 50:22 52:16 53:9 112:9 113:21 115:6 <b>firefighters</b> 9:12 18:14,24 19:7,11 20:19 21:6,22 49:8,14 51:16,24 52:12 53:19 55:21 55:25 56:4 58:12 63:9,15 64:22 100:7,9,11,15,25 102:9 105:22 108:2 111:20 115:19 118:15 120:20 121:13 124:22 126:16 151:18,22,22,25 152:10,14 153:11 153:16 154:22 155:1,16,23,25 156:4 <b>firefighting</b> 8:1 9:8 23:10 49:4 52:10 52:24 113:3 117:22 121:15 <b>firemen</b> 153:6 <b>fires</b> 29:9,13 32:12 33:8 35:16 39:20 50:12 62:9 64:12 66:14 102:16,18 102:24,25 103:5,6 103:9,10,21 104:16 105:23 107:7,8 108:8 110:21 114:1 117:4,6 118:5 <b>firm</b> 88:12	<b>firmly</b> 57:12 161:24 <b>firms</b> 6:20 <b>first</b> 1:18 8:12 12:4 13:7 16:4 23:17 30:11 36:7 42:17 44:2 49:19 52:8 53:1 55:16 61:3 62:12 63:1 97:11 100:5 101:8 102:16 114:17 115:4 117:15 141:3 145:1 <b>first-draft</b> 13:16,25 <b>Fisher</b> 93:3 <b>fit</b> 50:11 122:18 131:21 148:11 <b>five</b> 17:7,22 24:16 26:13 40:23 41:1 <b>five-year</b> 160:2 <b>five-year-old</b> 19:22 <b>fixed</b> 68:7 108:24 113:20 <b>flame</b> 25:15 26:6 26:10,12 28:10,25 29:3 31:10,11 34:10,25 40:19,23 40:24 41:2 43:3 <b>flames</b> 40:13 41:9 115:5,10 116:13 <b>flaming</b> 31:14 <b>flammable</b> 67:5,7 98:18 100:2 101:4 103:12 108:6 124:10,12 125:9 128:24 <b>flash</b> 54:1 <b>flashing</b> 104:1 <b>flat</b> 14:2,5,8,10,12 14:13,15 15:5,9 15:11,11,14,15,17 15:21,23 16:2,3,9 16:12,14,16,18,23 16:23 17:1,8,8,9 17:21,23,24,25	18:2,8,14,22 19:8 20:1,3,6,8,9,13,22 20:24 21:1,2,2,7 21:24 22:1 27:25 29:16,18 31:18,19 53:5 56:16 62:8 62:20 63:7 68:19 69:9,18 112:15,18 114:22 115:5,7,8 118:2 120:12 141:10 142:9 143:8 146:23 152:24 153:2 159:2 <b>flatmate</b> 21:12 <b>flats</b> 12:11 13:18,20 17:14 29:13 62:7 62:16,21 118:2,3 139:22 <b>flexibility</b> 76:5,14 <b>floor</b> 14:3,4 15:5,6 15:9,12,18,25 16:9 17:1,3,3,12 17:18 18:6,25 19:6,9,24 20:7,11 20:14,19 21:23 29:17 56:17 63:7 66:2 112:12,15 115:20 120:12,17 142:1 143:3,8,14 143:16,22 144:6 147:11,17 152:12 152:15,21 153:20 <b>floors</b> 12:11 13:18 14:21 15:2 16:3 17:25 21:18 28:14 29:10,13,16 53:5 53:25 66:3 118:16 119:11 <b>Flora</b> 14:17 <b>foam</b> 105:25 106:3 <b>foams</b> 128:24 <b>fobbed</b> 7:12 <b>focus</b> 8:18 53:6 102:2 151:18	162:3 <b>focused</b> 5:11 <b>focusing</b> 5:20 96:23 <b>follow</b> 38:24 109:8 109:18 113:10 <b>followed</b> 44:8 99:16 105:1 <b>following</b> 29:25 32:21 39:14,15 52:5 68:16 74:1 74:25 85:18 86:17 90:18 100:4 122:20 123:10 149:6 158:23 <b>follows</b> 137:13 <b>food</b> 157:2 <b>foot</b> 153:5 <b>footage</b> 1:8,24 <b>footing</b> 83:8 88:3 88:13 90:13 <b>force</b> 65:3 140:16 <b>forced</b> 75:18 142:3 <b>forces</b> 140:10 <b>foreboding</b> 134:10 <b>foreign</b> 154:10,13 155:15 <b>foreigner</b> 154:13 <b>foreigners</b> 154:2 <b>foremost</b> 49:20 102:16 <b>forensic</b> 3:25 10:17 <b>foreseeable</b> 50:11 <b>foreseen</b> 102:8 <b>foreshadow</b> 56:13 <b>foresight</b> 50:8 <b>forever</b> 161:14 <b>Forgive</b> 144:1 <b>form</b> 18:17 33:9 93:15 146:8 <b>formal</b> 123:9 <b>formally</b> 101:24 <b>formed</b> 28:5 68:15 93:13 <b>former</b> 122:23 157:3
---	---	--	--	--

<b>formulate</b> 83:17	<b>full</b> 47:4 76:20 78:4	48:25 104:16	99:25 104:22	138:25
<b>forthwith</b> 86:16	94:16 108:13	163:4,6,7	111:16,17 112:15	<b>grandchild</b> 120:16
<b>fortnight</b> 7:11	116:4 125:17	<b>game</b> 84:11 91:8	120:5 121:20	120:18
23:24 29:19 56:2	126:13,19 152:1	122:5	125:13 126:13,19	<b>grandchildren</b>
<b>fortune</b> 21:21	162:4	<b>gap</b> 28:5	136:19 144:25	16:13
<b>forward</b> 87:7 96:24	<b>fullest</b> 94:12	<b>gaps</b> 13:23	145:13,19,25	<b>Grandmother</b>
106:5	<b>fully</b> 5:6 9:17 76:22	<b>Garnock</b> 32:13,25	148:19 149:8,12	16:15
<b>fought</b> 9:15 50:20	94:16 101:15	39:16 64:14,24	149:24 151:3	<b>granted</b> 59:3
<b>found</b> 14:15 18:9	122:9 132:3 145:4	<b>Gary</b> 15:4	<b>gives</b> 148:2	141:17 157:13
28:12 42:11 58:7	<b>function</b> 12:6,19	<b>gas</b> 130:2,3	<b>giving</b> 10:23 55:1	<b>graphic</b> 117:16
84:3 149:21 160:2	<b>functional</b> 30:18	<b>gather</b> 112:2	56:9 57:10 81:21	<b>graphically</b> 39:19
<b>four</b> 8:10 64:16	43:16 44:10	<b>gathered</b> 3:4	<b>Glasgow</b> 103:21	<b>grapple</b> 148:22
72:17	<b>functions</b> 59:15	107:20	<b>Gloria</b> 15:16 18:9	158:9
<b>fourth</b> 9:19 143:16	<b>fund</b> 91:9	<b>gathering</b> 3:1 6:5	<b>Gloucester</b> 161:12	<b>grass</b> 80:6
<b>FR5000</b> 46:9	<b>fundamental</b> 31:22	53:14	<b>Glyn</b> 105:17	<b>grateful</b> 1:21
<b>FRA</b> 109:19 111:25	50:17 53:13 92:3	<b>Gavin</b> 123:5,8	<b>go</b> 1:18 13:16 40:11	<b>gratitude</b> 9:10
125:4	111:15 114:11	<b>gender</b> 151:4	89:2 119:20	63:12
<b>frames</b> 32:24	150:5	<b>general</b> 29:23	134:17 148:15	<b>grave</b> 134:10,13
<b>framework</b> 7:1	<b>funded</b> 66:7	88:23 111:5	152:7,9,25 159:24	148:3 151:15
114:10 123:15,21	<b>funding</b> 59:3 83:10	125:16 138:22	<b>God</b> 146:22	<b>great</b> 5:25 14:2
124:6	91:17	140:9	<b>goes</b> 57:9 70:21	17:4,17 64:6
<b>France</b> 104:18	<b>funds</b> 7:6	<b>generality</b> 103:20	73:5 75:5,8 99:25	105:20 154:25
<b>Francis</b> 56:18	<b>funeral</b> 10:15	<b>generally</b> 72:18	109:2 112:5	<b>greater</b> 29:4
<b>frank</b> 96:17	<b>funerals</b> 10:9	108:7 124:3 139:9	140:15	<b>greatest</b> 29:22
<b>frankly</b> 87:8	<b>further</b> 28:18 32:17	139:16	<b>going</b> 1:4,11,18,24	60:12
<b>frankness</b> 95:1	33:6 36:17 48:20	<b>generate</b> 81:23	6:15 16:6,7 19:15	<b>Grenfell</b> 2:14 4:3
130:17	49:9 70:22 71:3	<b>generated</b> 66:22	21:17 33:5 51:19	6:24 12:3 22:19
<b>fraud</b> 159:12	95:14,20 99:19	<b>generic</b> 107:14	60:25 69:15,16	23:18 24:1,4,16
<b>fraudsters</b> 159:22	100:3 107:7	<b>generous</b> 95:20	76:19 92:13 96:24	25:25 26:15 27:4
<b>fraudulent</b> 160:4	108:20 124:7	<b>Genet</b> 18:24	97:9 105:20 116:9	31:5,20 33:19
<b>free</b> 59:16	150:18 161:22	<b>genius</b> 136:19	121:22 130:22	34:4 39:12 40:9
<b>Freedom</b> 59:13	<b>futility</b> 52:9	<b>geometry</b> 26:3	144:1 152:4,4	41:4,12 42:6,14
<b>Friedman</b> 6:15,17	<b>future</b> 3:11 22:25	<b>getting</b> 56:8 116:9	<b>Gomes</b> 17:6,9 63:6	43:2 44:14 48:5
6:18,18 23:13	48:3 56:7 57:21	156:4 158:11	<b>good</b> 1:3 61:3 68:4	56:19 57:1,11,23
48:16,20 49:1,2,3	66:15 70:20 71:13	<b>ghettoisation</b> 146:8	84:19	59:21,24 60:7
60:24 120:7 163:4	73:1 81:11 90:9	<b>giant</b> 26:6	<b>Gottardi</b> 15:16	61:7,17 62:7 67:1
163:8	90:16 92:5 94:9	<b>gift</b> 48:13 133:10	<b>governance</b> 58:3	67:21,24 68:16
<b>friends</b> 15:3 19:3	109:24 135:23	<b>girl</b> 15:9	<b>governed</b> 7:1	70:1 71:8 73:7,18
<b>frightening</b> 116:20	161:22	<b>give</b> 13:16 49:23	<b>governing</b> 151:13	74:7,12 75:4,7,16
<b>front-line</b> 100:8		69:16 82:1 92:17	<b>government</b> 8:1	81:7,9 82:24 83:7
<b>frustrated</b> 89:1	<b>G</b>	99:23 109:21,23	32:19 38:13 60:16	83:25 85:9 86:10
<b>fuel</b> 34:3,11 40:21	<b>G2</b> 83:3	<b>given</b> 1:14 4:14	61:24 62:14,24	89:19 90:10 93:20
130:5	<b>G3</b> 61:4 92:23 93:3	31:17 35:3 42:6	65:12,16,19 84:22	95:3 97:25 102:8
<b>fuel-poor</b> 138:24	163:9,11	50:24 55:3 61:17	104:2 153:24	106:8 107:25
<b>fulfil</b> 90:5	<b>G4</b> 6:17 23:15	70:5 85:13 87:4	<b>government's</b>	109:10 111:20

124:5 125:15	<b>Haftom</b> 15:10	<b>headed</b> 36:25	<b>Helen's</b> 63:12	<b>Hin</b> 147:16
126:11 133:7,23	<b>hair</b> 155:6	<b>heading</b> 72:11	<b>helicopter</b> 54:8	<b>hindering</b> 55:20
135:22 136:3,21	<b>Hakim</b> 112:11,20	<b>headline</b> 121:11	<b>help</b> 96:12,12,13,23	<b>hindsight</b> 52:3
137:7 140:1	<b>Hakim's</b> 113:4	122:16	109:15 124:15	102:4,9
141:18 142:3	<b>half</b> 16:4	<b>health</b> 30:15 37:1,6	127:17,20 128:2	<b>hinge</b> 69:3
143:8 144:11,15	<b>Hamdan</b> 120:16	57:15 106:20	153:7 158:13	<b>hint</b> 101:11
146:1 148:15	<b>Hamid</b> 15:11 20:4	139:6,12 141:16	<b>helped</b> 84:1,15	<b>historical</b> 161:4
149:9 160:21	<b>hand</b> 23:8 48:15	<b>hear</b> 1:4 14:5 16:22	<b>helpful</b> 44:25	<b>history</b> 11:18 60:22
<b>grievously</b> 2:8	69:10 91:6 94:8	17:3,17 18:11	101:10 125:22	106:5 157:18
<b>ground</b> 54:25 72:13	117:14	19:22 21:5,17	<b>helping</b> 12:1	159:5
86:14 107:21	<b>handing</b> 22:15 85:4	33:23 48:20 56:12	<b>Henderson</b> 82:7	<b>Hodge</b> 6:21
152:13	<b>hands</b> 90:20 92:4	58:21 60:25 116:5	<b>heroes</b> 126:16	<b>hold</b> 24:10 25:2
<b>grounds</b> 139:25	92:16	116:15 117:9	<b>heroism</b> 9:12	<b>holes</b> 130:3
<b>group</b> 20:20 21:4	<b>handy</b> 73:6	127:7 130:11	100:15 120:20	<b>holistic</b> 37:13
49:12 56:19 57:1	<b>Hania</b> 14:3 146:15	143:25 144:1	<b>Hesham</b> 14:4	<b>home</b> 14:8 15:13
57:12,23 59:21	<b>Hanif</b> 20:5	<b>heard</b> 17:6 20:14	<b>Hickman</b> 6:20	18:2,9 87:11
65:11,21 68:11,16	<b>haphazard</b> 52:15	22:1 24:24 49:5	<b>hide</b> 80:9	103:23 132:5
68:17 93:4 104:16	<b>happen</b> 7:12 51:19	51:20 59:4 69:21	<b>high</b> 94:6 114:2	133:11 134:15
105:16 123:2	125:5 135:19	93:6 96:2,3 98:3	129:4	139:5
137:24 147:23	<b>happened</b> 7:9	105:13 107:18	<b>high-density</b> 58:5	<b>home-ownership</b>
<b>groups</b> 82:17 83:2	19:14 41:3 64:12	112:19 114:15	<b>high-earners</b>	139:15,16
83:21 92:1 139:18	96:19,20 97:4	118:21 120:8,10	136:16	<b>homeless</b> 18:20
<b>Grove</b> 141:10	101:16 122:8	133:15 135:10	<b>high-rise</b> 7:4 39:19	<b>Homelessness</b>
<b>grown</b> 138:19	136:13 143:7	143:21 144:3	41:6 53:15 54:12	138:19
<b>growth</b> 34:7	153:16 162:12	152:17	62:10,13 65:9	<b>homes</b> 4:12 74:14
<b>grudgingly</b> 131:13	<b>happening</b> 3:19	<b>hearing</b> 29:25	70:10 77:10 79:25	77:13 84:6 89:25
<b>guard</b> 88:10	49:13 63:19 69:14	161:13 162:22	88:23 89:25 90:8	90:4 97:3 139:4,7
<b>guidance</b> 35:2,4,14	113:15 124:5	<b>hearings</b> 1:4 2:22	90:12 91:24 99:11	139:21
36:5 38:10,14	156:5	4:14 6:11,13 10:8	100:21 103:17	<b>honeycomb</b> 46:12
44:7,7 55:5 62:14	<b>happens</b> 104:14	76:7 80:14	106:6,19 121:15	<b>honour</b> 3:7 58:15
84:11,22,25 85:4	<b>happily</b> 102:17	<b>heart</b> 112:16	121:18 136:1	<b>honoured</b> 2:4
87:20 89:9 99:10	<b>happy</b> 68:21 81:25	<b>heartache</b> 157:20	148:21	<b>hope</b> 80:6 111:9
99:16,17,25 101:1	82:12	<b>heartbreaking</b>	<b>high-risk</b> 107:7	134:13 140:13
101:3 104:4	<b>hard</b> 77:18 160:12	161:13	<b>higher</b> 14:21 17:25	149:4 154:12
122:14 149:8,11	<b>Harley</b> 47:7 129:16	<b>heartbroken</b>	46:8 54:6 62:17	<b>horizontal</b> 105:21
149:18,24 150:5	<b>harm</b> 32:2,3,7	157:16	110:12 115:25	<b>horror</b> 153:14
150:20 151:1,2	161:22	<b>heath</b> 57:6	136:16 138:18	<b>hose</b> 146:23
<b>guide</b> 65:25 66:3	<b>harsh</b> 154:4	<b>heavily</b> 131:15	139:13	<b>hoses</b> 52:19
<b>guilty</b> 138:10	<b>Hashim</b> 16:19	<b>heavy</b> 29:18 153:7	<b>highlight</b> 27:14	<b>hospital</b> 64:16
	<b>hate</b> 159:15	<b>height</b> 103:19	130:8	120:19
<b>H</b>	<b>hated</b> 142:2	105:23 118:14	<b>highlights</b> 74:17,23	<b>hospitals</b> 129:6
<b>Hackitt</b> 80:15,16	<b>haunt</b> 57:2	155:6	<b>highly</b> 27:9 28:19	<b>hostile</b> 158:2
81:2 82:5,10 83:2	<b>hazard</b> 34:13 139:6	<b>Heights</b> 32:13,21	32:11 68:24 74:17	<b>hot</b> 26:9 143:22
83:21,23 85:12	<b>hazardous</b> 46:5	32:25 39:14	147:3	<b>hot'</b> 143:22
86:8,18 122:17	<b>head</b> 156:12 161:9	<b>held</b> 5:16 112:3	<b>Hillsborough</b> 10:23	<b>Hotel</b> 161:12



<b>hour</b> 16:4 101:9	<b>idealism</b> 131:14,15	<b>implications</b> 27:6	117:16,23 118:25	<b>independent</b> 5:8
<b>hours</b> 3:20 12:15	<b>identified</b> 48:7	<b>implicit</b> 157:6	119:22,24 121:14	82:9 88:9
24:3 101:25 130:6	71:21 73:13 78:7	<b>implied</b> 46:3	135:3,3,8	<b>independently</b>
147:11	78:18	<b>implore</b> 55:8	<b>incidentally</b> 108:20	36:15
<b>House</b> 32:14 33:2	<b>identify</b> 62:1 70:15	153:17	113:22	<b>indicate</b> 9:1 18:4
65:9 86:19 104:24	71:16 77:9 81:9	<b>importance</b> 11:25	<b>incivility</b> 58:19	19:6 47:11
<b>housed</b> 136:8	<b>identifying</b> 63:23	74:24 77:24 99:7	<b>include</b> 52:8 82:24	<b>indicated</b> 3:25 30:1
143:15 144:15	96:19	107:22	91:2 113:18	45:1 95:11 99:7
<b>households</b> 138:22	<b>Idris</b> 18:23	<b>important</b> 2:11	118:12 121:16,18	113:11 121:9
139:3,10,20	<b>ignite</b> 26:11,23	3:13 5:4,20 9:6	132:10 139:7	<b>indicates</b> 114:18
<b>houses</b> 139:22	<b>ignited</b> 29:9	12:4,23 18:3	150:22	115:7 117:2
<b>housing</b> 7:4 12:1	<b>ignition</b> 31:12 66:9	22:12 40:13 49:10	<b>included</b> 15:3	<b>indicating</b> 116:12
57:20 58:22 60:21	<b>ignorance</b> 84:18	49:17 59:19 78:23	22:21 72:3 74:3	<b>indication</b> 86:21
65:9 80:20 81:6	137:19 144:9	83:12 88:6 100:18	104:4	157:24
81:21 86:18,21,22	<b>ignorant</b> 54:25	100:19,24 130:8	<b>includes</b> 72:4 78:10	<b>indicative</b> 48:6
87:5,13,22 89:10	<b>ignore</b> 64:7	132:14 150:14	107:18	<b>indifference</b> 60:20
95:9 97:23 105:5	<b>ignored</b> 57:5 61:23	154:24	<b>including</b> 10:10	84:4,18 85:7
106:10 123:7	84:9 87:24 148:25	<b>importantly</b> 20:11	14:9 17:5 20:15	<b>individual</b> 9:12
132:11 138:16,17	<b>ignoring</b> 124:13	63:25 93:16	20:20 22:20 34:22	75:12 100:15
138:24 139:6,11	<b>II</b> 80:12	<b>imposed</b> 30:10 34:3	35:10 54:8 78:4	112:25 137:23
139:21,22 141:16	<b>ill</b> 9:25	35:6 86:16	79:1 93:4 119:11	145:9 151:3,17
143:4,13,14	<b>ill-health</b> 89:11	<b>imposing</b> 86:19	122:19 123:3	<b>individuals</b> 61:9
145:17 156:18	<b>illness</b> 109:4	<b>imposition</b> 87:17	136:21 155:14	137:24,25 138:6
159:5	<b>illustrated</b> 94:19	<b>impossibility</b>	157:1	155:19 161:16
<b>Howe</b> 82:6,7 93:4	149:15	103:18	<b>inclusion</b> 132:17	<b>indoors</b> 147:14
<b>huge</b> 103:10	<b>illustrates</b> 83:4	<b>impossible</b> 11:24	138:14	<b>industry</b> 8:1 29:23
<b>human</b> 9:23 12:2,6	<b>illustrative</b> 145:10	<b>impressed</b> 85:22	<b>incombustible</b>	31:8 32:9 33:15
30:12 42:2 50:14	<b>images</b> 72:9 147:6	<b>impression</b> 68:23	24:18 31:6	36:19 38:8 39:7
60:19 114:8	<b>immediate</b> 44:22	<b>improve</b> 119:4	<b>incomes</b> 11:21	45:9 65:16,20
138:16	48:3 101:5 104:21	<b>improved</b> 22:6	146:7	66:25 74:19 82:25
<b>humanity</b> 11:18	110:17 133:25	<b>improvement</b>	<b>incompetence</b>	83:8,20,23,24
<b>hundreds</b> 90:2,12	134:3	49:18 59:24	57:13 65:15	84:3,21,25 85:5
103:11 135:24	<b>immediately</b> 6:8	<b>improvements</b>	<b>incongruity</b> 85:3	87:20 88:3,5,11
<b>husband</b> 14:18	43:8 50:20 105:10	72:18	<b>incontinence</b>	90:14,16 91:7,15
<b>Husna</b> 20:5	<b>immigration</b> 12:1	<b>Imran</b> 130:22	141:21	91:17,18 92:1,2,9
<b>hypertension</b>	<b>immobile</b> 112:16	<b>inability</b> 47:6 48:4	<b>incorporating</b>	<b>industry-domina...</b>
141:22	<b>impact</b> 3:10 73:15	74:18 150:9	32:10	91:16
<b>hypocrisy</b> 131:16	155:19	<b>inaccurate</b> 110:11	<b>increase</b> 48:10	<b>industry-heavy</b>
	<b>impacted</b> 106:20	110:12	<b>increased</b> 28:25	90:23
<b>I</b>	<b>impacts</b> 139:12	<b>inadequate</b> 53:10	34:7 52:20 68:9	<b>ineptitude</b> 57:13
<b>Ibrahim</b> 14:1,13,13	<b>impaired</b> 111:24	84:14 138:23	124:13	<b>inequalities</b> 60:8
146:14 151:20	<b>imperative</b> 33:11	<b>incident</b> 51:7,11	<b>incredibly</b> 25:3	<b>inert</b> 68:1
153:18 154:4,10	<b>impinge</b> 40:14	57:24 107:22	<b>incriminate</b> 47:4	<b>inevitable</b> 7:25
156:20	<b>implicate</b> 127:2	109:7,17 113:9,14	<b>independence</b>	128:11
<b>idea</b> 109:25	<b>implication</b> 126:7	115:5,11,17,22	91:19	<b>inevitably</b> 125:10

<b>inexplicable</b> 29:12	<b>inquiries</b> 8:5 12:19	<b>inside</b> 15:19 16:6	<b>interact</b> 154:20	3:23 4:1,15,17 5:1
<b>inferences</b> 160:16	12:20 94:13 96:24	38:9,19 41:6,23	<b>interaction</b> 40:2,6	5:6,8,9,18 6:4
<b>inferno</b> 24:11 61:10	161:4	53:4 65:19	104:5	77:6 142:7,12
79:17,22	<b>inquiry</b> 2:1,9,11,15	<b>insofar</b> 30:4 34:17	<b>interest</b> 77:25	143:3,9 148:4
<b>infill</b> 26:20 42:23	2:21 3:1,6,16 4:23	<b>install</b> 67:25	89:17 91:21 155:1	150:21 154:5
<b>infirm</b> 111:23	5:3,5,6,11,23 6:2	<b>installation</b> 31:17	<b>interesting</b> 109:19	<b>investigations</b> 2:19
112:22	6:12,24 7:23 8:10	125:13	<b>interests</b> 9:5	94:14 150:8
<b>inflict</b> 57:16	8:15 11:13 13:22	<b>installations</b>	<b>interim</b> 74:24 75:1	<b>investigative</b> 6:7
<b>influence</b> 65:19	19:13 22:10 24:20	108:24	76:8,11,22,25	<b>investigator</b> 10:17
<b>inform</b> 81:25	24:20,24 30:1	<b>installed</b> 69:2,3	77:4,15,23 78:4	<b>invite</b> 98:12 130:22
<b>information</b> 7:14	33:25 36:22 48:1	<b>instance</b> 155:15	80:18,22 81:5	133:13,15,19
54:23 55:2 58:16	49:10 50:8 52:6	<b>instances</b> 9:10	82:23 88:8 89:14	134:23 135:13
58:18 59:2,13,19	60:2,10 61:15,25	<b>instigated</b> 7:3	90:6,23 97:1,13	151:8 161:9
64:4 67:14 81:23	63:22 64:4,7	<b>instinctive</b> 51:20	97:20 99:10,20,25	<b>invited</b> 15:13
81:25 96:25	66:12 72:23 73:22	<b>Institute</b> 86:11	100:2 101:1,5	<b>inviting</b> 35:12
107:20 108:4,11	74:8,11 76:3,6,9	138:17	122:17	82:19
112:2,3,21 118:15	76:15,16 77:1,6	<b>institution</b> 144:8	<b>internal</b> 29:10	<b>involve</b> 102:9,25
118:17 145:13,18	77:23 79:15 80:23	151:19 159:20	40:15	103:1,6,7,8
145:19 146:1	81:16,24 83:15	<b>institutional</b> 60:20	<b>internationally</b>	<b>involved</b> 40:16
156:4	85:23 88:6,10	125:25 137:8,11	33:8	46:21 58:13 64:2
<b>information-gath...</b>	90:4,10 92:8 93:9	138:4,10 140:7	<b>interpret</b> 43:25	71:3 75:6 84:8
107:17	95:11,17,20 96:13	148:8,12	98:6	94:11 102:10,20
<b>informed</b> 4:19,25	96:23,24 97:16,20	<b>instructed</b> 93:1	<b>interpretation</b> 42:1	103:2 121:12
9:4,17 33:13	99:18 101:17	<b>instructions</b> 146:24	44:5 150:4	127:12,23,25
41:11 69:23 98:4	112:13 113:2	153:2	<b>interpreted</b> 43:23	129:25 158:12
<b>informs</b> 132:1	116:23 121:25	<b>insufficient</b> 46:19	149:23	<b>involvement</b> 71:2
<b>ingressed</b> 117:5	128:2 129:24	153:6	<b>intervention</b> 40:4	73:25 126:6
<b>inhalation</b> 64:17	130:13 131:25	<b>insulation</b> 26:10,14	<b>intimate</b> 11:18	<b>involves</b> 10:13
<b>inherently</b> 89:20	132:4,10,18,20	26:17 27:10,20	<b>intolerable</b> 32:6	<b>involving</b> 33:8 41:8
<b>inhibit</b> 34:20	133:18 134:5,8,16	29:2 34:4 37:19	94:7	94:17 99:12 100:1
<b>inhibited</b> 65:8	134:19,22 135:12	41:14,15 42:9,18	<b>introduced</b> 39:13	110:22 125:3
<b>inhumane</b> 48:11	135:16 137:12	42:20,23,25 65:20	112:1	<b>Iran</b> 14:11 141:1
<b>initial</b> 51:20 118:8	138:3 148:4,24	67:20 68:2 98:7	<b>introduces</b> 37:16	<b>irresponsible</b> 8:25
<b>initially</b> 114:19	149:5 150:3,17	98:10 105:25	39:6	<b>Irvine</b> 85:19 105:2
<b>initiating</b> 21:3	151:7 153:15	128:19,22	<b>introduction</b> 39:11	<b>Isaac</b> 19:1,22
<b>Initiation</b> 39:25	155:21 156:10	<b>insulation's</b> 28:24	132:1	<b>Isra</b> 14:13
<b>injuries</b> 62:5	158:8 160:23	<b>insulting</b> 158:18	<b>investigate</b> 19:13	<b>issue</b> 23:22 37:25
<b>injury</b> 32:4 58:25	161:19	<b>integrity</b> 120:2	52:7 118:4 134:20	47:23 63:13 67:18
<b>innovation</b> 85:1	<b>inquiry's</b> 37:1,24	<b>intelligence</b> 53:14	135:17	71:13 84:16 95:1
<b>input</b> 70:13	74:24 80:22 95:21	<b>intended</b> 3:24 88:7	<b>investigated</b> 137:10	99:5 106:15,20
<b>INQ0000092</b> 39:9	132:21 150:8	123:10 125:11	156:10 160:3	107:12 121:22
<b>inquest</b> 10:23	<b>inquisitorial</b> 94:14	130:8	<b>investigates</b> 150:17	134:8,13 135:10
125:14	96:12	<b>intent</b> 124:12	<b>investigating</b> 5:20	138:11 145:11
<b>inquests</b> 10:19 11:2	<b>insecure</b> 104:6	<b>intention</b> 134:3	<b>investigation</b> 2:13	147:16 148:22
94:14	<b>inshallah'</b> 146:22	<b>intents</b> 141:6	2:17 3:2,3,11,22	149:4 150:2,5,11

151:9 155:22 156:9,11 158:8 161:2,20 <b>issued</b> 38:14 44:24 62:14 99:9 106:9 <b>issues</b> 14:22 27:7 53:18 78:5,8,9,15 78:18,20 80:17 83:11 87:25 95:13 101:16 106:24,25 107:1 112:3,17 120:1 126:22 127:16,19,21 130:9 133:1 141:23 150:18 161:3 <b>Italian</b> 18:9 <b>Italy</b> 15:16 <b>items</b> 45:22 71:6	<b>journey</b> 19:15 21:8 <b>journeys</b> 53:24 <b>judge</b> 7:23 <b>judge-led</b> 132:20 134:16 <b>judges</b> 94:23 <b>judgment</b> 11:9 13:10 19:8,11 51:20 91:25 <b>judgments</b> 139:24 <b>judicial</b> 10:16 59:18 <b>Judith</b> 80:18 81:6 81:20 82:4 83:18 84:1,2,7,13,23 85:3,13 87:8,14 87:22 88:1 91:5 122:17 <b>Judith's</b> 81:14,17 83:6 <b>July</b> 4:4 125:7 159:2 <b>jump</b> 156:18 <b>junction</b> 19:20 22:12 <b>junctions</b> 28:14 52:23 <b>June</b> 1:1 2:3 3:20 38:14 61:5 64:15 69:8 85:19 99:6 102:15 108:25 121:7 133:23 162:22 <b>junior</b> 6:21 82:7 122:23 <b>justice</b> 2:7 58:7 80:6,7 93:25,25 94:7,19 96:4 161:7 <b>justifiable</b> 7:25	<b>Karim</b> 157:9 <b>KCTMO</b> 57:3,14 57:17,25 58:7 <b>Kedir</b> 16:17,19 <b>keep</b> 4:18 <b>Kensington</b> 59:14 64:8 136:15,20 <b>kept</b> 4:24 26:9 52:19 110:3 143:23 <b>key</b> 30:9 39:23 73:22 95:13 96:6 98:25 104:19 107:17 118:11 119:1,21 128:16 <b>keys</b> 146:4 <b>Khadija</b> 17:20 19:25 157:10 <b>Khalloufi</b> 19:25 157:9,10 <b>Khan</b> 130:22 131:2 131:3,4 163:12 <b>kick</b> 80:5 <b>kill</b> 60:9 63:17 <b>killed</b> 10:24 63:17 86:3 <b>killing</b> 63:21 <b>kind</b> 80:11 <b>King</b> 17:12 18:6 <b>Kingspan</b> 26:16 128:18,23 <b>kitchen</b> 24:8 <b>knew</b> 67:1 102:16 107:7 108:7 111:8 122:15 128:19 143:11,12 146:24 146:25 <b>Knightsbridge</b> 136:10 <b>know</b> 6:18 7:24 8:6 9:22 13:7,13,17 13:17,19 14:1,4 14:17,20,24 16:8 16:19 17:23 18:19 19:12,24 20:13	21:15 22:6 23:7 29:22 56:21 57:4 58:17 67:16 68:15 70:4 71:25 72:1,2 75:25 76:1,18,19 80:13 89:25 93:1 94:23 95:23 96:5 96:14 102:14 107:18 112:20,22 112:24 118:1,3,22 120:14,22 122:7 125:8,18 126:17 143:1 144:14,22 145:25 158:15 161:1,1 <b>know'</b> 146:22 <b>knowing</b> 19:15 125:7 138:1 141:24 148:5 <b>knowledge</b> 22:17 22:20,20 32:12 33:6 41:6 51:18 53:3,10 66:24 89:2 114:7 144:11 <b>known</b> 25:17 32:19 70:24 105:16 109:8,19 114:10 119:16 123:24 145:14 148:19 160:20 <b>knows</b> 59:5 113:2 <b>knows'</b> 146:22 <b>Knowsley</b> 32:13,21 32:25 39:14 <b>Kooltherm</b> 26:16	<b>ladder</b> 156:18 <b>ladders</b> 54:6 <b>lagging</b> 68:22 <b>laid</b> 22:8 <b>Lakanal</b> 33:2 65:9 102:21 103:24,25 122:20 123:10,11 123:25 125:14 <b>Lamprell</b> 15:4,13 18:1 29:15 <b>land</b> 9:25 131:11 <b>landing</b> 29:9 <b>landlord</b> 56:24 57:5,14 67:11 <b>landlords</b> 59:10 82:14 83:11 <b>landscape</b> 10:13 <b>Lane</b> 24:25 25:13 25:16 26:2,18 27:7,10,20,24 28:3,12 38:2,4 42:11 43:1,7 48:7 50:23 117:2 118:8 118:8 119:8 148:13 150:12,20 151:2 <b>Lane's</b> 25:11 26:25 31:3 32:5 79:2 149:17 <b>language</b> 57:9 141:3 147:21 148:18 149:20 156:2 <b>large</b> 39:13 60:8 66:21 121:6 159:6 <b>large-scale</b> 32:16 36:9 39:6 57:20 <b>largely</b> 102:6 <b>largest</b> 80:10 <b>late</b> 21:16 117:25 121:4 161:18 <b>lateral</b> 29:7 53:3 <b>law</b> 9:21 10:11 23:2 <b>Lawrence</b> 134:18 134:25 135:15
<b>J</b>				
<b>Jackson</b> 93:2 <b>Jafari</b> 21:23 <b>James</b> 123:4 125:22 <b>James's</b> 125:21 <b>January</b> 65:2 157:12 <b>Jemal</b> 16:19 <b>jeopardise</b> 104:7 <b>Jeremiah</b> 21:1,14 <b>Jesse</b> 6:22 <b>Jessica</b> 15:8 17:23 29:15 <b>jets</b> 152:15 <b>Jhangir</b> 93:1 <b>job</b> 68:23 131:9 <b>jobs</b> 11:21 <b>Johnson</b> 1:18,20,21 163:3 <b>join</b> 50:8 <b>joins</b> 28:17 <b>Jones</b> 6:21 <b>Jose</b> 68:19 <b>Joseph</b> 20:7	<b>K</b>			
	<b>K15</b> 26:16 <b>Kamru</b> 20:3 <b>Kani</b> 15:11			
			<b>L</b>	
			<b>lack</b> 23:20 27:2 50:16 55:16 60:15 68:22 74:21 83:4 84:13 111:14 123:23 <b>lacking</b> 101:11 <b>Lacrosse</b> 104:18 <b>Ladbroke</b> 141:10	

137:12	<b>legality</b> 59:7	<b>Li</b> 15:21 16:22	78:9 82:21 112:18	152:3
<b>lawyer</b> 59:4	<b>legend</b> 109:20	<b>liaison</b> 78:20	141:21	<b>located</b> 75:13
<b>lawyers</b> 1:5 59:2	<b>legislated</b> 24:11	<b>Liao</b> 16:22	<b>listed</b> 30:18 83:3	<b>Logan</b> 17:9
94:23	<b>legislation</b> 57:16	<b>licking</b> 115:10	<b>listen</b> 12:5	<b>logged</b> 78:21
<b>lay</b> 60:15 91:2,19	<b>length</b> 26:13 41:2	<b>lies</b> 92:5	<b>listened</b> 61:20 88:1	<b>logic</b> 50:23
<b>layer</b> 49:9	<b>lengths</b> 40:24	<b>life</b> 12:15,22 17:16	<b>listening</b> 8:4 23:24	<b>London</b> 7:1 24:1
<b>layers</b> 24:12,16,19	<b>Leone</b> 20:25	30:12 42:2 50:11	52:6 134:6	33:13 50:4 51:5
<b>laying</b> 80:19	<b>lesser</b> 89:7	50:15 57:25 61:16	<b>literature</b> 66:22	54:16 63:9 65:10
<b>lead</b> 3:12 27:7	<b>lesson</b> 104:20	63:11,11,20 66:18	<b>litmus</b> 140:7	79:19 89:13 99:9
40:23 117:20	<b>lessons</b> 9:13 12:10	131:9,18 133:6	<b>little</b> 9:23 59:8	100:21 104:2
142:12	162:13	139:13 152:20	129:1,2 149:10	105:21 106:11
<b>Leadbitter</b> 67:22	<b>let's</b> 63:3 66:24	<b>life's</b> 49:13	<b>live</b> 18:25 21:16	110:6 114:2
<b>leading</b> 34:5 36:19	107:12 118:7	<b>life-threatening</b>	56:9 84:6 88:20	145:22 146:9
68:7 93:3 141:19	159:21	49:16	89:24 126:1	151:16 157:11
<b>leads</b> 27:24 140:17	<b>lethal</b> 75:21	<b>lift</b> 147:6 149:22	131:25 138:23	159:1
<b>leaflets</b> 147:20	<b>letter</b> 106:9,11,12	<b>lifted</b> 143:16	139:4 156:9	<b>London's</b> 148:19
<b>leaked</b> 159:13	106:15,17,22,23	<b>lifts</b> 112:9,9 113:3	<b>lived</b> 4:13 11:23	<b>lone</b> 152:11
<b>learn</b> 15:19 61:9	114:6 132:4,24	113:21 124:22	12:2 13:4 14:21	<b>long</b> 57:2,4 80:6
162:13	134:14	130:1 147:7	15:10 16:23 17:13	99:3 102:15
<b>learned</b> 16:21	<b>letters</b> 111:18	149:22	17:21 20:1,2,6,16	103:16 109:14
61:10 93:12	123:2	<b>Ligaya</b> 17:8	21:1,24 120:23	114:13 121:6
<b>learning</b> 8:5 9:13	<b>letting</b> 151:24	<b>light</b> 58:2 80:21	141:9 147:11	122:15,19 123:13
49:18 92:7	<b>level</b> 9:23 24:9	104:2	158:25 159:6	159:4
<b>learnt</b> 9:13	55:11 72:13,15,15	<b>likewise</b> 128:18,18	<b>Liverpool</b> 103:22	<b>long-belated</b> 10:22
<b>leaseholders</b> 57:7	72:17 75:20 115:8	<b>limit</b> 76:10 151:10	<b>lives</b> 2:4 7:17 12:18	<b>long-term</b> 56:17
57:17 60:5	115:18,23 118:9,9	<b>limitations</b> 99:21	12:25 48:2 49:15	<b>longer</b> 9:4 53:24
<b>leave</b> 1:16 21:14	118:10,10 119:12	150:16	49:16 50:21 56:7	92:18 111:14
87:21 109:3	119:15 138:17	<b>limited</b> 25:24 26:17	63:10 90:19 104:7	139:20
161:20	<b>levels</b> 119:13	27:12 37:20,24	135:24 158:14	<b>look</b> 9:19 52:7 63:2
<b>leaving</b> 54:25	139:19	38:1,10,16,20,22	<b>living</b> 17:15 57:15	81:1 131:19
<b>led</b> 32:14 53:6	<b>LFB</b> 3:12 50:18	39:1 41:11,22,24	87:19,24 90:11	144:18 155:13
56:23 64:10 65:2	101:2,7,8,9,12,17	42:21 45:3 50:18	97:21 145:17	160:10,11 162:10
68:6 103:25 133:6	101:23,24 102:1	63:22 66:11 74:23	146:10	<b>looked</b> 10:14 41:13
135:2 140:23	102:14,16,22	87:3 88:21 89:12	<b>Liz</b> 6:22	61:5 156:8
143:2,11 144:10	104:13 106:9,18	97:19 122:1,2	<b>Lloyd</b> 93:2	<b>looking</b> 43:6 57:19
150:11 162:4,7	106:22 107:7,15	131:13	<b>load</b> 34:3 130:5	90:3 100:5
<b>ledges</b> 29:9	107:24 108:4,11	<b>line</b> 26:14 40:25	<b>loaded</b> 157:6	<b>loomed</b> 121:6
<b>left</b> 8:7 9:25 15:23	109:10,15,19	77:18 87:15	<b>lobbies</b> 118:9	<b>loopholes</b> 87:18
21:18 43:9 75:7	111:17 113:8	<b>lined</b> 26:8	149:22	<b>loss</b> 57:25 61:16
91:25 92:9 97:18	114:9 115:2	<b>lines</b> 129:23 133:20	<b>lobby</b> 20:12 52:20	133:6 141:22
149:25 158:21	119:20,22,23,24	<b>linked</b> 139:11	<b>local</b> 7:2 53:10	143:6 157:20
161:3,12	121:6 125:1,2	<b>Linton</b> 64:15	60:15 61:23 62:14	<b>lost</b> 10:18 12:24
<b>legal</b> 13:19 14:22	126:10,12,17	<b>lip</b> 69:11	62:24 64:1,9,18	19:23 20:18 23:25
22:11 58:21 59:3	150:15 154:8	<b>liquid</b> 27:15	82:15 113:7,23	67:8 93:7,8,24
60:8	<b>LFB's</b> 114:7	<b>list</b> 55:23 72:4 78:8	132:13 136:22	96:4 97:2,2,24

112:11,18 128:12 146:14 153:18 <b>lot</b> 16:20 97:7 131:14 158:12 160:14 <b>loud</b> 96:13 100:18 <b>love</b> 12:10 17:11 61:13 <b>loved</b> 10:21 93:7,24 96:5 97:2 141:7 <b>low</b> 27:22 42:24 146:7 <b>low-rise</b> 103:17 <b>lower</b> 29:16 139:12 139:13,19 <b>lowest</b> 26:1 27:10 <b>luck</b> 137:5 <b>lucky</b> 58:11 <b>Lydia</b> 16:22 <b>lying</b> 158:17	<b>majority</b> 27:25 62:13 88:14 146:11 <b>make-up</b> 144:25 148:5 160:24 161:16 <b>making</b> 52:1 115:13 124:20 149:2 159:17 <b>malign</b> 58:2 <b>man</b> 140:16 152:3 <b>manage</b> 5:5 <b>managed</b> 16:24 74:13 81:4 119:11 119:15 152:7 <b>management</b> 57:3 57:20 74:5,13 151:1 <b>manager</b> 126:8 <b>managing</b> 79:21 <b>mandated</b> 53:13 <b>mandatory</b> 65:2 <b>manifestly</b> 54:25 107:11 109:15 <b>manner</b> 23:17 68:24 156:25 <b>mantra</b> 127:8 <b>manufacture</b> 98:21 <b>manufacturer</b> 41:14 <b>manufacturers</b> 62:1 79:11 85:14 85:21 95:7 <b>March</b> 78:6 81:12 104:1 <b>Marcio</b> 17:5 63:6 <b>Marco</b> 15:16 18:10 <b>Mariem</b> 14:10 15:23 <b>Marjorie</b> 15:17 18:7 <b>Mark</b> 82:7 <b>marketing</b> 34:2 75:17 <b>Martin</b> 1:3 6:14	23:13 48:17 49:2 60:24 82:6 92:12 92:17,24 130:19 131:3 162:15 <b>Mary</b> 17:20 <b>masks</b> 54:2,14 <b>mass</b> 33:9 <b>match</b> 31:14 64:22 <b>material</b> 2:21 5:23 6:2 31:2,4,16 37:20 41:22 43:9 73:8,9 74:12,23 75:21 83:12 121:11 122:23 128:22 133:17 156:8 <b>materialised</b> 108:25 <b>materials</b> 26:9 28:1 28:20 32:11,15,18 32:18 34:8 36:14 37:3,7 39:1 40:24 46:2,5,8 71:5 73:23 79:2,25 82:16 86:6,9,15 86:20,24 87:1 91:12 97:19 98:18 98:20 101:5 103:3 103:8 124:10,12 126:18 127:13 <b>matter</b> 13:13 24:19 44:5 45:4 53:17 54:19 121:3,9 122:5 131:6 135:7 145:23 <b>matters</b> 8:10 9:3 23:8,17 52:6,8 55:23 71:4 78:10 88:7,9 106:21 110:3 127:17 128:3 133:2 <b>Maunder</b> 15:4 <b>mean</b> 6:6 72:1 102:12 142:23 <b>meaning</b> 94:7	<b>meaningless</b> 130:11 <b>means</b> 8:13 10:4 19:21 22:25 36:8 36:18 118:13 121:2 145:3 158:4 <b>meant</b> 9:21 63:20 111:13 145:5 <b>measure</b> 2:6 140:7 149:4 <b>measures</b> 12:17 30:3 101:6 136:12 148:14 <b>mechanisms</b> 39:18 <b>media</b> 44:21 159:15 <b>medium</b> 28:9 37:5 <b>meet</b> 49:12 82:12 <b>meeting</b> 82:8,10 <b>meetings</b> 75:16 82:15 <b>Melbourne</b> 103:22 104:19 <b>melted</b> 29:3 <b>melting</b> 32:23 <b>member</b> 116:6 157:3 <b>members</b> 10:20 17:7,22 67:8 116:18 152:7,8 158:16 159:7 <b>membership</b> 83:2 90:23 91:3,19 <b>memorandum</b> 2:23 3:7 <b>memorial</b> 10:15 <b>memorials</b> 93:23 <b>memory</b> 141:22 158:19 161:14 <b>men</b> 20:20 21:23 92:6 140:10 142:15,20 <b>Mendy</b> 17:20 <b>mental</b> 151:4 <b>mention</b> 15:8,15 17:1,20 18:22	20:2,7 33:16 107:2 109:2 133:4 <b>mentioned</b> 28:23 41:1 <b>mentioning</b> 120:7 <b>mercifully</b> 120:19 <b>merely</b> 44:7 <b>mess</b> 87:8 <b>message</b> 69:6 <b>Met</b> 119:21 <b>metal</b> 105:25 106:2 <b>method</b> 36:23 <b>methods</b> 91:13 103:12 <b>metres</b> 37:17 38:15 41:16 86:14 97:15 98:6 <b>metropolitan</b> 1:19 1:23 2:10 4:5 5:3 5:10 6:12 105:5 163:2 <b>mezzanine</b> 72:15 <b>MHCLG</b> 122:11 123:14,19 <b>Miah</b> 20:3 <b>mid-2014</b> 68:4 <b>mid-2019</b> 80:25 <b>middle</b> 20:21 136:11 146:11 149:19 155:9 <b>Mierna</b> 16:13 <b>migrants</b> 146:7 <b>migrated</b> 15:25 29:16 <b>milestone</b> 2:2 <b>Millennium</b> 161:12 <b>Millett</b> 1:21 95:11 101:9 149:6 150:3 <b>mince</b> 158:3 <b>mind</b> 56:9 83:24 148:25 <b>mindful</b> 6:9 <b>minds</b> 33:4 47:13 56:4 159:25 <b>mineral</b> 68:1
<b>M</b>				
<b>Macpherson</b> 134:18 <b>Macpherson's</b> 134:21 <b>magnesium</b> 115:12 <b>magnesium-like</b> 117:17 <b>magnitude</b> 5:18 <b>Mahmood</b> 93:1 <b>Mahmoud</b> 21:12 <b>Mahmud</b> 18:23 <b>mail</b> 159:15 <b>main</b> 45:13 105:18 129:16 <b>maintained</b> 89:20 99:3 <b>maintaining</b> 50:24 91:24 110:20 <b>maintenance</b> 55:18 98:22 <b>major</b> 57:21 59:12 65:12 85:21 102:16 119:22,23				

128:22,25 <b>mini-mafia</b> 57:18 <b>minimising</b> 5:11 85:21 86:4 <b>minimum</b> 87:18 <b>minister</b> 88:8 122:22 123:3,7 <b>Minister's</b> 77:5 <b>ministers</b> 122:24 123:3,16 <b>minorities</b> 138:22 146:8,9 <b>minority</b> 131:10 135:5,25 137:20 138:18 139:5 161:21 <b>minute</b> 72:23 <b>minutes</b> 48:19 53:1 55:4,13,14,17 59:22 64:21 72:23 115:6 121:21 <b>mirror</b> 131:19 <b>mirrors</b> 96:21 122:6 <b>miscarriages</b> 94:18 <b>misleading</b> 46:25 <b>mission</b> 95:4,5 <b>mistaken</b> 94:22 <b>mistakes</b> 94:18 <b>mitigating</b> 85:14 <b>mix</b> 87:21 <b>mixed</b> 131:15 <b>mobile</b> 108:3 <b>mobility</b> 14:22 89:12 109:4 111:24 112:3,17 141:23 <b>mock-up</b> 45:19 <b>model</b> 134:22 <b>modern</b> 7:23 60:22 91:18 99:12 102:11 <b>Mohammad</b> 20:22 21:8,13 <b>Mohammed</b> 20:4,5	112:11,20 113:4 <b>molten</b> 29:4 <b>moment</b> 31:17 43:7 48:15 111:22 124:8 130:15 140:19 <b>money</b> 97:18 156:21 158:17 <b>monitored</b> 78:21 <b>months</b> 11:4 17:19 18:19 69:4 143:6 156:16 <b>Moore</b> 17:8 105:14 105:24 <b>MOORE-BICK</b> 1:3 6:14 23:13 48:17 49:2 60:24 92:12,17,24 130:19 131:3 162:15 <b>moratorium</b> 98:9 98:15 <b>morbidity</b> 139:14 <b>morning</b> 1:3 8:9 9:19 16:25 56:11 142:10 162:19 <b>Moses</b> 15:14 <b>Moses/Raymond</b> 18:2 <b>mosque</b> 152:4 <b>mother</b> 14:9,14,15 14:17 15:17 17:20 18:7 20:24 141:14 143:21 144:20 145:20,25 157:9,9 <b>mother's</b> 142:9 <b>motivated</b> 85:5 <b>motivation</b> 84:4 85:6 <b>motive</b> 157:8 <b>mourning</b> 11:8 <b>mouse</b> 122:5 <b>move</b> 15:7 16:11 66:2 86:5 107:12 127:23 149:3	151:11 153:7 159:15 <b>moved</b> 16:8 73:20 145:12 147:14 159:2,7,7 <b>movements</b> 13:7 17:17 <b>moving</b> 2:5 23:24 93:18 95:12 97:10 127:5 141:13,19 <b>MP</b> 123:4,4,5 <b>multi-storey</b> 41:8 105:8 <b>multiple</b> 15:24 52:22 102:20 117:7 118:16,19 <b>mum</b> 112:17,23 143:23,24 <b>murder</b> 134:20 135:17 <b>Murphy</b> 6:20 20:24 93:3 <b>Muslim</b> 14:2 <hr/> <b>N</b> <hr/> <b>N</b> 163:1 <b>Nadia</b> 16:12 152:18 <b>name</b> 6:18 <b>named</b> 94:5 <b>names</b> 12:11 <b>Naomi</b> 15:21 16:22 <b>Naqshbandi</b> 147:10 152:12 <b>Naqshbandis</b> 158:21 <b>narrative</b> 39:21 <b>narrow</b> 52:15 <b>narrower</b> 10:13 <b>nation</b> 61:5 <b>nation's</b> 138:16 <b>national</b> 25:17,21 37:18 51:5 63:1 66:16 99:17 104:4 113:6,23 162:9 <b>nature</b> 1:25 8:5	30:9 50:12 77:11 132:19 <b>Nazanin</b> 141:10,12 142:8 144:16 145:11 <b>near</b> 27:25 32:18 50:1 103:18 <b>nearby</b> 56:21 <b>nearer</b> 103:23 <b>nearly</b> 63:17 <b>necessarily</b> 25:7 <b>necessary</b> 6:5 19:21 43:13 46:18 62:18 79:4 95:16 113:12 149:11 <b>necessity</b> 108:15 148:21 <b>Neda</b> 14:8,17,18 <b>need</b> 2:18 6:10 9:13 12:19,21 22:25 29:21,24 44:8 47:17 56:3 59:1 60:10 64:6 71:25 72:1,2 73:2,4 75:23,24 76:13 87:20 88:18 89:16 89:25 99:19 104:21 113:14 114:3 123:13 124:1 159:24 <b>needed</b> 54:4 141:5 <b>needing</b> 42:9 <b>needless</b> 158:12 <b>needs</b> 96:24 138:3 145:4,8 156:9 158:8 <b>negative</b> 139:12 159:18 <b>neglect</b> 57:15 <b>neighbour</b> 158:5 <b>neighbourhoods</b> 139:10,24 <b>neighbourly</b> 61:14 <b>neither</b> 17:8 42:6 142:14	<b>never</b> 51:16 69:13 136:12 145:20 147:5,6 <b>nevertheless</b> 11:8 84:20 100:14 <b>new</b> 8:19 10:23 28:6 30:7 42:23 54:16 64:12 69:16 72:17 85:15 86:13 98:6 110:9 129:1 159:19 <b>newfangled</b> 62:11 <b>News</b> 51:14 <b>newsletters</b> 78:17 <b>newspapers</b> 159:13 <b>Nicholas</b> 18:11 <b>Nichols</b> 6:22 <b>night</b> 3:13,15 9:11 11:6 16:11,16 22:3 29:6 32:6 49:9 55:18 56:5 63:5 77:9 89:2 101:12 108:3 149:9 150:12 151:14,16 152:19 153:17,21 154:8 154:20,23 155:20 156:5 <b>nightmares</b> 49:11 <b>nine</b> 158:25 <b>nod</b> 111:2 <b>non-combustible</b> 25:24 32:16 33:21 68:2 86:15 87:1,2 98:3 128:25 <b>non-compliance</b> 23:19,22 24:22 25:9 27:8 30:2,5 31:22 35:12 42:16 43:4,12,15,21 47:24 48:7 49:5 63:24 <b>non-compliant</b> 31:1 38:7 42:14 42:18,20 46:6
---	---	--	---	--

<p><b>non-conformity</b> 140:9 153:6 35:25</p> <p><b>non-experts</b> 51:2</p> <p><b>non-functioning</b> 58:3</p> <p><b>non-white</b> 144:19 144:23</p> <p><b>non-worsening</b> 30:24</p> <p><b>nonsense</b> 160:14</p> <p><b>normal</b> 26:13</p> <p><b>normally</b> 10:20,25 11:3 62:18 113:10 155:5</p> <p><b>North</b> 64:24</p> <p><b>Northleigh</b> 5:21</p> <p><b>notable</b> 102:21</p> <p><b>note</b> 38:10,14 99:10 100:2 110:8 125:20 128:4</p> <p><b>noted</b> 70:17 77:22 95:15 108:10,17 108:21 115:11 161:4</p> <p><b>notes</b> 29:7 38:8 67:16 112:8 113:9 113:13,17 158:10</p> <p><b>notice</b> 10:17 33:11 33:22 104:13,14 149:16,20,20,21</p> <p><b>notices</b> 149:14</p> <p><b>noting</b> 107:22</p> <p><b>notwithstanding</b> 86:1 125:8 150:1</p> <p><b>novated</b> 73:20</p> <p><b>November</b> 32:21 56:16</p> <p><b>nuanced</b> 51:22</p> <p><b>number</b> 1:5 14:20 18:21 20:9 53:8 77:20 113:7 114:14,15 116:11 122:23 137:25 142:5</p> <p><b>numbers</b> 89:5</p>	<p>140:9 153:6</p> <p><b>Nura</b> 16:19</p> <p><b>nursery</b> 72:14</p> <p><b>nurture</b> 93:16</p> <p><b>nurtured</b> 93:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>O'Beirne</b> 115:7,17 115:21</p> <p><b>o'clock</b> 18:2 19:2 20:23 92:15,18 142:10 162:16,18</p> <p><b>O'Connor</b> 56:18</p> <p><b>objective</b> 4:18 53:6 53:6</p> <p><b>objectively</b> 114:20</p> <p><b>objects</b> 76:16</p> <p><b>obligation</b> 30:10 35:5 45:11 46:3 123:22</p> <p><b>obliged</b> 135:9</p> <p><b>obscure</b> 9:3</p> <p><b>observation</b> 22:16 23:23 51:24</p> <p><b>observations</b> 52:5</p> <p><b>observe</b> 3:9</p> <p><b>observed</b> 24:2</p> <p><b>obstacle</b> 59:12</p> <p><b>obstructed</b> 52:17</p> <p><b>obtain</b> 45:19 49:25 59:2</p> <p><b>obtuse</b> 45:14</p> <p><b>obvious</b> 4:17 23:19 23:21 24:22 25:9 30:2 35:12 38:21 43:21 45:8 83:12 86:7 100:15,16 102:1 103:18 104:20,23 114:19 117:14 124:13 129:4 133:25 155:18</p> <p><b>obviously</b> 8:24 42:14 86:9 118:10</p> <p><b>occasions</b> 69:5</p>	<p>110:21</p> <p><b>occupant</b> 32:4</p> <p><b>occupants</b> 104:23 115:7 129:6</p> <p><b>occur</b> 58:1</p> <p><b>occurred</b> 2:3 22:3 27:25 40:8 123:11 126:3 137:7 138:2</p> <p><b>occurs</b> 58:25</p> <p><b>October</b> 59:21</p> <p><b>Off-site</b> 4:1</p> <p><b>offences</b> 2:13</p> <p><b>offer</b> 9:6 51:18 139:21</p> <p><b>offered</b> 71:18 78:12 139:21 143:8</p> <p><b>offering</b> 73:12</p> <p><b>offers</b> 36:5</p> <p><b>office</b> 59:6 72:14,16</p> <p><b>officer</b> 140:14 152:23 153:1</p> <p><b>officers</b> 5:21 78:20 118:4 139:22 142:10</p> <p><b>official</b> 145:16</p> <p><b>officials</b> 122:23 123:3</p> <p><b>oil</b> 28:8</p> <p><b>old</b> 28:7 111:23 123:13 140:25</p> <p><b>older</b> 14:25</p> <p><b>oldest</b> 139:4</p> <p><b>Oliver</b> 93:2</p> <p><b>Omar</b> 20:23 21:5,7 21:11</p> <p><b>omissions</b> 28:20 94:3</p> <p><b>on-site</b> 3:25</p> <p><b>once</b> 24:10 27:24 40:13 51:19 99:4 116:25 143:3</p> <p><b>one-bedroom</b> 16:11</p> <p><b>ones</b> 10:21 29:22 30:8 93:7 96:5 97:2 155:24 162:6</p>	<p><b>ongoing</b> 4:2 75:11 77:10</p> <p><b>online</b> 61:24</p> <p><b>onus</b> 91:15</p> <p><b>onwards</b> 83:15</p> <p><b>open</b> 16:1 39:4 41:13 52:20 77:22 88:2 122:22</p> <p><b>opened</b> 8:15 120:7</p> <p><b>opening</b> 1:5,19,23 6:17 8:21 13:15 23:15 38:18 43:22 48:25 55:24 61:4 70:7 73:12 74:10 74:20 76:4,12 79:7 89:13 92:23 95:18 96:1,7 101:10 109:16 122:2,10 123:23 125:20 128:4,10 129:19 131:2 163:2,4,5,7,9,10 163:12</p> <p><b>openings</b> 111:10 128:1</p> <p><b>openly</b> 31:7 33:15 33:18</p> <p><b>operate</b> 140:6</p> <p><b>operation</b> 5:21 80:11 101:12 151:13 154:7</p> <p><b>operational</b> 50:5 52:8 53:14 54:23 89:18 104:19 108:1,17 115:1</p> <p><b>operations</b> 150:17</p> <p><b>operator's</b> 16:1</p> <p><b>operators</b> 19:4,19 54:25 63:15</p> <p><b>opinion</b> 124:19 150:14 153:13</p> <p><b>opportunities</b> 50:19 131:12,12</p> <p><b>opportunity</b> 12:5 20:18 49:18 52:10</p>	<p>56:7 73:10 82:3 83:17 95:25 98:12 157:16</p> <p><b>opposite</b> 147:6</p> <p><b>opposition</b> 98:15</p> <p><b>option</b> 36:7,20 70:9 114:24 126:22</p> <p><b>options</b> 38:23 41:10</p> <p><b>oral</b> 10:23</p> <p><b>order</b> 3:9,17 22:24 33:20 41:24 43:12 61:1 79:5 80:5 88:10</p> <p><b>ordered</b> 64:25 119:6,17</p> <p><b>ordinary</b> 63:8</p> <p><b>organically</b> 35:16</p> <p><b>organisation</b> 55:16 58:3 66:17 74:5 74:13 137:14</p> <p><b>organisational</b> 12:22 101:7 126:25</p> <p><b>organisations</b> 91:16</p> <p><b>origin</b> 62:21 110:25 116:21 137:17 148:11 154:23 155:7</p> <p><b>original</b> 24:17 26:14 40:24 42:23 67:23 124:12 129:9</p> <p><b>originally</b> 16:8 44:21 46:10 89:22</p> <p><b>osteoarthritis</b> 141:21</p> <p><b>ought</b> 33:13 34:13 118:11,25</p> <p><b>out-of-control</b> 102:12 114:1</p> <p><b>outbreak</b> 65:6</p> <p><b>outcome</b> 43:16 138:7 140:18</p> <p><b>outcome-based</b></p>
---	--	---	--	--

91:4 <b>outcomes</b> 30:19 34:16 35:2,6,9,20 36:4 44:3 45:11 <b>outcomes-based</b> 84:24 <b>outer</b> 37:17 <b>outfits</b> 152:1 <b>outline</b> 71:8 <b>Outlines</b> 151:11 <b>outlook</b> 154:16 <b>outright</b> 139:16 <b>outset</b> 118:24 125:24 130:12 <b>outside</b> 16:7 19:6 39:24 53:2 67:4 69:11 76:16 115:15 146:23 <b>outsider</b> 154:17 <b>outstanding</b> 96:18 <b>overall</b> 34:9 43:13 <b>overcladding</b> 67:24 105:17 108:6 110:11 <b>overconcentration</b> 139:9 <b>overcrowded</b> 138:23 <b>overdeployment</b> 55:21 <b>overdue</b> 47:14 <b>overhaul</b> 35:17 <b>overlooked</b> 93:19 98:23 <b>overlooking</b> 35:14 <b>overrepresented</b> 136:1 <b>overriding</b> 54:21 <b>overrun</b> 121:22 <b>oversaw</b> 7:3 <b>oversight</b> 59:18 84:15 <b>overview</b> 1:22 101:7 <b>overwhelmingly</b>	138:13 <b>owe</b> 7:16 <b>owned</b> 64:24 84:25 <b>owner</b> 75:3 126:8 <b>owners</b> 91:7 101:22 <b>ownership</b> 84:21 85:4 <b>oxygen</b> 40:21 <hr/> <b>P</b> <hr/> <b>package</b> 121:20 <b>packet</b> 84:25 <b>page</b> 39:18 40:11 78:14 108:10,15 <b>paid</b> 7:6 45:20 92:2 <b>pain</b> 48:10 97:5 122:7 157:19 <b>panacea</b> 98:20 <b>panel</b> 37:23 <b>panels</b> 25:15,16,19 25:25 26:20,21,25 27:9 29:1,3 31:13 32:10 34:3 38:2,4 38:6,9,19,21 41:11,23,25 42:10 42:24 45:3 46:11 47:2 68:1 105:25 107:3,4 128:10,16 <b>paperwork</b> 145:15 <b>paragraph</b> 37:3,9 37:15,16,20,22 39:5 40:12,17 41:22 70:7 74:21 76:4,12 <b>parallel</b> 2:17 <b>paramount</b> 42:3 <b>parent</b> 17:15 <b>parental</b> 17:11 <b>parents</b> 10:20 14:25 16:15 112:15 <b>Parliament</b> 80:19 87:6 123:8 <b>Parliamentary</b> 65:11 123:1	<b>part</b> 2:10 3:13 7:8 21:21,22 22:17 35:16 36:24 39:7 49:24 51:12 66:11 69:23 72:7 75:17 78:8 81:3 82:18 95:5 98:19 120:20 133:22 134:4,19 135:21 137:2 140:3,23 144:24 150:7,23 153:8 154:6 158:6 162:11 <b>part-way</b> 76:25 <b>parted</b> 21:10 <b>participant</b> 2:15 44:20 66:12 71:1 <b>participants</b> 1:6 4:6 5:17 23:21 45:1 74:16 83:16 98:13 121:25 133:19 <b>participate</b> 47:3,22 61:18 82:19,20 83:8 <b>participation</b> 48:2 83:5 94:17 <b>particular</b> 13:13 24:20 26:4 45:6 78:17 95:18 97:8 104:10,17 107:2 107:10 120:9 128:23 140:20 144:23 148:16 149:21 150:22 154:16 158:20 162:5,6 <b>particularly</b> 54:13 77:19 79:3,19 105:8 121:7 125:23 133:18 141:4 153:20 <b>parties</b> 47:8 <b>partner</b> 82:6 153:19	<b>parts</b> 98:25 105:3 127:11 <b>pass</b> 111:16,17 128:23 <b>patently</b> 31:9 44:6 44:15 45:4 116:18 <b>paternalism</b> 94:22 <b>path</b> 5:13 59:12 <b>pathways</b> 26:6,10 <b>Patterson</b> 82:8,11 <b>Paulos</b> 18:24 19:3,7 <b>Pausing</b> 38:18 <b>peace</b> 56:9 <b>PEEPs</b> 112:6,24 <b>pejoratively</b> 154:18 <b>pen</b> 120:10 157:11 157:17 161:17 <b>penetrated</b> 15:21 55:4 <b>penetration</b> 29:10 <b>pensioner</b> 64:15 <b>people</b> 1:10 6:23 7:10,11,16 8:6,17 9:22 10:1,5,15 11:17 12:2,7,16 13:20 14:9,21 15:2,12 16:20 17:11 18:16 19:9 21:1,15,17 22:21 23:5,6 51:4 56:8 58:10 59:5 60:9 61:16 62:4,4 63:10,25 64:16 67:14 72:2 73:7 75:22 78:2 79:21 84:6 85:8 86:2 87:19,24 89:11,11 90:2,11,19 92:9 93:21 100:21 103:11 112:8 114:6 116:9,15,17 121:2 131:10,14 131:14 132:13 135:25 136:7,11 136:17 137:16,21	142:21 144:15 145:7,7 147:23 152:2,9,10 153:13 155:9 162:6,6 <b>people'</b> 143:24 <b>people's</b> 77:13 <b>perceived</b> 135:3 <b>percentage</b> 129:12 <b>perfect</b> 28:9 <b>perfectly</b> 134:15 <b>perform</b> 12:6,23 <b>performance</b> 26:24 40:12 66:8,20 <b>perilous</b> 19:16 <b>period</b> 95:20 115:24 119:12 160:2,7 <b>perished</b> 143:18 <b>permission</b> 45:19 59:3 <b>persistently</b> 121:24 <b>person</b> 58:9 109:5 116:8 135:4 144:5 147:23 154:17 155:12 156:23 <b>person's</b> 131:6 154:19 <b>personal</b> 49:15 58:25 93:9,12 96:2 112:6,13 <b>personnel</b> 52:25 <b>persons</b> 124:24 <b>persons/organisa...</b> 82:22 <b>persuade</b> 123:5 <b>pertinent</b> 77:10 102:14 <b>perverted</b> 63:21 <b>petrol</b> 31:8,15 33:16,20 <b>phase</b> 1:22 2:1 9:7 9:20 24:20 35:13 36:21 37:22 41:20 43:13,20 44:1 63:22 64:7 73:6,6
---	---	--	---	--



74:25 76:2,2,7,10 76:14,14,17,21 77:15,19,19 78:5 78:8 79:8 80:24 81:3 95:15 99:8 99:21 100:4 101:15 106:15,16 112:13 118:7 121:10 148:13 <b>phased</b> 108:13 110:17 <b>phenomena</b> 34:9 <b>phone</b> 144:4 <b>photograph</b> 149:15 <b>photographs</b> 1:9 <b>phrase</b> 128:6 158:2 <b>physical</b> 151:4 155:6 <b>pick</b> 87:21 118:7 <b>picked</b> 116:3 128:6 153:10 <b>Pickles</b> 123:4 <b>picture</b> 3:18 <b>piece</b> 34:1 49:24 56:13 128:14 <b>pieces</b> 52:19 108:11 158:14 <b>Pily</b> 18:13,16,18 <b>pipes</b> 68:25 <b>pipework</b> 68:21 <b>place</b> 11:12 31:21 50:10 62:6,12 69:20 72:24 93:21 99:4,16 112:18 136:6 137:24 144:6 146:2 148:15 159:8 <b>placed</b> 67:3 93:9 137:3 140:1 141:25 143:13 144:11,15 <b>places</b> 8:8 10:10 62:8 118:19 131:13 159:6 160:2	<b>plainly</b> 101:17 113:23 128:18 <b>plan</b> 55:9,11 61:1 99:1,1,4 101:23 108:18 109:6,8 110:3,15,18 111:3 111:14 113:5,10 113:13 114:11,19 121:1 125:3 <b>planner</b> 68:5 <b>planning</b> 7:18 33:14 45:19 50:16 53:15 66:25 107:17 109:13 114:3 126:21 151:15 <b>plans</b> 71:15 108:16 112:6,25 113:24 121:7 123:9 147:5 <b>plastic</b> 106:3 <b>plastics</b> 128:24 <b>play</b> 134:1 137:6 144:24 154:6 <b>played</b> 133:22 134:19 135:21 140:3,23 150:23 162:11 <b>plead</b> 47:6 <b>please</b> 1:13 39:9 40:11 129:14 <b>plus</b> 72:16,22 <b>pm</b> 92:20,22 130:24 131:1 162:21 <b>pockets</b> 54:2 <b>poignant</b> 93:18 120:10 <b>point</b> 9:24 19:2 30:5 35:24 105:3 107:20 114:23 115:6 117:11,15 119:14 120:6,24 123:11 127:10 130:20 145:13 147:22 151:11	153:10 159:17 <b>points</b> 12:11 30:9 72:13 94:23 97:10 102:2 119:20,21 120:4,4 129:12 <b>police</b> 1:19,24 2:10 2:15 3:15,17 4:5 5:3,7,10,16,18 6:12 49:24 119:21 131:8,24 134:20 135:7,9,16 140:8 140:10,12,14,16 142:17,19 163:2 <b>police's</b> 3:5 4:18 <b>policies</b> 113:23 116:22 126:24 <b>policing</b> 140:6 <b>policy</b> 50:10 51:5 52:17 53:13 55:9 63:2 65:19 88:23 88:25 89:15,18 99:10,15 100:10 100:17,24 101:3 101:14,20,21,22 102:7 107:13,14 108:10,19 109:2 109:18 110:23 113:6,7,7,9,17,21 114:10 120:11 121:4 132:11 137:2 149:19 <b>political</b> 60:8 98:1 132:19 <b>politics</b> 12:21 <b>polyethylene</b> 31:7 31:13 32:11,18 33:15 <b>polymeric</b> 32:10,17 <b>poor</b> 70:1 136:20 136:23 137:3,25 139:11 <b>poorer</b> 139:21 <b>populated</b> 93:21 <b>population</b> 136:15 138:22 139:18	140:9 148:19 <b>portions</b> 35:22 <b>portrait</b> 112:13 120:10 157:11,17 <b>portraits</b> 10:24 93:9,12 96:2 161:17 <b>posed</b> 31:24 40:7 67:2 70:3 130:7 <b>position</b> 44:21 45:1 45:15 70:15 71:1 75:2,15 78:13 79:20 83:6,22 87:6 92:4 95:25 98:17 119:1,3 122:1 123:14 126:9,10 127:8 129:21 135:15 <b>positions</b> 47:16,16 83:20 122:9 <b>positive</b> 12:6 50:14 54:15 61:13 <b>possible</b> 6:1,3,6 13:12,12 30:3 36:17 55:8 70:3 77:2,7 80:9 84:5 119:19 128:5 156:21 <b>possibly</b> 106:13 <b>post-Grenfell</b> 101:1 <b>Post-Lakanal</b> 114:4 121:19 <b>potential</b> 2:13 3:10 26:7 27:17 40:16 66:14 67:2 85:17 108:7 112:7 120:1 160:3 <b>potentially</b> 29:3 <b>poverty</b> 60:12,13 60:14 <b>power</b> 20:13 57:4 58:6,20 60:9 91:6 120:7 <b>powerful</b> 10:12	93:6 116:2 <b>powers</b> 3:5 <b>practical</b> 13:10 89:17 91:6 114:9 124:6 <b>practice</b> 82:20 84:19 <b>practices</b> 58:2 85:2 140:17 <b>praise</b> 63:8 <b>pre-1919-built</b> 139:4 <b>pre-foaming</b> 28:9 <b>preamble</b> 32:8 <b>precedent</b> 111:12 <b>precious</b> 12:3 <b>precise</b> 32:20 70:23 118:6 <b>precisely</b> 36:6,10 40:8 149:11 <b>preconstruction</b> 45:17,23 46:4 <b>predetermined</b> 49:6 109:1 <b>predict</b> 57:1 <b>prediction</b> 58:14 <b>predisposed</b> 27:13 60:16 <b>predominantly</b> 26:15 144:19,23 <b>predominate</b> 83:1 <b>preface</b> 23:23 <b>prefer</b> 92:14 <b>preferably</b> 91:2 <b>preferred</b> 91:4 <b>prefers</b> 44:9 <b>prejudice</b> 5:9,12,17 137:19 144:9 <b>preliminarily</b> 71:22 <b>preliminary</b> 45:18 71:19 73:14 <b>premise</b> 134:24 <b>prepare</b> 45:19 <b>prepared</b> 21:14
---	--	---	---	---

<p>22:23 75:13 82:16  <b>prescribe</b> 36:6  <b>prescriptive</b> 36:11  36:12 37:10,12,14  38:25 41:10 42:8  42:19 44:16 98:2  98:5  <b>presence</b> 3:15 6:12  70:5 138:21  <b>present</b> 37:7 71:18  108:14 115:4  157:11  <b>presented</b> 66:8  157:1  <b>Presenting</b> 81:5  <b>presently</b> 18:17  <b>preserve</b> 63:20  <b>pressing</b> 6:10 89:15  99:19  <b>pressure</b> 54:16  <b>presumably</b> 73:25  76:11  <b>presumption</b> 89:19  <b>pretences</b> 130:12  <b>pretend</b> 96:16  <b>prevaricated</b>  122:24  <b>prevarication</b>  96:22  <b>prevent</b> 22:24  27:23 49:13 77:2  93:16 94:9  <b>prevented</b> 49:21  60:3 125:15  <b>prevention</b> 13:1  24:13 94:1,4  148:14  <b>previous</b> 4:14 7:22  56:17 66:20 80:14  103:5,9 123:16  133:12 142:9  <b>previously</b> 3:25  31:1 46:8 106:8  123:12  <b>primarily</b> 7:17</p>	<p>12:16 85:5 100:1  <b>primary</b> 79:23 84:4  129:11 141:11  <b>Prime</b> 77:5 88:8  <b>principal</b> 11:13  73:17  <b>principally</b> 2:16  75:6  <b>principle</b> 30:1,24  31:18 53:4 62:23  <b>principles</b> 11:22  89:21  <b>prior</b> 51:11 73:24  99:1 135:15  <b>prioritise</b> 84:10  <b>prioritising</b> 50:20  <b>priority</b> 4:19  122:25  <b>private</b> 92:1  <b>privately</b> 17:13  <b>privileged</b> 61:8  <b>proactive</b> 5:24  <b>probability</b> 148:20  <b>probably</b> 120:18  130:20 131:21  143:2,2 157:8  160:13 162:17  <b>problem</b> 105:21,24  111:15 113:16  123:24 146:7  <b>problematic</b> 89:9  <b>problems</b> 74:19  109:4  <b>procedural</b> 29:25  80:14  <b>procedure</b> 88:9  154:7  <b>procedures</b> 4:21  6:5 151:12  <b>proceed</b> 80:21  <b>proceedings</b> 3:9,11  5:10 10:21 94:24  <b>process</b> 2:6 4:5,7,9  8:4 12:8 22:2  28:9 40:22,22</p>	<p>69:24 81:8 83:13  96:12 113:18  140:20  <b>processes</b> 95:22  137:17  <b>produce</b> 48:4 95:13  95:14  <b>produced</b> 25:18  30:13 35:3 38:11  39:17 83:13 140:8  <b>produces</b> 31:13  <b>producing</b> 74:25  76:25 105:13  <b>product</b> 26:15 27:3  33:18,19,21  136:25 137:8  <b>product's</b> 27:5  <b>products</b> 34:12  98:10 100:2 129:1  129:1,7  <b>professed</b> 85:13  <b>professional</b> 49:15  50:2 137:15 148:9  <b>professionals</b> 7:7  33:11 92:2 95:7  <b>Professor</b> 24:25  25:1,14 26:2,11  28:23 29:7 34:5,7  37:25 38:2 41:3  114:18 116:11  <b>profiled</b> 142:24  <b>profit</b> 91:16  <b>profits</b> 85:7  <b>profound</b> 10:11  162:8  <b>profoundly</b> 35:15  <b>programme</b> 5:24  112:2  <b>progress</b> 3:21 4:15  4:25 59:24 125:16  <b>progressing</b> 3:23  <b>project</b> 70:14 71:5  71:6 95:9 129:9  <b>promoted</b> 31:11  43:3</p>	<p><b>prompt</b> 117:20  <b>prompted</b> 106:6  <b>promptly</b> 6:9  <b>proof</b> 43:5  <b>proper</b> 4:10,11  134:15 137:9  138:11  <b>properly</b> 4:19,25  77:8  <b>properties</b> 56:22  <b>property</b> 9:4 22:17  23:3 30:12 58:5  126:3 143:16  145:12  <b>prophetic</b> 85:25  <b>proportion</b> 5:22  136:16  <b>proposals</b> 88:12  <b>propose</b> 23:16  47:11  <b>proposed</b> 46:11  67:23 70:17 71:11  72:5  <b>proposition</b> 128:9  <b>proscribing</b> 91:12  <b>prosecution</b> 142:13  <b>protect</b> 14:23 50:15  62:19  <b>protection</b> 24:12  30:3,12 66:16  92:5  <b>protections</b> 90:17  <b>protective</b> 152:1  <b>protest</b> 10:11  <b>protocol</b> 108:12  110:15  <b>proud</b> 141:6  <b>prove</b> 36:13 42:9  <b>proven</b> 32:16 44:16  <b>provide</b> 2:21 3:16  6:2,7 30:21 37:5  66:19 77:7 82:16  104:4 112:7  126:24 137:15  148:8</p>	<p><b>provided</b> 5:15 12:4  66:13 67:22 70:12  70:12 72:10,23  73:9 79:16 82:16  101:7 103:9 122:1  129:19 149:14  <b>providers</b> 86:22  87:5  <b>provides</b> 140:21  <b>providing</b> 5:23  123:20  <b>proving</b> 36:14  <b>provision</b> 54:1  <b>provisional</b> 24:24  25:8  <b>provisions</b> 113:20  <b>prudent</b> 41:21  <b>psychology</b> 12:25  <b>public</b> 7:6 9:4 10:9  12:14,20,23,24  22:17,18 26:23  29:23 56:14 59:15  67:12 74:15 77:25  81:24 88:4 89:17  91:20 94:13 95:2  95:4,5,8,8,10,12  97:18,18 98:13  116:6,18 122:4  124:8 125:19  126:23 127:5  146:5 152:9  <b>publication</b> 86:17  <b>publicised</b> 124:16  <b>publicly</b> 4:16 8:4  <b>publish</b> 58:16  77:23  <b>published</b> 65:24  87:14 159:13  <b>pumps</b> 54:6 119:23  <b>purchased</b> 47:2  <b>pure</b> 137:4,5  <b>purely</b> 161:5  <b>purpose</b> 3:1 30:11  30:14 42:5 49:13  80:22 90:5 122:18</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p><b>purpose-built</b> 62:16</p> <p><b>purposes</b> 108:14 115:4 141:6</p> <p><b>purposive</b> 42:1</p> <p><b>pursuant</b> 30:13 35:3 45:17</p> <p><b>pursue</b> 161:7</p> <p><b>pursued</b> 116:25</p> <p><b>push</b> 58:9</p> <p><b>put</b> 10:17 19:4 21:3 31:21 33:10 51:4 62:11 65:25 67:7 69:10 72:9 79:4 87:6 88:22 89:8 90:19 98:24 99:3 99:4,5,7,11,22 101:3,24 102:5 104:5 107:9 108:13 109:14 110:13,14,16,18 110:25 111:12,13 111:21 113:13,24 114:5,13,18,21,24 115:19 116:23 117:2,11,21,24 118:5,12,20 120:2 120:5,12,14,21,22 120:24 126:12,17 126:19 142:6 150:20 158:13</p> <p><b>puts</b> 145:23</p> <p><b>putting</b> 135:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Q</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>QC</b> 6:19 63:2 65:23 149:6</p> <p><b>quality</b> 46:8 68:13 70:1 71:7 84:6 139:21</p> <p><b>quantity</b> 129:8</p> <p><b>quarter</b> 57:10 139:3</p> <p><b>Queen</b> 141:7</p> <p><b>queried</b> 50:23</p>	<p><b>queries</b> 3:8</p> <p><b>question</b> 23:19 25:10 27:3 30:5 43:12 44:13 45:2 45:6 47:13 50:18 66:14 67:19 70:8 71:15 73:5 76:6 80:14 86:5 89:16 99:24 114:14 119:6 131:4,5 135:20 138:8 140:5 155:18</p> <p><b>questioning</b> 152:25</p> <p><b>questions</b> 2:25 10:3 29:21 75:13,25 77:21 78:7 79:18 82:4 83:17 92:3 96:6 101:18 109:16 117:9 121:11 125:18 130:7 132:14,17 132:18,23,25</p> <p><b>quickly</b> 9:14 17:25 18:4 20:10,11 31:14 55:24 84:5 114:16</p> <p><b>quite</b> 3:5 16:19 52:1 61:1 107:6</p> <p><b>quote</b> 57:10 67:22 132:6 136:5 138:4 143:20 150:13</p> <p><b>quoted</b> 151:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>R</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Rabeya</b> 20:3</p> <p><b>race</b> 84:17 85:6 133:1,5,21 134:11 134:19 135:21 136:7 138:15,25 140:3,22 150:22 154:1,6,8 161:15 161:22 162:1</p> <p><b>racial</b> 139:1,25 154:23</p> <p><b>racially</b> 142:24</p>	<p><b>racism</b> 135:18 137:8,11 138:4,10 140:7 148:8,12 155:17</p> <p><b>racist</b> 135:3,4,8 137:20 138:7 144:9 158:4</p> <p><b>radio</b> 108:22</p> <p><b>radioed</b> 115:17,21</p> <p><b>raft</b> 98:20</p> <p><b>rage</b> 6:24</p> <p><b>raging</b> 24:3 118:13</p> <p><b>Rahman</b> 14:4</p> <p><b>raided</b> 142:9</p> <p><b>rails</b> 28:15</p> <p><b>rainscreen</b> 46:10 65:24 67:2,15,18 70:4 71:17,25 72:21 79:12,13 98:8,10 99:13</p> <p><b>raise</b> 1:7 104:6 106:24,24,25 132:18 135:9</p> <p><b>raised</b> 53:18 67:18 70:2 78:20 84:7 87:25 155:22</p> <p><b>raises</b> 27:3</p> <p><b>Raj</b> 6:22</p> <p><b>Ramirez</b> 15:8 17:23 29:15</p> <p><b>ran</b> 26:16 152:22 153:3,9</p> <p><b>range</b> 50:22 53:17</p> <p><b>Rania</b> 14:1 146:14 146:16,18,19,21 147:1,3</p> <p><b>Rania's</b> 146:15,23</p> <p><b>ranks</b> 51:25 52:13</p> <p><b>rapid</b> 25:3,15 26:3 29:10 119:3</p> <p><b>rapidity</b> 104:22</p> <p><b>rapidly</b> 117:14</p> <p><b>rare</b> 102:17</p> <p><b>Rashad</b> 152:12</p> <p><b>rate</b> 29:2 34:7</p>	<p><b>rated</b> 31:19 110:9 110:10</p> <p><b>rating</b> 106:1 124:23 139:6</p> <p><b>rationale</b> 74:25 76:25 77:4,14</p> <p><b>raw</b> 11:5</p> <p><b>rawer</b> 11:5</p> <p><b>Raymond</b> 15:13</p> <p><b>RBKC</b> 7:5 31:23 44:24 46:5 106:10 106:13 109:7 124:9,21 125:20 126:5,8 138:6,9 143:14 148:6 156:12,22,25 157:5 158:1,24</p> <p><b>re-consider</b> 56:24</p> <p><b>re-entering</b> 103:1,6</p> <p><b>re-entry</b> 40:3</p> <p><b>reach</b> 41:7 43:13 58:16</p> <p><b>reached</b> 57:24 73:14 83:19 152:22</p> <p><b>reaching</b> 13:10 43:19 114:23</p> <p><b>react</b> 55:2</p> <p><b>reaction</b> 31:14 101:21</p> <p><b>read</b> 44:2 49:22 51:20 56:19 133:17 146:17 147:25 148:2 150:6,10 154:25 157:17</p> <p><b>readily</b> 61:22</p> <p><b>readiness</b> 13:25</p> <p><b>reading</b> 52:4</p> <p><b>reads</b> 37:3 39:22 40:10,17</p> <p><b>ready</b> 68:24</p> <p><b>real</b> 52:2 91:19 94:6,8 113:15</p> <p><b>real-time</b> 15:19</p>	<p>52:12</p> <p><b>realisation</b> 117:21</p> <p><b>realisations</b> 52:2</p> <p><b>realise</b> 9:14 119:2</p> <p><b>reality</b> 87:1 88:14 111:11 128:13 159:23</p> <p><b>really</b> 8:15 13:1 60:14 67:9 102:8 106:17 107:6 126:3,9,11 146:17 151:25,25 158:4</p> <p><b>reared</b> 156:12</p> <p><b>reason</b> 4:10 58:6 71:18 87:4 135:9 135:16</p> <p><b>reasonable</b> 7:25 41:20 43:24 86:3</p> <p><b>reasonably</b> 13:12 89:17 91:6</p> <p><b>reasons</b> 4:17 15:7 44:1 133:8</p> <p><b>reassurance</b> 81:10</p> <p><b>Rebecca</b> 120:9</p> <p><b>recall</b> 141:11 147:18</p> <p><b>recalls</b> 67:9 152:3</p> <p><b>receive</b> 145:18 159:14</p> <p><b>received</b> 58:18 69:6 82:18 145:16</p> <p><b>reception</b> 72:14</p> <p><b>recipe</b> 57:21</p> <p><b>recipient</b> 17:10 106:16</p> <p><b>recognise</b> 25:4</p> <p><b>recognised</b> 24:12 65:21 87:1</p> <p><b>recognising</b> 25:7 99:11</p> <p><b>recognition</b> 50:7 83:9 104:21</p> <p><b>recollection</b> 75:10</p> <p><b>recommend</b> 86:8 90:17,22 133:19</p>
--	---	--	---	--

150:17 <b>recommendation</b> 77:12 78:2 97:13 97:20 133:12 <b>recommendations</b> 12:22 74:24 77:2 80:23,25 81:2 83:14,19 90:3 97:1 99:20 103:25 104:3 135:23 148:23 <b>recommended</b> 84:20 <b>recommending</b> 90:6 <b>reconciliation</b> 10:25 11:3 161:6 <b>reconstruction</b> 4:2 <b>record</b> 13:6 154:24 <b>recorded</b> 108:1 <b>recording</b> 6:5 13:11 <b>records</b> 143:14 <b>recovering</b> 156:21 <b>recreate</b> 12:13 <b>rectify</b> 123:22,24 <b>recurring</b> 160:18 <b>red</b> 60:17 146:23 <b>reduce</b> 46:24 104:11 <b>refer</b> 72:13 75:15 75:24 112:6 <b>referable</b> 45:21 <b>reference</b> 3:14 12:10 36:10 42:10 72:4,6,21 76:24 107:3 113:16 114:4 122:16 132:3,22 133:4,8 133:13,20 138:14 155:5,10,23 161:25 <b>referred</b> 39:5 49:6 65:23 85:20 86:25 101:9 103:24	104:24 106:8,21 108:9 110:15 111:25 115:2 116:22 124:25 125:4 126:5 127:17 129:9 132:23 <b>referring</b> 33:19 67:20 81:3 154:3 155:15 <b>refers</b> 84:13 108:15 109:5 110:9 112:7 116:11 150:20 <b>reflect</b> 8:13 50:14 51:3 85:1 <b>reflected</b> 77:4 <b>reflecting</b> 19:8 <b>reflection</b> 10:6 <b>reflects</b> 55:24 <b>reform</b> 35:18 66:7 <b>refuge</b> 15:3 16:17 16:23 <b>refugee</b> 141:3 <b>refurbish</b> 64:10 <b>refurbishment</b> 7:3 24:10 27:4 31:5 59:7 61:20 67:23 68:17 71:19 72:12 73:17,23 75:5 78:16,19 79:9 97:7 100:14 111:11 125:6,8 127:24 162:3 <b>refurbishments</b> 104:11 <b>refusal</b> 47:22 <b>refusals</b> 59:15 <b>refused</b> 153:2 <b>regard</b> 45:6 75:11 100:9 104:10 <b>regarded</b> 60:13 <b>regarding</b> 61:19 63:5 66:18 97:7 112:21 114:16 124:17 127:7,19	129:10 145:16 <b>regardless</b> 40:24 <b>regards</b> 66:14 91:1 <b>regime</b> 90:15 124:4 <b>regional</b> 85:16 104:25 <b>regularly</b> 142:16 <b>regulate</b> 124:3 <b>regulates</b> 91:20 <b>regulation</b> 34:19,24 65:3,19 84:24 85:4 86:16 90:9 91:11,19,23 98:21 <b>regulations</b> 8:25 23:4,20 24:22 25:23 30:6,10,11 30:12,17,19,21 31:1 32:9 33:1 34:17 35:6,13,21 36:1,4 39:5 42:2,5 43:10,14,17,21,23 44:2,4,15,19,23 45:7,12 48:6 60:17 65:12 67:11 82:9 84:11 90:24 103:15 104:9 122:14,18,19 123:10,15,19 124:4 126:6 <b>regulatory</b> 7:1 84:14 90:15 91:4 92:4 98:2,5 123:21 124:6 <b>rehoused</b> 143:5 <b>reiterate</b> 97:10 126:16 <b>reiterated</b> 153:1 <b>related</b> 94:25 <b>relates</b> 99:25 <b>relating</b> 66:20 <b>relation</b> 53:15 70:18 71:12,20 77:19 78:18 121:7 156:13 <b>relationship</b> 60:4,6	75:3 157:19 <b>relationship-buil...</b> 75:17 <b>relative</b> 140:9 <b>relatively</b> 127:10 159:5 <b>relaxed</b> 151:23 <b>relay</b> 19:16 <b>released</b> 4:4 <b>relevance</b> 73:5 <b>relevant</b> 24:25 34:17 36:15,24 38:14 42:11 58:21 75:10 77:20 <b>reliance</b> 44:23 <b>relies</b> 38:10 <b>relieve</b> 35:4 <b>religion</b> 133:1,5,21 134:11 135:21 136:7 140:3,22 150:22 154:6,8 161:23 162:1 <b>religions</b> 11:22 <b>religious</b> 17:12 154:23 <b>relish</b> 159:14 <b>reluctance</b> 95:17 <b>remain</b> 48:11 56:22 <b>remained</b> 24:8 105:22 <b>remaining</b> 77:13 <b>remains</b> 5:10 73:13 107:24,25 123:19 138:15 <b>remarkable</b> 128:9 <b>remarks</b> 23:9 <b>remedial</b> 58:24 97:17 <b>remedies</b> 58:22 <b>remedying</b> 50:9 <b>remember</b> 65:17 69:10 100:10 132:24 147:13 159:24 <b>remind</b> 122:22	137:11 <b>remote</b> 62:21 130:4 <b>removal</b> 64:25 <b>removed</b> 66:4 88:16 <b>render</b> 7:4 30:22 128:10 <b>renders</b> 30:25 60:21 <b>repair</b> 69:7 <b>repeated</b> 14:5 29:19 66:1 96:4 106:5 <b>repeatedly</b> 5:4 27:18 39:21 <b>replace</b> 86:23 <b>replaced</b> 51:21 90:1,7 91:18 <b>replacement</b> 68:6 88:18 <b>replicated</b> 41:18 <b>replied</b> 81:8 <b>report</b> 12:22 31:3 32:14,20 66:7,10 66:12,16 71:14,16 72:6 75:1 76:8,11 76:22,25 77:4,15 78:4 79:2 80:18 80:19,22 81:5,17 82:23 83:3,9 85:12 87:9,10,14 88:4,8 90:6,18,23 91:5 97:15 98:11 104:25 105:13 114:17,18 122:17 123:12 125:14 127:11 137:12 148:13 149:17 150:12 159:25 <b>reported</b> 15:22,24 16:4 33:3 65:1 73:11 85:17 <b>reporters</b> 64:18 <b>reporting</b> 29:13,18 115:8 118:2
---	---	---	--	--

<b>reports</b> 25:7 77:23 79:1 91:9 <b>reprehensible</b> 8:24 <b>represent</b> 34:12 72:2 83:16 97:12 157:22 <b>representation</b> 157:23 <b>represents</b> 81:14 <b>reputable</b> 43:24 <b>request</b> 6:8 <b>requested</b> 59:22 <b>requests</b> 5:23 6:1 <b>require</b> 30:17 43:15 129:5 132:14 133:8 <b>required</b> 9:15 27:12 30:20 34:16 34:22 35:7,9,21 36:4,13 37:20 38:9 41:22,24 45:11 46:8 51:6 68:4 73:9 83:7,10 90:15 96:5 97:2 108:18,20 109:11 110:23 113:23 114:9 141:24 <b>requirement</b> 43:16 44:10 86:12 126:20 <b>requirements</b> 30:6 30:18 32:8 98:2,5 <b>requires</b> 8:1 34:19 34:24 35:17 37:23 38:1,19 62:17 94:2,2,4,9,10,10 148:4 154:5 <b>rescue</b> 19:12 53:12 55:14 63:16 65:11 79:21 119:18 123:2 150:16 <b>rescued</b> 153:14 <b>rescuing</b> 153:22 <b>research</b> 66:7 138:16	<b>residences</b> 100:21 <b>resident</b> 56:17 63:4 64:18 67:3 78:20 83:4 91:3 140:20 145:3 154:14 155:10 157:4 <b>residential</b> 58:5 70:10 72:17 <b>residents</b> 7:10 20:20 24:1 49:7 54:3 55:3,18 56:4 57:25 58:10,22 59:1 67:1,4,21 68:3,11,18 69:21 70:2 72:1 75:22 78:10,11,17,18,21 82:12,25 83:10,25 84:9 88:13,18,24 89:25 111:19,25 112:2,22 118:1 119:10,12,18 127:18 134:2,11 136:5 145:1 148:1 148:2,6,10 149:9 149:12,23 150:6,9 150:15,19 154:3,9 154:20,23 155:3 156:1 <b>residents'</b> 78:15 82:14 <b>residing</b> 136:11 <b>resist</b> 25:12 28:1 31:10 34:25 43:2 43:18 <b>resistance</b> 24:15 27:6 46:18 62:18 <b>resistant</b> 46:9 <b>resolution</b> 48:9 161:7 <b>resolve</b> 42:4 <b>resolved</b> 93:14 <b>resonate</b> 160:15 <b>respect</b> 4:12 9:11 49:17 73:17 95:2 98:23 99:10 105:1	108:21 110:6,19 121:8 <b>respectfully</b> 2:8 <b>respecting</b> 12:7 <b>respectively</b> 29:17 <b>respond</b> 47:16 78:25 <b>responded</b> 78:21 <b>responding</b> 50:11 112:5 <b>response</b> 2:2 3:14 3:17,19 9:8,14 35:16 49:4 73:12 98:24 100:5,12 121:8 127:21 <b>responses</b> 54:8 109:10 <b>responsibilities</b> 74:2 84:14 <b>responsibility</b> 3:12 7:8 42:15 46:23 50:10 57:6,19 74:18 75:20 76:21 79:21,23 88:7 94:5 95:2 111:19 122:12 128:15 129:23 <b>responsible</b> 8:21 25:2,15 45:16 85:20 100:13 109:5 127:4 <b>responsive</b> 6:1 88:4 <b>restoration</b> 8:2 <b>restored</b> 8:3 <b>restricted</b> 40:19 <b>result</b> 31:24 32:3 41:7 51:13 64:14 132:7 133:4 134:5 138:5 159:16 <b>resulted</b> 32:25 55:17 <b>resulting</b> 29:10 32:7 55:5 <b>results</b> 30:19 57:25 <b>resume</b> 48:20	162:18 <b>retained</b> 45:17 <b>retainers</b> 73:18 <b>retrofitting</b> 104:8 125:12 <b>return</b> 23:9 56:4 94:25 97:6 111:21 152:23 153:2 <b>returning</b> 38:23 40:5 41:5 52:25 <b>revealed</b> 92:8 <b>reveals</b> 138:17 <b>review</b> 3:10 60:2 65:1,12 66:9 80:15,16 81:2,15 82:5,9,10,19,22 83:2,13,21,23 85:12 86:8,18 100:3 104:9 115:1 123:9 <b>reviewing</b> 134:6 <b>rewrite</b> 122:14 <b>Reynobond</b> 25:14 33:19 38:21 46:15 47:2 <b>Reynodual</b> 33:18 33:20 <b>rhetorical</b> 131:5 <b>rhetorically</b> 155:12 <b>RIBA</b> 86:12,15 87:7,15 98:4 <b>rich</b> 61:12 154:2 <b>rid</b> 146:6 <b>rife</b> 159:19 <b>right</b> 1:17 6:16 13:8 29:22 59:5 59:16 72:5 75:25 76:1 137:9 143:5 147:6 <b>rightly</b> 4:24 162:2 <b>rights</b> 12:6 50:15 114:8 157:21 <b>rigorous</b> 53:14 <b>ring</b> 61:6 <b>rise</b> 14:22	<b>risk</b> 5:9,12,17 7:17 31:24,25 32:2,6 32:20 33:12 37:6 37:7 49:6 50:11 53:15 85:14,17 86:4 97:18 105:9 105:18 106:13,21 107:7,9,14 108:1 109:18,21 110:1,8 113:10 117:18,19 119:2 124:25 125:2 127:6,16 135:24 161:21 <b>risk-management</b> 91:11 <b>risked</b> 63:10 <b>risks</b> 22:22 40:7 77:10 85:22 104:23 105:16 107:8 114:10 124:14 127:12 <b>Rob</b> 65:17 <b>robust</b> 107:11,13 124:3 <b>rocket</b> 23:5 <b>Roe</b> 51:8 <b>role</b> 2:16 46:25 73:11 79:19 95:23 96:8,17 124:9 129:13 130:13 <b>roles</b> 84:14 <b>romantic</b> 17:12 <b>roof</b> 15:25 <b>room</b> 1:13 15:22 19:19 51:10 52:13 52:23 54:19,22 55:7,11 59:5 121:15 <b>root</b> 13:1 <b>Rose</b> 6:20 <b>Ross</b> 120:9 <b>Roubaix</b> 104:17 <b>rough</b> 68:24 <b>roughly</b> 51:9 <b>round</b> 69:7
---	--	--	--	---

<b>route</b> 9:12 27:16,18 36:8,11,12 37:10 37:12,12,14 38:25 39:3 41:10 42:7,8 42:19 44:16	90:4 101:3 125:6 125:9 144:12	115:11 146:7 147:5 149:16 152:15	<b>sea</b> 135:5 <b>sealed</b> 141:20 <b>search</b> 19:12 122:4 <b>searched</b> 131:24 140:11 142:16 <b>Sebbar</b> 21:25 <b>second</b> 6:25 8:18 22:13 23:20 25:25 29:7,25 30:17 36:9 39:16 43:1 44:17 53:17 97:23 103:4 120:18 <b>Secondly</b> 98:17 118:14 <b>secretariat</b> 83:7 88:1 <b>Secretary</b> 80:20 86:18,22 87:11 132:5 133:11 134:15 <b>section</b> 36:24 39:18 53:11 78:7,9 107:19 <b>sections</b> 159:18 <b>sector</b> 84:16 <b>secure</b> 87:18 <b>securing</b> 30:14 <b>see</b> 1:15 18:12 50:17 60:12 61:22 67:4 72:11,20 73:2,4 75:14,23 75:24 79:13 131:14,15 140:21 147:19 152:14 153:23 <b>seeing</b> 152:3 159:9 159:10 <b>seek</b> 40:21 44:18 48:12 82:12 118:20 124:19 128:22 <b>seeking</b> 24:1 82:13 88:8 <b>seeks</b> 76:10 <b>seen</b> 16:6 51:16	54:22 72:3,22 102:10 105:21 107:24,25 110:22 137:17 138:13 139:23 155:25 158:7 <b>segregation</b> 137:2 <b>select</b> 32:14 65:1 81:6 85:16,24 87:13,22 <b>self-accelerating</b> 34:6 <b>self-evident</b> 148:23 <b>self-regulation</b> 91:15 <b>sender</b> 106:16 <b>senior</b> 82:6 123:3 <b>sense</b> 60:15 121:3 162:9 <b>sensibilities</b> 11:22 <b>sent</b> 106:10 111:18 118:4 123:2 <b>separate</b> 3:5 <b>separated</b> 19:25 <b>separately</b> 4:20 <b>September</b> 95:14 99:9 101:2,20 123:7 <b>serial</b> 65:15 <b>series</b> 119:21 123:2 <b>serious</b> 32:4 57:25 58:4 79:18 86:2 105:10 118:23 126:14 151:25 <b>seriously</b> 70:9 110:21 111:11 152:16 <b>Serrano</b> 69:9 <b>served</b> 48:10 79:1 <b>service</b> 1:20 12:23 40:4 55:15 56:8 100:12 110:4 111:4,7 112:24 130:5 137:15 148:9 151:10,13
<b>routes</b> 26:25 28:4 28:18 36:6 62:19 91:8	24:1 27:7 28:22 37:6 42:2 57:6,15 58:24 62:6,10,11 62:13,15,25 63:19 65:11 66:13,18 67:10,18 70:13 72:8 74:22 78:2 78:12 82:10 83:11 84:10 87:19 88:9 88:12 95:2 97:1 97:18 100:23,25 103:16 104:12 105:15 106:20,20 107:17 110:1 123:1,21 124:7,19 129:5 139:6,7 145:13,18,21 146:1,6 147:4,13 147:19,20,24 148:14 150:10	<b>Sawsan</b> 16:10 <b>Saye</b> 17:20 <b>Sayeda</b> 146:14 151:20 156:20,24 157:4 <b>Sayeda's</b> 152:11 <b>saying</b> 23:6 64:20 69:7 71:24 75:19 116:8 118:18 135:12,16 143:23 155:25 160:11 <b>says</b> 43:7 68:20,25 75:2 76:11 118:8 136:4,9 142:8 143:20 144:17 145:11 146:15 147:17 152:6,21 153:21 157:4,17 158:5 <b>scale</b> 24:7 39:13 54:24 <b>scandal</b> 158:7 <b>scared</b> 116:10 <b>scenario</b> 40:8 <b>scenarios</b> 66:9 <b>scene</b> 4:4 18:18 147:10 <b>schedule</b> 30:18 34:16 44:4 102:18 <b>schools</b> 129:7 <b>science</b> 23:1,5 <b>scope</b> 76:10 132:9 132:18 <b>Scotland</b> 65:4 <b>Scottish</b> 65:1 <b>screen</b> 39:9 65:25 72:9 149:16 <b>screens</b> 26:22 34:2 <b>scrupulously</b> 95:22 <b>scrutinise</b> 81:18 <b>scrutiny</b> 58:1 88:10 99:8		
<b>Royal</b> 86:11 <b>RS5000</b> 26:15 28:24 41:15 46:10 75:7 <b>rubber</b> 28:7 <b>rudimentary</b> 53:9 <b>rule</b> 125:14 <b>rules</b> 87:20 <b>ruling</b> 77:16,22 78:6 81:12 <b>run</b> 62:3 <b>running</b> 6:10 156:14 <b>rush</b> 35:18 <b>rushed</b> 63:9 <b>Rydon</b> 45:13,17 46:2,14,16,20 59:23 67:3 68:14 68:18 70:11 71:2 72:24,25 73:3,11 73:16,21 74:1 76:10,13 78:12,16 78:22,24 79:5,9 90:20 129:16,20 <b>Rydon's</b> 43:22 44:21 46:25 47:1 69:6 73:24 74:2 78:20,25	<b>safely</b> 19:10 <b>safer</b> 128:25 129:11 <b>safety</b> 7:2 23:18 24:1 27:7 28:22 37:6 42:2 57:6,15 58:24 62:6,10,11 62:13,15,25 63:19 65:11 66:13,18 67:10,18 70:13 72:8 74:22 78:2 78:12 82:10 83:11 84:10 87:19 88:9 88:12 95:2 97:1 97:18 100:23,25 103:16 104:12 105:15 106:20,20 107:17 110:1 123:1,21 124:7,19 129:5 139:6,7 145:13,18,21 146:1,6 147:4,13 147:19,20,24 148:14 150:10 <b>sake</b> 36:17 <b>Sakineh</b> 14:11 140:25 141:9 142:11,14 143:4 143:15 144:6,10 156:11,17 <b>Sakineh's</b> 142:2,24 143:6 145:10 <b>sample</b> 67:3 <b>sandwich</b> 26:20 27:9 105:25 <b>save</b> 7:14,15 48:2 49:15 50:21 56:7 63:10 77:13 129:18 152:7 <b>saved</b> 15:1 63:11 152:9 <b>saving</b> 58:13 68:8,9 <b>saw</b> 26:22 29:4 61:6 106:14 115:5	<b>sea</b> 135:5 <b>sealed</b> 141:20 <b>search</b> 19:12 122:4 <b>searched</b> 131:24 140:11 142:16 <b>Sebbar</b> 21:25 <b>second</b> 6:25 8:18 22:13 23:20 25:25 29:7,25 30:17 36:9 39:16 43:1 44:17 53:17 97:23 103:4 120:18 <b>Secondly</b> 98:17 118:14 <b>secretariat</b> 83:7 88:1 <b>Secretary</b> 80:20 86:18,22 87:11 132:5 133:11 134:15 <b>section</b> 36:24 39:18 53:11 78:7,9 107:19 <b>sections</b> 159:18 <b>sector</b> 84:16 <b>secure</b> 87:18 <b>securing</b> 30:14 <b>see</b> 1:15 18:12 50:17 60:12 61:22 67:4 72:11,20 73:2,4 75:14,23 75:24 79:13 131:14,15 140:21 147:19 152:14 153:23 <b>seeing</b> 152:3 159:9 159:10 <b>seek</b> 40:21 44:18 48:12 82:12 118:20 124:19 128:22 <b>seeking</b> 24:1 82:13 88:8 <b>seeks</b> 76:10 <b>seen</b> 16:6 51:16		
<hr/> <b>S</b> <hr/> <b>Sabah</b> 19:24 <b>Saber</b> 14:18 <b>sadly</b> 85:25 <b>sadness</b> 162:8 <b>safe</b> 19:6 33:20 62:8,22 67:13 84:6 85:8,10 90:1				

153:21,22 154:7 163:2 <b>services</b> 11:21 53:12 72:18 89:18 151:3 152:19 <b>set</b> 4:7 5:4 19:16 64:5 76:22 122:9 123:14 133:9 137:24 144:6 147:24 <b>seven</b> 24:3 93:11 <b>severe</b> 16:9 <b>Shahrokh</b> 141:13 143:20 145:23 151:14 153:4 158:10 <b>shame</b> 162:9 <b>shameful</b> 87:23 <b>Shanghai</b> 103:22 <b>share</b> 46:23 56:1 81:23 132:15 <b>shared</b> 13:10 53:8 110:6 112:23 <b>sharing</b> 101:17 <b>Sharjah</b> 103:21 <b>shattered</b> 158:14 <b>Shawo's</b> 18:24 <b>sheer</b> 11:16 <b>sheet</b> 106:2 <b>sheets</b> 106:1 <b>Sheila</b> 20:8 <b>shelter</b> 14:9 <b>sheltered</b> 15:16 <b>shepherd</b> 19:17 <b>Shepherd's</b> 56:21 <b>Shepherds</b> 56:21 106:9 <b>shields</b> 153:8,10 <b>shift</b> 117:22 <b>shine</b> 58:1 <b>shock</b> 61:6 86:7,9 144:1 <b>shocking</b> 110:1 116:3 <b>shoddy</b> 61:21 79:8	<b>short</b> 1:23 9:9 23:10 48:23 92:21 106:22 130:21,25 131:9 138:8 <b>shortly</b> 3:16 19:2 51:8 66:4 <b>should've</b> 55:19 <b>shouted</b> 152:24 <b>shouting</b> 116:6,16 116:17 <b>show</b> 1:11 43:4 <b>showed</b> 1:8 114:3 160:1 <b>showing</b> 1:24 <b>shown</b> 34:2 115:14 <b>shows</b> 25:20 123:17 <b>shy</b> 127:1 <b>Siar</b> 147:10 <b>sibling</b> 17:12 <b>side</b> 23:1 115:10 152:23 <b>Sierra</b> 20:25 <b>sight</b> 143:6 <b>sign</b> 147:5,13 149:24 <b>signed</b> 125:5,21 <b>significance</b> 46:24 <b>significant</b> 2:2 27:2 33:1 34:13 61:19 69:20 71:2 101:13 116:11 141:23 161:15,21 <b>Significantly</b> 47:11 <b>signs</b> 147:18,20,21 147:25 150:10 <b>silence</b> 48:8 96:22 <b>silent</b> 48:11 123:20 127:2 <b>similar</b> 1:11 20:18 34:9 58:5 77:11 78:3 99:16 103:8 106:11 144:16 <b>simple</b> 69:19 107:6 130:4 <b>simplified</b> 23:4	<b>simply</b> 30:19 58:23 62:19 97:9 121:2 129:7 146:3 155:18 161:22 <b>simultaneous</b> 88:25 89:7,15 99:15 <b>single</b> 71:8 80:11 89:4 160:18,19 <b>single-staircase</b> 89:10 <b>sir</b> 1:3 5:4 6:14,18 7:20 11:11 13:6 14:1 22:5 23:13 23:16 43:19 45:8 48:14,17 49:2,3 55:23 57:9 60:23 60:24 61:5 70:5 76:5,24 78:6,23 80:13 81:1 86:5 87:17 91:22 92:11 92:12,17,24,25 97:7 99:7,18 103:23 104:24 112:19 114:15 116:2 120:8 121:9 127:7 129:15 130:18,19 131:3,4 132:25 133:13,24 134:18,21,24 135:5,12,13 136:14 140:1 141:11 144:5 147:22 148:11,22 151:9 154:21 157:24 161:10 162:14,15 <b>sirens</b> 152:17 <b>Sirria</b> 16:8 <b>sister</b> 14:10,14 143:18 146:14 157:17,22 <b>sisters</b> 14:11 <b>site</b> 4:6,10 <b>sits</b> 83:9 <b>sitting</b> 7:11 59:4	<b>situation</b> 109:9 119:4 123:6 151:23 <b>six</b> 18:19 24:12 26:25 67:8 69:4 139:4 <b>sketch</b> 22:5 <b>skin</b> 131:6,17 142:17 144:21 160:17 <b>skins</b> 46:13 <b>Sky</b> 51:14 <b>sleep</b> 89:2 <b>slight</b> 32:2,3 <b>slighted</b> 61:22 <b>slightly</b> 92:17 121:22 <b>slowed</b> 125:15 <b>small</b> 74:13 120:20 157:7 <b>smaller</b> 28:6 <b>smell</b> 15:22 <b>smoke</b> 15:23 18:5 26:10 29:18 34:21 52:21 55:3 64:17 96:21 104:7 115:25 116:12 118:9,16 119:9,9 122:6 129:25 143:24 <b>smoke-comprom...</b> 54:18 <b>smokescreen</b> 130:15 <b>so-called</b> 30:23 156:25 <b>soap</b> 159:8 <b>social</b> 7:3 11:25 57:20 58:22 65:9 86:22 87:4 89:10 97:23 132:11,18 133:1,5,21 134:11 135:21 137:1 139:24 140:3,22 159:15 161:23	162:1 <b>societal</b> 60:20 <b>society</b> 9:21 22:21 60:17 <b>solace</b> 9:11 <b>solely</b> 44:22 <b>solicitors</b> 11:11 <b>solid</b> 24:17 34:11 68:1 <b>solution</b> 35:8 37:13 <b>Somali</b> 152:3 <b>somebody</b> 126:9 <b>Somewhat</b> 51:8 <b>son</b> 15:10,17 18:7 19:22 63:17 143:20 145:23 <b>son-in-law</b> 16:13 120:16 <b>sons</b> 19:1 20:4 <b>soon</b> 6:3 <b>sorely</b> 9:13 <b>sort</b> 85:9 104:13 109:25 <b>sorts</b> 1:11 51:23 <b>sought</b> 16:17 42:7 53:19 58:20 81:10 157:7 <b>sound</b> 67:20 <b>source</b> 40:15 65:7 122:22 <b>sources</b> 98:4 <b>south</b> 65:10 <b>space</b> 10:16 <b>spaces</b> 10:10 <b>spandrel</b> 46:11 <b>sparking</b> 115:12 <b>spatial</b> 50:18 <b>speak</b> 6:15 58:20 61:2 75:9 93:8 141:4 146:16 156:2 <b>speaks</b> 106:17 <b>specialist</b> 70:13 73:25 <b>specialists</b> 73:24
--	--	---	--	--

129:17	<b>sprinklers</b> 97:22	24:4,7 88:16	<b>status</b> 139:12	137:20 144:10
<b>specific</b> 14:22	104:8 125:13,14	101:1 117:13	<b>statutorily</b> 90:25	<b>Steve</b> 20:13 120:7
32:17 103:23	<b>staff</b> 52:13 55:7	130:6 134:5	<b>statutory</b> 4:23 44:5	<b>stick</b> 120:11
108:20	121:15 125:3	143:17 152:1	<b>stay</b> 19:4,7,18 21:3	<b>Sticking</b> 119:3
<b>specifically</b> 52:18	156:25	<b>starting</b> 30:5 37:14	31:21 51:4 62:8	<b>stills</b> 1:12,25
107:22 139:16	<b>stage</b> 78:3 81:19	93:5 117:15	62:22 88:22 89:8	<b>Stokes</b> 31:25
<b>specification</b> 46:7	98:23 100:20	134:24	98:24 99:3,5,7,11	106:14,14 110:9
<b>specified</b> 36:10	118:1 119:7,18	<b>starts</b> 69:21	99:22 101:3,24	111:2,25 127:15
46:10	126:14 127:20	<b>state</b> 45:8 47:15	102:5 104:5,5	127:16,17,19,20
<b>specify</b> 132:25	149:10 161:18	66:24 70:17	107:9 108:13	<b>stood</b> 31:4
<b>specifying</b> 41:15	<b>stages</b> 17:16 39:23	122:12 132:12,16	109:14 110:13,14	<b>stop</b> 147:2 162:18
<b>specs</b> 129:11	149:25	133:15 134:14	110:16,18,25	<b>stop-and-search</b>
<b>spectacular</b> 103:13	<b>staircase</b> 52:16	<b>state's</b> 50:9	111:12,13,21	140:6
<b>speed</b> 15:20 64:20	53:7 54:5 89:4	<b>stated</b> 77:17 78:6	113:13,24 114:5	<b>stopped</b> 124:4
116:20	<b>staircases</b> 97:23	81:20,22 84:22	114:13,18,21,24	131:7,24 140:11
<b>speedy</b> 59:16	103:4	87:14 107:16	116:23 117:2,11	142:16
<b>spend</b> 121:21	<b>stairs</b> 14:7 18:14	<b>statement</b> 1:19	117:21,24 118:12	<b>storey</b> 72:13 86:14
<b>spent</b> 122:7	19:17 21:4 53:1	3:17 6:17 23:15	118:20 120:2,5,12	<b>stories</b> 7:21 22:8
<b>spirit</b> 12:2 13:25	146:21 147:9	45:15 48:25 61:4	120:14,21,22,24	<b>story</b> 12:14 22:19
<b>spitting</b> 115:12	153:3	63:6 70:15 71:1	126:12,19 147:14	25:1 145:10
<b>split</b> 37:25	<b>stairway</b> 119:10	73:12 74:10,20	150:20	153:16 159:8,14
<b>spoke</b> 20:20,23	<b>stairways</b> 119:8	75:2,15 77:5	<b>stay-put</b> 20:16	162:4
146:18	<b>stairwell</b> 19:9,23	78:13 89:13 92:23	21:19 50:24 51:6	<b>straight</b> 19:14
<b>spots</b> 108:23	52:21 53:25 54:18	109:25 123:14	52:14 55:9,19	<b>straightforward</b>
<b>spread</b> 12:18 20:10	55:22 108:5	131:2 149:6 154:4	62:23 63:5 99:2	44:13
25:3,6,12,16 26:4	120:17 146:20	155:16 163:2,4,5	101:21 108:18	<b>strategic</b> 101:14
26:6,7 27:1,13,23	<b>stance</b> 47:17	163:7,9,10,12	149:19	151:15
28:4,10,18,25	<b>stand</b> 9:1 36:1	<b>statements</b> 1:5	<b>stayed</b> 14:13,25	<b>strategy</b> 71:8 72:10
29:4,8 31:10,11	<b>standard</b> 25:17	13:10 49:23,23	18:12 21:15	73:1 99:2 110:13
32:22 34:6,10,20	27:12 36:2 62:17	52:4 64:4 79:7	<b>staying</b> 124:8 127:5	110:14
34:25 37:5 39:18	86:16 98:11 102:7	95:13,15,19 96:1	<b>steering</b> 139:23	<b>Stratford</b> 51:10
39:23 43:3,18	<b>standards</b> 25:22	96:7 98:16 122:1	<b>Stein</b> 33:5 61:1,3,4	<b>streets</b> 142:16
52:20 53:3 62:9	36:11,16 42:11	122:2,10 127:8	61:5 81:13 92:12	<b>stress</b> 138:17 143:7
64:20 65:7 66:9	62:25 63:1 87:18	133:18 154:25	128:6 163:9	<b>strictures</b> 6:4
70:19 71:10,12,21	97:14 110:12	155:1,24	<b>step-free</b> 141:25	<b>striking</b> 144:18
73:15 79:6 85:17	<b>standing</b> 51:5	<b>states</b> 62:16 67:3	<b>Stephanie</b> 6:19	<b>strokes</b> 112:16
85:22 92:5 104:7	53:13	69:9 71:9 73:22	127:25	<b>strongly</b> 132:9
104:10,22 105:10	<b>stark</b> 6:25 103:9,11	78:14 109:7	<b>Stephen</b> 123:4	152:8
106:6,18,24,25	<b>starkly</b> 32:4 94:19	147:12 151:20	134:18 137:12	<b>struck</b> 23:25
110:24 113:16,19	<b>start</b> 1:7 10:20	153:4	<b>Stephen's</b> 135:17	<b>structural</b> 120:1
113:25 115:18,23	68:16 76:24 90:16	<b>stating</b> 132:21	<b>steps</b> 86:3 143:13	<b>structure</b> 24:15,17
<b>spreading</b> 103:7	92:14,18 100:12	152:4	<b>stereotyped</b> 142:23	119:25 126:25
116:19	117:10 122:11	<b>statistic</b> 160:10	<b>stereotypes</b> 155:14	<b>struggled</b> 141:23
<b>spring</b> 59:6	126:17 131:4	<b>statistics</b> 140:8	<b>stereotypical</b> 155:8	<b>stuck</b> 46:22
<b>sprinkler</b> 103:3	<b>started</b> 7:23 17:24	160:12	<b>stereotyping</b>	<b>students</b> 160:8



<b>Studio</b> 46:7 47:8 59:23 70:15,23 73:3,19 74:1 129:16,19 <b>study</b> 35:10 36:18 37:13 104:20 <b>stuff</b> 49:11 <b>Styrofoam</b> 26:22 <b>subcontractor</b> 47:1 <b>subcontractors</b> 7:7 46:17 47:1 62:2 75:4 90:21 129:25 <b>subject</b> 9:3 24:19 49:9 100:3 <b>submission</b> 23:23 73:22 79:15 133:7 134:4 140:4 148:22 154:6 <b>submissions</b> 33:17 48:14 81:19 92:11 102:19 104:17 114:15 129:18 132:2 <b>submit</b> 2:8 35:22 41:20 46:14 77:12 86:15 90:15 137:7 138:12 148:3 154:15 155:20 156:8 157:3 158:8 161:11,18 <b>submitted</b> 83:13 <b>subsequent</b> 5:9 117:23 123:17 <b>subsequently</b> 68:9 <b>substandard</b> 124:23 127:14 <b>substantial</b> 5:22 61:19 69:20 127:12 <b>substantially</b> 51:1 <b>successful</b> 102:6 <b>suffer</b> 138:2 <b>suffered</b> 2:7 18:17 49:14 60:13 <b>suffering</b> 64:17	94:7 128:6 141:20 <b>suffers</b> 18:21 <b>suffice</b> 161:17 <b>sufficient</b> 25:8 43:4 130:14 <b>sufficiently</b> 55:2 154:24 <b>suggest</b> 25:8 46:20 73:4 74:9 75:11 78:23 80:3 81:4 96:17 119:16 140:8 154:14,21 155:20 <b>suggested</b> 36:19 46:1 140:14 <b>suggestion</b> 16:1 36:22 43:22 69:19 109:17 <b>suggests</b> 24:8 37:9 74:10 114:20 137:23 154:10 155:16 <b>suit</b> 38:25 39:3 <b>suitable</b> 129:7 132:20 <b>supervision</b> 4:11 <b>supplied</b> 26:24 108:2 128:7 <b>suppliers</b> 47:15 79:25 95:7 <b>supply</b> 75:7 130:3 <b>support</b> 40:22 82:21 83:7,10 97:12 98:1,4,14 127:24 156:25 158:11 <b>supported</b> 11:10 87:10 <b>supporting</b> 2:11 98:4,17 <b>supports</b> 32:23 <b>supposed</b> 26:17 <b>suppression</b> 24:14 108:6 <b>sure</b> 1:14 2:9 22:7	59:9 61:1 145:25 161:14 <b>surely</b> 120:2 <b>surface</b> 31:11 34:11 <b>surfaces</b> 37:17 <b>surprise</b> 129:18 <b>surprising</b> 136:23 <b>surrounded</b> 41:4 <b>surrounding</b> 87:9 133:22 135:22 <b>surrounds</b> 27:15,21 32:19 42:25 <b>surveyors</b> 129:8 <b>Survival</b> 55:5 149:8 149:18 150:4,25 <b>survive</b> 17:2 20:1 <b>survived</b> 14:18 17:8 24:17 61:9 120:19 <b>surviving</b> 6:23 22:7 151:8 <b>survivors</b> 7:21 10:7 13:22 20:9 29:20 49:19 81:7,9 82:24 83:7 86:10 90:10 122:6 124:1 124:15 130:10 <b>susceptible</b> 105:9 <b>suspects</b> 159:12 <b>suspended</b> 143:4 <b>suspicion</b> 156:11 <b>suspicious</b> 160:8 <b>swiftly</b> 127:23 <b>Syria</b> 21:9 <b>system</b> 25:2,5,12 30:4 36:8 37:7 38:16 40:13,16,20 42:17,20 44:14 54:16 62:6,11 63:20,21 67:20,25 70:8 84:12,18 91:9,18,23 97:8 105:17 108:2 112:4 123:18 124:11,18 125:17	138:16 139:7 143:4 158:17 <b>systemic</b> 50:7 <b>systems</b> 33:9,12 62:13 78:10 85:18 99:12 100:1 101:4 103:2,3 105:1,7 106:19,23 125:13 127:13 130:1 <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>T</b></p> <hr/> <b>tackling</b> 152:14 <b>tactical</b> 101:14 <b>take</b> 13:1 59:2 69:22 74:18 84:21 86:2 87:20 88:6 98:12 114:13 136:19 143:10 149:7 <b>taken</b> 21:5 24:10 47:16 61:19 64:16 67:16 83:20 85:20 86:3 112:17 136:12 149:17 150:25 <b>takes</b> 50:13,17 <b>talk</b> 144:2 146:2 <b>talking</b> 16:2 146:5 160:13 <b>tall</b> 37:8,17 102:17 110:21 <b>taller</b> 38:15 <b>tape</b> 60:17 <b>target</b> 63:23 140:15 <b>targeted</b> 64:5 <b>task</b> 5:20 66:19 <b>tasked</b> 115:19 <b>tasks</b> 6:7 <b>TB4000</b> 27:21 42:24 <b>team</b> 1:22 11:11 13:19 93:4 149:5 <b>teams</b> 13:21 <b>technical</b> 9:2 22:11 22:20 23:1 53:18	105:15 <b>technicalities</b> 130:16 <b>technicality</b> 96:15 <b>techniques</b> 85:2 <b>technology</b> 85:2 <b>Tekle</b> 18:24 <b>telephone</b> 19:5 21:13 22:4 <b>television</b> 51:13 <b>tell</b> 7:21 20:19 25:1 51:4,12 60:10 63:15 74:11 95:6 95:10 96:8 115:18 115:22 123:23 124:2 126:1,21 129:14 135:11 146:2 153:16 <b>telling</b> 10:21 16:5 51:16 63:14,16 96:13,20 106:23 146:13 <b>tells</b> 26:11 39:12 <b>temporal</b> 50:18 <b>temporary</b> 159:1 <b>ten</b> 26:13 40:23 41:2 <b>Tenant</b> 74:5 <b>tenants</b> 57:7,16 60:5 97:23 <b>tends</b> 62:3 119:16 <b>term</b> 61:25 62:2 110:11 138:14 154:15 <b>terminals</b> 108:3 <b>terms</b> 3:7,13,19 22:4 25:5 45:24 70:23 76:24 91:5 132:3,22 133:4,8 133:13,20 147:18 148:17 149:8 161:25 <b>terrible</b> 61:15 <b>terrifying</b> 57:11 <b>test</b> 26:24 27:2 36:9
---	--	--	--	---

37:10,12 39:3,6 39:13 42:7 128:12 140:7 <b>testament</b> 24:17 <b>testify</b> 11:17 <b>testimonies</b> 23:25 <b>testing</b> 4:2 32:16 36:8 123:17 124:3 124:4 <b>tests</b> 91:9 <b>thank</b> 1:17 6:14 23:12,13 39:9 40:11 48:17,21 49:3 60:23,24 61:3 72:19 92:12 92:19,25 130:18 130:19,23 162:14 162:15,20 <b>theatre</b> 10:10 <b>theirs</b> 79:20 100:11 159:4 <b>theme</b> 97:6 126:23 <b>themes</b> 29:19 <b>Theresa</b> 161:4 <b>thermal</b> 67:20 <b>they'd</b> 111:18 127:11 159:6 <b>thing</b> 1:7 12:3 13:8 56:2 102:4 <b>things</b> 1:12 9:6,17 10:11 13:17 51:23 84:4 94:20 130:15 <b>think</b> 6:15 48:17 67:6 68:12 92:13 131:18,20 141:12 144:18 146:12 147:1 160:13 162:16 <b>thinking</b> 94:10 <b>third</b> 9:8 30:21 54:19 120:19 147:11 152:12 <b>Thirdly</b> 43:6 <b>thorough</b> 2:12 <b>thought</b> 27:5 57:11	110:16 113:5 122:24 160:21 <b>thoughtlessness</b> 137:19 144:9 <b>thoughts</b> 145:1 <b>thousands</b> 90:2,11 135:24,25 <b>thread</b> 156:14 <b>three</b> 16:13 18:16 26:25 27:14 30:9 42:13 98:25 119:1 123:12 130:3 <b>thumbnail</b> 22:5 <b>time</b> 5:22 9:2,16 22:16 32:10 47:14 51:10 52:2 59:10 62:12 64:18 94:6 95:25 96:15,16,19 96:20 99:14 111:5 113:15 115:22 116:5 118:17,20 119:4 122:25 125:18 126:1 127:4 128:2 130:16 135:18 143:9 158:3,22 162:17 <b>time-line</b> 101:8 119:20 <b>times</b> 7:24 26:13 40:23 41:2 94:13 102:12,22 140:11 <b>timescales</b> 3:24 <b>timing</b> 114:16 <b>tired</b> 69:14 <b>TMO</b> 7:5 59:14,18 59:23 60:4 64:1,9 67:6 68:14,18 69:4 70:17,21,24 72:24 73:3,11,16 74:5,11,12 80:1 111:4,7 112:1,7 112:21 124:9,17 124:21 125:3 126:5,8 127:20	129:10 138:6,9 145:15 148:6 156:12 <b>TMO's</b> 78:13 <b>today</b> 1:11 7:11 58:9 61:12 79:7 132:2 133:7 135:10 144:21 160:16 <b>today's</b> 1:4 <b>Todd</b> 37:1,25 38:8 <b>told</b> 7:12 18:8 19:3 19:4,7,18,20 21:12,13,19 22:9 40:11 49:22 59:8 64:18 67:21 68:3 71:24 82:11 87:22 112:13 120:21 121:2 145:21 146:4 147:1,4 152:23,25 <b>tolerant</b> 93:21,21 <b>tolerate</b> 22:23 <b>tomorrow</b> 162:19 <b>Tony</b> 17:1 <b>tools</b> 84:15 <b>top</b> 15:25 18:4 26:7 28:3,17 116:14 <b>topic</b> 9:8,19 22:13 56:11 <b>Torero</b> 25:1 28:23 29:7 38:2 114:18 116:11 <b>total</b> 104:21 117:23 160:5 <b>Toukou</b> 153:18 154:10 <b>Toukou's</b> 154:4 <b>tower</b> 2:14 4:1,3 6:24 7:4 12:3 16:11 23:18 24:4 24:16 28:18 34:4 39:12 40:9 41:4 43:2,7 48:5 53:11 56:8,20 58:4	59:24 60:7 61:7 61:17 62:7 63:10 67:1,4,7,12,14,21 67:24 68:17 70:1 70:10 71:8 73:7 73:18 74:7,12 75:4,7,16,20 76:18 78:3 79:4 83:25 85:9 87:19 88:14,20 89:6,19 91:2,25 95:3 101:3 102:12 104:18 105:8 107:25 110:7 116:6,7,13 123:18 124:5 125:9,15 126:18 128:20 133:7,23 134:2 136:3,5,11,21,24 137:4,7 138:1 140:1 141:1,18,19 142:1,3 143:8,14 144:7,11,15 145:1 146:1,10 147:12 147:20 148:1,6,15 149:9,15,25 150:23 151:24 152:2,4,21 153:5 153:9,9,19 154:3 154:11,14 159:3 160:24 162:3,10 <b>Tower's</b> 31:20 <b>towers</b> 24:6 148:21 <b>TP</b> 112:4 <b>tracker</b> 112:4 <b>tragedy</b> 2:2 77:8 94:11 149:1,1 162:12 <b>tragic</b> 60:2 <b>trained</b> 53:23 <b>training</b> 50:4,10 55:10 100:10 121:9,11,12,19 <b>transcript</b> 149:7 <b>transcripts</b> 54:22	<b>transfer</b> 141:16 <b>translate</b> 141:5 145:16 <b>transparency</b> 94:10 125:19 <b>transparent</b> 125:22 <b>transport</b> 85:16 <b>trapped</b> 28:9 <b>trauma</b> 10:1 49:16 49:21 <b>traumatised</b> 156:1 <b>travel</b> 157:2,11 <b>travelled</b> 76:16 116:14 <b>travesty</b> 80:8 135:19 <b>tread</b> 5:13 <b>treated</b> 7:13 58:10 58:19 60:14 93:22 142:8 155:19 156:15,19,22,24 157:25 158:18,20 159:12 <b>treating</b> 145:7,7 <b>treatment</b> 157:5 <b>Trevisan</b> 15:16 <b>tribute</b> 141:13 <b>tributes</b> 93:18 <b>tried</b> 13:13 58:9,11 144:2 <b>triggered</b> 51:12 <b>trip</b> 19:22 <b>trouble</b> 41:13 <b>troubled</b> 155:2 <b>true</b> 10:19 93:10 <b>truly</b> 57:11 110:1 120:3 162:12 <b>trumped</b> 125:25 <b>trust</b> 7:25 8:3 <b>trusted</b> 83:23 <b>truth</b> 10:24 11:3 58:20 76:20 94:1 94:2 95:6,6,10 96:4,21 122:4 125:25 153:15
---	--	--	--	---

161:6	<b>ultimately</b> 11:12 12:17 25:3 135:2 144:10	<b>understanding</b> 2:23 3:8 31:12 48:9,12 63:3 127:18 145:6	<b>unsuitable</b> 46:5	<b>user</b> 154:15
<b>truth-telling</b> 8:5	<b>unable</b> 55:1 75:9 109:3 156:2	<b>understood</b> 35:23 107:24 145:4 147:25 149:13 150:6	<b>untenable</b> 47:20 52:14 107:10 108:19 109:15 111:1,21 113:24 117:3,21	<b>usurp</b> 96:16 130:13
<b>truths</b> 161:8	<b>unaided</b> 143:12	<b>undertaken</b> 117:20	<b>untraced</b> 116:17	<b>utilitarian</b> 13:3
<b>try</b> 19:17 64:10 118:5	<b>unambiguous</b> 44:5	<b>undertaking</b> 84:10	<b>untried</b> 62:11	<b>utility</b> 12:9
<b>trying</b> 50:19 75:14 89:3 156:18,20 158:17	<b>unanswered</b> 29:20	<b>underway</b> 97:17	<b>unwell</b> 18:13	<b>utterly</b> 50:2 91:24
<b>Tuccu</b> 18:22	<b>unarguably</b> 42:21	<b>undeserving</b> 154:18	<b>unwillingness</b> 74:18	
<b>Tuesday</b> 1:1	<b>unassisted</b> 16:24	<b>uneasily</b> 83:9	<b>unwise</b> 77:18	<b>V</b>
<b>Tunisian</b> 155:11,12	<b>unaware</b> 112:25	<b>unequivocal</b> 115:9	<b>unwitting</b> 137:19 138:5 144:8	<b>value</b> 77:16
<b>turn</b> 10:4 22:12 29:1 33:7 34:16 36:2 80:15 113:7 130:3,4 149:20	<b>uncertainty</b> 48:11 97:5	<b>unequivocally</b> 134:24 135:11 157:25	<b>update</b> 4:14 113:14	<b>valve</b> 130:4
<b>turned</b> 85:9	<b>unclear</b> 73:13	<b>unerringly</b> 131:22	<b>upfront</b> 125:24	<b>variety</b> 106:12
<b>turning</b> 51:13	<b>uncomfortable</b> 115:13	<b>unexamined</b> 161:3	<b>upper</b> 15:2 29:13 136:11	<b>various</b> 52:13,23 53:25 72:13 115:14 159:6
<b>turns</b> 39:17 41:9	<b>uncommon</b> 145:6	<b>unforgettable</b> 8:14 17:10	<b>upstairs</b> 18:8	<b>vast</b> 49:24 88:14
<b>TV</b> 159:8	<b>unconscionable</b> 134:9	<b>unfortunately</b> 57:23 152:11	<b>uPVC</b> 27:14,20 32:19,24 42:25	<b>vastly</b> 22:6
<b>Twin</b> 24:6	<b>unconscious</b> 155:17	<b>unidentified</b> 75:12	<b>upward</b> 26:6	<b>vehicles</b> 55:15
<b>two</b> 2:5,19 7:22 10:8,14 14:3,10 15:3 19:1 20:20 21:14,23,24 23:16 36:6 44:1 46:12 55:17 58:12 61:8 63:11 89:7 94:19 97:10 101:25 112:16 133:16 138:21 146:15 153:9 161:12	<b>uncontrollable</b> 53:3 103:14	<b>units</b> 52:24,25	<b>upwards</b> 29:11	<b>ventilation</b> 54:16 130:1
<b>two-bedroom</b> 141:9	<b>uncover</b> 61:15	<b>universal</b> 50:1	<b>Urbano</b> 15:8 29:15	<b>verify</b> 71:7
<b>two-year-old</b> 20:25	<b>underconcentrat...</b> 139:15	<b>universally</b> 37:19	<b>urge</b> 81:1 90:5	<b>version</b> 39:11
<b>type</b> 11:1 12:18 55:11 65:22 109:22	<b>underlay</b> 31:20	<b>universally</b> 37:19	<b>urgency</b> 123:6	<b>vertical</b> 26:6 34:10 85:10 105:18
<b>typically</b> 97:23	<b>undermine</b> 90:17 135:1	<b>universally</b> 37:19	<b>urgent</b> 35:17 77:12 80:17,21,23 88:8 99:6 117:22 118:23 132:14	<b>vertically</b> 32:22
<b>U</b>	<b>undermined</b> 31:18 114:22	<b>unknown</b> 102:18	<b>urgently</b> 99:18 131:21 158:9	<b>vested</b> 9:5
<b>UCAS</b> 160:1	<b>underpinned</b> 62:24	<b>unnatural</b> 94:15	<b>us'</b> 144:1	<b>Vicky</b> 17:12 18:6
<b>UK</b> 33:8 66:11 131:18 141:1 154:11 160:15	<b>underscore</b> 49:10	<b>unpalatable</b> 161:8	<b>us-and-them</b> 146:10	<b>victim</b> 135:4
<b>ulterior</b> 157:8	<b>underscores</b> 138:25	<b>unprecedented</b> 5:19 8:14 11:16 56:2	<b>use</b> 34:14 37:6 41:24 46:2 53:23 61:25 71:6 72:25 91:12 98:9,18 112:5 128:15 146:21 147:1,7,8 155:14 156:6 158:1	<b>victims</b> 4:19,24 8:13 47:25 49:20 125:24 126:11
	<b>understand</b> 9:6 23:1 54:9 58:18 69:17 77:8 79:4,5 81:3,13 83:20 97:3 121:19 123:15 128:20 132:13 148:2 149:23 150:10,14 156:2 157:4 162:12	<b>unprincipled</b> 57:18	<b>useful</b> 140:4	<b>video</b> 1:8,24 16:6 29:5 51:3 79:12 116:15
		<b>unprofessional</b> 68:24	<b>useless</b> 107:20	<b>videos</b> 1:12 115:14
		<b>unsafe</b> 89:6,20 90:3		<b>view</b> 43:13,20 50:1 51:2 53:8 94:22 117:15 123:17 132:19 134:8
		<b>unsatisfactory</b> 35:15		<b>viewed</b> 154:9 159:22
		<b>unseen</b> 34:20		<b>viewing</b> 51:13
		<b>unspoken</b> 160:23		<b>viewpoint</b> 63:4
				<b>views</b> 19:5 24:25 82:13
				<b>village</b> 61:11 85:10
				<b>villains</b> 128:14

<b>Vincent</b> 20:2	9:20 22:7 87:17	157:24	<b>went</b> 18:8 19:14	69:16 107:2
<b>violation</b> 50:9	89:23 96:16 97:3	<b>ways</b> 42:13 58:11	52:25 64:21 77:9	<b>windowsill</b> 69:11
<b>violent</b> 94:15	97:9 105:3 117:9	60:2,11 69:18	84:7 101:13	<b>Windrush</b> 158:7
<b>virtual</b> 61:11	130:11,13 138:8	<b>we'll</b> 8:10 48:19,20	110:24 127:3	<b>wiser</b> 13:24
<b>virtually</b> 24:18	144:14,22 149:10	92:18 162:12,18	132:12,16 144:4	<b>wish</b> 35:19 38:24
31:6	149:23	162:18	162:13	54:8 77:8 83:18
<b>visa</b> 157:10	<b>wanted</b> 11:9,10	<b>we're</b> 1:4,21 6:9	<b>weren't</b> 69:15	88:20 128:5
<b>visas</b> 157:13	20:8	35:13 100:20	<b>Westminster</b>	<b>wishes</b> 47:4
<b>visit</b> 113:18	<b>wanting</b> 59:6	126:18 128:12	136:10 158:24	<b>withdrawing</b> 51:6
<b>visiting</b> 15:4 18:23	<b>War</b> 80:12	<b>we've</b> 4:14 5:4	<b>Wharton</b> 123:4	<b>withdrawn</b> 55:19
143:19	<b>war-torn</b> 24:4	49:22 61:8 80:13	<b>whatsoever</b> 78:13	143:5
<b>visits</b> 4:6 107:18	<b>warn</b> 46:5,18	102:18 110:22	145:18	<b>withhold</b> 59:19
121:13	<b>warned</b> 32:20 57:8	116:22 118:21	<b>wheezing</b> 143:25	<b>withholding</b> 22:20
<b>visual</b> 117:13	127:12	158:6	<b>whilst</b> 2:12 4:11 5:7	<b>witness</b> 64:21 79:7
<b>vital</b> 15:17 18:7	<b>warning</b> 1:14 33:25	<b>wealth</b> 139:17	5:11 25:7,21	95:13 133:18
77:5 97:17 99:6	37:2 112:8 147:19	<b>wealthier</b> 144:20	132:24 145:17	<b>witnessed</b> 56:20
100:6 161:19,25	<b>warnings</b> 66:5	<b>wealthy</b> 60:11	148:13 150:19	<b>witnesses</b> 11:13
<b>vivid</b> 2:5	103:10,11,20,23	<b>wear</b> 58:14	162:2	17:5,19 29:5
<b>vogue</b> 158:2	111:16	<b>Weatherby</b> 33:5	<b>white</b> 26:21 131:14	49:12 50:1,22
<b>voice</b> 38:12 69:21	<b>warns</b> 37:2 52:18	45:5 50:13 60:25	131:20 136:11,15	53:9
81:14 152:11	<b>Warren</b> 65:17	63:2 92:13,16,23	139:23 142:21	<b>witnessing</b> 7:20
<b>voices</b> 15:19 70:2	<b>Warrington</b> 70:12	92:24,25 130:19	160:8,13	<b>woefully</b> 27:11,22
82:25 83:20	70:16	163:11	<b>whites</b> 138:19,24	<b>woman</b> 14:2 144:20
<b>vulnerable</b> 111:23	<b>Warringtonfire</b>	<b>website</b> 65:18 66:4	<b>wholly</b> 53:10 61:16	<b>women</b> 92:6
112:8 113:5	66:10,13	<b>Wednesday</b> 141:12	73:13	<b>wonder</b> 48:14
124:24 129:6	<b>wasn't</b> 58:9 130:4	162:22	<b>widely</b> 56:14	143:17
<b>W</b>	135:7 142:2	<b>week</b> 10:20 11:5	<b>wider</b> 145:11	<b>wonderful</b> 102:4
<b>wait</b> 8:22 33:23	<b>waste</b> 50:18 158:12	93:6,6 96:2 120:9	150:21	<b>wonders</b> 111:7
139:20	<b>wasted</b> 97:19	141:12 157:13	<b>widespread</b> 86:7	153:25
<b>waited</b> 97:4	<b>watch</b> 79:12 89:3	159:25,25 160:6	98:1 122:16	<b>wool</b> 128:22,25
<b>waiting</b> 74:11	<b>watched</b> 116:1	<b>weekly</b> 5:25	<b>wield</b> 58:6	<b>word</b> 3:21 5:2
88:15 122:7	<b>watching</b> 53:2	<b>weeks</b> 2:5 7:22 10:8	<b>wife</b> 18:13 19:25	154:13
<b>waking</b> 89:3	<b>water</b> 152:15	10:14 12:12 56:3	21:24	<b>words</b> 22:8 34:22
<b>walk</b> 11:24 14:6	<b>way</b> 5:6 7:4 8:14	61:8 133:17	<b>William</b> 64:15	47:25 57:2 63:12
18:13 61:17 72:2	10:2,5 13:15,16	156:16 161:13	134:18,21 135:12	84:23 85:24 93:6
73:7 75:22 76:19	25:5 28:25 29:1	<b>weight</b> 58:15	<b>William's</b> 134:24	114:24 156:6,20
141:24 143:12	32:8 35:9 36:18	<b>welcome</b> 1:4 64:3	<b>Williams</b> 123:4	158:3
<b>walkway</b> 72:15,16	42:4 43:6 44:10	82:3	<b>willingness</b> 30:1	<b>work</b> 2:12 4:2 5:7
<b>wall</b> 26:14 36:25	51:17 55:8 65:5	<b>welfare</b> 30:15	<b>wind</b> 69:11	8:20 10:6,16 13:3
43:18 68:1 86:13	66:1 68:24 69:1	<b>well-established</b>	<b>window</b> 27:14,21	13:21 14:16 23:19
<b>walls</b> 25:13 28:14	79:8 87:23 90:10	4:20 115:15	27:25 28:1,4,6,10	30:20 45:12,18,22
34:24 35:1 37:17	105:9 126:13,19	<b>well-known</b> 58:14	28:16 32:19,24	47:7,9 49:24
65:8 66:21	133:11 140:15	114:1	42:23,25 52:10	61:21 66:20 68:13
<b>want</b> 1:11,15 8:9,13	142:15,19 145:24	<b>well-ventilated</b>	<b>windows</b> 26:20	68:17 69:21,25
	155:2,19 156:17	26:8	28:6,13 69:1,2,13	71:7 81:18,25

84:8,10 88:16	<b>X</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>111</b> 20:24	<b>161</b> 15:5
95:5 97:17 113:3	<b>X</b> 163:1	<b>1</b> 1:22 2:1 9:7 24:20	<b>112</b> 20:22	<b>162</b> 15:17 18:8
114:16 136:19	<b>Y</b>	30:18 34:16 35:13	<b>113</b> 21:2,7	<b>165</b> 18:14
161:19	<b>Yahya</b> 16:21	43:13,20 44:1,4	<b>114</b> 69:9	<b>1666</b> 105:20
<b>work-in-progress</b>	<b>Yaqub</b> 16:21	52:9 53:21 63:22	<b>115</b> 21:1	<b>16th</b> 56:17
13:19 22:6	<b>Yawar</b> 21:23	65:3 69:3 73:6	<b>12</b> 11:4 40:11	<b>17</b> 19:24 76:12
<b>worked</b> 39:12	<b>year</b> 2:3 4:5 71:2	74:25 76:2,7,10	119:13	80:20 86:17
110:18	81:12,22 87:23	76:14,17,21 77:15	<b>12-year-old</b> 15:9	158:23
<b>working</b> 13:21	95:24 97:4,4	77:19 78:5,8 79:8	<b>12.40</b> 92:14,20	<b>173</b> 17:21
65:18 75:5 82:17	101:19 105:2	80:24 81:3 86:24	<b>12.5</b> 37:3 39:5	<b>176</b> 15:9 17:23
83:2,21 93:3	122:7 126:22	99:8,16,21 106:16	<b>12.6</b> 37:15,16	<b>17th</b> 112:12,15
101:16 136:17	141:15 160:19	118:7 121:10	<b>12.7</b> 37:20,22 38:1	<b>18</b> 16:3 37:17 38:15
<b>works</b> 8:23 30:21	<b>years</b> 35:17 39:8	139:5 148:13	41:22	41:16 81:22 86:14
30:23 43:11 58:24	64:14 65:22 90:17	163:2	<b>12.9</b> 37:15	97:15 98:6 138:20
59:24 69:20 71:19	102:6,19 122:13	<b>1.05</b> 114:22	<b>121</b> 15:22	<b>181</b> 17:8
73:17 78:16,19	123:12,12 140:25	<b>1.08</b> 115:6,9	<b>122</b> 20:13	<b>182</b> 17:8
<b>world</b> 10:25 11:20	141:17 158:23	<b>1.12</b> 115:10	<b>1255</b> 107:15	<b>183</b> 17:9 63:7
64:13 66:6 80:12	<b>yellow</b> 64:18	<b>1.15</b> 50:25 115:14	<b>129</b> 13:18	<b>18th</b> 15:12 18:25
100:22 114:2	<b>yesterday</b> 1:8 16:7	115:17 116:18	<b>13</b> 21:18	141:25 143:2,8,13
<b>worldwide</b> 66:17	26:22 27:1 28:5	117:2	<b>131</b> 163:12	144:6
<b>worse</b> 158:20	28:23 29:5 49:22	<b>1.16</b> 116:5	<b>132</b> 20:9	<b>19</b> 18:22 160:7
<b>worship</b> 10:10	51:3 52:7 64:3,5	<b>1.18</b> 118:9	<b>134</b> 56:16	<b>1905</b> 101:8
<b>worst</b> 60:21 102:11	76:9 79:13 95:11	<b>1.20</b> 116:5,12	<b>135</b> 20:8 39:4,16	<b>191</b> 16:9
<b>worth</b> 71:15 140:19	116:1,4 149:4	118:16	40:6 41:13,18	<b>1914</b> 101:9
<b>would've</b> 38:21	<b>yesterday's</b> 1:22	<b>1.21</b> 115:19	<b>135's</b> 66:1	<b>192</b> 16:18
41:16 70:4	<b>young</b> 14:3 19:1	<b>1.22</b> 115:21 116:8	<b>14</b> 2:3 3:20 26:4	<b>193</b> 16:14,16,23
<b>wrapped</b> 74:12	20:24 111:23	<b>1.24</b> 116:14	41:3 61:5 99:6	<b>194</b> 17:1
<b>writ</b> 60:8	140:10,15 142:15	<b>1.26</b> 51:1 117:3	108:25 121:7	<b>195</b> 15:21 16:23
<b>write</b> 141:4 146:17	142:20	119:21	133:23	<b>196</b> 14:10 15:24
<b>writing</b> 4:7	<b>younger</b> 141:2	<b>1.30</b> 15:24 16:5	<b>142</b> 20:6	16:3
<b>written</b> 33:16 70:7	<b>youngest</b> 15:10	116:12	<b>143</b> 20:1	<b>1960s</b> 63:1
76:4,12 95:18	<b>Yousuf</b> 152:18	<b>1.38</b> 119:9	<b>144</b> 20:3	<b>1984</b> 30:13 91:1
101:10 102:19	<b>Z</b>	<b>1.40</b> 29:17 119:10	<b>14th</b> 20:19	<b>1991</b> 32:13,22
104:17 125:20	<b>Zainab</b> 16:14 20:25	<b>1.41</b> 29:17	<b>15</b> 48:19 69:8	39:14
129:19 147:15	21:14	<b>1.55/2</b> 20:23	118:10	<b>1992</b> 32:21
149:16	<b>zinc</b> 46:12,15 67:19	<b>10</b> 55:14 78:14	<b>151</b> 14:12 143:8	<b>1997</b> 141:2
<b>wrong</b> 22:13 83:25	68:6	132:5 162:18	<b>153</b> 18:25	<b>1998</b> 141:9
96:12 101:13	<b>zonal</b> 110:18	<b>10,000</b> 74:14	<b>154</b> 15:11	<b>1999</b> 32:13 64:15
126:18 127:3,13	<b>0</b>	<b>10.00</b> 1:2 162:23	<b>155</b> 15:11	85:15,19 104:24
127:13 142:15,18	<b>0</b> 25:17,19 37:18	<b>100</b> 27:16	<b>15th</b> 20:14 120:12	105:12 106:4
142:24 162:13	38:5,5 106:1	<b>103</b> 68:20	147:17 152:21	158:22
<b>wrongly</b> 156:17	<b>00.54</b> 114:17	<b>11</b> 21:23 64:15	<b>16</b> 17:24 20:7 53:5	<b>19th</b> 15:5,18 18:6
157:1	<b>02.58</b> 119:12	119:15	108:10 114:22	120:17 153:20
<b>wrote</b> 56:18 86:22		<b>11.15</b> 48:22	115:5 118:2,10	
105:4		<b>11.35</b> 48:24	141:17	<b>2</b>

29:20 18:2 19:2 37:22 41:20 52:12 54:1 73:6 76:2,14 77:19 92:15,18 95:15 100:4 101:15 106:15 114:8,14 124:7	114:6 122:21 132:5 133:23 160:7 2018 1:1 80:20 86:17,23 157:12 162:22 2019 95:15 202 15:15 203 14:2,15 204 14:5 205 14:8 206 14:13 20th 15:9 120:17 21st 6:25 17:3 63:7 22 119:13 159:2 22.5 130:6 22nd 16:9 23 13:18 14:3 74:21 119:12 163:5 23rd 15:5 29:16 24 76:4 24-year-old 147:16 25 39:8 86:23 250 49:23 51:15 26 115:7 293,368 68:8	36 138:20 376,175 68:9 <hr/> 4 4 52:19 54:7 162:16 4.02 162:21 40 119:23 40-pump 55:14 43 125:14 48 163:7 <hr/> 5 5 1:1 52:22 54:11 64:21 115:8,18 118:9 50/50 37:25 52 160:3 54-2004 151:2 <hr/> 6 6 53:8 54:15 118:9 162:22 163:4 60 55:14 64:22 61 163:9 633 113:7 65 140:25 66 109:19 <hr/> 7 7 115:23 142:10 7(2)(d) 53:11 107:19 70-odd 102:6 71 136:14 153:13 72 7:16 13:18 75 27:15 160:9 <hr/> 8 8 4:8 78:7,9 159:2 81 22:1 8414 39:6 86 21:24 <hr/> 9 9 160:5 9/11 24:6	90 55:17 99:10 92 163:10 999 14:5 29:12 54:21 115:24 116:12 118:18	
2.00 92:22 2.04 119:22 2.06 119:23 2.10 19:2 2.15 120:18 2.16 55:15 2.17 119:24 2.30 18:15 2.40 51:8 2.47 50:24 2.50 120:13 20 17:12,18 29:10 55:13 56:16 70:7 20-pump 55:13 2000 59:13 65:2 66:6 141:15 2003 143:15 2004 53:12 107:19 2005 65:3 2008 113:21 2009 33:2 65:10 201 15:14 18:2 29:16 2010 30:6 122:25 2011 66:10 136:14 2012 62:15 67:16 2013 33:3 104:1,18 160:7 2014 38:14 59:21 66:16 104:19 124:17 2015 75:8,16 2016 56:16 109:19 123:7 125:7 141:9 159:2 161:4 2017 59:6 61:5 69:8 77:16 80:18 81:5 99:9 102:15 106:5	3 3 19:20 39:18 52:15 54:6 119:6,20 153:7 3-year-old 153:19 3.00 130:24 3.15 130:21 3.16 131:1 3.2 107:15 3.21 16:24 3.3 40:12 3.3.2 40:17 3.55 119:14 30 53:1 33 106:11 35,000 45:21 350,000 45:20 356 26:23			